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#### Abstract

Six new polyisoprenyl benzophenonoids, ( $\pm$ )-garcinialiptone A (1, 2), garcinialiptone B (3), (-)cycloxanthochymol (4), garcinialiptone $\mathrm{C}(\mathbf{5})$, and garcinialiptone $\mathrm{D}(\mathbf{6})$, along with three known compounds, xanthochymol (7), isoxanthochymol (8), and cycloxanthochymol (9), were isolated from the fruits of Garcinia subelliptica. The structures of 1-6 were elucidated by spectroscopic analysis. Biological evaluation showed that all compounds $\mathbf{1 - 9}$ exhibited cytotoxic activity against a small panel of human tumor cell lines (A549, DU145, KB, vincristine-resistant KB).


#### Abstract

Garcinia subelliptica Merr. (Guttiferae) is a tree that serves as a dye source in tropical and subtropical Asia. Several xanthones and phloroglucinol derivatives have been isolated from this plant, ${ }^{1-1} 14$ and found to exhibit various biological activities, including inhibitory activity against DNA topoisomerases I and II, 13 and antioxidant, 2 anti-inflammatory, 9,10 and cytotoxic ${ }^{12}$ effects. Polyisoprenylated benzophenones isolated from the Guttiferae family feature a bicyclo[3.3.1]-nonane-2,4,9-trione skeleton substituted with a benzoyl group and prenyl or geranyl groups. These polyprenylated benzoylphloroglucinol derivatives are classified into three types according to the relative position of the benzoyl group. ${ }^{16}$ Type A, with the benzoyl group linked at $\mathrm{C}-1$, is exemplified by nemorosone 16 and garcinielliptone FB; 12 type B, with the benzoyl group at C-3, is represented by xanthochymol, the main component of $G$. subelliptica; and type C , with the benzoyl group at $\mathrm{C}-5$, is typified by garcinielliptone K. ${ }^{11}$ Many polycyclic polyprenylated acylphloroglucinol derivatives, also isolated from plants of the family Guttiferae, undergo secondary cyclizations involving the $\beta$-diketone and olefinic groups to afford admantanes, homoadamantanes, dihydrofuro-fused structures, and related structures. ${ }^{17}$ The occurrence of cyclized secondary metabolites is also documented for polyprenylated benzoylphloroglucinol derivatives.


In a continuing search for novel plant-derived antitumor agents, it was found that an acetone extract of the fruits of G. subelliptica showed moderate cytotoxicity against Hela and WiDr cells. Bioassay-directed fractionation of G. subelliptica resulted in the isolation of six new cytotoxic polyisoprenyl benzophenonoids, $( \pm)$-garcinialiptone A ( $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}$, type B),

[^0]garcinialiptone B (3, type C), (-)-cycloxanthochymol (4, type B), garcinialiptone C (5, type A), and garcinialiptone $\mathrm{D}(\mathbf{6}$, type A), along with three known compounds xanthochymol (7, type B), isoxanthochymol (8, type B), and cycloxanthochymol (9, type B).

## Results and Discussion

Extraction of the fruits of G. subelliptica with acetone, followed by chromatography, led to the isolation of nine compounds, including the known compounds 7-9, as well as six new polyprenylated benzoylphloroglucinol compounds (1-6).

Compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 [the $(+)$ and $(-)$ isomers of garcinialiptone A], obtained as yellow amorphous solids, had almost identical HRESIMS, UV, IR, and NMR spectra. Compound 1 was assigned the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (corresponding to 15 degrees of unsaturation) on the basis of positive-ion HRESIMS of the peak at $m / z 623.3377[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. Signals for hydroxy ( $3403 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), carbonyl ( $1742,1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and aromatic ( 1599,1549 , $1440 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) groups were found in the IR spectrum of 1 , and its UV spectrum showed peak maxima at 314,280 , and 232 nm . The molecular formula, together with NMR evidence (Table 1 and Table 2), indicated that $\mathbf{1}$ has a tri-oxygenated benzophenone-derived skeleton. Based on ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals at $\delta 203.9,202.4$ and $202.0 \mathrm{ppm},{ }^{18}$ the tri-oxygenated ring is non-aromatic and has three nonconjugated ketones. In addition to a pendant di-oxygenated benzoyl group, the NMR data supported the presence of five additional five-carbon units: a 2-isopropenyl-5-methylhex-5-enyl group made up of two 3-methyl-3-butenyl units with one (C-22 to C-26) linked to the C-2 (C-18) position of the other ( $\mathrm{C}-17$ to $\mathrm{C}-21$ ), two individual 3-methyl-2-butenyl groups (C-27 to C-31 and C-34 to C-38), and gem-dimethyl groups (C-32 and C-33) attached to a quaternary center (C-8), in turn attached to a methine (C-7) and methylene (C-6). The foregoing data account for 12 of the 15 required degrees of unsaturation. The absence of additional signals for $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbons suggested that $\mathbf{1}$ contains a tricyclic moiety. Its structure and the location of the attached groups were fully determined from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY, HSQC and HMBC data (Figure 1).

In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY spectrum, cross peaks were found between $\mathrm{H}-34 / \mathrm{H}-35$ and $\mathrm{H}-7$, and between $\mathrm{H}-6 / \mathrm{H}-7$. Moreover, in the HMBC spectrum, long-range correlations were observed between $\mathrm{H}-34 / \mathrm{C}-3\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 79.7\right), \mathrm{C}-4\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 202.0\right)$, $\mathrm{C}-6\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 44.4\right)$, and $\mathrm{C}-7\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 47.6\right)$, and between H-7/C-1 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 77.1$ ), C-3, and C-5 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 68.6$ ). These facts indicated that the methine carbon (C-34) was connected to three carbons (C-35, C-7, and C-3). Furthermore, long-range correlations between $\mathrm{H}_{2}-27\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.68\right.$, dd, $J=13.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and 2.53 , dd, $J=13.5,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ )/ $\mathrm{C}-1, \mathrm{C}-2$, and $\mathrm{C}-9$ showed that one 3-methyl-2-butenyl unit was located at $\mathrm{C}-1$, while correlations between $\mathrm{H}_{2}-17\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.17\right.$, dd, $J=14.0,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $\left.1.95, \mathrm{~m}\right) / \mathrm{C}-9\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 203.9\right)$, C-4, $\mathrm{C}-5$, and $\mathrm{C}-6$ permitted the assignment of the 2 -isopropenyl-hex-5-enyl group at C - 5 . The linkages from $\mathrm{C}-1$ to $\mathrm{C}-8$ to $\mathrm{C}-7$ were determined based on ${ }^{3} J \mathrm{HMBC}$ correlations between the gem-dimethyl groups ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.11$ and 1.12 , each $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ) at $\mathrm{C}-8$ with $\mathrm{C}-1$ and $\mathrm{C}-7$. Also, based on ${ }^{2} J$ and ${ }^{3} J$ HMBC correlations (Figure 1), the 3,4-dihydroxybenzoyl group was attached at C-3 of the main skeleton. Thus, the main tricyclic core skeleton of $\mathbf{1}$ and locations of the pendant residues were established as shown.

Regarding the relative configuration at $\mathrm{H}-34$, this proton showed $W$-coupling in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum to $\mathrm{H}-6$, a strong HMBC cross peak with $\mathrm{C}-4$, and a weak peak with C-2, together with a NOE interaction with the C-33 methyl protons, indicating an antiarrangement of $\mathrm{C}-4$ and $\mathrm{H}-34$. Thus, the stereochemistry of $\mathrm{H}-34$ was determined, and was also consistent with a literature report for plukenetione A. ${ }^{18}$

Compound $\mathbf{1}$ and hyperibone $\mathrm{K}^{19}$ gave similar ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra, except for signals for 2-isopropenyl-hex-5-enyl and 3,4-dihydroxybenzoyl groups in the former rather than a
prenyl moiety and unsubstituted benzoyl group in the latter. The specific optical rotation $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+12.1(c 3.40, \mathrm{MeOH})$ of $\mathbf{1}$ was similar to those of hyperibone $\mathrm{K}^{19}[+22.3(c 0.3$, $\left.\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right]$ and plukenetione $\mathrm{A}^{18}\left[+1\left(c 0.77, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right]$. As a result of this supporting information, the structure of $\mathbf{1}$ was deduced completely, and $\mathbf{1}$ is an analog of hyperibone K and plukenetione A .

Although $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ have very similar UV, IR, and NMR data, as well as the same molecular ion in HRESIMS, they were found to have opposite optical rotations. The specific rotation of $\mathbf{2}$ was -17.3 ( c 3.36, MeOH). These findings verified that $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are enantiomers. Thus, $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ have been named $(+)$-garcinialiptone A and $(-)$-garcinialiptone A, respectively.

Garcinialiptone B (3) was isolated as an optically active yellow amorphous solid, $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{D}$ +84.8 ( $c 5.40, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ). The molecular formula was established as $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ from the HRESIMS $\left(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 623.3386[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. The IR spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$ displayed bands for hydroxy ( $3300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), carbonyl ( $1726,1670,1640 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and aromatic ( $1594,1519,1439 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) groups. Although 1-3 have the same molecular formula and, thus, degrees of unsaturation, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of $\mathbf{3}$ (Table 1 and Table 2) indicated a structural variation from the caged structures of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$ (Table 2) showed resonances for six aromatic carbons, a conjugated carbonyl group at $\delta_{C} 192.0$, and a bicyclic [3.3.1] nonane-2,4,9-trione moiety ${ }^{16}$ with three quaternary carbons ( $\delta_{C} 69.6,48.3$, and 46.6 ), one methane ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 46.7$ ), one methylene (38.1), a non-conjugated ketone ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 208.9$ ), and an enolized 1,3-diketone ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 194.5,124.3$, and 170.3). [If the enol and ketone interconverted, two carbon signals would be at ca. $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 194$ with one quaternary carbon at ca. $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 119$; however, if an enol ether is present, one carbon signal is shifted upfield to ca. $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 172$ ]. These data together with the proton NMR data (Table 1) suggested $\mathbf{3}$ contains a bicyclic [3.3.1] nonane-2,4,9-trione moiety attached to four prenyl units and a 3,4-disubstituted benzoic acid moiety. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY correlations of $\mathrm{H}-29 / \mathrm{H}-30, \mathrm{H}-29 / \mathrm{H}-35$, and $\mathrm{H}-30 / \mathrm{H}-31$ (Figure 1), along with HMBC correlations of $\mathrm{H}-29 / \mathrm{C}-4, \mathrm{C}-35, \mathrm{C}-36$, and $\mathrm{H}-30 / \mathrm{C}-5, \mathrm{C}-32, \mathrm{C}-34$, were used to establish a 1-isobutenyl-2-propenyl-tetrahydropyran ring moiety fused at C-4 and C-5 of the nonane system. Based on the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra, $\mathbf{3}$ has a similar structure to that of isoxanthochymol (8), ${ }^{20}$ except for the substitution pattern on the tetrahydropyran ring.

The relative configurations at C-1, C-5, C-7, C-29, and C-30 in $\mathbf{3}$ were determined on the basis of the NOESY spectrum (Figure 2b) and coupling constant analysis, while assignment of the methylene protons at C-6, C-17, C-24 and C-31 as proR or proS relied on NOE data and the dihedral angular dependence of three bond C-H correlations. ${ }^{21}$ Like the relative stereochemistry of isoxanthochymol, ${ }^{20}$ the correlations of $\mathrm{H}_{3}-22 / \mathrm{H}-17$ proR, $\mathrm{H}_{3}-22$ / $\mathrm{H}-24 \mathrm{pro} R, \mathrm{H}_{3}-23 / \mathrm{H}-17 \mathrm{proS}, \mathrm{H}_{3}-23 / \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{proS}, \mathrm{H}_{3}-23 / \mathrm{H}-7$, and $\mathrm{H}-7 / \mathrm{H}-30, \mathrm{H}-30 / \mathrm{H}-31 \mathrm{pro}$, indicated that $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-17, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-22, \mathrm{H}-7, \mathrm{H}-30$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-31$ all have an $\alpha$-orientation. NOESY correlations between $\mathrm{H}-29$ and $\mathrm{H}-33$, suggested that $\mathrm{C}-35$ and $\mathrm{C}-32$ are anti to each other. The coupling patterns of $\mathrm{H}-29\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.59\right.$, t-like, $J_{29,35}=9.0, \mathrm{~Hz} J_{29,30}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, in pyridine$\left.\mathrm{d}_{5}\right)$, H-30 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.71$, t-like, $J_{29,30}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{30,31 \mathrm{~S}}=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, in pyridine- $\mathrm{d}_{5}$ ), and H-31proS $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.59, \mathrm{t}, J_{31 \mathrm{pro} S, 31 \mathrm{proR}}=J_{31 \mathrm{pro}, 30}=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, in pyridine- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{5}\right)$ further confirmed axial orientations for $\mathrm{H}-29, \mathrm{H}-30$, and $\mathrm{H}-31$ proS and equatorial orientations for $\mathrm{C}-35$ and $\mathrm{C}-31$. Therefore, the structure of garcinialiptone B (3) was determined as shown.
(-)-Cycloxanthochymol (4) was obtained as a yellow solid. The HRESIMS indicated a molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{6}\left(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 625.3545[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Compound 4 and cycloxanthochymol ( $\mathbf{9}$, isolated from the same plant source in this present investigation) showed a striking resemblance in their spectroscopic data, indicating a close similarity between the two molecules. However, like compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and 2, one main difference between 4 and 9 was their opposite optical rotations. The specific optical rotation $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{D}$ of
cycloxanthochymol (9) is $+104.0(c 3.00$, MeOH$)$, whereas that of $\mathbf{4}$ is $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}^{-80.9(c 2.20, ~}$ $\mathrm{MeOH})$. Thus, $\mathbf{4}$ was concluded to be the enantiomer of cycloxanthochymol, and has been named ( - )-cycloxanthochymol.

The molecular formula of $\mathbf{5}, \mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (corresponding to 14 units of unsaturation), was established by HRESIMS $\left(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 641.3494[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Analysis of the spectroscopic data showed 5 to be a polyprenylated benzoylphloroglucinol derivative. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Table 1, Table 2) spectra showed the presence of a bicyclic [3.3.1] nonane-2,4,9-trione basic skeleton and a 3,4-dihydroxybenzoyl group. The other pendant residues were assigned as gem-dimethyl groups (C-17 and C-18), a 2-isopropenyl-5-methylhex-5-enyl group (C-19 to $\mathrm{C}-28$ ), a prenyl group ( $\mathrm{C}-29$ to $\mathrm{C}-32$ ), and a (2-hydroxy-isopropyl)-dihydrofuran ring moiety (C-34 to C-38) based on 1D and 2D NMR results. Inspection of the HMBC spectrum of 5 showed long-range correlations between $\mathrm{H}_{2}-34 / \mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-3$, and between $\mathrm{H}_{2}-29 / \mathrm{C}-4$, C-5, C-6 and C-9, and thus the (2-hydroxy-isopropyl)-dihydrofuran ring was established at $\mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-3$, with the prenyl group connected at $\mathrm{C}-5 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY correlations of $\mathrm{H}-20$ / $\mathrm{H}-19, \mathrm{H}-19 / \mathrm{H}-7$, and $\mathrm{H}-7 / \mathrm{H}-6$ were observed, indicating that the 2-isopropenyl-hex-5-enyl group was located at C-7. Therefore, the 3,4-dihydroxybenzoyl group must be located at $\mathbf{C}-1$. In order to determine the relative stereochemistry of $\mathbf{5}$, a NOESY experiment was performed. Cross peaks of $\mathrm{H}_{3}-17 / \mathrm{H}-19\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.11\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}-29\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.15\right)$, $\mathrm{H}_{3}-18 / \mathrm{H}-7\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.67\right)$, and $\mathrm{H}-6\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.40\right) / \mathrm{H}-19\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.11\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}-29$ were observed, indicating that the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-17$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-19$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-29$ are all located on the $\alpha$-face. For benzophenone types A and C , Grossman et al. ${ }^{17}$ have clarified the saturated ring conformation (chair or boat) and the C-7 prenyl group orientation (exo or endo), based on NMR chemical shift analysis for the ring $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-6\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$, geminal methyls $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$, and $\mathrm{C}-7\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$. With a chair conformation and an exo $\mathrm{C}-7$ prenyl, the following values are usually found: $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{ca} .0 .5 \mathrm{ppm}$ (ring $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-6$ ), $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ ca. 7.7 ppm (geminal methyls), and the $\mathrm{C}-7$ chemical shift at ca 43 ppm . In contrast, with a boat conformation and endo C-7 prenyl, the values would be $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ ca. 0.2 ppm (ring $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-6$ ), $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ ca. 4.0 ppm (geminal methyls), and the C-7 chemical shift at ca 48 ppm . For compound 5, the diastereotopic ring $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-6$ proton signals resonated 0.48 ppm apart, the diastereotopic Me groups ( $\mathrm{Me}-17$ and $\mathrm{Me}-18$ ) resonated 8.6 ppm apart, and the $\mathrm{C}-7$ chemical shift was found at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 44.2 \mathrm{ppm}$. Thus, the structure of 5 was deduced to have a chair conformation in the more saturated ring (C-5, C-6, C-7, C-8, C-1 and C-9). Also, like nemorosone II, ${ }^{17}$ the 2-isopropenyl-hex-5-enyl group was linked exo at C-7, and the benzophenone and prenyl units were located equatorially at $\mathrm{C}-1$ and $\mathrm{C}-5$, respectively. The structure of $\mathbf{5}$ is closely similar to that of garcinielliptone FB, ${ }^{12}$ except for changes at the C-2 and C-4 positions. Thus, the complete structure of $\mathbf{5}$ was determined as shown, and this compound has been named garcinialiptone C .

The molecular formula for $\mathbf{6}, \mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{6}$, was deduced by HRESIMS ( $625.3545[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra and molecular formula suggested it to also be a polyprenylated benzoylphloroglucinol derivative, and to possess the same pendant residues as 5 at the C-1, C-5, and C-7 positions. Based on the HMBC spectrum, the last substituted prenyl group was located at C-3, and the planar structure of $\mathbf{6}$ was established. However, it still remained to determine the relative positions of the enol and ketone between C-2 and C-4. Intensive study of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR literature data for type A benzophenone compounds showed that these positions can be decided by the $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ value between $\mathrm{C}-1$ and $\mathrm{C}-5$. As shown in Table 3, C-1 and C-5 would resonate 21 ppm apart if the enolized 1,3-diketone is present as a C-2 ketone and C-4 enol, and in contrast, C-1 and C-5 would differ by only $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ 7 ppm with a C-2 enol and C-4 ketone. Consequently, the enolized 1,3-diketone in 6 is present as a 2 -ketone and 4 -enol, because the actual $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ value of 15.3 ppm is closer to $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ 21 ppm . The relative configuration of $\mathbf{6}$ was confirmed as described for $\mathbf{5}$; accordingly, the structure of $\mathbf{6}$ was assigned unambiguously as shown.

Moreover, the three known compounds, xanthochymol (7), ${ }^{27}$ isoxanthochymol (8), ${ }^{20}$ and cycloxanthochymol (9), ${ }^{27}$ also isolated were confirmed by comparing their NMR and MS data with analytical data reported in the literature.

All isolates (1-9) and garsubellin $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{1 0}$, isolated by Lin and coworkers from the seeds of $G$. subelliptica $)^{9}$ were evaluated for anticancer activity against human tumor cell lines, including non-small lung carcinoma (A549), prostate carcinoma (DU145), and nasopharyngeal carcinoma (KB). Also used were vincristine-resistant KB (KBvin) cells, which exhibit the multi-drug resistant (MDR) phenotype associated with P-glycoprotein overexpression. The potent antitumor drug paclitaxel was used as a positive control. Cytotoxicity data (Table 4) showed that all the polyisoprenyl benzophenonoid compounds (1-9) had significant but borderline activity ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ range); whereas, the polyprenylated acylphloroglucinol derivative, garsubellin $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{1 0})$, was inactive ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) $\mathrm{mL})$. Although the new compounds were about 2000 -fold less active than paclitaxel, in contrast to the positive control, all were equally active against the MDR sub-line (KBvin) suggesting they can by-pass a clinically important P-glycoprotein mechanism of cancer drug resistance. The results were also consistent with literature reports for the bioactivities of garcinielliptone FB, ${ }^{12}$ garcinielliptones A, B, and garsubellin A. 9 The current results suggest that the benzoyl group in the phloroglucinol skeleton played a crucial role for the in vitro activity that was observed.

## Experimental Section

## General Experimental procedures

Melting points were determined using a Fisher-Johns melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were obtained on a JASCO P-1020 polarimeter. UV spectra were measured with a GBC 918 spectrophotometer. IR spectra were recorded as KBr disks, using an IR-FT Mattson Genesis II spectrometer. NMR spectra were recorded using Bruker UltraShield 400 MHz and Varian Inova- 500 MHz spectrometers. High resolution ESIMS were determined using a Finingan MAT 95S mass spectrometer. For column chromatography, silica gel $60(70-230,230-400$ mesh, Merck), and Sephadex LH-20 (Pharmacia) were used. Precoated silica gel (Merck 60 F-254) plates were used for TLC. The spots on TLC were detected by spraying with $5 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then heating at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MPLC was performed on a system equipped with a Buchi pump B-688, Buchi B-684 fraction collector and Buchi columns. HPLC separations were performed on a Shimadzu LC-8A series apparatus with a SPD-20A UV detector, equipped with a $250 \times 20 \mathrm{~mm}$ i.d. preparative Cosmosil $5 \mathrm{C}^{18} \mathrm{AR}$-II column (Nacalai Tesque, Inc.).

## Plant Material

The fruits of G. subelliptica were collected in the northern mountains of Taiwan, in June 2005 and June 2007 and identified by one of us (Y.H. Kuo). A voucher specimen (no. NRICM20070614A) has been deposited in the National Research Institute of Chinese Medicine, Taipei, Taiwan.

## Extraction and Isolation

The pericarp of G. subelliptica ( 12.5 kg dried; obtained in 2007) was extracted three times with acetone at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The acetone extract was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue ( 1.53 kg ) was coated on 1.3 kg silica gel, and separated by passage over MPLC silica gel column eluting with $n$-hexane/ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ /acetone (1:0:0, 2:1:0, 1:2:0, $0: 1: 0,0: 10: 1$, $0: 3: 1,0: 1: 1,0: 0: 1)$ to yield eight fractions ( Fr 1 to Fr 8 ). Fraction $4\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} /\right.$ acetone, $1: 2,25$ g) was then submitted to Sephadex $\mathrm{LH}-20 \mathrm{CC}$ eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} /$ acetone (1:1:1), to afford four fractions ( $\operatorname{Fr} 4.1$ to $\operatorname{Fr} 4.4$ ). Fr $4.2(20 \mathrm{~g})$ was subjected to silica gel MPLC
column eluting with $n$-hexane/ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ /acetone from 1:0:0 to 5:5:2, to give eight fractions ( Fr 4.2.1 to Fr 4.2.8). In turn, Fr 4.2.2 was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 CC eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}(1: 1)$ to give six fractions ( Fr 4.2 .2 .1 to Fr 4.2 .2 .6 ). Fr 4.2 .2 .4 ( 4.80 g ) was subjected to reverse phase HPLC ODS column eluting with $90 \% \mathrm{MeCN}(10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, Cosmosil $250 \times 20 \mathrm{~mm}$ i.d.) to afford six fractions ( $\operatorname{Fr} 4.2 .2 .4 .1$ to Fr 4.2 .2 .4 .6 ). Fr 4.2.2.4.3 was purified repeatedly by recycle preparative HPLC $\left(250 \times 20 \mathrm{~mm}\right.$ i.d., Cosmosil $5 \mathrm{C}_{18}$ AR-II column, MeCN: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80: 20$, repeated for five times) to afford compound $\mathbf{3}$ ( 54.1 mg ). $\operatorname{Fr} 4.2 .2 .4 .4$ and $\operatorname{Fr} 4.2 .2 .4 .5$ were purified by the same method to afford compounds 2 ( 62.6 $\mathrm{mg}), \mathbf{4}(22.4 \mathrm{mg}), \mathbf{5}(60.1 \mathrm{mg}), \mathbf{8}(49.6 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathbf{9}(12.0 \mathrm{mg})$. Fraction $5\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} 100 \%, 8.0\right.$ g) was chromatographed by repeated silica gel, LH-20, and recycle HPLC to afford compound 6 ( 66.2 mg ).

The pericarp of G. subelliptica ( 5.5 kg dried, collected in 2005) was extracted three times with $95 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The EtOH extract was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue ( 400 gm ) was suspended in water, and this suspension was successively extracted with $n$-hexane, EtOAc, and $n$ - BuOH . The EtOAc layer provided 80 gm extract and was separated using a MPLC silica gel column eluting with $n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}(1: 0: 0$, 2:1:0, 1:2:0, 0:1:0, 0:20:1, 0:10:1, 0:5:1, 0:0:1), to yield 13 fractions (Fr E1 to Fr E13). Fraction E6 $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} 100 \%, 5.8 \mathrm{gm}\right)$ was then submitted to Sephadex LH-20 CC eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} /$ acetone (2:1:1), to afford five fractions (Fr E6.1 to Fr E6.5). Fr E6.3 (3.3 gm) was subjected to passage over a silica gel MPLC column eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} /$ acetone $/ \mathrm{MeOH}$ from 1:0:0 to 9:1:1, to give seven subfractions (Fr E6.3.1 to Fr E6.3.7). Fr E6.3.1 (1.1 g) was separated using a ODS MPLC column (Vercopak, $\mathrm{C}_{18}$, cartridge, $40 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ i.d.) eluting with $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 70: 30$, to give six fractions (Fr E6.3.1.1 to Fr E6.3.1.6). Fr E6.3.1.4 (90 mg ) was purified using a $\mathrm{LH}-20$ open column, eluting with pure MeOH , to afford compound $\mathbf{1}(69.7 \mathrm{mg})$. Fr E6.3.1.6 was purified also using a LH-20 open column eluting with pure MeOH to afford compound $7(150 \mathrm{mg})$.

## (+)-Garcinialiptone A (1)

Yellow solid, mp $106^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+12.1(c 3.40, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon) 314$ (3.84), 280 (3.91), and 232 (sh, 4.16) nm; IR $v_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) 3403,3074,2970,2927,1742$, $1700,1599,1549,1518,1440,1289,763 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data are shown in Table 1 and Table 2; HRESIMS $m / z 623.3377[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\left(\mathrm{Calcd}\right.$ for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}$ : 623.3349).

## (-)-Garcinialiptone A(2)

Yellow solid, mp $109{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-17.3(c 3.36, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon) 313$ (3.85), 279 (3.94), and 231 (4.20) nm; IR $v_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) 3400,3073,2970,2923,1739,1695$, 1592, 1552, 1521, 1438, 1291, $756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data are shown in Table 1 and Table 2; HRESIMS $m / z 623.3391[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}$ : 623.3349).

## Garcinialiptone B (3)

Yellow solid, $\mathrm{mp} 111^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}.) ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+84.8(c 5.40, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon)$ 313 (3.84), 276 (4.13), and 229 (4.19) nm; IR $v_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) 3344,3082,2973,2934,1727$, 1668, 1645, 1598, 1555, 1516, 1445, 1297, $758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data are shown in Table 1 and Table 2; HRESIMS $m / z 623.3386[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}$ : 623.3349).

## (-)-Cycloxanthochymol (4)

Yellow solid, mp $225^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-80.9(c 2.20, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon) 313$ (3.97), 277 (4.23), and 230 (4.29) nm; IR $v_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) 3400,2975,2927,1728,1666,1592$,

1522, 1441, 1287, $760 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data are shown in Table 1 and Table 2; HRESIMS m/z $625.3545[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\left(\right.$Calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}: 625.3505\right)$.

## Garcinialiptone C (5)

Yellow solid, mp $209^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-94.0(c 0.86, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon) 320(\mathrm{sh}$, 3.88), 284 (4.20), and 235 (sh, 4.20) nm; IR $v_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) 3400,3068,2976,2930,1724$, 1686, 1600, 1594, 1524, 1443, 1278, $758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data are shown in Table 1 and Table 2; HRESIMS $m / z 641.3494[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Na}$ : 641.3454);.

## Garcinialiptone D (6)

Yellowish solid, mp $118{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-79.1(c 7.83, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon) 313$ (3.84), 280 (4.23), and 232 (4.21) nm; IR $v_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) 3300,3067,2968,2930,1723,1688$, 1630, 1597, 1516, 1440, 1291, $756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data are shown in Table 1 and Table 2; HRESIMS $m / z 625.3545[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}$ : 625.3505).

## Cytotoxicity Assay

All stock cultures were grown in T-25 flasks. Freshly trypsinized cell suspensions were seeded in 96-well microtiter plates at densities of 1500-7500 cells per well with compounds added from DMSO-diluted stock. After 3 days in culture, attached cells were fixed with cold $50 \%$ trichloroacetic acid and then stained with $0.4 \%$ sulforhodamine B (SRB). The absorbency at 562 nm was measured using a microplate reader after solubilizing the bound dye. The mean $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ is the concentration of agent that reduces cell growth by $50 \%$ under the experimental conditions and is the average from at least three independent determinations that were reproducible and statistically significant. The following human tumor cell lines were used in the assay: A549 (human lung carcinoma), DU145 (prostate cancer), KB (nasopharyngeal carcinoma), and KB-vin (vincristine-resistant KB subline). All cell lines were obtained from Lineberger Cancer Center (UNC-CH) or from ATCC (Rockville, MD), except KB-vin, which was a generous gift of Professor Y.-C. Cheng, Yale University. Cells were cultured in RPMI- 1640 medium supplemented with 25 mM HEPES, $0.25 \%$ sodium bicarbonate, $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ kanamycin.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1.
Key $\mathrm{HMBC}(\rightarrow)$ and $\operatorname{COSY}(-)$ correlations of compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{5}$

a

b

Figure 2.
Key HMBC ( $\rightarrow$ a), COSY ( -a ) and NOE (b) correlations of 3


## Chart 1.

| position | $1^{a}$ | $2{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3^{a}$ | $4^{a}$ | $5^{\text {b }}$ | $6^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 2.62,dd, 13.5, 2.0 | 2.64, dd, 13.2, 1.6 | 2.80 proR, d, 14.0; | 2.40, d, 14.0; | 1.88, m; 1.40, m | 1.91, brd 10.4, 1.42, |
|  | 2.49, brd, 13.0 | 2.50, brd, 14.0 | 2.00 proS, dd, 13.5, | 2.10, dd, 14.0, 8.0 |  | m |
|  |  |  | 5.5 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1.70 | 1.70, o | 1.66, o | 1.57, m | 1.67, o | 1.68, o |
| 12 | 7.77 | 7.77, br s | 8.03, br s | 8.06, d, 3.0 | 7.29, br s | 7.31, d, 1.6 |
| 15 | 7.10 | 7.09, d, 8.4 | 7.13, d, 8.5 | 7.22, d, 8.5 | 6.60, d, 8.4 | 6.54, d, 8.4 |
| 16 | 6.93 | 6.96, d, 8.4 | 7.65, d, 8.5 | 7.57, o | 6.91, brd, 8.4 | 6.84, dd, 8.4, 1.6 |
| 17 | 2.17 | 2.18, dd, 14.4, 8.8 | 2.92 proR, dd, 13.5, | 2.92, dd, 13.0, 6.0 | 1.34, s | 1.30, s |
|  | 1.95 | 1.96, dd, 14.0, 8.8 | 8.0; 2.79 proS, o | 2.75, dd, 13.5, 6.5 |  |  |
| 18 | 2.97 | 2.99, m | 5.39, t, 6.0 | 5.41, t-like | 1.14, s | 1.08 , s |
| 19 |  |  |  |  | 2.11, m; 1.76, m | 2.07, m; 1.76, m |
| 20 | 4.93, s; 4.87, s | 4.95 | 1.66, s | 1.63, s | 2.48, m | 2.41, m |
| 21 | 1.60, s | 1.60 | 1.75, s | 1.70, s |  |  |
| 22 | 1.54, m | 1.57 | 1.31, s | 1.28, s | 4.61, s; 4.64, s | 4.58, s; 4.63, s |
| 23 | 1.94, o, 2H | 1.94 | 1.10, s | 1.07, s | 1.59, s | 1.56, s |
| 24 |  |  | $3.05 \mathrm{proS}, \mathrm{~m} ; 2.51$ | $3.16, \mathrm{~m} ; 2.40, \mathrm{~d},$ | 1.46, 2H, m | 1.50, m; 1.46, m |
|  |  |  | proR, brd, 14.5 | 14 |  |  |
| 25 | 4.78, s; 4.75, s | 4.80, s; 4.77, s | 5.12, t, 6.5 | 5.05, t, 7.0 | 1.88, o | 1.87, m |
| 26 | 1.63, s | 1.68 , s |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 2.68, dd, 13.5, 6.5 | 2.70, dd, 14.0, 6.8 | 1.68 , s | 1.71, s | 4.68, s; 4.73, s | 4.68,s; 4.66, s |
|  | $2.53, \mathrm{dd}, 13.5,6.0$ | 2.55, dd, 14.4, 5.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | 5.26, t, 6.0 | $5.30, \mathrm{t}, 6.0$ | 1.85, s | 1.87, s | 1.71, s | 1.71, s |
| 29 |  |  | 4.59, t-like, 9.0 |  | 2.15, m; 1.75, o | 2.11, m; 1.70, o |
| 30 | 1.72, s | 1.74, s | 2.71, t-like, 10.5 | 1.55,o | 4.98, d, 6.4 | 4.98, d (6.4) |
| 31 | 1.60 , s | 1.63 , s | 2.59 proS, t, 13.5; | 3.18, d, 11.0, m |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | 1.12, s | 1.16, s |  | 1.40, m; 1.10, o | 1.67, s | 1.66, s |
| 33 | 1.11, s | 1.13, s | 1.57, s | 2.20, m; 1.90, m | 1.58, s | 1.57, s |


| position | $\mathbf{1}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | $\mathbf{2}^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ | $\mathbf{3}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | $\mathbf{4}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | $\mathbf{5}^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ | $\mathbf{6}^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 34 | $4.37, \mathrm{~d}, 8.0$ | $4.39, \mathrm{~d}, 7.6$ | $4.87, \mathrm{~s} ; 4.86, \mathrm{~s}$ |  | $3.01, \mathrm{dd}, 10.0,14.8 ;$ | $3.15, \mathrm{dd}, 10.0,14.8 ;$ |
|  |  |  |  | $2.75, \mathrm{dd}, 10.0,15.2$ | $3.11, \mathrm{dd}, 10.0,14.4$ |  |
| 35 | 5.32, brd, 7.0 | 5.35, brd, 8.0 |  | $4.84, \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ | $4.80, \mathrm{t}, 10.0$ | $5.09, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{like}$ |
| 36 |  |  | $5.27, \mathrm{~d}, 8.0$ | $1.65, \mathrm{~s}$ |  |  |
| 37 | $1.56, \mathrm{~s}$ | $1.60, \mathrm{~s}$ | $1.41, \mathrm{~s}$ | $1.18, \mathrm{~s}$ | $0.89, \mathrm{~s}$ | $1.66, \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 38 | $1.68, \mathrm{~s}$ | $1.71, \mathrm{~s}$ | $1.30, \mathrm{~s}$ | $0.99, \mathrm{~s}$ | $0.77, \mathrm{~s}$ | $1.56, \mathrm{~s}$ |

[^1]| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectroscopic Data of $\mathbf{1 - 6}\left(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{4}\right.$ in Pyridine- $d_{5}$, and $5-\mathbf{6}$ in Methanol- $\left.d_{4}\right)$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | $1^{a}$ | $2^{\text {b }}$ | $3^{a}$ | $4^{a}$ | $5^{\text {b }}$ | $6^{b}$ | C | $1^{a}$ | $2^{\text {b }}$ | $3^{a}$ | $4^{a}$ | $5^{b}$ | $6^{b}$ |
| 1 | 77.1 | 77.1 | 69.6 | 69.1 | 71.3 | 77.1 | 20 | 113.5 | 113.5 | 26.1 | 26.6 | 44.9 | 45.1 |
| 2 | 202.4 | 202.4 | 194.5 | 195.0 | 175.0 | - | 21 | 17.7 | 17.8 | 18.2 | 18.7 | 149.0 | 148.6 |
| 3 | 79.7 | 79.7 | 124.3 | 127.3 | 119.0 | 120.7 | 22 | 32.2 | 32.4 | 22.3 | 22.9 | 113.7 | 113.6 |
| 4 | 202.0 | 202.1 | 170.3 | 171.4 | 193.5 | - | 23 | 35.7 | 35.8 | 27.4 | 27.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| 5 | 68.6 | 68.8 | 48.3 | 52.3 | 65.1 | 61.8 | 24 | 145.9 | 146.1 | 30.0 | 30.3 | 33.2 | 32.9 |
| 6 | 44.4 | 44.5 | 38.1 | 39.4 | 45.2 | 44.7 | 25 | 109.8 | 109.9 | 126.1 | 126.3 | 36.6 | 36.8 |
| 7 | 47.6 | 47.7 | 46.7 | 46.7 | 44.2 | 44.1 | 26 | 22.6 | 22.7 | 132.6 | 133.4 | 147.2 | 147.1 |
| 8 | 53.8 | 53.9 | 46.6 | 46.8 | 48.3 | 48.2 | 27 | 23.4 | 23.5 | 25.9 | 26.4 | 110.2 | 110.2 |
| 9 | 203.9 | 204.0 | 208.9 | 207.8 | 207.8 | 208.8 | 28 | 120.8 | 120.9 | 18.4 | 18.9 | 22.9 | 22.9 |
| 10 | 192.1 | 192.2 | 192.0 | 192.9 | 192.1 | 194.0 | 29 | 133.6 | 133.6 | 80.0 | 87.4 | 28.7 | 28.2 |
| 11 | 127.5 | 127.7 | 130.3 | 130.7 | 130.5 | 130.8 | 30 | 18.2 | 18.3 | 43.0 | 42.6 | 123.8 | 124.0 |
| 12 | 117.1 | 117.2 | 115.8 | 116.3 | 117.0 | 116.9 | 31 | 25.8 | 25.9 | 33.8 | 28.5 | 134.4 | 134.1 |
| 13 | 146.8 | 146.9 | 147.2 | 147.7 | 146.5 | 146.0 | 32 | 22.1 | 22.2 | 144.3 | 29.0 | 25.3 | 26.0 |
| 14 | 152.2 | 152.3 | 153.1 | 153.6 | 151.6 | 150.7 | 33 | 22.6 | 22.7 | 20.1 | 35.7 | 17.9 | 17.9 |
| 15 | 115.0 | 115.0 | 115.8 | 116.2 | 114.9 | 114.6 | 34 | 51.5 | 51.6 | 113.8 | 145.5 | 27.7 | 22.9 |
| 16 | 123.7 | 123.9 | 123.7 | 124.2 | 123.5 | 123.3 | 35 | 121.8 | 121.9 | 122.4 | 111.3 | 95.1 | 122.1 |
| 17 | 33.8 | 33.9 | 25.8 | 26.5 | 24.7 | 24.6 | 36 | 133.7 | 133.7 | 141.3 | 22.7 | 71.9 | 133.4 |
| 18 | 42.6 | 42.7 | 121.2 | 121.5 | 16.1 | 16.3 | 37 | 25.7 | 25.8 | 25.3 | 21.5 | 25.3 | 26.0 |
| 19 | 148.2 | 148.4 | 133.9 | 134.4 | 36.3 | 36.6 | 38 | 18.1 | 18.2 | 17.8 | 28.9 | 24.4 | 18.0 |

[^2]C-2 and C-4 Data of Enolized 1,3-Diketone Units of Benzophenone Type-A Compounds

| compound | C-2 ketone, C-4 enol, ppm |  |  | compound | C-2 enol, C-4 ketone, ppm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C-1 | C-5 | $\Delta \delta$ |  | C-1 | C-5 | $\Delta \delta$ |
| plukenetione $\mathrm{E}^{22}$ | 77.7 | 55.9 | 21.8 | plukenetione $\mathrm{D}^{22}$ | 71.8 | 64.3 | 7.5 |
| plukenetione $\mathrm{G}^{22}$ | 77.7 | 55.9 | 21.8 | plukenetione $\mathrm{F}^{22}$ | 70.8 | 63.8 | 7.0 |
| sampsonione $\mathrm{K}^{23}$ | 77.1 | 58.7 | 18.4 | sampsonione $\mathrm{M}^{23}$ | 68.0 | 63.0 | 5.0 |
| sampsonione $\mathrm{L}^{23}$ | 77.1 | 59.8 | 18.3 | $O$-methyl-chamone $\mathrm{I}^{25}$ | 74.4 | 63.8 | 10.6 |
| hyperibone $\mathrm{A}^{24}$ | 79.2 | 55.6 | 23.6 | chamone $\mathrm{II}^{25}$ | 72.5 | 64.3 | 8.2 |
| scrobiculatone $\mathrm{A}^{26}$ | 79.3 | 57.6 | 21.7 | insignone ${ }^{26}$ | 73.0 | 63.3 | 9.7 |
| garcinielliptone FA ${ }^{12}$ | 78.9 | 55.1 | 23.8 | scrobiculatone B ${ }^{26}$ | 71.9 | 65.3 | 6.6 |
| nemorosone $4 \mathrm{a}^{16}$ | 78.0 | 57.1 | 20.9 | nemorosone $4 b^{16}$ | 71.4 | 64.8 | 5.6 |
| average |  |  | 21.3 |  |  |  | 7.5 |

Table 4
Cytotoxicity Data of 1-9 against Four Human Tumor Cells

| compound | cell line ( $\left.\mathrm{IC}_{50}, \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}\right)^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{A}^{5} 49{ }^{\text {b }}$ | DU145 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | KB ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | KBvin ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 5.7 | 5.6 |
| 2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 5.3 |
| 3 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 5.7 | 6.6 |
| 4 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.2 |
| 5 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 4.9 |
| 6 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 4.6 |
| 7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.6 |
| 8 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 5.2 |
| 9 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 5.0 | 4.9 |
| paclitaxel | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | $>0.085$ |
| ${ }^{a}$ IC50 $=$ concentration that causes a $50 \%$ reduction in absorbance at 562 nm relative to untreated cells using the SRB assay. |  |  |  |  |
| $b_{\text {The cell lines are described in the Experimental Section. }}$. |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    To whom correspondence should be addressed: Y.H.K: Tel. 886-02-28201999 ext. 7051. kuoyh@nricm.edu.tw; K.H.L.: Tel. 919-962-0066. khlee@unc.edu.
    Supporting Information Available: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of new compounds $\mathbf{1 - 6}$. The information is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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