



Published in final edited form as:

Org Biomol Chem. 2015 November 28; 13(44): 10939–10945. doi:10.1039/c5ob01649e.

Late Stage Modification of Receptors Identified from Dynamic Combinatorial Libraries


Nicholas K. Pinkin, Amanie Power, and Marcey L. Waters^a

^aDepartment of Chemistry, CB 3290, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599

Abstract

Small molecule receptors are attractive potential sensors of post-translational modifications, including methylated lysine and methylated arginine. Using dynamic combinatorial chemistry (DCC), our lab previously identified a suite of receptors that bind to Kme_3 with a range of affinities ranging from low micromolar to high nanomolar, each with a unique selectivity for Kme_3 over the lower methylation states. To enable these receptors to have broad application as Kme_3 sensors, we have developed a method for their late-stage modification, which we used to synthesize biotinylated derivatives of A_2B , A_2D , and A_2G in a single step. For our most attractive receptor for applications, A_2N , we needed to develop an alternative method for its selective functionalization, which we achieved by “activating” the carboxylic acids on the constituent monomer **A** or **N** by pre-functionalizing them with glycine (Gly). Using the resulting **Gly-A** and **Gly-N** monomers, we synthesized the novel A_2N variants $\text{A}_2\text{Gly-N}$, **Gly-A₂N**, and **Gly-A₂Gly-N**, which enabled the late stage biotinylation of A_2N wherever Gly was incorporated. Finally, we performed ITC and NMR binding experiments to study the effect that carboxylate spacing has on the affinity and selectivity of $\text{A}_2\text{Gly-N}$ and **Gly-A₂N** for Kme_X guests compared to A_2N . These studies revealed the proximity of the carboxylates to play a complex role in the molecular recognition event, despite their positioning on the outside of the receptor.

Graphical Abstract



Approaches for the late-stage modification of receptors discovered from dynamic combinatorial libraries and the investigation of the effects of simple modifications on receptor binding and selectivity.

Introduction

Since the hypothesis of the histone code by Strahl and Allis at the turn of the 21st century,¹ significant progress has been made toward understanding the complex machinery that enables the reading, writing, and erasing of histone post-translational modifications (PTMs) and the associated consequences for gene expression.^{2–10} While the majority of advancements can be attributed to significant advances in antibody and mass spectrometry (MS) approaches,^{11–15} we and others envisioned that synthetic receptors could offer several advantages for the study of PTMs, particularly methylated lysine (Lys) and arginine (Arg).^{16–24} Although generally weaker binders than antibodies, synthetic receptors are typically simple and inexpensive to produce, they have well understood molecular structure and they offer complete batch-to-batch reliability. Already, an assortment of applications has been reported using synthetic receptors to study PTMs, some of which are only possible due to their unique properties compared to antibodies.^{21–24} As the applications of synthetic receptors for studying PTMs continue to advance, these tools may enable new approaches for understanding PTMs, which may allow questions to be answered that remain difficult to address using the current tools available.

Utilizing dynamic combinatorial chemistry (DCC),^{25–27} our lab has discovered a number of receptors with varied affinity and selectivity for Kme₃ over the lower Lys methylation states (Figure 1), with binding affinities ranging from the low micromolar to high nanomolar range, as well as the first reported receptor for asymmetric dimethylarginine (aRMe₂).^{16–19}

To be applicable as probes for Kme₃ sensing, it is necessary to functionalize these receptors with a tag that enables readout of Kme₃ binding. This could be achieved directly by the attachment of a reporter molecule (e.g. fluorescent dye), or indirectly through the attachment of a recognition motif such as biotin, which is commonly used as an affinity tag due to its picomolar affinity to the proteins avidin and streptavidin. Herein, we report a method that enables the rapid mono-functionalization of **A₂B**, **A₂D**, and **A₂G**, which we demonstrate for the synthesis of biotinylated derivatives of each receptor (Figure 2). This method relies on the reduced reactivity of the carboxylic acids on monomer **A** using standard amide coupling reagents, enabling the selective modification of monomers **B**, **D**, and **G** in the assembled receptors.

Because the carboxylates on monomer **N** are similar to those on monomer **A**, **A₂N** cannot be directly functionalized in the same manner. Instead, we also developed a method to pre-functionalize the carboxylic acids on monomers **A** and **N**, which we utilized to distance the carboxylates from the ethanoanthracene bridge using glycine (Gly). Using the modified monomers, **Gly-A** and **Gly-N**, in combination with unmodified **A** and **N**, we show that the novel receptors **A₂Gly-N**, **Gly-A₂N**, and **Gly-A₂Gly-N** can all be accessed using dynamic combinatorial libraries (DCLs). These derivatives enable selective downstream modification of only the Gly-spaced monomers in assembled receptors, as the Gly carboxylates are reactive using the method for the mono-functionalization of **A₂B**, **A₂D**, and **A₂G**. Using this approach, we demonstrate the di-biotinylation of **A₂N** using **A₂Gly-N**. Lastly, using the Gly-

functionalized derivatives of **A₂N**, we investigated the role of the proximity of the charge for guest binding using isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) and NMR.

Results and Discussion

Mono-Functionalization of Receptors

The modification of **A₂B**, **A₂D**, and **A₂G** was achieved using standard amide coupling conditions, using diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC), N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) and diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA). The coupling is carried out in anhydrous DMF for 48 hours, after which the solvent is removed and the modified receptors are purified by reverse phase HPLC (Figure 2). Using this method, biotinylated derivatives of each receptor were synthesized using a short polyethylene glycol (PEG) diamine linker (Biotin-PEG₂-NH₂). Using a 10-fold excess of this linker, **A₂B-Biotin**, **A₂D-Biotin**, and **A₂G-Biotin** were prepared in approximately 46%, 13%, and 17% yield in a single step, using RP-HPLC to isolate the modified receptors (see SI, yields estimated from HPLC peak areas). The poorer yields observed for **A₂D** and **A₂G** can likely be attributed to greater steric constraint of the carboxylates due to their position *ortho* to a disulfide instead of *meta*, as in **A₂B**. Although biotin could be pre-attached to monomers **B**, **D**, and **G**, and these modified monomers used to assemble the modified receptors in DCLs, late stage functionalization of the purified receptors enables potentially any marker of interest to be conjugated to the receptor in a single step. In addition to being convenient, this method enables expensive reagents such as fluorophores to be conjugated with little waste of reagent. To demonstrate this point, we coupled the commercially available Biotin-PEG₁₁-NH₂ reagent to **A₂B** using a 7.5-fold excess of reagent, which cleanly provided **A₂B-PEG₁₁-Biotin** in 60% yield (estimated from HPLC peak area) using the same coupling conditions. Due to the long length and the monodisperse nature of this PEG₁₁ derivative, this reagent is expensive and cannot be synthesized and purified as easily as the PEG₂ derivative. Thus, the ability to directly attach it to the receptors in a single step allows a minimal amount of the reagent to be used.

Modification of **A₂N**

Unlike **A₂B**, **A₂D** and **A₂F**, **A₂N** is unreactive under the same DIC coupling conditions. This is not surprising, as the carboxylates on monomers **A** and **N** are similar, and monomer **A** is not modified by DIC. Because **A₂N** binds to Kme₃ with the best combination of affinity and selectivity of any receptor we have discovered, we needed an alternative approach for the attachment of biotin that would enable **A₂N** to also have application for Kme₃ sensing.

Due to the similarity of the carboxylic acids on **A** and **N**, we focused on developing methods to modify the carboxylates of **A** and **N** prior to use in DCLs (Figure 3a). We began by protecting the thiols with triphenylmethyl (trityl) protecting groups (**Trt-A/N**), which are installed by stirring the monomer and two equivalents of triphenylmethanol in 95:5 trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)/dichloromethane (DCM). Our initial attempts at modifying the carboxylates focused on single step couplings using traditional amide coupling reagents. Like others before us,^{28,29} we observed poor reactivity of the carboxylates on **A** and **N**, which has been suggested to be due to steric constraints at the bridging olefin position. Thus, we were surprised to discover that both monomers can easily be converted to their

corresponding N-hydroxysuccinimidyl (NHS) esters by coupling NHS using dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) in DCM (**Trt-A/N-OSu**). In their activated ester form, **A** and **N** can both be coupled to a variety of amines simply by stirring the two components together in DCM.³⁰ If the amine is used as its acid salt, an equivalent of DIPEA is needed for the reaction to occur.

With the goal of making **A₂N** reactive toward modification after isolation from a DCL, we envisioned that if we simply spaced the carboxylates from the bridgehead position, they would become more reactive under standard coupling conditions. To test this idea, we coupled glycine methyl ester to **Trt-A/N-OSu** by stirring with four equivalents of the amino acid (as its hydrochloride salt) and four equivalents of DIPEA in DCM. Once coupled, the **Trt-A/N-Gly-OMe** monomers were deprotected in two steps, starting with the removal of the trityl groups by stirring in 5:95 TFA/DCM with an excess of triisopropylsilane (TIPS) to give **Gly-A/N-OMe**. The methyl esters were then hydrolyzed using LiOH in H₂O to furnish the final **Gly-A/N** monomers.

Using a combination of the modified **Gly-A/N** monomers and unmodified monomers, **A₂Gly-N**, **Gly-A₂N**, and **Gly-A₂Gly-N** were all synthesized via preparative DCLs using butyltrimethylammonium iodide (BuNme₃⁺) as a guest template in 50 mM borate buffer (Figure 3b). After five days, the receptors were purified by RP-HPLC, giving combined yields of the three isomers of 40%, 35% and 53% for **A₂Gly-N**, **Gly-A₂N** and **Gly-A₂Gly-N**, respectively (estimated from HPLC peak area). This is similar to the combined yield previously observed for **A₂N** of 45%,¹⁸ indicating that the Gly substitutions do not significantly influence the amplification of the receptor under these conditions. Interestingly, the Gly modifications had varied effects on the resolution of the *rac*-, *meso*₁-, and *meso*₂- isomers of each receptor: **A₂Gly-N** eluted similarly to **A₂N**, with the *rac*- and *meso*₁- isomers co-eluting first, and the *meso*₂- isomer eluting afterward; all isomers of **Gly-A₂N** nearly co-eluted, making it impossible to isolate a single isomer; and all three of the isomers of **Gly-A₂Gly-N** were well resolved, making it simple to isolate each one individually. As predicted, the Gly spacing enabled Biotin-PEG-NH₂ to be coupled using the same coupling conditions described in Figure 2. Using this approach, **A₂N-Biotin** was prepared in a single step from **A₂Gly-N** in 48 % yield (estimated from HPLC peak area). Importantly, this approach allowed the selective modification of monomer **N** over monomer **A**.

Binding Studies Using Gly-spaced Receptors

A₂N contains a deep aromatic binding pocket that complements the larger, more hydrophobic Kme₃ over the lower Lys methylation states (Figure 4) and provides the highest affinity binding as well as the greatest selectivity of the receptors we have developed.¹⁸ The six carboxylic acids are necessary for water solubility, but it was unclear what role, if any, their charge played in the binding of the cationic ammoniums inside the aromatic pocket. We previously observed that neighboring Arg and Lys residues could directly affect the affinity and selectivity of **A₂N** for a primary site of Kme₃ binding,³¹ which we attributed to favorable electrostatic interactions between the secondary basic residues and the carboxylates.

With the Gly-spaced receptors, we aimed to address the questions regarding (1) the contribution the carboxylates toward binding inside of the pocket (primary interaction) and (2) the importance of their proximity to the binding pocket for secondary interactions with Arg outside of the pocket. This was achieved by comparing the binding interactions of the Gly-spaced derivatives of **A₂N** to model peptide guests using isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). For comparison to **A₂N**, we used a peptide containing residues 4-12 of the histone 3 (H3) tail and an N-terminal WGGG- tag for concentration determination (Ac-WGGG-QTAR**Kme_x**STG-NH₂, X=0-3), which we have used previously to study the affinity and selectivity of **A₂N** for Kme₃.¹⁸ To study the contribution of neighboring charge to binding, we also studied binding to an equivalent peptide where the neighboring Arg8 is mutated to Gly (Ac-WGGG-QTAG**Kme_x**STG-NH₂, X=3). While **A₂N** and **A₂Gly-N** were used as single (*meso*₂) isomers in the binding and NMR studies (*vide infra*), we could not isolate the pure *meso*₂ isomer of **Gly-A₂N**; instead, a mixture of isomers was used composed of predominantly the *meso*₂ isomer.

Comparison of Affinities and Selectivities

With increasing spacing of the carboxylates on **A₂N**, the binding affinity for RKme₂ and RKme₁ are nearly unaffected, while the affinity for RKme₃, RKme₀, and GKme₃ decreased, regardless of whether the Gly residues were on **A** or **N** (Table 1). As a result, **A₂Gly-N** and **Gly-A₂N** are less selective for Kme₃ than **A₂N**. Because the higher affinity of **A₂N** for RKme₀ over RKme₁ was previously proposed to be due to the engagement of a different mode of binding only possible for RKme₀,¹⁸ the loss in affinity of the Gly-spaced derivatives for this peptide suggests that the spacing changes this mode of binding.

Comparing the binding of each of the receptors to RKme₃, there is a decrease in affinity with the initial introduction of two Gly residues on **N** to give **A₂Gly-N** ($\Delta G = 1.1$ kcal/mol, Table 1, compare entries 6 and 1), while the subsequent introduction of four Gly residues to give **Gly-A₂N** only caused an additional change of 0.2 kcal/mol ($\Delta G = 1.3$ kcal/mol, compare entries 11 and 1), which is within error of the value for **A₂Gly-N**. Because the drop in affinity is not proportional to the number of Gly substitutions, this suggests that a similar mechanism may be weakening the binding of both of the modified receptors to Kme₃. A mechanism that is consistent with this observation is a conformational change that disfavors binding of the large trimethylammonium of Kme₃ inside the aromatic pocket. The binding of Kme and Kme₂ may not be affected due to their smaller size, or because they prefer to bind to a different conformation of the receptor in which the NH groups on Kme and Kme₂ are still able to hydrogen bond with water. In the case of unmodified RK peptide, we had previously proposed that it binds to the exterior of the receptor.¹⁸ Addition of the Gly residues would be expected to influence this mode of binding as well, as is observed (entries 4 vs 9).

Importantly, the data are inconsistent with a through-space electrostatic interaction between the cationic guest and the carboxylates on the exterior of the binding pocket, which was originally proposed by Dougherty in his cyclophane host that consists of two ethenoanthracene units identical to those in monomer A.²⁸ Despite differences in the host geometries and the guests studied, the proximity of the modified carboxylates to the bound

guest in both systems is identical. If the carboxylates interact with the bound guest through a long range electrostatic interaction, spacing with Gly would be expected to weaken this interaction equally for all cationic guests binding to the **A₂N** derivatives, but this is clearly not the case. Further studies are necessary to more fully understand the contribution of such long range interactions in molecular recognition.

As previous studies have shown that the neighboring Arg contributes to binding through interaction with the carboxylates and aromatic rings on the outside of the receptor, we investigated whether spacing of the carboxylates weakens this interaction. We find that for all three receptors, the interaction with Arg provides about 0.8 kcal/mol to the interaction energy (compare entries 1 and 5, 6 and 10, and 11 and 15). While this lack of dependence on spacing could suggest the interaction with Arg is unaffected, it may also be due to the fact that not all the carboxylates in **A₂Gly-N** and **Gly-A₂N** contain the Gly spacer.

NMR Binding Studies

We also compared the binding properties of **A₂Gly-N**, **Gly-A₂N**, and **A₂N** to Kme₃ by comparing the upfield shifting induced by each receptor on the simple guest butyl trimethylammonium (BuNme₃⁺, Table 2) under saturating conditions. For this model guest, binding to **A₂N** causes approximately the same upfield shifting of the Nme₃ protons (2.41 ppm) as was previously observed for the equivalent protons on the peptide guest Ac-Kme₃G-NH₂ (2.46 ppm).¹⁸ This indicates that BuNme₃⁺ is suitable for modelling binding to Kme₃. Using the same concentrations of **A₂N**, **A₂Gly-N** and **Gly-A₂N** (again, as a mixture of isomers), less upfield shifting and more significant broadening of the Nme₃⁺ protons of the guest were observed with increasing Gly incorporation (Figure S35). Due to the differences in affinities of each of these receptors for Kme₃ (Table 1), the changes in upfield shifting may partially reflect a different proportion of bound guest in each spectrum, although this would be expected to cause proportional differences in upfield shifting for all affected protons. Instead, the differences in upfield shifting are more significant for the Nme₃ and γ -methylene protons compared to the more distant α - and β -methylene protons, suggesting that the guest engages in weaker cation-pi interactions with the Gly-substituted receptors, perhaps due to the inability to access the preferred conformation for optimal binding, as suggested above.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed a straightforward method for the late stage modification of the receptors **A₂B**, **A₂D**, and **A₂G**. Using this method, we demonstrated the facile synthesis of biotinylated derivatives of each receptor containing a short PEG linker, as well as the synthesis of a biotinylated derivative of **A₂B** with a PEG₁₁ linker using the commercially available Biotin-PEG₁₁-NH₂. This simple method should enable the attachment of nearly any desired functionality to these receptors, which will enable their rapid application to new directions in the field of PTM sensing, which we are actively pursuing. Further, as DCC continues to be a valuable tool for the discovery of new receptors with unique affinities and selectivities for different PTMs, we expect that these methods will continue to have value in modifying novel receptors that share the **A₂X** framework.

We have also developed a simple new method for the modification of monomers **A** and **N**, which have until this point proven challenging targets for functionalization.^{28,29} Using this method, we showed that we could di-functionalize each monomer with Gly to yield **Gly-A** and **Gly-N**, which were used in DCLs to assemble the novel receptors **A₂Gly-N**, **Gly-A₂N**, and **Gly-A₂Gly-N**. As only the Gly-spaced monomer is reactive under the coupling conditions developed for **A₂B**, **A₂D** and **A₂G**, we were able to demonstrate the selective functionalization of **N** in a similar manner by coupling Biotin-PEG₂-NH₂ to **A₂Gly-N** to form **A₂N-Biotin**. Although not included here, this approach also enables monomer **A** to be activated for functionalization using the same conditions in any **A₂X** receptor, enabling the single step pan-functionalization of all carboxylates.

Finally, we used ITC to study the effect of spacing the carboxylates on **A** and **N** using Gly on the binding properties of **A₂Gly-N** and **Gly-A₂N** for Kme_x compared to **A₂N**. Although these carboxylates cannot interact directly with a guest bound in the aromatic pocket due to their positioning on the outside of the receptor, their modification with Gly reduced the affinity of the modified receptors for Kme₃ from high nanomolar for **A₂N** to low micromolar for **A₂Gly-N** and **Gly-A₂N**. We believe that the Gly-spacing causes a conformational change in the receptors that affects binding to the larger trimethylammonium, consistent with NMR studies that indicate less optimal guest binding with **A₂Gly-N** and **Gly-A₂N**. Overall, these studies reveal that synthetic modification of these receptors can influence binding properties, but that they still maintain affinities and selectivities in the useful range in this case. The methods developed here enable new approaches toward the late stage modification of complex macrocyclic receptors discovered from DCLs, and should facilitate the rapid development of new applications for these unique receptors.

Experimental

Detailed synthetic procedures for the modification of the receptors, as well as the preparation of Gly-A and Gly-N can be found in the SI. Biotin-PEG₂-NH₂ has been prepared previously and was synthesized according to published literature procedures, although this compound is also commercially available. Biotin-PEG₁₁-NH₂ was purchased from Quanta Biodesign. **A₂B**, **A₂D**, and **A₂G** were prepared in preparative DCLs as described previously, and were purified by reverse-phase HPLC using gradients between NH₄OAc buffered solvents (A: 10 mM NH₄OAc in H₂O; B: 10 mM NH₄OAc in 9:1 ACN:H₂O) on an Atlantis PrepT3 5 μm 10 × 150 mm C18 column.

A₂Gly-N, **Gly-A₂N**, and **Gly-A₂Gly-N** were prepared on a preparative scale by dissolving the corresponding monomers (concentrations can be found in the SI) in 50 mM borate buffer (pH 8.5) with 10 mM butyltrimethylammonium iodide (BuNme₃⁺) as a template. After 5 days, the receptors were purified by RP-HPLC using a gradient of 0-100% B in 45 minutes (A: 10 mM NH₄OAc in H₂O; B: 10 mM NH₄OAc in 9:1 ACN:H₂O) on an Atlantis PrepT3 5 μm 10 × 150 mm C18 column. After isolation, the receptors were lyophilized for 5-7 days to remove any trace NH₄OAc salts. The concentration of the receptors was determined using the same extinction coefficient determined for A₂N previously, 11,665 M⁻¹cm⁻¹.

All NMR experiments were performed using a Bruker 400 MHz or Bruker 600 MHz instrument, as noted. Data analysis was performed using Topspin 3.1 software. VT 1D NMRs and NMR binding experiments were collected on a Bruker 600 MHz instrument in 10 mM borate buffered D₂O (pH 8.67). Proton assignments in the binding studies were made using TOCSY analysis. High resolution mass spectrometry was performed on a Thermo LTQ-FT-ICR mass spectrometer.

Peptides were synthesized on a Tetras Peptide Synthesizer using CLEAR-Amide resin from Peptides International. Peptides were purified by RP-HPLC using Waters X-Bridge C18 columns and gradients between water (A) and acetonitrile (B) containing 0.01% trifluoroacetic acid. They were desalted by RP-HPLC using NH₄OAc buffered solvents on an Atlantis PrepT3 5 μm 10 × 100 mm C18 column, and were lyophilized for 5-7 days to remove all volatile salts

All ITC titrations were performed using a MicroCal Auto-iTC200 at 26 °C. Data analysis was performed using the built in Origin 7 software using a one site binding model. Unless otherwise noted, titrations were performed in duplicate. A 10 mM pH 8.5 sodium borate buffer was used for all experiments. All concentrations were determined using a NanoDrop2000 with a xenon flash lamp, 2048 element linear silicon CCD array detector, and 1 mm path length. ~1.1-2.4 mM solutions of peptide were titrated into ~100-180 μM solutions of receptor using 2 μL injections every 3 minutes. Heats of dilution of peptides were subtracted prior to analysis in Origin.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge funding from the W. M. Keck foundation for this work. This material is based in part upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. CHE-1306977 and also the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship to N.K.P. under grant no. DGE-1144081. A.P. was supported by the Biophysical Society's Short Course in Biophysics, funded by the NIH (Grant #2T36GM075791-06).

Notes and References

1. Strahl BD, Allis CD. *Nature*. 2000; 403:41–45. [PubMed: 10638745]
2. Richards EJ, Elgin SCR. *Cell*. 2002; 108:489–500. [PubMed: 11909520]
3. Khorasanizadeh S. *Cell*. 2004; 116:259–272. [PubMed: 14744436]
4. Martin C, Zhang Y. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2005; 6:838–849. [PubMed: 16261189]
5. Kouzarides T. *Cell*. 2007; 128:693–705. [PubMed: 17320507]
6. Taverna SD, Li H, Ruthenburg AJ, Allis CD, Patel DJ. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 2007; 14:1025–1040. [PubMed: 17984965]
7. Li B, Carey M, Workman JL. *Cell*. 2007; 128:707–719. [PubMed: 17320508]
8. Jones PA, Baylin SB. *Cell*. 2007; 128:683–692. [PubMed: 17320506]
9. Bannister AJ, Kouzarides T. *Cell Res*. 2011; 21:381–395. [PubMed: 21321607]
10. Rothbart SB, Strahl BD. *Biochim Biophys Acta - Gene Regul Mech*. 2014; 1839:627–643.
11. Schones DE, Zhao K. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2008; 9:179–191. [PubMed: 18250624]
12. Zhao Y, Jensen ON. *Proteomics*. 2009; 9:4632–4641. [PubMed: 19743430]

13. Chatterjee C, Muir TW. *J Biol Chem*. 2010; 285:11045–11050. [PubMed: 20147749]
14. Britton LMP, Gonzales-Cope M, Zee BM, Garcia BA. *Expert Rev Proteomics*. 2011; 8:631–643. [PubMed: 21999833]
15. Huang H, Lin S, Garcia Ba, Zhao Y. *Chem Rev*. 2015 150217145638004.
16. Ingerman LA, Cuellar ME, Waters ML. *Chem Commun*. 2010; 46:1839–1841.
17. James LI, Beaver JE, Rice NW, Waters ML. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2013; 135:6450–6455. [PubMed: 23560599]
18. Pinkin NK, Waters ML. *Org Biomol Chem*. 2014; 12:7059–67. [PubMed: 25078127]
19. Beaver JE, Peacor BC, Bain JV, James LI, Waters ML. *Org Biomol Chem*. 2015; 13:3220–3226. [PubMed: 25437861]
20. Beshara CS, Jones CE, Daze KD, Lilgert BJ, Hof F. *ChemBioChem*. 2010; 11:63–66. [PubMed: 19937593]
21. Minaker SA, Daze KD, Ma MCF, Hof F. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2012:11674–11680. [PubMed: 22703116]
22. Daze KD, Pinter T, Beshara CS, Ibraheem A, Minaker SA, Ma MCF, Courtemanche RJM, Campbell RE, Hof F. *Chem Sci*. 2012; 3:2695–2699.
23. Allen HF, Daze KD, Shimbo T, Lai A, Musselman CA, Sims JK, Wade PA, Hof F, Kutateladze TG. *Biochem J*. 2014; 459:505–512. [PubMed: 24576085]
24. Florea M, Kudithipudi S, Rei A, Gonzalez-Alvarez MJ, Jeltsch A, Nau WM. *Chem A Eur J*. 2012:3521–3528.
25. Corbett PT, Leclaire J, Vial L, West KR, Wietor JL, Sanders JKM, Otto S. *Chem Rev*. 2006; 106:3652–3711. [PubMed: 16967917]
26. Otto S, Furlan RLE, Sanders JKM. *Science*. 2002; 297:590–593. [PubMed: 12142534]
27. Corbett PT, Sanders JKM, Otto S. *Chemistry*. 2008; 14:2153–2166. [PubMed: 18081129]
28. Ngola SM, Kearney PC, Mecozzi S, Russell K, Dougherty Da. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1999; 121:1192–1201.
29. Marquis R, Kulikiewicz K, Lebedkin S, Kappes MM, Meunier CMS, Wagner A. *Chem - A Eur J*. 2009; 15:11187–11196.
30. Pinkin, NK. PhD Thesis. University of North Carolina; Chapel Hill: 2015.
31. Pinkin NK, Liu I, Abron JD, Waters ML. *Chem Eur J*. 2015 Accepted.





Figure 1. Receptors identified from our lab using dynamic combinatorial chemistry that bind selectively to trimethyllysine or asymmetric dimethylarginine.


**Figure 2.**

Approach for mono-functionalization of **A₂B**, **A₂D**, and **A₂G** with Biotin-PEG-NH₂.

Conditions: R-NH₂ = 7.5 eq. (PEG₁₁) or 10 eq. (PEG₂); DIC = 5 eq. (PEG₁₁) or 7.5 eq.

(PEG₂); NHS: 5 eq. (PEG₁₁) or 7.5 eq. (PEG₂); DIPEA = 10 eq. (PEG₁₁) or 12 eq. (PEG₂).

Yields provided are estimated from peak area of crude HPLC traces.

**Figure 3.**

(a) General synthesis of glycine spaced monomers shown for **A**, but identical for monomer **N**. (b) New receptors **A₂Gly-N**, **Gly-A₂N**, and **Gly-A₂Gly-N** synthesized using the Gly-spaced monomers. Using the same coupling conditions previously optimized for **A₂B**, **A₂D**, and **A₂G**, **(Biotin-PEG₂)₂-A₂Gly-N** was synthesized from **A₂Gly-N** (top).




Figure 4.
Gas phase minimized model of *meso*₂-A₂N binding to Kme₃. Monomer A is white, monomer N is blue, and the carboxylates are shown in red.

ITC Binding data for A₂N, Gly-A₂N, and A₂Gly-N binding to H3K9meX (Ac-WGGG-QTA[R/G]KmeXSTG-NH₂).

Table 1

Entry	Receptor	Peptide	K _d ^b (μM)	Selectivity Factor ^c	G ^d (kcal/mol)
1	A ₂ N ^e	RKme ₃	0.30 ± 0.04	-	-8.91 ± 0.07
2	A ₂ N ^e	RKme ₂	4.1 ± 0.5	14	-7.36 ± 0.07
3	A ₂ N ^e	RKme ₁	40 ± 4	131	-6.01 ± 0.06
4	A ₂ N ^e	RKme ₀	10.5 ± 0.9	35	-6.80 ± 0.05
5	A ₂ N ^e	GKme ₃	1.3 ± 0.2	4.2	-8.05 ± 0.08
6	A ₂ Gly-N ^e	RKme ₃	2.0 ± 0.2	-	-7.80 ± 0.07
7	A ₂ Gly-N ^e	RKme ₂	5.3 ± 0.5	2.7	-7.21 ± 0.06
8	A ₂ Gly-N ^e	RKme ₁	36 ± 4	18.3	-6.08 ± 0.07
9	A ₂ Gly-N ^e	RKme ₀	34 ± 4	17.5	-6.10 ± 0.06
10	A ₂ Gly-N ^e	GKme ₃	7 ± 1	3.8	-7.01 ± 0.08
11	Gly-A ₂ N ^f	RKme ₃	2.7 ± 0.7	-	-7.6 ± 0.1
12	Gly-A ₂ N ^f	RKme ₂	5.5 ± 0.7	2.0	-7.19 ± 0.08
13	Gly-A ₂ N ^f	RKme ₁	40 ± 4	14.7	-6.01 ± 0.06
14	Gly-A ₂ N ^f	RKme ₀	60 ± 6	21.7	-5.77 ± 0.06
15	Gly-A ₂ N ^f	GKme ₃	10 ± 1	3.6	-6.84 ± 0.06

^aConditions: 26 °C in 10 mM borate buffer, pH 8.5.

^bErrors are from measurements taken in duplicate or triplicate and are estimated at <10%, unless otherwise noted.

^cSelectivity is calculated as the affinity for Kme3 over the designated methylation state in that row.

^dErrors are propagated from errors in K_d.

^eThe pure *meso2* isomer was used.

^fA mixture of isomers was used containing predominantly the *meso2* isomer.

Change in chemical shifts (δ) observed for BuNme_3^+ when bound to A_2N , $\text{A}_2\text{Gly-N}$, and $\text{Gly-A}_2\text{N}$. The analogous δ values previously observed for the peptide guest $\text{Ac-Kme}_3\text{G-NH}_2$ when bound to A_2N are included for reference.¹⁸

Table 2

Receptor:	A_2N^a	A_2N^b	$\text{A}_2\text{Gly-N}^b$	$\text{Gly-A}_2\text{N}^b$
	$\text{Ac-Kme}_3\text{G-NH}_2$	BuNme_3^+	BuNme_3^+	BuNme_3^+
Nme_3	-2.46	-2.41	-2.32	-1.99
δ	-3.45	-	-	-
γ	-3.25	-2.93	-2.80	-2.54
β	-2.09	-1.40	-1.34	-1.31
α	-0.60	-0.47	-0.46	-0.49

^aConditions: 10 mM borate buffered D_2O (pH 8.67).

^bConditions: 10 mM borate buffered D_2O (pH 8.5)

^cUsed as a mixture of isomers containing predominantly *meso*- $\text{Gly-A}_2\text{N}$.