

# **NIH Public Access**

**Author Manuscript** 

Published in final edited form as: Nature. 2009 May 28; 459(7246): 528-533. doi:10.1038/nature07999.

# Common genetic variants on 5p14.1 associate with autism

# spectrum disorders

Kai Wang<sup>1,\*</sup>, Haitao Zhang<sup>1,\*</sup>, Deqiong Ma<sup>2,\*</sup>, Maja Bucan<sup>3</sup>, Joseph T. Glessner<sup>1</sup>, Brett S. Abrahams<sup>4</sup>, Daria Salyakina<sup>2</sup>, Marcin Imielinski<sup>1</sup>, Jonathan P. Bradfield<sup>1</sup>, Patrick M. A. Sleiman<sup>1</sup>, Cecilia E. Kim<sup>1</sup>, Cuiping Hou<sup>1</sup>, Edward Frackelton<sup>1</sup>, Rosetta Chiavacci<sup>1</sup>, Nagahide Sleiman<sup>1</sup>, Cecilia E. Kim<sup>1</sup>, Cuiping Hou<sup>1</sup>, Edward Frackelton<sup>1</sup>, Rosetta Chiavacci<sup>1</sup>, Nagahide Takahashi<sup>5</sup>, Takeshi Sakurai<sup>5</sup>, Eric Rappaport<sup>6</sup>, Clara M. Lajonchere<sup>7</sup>, Jeffrey Munson<sup>8</sup>, Annette Estes<sup>8</sup>, Olena Korvatska<sup>8</sup>, Joseph Piven<sup>9</sup>, Lisa I. Sonnenblick<sup>4</sup>, Ana I. Alvarez Retuerto<sup>4</sup>, Edward I. Herman<sup>4</sup>, Hongmei Dong<sup>4</sup>, Ted Hutman<sup>4</sup>, Marian Sigman<sup>4</sup>, Sally Ozonoff<sup>10</sup>, Ami Klin<sup>11</sup>, Thomas Owley<sup>12</sup>, John A. Sweeney<sup>12</sup>, Camille W. Brune<sup>12</sup>, Rita M. Cantor<sup>13</sup>, Raphael Bernier<sup>8</sup>, John R. Gilbert<sup>2</sup>, Michael L. Cuccaro<sup>2</sup>, William M. McMahon<sup>14</sup>, Judith Miller<sup>14</sup>, Matthew W. State<sup>11</sup>, Thomas H. Wassink<sup>15</sup>, Hilary Coon<sup>14</sup>, Susan E. Levy<sup>6</sup>, Robert T. Schultz<sup>6</sup>, John I. Nurnberger Jr<sup>16</sup>, Jonathan L. Haines<sup>17</sup>, James S. Sutcliffe<sup>18</sup>, Edwin H. Cook<sup>12</sup>, Nancy J. Minshew<sup>19</sup>, Joseph D. Buxbaum<sup>5,20</sup>, Geraldine Dawson<sup>8</sup>, Struan F. A. Grant<sup>1,6</sup>, Daniel H. Geschwind<sup>4</sup>, Margaret A. Pericak-Vance<sup>2</sup>, Gerard D. Schellenberg<sup>21</sup>, and Hakon Hakonarson<sup>1,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Applied Genomics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA.

<sup>2</sup>The Miami Institute for Human Genomics and Department of Human Genetics, University of Miami, Miami, Florida 33101, USA.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Genetics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19014, USA.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Neurology and Center for Autism Research and Treatment, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA.

<sup>5</sup>Seaver Autism Center for Research and Treatment, Department of Psychiatry, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, New York 10029, USA.

<sup>6</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19014, USA.

<sup>7</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Southern California and Autism Speaks, Los Angeles, California 90089, USA.

Supplementary Information is linked to the online version of the paper at www.nature.com/nature.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

<sup>©2009</sup> Macmillan Publishers Limited. All rights reserved

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.H. (hakonarson@chop.edu).. \*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Author Information The AGRE data set was genotyped by the Center for Applied Genomics at CHOP, and the complete sets of genotype and signal intensity data have been released to the public domain. AGRE-approved academic researchers can acquire the data sets from AGRE (http://www.agre.org). In addition, the summary statistics for the full data set will be made available in the repository of the NIH Genotype and Phenotype database (dbGAP; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/gap/cgi-bin/about.html).

Author Contributions H.H. and G.D.S. designed the study and H.H. supervised the genotyping, data analysis and interpretation. K.W., H.Z. and D.M. analysed the AGRE, ACC/CART and CAP data sets, respectively. K.W. drafted the manuscript, and H.H., G.D.S. and other authors edited the manuscript. M.B., J.T.G, M.I., J.P.B., P.M.A.S., C.E.K., C.H., E.F., R.C., C.M.L., R.M.C. and S.F.A.G. helped generate data and assisted with data analysis of the AGRE cohort. D.M., D.S., J.R.G. and M.L.C. generated data for the CAP replication cohort. B.S.A., L.I.S., A.I.A.R., E.I.H., H.D., T.H., M.S., S.O. and A.K. performed in situ hybridization, and generated data for the CART replication cohort. K.W., C.E.K. and E.R. performed qPCR validation of CNVs. N.T., T.S. and J.D.B. preformed MLPA validation of CNVs. J.Munson, A.E., O.K., J.P., T.O., J.A.S. C.W.B., R.B. J.R.G., W.M.M., J.Miller, M.W.S., T.H.W., H.C., S.E.L., R.T.S., J.I.N., J.L.H., J.S.S., E.H.C., N.J.M., J.D.B., G.D., D.H.G., M.A.P.-V. and G.D.S. collected samples, contributed phenotype data for the study, helped with interpretation of data, and assisted with manuscript preparation. D.H.G. and M.A.P.-V. contributed equally to this work.

<sup>8</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98105, USA.

<sup>9</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of North Carolina and Carolina Institute for Developmental Disabilities, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, USA.

<sup>10</sup>The M.I.N.D. Institute and Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of California Davis, Sacramento, California 95817, USA.

<sup>11</sup>Department of Genetics and Child Study Center, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, USA.

<sup>12</sup>Institute for Juvenile Research and Department of Psychiatry, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60608, USA.

<sup>13</sup>Department of Human Genetics, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA.

<sup>14</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84132, USA.

<sup>15</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 84112, USA.

<sup>16</sup>Institute of Psychiatric Research, Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana 46202, USA.

<sup>17</sup>Center for Human Genetics Research, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37232, USA.

<sup>18</sup>Center for Molecular Neuroscience and Vanderbilt Kennedy Center, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37232, USA.

<sup>19</sup>Departments of Psychiatry and Neurology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260, USA.

<sup>20</sup>Departments of Psychiatry, Neuroscience, and Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, New York 10029, USA.

<sup>21</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19014, USA.

#### Abstract

Autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) represent a group of childhood neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders characterized by deficits in verbal communication, impairment of social interaction, and restricted and repetitive patterns of interests and behaviour. To identify common genetic risk factors underlying ASDs, here we present the results of genome-wide association studies on a cohort of 780 families (3,101 subjects) with affected children, and a second cohort of 1,204 affected subjects and 6,491 control subjects, all of whom were of European ancestry. Six single nucleotide polymorphisms between cadherin 10 (*CDH10*) and cadherin 9 (*CDH9*)—two genes encoding neuronal cell-adhesion molecules—revealed strong association signals, with the most significant SNP being rs4307059 ( $P = 3.4 \times 10^{-8}$ , odds ratio = 1.19). These signals were replicated in two independent cohorts, with combined *P* values ranging from 7.4 × 10<sup>-8</sup> to 2.1 × 10<sup>-10</sup>. Our results implicate neuronal cell-adhesion molecules in the pathogenesis of ASDs, and represent, to our knowledge, the first demonstration of genome-wide significant association of common variants with susceptibility to ASDs.

ASDs encompass a range of clinically defined conditions, including autism and pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified, which are more common and severe, as well as Asperger's syndrome, which appears less frequently and is milder1. ASDs are about four times more common in boys than girls, and at present around 1 in 150 children in the United States have a diagnosis of an ASD<sup>2</sup>. Several sources of evidence suggest that strong genetic components are involved in susceptibility to ASDs: there are much higher concordance rates

of ASDs in monozygotic twins (92%) than dizygotic twins  $(10\%)^3$ , and recent estimate of the sibling recurrence risk ratio ( $\lambda_s$ ) is 22 for autism<sup>4</sup>. Despite being highly heritable, ASDs show heterogeneous clinical symptoms and genetic architecture, which have hindered the identification of common genetic susceptibility factors<sup>5</sup>. Although previous linkage studies, candidate gene association studies and cytogenetic studies have implicated several chromosomal regions for the presence of autism susceptibility loci<sup>6-9</sup>, they have failed to consistently identify and replicate common genetic variants that increase the risk of ASDs.

Besides well-known genetic conditions reported in ASDs, recent studies have identified a growing number of distinct and individually rare genetic causes, suggesting that the genetic architecture of ASDs may have a significant contribution from heterogeneous rare variants. For example, rare de novo copy number variants have been implicated in 7% of families with ASDs, but only in 1% of control families<sup>10</sup>. In addition, 16p11.2 microdeletions and microduplications have been found in approximately 1% of autism cases<sup>11,12</sup>. Several hundred rare structural variations have also been catalogued in families with ASDs<sup>13</sup>. Although these reported variants indicate a role for rare genomic variation in a proportion of families, no common variants have been previously associated with ASDs in genome-wide studies. The latter is consistent with reports from previous genome-wide association studies of other neuropsychiatric disorders, including bipolar disorder<sup>14,15</sup>, schizophrenia<sup>16</sup> and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder<sup>16</sup>, all of which have failed to identify common susceptibility loci with genome-wide significance, when individual data sets with small sample sizes were analysed. However, recent meta-analysis reported evidence for common variants in both schizophrenia<sup>17</sup> and bipolar disorder<sup>18</sup>, suggesting that the search for common genetic variation that confer susceptibility to ASDs may benefit from the combined analysis of several studies.

## Genome-wide association studies on ASDs

To identify common genetic risk factors for ASDs, we carried out a genome-wide association study on 943 ASDs families (4,444 subjects) from the Autism Genetic Resource Exchange (AGRE cohort, Table 1)19. The subjects with ASDs in the AGRE cohort were diagnosed using both the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R)20 and Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS)21 diagnostic tools, which are the gold standard diagnostic tools for individuals with ASDs. All subjects were genotyped using the Illumina HumanHap550 BeadChip with over 550,000 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers. We applied stringent quality control criteria (Supplementary Methods), including call rates, Mendelian inconsistencies and genetically inferred ancestry, to identify a set of 3,101 subjects of European ancestry in 780 AGRE families for association tests. We performed analysis with the Pedigree Disequilibrium Test (PDT)<sup>22</sup> for autosomes, and with X-APL23 for the X chromosome, using genotypes from 486,864 markers. The complete sets of SNP genotype data and signal intensity data were released to the academic research community in April 2008 (http://www.agre.org).

We did not observe genome-wide significant association ( $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) to ASDs in the AGRE cohort, but we proposed that meaningful associations were contained within the lowest *P* values. To boost power for identifying these associations, we examined an Autism Case-Control cohort (ACC cohort, Table 1), comprising 1,453 subjects with ASDs from several US sites, and 7,070 control subjects without ASDs from the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, who were also genotyped on the same platform. The subjects with ASDs in this cohort were diagnosed using the ADI and ADOS tools. After conducting thorough quality control measures on the geno-types, association analyses were conducted on 1,204 subjects with ASDs and 6,491 control subjects of inferred European ancestry. We did not detect genome-wide significant association ( $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) to ASDs in the ACC cohort either. Therefore, we subsequently performed a combined analysis of these two independent data sets using recommended meta-

analysis approaches<sup>24</sup>. From examining autosomes and the X chromosome, one SNP located on 5p14.1 reached genome-wide significance (rs4307059,  $P = 3.4 \times 10^{-8}$ ), and five further SNPs at the same locus had *P*values below  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  (Table 2 and Fig. 1a). Several other loci contain SNPs with suggestive association signals (Table 3), such as 13q33.3 (near *MYO16* (myosin XVI)), 14q21.1 (between *FBXO33* (F-box protein 33) and *LRFN5* (leucine rich repeat and fibronectin type III domain containing 5)) and Xp22.32 (between *PRKX* (protein kinase, X-linked) and *NLGN4X* (neuroligin 4, X-linked)). We also analysed ten markers on the Y chromosome in the ACC cohort, with the most significant SNP being rs2032597 ( $P = 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ ) located within *USP9Y* (ubiquitin specific protease 9, Y-linked) (Supplementary Table 1). Furthermore, we have analysed 15 markers in pseudoautosomal regions of sex chromosomes in the two discovery cohorts, but no markers showed evidence of association (Supplementary Table 2).

To identify other variants that associate with ASDs but were not captured by the SNP genotyping array, we analysed the discovery cohorts using whole-genome imputed genotypes on autosomes generated by the MACH software (Supplementary Methods). The most significant association signals were still those in the 5p14.1 region. However, several other genomic loci, such as 10q21.3 (within *CTNNA3* (catenin, alpha 3)) and 16p13.2 (between *A2BP1* (ataxin 2-binding protein 1) and *C16orf68* (chromosome 16 open reading frame 68)), contain imputed SNPs with suggestive association signals (Table 3). Follow-up studies with larger sample sizes are required to determine whether these represent genuine ASD susceptibility loci.

#### Replication of the association signals

To replicate our genome-wide significant association signals at the 5p14.1 locus, we examined the association statistics for these markers in a third independently generated and analysed cohort, including 1,390 subjects from 447 autism families genotyped with ~1 million markers on the Illumina HumanHap1M BeadChip (CAP cohort, Table 1). The association signals for all the aforementioned SNPs were replicated in this cohort with the same direction of association, with *P*values ranging from 0.01 to  $2.8 \times 10^{-5}$  (Table 2). To seek further evidence of replication, we examined association statistics from a fourth independent cohort of 108 ASD cases and 540 genetically matched control subjects, genotyped on the Illumina HumanCNV370 BeadChip, an array with over 300,000 SNP markers (CART cohort, Table 1). Because rs7704909 and rs10038113 were not present in this array platform, we analysed association on imputed genotypes. Most of the SNPs were replicated (*P* < 0.05) in the CART cohort with the same direction of association (Table 2). Combined analysis on all four data sets indicates that all six SNPs are associated with ASDs, with *P*values ranging from 7.4 × 10<sup>-8</sup> to 2.1 × 10<sup>-10</sup> (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 3). Taken together, several sources of converging evidence firmly established that common genetic variants on 5p14.1 confer susceptibility to ASDs.

## Genomic features of the 5p14.1 region

Closer examination of the 5p14.1 region indicated that all genotyped and imputed SNPs with P values below  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  reside within the same ~100 kilobase (kb) linkage disequilibrium block, suggesting that these SNPs are tagging the same variants (Supplementary Figs 1 and 2). The linkage disequilibrium block is located within a 2.2-megabase (Mb) intergenic region between *CDH10* (cadherin 10) and *CDH9* (cadherin 9) (Fig. 1b, c). Both *CDH10* and *CDH9* encode type II classical cadherins from the cadherin superfamily, which represent transmembrane proteins that mediate calcium-dependent cell-cell adhesion. To search for other types of variants, including copy number variations (CNVs), in the intergenic region, we used the PennCNV software<sup>25</sup> on the signal intensity data and identified five CNV loci (Supplementary Fig. 3). All of these CNVs are present in control subjects in our study, and

We next focused on the ~100 kb linkage disequilibrium block containing the most significant SNPs, and determined whether other transcripts or functional elements are located in the block. By examining the UCSC Genome Browser annotations<sup>26</sup>, we did not identify predicted genes, predicted transcription start sites, spliced human expressed sequence tag (EST) sequences, known microRNA genes or predicted microRNA targets that overlap with the linkage disequilibrium block (Supplementary Fig. 5). However, we note that the linkage disequilibrium block contains several highly conserved genomic elements, including a 849-base pair (bp) element that ranks as the top 0.026% most-conserved elements in the entire human genome (log odds (LOD) score 5 3,480 by PhastCons<sup>27</sup>, Fig. 1b). Consistent with previous reports that large stable gene deserts typically contain regulatory elements for genes involved in development or transcription<sup>28</sup>, we hypothesized that these tagging SNPs were capturing the association of functional variants that regulate the expression and action of either *CDH10* or *CDH9*.

# Expression of CDH10 and CDH9 in brain

Because *CDH10* and *CDH9* are expressed at low levels in non-neural tissues (Supplementary Figs 6 and 7), we evaluated their messenger RNA distribution in human fetal brain by *in situ* hybridization. Multiple sagittally sectioned human fetal brains, each between 19 and 20 weeks gestation, were hybridized with riboprobes against *CDH10* or *CDH9*. Results for *CDH9*, showing uniformly low levels of expression at the time points evaluated, were largely uninformative. In contrast, a marked pattern of enrichment for *CDH10* was observed in the frontal cortex (Fig. 2a)—a region known to be important in ASDs. The expression pattern was similar to that for *CNTNAP2* (contactin-associated protein-like 2)<sup>29</sup>, a molecule now well-established to be involved in the ASDs1. These results are consistent with previous work showing high levels of *CDH10* in the human fetal brain<sup>30</sup> and a prominent enrichment of *Cdh10* mRNA in the anterior cortical plate of the developing mouse brain<sup>31</sup>.

To examine whether the SNP genotypes associate with gene expression for *CDH10* and *CDH9*, we next examined the SNPExpress database<sup>32</sup> that profiles gene expression in 93 human cortical brain tissues from genotyped subjects. However, none of the SNPs in Table 2 was associated with expression levels for either CDH9 (P = 0.92 for rs4307059) or *CDH10* (P = 0.86 for rs4307059) (Fig. 2b). Although the small sample size may not have sufficient power to detect subtle effect sizes, it is also possible that the causal variants regulate gene expression only in the developing brain, or that the causal variants target an unidentified functional element, similar to the variants reported in the intergenic region on 8q24, which have been implicated in various cancers<sup>33,34</sup>.

## Pathway analysis of cell-adhesion genes

Recent genetic studies have identified several neuronal cell-adhesion genes, including *NRXN1* (neurexin 1)<sup>35,36</sup>, *CNTNAP2* (refs 37<sup>-39</sup>) and *PCDH10* (protocadherin 10)<sup>40</sup>, as potentially disrupted in rare ASD cases. Cadherins represent a large group of transmembrane proteins that are involved in cell adhesion and the generation of synaptic complexity in the developing brain41. In light of the information described earlier, we note that several other cadherin genes were also tagged by the top 1,000 most significant SNPs of the combined discovery cohorts (Supplementary Table 4). In addition, SNPs surrounding several prominent ASD candidate loci<sup>1</sup>, including *CACNA1C* (L type voltage-gated calcium channel), *CNTNAP2*, *GRIK2* (glutamate receptor, ionotropic, kainate 2), *NRXN1* and *NLGN4X*, also

show suggestive evidence of association (Supplementary Table 5). These sources of evidence indicate a potential role for cell-adhesion molecules in the pathogenesis of ASDs.

To examine if cell-adhesion molecules, as a gene family, associate with ASDs, we applied two pathway-based association approaches on the genotype data (Supplementary Methods). First, we assign each SNP to the overlapping or the closest gene, summarize the significance of each gene using the Simes-adjusted P value<sup>42</sup> from its SNPs, and then test whether the distribution of P values differ between a group of genes and all other genes using a nonparametric rank sum test. Using the combined P values from the two discovery cohorts, we found that a group of 25 related cadherin genes show more significant association with ASDs than all other genes (P=0.02), whereas a stronger enrichment signal (P=0.004) was obtained when the 25 cadherin genes were combined with eight neurexin family genes (NRXN1 to NRXN3, CNTNAP1 to CNTNAP5). Second, we analysed the ACC cohort using a formal pathway-association method for case-control data sets<sup>43</sup>. This method examines whether statistics for a group of genes have modest yet consistent deviation from what is expected by chance, through shuffling case/ control labels many times, each time recalculating P values for all SNPs. We confirmed that the set of cadherin genes is associated with ASDs (permutation P = 0.02), whereas the combined cadherin/neurexin genes show more significant association (permutation P = 0.002). Therefore, our pathway analysis suggests that neuronal cell-adhesion molecules may be collectively associated with ASDs.

# Discussion

Besides recent genetic findings supporting the role of neuronal cell-adhesion molecules in the pathogenesis of autism, an increasing number of functional neuroimaging studies have suggested the presence of cortical underconnectivity in subjects with ASDs<sup>44,45</sup>. Furthermore, neuroanatomy studies have implicated abnormal brain development of the frontal lobes in autism<sup>46,47</sup>. The genetic findings, when coupled with anatomical and functional imaging studies, convergently indicate that ASDs may result from structural and functional disconnection of brain regions that are involved in higher-order associations<sup>48-50</sup>, suggesting that ASDs may represent a neuronal disconnection syndrome.

In the current study, we have completed a genetic analysis in a large number of ASD cases and families, with a combined sample set of more than 10,000 subjects of European ancestry. We have identified and replicated common genetic variants on 5p14.1 that are associated with susceptibility to ASDs. Besides the potential roles of the nearby CDH10 and CDH9 genes, pathway-based association analysis lend further support to neuronal cell-adhesion molecules in conferring susceptibility to ASDs, suggesting that specific genetic variants in this gene class may be involved in shaping the physical structure and functional connectivity of the brain, that leads to the clinical manifestations of ASDs. Apart from highlighting the genetic complexity of ASDs and the need for large sample sizes in unveiling their genetic causes, our study represents a successful application of the genome-wide association approach in identifying common susceptibility alleles, as part of a larger effort to interrogate the complex genetic architecture of ASDs. Because the genetic aetiologies of ASDs may be linked to the neurobiological components that build and modify connectivity of the brain, by comprehensively identifying the relevant genes, genomic variants and genetic pathways, more focused analysis on gene expression, as well as structural and functional imaging, can be performed on subjects carrying specific genetic defects. Together with studies addressing epigenetic modifications and comprehensive analysis of environmental risk factors, these pieces of information can be better integrated to improve our understanding of the molecular basis of ASDs, and foster the development of early preventive and corrective strategies.

#### METHODS SUMMARY

All genome-wide SNP genotyping for the discovery cohorts was performed using the Illumina HumanHap550 BeadChip at the Center for Applied Genomics at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia. For family-based cohorts, the association tests for markers in autosomes and pseudoautosomal region of sex chromosomes were performed by PDT, whereas tests for markers in the X chromosome were performed by X-APL. For case-control cohorts, the association tests were performed by PLINK (http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/~purcell/plink/). Pathway association analysis was performed by GenGen

(http://www.openbioinformatics.org/gengen/), using the genotype data. The whole-genome genotype imputation was performed by MACH

(http://www.sph.umich.edu/csg/abecasis/MaCH/) on the autosomal markers, on the basis of phased haplotypes (release 22) for the HapMap CEU population

(http://ftp.hapmap.org/phasing/2007-08\_rel22/). We removed all markers with MACH Rsq measure of less than 0.3, and zeroed out imputed genotypes with a posterior probability of less than 0.9. The case-control association tests for imputed genotypes were performed by SNPTEST (http://www.stats.ox.ac.uk/~marchini/software/gwas/snptest.html), which can handle genotype imputation uncertainty. CNV calls were generated by PennCNV (http://www.openbioinformatics.org/penncnv/) on genotyping signal intensity data. For CNV validation by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA), we used the Universal Probe Library system from Roche, and all reactions were performed in triplicate with an ABI Prism 7900HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems). For CNV validation by quantitative PCR (qPCR), TaqMan probes were custom-designed using Primer Express 3.0 (Applied Biosystems). For *in situ* hybridization, multiple sagittally sectioned human fetal brains were obtained from the Developmental Brain and Tissue Bank at the University of Maryland. Riboprobes against *CDH9* or *CDH10* were used for hybridization. The SNPExpress database and software

(http://people. genome.duke.edu/~dg48/SNPExpress/) were used to examine the genotype-expression relationships.

#### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Acknowledgments

We gratefully thank all the children with ASDs and their families at the participating study sites who were enrolled in this study and all the control subjects who donated blood samples to Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (CHOP) for genetic research purposes. We also acknowledge the resources provided by the AGRE Consortium (D. H. Geschwind, M. Bucan, W. T. Brown, J. D. Buxbaum, R. M. Cantor, J. N. Constantino, T. C. Gilliam, C. M. Lajonchere, D. H. Ledbetter, C. Lese-Martin, J. Miller, S. F. Nelson, G. D. Schellenberg, C. A. Samango-Sprouse, S. Spence, M. State, R. E. Tanzi) and the participating families. AGRE is a program of Autism Speaks and is at present supported, in part, by grant 1U24MH081810 from the National Institute of Mental Health to C. M. Lajonchere (PI), and formerly by grant MH64547 to D. H. Geschwind (PI). We thank the technical staff at the Center for Applied Genomics at CHOP for producing the genotypes used for analyses, and the nursing, medical assistant and medical staff for their help with recruitment of patient and control subjects for the study. We thank R. Liu and I. Lindquist for helping with CNV validation. We thank D. J. Hedges, H. N. Cukier, J. L. McCauley, G. W. Beecham, H. H. Wright, R. K. Abramson, E. R. Martin and J. P. Hussman for their comments, advice and statistical support, and the laboratory core and the autism clinical personnel at the Miami Institute for Human Genomics and the autism clinical staff at the Vanderbilt Center for Human Genetics Research. A subset of the CAP participants was ascertained while M.A.P.-V. was a faculty member at Duke University. We thank the National Institutes of Health (NIH)-funded Developmental Brain and Tissue Bank at University of Maryland for access to the fetal brain tissues used in these studies (National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Contract no. NO1-HD-4-3368 and NO1-HD-4-3383). All genotyping of the AGRE and ACC cohort was supported by an Institutional Development Award to the Center for Applied Genomics (H.H.) at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia. The study was supported in part by a Research Award from the Margaret Q. Landenberger Foundation (H.H.), a Research Development Award from the Cotswold Foundation (H.H. and S.F.A.G), UL1-RR024134-03 (H.H.), an Alavi-Dabiri fellowship from Mental Retardation and Developmental

Disability Research Center at CHOP (K.W.), the Beatrice and Stanley A. Seaver Foundation (J.D.B.), the Department of Veterans Affairs (G.D.S.), NIH grants HD055782-01 (J.Munson, A.E., O.K., G.D. and G.D.S.), MH0666730 (J.D.B.), MH061009 and NS049261 (J.S.S.), HD055751 (E.H.C.), MH69359, M01-RR00064 and the Utah Autism Foundation (H.C., J.Miller and W.M.M.), MH64547, MH081754 (D.H.G.), HD055784 (D.H.G. and M.S.), NS26630, NS36768, MH080647 and a gift from the Hussman Foundation (M.A.P.-V.), the Autism Genome Project Consortium (B.S.A., J.P., C.W.B., D.H.G., T.H.W., W.M.M., H.C., J.I.N., J.S.S., E.H.C., J.Munson, A.E., O.K., J.D.B., B.D. and G.D.S.) funded by Autism Speaks, the Medical Research Council (UK) and the Health Research Board (Ireland). We also acknowledge the partial support to CAP cohort from the Autism Genome Project.

## References

- Abrahams BS, Geschwind DH. Advances in autism genetics: on the threshold of a new neurobiology. Nature Rev. Genet 2008;9:341–355. [PubMed: 18414403]
- Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network. 2007. ( http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/pdf/ss/ss5601.pdf)
- 3. Bailey A, et al. Autism as a strongly genetic disorder: evidence from a British twin study. Psychol. Med 1995;25:63–77. [PubMed: 7792363]
- Lauritsen MB, Pedersen CB, Mortensen PB. Effects of familial risk factors and place of birth on the risk of autism: a nationwide register-based study. J. Child Psychol. Psychiatry 2005;46:963–971. [PubMed: 16108999]
- 5. Sykes NH, Lamb JA. Autism: the quest for the genes. Expert Rev. Mol. Med 2007;9:1–15. [PubMed: 17764594]
- Gupta AR, State MW. Recent advances in the genetics of autism. Biol. Psychiatry 2007;61:429–437. [PubMed: 16996486]
- 7. Freitag CM. The genetics of autistic disorders and its clinical relevance: a review of the literature. Mol. Psychiatry 2007;12:2–22. [PubMed: 17033636]
- Veenstra-VanderWeele J, Cook EH Jr. Molecular genetics of autism spectrum disorder. Mol. Psychiatry 2004;9:819–832. [PubMed: 15197396]
- 9. Vorstman JAS, et al. Identification of novel autism candidate regions through analysis of reported cytogenetic abnormalities associated with autism. Mol. Psychiatry 2006;11:18–28.
- 10. Sebat J, et al. Strong association of de novo copy number mutations with autism. Science 2007;316:445–449. [PubMed: 17363630]
- Weiss LA, et al. Association between microdeletion and microduplication at 16p11.2 and autism. N. Engl. J. Med 2008;358:667–675. [PubMed: 18184952]
- Kumar RA, et al. Recurrent 16p11.2 microdeletions in autism. Hum. Mol. Genet 2008;17:628–638. [PubMed: 18156158]
- Marshall CR, et al. Structural variation of chromosomes in autism spectrum disorder. Am. J. Hum. Genet 2008;82:477–488. [PubMed: 18252227]
- The Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium. Genome-wide association study of 14,000 cases of seven common diseases and 3,000 shared controls. Nature 2007;447:661–678. [PubMed: 17554300]
- Sklar P, et al. Whole-genome association study of bipolar disorder. Mol. Psychiatry 2008;13:558– 569. [PubMed: 18317468]
- Alkelai A, et al. The XVth world congress of psychiatric genetics, October 7–11, 2007: rapporteur summaries of oral presentations. Am. J. Med. Genet. B. Neuropsychiatr. Genet 2008;174B:233–277. [PubMed: 18297693]
- 17. O'Donovan MC, et al. Identification of loci associated with schizophrenia by genome-wide association and follow-up. Nature Genet 2008;40:1053–1055. [PubMed: 18677311]
- 18. Ferreira MA, et al. Collaborative genome-wide association analysis supports a role for *ANK3* and *CACNA1C* in bipolar disorder. Nature Genet 2008;40:1056–1058. [PubMed: 18711365]
- 19. Geschwind DH, et al. The autism genetic resource exchange: a resource for the study of autism and related neuropsychiatric conditions. Am. J. Hum. Genet 2001;69:463–466. [PubMed: 11452364]
- Lord C, Rutter M, Le Couteur A. Autism diagnostic interview-revised: a revised version of a diagnostic interview for caregivers of individuals with possible pervasive developmental disorders. J. Autism Dev. Disord 1994;24:659–685. [PubMed: 7814313]

Nature. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 September 21.

- Lord C, et al. The autism diagnostic observation schedule-generic: a standard measure of social and communication deficits associated with the spectrum of autism. J. Autism Dev. Disord 2000;30:205– 223. [PubMed: 11055457]
- 22. Martin ER, Monks SA, Warren LL, Kaplan NL. A test for linkage and association in general pedigrees: the pedigree disequilibrium test. Am. J. Hum. Genet 2000;67:146–154. [PubMed: 10825280]
- Chung RH, Morris RW, Zhang L, Li YJ, Martin ER. X-APL: an improved family-based test of association in the presence of linkage for the X chromosome. Am. J. Hum. Genet 2007;80:59–68. [PubMed: 17160894]
- 24. de Bakker PI, et al. Practical aspects of imputation-driven meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies. Hum. Mol. Genet 2008;17:R122–R128. [PubMed: 18852200]
- Wang K, et al. PennCNV: an integrated hidden Markov model designed for high-resolution copy number variation detection in whole-genome SNP genotyping data. Genome Res 2007;17:1665– 1674. [PubMed: 17921354]
- Kuhn RM, et al. The UCSC genome browser database: update 2009. Nucleic Acids Res 2009;37:D755–D761. [PubMed: 18996895]
- 27. Siepel A, et al. Evolutionarily conserved elements in vertebrate, insect, worm, and yeast genomes. Genome Res 2005;15:1034–1050. [PubMed: 16024819]
- Ovcharenko I, et al. Evolution and functional classification of vertebrate gene deserts. Genome Res 2005;15:137–145. [PubMed: 15590943]
- Abrahams BS, et al. Genome-wide analyses of human perisylvian cerebral cortical patterning. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 2007;104:17849–17854. [PubMed: 17978184]
- Kools P, Vanhalst K, Van den Eynde E, van Roy F. The human cadherin-10 gene: complete coding sequence, predominant expression in the brain, and mapping on chromosome 5p13–14. FEBS Lett 1999;452:328–334. [PubMed: 10386616]
- Visel A, Thaller C, Eichele G. GenePaint.org: an atlas of gene expression patterns in the mouse embryo. Nucleic Acids Res 2004;32:D552–D556. [PubMed: 14681479]
- 32. Heinzen EL, et al. Tissue-specific genetic control of splicing: implications for the study of complex traits. PLoS Biol 2008;6:e1000001.
- 33. Witte JS. Multiple prostate cancer risk variants on 8q24. Nature Genet 2007;39:579–580. [PubMed: 17460686]
- 34. Ghoussaini M, et al. Multiple loci with different cancer specificities within the 8q24 gene desert. J. Natl. Cancer Inst 2008;100:962–966. [PubMed: 18577746]
- 35. Kim HG, et al. Disruption of neurexin 1 associated with autism spectrum disorder. Am. J. Hum. Genet 2008;82:199–207. [PubMed: 18179900]
- 36. Szatmari P, et al. Mapping autism risk loci using genetic linkage and chromosomal rearrangements. Nature Genet 2007;39:319–328. [PubMed: 17322880]
- 37. Arking DE, et al. A common genetic variant in the neurexin superfamily member *CNTNAP2* increases familial risk of autism. Am. J. Hum. Genet 2008;82:160–164. [PubMed: 18179894]
- Alarcon M, et al. Linkage, association, and gene-expression analyses identify *CNTNAP2* as an autismsusceptibility gene. Am. J. Hum. Genet 2008;82:150–159. [PubMed: 18179893]
- Bakkaloglu B, et al. Molecular cytogenetic analysis and resequencing of contactin associated proteinlike 2 in autism spectrum disorders. Am. J. Hum. Genet 2008;82:165–173. [PubMed: 18179895]
- 40. Morrow EM, et al. Identifying autism loci and genes by tracing recent shared ancestry. Science 2008;321:218–223. [PubMed: 18621663]
- 41. Redies C. Cadherins in the central nervous system. Prog. Neurobiol 2000;61:611–648. [PubMed: 10775799]
- 42. Simes RJ. An improved Bonferroni procedure for multiple tests of significance. Biometrika 1986;73:751–754.
- Wang K, Li M, Bucan M. Pathway-based approaches for analysis of genomewide association studies. Am. J. Hum. Genet 2007;81:1278–1283.
- 44. Just MA, Cherkassky VL, Keller TA, Minshew NJ. Cortical activation and synchronization during sentence comprehension in high-functioning autism: evidence of underconnectivity. Brain 2004;127:1811–1821. [PubMed: 15215213]

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

- 45. Koshino H, et al. fMRI investigation of working memory for faces in autism: visual coding and underconnectivity with frontal areas. Cereb. Cortex 2008;18:289–300. [PubMed: 17517680]
- Amaral DG, Schumann CM, Nordahl CW. Neuroanatomy of autism. Trends Neurosci 2008;31:137– 145. [PubMed: 18258309]
- Courchesne E, Pierce K. Why the frontal cortex in autism might be talking only to itself: local overconnectivity but long-distance disconnection. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol 2005;15:225–230. [PubMed: 15831407]
- 48. Hughes JR. Autism: the first firm finding = underconnectivity? Epilepsy Behav 2007;11:20–24. [PubMed: 17531541]
- 49. Frith C. Is autism a disconnection disorder? Lancet Neurol 2004;3:577. [PubMed: 15380151]
- Geschwind DH, Levitt P. Autism spectrum disorders: developmental disconnection syndromes. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol 2007;17:103–111. [PubMed: 17275283]
- 51. Ma DQ, et al. A genome-wide association study of autism reveals a common novel risk locus at 5p14.1. Ann. Hum. Genet. doi:10.1111/j.1469-1809.2008.00523.x (in the press).



#### Figure 1. Genome-wide association results for the 5p14.1 region

**a**, A Manhattan plot showing the  $-\log_{10}(P \text{ values})$  of SNPs from the combined association analysis of the AGRE and ACC cohorts. **b**, The 5p14.1 genomic region is displayed in UCSC Genome Browser, with conserved genomic elements in the PhastCons track. **c**, Both genotyped (diamonds) and imputed (grey circles) SNPs are plotted with their combined *P* values in all four cohorts. Genotyped SNPs were coloured on the basis of their correlation with rs4307059 (red:  $r^2 \ge 0.5$ ; yellow:  $0.2 \le r^2 < 0.5$ ; white:  $r^2 < 0.2$ ). Estimated recombination rates from HapMap data are plotted to reflect the local linkage disequilibrium structure. Wang et al.



#### Figure 2. Examination of brain expression for CDH10 and CDH9

**a**, The *in situ* hybridization of *CDH10* in human fetal brain sectioned in the sagittal plane. Medial and lateral sections from a representative sample are shown above corresponding cresyl-violet-stained marker slides. Orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) and frontal cortex (FC) are highlighted, with marked expression enrichment. **b**, The SNP genotypes of rs4307059 are not associated with *CDH9* or *CDH10* transcript levels in 93 cortical brain tissues. Wang et al.

Description of the four data sets used in the study

Data set	Purpose	Study design	Measurements		Subj	ects		Analy	sis
				Cases	Controls	Families	Total	Genotyped markers	Imputed markers
AGRE	Discovery	Family-based	550K Illumina	1,299		780	3,101	PDT, X-APL	
ACC	Discovery	Case-control	550K Illumina	1,204	6,491		7,695	PLINK	SNPTEST
$\operatorname{CAP}^*$	Replication	Family-based	1M Illumina	504		447	1,390	PDT	
CART	Replication	Case-control	300K Illumina	108	540		648	PLINK	SNPTEST
* The CAP d	lata set has been	ı fully described i	n ref. 51.						

The CAP data set has been fully described in ref.

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

The most significantly associated SNPs ( $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  in the discovery phase) between *CDH10* and *CDH9* on 5p14.1

SNP	Position*	Minor/				Disco	very cohorts				Replicati	ion cohorts	P value
		major allele <sup>*</sup>	MAF <sup>†</sup> (AGRE)	P value (AGRE)	Z score <sup>‡</sup> (AGRE)	Case MAF (ACC)	Control MAF (ACC)	P value (ACC)	Odds ratio <sup>§</sup> (ACC)	P value (discovery cohorts)	P value (CAP)	P value (CART)	(combined)
rs4307059	26003460	C/T	0.38	$1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	4.40	0.35	0.39	$2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	1.19	$3.4{\times}10^{-8}$	$1.2 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.6 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.1{ imes}10^{-10}$
rs7704909	25934678	C/T	0.39	$1.6 \times 10^{-5}$	4.31	0.36	0.40	$6.2 \times 10^{-4}$	1.17	$1.4 \times 10^{-7}$	$9.1 \times 10^{-3}$	$4.0 \times 10^{-2}$	$9.9{ imes}10^{-10}$
rs12518194	25987318	G/A	0.39	$1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	4.36	0.36	0.39	$1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	1.16	$2.0{ imes}10^{-7}$	$9.3{ imes}10^{-3}$	$1.8 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.1 \times 10^{-9}$
rs4327572	26008578	T/C	0.39	$2.2 \times 10^{-5}$	4.24	0.36	0.39	$2.0 \times 10^{-3}$	1.15	$6.2 \times 10^{-7}$	$7.3 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.5 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.7 \times 10^{-9}$
rs1896731	25934777	C/T	0.34	$1.7{\times}10^{-3}$	-3.14	0.38	0.34	$1.7 \times 10^{-3}$	0.87	$1.7 \times 10^{-5}$	$7.7 \times 10^{-5}$	$9.9 \times 10^{-1}$	$4.8 \times 10^{-8}$
rs10038113	25938099	C/T	0.40	$1.4 \times 10^{-3}$	-3.19	0.43	0.39	$2.4 \times 10^{-3}$	0.87	$2.1 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.8 \times 10^{-5}$	$4.5 \times 10^{-1}$	$7.4 \times 10^{-8}$
* The chromosoi	ne coordinate	ss and alle	e designatior	1 are on the t	asis of the f	orward strand c	of the NCBI 36 ge	nome assem	bly.				
$^{\dagger}$ The minor alle	le frequencies	s (MAF) ar	e calculated	on the basis	of AGRE pa	irents of Europe	ean ancestry.						

 $\sharp$  Positive Z score indicates overtransmission of the major allele.

Nature. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 September 21.

 $^{g}$ The odds ratio is calculated with respect to the major allele.

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

Wang et al.

Genotyped and imputed markers (other than those on 5p14.1) with suggestive association signals ( $P < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ ) in the two discovery cohorts

Chr	SNP	Position	Locus	Gene	Type	MACH Rsq <sup>*</sup>	Minor / major allele	MAF (AGRE)	Z score <sup>†</sup> (AGRE)	Case MAF (ACC)	Control MAF (ACC)	Odds ratio <sup>†</sup> (ACC)	P value (combined)
ю	rs3755827	62335411	3p14.2	Near FEZF2	Genotyped		C/T	0.13	3.37	0.14	0.11	1.27	$3.5 \times 10^{-6}$
9	rs9395885	53853436	6p12.1	LRRCI	Imputed	0.99	T/C	0.08	2.27	0.10	0.07	1.43	$5.8 \times 10^{-6}$
9	rs9349688	53870051	6p12.1	LRRCI	Genotyped		G/A	0.09	2.10	0.10	0.07	1.43	$8.1{ imes}10^{-6}$
9	rs9384952	116066757	6q22.1	Between HS3ST5 and FRK	Genotyped		C/T	0.41	2.33	0.42	0.37	1.20	$9.4 \times 10^{-6}$
10	rs9651325	68649859	10q21.3	CTNNA3	Imputed	0.97	A/G	0.11	-3.24	0.09	0.12	0.80	$9.2 \times 10^{-6}$
10	rs807023	102760072	10q24.31	Near LZTS2	Genotyped		A/C	0.14	1.12	0.15	0.10	1.48	$7.8 \times 10^{-6}$
12	rs10774538	118888180	12q24.23	Near CCDC64	Genotyped		T/C	0.13	-2.51	0.12	0.15	0.77	$6.2 \times 10^{-6}$
13	rs9521337	108823637	13q33.3	Near MY016	Imputed	0.98	J/G	0.13	2.41	0.14	0.11	1.34	$6.8 \times 10^{-6}$
13	rs4771632	108846743	13q33.3	Near MY016	Imputed	0.99	A/G	0.13	2.32	0.14	0.11	1.32	$9.5 \times 10^{-6}$
13	rs7996916	108855628	13q33.3	Near MY016	Imputed	0.99	A/C	0.12	3.07	0.15	0.12	1.27	$5.5 \times 10^{-6}$
13	rs1328250	108856632	13q33.3	Near MY016	Imputed	1.00	T/C	0.12	3.07	0.14	0.12	1.27	$5.9 \times 10^{-6}$
13	rs4771633	108858788	13q33.3	Near MY016	Imputed	1.00	G/A	0.12	2.98	0.14	0.12	1.26	$9.2 \times 10^{-6}$
13	rs9521354	108865125	13q33.3	Near MY016	Genotyped		C/A	0.13	3.38	0.14	0.12	1.25	$5.8 \times 10^{-6}$
13	rs9521355	108865183	13q33.3	Near MY016	Genotyped		T/C	0.13	3.20	0.14	0.12	1.25	$7.1 \times 10^{-6}$
13	rs1328244	108881899	13q33.3	Near MY016	Genotyped		C/T	0.08	3.92	0.10	0.08	1.31	$8.2 \times 10^{-7}$
14	rs12897470	39895590	14q21.1	Between FBX033 and LRFN5	Imputed	66.0	A/G	0.50	3.39	0.51	0.47	1.17	2.6×10 <sup>-6</sup>
14	rs7147817	39901754	14q21.1	Between FBX033 and LRFN5	Genotyped		G/A	0.54	3.38	0.54	0.50	1.20	$2.7 \times 10^{-7}$
14	rs17783432	76141161	14q24.3	Between ESRRB and VASH1	Genotyped		J/G	0.15	1.97	0.15	0.11	1.36	$9.4 \times 10^{-6}$
16	rs7206043	8412954	16p13.2	Between A2BP1 and C16orf68	Imputed	96.0	G/A	0.41	-3.03	0.39	0.43	0.84	$4.8 \times 10^{-6}$
16	rs9932538	19116070	16p12.3	SYT17	Genotyped		G/A	0.16	1.97	0.17	0.12	1.47	$1.9 \times 10^{-7}$
20	rs6131030	44241393	20q13.12	CDH22	Genotyped		A/G	0.42	3.24	0.41	0.37	1.17	$6.5 \times 10^{-6}$
×	rs11798405	4940801	Xp22.32	Between <i>PRKX</i> and <i>NLGN4X</i>	Genotyped		G/A	60.0	2.71	0.10	0.06	1.66	$9.0 \times 10^{-7}$
Х	rs5972577	32390211	Xp21.1	DMD	Genotyped		A/G	0.33	3.90	0.35	0.30	1.26	$2.7 \times 10^{-7}$

Wang et al.

\* MACH Rsq estimates the squared correlation between imputed and true genotypes, and a value less than 0.3 flags poorly imputed SNPs.

 $^\dagger$ The Z score and odds ratio are calculated with respect to the major allele.