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#### Abstract

The structure-activity relationship (SAR) for the $N$-benzyl group in the clinical antiepileptic agent $(R)$-lacosamide $((R)$ - $N$-benzyl 2-acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide, $(R)-3)$ has been explored. Forty-three compounds were prepared and then evaluated at the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke Anticonvulsant Screening Program for seizure protection in the maximal electroshock (MES) and subcutaneous Metrazol models. Comparing activities for two series of substituted aryl regioisomers ( $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$ ) showed that $4^{\prime}$-modified derivatives had the highest activity. Significantly, structural latitude existed at the $4^{\prime}$-site. The SAR indicated that non-bulky 4'substituted $(R)$ - $\mathbf{3}$ derivatives exhibited superb activity, independent of their electronic properties. Activities in the MES test of several compounds were either comparable with or exceeded that of $(R)-\mathbf{3}$, and surpassed the activities observed for the traditional antiepileptic agents phenytoin, phenobarbital, and valproate.


Epilepsy, a major neurological disorder that affects all populations, ${ }^{1}$ describes the types of recurrent seizures produced by paroxysmal, excessive, synchronous neuronal discharges in the brain. ${ }^{2,3}$ In the United States alone, over 2 million people suffer from epilepsy and its sequelae; 340,000 are children. ${ }^{4}$ For many of these individuals, the disabilities and associated neuropsychological and behavioral factors adversely affect their quality of life. The lifestyle restrictions plus the large expense for treatment, lost productivity, and rehabilitation result in a huge cost to society. ${ }^{4}$

The treatment mainstay for patients with epileptic disorders has been the long-term and consistent administration of anticonvulsant drugs. ${ }^{5,6}$ Unfortunately, current medications are ineffective for approximately one-third of these patients. ${ }^{7}$ Many continue to have seizures, while others experience disturbing side effects (e.g., drowsiness, dizziness, nausea, liver damage). ${ }^{8}$ Thus, there is a need for more efficacious drugs that function by different pharmacological pathways.

[^0]In 1985, we discovered a novel class of anticonvulsant agents, termed functionalized amino acids (FAA, ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathbf{1}$ ). ${ }^{9}$ We subsequently synthesized more than 250 FAAs. ${ }^{10-18}$ Each of the newly synthesized molecules were evaluated for activity and toxicity in a series of in vivo animal models. Reports from our investigations have led other researchers to further pursue the pharmacological benefits of FAAs. ${ }^{19}$ Most sites in $\mathbf{1}$ (e.g., $\mathrm{R}^{1}, \mathrm{R}^{2}, \mathrm{R}^{3}$ ) have been modified. We learned that acetyl $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right)$ showed the highest anticonvulsant activity in an induced rodent seizure model (maximal electroshock (MES)) for $\mathrm{R}^{1}$. The MES test is a well established, commonly used animal model to identify new drug candidates having potential human efficacy to treat generalized and partial seizures that are secondarily generalized. We also determined that the optimal $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ substituent was a benzyl $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)$-type moiety. Finally, isoelectronic substitution of the amide carbonyl (site $a$ ) with a thiocarbonyl group, insertion of an alkyl unit or deletion of the chiral center in $\mathbf{1}$ (site $b$ ), and replacement of the amide hydrogen with an alkyl group (site $c$ ) reduced anticonvulsant activity. ${ }^{9-18}$



The stringent structural requirements for $1\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}, \mathrm{R}^{3}\right.$, sites $\left.a-c\right)$ led us to focus on molecular template 2. We observed impressive anticonvulsant activities in both mice and rats for smaller sized $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ groups (e.g., 2-furanyl, 2-pyrrolyl, 1-pyrazolyl, 2-oxazolyl, 2-thiazolyl, 2-pyridyl, 2pyrimidyl, 2-pyrazinyl, $O$-methylhydroxylamino, $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-dimethylhydroxylamino) that contained a substituted heteroatom, that is, one atom removed from the $\mathrm{C}(2)$ chiral carbon. 13-16,18 These compounds exhibited activity in the MES-induced seizure test (mice, ip) that were either comparable with or exceeded that of the standarded antiepileptic agent phenytoin $($ MES ED $50=9.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ in the MES-induced seizure test. ${ }^{20}$

A single 1 structure-activity relationship (SAR) feature dominated all others. We demonstrated that the principal anticonvulsant activity of the test candidate resided in the D-amino acid configuration. ${ }^{11-13,18}$ The potency ratio of the more active to the less active isomer ${ }^{21}$ ranged from 10 to $>22$. These differences are among the highest, if not the highest, reported for MESselective anticonvulsants.
$(R)$-Lacosamide $\left((R)\right.$-3, $(R)$ - $N$-benzyl 2-acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide $\left.{ }^{18}\right)$ emerged as the lead compound, 1, and has been successfully marketed in the United States and Europe for the adjunctive treatment of partial-onset seizures in adults. ${ }^{22}$ Whole animal pharmacology studies have revealed a distinctive profile for $(R)-\mathbf{3}$ and other $\mathbf{2 s} .{ }^{11-18,23}$ Accordingly, we have used agents containing "affinity bait" (AB) and "chemical reporter" (CR) groups to initiate a chemical biology-based study to search the brain proteome for $(R)-3$ binding targets. ${ }^{24}$ The AB moiety is designed to irreversibly react with the target, and the CR group permits protein detection and capture. In an effort to facilitate these studies, we have determined the SAR for the $\mathrm{C}(2)$ side chain oxygen substituent in $(R)-3^{25}$ that identifes the structural parameters for the AB and CR moieties at this site. We report herein that substitution at the $N$-benzyl $4^{\prime}$ position in $(R)-3$ provided compounds that exhibit superb anticonvulsant activities and safety profiles. This finding was surprising since preliminary studies with other $\mathbf{2 s}$ suggested that substitutions made at this specific site were not very promising candidates. ${ }^{15,26,27}$

[^1]
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## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Choice of Compounds

Earlier studies of $\mathbf{2}$ indicated that substituting a $N$-benzyl group led to compounds with diminished anticonvulsant profiles. ${ }^{15,26,27}$ When the $4^{\prime}$-substituted $\mathbf{2}$ compounds were compared with the $3^{\prime}$-substituted isomers, the 4 '-substituted derivatives provided significantly greater seizure protection as defined in the rodent MES seizure model. ${ }^{18,26}$ To confirm this finding, we prepared two different series of compounds in which we placed the $N$-benzyl amide substituent at either the $4^{\prime}$ or $3^{\prime}$ sites (Table 1). In addition, we prepared the $2^{\prime}$ regioisomer. The fluoro $((R)-4-(R)-6)$ and the trifluoromethoxy $((R)-7-(R)-9)$ substituents were selected for this study. In both series, the order of favorable anticonvulsant activity in the MES test (mice, ip) was $4^{\prime}>3^{\prime}>2^{\prime}$ (see Pharmacological Activity). Accordingly, we focused our SAR study on the $4^{\prime}$ position in $(R)-3$.

Table 2 lists the prepared $N-\left(4^{\prime}\right.$-substituted)benzyl $(R)-\mathbf{3}$ derivatives $((R)-6,(R)-9-(R)-\mathbf{3 9})$. The first set of compounds contained a hydrocarbon group attached at the $4^{\prime}$-benzyl site. We determined the effect of structural size of the $N$-benzyl $4{ }^{\prime}$-substituent on $(R)$ - $\mathbf{3}$ anticonvulsant activity by preparing alkyl derivatives $(R)-\mathbf{1 0}-(R) \mathbf{- 1 4}$. Next, the effect of a two-carbon hydrocarbon substituent at the $4^{\prime}$-site shape was evaluated by systematically changing it from tetrahedral $\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)((R)-\mathbf{1 1})$ to planar $\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)((R)-\mathbf{2 1})$ to linear $(\mathrm{sp})\left((R)-\mathbf{2 3}{ }^{24}\right)$. Then, to gauge the importance of size, electronic effects, hydrophobic interactions, and hydrogen bonding interactions on anticonvulsant activity, we synthesized 4'-substituted hydrocarbon derivatives $(R)-15-(R)-\mathbf{2 0},(R)-\mathbf{2 2}$, and $(R)-24-(R)-\mathbf{2 7}$ in which additional groups were appended to the hydrocarbon moiety. A second set of compounds prepared were $N$-( $4^{\prime}$-substituted)benzyl $(R)-\mathbf{3}$ analogs, $(R)-6,(R)-9$, and $(R)-28-(R)-39$, that contained a substituent directly attached to the $N$-benzyl moiety that either could withdraw or donate electrons to the aromatic ring. Here, we synthesized the cyano $((R)$-28), aldehyde $((R)$-29), carboxylic acid $((R)-\mathbf{3 0})$, methyl
ester $((R)-31)$, nitrogen-substituted $((R)-32-(R)-34)$, oxygen-containing $((R)-9,(R)-35)$, halogen-substituted $((R)-6,(R)-\mathbf{3 6}-(R)-38)$, and sulfamide $((R)-39)(R)-3$ analogs.

Finally, for $8 N$-(4'-substituted)benzyl $(R)$ - $\mathbf{3}$ derivatives we prepared the corresponding $(S)$ stereoisomer (Table 3). Structural characterization of the $(S)$-derivatives $((S)$-11, $(S)$-21, (S)-23, (S)-26, (S)-28, (S)-29, (S)-34, (S)-38) confirmed that the syntheses routinely gave stereospecific products. Determining their pharmacological evaluation permitted us to verify that the principal activity for the $N$-(4'-substituted)benzyl 2-acetamido-3methoxypropionamides resided in the $(R)$-stereoisomer (D-configuration).

## Chemistry

Synthesis of $(R)-4-(R)-\mathbf{3 9}$ followed a standard protocol (Scheme 1). Beginning with either $N$ -$t$-Boc (40)- or $N$-Cbz (41)-protected D-serine, the acid was coupled with the $N$-(4'-substituted) benzylamine 42, using either the mixed anhydride (isobutyl chloroformate (IBCF), N methylmorphline (NMM) $)^{28 \mathrm{a}}$ or the 4-(4,6-dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4methylmorpholinium chloride (DMTMM) ${ }^{28 b}$ procedure to give amides 43 and 44 , respectively. The 4'-substituted benzyl amine was either commercially available or synthesized using previously reported methods (see Supporting Information). We observed that amide coupling proceeded with no racemization of the $\mathrm{C}(2)$ chiral center. After purification, methylation of the serine hydroxy group with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}$ and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ provided the optically pure ethers 45 and 46. Removing the N -protecting group (i.e., acid, $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ ) followed by acetylation (acetyl chloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) gave the desired $N$-(4'-substituted)benzyl $(R)$ - $\mathbf{3}$ derivative 47, in most cases.

For the $(R)$-stereoisomer of $\mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 5}, \mathbf{1 9}, \mathbf{2 0}, \mathbf{2 3}-\mathbf{2 7}, \mathbf{2 9}, \mathbf{3 0}$, and $\mathbf{3 2 - 3 4}$, additional steps were required to generate the sought-after compound. 4'-Iodo derivative ( $R$ )-38 served as a precursor to $(R)-12,(R)-23,(R)-24,(R)-25,(R)-26$ and $(R)-27$ (Scheme 2). Using Sonogashira coupling conditions $\left(\mathrm{CuI}, \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$, alkyne), ${ }^{29}(R)$ - $\mathbf{3 8}$ was treated with 1-propyne, 3,3-dimethylbut-1-yne, trimethylsilylacetylene, and 3-methoxyprop-1-yne to give alkynes $(R)-\mathbf{2 4},(R)-\mathbf{2 5},(R)-\mathbf{2 6}$, and $(R)-\mathbf{2 7}$, respectively. In most cases, the Pd-based impurities were removed from the coupled products with a scavenger resin (PhosPhonics, cat\# SPM32). Treating $(R)-26$ with TBAF gave the parent acetylene $(R)-\mathbf{2 3}$, while hydrogenation $\left(\mathrm{PtO}_{2}\right)$ of $(R)$ - $\mathbf{2 4}$ gave $(R)$-12. Catalytic reduction $\left(\mathrm{PtO}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ of $(R)$-27 provided $(R) \mathbf{- 2 0}$ (Scheme 3). Similarly, the $4^{\prime}$-nitro derivative $(R)-\mathbf{4 8}^{26}$ served as a precursor to the aniline-derivative $(R)$-32, and trifluoroacetamide $(R)$-33. Catalytic reduction $\left(\mathrm{PtO}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ of $(R)$-48 gave $(R)$-32, which was then treated with ethyltrifluorothioacetate ${ }^{30}$ to yield $(R)$-33. Synthesis of azide $(R)$ - 34 began with $(R)$-serine $((R)-49)$ (Scheme 4). Acetylation followed by mixed anhydride coupling ${ }^{28 a}$ with 4 -aminobenzylamine (50) gave ( $R$ )-51. The aniline derivative $(R)$ - $\mathbf{5 1}$ was directly converted to the azide $(R)$ - $\mathbf{5 2}$ by sequential addition of $t$-butyl nitrite and trimethylsilyl azide ${ }^{31}$, which was then treated with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}$ and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give $(R)$-34. We used different deprotection methods to prepare $(R)-\mathbf{1 5},(R)-\mathbf{2 9}$, and $(R)$ - $\mathbf{3 0}$ (Scheme 5). Compound $(R)-\mathbf{1 5}$ was prepared from $(R)-16$ upon treatment with HCl in dioxane. Similarly, deprotection $(\mathrm{HCl}$ in THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) of acetal $(R)-53$ gave $(R)-\mathbf{2 9}$. Finally, methyl ester $(R)-\mathbf{3 1}$ was converted to acid $(R)-30$ with LiOH , followed by workup without racemization. We employed a different method to prepare $(R)-\mathbf{1 9}$ (Scheme 6). For this compound, we prepared optically pure $(R)-\mathbf{5 8}^{25}$ and then coupled ( $\mathrm{DMTMM}^{28 \mathrm{~b}}$ ) it with benzylamine 57 .

The (S)-3 analogs listed in Table 3 were prepared using the same methods employed for the corresponding ( $R$ )-stereoisomer.

Three criteria were used to assess the enantiopurity of $(R)-\mathbf{4}-(R)-\mathbf{3 9}$ and $(S) \mathbf{- 1 1},(S) \mathbf{- 2 1}$, $(S)-\mathbf{2 3},(S)-\mathbf{2 6},(S)-\mathbf{2 8},(S)-\mathbf{2 9},(S)-\mathbf{3 4}$, and $(S)-38$. These were melting point, optical rotation,
and the detection of only a single acetyl methyl and $O$-methyl signal in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for each compound when a saturated solution of $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid was added. ${ }^{32}$

We report in the Experimental Section the details (synthetic procedure, characterization) for the final step for all compounds evaluated in the seizure models. In the Supporting Information, we provide asynthetic scheme for each compound tested, and for all the synthetic compounds prepared in this study the experimental procedures that were utilized, and their physical and spectroscopic properties.

## Pharmacological Activity

Compounds $(R)-\mathbf{4 -}(R)-\mathbf{3 9},(S)-\mathbf{1 1},(S)-\mathbf{2 1},(S)-\mathbf{2 3},(S)-\mathbf{2 6},(S)-\mathbf{2 8},(S)-\mathbf{2 9},(S)-\mathbf{3 4}$, and $(S)-38$ were tested for anticonvulsant activity at the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke's (NINDS) Anticonvulsant Screening Program (ASP) using the procedures described by Stables and Kupferberg. ${ }^{33}$ The pharmacological data from the MES test are summarized in Tables $1^{-3}$, along with similar results obtained for $(R)-\mathbf{3}$ and the clinical antiepileptic agents phenytoin, ${ }^{34}$ valproate, ${ }^{34}$ and phenobarbital. ${ }^{34}$ All compounds were administered intraperitoneally (ip) to mice and orally (po) to rats. The tables list the values that were determined to be protective in blocking hind limb extension induced in the MES seizure model from the rodent identification studies. For compounds that showed significant activity, we report the effective dose $(50 \%)\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}\right)$ values obtained in quantitative screening evaluations. Also provided are the median doses for neurological impairment (50\%) ( $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ ) in mice, using the rotorod test, ${ }^{35}$ and the behavioral toxicity effects observed in rats. $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values were determined for those compounds exhibiting significant activity in the MES test. The protective index $\left(\mathrm{PI}=\mathrm{TD}_{50} / \mathrm{ED}_{50}\right)$ for these analogs are also listed. Although all of the compounds were evaluated in the subcutaneous Metrazol ( scMet ) seizure model none provided any protection at the doses (typically $300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and times ( 0.5 and 4 h ) tested (data not shown). The absence of seizure protection in this assay is a hallmark of FAA activity and this class of compounds. 9-18

Table 1 provides the comparative anticonvulsant activities for N -benzyl 2-acetamido-3methoxypropionamide derivatives in which we systematically placed either a fluoro or a trifluoromethoxy group at the $2^{\prime}-$, $3^{\prime}$-, and $4^{\prime}$-positions of the $N$-benzylamide moiety. We prepared only the $(R)$-stereoisomer for these studies since previous investigations showed that the principal anticonvulsant activity resided in this stereoisomer. ${ }^{11-13,18}$ In Table 3, we present additional data consistent with this finding. In the two series of compounds listed in Table 1, the $4^{\prime}$-isomers $((R)-\mathbf{6},(R)-\mathbf{9})$ were more active than the corresponding $3^{\prime}$-regioisomers $((R)-\mathbf{5}$, $(R)-8)$ ), a result in agreement with earlier FAA studies. ${ }^{18,26}$ Previously, our laboratory had not prepared any $N$-( $2^{\prime}$-substituted)benzylamides 2 s . Thus, it was important for us to determine the effect on anticonvulsant activity of substitution at this site. Using the trifluoromethoxy series as an example, the $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ values for the $2^{\prime}((R)-7), 3^{\prime}((R)-\mathbf{8})$, and $4^{\prime}((R)-9)$ isomers in mice were $30-100,10-30$, and $3.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, respectively. A similar trend was observed for the $4^{\prime}-$ fluoro regioisomers $(R)-\mathbf{4}-(R)-6$. These findings led us to focus our SAR studies on $N-\left(4^{\prime}-\right.$ substituted)benzyl ( $R$ )-3 derivatives.

Table 2 reports the observed anticonvulsant activities for $32 N$-(4'-substituted)benzyl $(R)-\mathbf{3}$ analogs. Listed first are the $4^{\prime}$-modified alkyl $(R)-3$ analogs, $(R)-\mathbf{1 0}-(R)-\mathbf{1 4}$, where the size of the $4^{\prime}$ alkyl group progressively increases. The MES seizure protection in mice for the $4^{\prime}$ substituted methyl $((R)-\mathbf{1 0}, 11 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$, ethyl $((R) \mathbf{- 1 1}, 10-30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$, and propyl $((R)-\mathbf{1 2}, 8.5$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ derivatives were only slightly lower than the parent compound $(R)-3(4.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}) .{ }^{18} \mathrm{~A}$ similar finding was observed in the model but using the Sprague Dawley Rat. This finding was surprising since earlier results suggested that substituents placed at the 4'-position of the N benzyl amide moiety in $(R)-\mathbf{3}$ resulted in a significant loss of MES seizure protection upon administration to mice. ${ }^{15,26,27}$ For example, we reported previously that the MES ED 50 values
for the $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{NO}_{2}((R)-48)$ and the $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{NCS}((R)-59)$ analogs were $100-300$ and $24 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, respectively. ${ }^{26}$ However, when the n-propyl moiety in $(R)$ - $\mathbf{1 2}$ was changed to the isopropyl group to give $(R)-\mathbf{1 3}$ (mice: $\mathrm{MES} \mathrm{ED}_{50}=10-30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, rat: $\mathrm{MES} \mathrm{ED}_{50}=>30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and then to a $t$-butyl moiety to provide $(R)-14$ (mice: $\operatorname{MES~ED}_{50}=100-300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, rats: $\mathrm{MES} \mathrm{ED}_{50}=$ $>30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) we saw a progressive loss of anticonvulsant activity. These findings indicated that inclusion of bulky groups at the $4^{\prime}$ position interfered with drug function. Conversely, nonbulky hydrophobic groups retained excellent activity in both species.
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Next, we evaluated six modified $4^{\prime}-$ alkyl $(R) \mathbf{- 3}$ derivatives, $(R)-\mathbf{1 5}-(R)-\mathbf{2 0}$, that contained a functional group(s) attached to the $4^{\prime}$-substituted alkyl side chain. Incorporating an amino $((R)-15)$, a carbamate $((R)-16)$, and an alcohol $((R)-19)$ moiety in the alkyl side chain resulted in compounds with no anticonvulsant activity in mice at $300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Inclusion of a methoxy group to give $(R)-17$ (mice: $\mathrm{MES} \mathrm{ED}_{50}=73 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, rats: $\mathrm{MES} \mathrm{ED}_{50}=45 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ), and $(R)$-20 (mice: MES ED $50=20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, rats: $\mathrm{MES} \mathrm{ED}_{50}=16 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) gave compounds that provided significant seizure protection. Nonetheless, the activity of $(R)$ - $\mathbf{1 7}$ was noticeably less than its isosteric propyl analog $(R)$ - $\mathbf{1 2}$ (mice: $\mathrm{MES} \mathrm{ED}_{50}=8.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, rats: $\operatorname{MES} \mathrm{ED}_{50}=<30$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). Finally, the $4^{\prime}$-trifluoromethyl derivative $(R)$ - $\mathbf{1 8}$ exhibited significant activity in mice $($ MES ED $50=10-30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ and exceptional anticonvulsant activity in rats $($ MES ED $50=4.9$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$. The activity of $(R)-\mathbf{1 8}$ in the rat closely matched that found for $(R)-\mathbf{3}\left(\operatorname{MES~ED}_{50}=\right.$ $3.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ), was slightly better than the $4^{\prime}$-methyl derivative $(R) \mathbf{- 1 0}\left(\right.$ MES ED $\left._{50}=8.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$, and exceeded the values reported for the established anticonvulsants phenytoin, ${ }^{20}$ phenobarbital, ${ }^{34}$ and valproate. ${ }^{20}$ Taken together, these findings show that additional attachment of specific functional groups (i.e., alcohol, amino, carbamate) on the 4'-alkyl substituent led to compounds with diminished anticonvulsant activities.

The next set of compounds listed in Table 2 were the $4^{\prime}$-ethenyl $((R)-21), 4^{\prime}$-phenyl $((R)-\mathbf{2 2})$, and $4^{\prime}$-alkynyl $((R)-\mathbf{2 3}-(R)-\mathbf{2 7})(R)-\mathbf{3}$ derivatives. In this series the spatial disposition of the $4^{\prime}$ group was changed from the tetrahedral ( $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ ) arrangement found in $(R)-\mathbf{1 0}-(R)-\mathbf{1 4}$ to either trigonal ( $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ ) or linear (sp) arrangements. Superb activities in the primary models were observed for the non-bulky derivatives $(R) \mathbf{- 2 1},(R)-\mathbf{2 2},(R)-\mathbf{2 3},(R)-\mathbf{2 4}$, and $(R) \mathbf{- 2 7}$. The MES $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ values in mice ranged from $3-10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Similarly, $(R)-\mathbf{2 1}\left(\mathrm{MES} \mathrm{ED}_{50}=7.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ and $(R)-\mathbf{2 3}\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}=3.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ showed excellent seizure protection in the rat. Comparing the anticonvulsant activities for the $4^{\prime}$-substituted ethyl $((R)$-11), ethenyl $((R) \mathbf{- 2 1})$, and ethynyl $((R)-\mathbf{2 3})$ derivatives, we observed a modest improvement in anticonvulsant activities in both mice and rats as we progressively decreased the spatial size of the $4^{\prime}$-group. This finding is consistent with the pattern observed for the alkyl derivatives $(R)-\mathbf{1 0}-(R) \mathbf{- 1 4}$. The effect of the $4^{\prime}$-group steric size on anticonvulsant activity was further reinforced by the activities of $(R)-25$ and $(R)-27$. Attachment of a $t$-butyl on the terminal end of the $4^{\prime}$-ethynyl group in $(R)-23$ to give ( $R$ )-25 led to a precipitous drop in anticonvulsant activity in mice (MES ED 50 $=>300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ), while adding the straight chain methoxymethylene unit to $(R)$ - $\mathbf{2 3}$ to give $(R)-\mathbf{2 7}$ did not appreciably affect anticonvulsant activity $\left((R)-\mathbf{2 3}\right.$, mice: MES ED $_{50}=3-10 \mathrm{mg} /$ kg , rat: $\operatorname{MES} \mathrm{ED}_{50}=3.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} ;(R)-27$, mice: $\mathrm{MES} \mathrm{ED}_{50}=10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, rat: $\mathrm{MES} \mathrm{ED}_{50}=18$
$\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). When the trimethylsilylacetylenic derivative ( $R$ )-26 was evaluated in the MES seizure model, significant toxicity was observed at a $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ dose, thereby preventing us from assessing the trimethylsilyl group's impact on anticonvulsant activity. The pronounced anticonvulsant activity of the 4'-phenyl analog $(R)$ - $\mathbf{2 2}$ (mice: MES ED $50=3-10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, rat: MES $E_{50}=2.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) was surprising given that the lowest energy conformer for biphenyl in the gaseous state is twisted, and the dihedral angle between the two phenyl rings is close to $44^{\circ} .{ }^{36}$ The progressive loss of activity observed as we proceeded from $(R)-\mathbf{1 2}-(R)-\mathbf{1 4}$ suggested that increases in the three-dimensional steric size of the $4^{\prime}$ site would result in a loss in activity, and thus the attachment of the $4^{\prime}$-phenyl group to give $(R)-\mathbf{2 2}$ would lead to a reduction in anticonvulsant activity. The excellent seizure protection of $(R)-22$ can be rationalized by the low barrier for rotation around the central biphenyl bond (est. $1.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ). ${ }^{37}$ Accordingly, the energy penalty for a near planar arrangement could be compensated by beneficial drugreceptor interactions (e.g., hydrophobic, aromatic interactions).

The final set of 4 '-modified $(R)-\mathbf{3}$ analogs was the largest and comprised 14 derivatives each of which contained a functional group directly attached to the $N$-benzyl moiety $((R)-\mathbf{6},(R)-\mathbf{9}$, $(R)-28-(R)-39)$. This group of compounds together with the alkyl $((R)-10-(R)-12)$, trifluoromethyl $((R)-18)$, ethenyl $((R)-21)$, alkynyl $((R)-23,(R)-24,(R)-27)$, and aryl $((R)-22)$ derivatives documented that attachment of functional groups at the $4^{\prime}$-site can provide strong seizure protection. Testing results demonstrated a highly significant anticonvulsant effect for the $4^{\prime}$-azido $\left((R)\right.$-34), 4'-trifluoromethoxy $((R)-9), 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{F}((R)-6)$, and $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}((R)-\mathbf{3 6})$ derivatives in mice $\left(\right.$ MES ED $\left._{50}=3.6-8.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ as well as in the rat $\left(\mathrm{MES} \mathrm{ED}_{50}=1.0-3.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$. These values were either comparable with or exceeded that of $(R)-3$ (mice: MES ED $50=4.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$; rat: $\left.\operatorname{MES} \mathrm{ED}_{50}=3.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right) .{ }^{18}$ As a group, all the $4^{\prime}$-halogen-substituted $(R)-\mathbf{3}$ derivatives $((R)-6,(R)-\mathbf{3 6}-(R)-38)$ showed pronounced activity. In addition, the smaller halogens $(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl})$ exhibited slightly better seizure protection than the larger halogen derivatives $(\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$. We noticed a significant loss in seizure protection in mice when proceeding from $4^{\prime}$-ethynyl derivative $(R)-\mathbf{2 3}\left(\operatorname{MES~ED}_{50}=3-10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ to the $4^{\prime}$-cyano analog $(R)-\mathbf{2 8}\left(\mathrm{MES} \mathrm{ED}_{50}=150\right.$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). This finding was surprising given their similar size. Finally, attaching a $4^{\prime}$-substituent that could either ionize at physiological pH values or donate a hydrogen bond (i.e., $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ $((R)-\mathbf{3 0}), 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}((R)-32), 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}_{3}((R)-\mathbf{3 3}), 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}((R)-39)$ provided compounds that were inactive in the MES test (mice) at the highest doses utilized ( $300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ).

The anticonvulsant activity observed for the $4^{\prime}$-chloro derivative $(R)$ - $\mathbf{3 6}$ in rats $\left(\operatorname{MES} \mathrm{ED}_{50}=\right.$ $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) made this compound the most potent 2 prepared to date; it was nearly four times more active than $(R)-\mathbf{3}$. Using the methodology advanced by Topliss, ${ }^{38}$ we explored whether the improved activity of $(R)-\mathbf{3 6}$ over $(R)-\mathbf{3}$ was due to an electronic effect provided by the $4^{\prime}$-chloro substituent. Accordingly, we prepared the $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$-dichloro derivative $(R)-\mathbf{6 0}$. When $(R)-\mathbf{6 0}$ was evaluated in mice, protection in the MES-induced seizure test was between $30-100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, demonstrating that this derivative was considerably less active than $(R)$-36. Following the Topliss methodology, we compared the activity of $(R)$ - 36 (MES ED $50=5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) with $4^{\prime}$ -$\mathrm{CF}_{3}\left((R)-\mathbf{1 8}, \mathrm{MES} \mathrm{ED}_{50}=10-30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right), 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Br}((R)-\mathbf{3 7}, \mathrm{MES} \mathrm{ED} 50=8.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}), 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{I}$ $\left((R)-\mathbf{3 8}, \mathrm{MES} \mathrm{ED}_{50}=16 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right), 2^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$-dichloro $\left((R)-61, \mathrm{MES} \mathrm{ED}_{50}=30-100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$, and $4^{\prime}-$ $\mathrm{NO}_{2}\left((R)-48\right.$, MES ED $\left.50=100-300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}^{26}\right)(R)-3$ derivatives in mice and found that $(R)-\mathbf{3 6}$ was the most potent. Collectively, these findings suggest that the improved activity observed for $(R)$ - $\mathbf{3 6}$ over $(R)-\mathbf{3}$ is not due to electronic effects provided by the $4^{\prime}$-chloro substituent. ${ }^{38}$
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Finally, we evaluated eight ( $S$ )- $N$-(4'-substituted)benzyl 2-acetamido-3methoxypropionamide derivatives (Table 3). Included in this set were $4^{\prime}$-substituents (i.e., I, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CCH}, \mathrm{N}_{3}\right)$ that displayed superb anticonvulsant activities when incorporated in the $(R)$-enantiomer. Most $(S)$-stereoisomers exhibited very little seizure protection in the MES test in mice with activities either between $100-300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ or greater than $300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Only $(S)-\mathbf{2 6}$ displayed protection but it was modest (MES ED $50=30-100 \mathrm{mg} /$ kg ). Our inability to determine the anticonvulsant activity for $(R)-\mathbf{2 6}$ did not allow us to further explore the pharmacological basis for this finding. The minimal anticonvulsant activities observed for these ( $S$ )-stereoisomers when compared with their $N$-(4'-substituted)benzyl $(R)-\mathbf{3}$ counterparts were in agreement with previous findings for chiral $2 \mathrm{~s} .{ }^{11-13,18}$

Several of the more active $N$-(4'-substituted)benzyl ( $R$ )-3 derivatives were also evaluated in the rapid hippocampal kindled rat model ${ }^{39}$ (Table 4). This assay is considered a model of partial complex seizures, which are the most common type of seizures in humans. They also represent the subgroup of patients with the highest proportion of drug resistance. ${ }^{40}$ Administration of $(R)-\mathbf{1 7},(R)-\mathbf{2 0},(R)-\mathbf{2 1},(R)-\mathbf{3 4},(R)-\mathbf{3 6}$, and $(R)-\mathbf{3 8}$ led to a significant decrease in seizures (Racine score proceeding from 5 to $0-2$ ) and a marked corresponding reduction in the afterdischarge duration. The $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ value for $(R)-\mathbf{3 4}$ and $(R)$ - $\mathbf{3 8}$ in the hippocampal kindled test (rat, ip) were calculated to be 6 and $12 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, respectively, surpassing the ED 50 value for $(R)-\mathbf{3}$ $(14 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ and that of the standard antiepileptic drugs phenytoin, phenobarbital, and valproate. 23,41

## CONCLUSIONS

The SAR for the $N$-benzyl group in the clinically available antiepileptic agent $(R)$ - $\mathbf{3}$ has been explored. We prepared and characterized 43 compounds, which were evaluated at the NINDS ASP for seizure protection in MES and scMet rodent models. Significant anticonvulsant protection against MES-induced seizures was observed for many of these compounds. Comparison of the protective actions for two series of substituted aryl regioisomers ( $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$ ) demonstrated that the $4^{\prime}$-modified derivatives exhibited the highest level of seizure protection. It was determined that some degree of structural latitude existed at this site. The SAR indicated that non-bulky $4^{\prime}$-substituted $(R)-\mathbf{3}$ derivatives exhibited superb activity independent of their electronic properties. The anticonvulsant activities of $(R)-\mathbf{6},(R)-\mathbf{9},(R)-\mathbf{2 1},(R)-\mathbf{2 2},(R)-\mathbf{2 3}$, $(R)-\mathbf{3 4},(R)-36$ and $(R)-37$ were either comparable with or exceeded that of $(R)-\mathbf{3}^{18}$ in rodent MES tests, and they surpassed the activities observed for the traditional antiepileptic agents, phenytoin, ${ }^{34}$ phenobarbital, ${ }^{34}$ and valproate. ${ }^{34}$

The current study complements an earlier report that explored the effect of structural replacement of the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-methoxy group in $(R)-\mathbf{3}$ on anticonvulsant activity. ${ }^{25}$ In this investigation, we similarly observed that small, non-bulky 3-alkoxy groups provided the highest seizure protection. Taken together, the SAR results provide clues concerning the topography and binding properties of the receptor binding site(s) that elicit $(R)$ - $\mathbf{3}$ function.

Furthermore, the finding that structural modifications can be made at the $\mathrm{C}(2)$ methoxy side chain and at the 4 ' benzyl amide site in $(R)-\mathbf{3}$ without loss of significant anticonvulsant activity sets the stage for the construction of $\mathrm{AB} \& \mathrm{CR}$ agents ${ }^{24}$ designed to interrogate the brain proteome for drug binding sites. Identification of the $(R)-\mathbf{3}$ receptor sites will advance future antiepileptic drug discovery efforts.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

## General Methods

Melting points were determined in open capillary tubes using a Thomas-Hoover melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra (IR) were run on an ATI Mattson Genesis FTIR spectrometer. Absorption values are expressed in wavenumbers $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. Optical rotations were obtained on a Jasco P-1030 polarimeter at the sodium D line ( 589 nm ) using a 1 dm path length cell. NMR spectra were obtained at 300 MHz or $400 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and 75 MHz or 100 $\mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) using TMS as an internal standard. Chemical shifts (d) are reported in parts per million (ppm) from tetramethylsilane. Low-resolution mass spectra were obtained with a BioToF-II-Bruker Daltonics spectrometer by Drs. Matt Crowe and S. Habibi at the University of North Carolina Department of Chemistry. The high- resolution mass spectra were performed on a Bruker Apex-Q 12 Telsa FTICR spectrometer by Drs. MattCrowe and S. Habibi. Microanalyses were performed by Atlantic Microlab, Inc. (Norcross, GA). Reactions were monitored by analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) plates (Aldrich, Cat \# Z12272-6 or Dynamic Adsorbents Inc., Cat \# 84111) and analyzed with 254 nm light. The reactions were purified by MPLC (CombiFlash Rf) with self-packed columns (silica gel from Dynamic Adsorbents Inc., Cat \# 02826-25) or by flash column chromatography using silica gel (Dynamic Adsorbents Inc., Cat \# 02826-25). All chemicals and solvents were reagent grade and used as obtained from commercial sources without further purification. THF was distilled from blue sodium benzophenone ketyl. Yields reported are for purified products and were not optimized. All compounds were checked by TLC, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, MS, and elemental analyses. The analytical results are within $+0.40 \%$ of the theoretical value. The TLC, NMR and the analytical data confirmed the purity of the products was $\geq 95 \%$.

## General Procedure for the Mixed Anhydride Coupling Reaction (Method A)

To a cooled THF solution $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, dry ice acetone bath) of acid $(R) /(\mathrm{S})-\mathbf{4 3}$ or $\mathbf{4 4}$ ([C] $\sim 0.1$ M) was added NMM (1.0-1.2 equiv), stirred for 2 min , IBCF (1.0-1.2 equiv), stirred for 5 min , and then the desired benzylamine (1.0-1.2 equiv). Upon addition the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and further stirred ( $2-3 \mathrm{~h}$ ). The salts were filtered and rinsed with THF and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The residue obtained was purified by flash chromatography, and/or by recrystallization from EtOAc when necessary.

## General Procedure for the Preparation of 3-Methoxy-2-amidopropionamide Derivatives (Method B)

To a $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution of alcohol ([C] $\sim 0.05-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ ) was successively added $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (5 equiv) and MeI ( 10 equiv) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was maintained at room temperature ( $2-4 \mathrm{~d}$ ) and filtered through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$, and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$.

## General Procedure for the Preparation Cbz-Deprotection (Method C)

An EtOH or MeOH solution ([C] $\sim 0.01-0.2 \mathrm{M}$ ) of $(R)$ - or $(S)-46$ was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ in presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10-20 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ at room temperature ( 16 h ). The mixture was carefully filtered through a bed of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$. The pad was washed with MeOH and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the
washings were collected and evaporated in vacuo. The compounds were used without further purification.

## General Procedure for the $\mathbf{N}$-Acetylation (Method D)

The 2-aminopropionamide residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.05-0.3 \mathrm{M})$ and then triethylamine (1.2-6 equiv) and acetyl chloride (1.2-3 equiv) were carefully added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature ( $2-4 \mathrm{~h}$ ). An aqueous $10 \%$ citric acid solution was added and the reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layers were combined, washed with a saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was recrystallized with EtOAc and/or purified by column chromatography on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$.

## Preparation of ( $R$ )- $\mathbf{N}$-(2'-Fluoro)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide (( $R$ )-4)

TFA ( 10 mL ) was added to a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution ( 200 mL ) of $(R)$ - N -( $2^{\prime}$-fluoro)benzyl 2- N -(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methoxypropionamide ( $2.90 \mathrm{~g}, 8.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the solution was stirred at room temperature ( 1 h ). A saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution was added until pH $~ 9$. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo.

Using Method D , triethylamine ( $3.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 26.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride ( $1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $1.12 \mathrm{~g}(88 \%)$ of $(R)-\mathbf{4}$ as a white solid after purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel with $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH}\left(10 / 0\right.$ to 9/1) as the eluant: $R_{f}=0.52$ ( EtOAc ); mp 173-175 ${ }^{\circ}$ $\mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25.2} \mathrm{D}-23.0^{\circ}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); IR (nujol) 3289, 2925, 2858, 1638, 1550, 1457, 1376, 1237, 1135, 977, 842, 754, $610 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 3.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.43$ (dd, $J=7.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}$ 'O), 3.76 (dd, $J=4.5,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), $4.46-4.63$ (m, CH2NH, CH), 4.84-4.91 (br s, NHCH2), $6.64\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.00-7.13$ (m, 2 ArH ), 7.23-7.32 (m, 2 ArH ), addition of excess of $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)-4$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.0\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 37.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 52.4\left(\mathbf{C H C H}_{2}\right)$, $58.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 115.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{3}\right), 124.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{5}\right), 124.8$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{4}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}}\right), 129.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{4}\right), 129.6-129.7\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}\right), 160.8(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=244.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}), 170.1,170.4(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})) ; \mathrm{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 401.0278\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Cs}^{+}$401.0274). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ : C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of (R)-N-(2'-Trifluoromethoxy)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-7)

An EtOH solution ( 200 mL ) of $(R)-N$-(2'-trifluoromethoxy)benzyl 2- $N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl) amino-3-methoxypropionamide $(3.40 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ in presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(340 \mathrm{mg})$ at room temperature $(16 \mathrm{~h})$. The mixture was carefully filtered through a bed of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$. The pad was washed with MeOH and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the washings were collected and evaporated in vacuo.

Using Method D, triethylamine ( $3.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 24.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride ( $1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $3.50 \mathrm{~g}(88 \%)$ of $(R)-7$ as a white solid after purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel with $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH}(10 / 0$ to $9 / 1)$ as the eluant: $R_{f}=0.36$ ( EtOAc ); mp 130-131 ${ }^{\circ}$ $\mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{24.8} \mathrm{D}^{-15.1^{\circ}}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ IR (nujol) 2919, 2858, 1640, 1547, 1458, 1272, 1203, 1164, $767,712,606 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.98\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 3.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.45(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, J$ $=7.2,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.76 (dd, $\left.J=4.2,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.46-4.63\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.71$ (br d, $\left.J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.22-7.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, 4 \mathrm{ArH}\right)$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$ mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)-7$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 22.9\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 38.1\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right)$, $52.4\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 58.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 120.4(1 \mathrm{ArC}), 120.5(\mathrm{q}, J=256.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ ), 127.0, 128.8, 129.7, 130.3, 147.1 (5 ArC), 170.2, 170.4 (2 C(O)); HRMS (M+Na+) $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 357.1038\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$357.1038). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of (R)-N-(3'-Trifluoromethoxy)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide $((R)-8)$

An EtOH solution ( 200 mL ) of ( $R$ )- $N$-(3'-trifluoromethoxy)benzyl 2- $N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl) amino-3- methoxypropionamide $(1.80 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ in presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(180 \mathrm{mg})$ at room temperature ( 16 h ). The mixture was carefully filtered through a bed of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo to obtain a yellow oil.

Using Method D, triethylamine ( $0.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride ( $0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $750 \mathrm{mg}(54 \%)$ of $(R)-\mathbf{8}$ as a white solid after recrystallization with EtOAc: $R_{f}=0.33$ (EtOAc); $\mathrm{mp}=147-148^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25.0} \mathrm{D}^{-12.1^{\circ}}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); IR (nujol) 3287, 3041, 2859, 2355, 1637, 1552, 1456, 1377, 1272, 1214, 1150, 715, $610 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.04$ (s, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})$ ), 3.39 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.44 (dd, $J=7.6,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.83 (dd, $J=4.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, CHH'O), 4.43-4.60 (m, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.38-6.46$ (br d, $\mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 6.82-6.91 (br t, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), $7.11-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.36(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.9,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{Ar} \mathbf{H})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)-\mathbf{8}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 42.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.5\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 59.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 120.4(\mathrm{q}$, $\left.J=255.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCF}_{3}\right), 119.7,119.8,125.6,130.0,140.4(5 \mathrm{ArC}), 149.5\left(\mathrm{COCF}_{3}\right), 170.2,170.4$ (2 C(O)); LRMS (M + Na ${ }^{+}$) (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) 357.1\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 357.1$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, H, F, N.

## Preparation of ( $R$ )-N-(4'-Trifluoromethoxy)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide $((R)-9)$

An EtOH solution (400 mL) of $(R)-N$-(4'-trifluoromethoxy)benzyl 2- $N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl) amino-3-methoxypropionamide $(3.90 \mathrm{~g}, 9.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ in presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(390 \mathrm{mg})$ at room temperature $(16 \mathrm{~h})$. The mixture was carefully filtered through a bed of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo to obtain a brown oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ ) 1.44-1.95 (br s, NH2 $), 3.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.50-3.67\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), $7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.80-8.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NHC}$ (O)).

Using Method D, triethylamine ( $1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride ( $0.78 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $2.50 \mathrm{~g}(83 \%)$ of $(R)-9$ as a white solid after recrystallization with EtOAc: $R_{f}=0.49$
 $1638,1553,1456,1377,1285,1221,1148,988,918,841,725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.04$ (s, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})$ ), $3.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.44\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.44-4.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 4.52-4.59(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.41\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 6.78-6.89 (br t, CH2NH), 7.18 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)-9$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 42.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.5\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 59.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 120.4\left(\mathrm{q}, J=255.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$, 121.2, 128.7, 136.7 ( 3 ArC ), 148.4 (d, $J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{COCF}_{3}$ ), 170.1, 170.4 (2 C(O)); HRMS (M $\left.+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 335.1219\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{H}^{+}$335.1218). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, H, F, N.

## Preparation of ( $R$ )-N-(4'-Methyl)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide (( $R$ )-10)

An EtOH solution ( 250 mL ) of ( $R$ )- N -(4'-methyl)benzyl 2- N -(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3methoxypropionamide ( $3.20 \mathrm{~g}, 9.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ in presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} /$

C ( 320 mg ) at room temperature ( 16 h ). The mixture was carefully filtered through a bed of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo to obtain a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 1.60-1.65\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{PhCH}_{3}\right), 3.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{\mathbf{3}}\right), 3.56-3.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathbf{C H}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 4.34-4.43$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HNCH}_{2}\right), 7.10-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.70-7.77(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 21.0$ $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}\right), 42.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 54.8(\mathrm{CH}), 58.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 74.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 127.6,129.3,135.3,137.0(4$ $\mathrm{ArC}), 172.5(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$.

Using Method D, triethylamine ( $1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride ( $766 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 10.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $1.70 \mathrm{~g}(72 \%)$ of $(R) \mathbf{- 1 0}$ as a white solid after 2 recrystallizations with EtOAc: $R_{f}=0.50$ (EtOAc); mp 128-129 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}^{-22.4^{\circ}}$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (nujol) 3285, 3062, 1637, 1548, $1458,1375,1311,1105,915,808,724 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.98\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 2.32$ $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}\right), 3.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.45\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}$ 'O), 4.36-4.43 (m, CH2NH), 4.57-4.62 (m, CH), 6.71 (br d, $\left.J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.98-$ 7.04 (br t, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), $7.09-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R) \mathbf{- 1 0}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 21.0\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}\right), 23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 43.3\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right)$, $52.4\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 59.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 127.4,129.3,134.8,137.1(4 \mathrm{ArC}), 169.9$, $170.3(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 265.1552\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ 265.1552). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ : C, H, N.

## Preparation of (R)- $\mathbf{N}$-(4'-Ethyl)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-11)

A MeOH solution ( 250 mL ) of $(R)-N$-(4'-ethyl)benzyl 2- $N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3methoxypropionamide $(1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ( 1 atm ) in presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} /$ $\mathrm{C}(120 \mathrm{mg})$ at room temperature ( 3 d ). The mixture was carefully filtered through a bed of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$. The pad was washed with MeOH and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the washings were collected and evaporated in vacuo to obtain a yellow solid.

Using Method D, triethylamine ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $(R)-\mathbf{1 1}$ as a white solid after recrystallization with EtOAc: mp 132-133 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (nujol) 3413, 3305, 3057, 2968, 2932, 1693, 1528, 1266, $1116 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.22(\mathrm{t}, J$ $\left.=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 2.63\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.43$ (dd, $\left.J=7.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.79\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), $4.50-4.58(\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{CH}), 6.47\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.71-6.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 7.15-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)$ - $\mathbf{1 1}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 15.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{3}\right), 23.1\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 28.5\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 43.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.4\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 59.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 127.5,128.1,135.0,143.5(4$ ArC ), 169.8, $170.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); HRMS $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 279.1708\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}^{+} 279.1705\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of (S)-N-(4'-Ethyl)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((S)-11)

A MeOH solution ( 250 mL ) of ( S )- N -(4'-ethyl)benzyl 2- $N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3methoxypropionamide ( $1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ in presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} /$ $\mathrm{C}(120 \mathrm{mg})$ at room temperature ( 3 d ). The mixture was carefully filtered through a bed of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$. The pad was washed with MeOH and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the washings were collected and evaporated in vacuo to obtain a yellow solid.

Using Method D, triethylamine ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave ( $S$ )-11 as a white solid after recrystallization with EtOAc: mp $132-133{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (nujol) 3286, 2932, 2928, 1637, 1554, 1458, 1375, 1311, 1197, 1102, 1051, 909, 821, $724 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.22\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 2.62(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.43\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.5,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 4.43 (d, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), 4.43-4.58 (m CH), 6.48 (br d, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.71-6.82\left(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 7.15-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)$-(-)-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(S)$ - $\mathbf{1 1}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 15.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{3}\right), 23.4\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 28.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 43.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.6\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 59.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 72.0\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 127.7,128.4$, 135.2, $143.8(4 \mathrm{ArC}), 170.1,170.5(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 279.1708\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}^{+} 279.1705\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ : $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of ( $R$ )- $\mathbf{N}$-(4'-Propyl)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-12)

$\mathrm{PtO}_{2}(100 \mathrm{mg})$ was added to an EtOH solution of $(R) \mathbf{- 2 4}(700 \mathrm{mg}, 2.25 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the mixture was stirred at room temprerature under $\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})(24 \mathrm{~h})$. The reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$, and the pad was washed successively with EtOH and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The filtrate was concentrated under vacuum and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with EtOAc/hexanes ( $8 / 2$ to $10 / 0$ ) as the eluant to obtain $(R) \mathbf{- 1 2}(560 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%)$ as a white solid: $R_{f}=0.37(\mathrm{EtOAc}) ; \mathrm{mp} 126-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25.4} \mathrm{D}=-27.2^{\circ}\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (nujol) $3439,3374,3140,2949,2859,1637,1548,1457,1374,1305,1137,1098,972,832$, $725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.93\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.57-1.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $2.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 2.57\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.34-3.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.81$ (dd, $J=4.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 4.44 (d, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), 4.50-4.57 (m, CH), 6.38-6.46 (br m, CHNH), 6.63-6.73 (br m, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 7.12-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)-$ mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R) \mathbf{- 1 2}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 13.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)$, $23.1\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right.$ $(\mathrm{O})), 24.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 37.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 43.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.4\left(\mathbf{C H C H}_{2}\right), 59.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 123.4,128.7,135.0,142.0(4 \mathrm{ArC}), 169.9,170.3(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; HRMS ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$) $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 293.1865\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}^{+}$293.1865). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, N.

## Preparation of ( $R$ )-N-(4'-iso-Propyl)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-13)

An EtOH solution ( 250 mL ) of ( R )- N -(4'-iso-propyl)benzyl 2- N -(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3methoxypropionamide $(1.80 \mathrm{~g}, 4.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ in presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} /$ C ( 180 mg ) at room temperature ( 16 h ). The mixture was carefully filtered through a bed of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo to obtain a brown oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $1.24\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.89$ (sept., $\left.J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.59-$ $3.66\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 4.35-4.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{HNCH}_{2}\right), 7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.69-7.82$ (br s, NHC (O)); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 33.8\left(\mathbf{C H}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 42.9\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 54.8$ $\left(\mathbf{C H C H}_{2}\right), 58.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 74.5\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right), 126.7,127.7,135.6,148.1(4 \mathrm{ArC}), 172.5(\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O}))$.

Using Method D, triethylamine ( $0.79 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride ( $0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $2.40 \mathrm{~g}(62 \%)$ of $(R)-\mathbf{1 3}$ as a white solid after purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel with $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}(80 / 20$ to $100 / 0)$ as the eluant: $R_{f}=0.39$ ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ 80/20); mp $95-97{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{27.0} \mathrm{D}^{-10.5^{\circ}}\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); IR (nujol) 3289, 2921, 2858, 1635, $1550,1457,1376,1312,1193,1101,1048,913,811,723 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.24(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 2.90\left(\right.$ sept., $\left.J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.43 (dd, $J=7.8,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.81 (dd, $J=4.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 4.44 (d, $J=$ $5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), $4.50-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.44\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.65-6.74$ (br t, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.19 (s, 4 ArH ), addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R) \mathbf{- 1 3}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 24.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 33.8\left(\mathbf{C H}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 43.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.4\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 59.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 126.7,127.5,135.1,148.2(4$ ArC ), 169.9, $170.3(2 \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O})) ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 315.2\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 315.2$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ : C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of ( $R$ )-N-(4'-tert-Butyl)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide (( $R$ )-14)

An EtOH solution ( 250 mL ) of ( $R$ )- N -(4'-tert-butyl)benzyl 2- N -(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3methoxypropionamide ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ in presence of $10 \%$ $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(400 \mathrm{mg})$ at room temperature $(16 \mathrm{~h})$. The mixture was carefully filtered through a bed of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo to obtain a brown oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 1.31 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{3}$ ), 1.58-1.62 (br s, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 3.38 (s, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $3.59-3.66$ (m, $\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.36-4.49 $\left(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HNCH}_{2}\right), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.69-7.81(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NHC}$ $(\mathrm{O})),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 31.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 42.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 54.9(\mathbf{C H}), 58.8}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 74.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 125.5,127.4,135.2,150.3(4 \mathrm{ArC}), 172.5(\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O})) ;$ HRMS $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$ $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 265.1916\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$265.1916).

Using Method D , triethylamine ( $1.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride ( $856 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $1.70 \mathrm{~g}(55 \%)$ of $(R) \mathbf{- 1 4}$ as a white solid after recrystallization with EtOAc: $R_{f}=0.73$ (EtOAc); mp 125-126 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{26.8} \mathrm{D}^{-26.0^{\circ}}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); IR (nujol) 3280, 2920, 2860, 1636, $1544,1456,1374,1301,1247,1197,1119,966,815,725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.30(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ), 3.37 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.46 (dd, $J=7.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.77 (dd, $\left.J=4.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.36-4.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 4.56-4.62(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.89-6.98\left(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ $\mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)$ - $\mathbf{1 4}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 31.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.4\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 43.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.4\left(\mathbf{C H C H}_{2}\right), 59.0}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 125.5,127.2,134.7,150.4(4 \mathrm{ArC}), 169.9,170.3(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 307.2022\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}^{+}$307.2021). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ : C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of ( $R$ )- $N$-(4'-Aminomethyl)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide Hydrochloride ((R)-15)

A saturated HCl solution in dioxane $(11.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 45.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to $(R) \mathbf{- 1 6}(1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5$ mmol ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the solution was stirred at room temperature ( 4 h ). The reaction solution was concentrated in vacuo and dried ( 30 min ). The residue was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the white solid was filtered to obtain $(R)-\mathbf{1 5}\left(1.20 \mathrm{~g}\right.$, quant.): $R_{f}=0.00(\mathrm{EtOAc}) ; \mathrm{mp}>210{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{26.2} \mathrm{D}^{-1.6^{\circ}}$ (c 1.0, DMSO); IR (nujol) 3124, 2919, 2860, 1635, 1639, 1457, 1374, 1281, 1195, 1121, 974, $728 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 3.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.44-$ $3.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.97\left(\mathrm{q}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right), 4.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 4.36-$ $4.50(\mathrm{~m}, ~ \mathrm{CH}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 8.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $8.38-8.55\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right), 8.58$ (br t, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 22.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 41.6,41.8\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.6\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 58.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 72.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 127.0,128.7,132.2,139.6(4 \mathrm{ArC}), 169.3,169.7(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})) ;$ HRMS $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$ $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 280.1661\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}^{+} 280.1661$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.49\right.$ $\mathrm{HCl}): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of (R)-N-(4'-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)aminomethyl)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3methoxypropionamide ((R)-16)

An EtOH solution ( 400 mL ) of ( $R$ )- N -(4'-(tertbutoxycarbonyl) aminomethyl)benzyl 2- N -(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-methoxypropionamide ( $5.30 \mathrm{~g}, 11.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ in presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(530 \mathrm{mg})$ at room temperature $(24 \mathrm{~h})$ and then an additional 470 mg of $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ was added and then the mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature ( 12 h). The mixture was carefully filtered through a bed of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo to obtain a brown oil.

Using Method D , triethylamine ( $1.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride ( $0.96 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $2.50 \mathrm{~g}(60 \%)$ of $(R)-16$ as a white solid after recrystallization with $\mathrm{EtOAc}: R_{f}=0.47$
 1639, 1530, 1458, 1374, 1260, 1167, 1127, 1057, 835, $724 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.45$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 3.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.44\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.79(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.J=4.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 4.43$ (d, $\left.J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 4.51-$ 4.57 (m, CH), 4.86-4.95 (br s, $t$-BocNH), 6.49-6.57 (br d, NHC(O)CH3 ), 6.83-6.93 (br m, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 7.17-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{Ar} \mathbf{H})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)-16$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right)$, $28.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), ~ 43.2, ~} 44.3\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right)\right.$, 52.4 $\left(\mathbf{C H C H}_{2}\right), 59.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.7\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 79.5\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 127.7,136.9,138.3(3 \mathrm{ArC}), 155.9$ $(\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{O}), 170.0,170.4(2 \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O}))$, one signal was not detected and is believed to overlap with nearby peaks; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 380.2186\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ 380.2185). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ : C, H, N.

## Preparation of ( $R$ )- $N$-(4'-(Methoxymethyl))benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)17)

A MeOH solution $(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ of $(R)-N$-(4'-(methoxymethyl))benzyl 2- $N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl) amino- 3-methoxypropionamide ( $3.50 \mathrm{~g}, 9.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ in presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(350 \mathrm{mg})$ at room temperature $(16 \mathrm{~h})$. The mixture was carefully filtered through a bed of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo to obtain a colorless oil.

Using Method D, triethylamine ( $1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride ( $772 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 10.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $1.50 \mathrm{~g}(56 \%)$ of $(R)-\mathbf{1 7}$ as a white solid after trituration with EtOAc: $R_{f}=0.35(\mathrm{EtOAc})$; mp 119-120 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}^{-25.4^{\circ}}\left(c_{0} 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); IR (nujol) 3266, 3069, 2935, 2863, 1635, 1550, $1458,1457,1382,1282,1226,1194,1125,948,836,792,726 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.03$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ), $3.37,3.38\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.43\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,9.1, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,9.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), $4.41-4.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 4.51-4.58(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.42-6.52$ (br d, NHC $\left.(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.75-6.84\left(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)-\mathbf{1 7}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 43.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.5\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 58.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 59.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $74.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 127.5,128.1,137.3,137.5(4 \mathrm{ArC}), 170.0,170.3(2 \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; HRMS (M + $\left.\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 295.1658\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{H}^{+} 295.1658$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, H, N.

## Preparation of (R)-N-(4'-Trifluoromethyl)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ( $(R)-18)$

An EtOH solution ( 250 mL ) of ( $R$ )- N -(4'-trifluoromethyl)benzyl 2- N -(benzyloxycarbonyl) amino-3- methoxypropionamide $(1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ in presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(120 \mathrm{mg})$ at room temperature $(16 \mathrm{~h})$. The mixture was carefully filtered through a bed of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$. The pad was washed with MeOH and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the washings were collected and evaporated in vacuo to obtain a yellow solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.62-1.67$ (br d, $\mathbf{N H}_{2}$ ), $3.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.58-3.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathbf{C H}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 4.52\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HNCH}_{2}\right), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.88-7.89$ (br s, NHC(O)).

Using Method D, triethylamine ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $495 \mathrm{mg}(55 \%)$ of $(R)-\mathbf{1 8}$ as a white solid after recrystallization with EtOAc: $R_{f}=0.45$ (EtOAc); mp 160-161 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{26.7} \mathrm{D}+2.6^{\circ}$ (c 0.5, DMSO); IR (nujol) 3393, 3278, 3145, 2923, 2834, 2723, 2673, 1638, 1552, 1456, 1374, 1157, 1111, 965, 840, $726 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 3.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.45\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.83$ (dd, $J=4.2,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), $4.50-4.61\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.37-6.44$ (br d, $\mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 6.85$6.94\left(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)-\mathbf{1 8}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl
and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 42.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.5\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 59.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 124.0\left(\mathrm{q}, J=270.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 125.6$ $\left(\mathrm{q}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{3}\right), 127.5\left(\mathbf{C}_{2}\right), 129.7\left(\mathrm{q}, J=31.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{4}\right), 142.0\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}\right), 170.3,170.5(2 \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 319.1270\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}^{+}$307.1269). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of (R)-N-(4'-(3-Hydroxypropyl))benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-19)

4-(3-Hydroxypropyl)benzylamine ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a THF ( 33 mL ) solution of the $(R)$-2-acetamido-3-methoxypropionoic acid $((R)-58)^{25}(532 \mathrm{mg}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the mixture was stirred at room temperature ( 5 min ). DMTMM ${ }^{28 \mathrm{~b}}(1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature ( 16 h ). The white precipitate was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel with EtOAc to EtOAc/acetone (5/5) as the eluant to obtain after recrystallization with EtOAc a white solid ( $560 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ): $R_{f}=0.26$ ( $8 / 2 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ acetone); mp $118{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{26.9} \mathrm{D}^{-25.0^{\circ}}\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (nujol mull) $3339,3279,2951,2862,1630,1552$, 1456, 1376, 1304, 1195, 1140, 1097, 1038, $909,820,724 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.34-$ 1.45 (br m, OH), 1.83-1.93 (br m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.03 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})$ ), $2.70(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $3.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.43$ (dd, $J=7.5,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), $3.63-3.72$ (br m, $\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ ), 3.80 (dd, $\left.J=3.9,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 6.41-6.50\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}\right)$, 6.69-6.79 (m, NH), 7.12-7.23 (m, 4 ArH), addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)-\mathbf{1 9}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.0\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right)$, 31.7, $34.2\left(2 \mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)$, 43.2 $\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 52.6\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 59.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 61.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 72.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 127.5,128.7,135.3$, $141.2(4 \mathrm{ArC}), 170.1,170.6(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 309.1815\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{H}^{+} 319.1814$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of (R)-N-(4'-(3-Methoxypropyl))benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-20)

An EtOH solution $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ of $(R)-27(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 3.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ in the presence of $10 \% \mathrm{PtO}_{2}(50 \mathrm{mg})$ at room temperature $(16 \mathrm{~h})$. The mixture was carefully filtered through a bed of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with EtOAc as the eluant to obtain $(R) \mathbf{- 2 0}(510 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%)$ as a white solid: $R_{f}=0.27(\mathrm{EtOAc}) ; \mathrm{mp} 105-107^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+3.0^{\circ}(c 0.5$, DMSO); IR (nujol) $3283,3085,1638,1550,1457,1379,1299,1122,979,725,605 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 1.81-1.92 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ), $2.67\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right.$ ), 3.33-3.46 (m, CHH'O, $\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $3.80\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.0,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 4.50-4.57$ (m, CH), 6.45 (br d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $6.70-6.75$ (br t, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), $7.15-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4$ $\mathrm{Ar} \mathbf{H})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)$ - $\mathbf{2 0}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $23.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 31.2,31.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right)$, $52.4\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right)$, 58.6 , $59.1\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 71.7, $71.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 127.5,128.8,135.3,141.4(\mathrm{ArC}), 169.9,170.2(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; HRMS (M $\left.+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 345.1784\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$345.1790). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of ( R )- N -(4'-Vinyl)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-21)

$p$ TSA ( $769 \mathrm{mg}, 4.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of $(R)$ - N -(4'-vinyl)benzyl 2- N -(tert-butoxycarbonyl) amino-3-methoxypropionamide ( $900 \mathrm{mg}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was stirred at room temperature ( 24 h ), and then triethylamine ( $2.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by acetyl chloride ( $574 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 8.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was stirred at room temperature ( 30 min ). Aqueous $10 \%$ citric acid was added and then the organic layer was
separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, washed with aqueous saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography ( $\left.\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{EtOAc}\right)$ to obtain $700 \mathrm{mg}(77 \%)$ of white solid. $R_{f}=0.49(5 / 5 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ acetone $) ; \mathrm{mp}=148-149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{26} \mathrm{D}=+3.5^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, DMSO); IR (nujol) 3281, 3093, 1638, 1552, 1456, 1381, 1298, 1246, $1125,1043,986,917,825,722,604 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.43 (dd, $J=7.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), $3.80\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.43-4.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 4.53-4.58(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.24\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\text {cis }}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}\right), 5.75\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\text {trans }}=17.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}\right), 6.46\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.70\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\text {cis }}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {trans }}=17.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 6.75-6.82 (br m, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ $\mathrm{Ar} \mathbf{H})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)$ - $\mathbf{2 1}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $23.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 43.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right)$, $52.6\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 59.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 72.0\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 114.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 126.7,127.8,136.6,137.0,137.6\left(4 \mathrm{ArC}, \mathbf{C H}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 170.2,170.6(2 \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O}))$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 315.1115\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~K}^{+}$315.1111). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ : C, H, N.

## Preparation of (S)-N-(4'-Vinyl)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((S)-21)

Employing the same procedure utilized for $(R)$ - $N$-(4'-vinyl)benzyl 2-acetamido-3methoxypropionamide and using ( S )- N -(4'-vinyl)benzyl 2- N -(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3methoxypropionamide ( $900 \mathrm{mg}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triethylamine ( $2.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride ( $574 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 8.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $690 \mathrm{mg}(76 \%)$ of ( $S$ ) - 21 after silica gel column chromatography: $R_{f}=0.45(1 / 9 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{EtOAc}) ; \mathrm{mp} 140-142^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{26} \mathrm{D}=-3.1^{\circ}(c 1.0$, DMSO); IR (nujol) 3284, 3087, 1640, 1548, 1457, 1378, 1298, 1244, 1198, 1127, 1046, 985, 912, 826, $721 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 3.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.5,9.0, \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.80 (dd, $J=4.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), $4.39-4.48$ ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), 4.53-4.59 $(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.24\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\text {cis }}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}\right), 5.75\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\text {trans }}=17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHH}{ }^{\prime}\right), 6.48(\mathrm{br}$ $\left.\mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.70\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\text {cis }}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {trans }}=17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.82-6.85$ (br m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), $7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(S)-21$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 43.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right)$, $52.6\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 59.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $71.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 114.2\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 126.7$, 127.8, 136.5, 137.1, $137.6\left(4 \mathrm{ArC}, \mathbf{C H}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 170.2,170.5(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right)[\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.\mathrm{K}^{+}\right] 315.1114$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~K}^{+} 315.1111$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.10 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ : C, H, N.

## Preparation of ( $R$ )- $N$-(Biphenyl-4-yl)methyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-22)

Using Method D, triethylamine ( $0.79 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acetyl chloride ( $561 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $(R)-N$ - (biphenyl-4-yl)methyl 2-amino 3-methoxypropionamide hydrochloride ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 1.9$ mmol ) gave $380 \mathrm{mg}(55 \%)$ of ( $R$ )- N -(biphenyl-4-yl)methy) 2-acetamido-3-
methoxypropionamide as a white solid after purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel with $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH}(100 / 0$ to $80 / 20)$ as the eluant and recrystallization with EtOAc : $R_{f}=0.20(\mathrm{EtOAc}) ; \mathrm{mp} 178-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{26.9} \mathrm{D}^{-8.8^{\circ}}\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); IR (nujol) 3293, 3087, $2870,1642,1547,1457,1376,1298,1127,727 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{NMR}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right)$, $3.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.45\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.83$ (dd, $\left.J=3.9,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.50-$ $4.61\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.45\left(\mathrm{brd}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.76-6.84$ (br t, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), $7.31-$ 7.38 (m, 3 ArH ), 7.41-7.48 (m, 2 ArH ), 7.55-7.60 (m, 4 ArH ), addition of excess $(R)-(-)$ mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)-\mathbf{2 2}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right)$, $43.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right)$, $52.4\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 59.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 127.0,127.3,127.4,127.8,128.8,136.9$, $140.5(7 \mathrm{ArC}), 170.0,170.3(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})), 1$ signal was not detected and is believed to overlap with nearby peaks; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 327.1709\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ 327.1708). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ : $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of (R)-N-(4'-Ethynyl)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-23)

A 1 M THF solution of TBAF $(8.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.66 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a THF $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution $(R)-\mathbf{2 6}(1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 4.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ and then the solution was stirred at room temperature $(4 \mathrm{~h})$. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and an aqueous $10 \%$ citric acid solution were added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with EtOAc as the eluant to obtain $(R)-\mathbf{2 3}(0.81 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%)$ as a white solid: $R_{f}=0.41$ (EtOAc); mp $161-162^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{24} \mathrm{D}=+4.2^{\circ}(c 0.5$, DMSO); IR (nujol) $3290,1634,1544,1458,1375,1311,1240,1197,1104,1041,714 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $2.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 3.07(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 3.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.45\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.77$ (dd, $\left.J=4.5,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.36-4.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 4.56-4.63(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=6.9$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.01-7.10\left(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)-\mathbf{2 3}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 43.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.5\left(\mathbf{C H C H}_{2}\right), 59.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.7\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 77.3(\mathbf{C} \equiv \mathrm{C})$, 82.2(C $\equiv \mathbf{C}), 121.2,127.3,132.4,138.7(4 \mathrm{ArC}), 170.1,170.4(2 \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O})) ; \operatorname{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$ $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 297.1210\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$297.1215). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ : C, H, N.

## Preparation of (S)-N-(4'-Ethynyl)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((S)-23)

Employing the preceding procedure and using ( $S$ ) -26 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.145 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and TBAF (290 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.290 \mathrm{mmol})$ gave $753 \mathrm{mg}(91 \%)$ of (S)-23 as a white solid: $R_{f}=0.41$ (EtOAc); mp 159$160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{24} \mathrm{D}=-4.4^{\circ}(c 0.5, \mathrm{DMSO})$; IR (nujol) $3289,2728,1635,1544,1458,1375,1304$, $1234,975,724 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ), $3.07(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $3.44\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.80\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.41-4.51(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), $4.52-4.57(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.46\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.80-6.92$ (br t, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), $7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ 1.87 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})$ ), $3.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.44-3.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}\right), 4.14$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.29 (d, J $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), 4.43-4.48 (m, CH), $7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ $\mathrm{ArH}), 8.11\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 8.52\left(\mathrm{brt}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right)$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(S)$ - $\mathbf{2 3}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 43.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.5\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 59.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 77.3(\mathbf{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 83.3(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathbf{C}), 121.2$, 127.3, 132.4, $138.8(4 \mathrm{ArC}), 170.1,170.4(2 \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O}))$, HMQC experiment showed a correlation between the $\delta 3.07$ signal in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and the $\delta 77.3$ peak in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 22.3\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 41.6\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.4\left(\mathbf{C H C H}_{2}\right), 58.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.8$ $\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 80.2(\mathbf{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 83.2(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathbf{C}), 119.8,126.9,131.3,140.2(4 \mathrm{ArC}), 169.2,169.6(2 \mathbf{C}$ (O)), HMQC experiment showed a correlation between the $\delta 4.14$ signal in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and the $\delta 80.2$ peak in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 297.1212\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$297.1215). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ : C, H, N.

## Preparation of ( $R$ )- $N$-(4'-(Prop-1-ynyl))benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide (( $R$ )- 24)

To an anhydrous triethylamine solution ( $0.1 \mathrm{M}, 2.66 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) of $(R)$ - $\mathbf{3 8}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.266 \mathrm{mmol})$, dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine)palladium (II) ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.026 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CuI}(2.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.013$ mmol ) were sequentially added to a flame-dried Schlenk tube under Ar. The mixture was cooled down to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then the reaction vessel was evacuated and propyne was bubbled into the triethylamine solution until the solution reached $\sim 1 \mathrm{~atm}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature ( 16 h ). The mixture was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and re-evacuated. A balloon of propyne was bubbled into the mixture and the reaction was stirred at room temperature ( 24 h ). The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes ( $5 / 5$ to $10 / 0$ ) as the eluant to obtain
( $R$ )-24 ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ) as a white solid. The desired product ( 60 mg ) was purified with 340 mg of resin scavenger (PhosPhonics, cat\# SPM32) to remove the traces of palladium to obtain 50 $\mathrm{mg}(66 \%)$ of $(R)-N-\left(4^{\prime}-(\right.$ prop-1-ynyl $)$ benzyl 2-acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide: $R_{f}=0.37$ (EtOAc); mp 178-180 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{24.3} \mathrm{D}=-18.0^{\circ}\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (nujol) $3474,3273,2960$, 2856, 1683, 1550, 1457, 1375, 1299, 1125, 978, 811, $724 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.04$ (s, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 2.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.38-3.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.81\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right)$, 4.39-4.50 (m, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 4.51-4.57(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.39-6.45\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.71-6.79$ (br t, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)-$ mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)-\mathbf{2 4}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.33\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right), 23.2\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right)$, $43.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right)$, $52.4\left(\mathbf{C H C H}_{2}\right)$, $59.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $71.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $79.4(\mathbf{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 86.1(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathbf{C})$, 123.2, 127.3, 131.8, 137.3 (4 ArC), 170.0, 170.3 (2 C(O)); HRMS (M+H $\left.{ }^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 311.1372$ $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}^{+}$311.1372). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ : C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of (R)-N-(4'-(3,3-Dimethylbut-1-ynyl))benzyl 2-Acetamido-3methoxypropionamide ((R)-25)

To an anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ) solution of $(R) \mathbf{- 3 8}(376 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, triethylamine ( 280 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3,3-dimethylbut-1-yne ( $182 \mu \mathrm{l}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine) palladium (II) ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CuI}(19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ were sequentially added under Ar . The mixture was stirred at room temperature ( 4 h ), and then $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the precipitate filtered through a Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ pad. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with EtOAc/MeOH (9/1) as the eluant to obtain $(R)-\mathbf{2 5}(220 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%)$ as a brown solid: $R_{f}=0.22(\mathrm{EtOAc}) ; \mathrm{mp} 120-121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=+4.8^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, DMSO); IR (nujol) 3287, 2727, 2364, 1641, 1547, 1458, 1375, 1297, $1132,972,816,724 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.31\left(\mathrm{~s},\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 2.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 3.35(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.42 (dd, $J=7.5,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.76 (dd, $J=4.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), $4.33-4.50(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), $4.50-4.61(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.91-6.99\left(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right)$, $7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$ - mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)-\mathbf{2 5}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 27.9\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 31.0(\mathrm{C}$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 43.3\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.5\left(\mathbf{C H C H}_{2}\right), 59.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.8\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 78.6(\mathbf{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 98.8$ $(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathbf{C}), 123.3,127.2,131.8,137.1(4 \mathrm{ArC}), 170.2,170.6(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})) ; \mathrm{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right)$ $331.2019\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}^{+} 331.2029\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ : C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of (R)-N-(4'-(Trimethylsilyl)ethynylbenzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-26)

To an anhydrous THF $(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of $(R)-\mathbf{3 8}(2.40 \mathrm{~g}, 6.38 \mathrm{mmol})$, triethylamine ( 1.8 mL , $12.76 \mathrm{mmol})$, trimethylsilylacetylene ( $1.35 \mathrm{ml}, 9.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine) palladium (II) ( $224 \mathrm{mg}, 0.319 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CuI}(121 \mathrm{mg}, 0.638 \mathrm{mmol})$ were sequentially added under Ar. The mixture was stirred at room temperature ( 4 h ), and then $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added and the precipitate filtered through a Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ pad. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH}(9 / 1)$ as the eluant to obtain $(R)-\mathbf{2 6}(1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%)$. The desired product $(1.50 \mathrm{~g})$ was purified with 7.50 g of resin scavenger (PhosPhonics, cat\# SPM32) to remove the traces of palladium to obtain $1.20 \mathrm{~g}(55 \%)$ of $(R)-\mathbf{2 6}$ as a brown solid: $R_{f}=0.41$ (EtOAc); mp 126-127 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{24} \mathrm{D}=+6.1^{\circ}(c 1.0, \mathrm{DMSO})$; IR (nujol) 3285, 2157, 1641, 1546, 1457, 1375, 1302, 1248, 1130, 975, 862, $723 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.24\left(\mathrm{~s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 1.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 3.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,9.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.75 (dd, $J=4.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 4.33-4.47 (m, CH2NH), 4.57-4.62 (m, CH ), 6.66 ( $\mathrm{brd}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $7.07-7.13$ (br t, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), $7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ $\mathrm{ArH}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R) \mathbf{- 2 6}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl
protons; $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-0.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 23.2\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathbf{O})\right), 43.2\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{N H}\right)$, 52.4 $\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 59.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 94.4(\mathbf{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 104.7(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathbf{C}), 122.4,127.2$, 132.3, 138.3 (4 ArC), 170.0, 170.3 (2 C(O)); HRMS (M + Na ${ }^{+}$) (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) 369.1605\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SiNa}^{+}$369.1610). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)$ : C, H, N.

## Preparation of (S)-N-(4'-(Trimethylsilyl)ethynylbenzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((S)-26)

Employing the preceding procedure and using ( $S$ ) $\mathbf{- 3 8}$ ( $2.40 \mathrm{~g}, 6.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triethylamine ( 1.8 $\mathrm{mL}, 12.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), CuI ( $121 \mathrm{mg}, 0.638 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine)palladium (II) ( $224 \mathrm{mg}, 0.319 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and trimethylsilylacetylene ( $1.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave 1.97 g ( $91 \%$ ) of $(S)$-26 as a brown solid: $R_{f}=0.41$ (EtOAc); mp $126-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{24} \mathrm{D}=-6.2^{\circ}(c 1.0, \mathrm{DMSO})$; IR (nujol) 3285, 2727, 2157, 1641, 1546, 1457, 1374, 1304, 1250, 1137, 862, $725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.22\left(\mathrm{~s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 1.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 3.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.44-3.55(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 4.29 (d, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), $4.43-4.51$ ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.24 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ $\mathrm{ArH}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 8.10\left(\mathrm{brd}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 8.53(\mathrm{brt}, J=6.0$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right)$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(S)$ - $\mathbf{2 6}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.\left.d_{6}\right) \delta-0.2\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 22.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 41.7\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.6\left(\mathbf{C H C H}_{2}\right), 58.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 93.6(\mathbf{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 105.1(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathbf{C}), 120.0,127.1,131.4,140.4(4 \mathrm{ArC}), 169.3,169.8(2 \mathbf{C}$ (O)); HRMS (M + Na ${ }^{+}$) (ESI ${ }^{+}$) $369.1603\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SiNa}^{+} 369.01610$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)$ : C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of (R)-N-(4'-(3-Methoxyprop-1-ynyl))benzyl 2-Acetamido-3methoxypropionamide ((R)-27)

To an anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ) solution $(R)-\mathbf{3 8}(376 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, triethylamine ( $280 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 2.0 mmol ), 3-methoxyprop-1-yne ( $125 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine) palladium (II) ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CuI}(38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ were sequentially added under Ar . The mixture was stirred at room temperature $(4 \mathrm{~h})$, and then $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the precipitate filtered through a Celite pad. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH}(9 / 1)$ as the eluant to obtain $(R)-27(260 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%)$ as a beige solid: $R_{f}=0.27(\mathrm{EtOAc}) ; \mathrm{mp} 141-142^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{27} \mathrm{D}=+4.4^{\circ}(c 1.0, \mathrm{DMSO})$; IR (nujol) 3278, 3096, 1640, 1554, 1458, 1370, 1304, 1257, 1192, 1099, 966, 903, 810, $732 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ), $3.37(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.45-3.47 (m, CHH'O, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.78 (dd, $J=4.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 4.32 ( s , $\left.\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.38-4.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 4.54-4.61(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 6.91-6.99 (br t, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.19 (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.41 (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)-27$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 43.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.5\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 57.7\left(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 59.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 60.4$ $\left(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 85.2(\mathbf{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 86.0(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathbf{C}), 121.8,127.3,132.1,138.4(4$ ArC ), $170.4\left(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); HRMS $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 319.1652\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{H}^{+} 319.1658$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 0.33 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of (R)-N-(4'-Cyano)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-28)

A MeOH solution $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ of $(R)-N$-(4'-cyano)benzyl 2- $N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3methoxypropionamide ( $1.80 \mathrm{~g}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ( 1 atm ) in presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} /$ $\mathrm{C}(250 \mathrm{mg})$ at room temperature ( 36 h ). The mixture was carefully filtered through a bed of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo to obtain a colorless oil.

Using Method D, triethylamine ( $810 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride ( $410 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $320 \mathrm{mg}(42 \%)$ of $(R)-\mathbf{2 8}$ as a white solid after trituration with EtOAc: $R_{f}=0.54(9 / 1$ $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); mp $168-169^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{24} \mathrm{D}^{2}+4.9^{\circ}(c 1.0$, DMSO); IR (nujol) 3273, 2725, 2226,

1635, 1547, 1458, 1374, 1309, 1191, 1093, $907,727 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.87$ (s, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})$ ), $3.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.45-3.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 4.43-$ $4.49(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 8.61\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right)$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)$ - $\mathbf{2 8}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 22.4\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 41.7\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.6$ $\left(\mathbf{C H C H}_{2}\right), 58.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.9\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 109.3(\mathbf{C C N}), 118.8(\mathbf{C N}), 127.6,132.1,145.3$ (3 ArC ), 169.4, $170.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); HRMS $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 298.1163\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$298.1168). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of (S)-N-(4'-Cyano)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((S)-28)

Employing the preceding procedure and using (S)-N-(4'-cyano)benzyl 2-N-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino- 3-methoxypropionamide ( $1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(250 \mathrm{mg})$, triethylamine ( $540 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and acetyl chloride ( $273 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $620 \mathrm{mg}(70 \%)$ of (S)-28 as a white solid after trituration with EtOAc: $R_{f}=0.54(9 / 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{mp} 168-$ $169{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}^{-4.9^{\circ}}$ (c 1.0, DMSO); IR (nujol) 3271, 2726, 2228, 1630, 1552, 1458, 1374, 1312, 1194, 1095, 908, $729 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 3.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 3.45 (dd, $J=7.2,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.81 (dd, $J=3.9,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), $4.49-4.61$ ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$, CH), 6.48 (br d, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $7.06-7.08$ (br m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), $7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ $\operatorname{ArH}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(S) \mathbf{- 2 8}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 43.0\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.5\left(\mathbf{C H C H}_{2}\right), 59.1$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 111.2(\mathbf{C C N}), 118.6(\mathbf{C N}), 127.8,132.4,143.5(3 \mathrm{ArC}), 170.3$, $170.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); HRMS $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 298.1163\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$ 298.1168). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ : C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of ( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )- $\mathbf{N}$-(4'-Formyl)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide (( $R$ )-29)

(R)-N- (4'-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl))benzyl 2-acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ( $1.62 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0$ mmol ) was dissolved in a $2: 1 \mathrm{THF}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mixture $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(10$ drops) was added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature overnight and diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was neutralized with the dropwise addition of a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The THF was removed in vacuo and the remaining aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(5 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated in vacuo, and the residue recrystallized from EtOAc to give $930 \mathrm{mg}(66 \%)$ of $(R)-29$ as a white solid. The mother liquor was concentrated and purified by flash chromatography ( $5 / 95 \mathrm{MeOH} /$ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to yield of product $336 \mathrm{mg}(24 \%)$ (total yield: $1.27 \mathrm{~g}(90 \%)$ ): $R_{f}=0.40(5 / 95 \mathrm{MeOH} /$ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); mp $132-133^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+10.4^{\circ}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); IR (nujol) $3288,1687,1642,1549$, $1458,1375 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ), $3.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.53$ (dd, $J=6.0$, $9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.75 (dd $J=4.8,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 4.38-4.58 (m, NHCH2), 4.71 (app. dt, $J$ $=5.4,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.03\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.68(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 9.93(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathbf{H})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)-$ mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R) \mathbf{- 2 9}$ gave only one signal for the methoxy protons and the acetyl peak protons, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$ - mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(S)-\mathbf{2 9}$ and $(R)-\mathbf{2 9}$ (1:2 ratio) gave two signals for the acetyl methyl protons ( $\delta 2.037(S)$ and $2.023(R)(\Delta \mathrm{ppm}=0.014))$ and two signals for the methoxy protons $(\delta 3.346(S)$ and 3.377 $(R)(\Delta \mathrm{ppm}=0.031)) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.0\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 43.1\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 52.8\left(\mathbf{C H C H}_{2}\right)$, $59.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 72.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 127.7,130.0,135.5,145.3(4 \mathrm{ArC}), 170.5,170.7(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$, $192.0(\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{H})$; HRMS $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 301.1158\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$ 301.1164). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, H, N.

## Preparation of (S)-N-(4'-Formyl)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((S)-29)

Using the preceding procedure, $(S)-N-\left(4^{\prime}-(1,3\right.$-dioxolan-2-yl))benzyl 2-acetamido-3methoxypropionamide ( $1.65 \mathrm{~g}, 5.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $900 \mathrm{mg}(63 \%)$ of ( $S$ )-29 after recrystallization from EtOAc and another $268 \mathrm{mg}(19 \%)$ after flash chromatography (total yield: $1.17 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ):
 3288, 3073, 1687, 1637, 1551, 1458, $1375 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.04$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})$ ), 3.40 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.46 (dd, $J=7.5,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.83 ( $\mathrm{dd} J=4.2,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), $4.48-4.64$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.99-7.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 9.99(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathbf{H})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(S)-\mathbf{2 9}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl peak protons and the methoxy protons, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(S)$ - $\mathbf{2 9}$ and $(R)-\mathbf{2 9}$ (1:2 ratio) gave two signals for the acetyl methyl protons ( $\delta 2.037(S)$ and $2.023(R)$ $(\Delta \mathrm{ppm}=0.014))$ and two signals for the methoxy protons $(\delta 3.317(S)$ and $3.351(R)(\Delta \mathrm{ppm}=$ $0.034)$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 43.4\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 52.7(\mathrm{CH}), 59.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 128.0,130.3,135.9,145.2(4 \mathrm{ArC}), 170.5,170.6(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})), 192.0(\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{H})$; HRMS $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 301.1161\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$301.1164). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of ( $R$ )-N-(4'-Carboxy)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-30)

To a solution of $(R)-31\left(0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 / 1,50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(66 \mathrm{mg}, 2.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting solution was stirred ( 36 h ). The solvent was removed in vacuo, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, acidified to a pH of $\sim 2(1 \mathrm{NHCl})$, extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(8 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield 472 mg of a white powder ( $66 \%$ ): $R_{f}=0.51\left(9 / 1 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right) ; \mathrm{mp} 197-198{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+6.0^{\circ}(c 0.8$, MeOH ); IR (nujol) 3163, 2923, 2856, 1692, 1637, 1533, 1457, 1376, 1291, 1122, 939 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 2.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 3.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.72\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 4.53(\mathrm{t}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, CH), $7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 8.57-8.66\left(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right)$, one amide proton and the carboxylic acid proton were not observed; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right.$ ) $\delta 21.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 42.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 54.2(\mathrm{CH}), 58.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 72.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 127.1,129.6$, 129.8, 144.3 (4 ArC), 168.8 (HOC(O)), 171.6, 172.6 (2 C(O)); LRMS (ESI) $295.1\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{H}^{+}: 295.1$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of (R)-N-(4'-(Methyloxycarbonyl))benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-31)

$\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \%, 400 \mathrm{mg})$ was added to a solution of $(R)-N-\left(4^{\prime}-(\right.$ methyloxycarbonyl) $)$ benzyl 2-N-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-methoxypropionamide ( $1.28 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous MeOH $(35 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was hydrogenated ( $45 \mathrm{psi}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ ), and then filtered through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$. The filtrate was evaporated in vacuo leaving a mixture $(0.80 \mathrm{~g})$ of a white solid and an oil of the desired amine (TLC analysis using ninhydrin indicated the presence of a primary amine).

Using Method D, triethylamine ( $1.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 9 \mathrm{mmol}$, ) and acetyl chloride ( $0.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, $)$ gave 0.43 g of a white solid ( $47 \%$ ) after recrystallization with EtOAc: $R_{f}=0.62\left(9 / 1 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} /\right.$
 $1550,1457 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ), $3.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5$, $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.82 (dd, $J=4.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.91 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})$ ), 4.51-4.55 (m, $\left.\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 6.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.85-6.95\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)$ - $\mathbf{3 1}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl protons and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 43.3\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right), 52.7(\mathbf{C H}), 59.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 72.0\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 127.3,129.4$, 130.1, 143.4 (4

ArC), $167.0(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})), 170.4,170.6(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; HRMS (ESI) $309.1451\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd. for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{H}^{+} 309.1450\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ : C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of (R)-N-(4'-Amino)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-32). ${ }^{7}$

A MeOH solution $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ of $(R)-N$-(4'-nitro)benzyl 2-acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide $(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 6.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ in presence of $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}(160 \mathrm{mg})$ at room temperature ( 16 h ). The mixture was carefully filtered through a bed of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo to obtain a colorless oil that was triturated with EtOAc to give 1.67 g of $(R)$-32 as a white solid $(90 \%)$ : $R_{f}=0.39\left(9 / 1 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right) ; \mathrm{mp} 151-152{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{7} \mathrm{mp}$ $183-184{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ), $3.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,9.3$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.78\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.5,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 4.48-4.55(\mathrm{~m}$, CH ), 6.48 (br d, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $6.60-6.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)$ - 32 gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons.

## Preparation of (R)-N-(4'-(2,2,2-Trifluoroacetamido))benzyl 2-Acetamido-3methoxypropionamide ((R)-33)

(S)-Ethyltrifluorothioacetate $(2.99 \mathrm{~g}, 18.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of $(R)-\mathbf{3 2}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 3.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature and then the reaction was maintained at this temperature ( 3 h ). Addition of EtOAc $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ led to the precipitation of $(R)$ - $\mathbf{3 3}$ as a white solid ( 600 mg ) after filtration. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuum and the residue purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with EtOAc as the eluant to obtain an additional 350 mg of $(R)-33$ as a white solid. The solids were combined to obtain $950 \mathrm{mg}(70 \%)$ of $(R)-\mathbf{3 3}: R_{f}=$ 0.24 (EtOAc); mp 202-204 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{26} \mathrm{D}^{-1.2^{\circ}(c 0.5, ~ D M S O) ; ~ I R ~(n u j o l) ~ 3389, ~ 3282, ~ 1721, ~}$ 1653, 1536, 1459, 1375, 1294, 1249, 1206, 1150, 1066, 974, 896, 838, 727, $653 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ), $3.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ ), $3.45-3.55$ ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathbf{C H H}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 4.27 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=$ $5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), $4.44-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ $\mathrm{ArH}), 8.11\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}\right), 8.51\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 11.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ $\mathrm{NH}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 22.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 41.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.6(\mathrm{CH}), 58.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 115.7\left(\mathrm{q}, J=284.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C F}_{3}\right), 120.1,127.4,134.7$, $136.7(4 \mathrm{ArC}), 154.3(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $\left.37.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HNC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 169.3,169.7(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; $\mathrm{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 362.1321\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{H}^{+}$362.1328). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, H, F, N.

## Preparation of ( $R$ )- $N$-(4'-Azido)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide (( $R$ )-34)

$\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4.85 \mathrm{~g}, 20.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ of $(R)-N$-(4'-azido)benzyl 2-acetamido- 3-hydroxypropionamide ( $1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}(2.61 \mathrm{~mL}, 41.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature under Ar. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature in the dark ( 5 d ), filtered, and the filtrate concentrated in vacuo. The solid was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel $\left(1 / 9 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ to obtain $0.98 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%)$ of $(R)-34$ as a white
 3285, 2931, 2113, 1635, 1560, $1456 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ), 3.38 ( s , $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.44 (dd, $J=7.5,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), $3.80\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.40\left(1 / 2 \mathrm{AB}_{\mathrm{q}}\right.$, $\left.J=6.2,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 4.46\left(1 / 2 \mathrm{AB}_{\mathrm{q}}, J=6.2,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 4.52-4.58(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.48$ (br d, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 6.82-6.85 (br m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.96-7.01 (m, 2 ArH ), 7.23-7.28 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)-\mathbf{3 4}$ gave only a single signal for the acetyl methyl protons and the ether methyl protons, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)$ - $\mathbf{3 4}$ and $(S)$ - $\mathbf{3 4}$ (1:2 ratio) gave two signals for the acetyl methyl protons ( $\delta 1.995(R)$ and $2.010(S)(\Delta \mathrm{ppm}=0.015)$ ), and two signals for the ether methyl protons $(\delta 3.302(S)$ and $3.342(R)(\Delta \mathrm{ppm}=0.040)) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $23.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 43.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.7(\mathrm{CH}), 59.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 119.5,129.1$,
134.9, 139.5 (4 ArC), 170.2, 170.5 (2 C(O)); HRMS (M + H ${ }^{+}$)(ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) 292.1406\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}^{+}$292.1410). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ : C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of (S)-N-(4'-Azido)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((S)-34)

Utilizing the preceding procedure, $(S)-N$-(4'-azido)benzyl 2-acetamido-3-
hydroxypropionamide ( $2.40 \mathrm{~g}, 8.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10.04 \mathrm{~g}, 43.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{MeI}(5.40 \mathrm{~mL}$, 86.6 mmol ) gave crude ( S )- N -(4'-azido)benzyl 2-acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide after 4 d. The product was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1 / 9 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ to obtain $2.05 \mathrm{~g}(81 \%)$ of $(S)-\mathbf{3 4}$ as a white solid: $R_{f}=0.50\left(1 / 9 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{mp} 149-150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{26} \mathrm{D}+15.4^{\circ}$ ( $c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); IR (nujol) $3285,2927,2112,1635,1565,1457 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ), $3.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.43\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.81$ (dd, $\left.J=4.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.40\left(1 / 2 \mathrm{AB}_{\mathrm{q}}, J=6.0,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 4.46\left(1 / 2 \mathrm{AB}_{\mathrm{q}}, J=6.0\right.$, $\left.15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 4.51-4.57$ (m, CH), 6.43 (br d, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 6.78-6.83 (br $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 6.96-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.23-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(S)$ - $\mathbf{3 4}$ gave only a single signal for the acetyl methyl protons and the ether methyl protons, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R) \mathbf{- 3 4}$ and ( $S$ )-34 (1:2 ratio) gave two signals for the acetyl methyl protons ( $\delta 1.995(R)$ and $2.010(S)(\Delta \mathrm{ppm}=0.015))$, and the ether methyl protons $(\delta 3.302(S)$ and $3.342(R)(\Delta \mathrm{ppm}=$ $0.040)$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 43.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.7(\mathrm{CH}), 59.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 72.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 119.4,129.1,135.0,139.4(4 \mathrm{ArC}), 170.3,170.6(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; HRMS ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$) $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 292.1405\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}^{+}$292.1410). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ : C, H , N.

## Preparation of $(R)$-N-(4'-Methoxy)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-35)

A MeOH solution ( 300 mL ) of ( $R$ )- N -(4'-methoxy)benzyl 2- N -(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3methoxypropionamide ( $2.40 \mathrm{~g}, 6.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ in presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} /$ $\mathrm{C}(480 \mathrm{mg})$ at room temperature ( 16 h ). The mixture was carefully filtered through a bed of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo to obtain a colorless oil.

Using Method D, triethylamine ( $1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride ( $550 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 7.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $900 \mathrm{mg}(70 \%)$ of $(R)-\mathbf{3 5}$ as a white solid after trituration in EtOAc: $R_{f}=0.82$ (EtOAc); mp 146-147 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}^{-26.0^{\circ}}\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); IR (nujol) 3283, 2861, 1642, 1520, 1458, 1377, $1299,1255,1176,1127,1031,978,719 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 3.37$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $3.43\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right.$ ), $3.76-3.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.39(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), $4.50-4.57(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.49\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.71-6.82$ (br $\left.\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)-$ $(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)-\mathbf{3 5}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 43.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right)$, $52.4(\mathrm{CH}), 55.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 59.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 114.1,128.8$, 129.9, $159.0(4$ $\mathrm{ArC}), 169.8,170.3(2 \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 303.1320\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 303.1321\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, H, N.

## Preparation of (R)-N-(4'-Chloro)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-36)

A saturated HCl solution in dioxane $(1 \mathrm{mmol} / 2 \mathrm{~mL}, 24.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to $(R)-\mathrm{N}$-(4'-chloro) benzyl 2- N - (tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methoxypropionamide ( $4.10 \mathrm{~g}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ}$ C and the solution was stirred at room temperature ( 2 h ). The reaction solution was concentrated in vacuo and dried ( 30 min ).

Using Method D, triethylamine ( $5.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 36.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride ( $1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $3.10 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%)$ of $(R)-\mathbf{3 6}$ as a white solid after recrystallization with EtOAc: $R_{f}=0.42$ (EtOAc); mp $155^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{27.0} \mathrm{D}^{-20.5^{\circ}}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (nujol) $3288,3277,3162,2900,1634$, 1556, 1457, 1375, 1306, 1259, 1193, 1137, 1098, 1045, 964, 909, 801, $732 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
$\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ), $3.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.43$ (dd, $\left.J=7.8,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.78$ (dd, $\left.J=4.2,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.34-4.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 4.54-4.61(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.54(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 6.94-7.03 (br t, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.29$ (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ $\mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)-\mathbf{3 6}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 42.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.5\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 59.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.7\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 128.7$, 133.2, 136.4, ( 3 ArC ), 170.1, 170.4 ( $2 \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O})$ ), one signal was not detected and is believed to overlap with nearby peaks; $\mathrm{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 285.1006\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}^{+}$285.1006). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ : C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of (R)-N-(4'-Bromo)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxy-propionamide ((R)-37)

Using Method $\mathrm{D},(R)-\mathrm{N}$-(4'-bromo)benzyl 2-amino-3-methoxypropionamide hydrochloride $(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 3.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, triethylamine $(1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and acetyl chloride $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ gave $690 \mathrm{mg}(68 \%)$ of $(R)-37$ as a white solid after recrystallization with EtOAc: $R_{f}=0.08$ (7/3, EtOAc/hexanes); mp $159-161^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}^{-15.9^{\circ}}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (nujol mull) 3272, 3093, 2919, 2860, 1634, 1555, 1457, 1375, 1306, 1254, 1197, 1135, 1046, 964, 907, 795, 740, $606,550,468 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.04\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 3.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5$, $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.81 (dd, $J=4.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 4.37-4.48 (m, CH2NH), 4.51-4.57 (m, CH ), 6.40-6.42 (br d, $\mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 6.76-6.84 (br t, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.14 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)-\mathbf{3 7}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl protons and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 42.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.4(\mathrm{CH}), 59.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 121.3,129.1,131.7,137.0(4 \mathrm{ArC}), 170.1,170.4$ (2 C(O)); LRMS (+ESI) 351.0 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}(100 \%), 353.0[\mathrm{M}+2+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}(100 \%)$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 351.0[\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of ( $R$ )- $\mathbf{N}$-(4'-lodo)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-38)

A saturated HCl solution in dioxane ( $1 \mathrm{mmol} / 2 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to $(R)-\mathrm{N}$-( $4^{\prime}$-iodo) benzyl 2- $N$-(tertbutoxycarbonyl) amino-3-methoxypropionamide ( $5.50 \mathrm{~g}, 12.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the solution was stirred at room temperature $(2 \mathrm{~h})$. The reaction solution was concentrated in vacuo and dried (30 min).

Using Method D, triethylamine ( $10.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 76.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride ( $2.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 38.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $3.40 \mathrm{~g}(71 \%)$ of $(R)-\mathbf{3 8}$ as a white solid after recrystallization with EtOAc: $R_{f}=0.76(5 / 5$ acetone/EtOAc); mp $159-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=+3.3^{\circ}(c 1.0$, DMSO); IR (nujol) 3279, 1636, 1552, 1457, 1375, 1305, 1139, $725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ), 3.38 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.44 (dd, $\left.J=7.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.79$ (dd, $J=4.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 4.38-4.41 (m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), 4.52-4.59 (m, CH), 6.46 (br d, $\left.J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.85-6.93$ (br t, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)-$ mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)$ - $\mathbf{3 8}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 42.9\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right)$, $52.4(\mathbf{C H}), 59.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 92.7(\mathbf{C I}), 129.3,137.7,139.1(3 \mathrm{ArC}), 170.1$, $170.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); HRMS $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 399.0177\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{IN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$ 399.0182). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{IN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of (S)-N-(4'-lodo)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((S)-38)

Employing the preceding procedure and using (S)-2-N-(4'-iodo)benzyl 2-N-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methoxypropionamide ( $3.70 \mathrm{~g}, 8.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), saturated dioxane solution of $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{mmol} / 2 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, triethylamine ( $3.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride $(906 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 12.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ gave $2.43 \mathrm{~g}(76 \%)$ of $(S)$ - $\mathbf{3 8}$ as a white solid after recrystallization with EtOAc: $R_{f}=0.76$ ( $5 / 5$ acetone/EtOAc); mp $159-160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-3.2^{\circ}(c 1.0$, DMSO); IR (nujol) $3278,1636,1552,1458,1375,1305,1138,725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.02(\mathrm{~s}$,
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})$ ), $3.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.43\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.38-4.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 4.53-4.59(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.47\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 6.85-6.93 (br t, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.00 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.64 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(S)-\mathbf{3 8}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 22.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 41.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.5(\mathbf{C H}), 58.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.9\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 92.2(\mathbf{C I}), 129.3$, 136.8, 139.1 ( 3 ArC ), 169.3, $169.7\left(2 \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); HRMS $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 399.0177\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{IN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$399.0182). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{IN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ : C, H, I, N.

## Preparation of (R)-N-(4'-Sulfamoyl)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-39)

Using Method A, (R)-2-acetamido-3-methoxypropionoic acid ${ }^{25}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 6.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, NMM ( 1.5 $\mathrm{mL}, 13.7 \mathrm{mmol})$, IBCF $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and methyl 4-(aminomethyl) benzenesulfonamide hydrochloride $(2.07 \mathrm{~g}, 9.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ gave $1.25 \mathrm{~g}(61 \%)$ of $(R)-39$ as a white solid after purification by column chromatography $\left(1 / 7 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ and recrystallized $\left(\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): R_{f}=0.35$ $\left(1 / 7 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; mp $177-179^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+10.7^{\circ}(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH})$; IR (nujol) 3293, 2935, $1623,1557,1459 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}(\mathrm{O})\right), 3.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.46-3.56$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 4.43-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 8.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 8.59(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $\left.6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 22.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}(\mathrm{O})\right), 41.7\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.7(\mathbf{C H}), 58.2$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 72.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 125.5,127.2,142.5,143.5(4 \mathrm{ArC}), 169.5,169.9(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) 330.1117\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{SH}^{+}$330.1124). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$.

## Preparation of (R)-N-(3',4'-Dichloro)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-60)

Using Method $\mathrm{D},(R)-\mathrm{N}$-( $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$-dichloro)benzyl 2-amino-3-methoxypropionamide hydrochloride ( $3.50 \mathrm{~g}, 11.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triethylamine ( $4.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride $(0.95 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ gave $1.60 \mathrm{~g}(45 \%)$ of $(R)-\mathbf{6 0}$ as a white solid after recrystallization with EtOAc: $R_{f}=0.16$ (EtOAc/hexanes, $7 / 3$ ); $\mathrm{mp}=165^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{24.9}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-10.5^{\circ}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (nujol) $3292,3096,2927,2859,1636,1555,1459,1379,1256,1135,1034,815,724,604,491$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.05\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 3.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.45\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}\right.$ O), 3.82 (dd, $J=4.1,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 4.39-4.49 (m, CH2NH), 4.52-4.59 (m, CH), 6.39-6.41 (br d, $\mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 6.80-6.90 (br t, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 7.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.1,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.36$ (d, $J=$ $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{ArH}))$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)-\mathbf{6 0}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl protons and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 42.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.6(\mathbf{C H})$, $59.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 126.6,129.1,130.5,131.3,132.6,138.3(6 \mathrm{ArC}), 170.2,170.4$ (2 C(O)); LRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) $341.05\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}, 100 \%\right], 343.05\left[\mathrm{M}+2+\mathrm{Na}^{+}, 64 \%\right], 345.05[\mathrm{M}+4$ $+\mathrm{Na}^{+}, 11 \%$ ] (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$341.04). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Preparation of (R)- $N$-(2',4'-Dichloro)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-61)

Using Method D, (R)-N-(2', 4'-dichloro)benzyl 2-amino-3-methoxypropionamide hydrochloride ( $2.70 \mathrm{~g}, 7.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) triethylamine $(3.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and acetyl chloride $(0.6$ $\mathrm{mL}, 8.6 \mathrm{mmol}$,) gave $1.45 \mathrm{~g}(63 \%)$ of $(R)-61$ as a white solid after recrystallization with EtOAc: $R_{f}=0.26(\mathrm{EtOAc}) ; \mathrm{mp}=149^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{23.7} \mathrm{D}^{-11.0^{\circ}}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (nujol) 3477, 3399, 3276, 2919, 2854, 2725, 2363, 1637, 1552, 1458, 1376, 1304, 1251, 1135, 1102, 1050, 974, 821, $724,606,505 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.04\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 3.37-3.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}\right)$, 3.80 (dd, $J=4.1,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ ), 4.43-4.57 (m, CH2NH, CH), 6.36-6.40 (br d, NHC(O) $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 6.90-7.02 (br t, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.22 (dd, $J=2.1,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ ArH), $7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{ArH})$, addition of excess $(R)-(-)$-mandelic acid to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of $(R)-\mathbf{6 1}$ gave only one signal for the acetyl methyl protons and one signal for the ether methyl protons; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right), 40.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 52.4(\mathbf{C H}), 59.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 71.7
$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 127.2,129.2,130.3,133.8,133.9(5 \mathrm{ArC}), 170.2,170.4(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$, one signal was not detected and is believed to overlap with nearby peaks; LRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) $341.08\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right.$, $100 \%$ ], $343.08\left[\mathrm{M}+2+\mathrm{Na}^{+}, 66 \%\right], 345.07\left[\mathrm{M}+4+\mathrm{Na}^{+}, 13 \%\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$341.04). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ : C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Pharmacology

Compounds were screened under the auspices of the National Institutes of Health's Anticonvulsant Screening Program (ASP). Experiments were performed in male rodents [albino Carworth Farms No. 1 mice (intraperitoneal route, ip), albino Spague-Dawley rats (oral route, po)]. Housing, handling, and feeding were in full accordance with recommendations contained in the 'Guide for the Care and Use of laboratory Animals'. Anticonvulsant activity was established using the MES test, ${ }^{33,42}$ the scMet test, ${ }^{33}$ and the rapid hippocampal kindled rat model ${ }^{39}$ using previously reported methods. ${ }^{26}$
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## Scheme 1.

General Procedure for the Preparation of (R)- and (S)-N-(4'-Substituted)benzyl 2-
Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide Derivatives


Scheme 2.
Sonogashira Coupling of Compound 38 to give Derivatives 12, 23, 24, 25, 26 and 27


Scheme 3.
Catalytic Reduction Procedures to Prepare Compounds 20, 32, 33


## Scheme 4.

Preparation of Compounds (R)-34 and (S)-34


Scheme 5.
Deprotection Procedures Used to Prepare Compounds 15, 29 and 30


Scheme 6.
Preparation of Compound (R)-19
Effect of Site of $N$-Benzyl Substitution on the Anticonvulsant Activities of $(R)$-( $N$ )-(4-Substituted)benzyl 2-Acetamido-3-methoxypropionamides Derivatives ${ }^{a}$

| No. | $\mathrm{R}^{4}$ | Aryl Site | $\mathrm{Mp}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | $\operatorname{Rat}(\mathbf{p o})^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | MES, ${ }^{\boldsymbol{d}} \mathrm{ED}_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{e} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | PI $f$ | MES, ${ }^{\boldsymbol{d}} \mathbf{E D}_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {g }} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | PI ${ }^{f}$ |
| (R)-3 ${ }^{h}$ | H |  | 143-144 | 4.5 [0.5] (3.7-5.5) | 27 [0.25] (26-28) | 6.0 | 3.9 [2] (2.6-6.2) | $>500$ [0.5] | >130 |
| $(R)-4$ | F | $2^{\prime}$ | 173-175 | $>10,<30[0.5]$ | >100, <300 [0.5] |  | $11[0.25](9.5-13)$ | >30 [0.5] | >2.7 |
| $(R)-5^{h}$ | F | $3^{\prime}$ | 150-151 | $6.9[0.25](6.1-8.0)$ | $46[0.25](40-55)$ | 6.7 | $6.9[0.5](4.3-9.9)$ | $>400$ | $>58$ |
| (R)-6 $\mathbf{6}^{h}$ | F | $4^{\prime}$ | 144-145 | $4.2[0.5](3.5-5.1)$ | $28[0.25](22-34)$ | 6.7 | 2.6 [2] (1.9-3.6) | $>125,<250$ | >48 |
| $(R)$-7 | $\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ | $2^{\prime}$ | 130-131 | $>30,<100$ [0.25] | >100, <300 [0.5] |  | 23 [0.25] (16-35) | $>500$ | $>22$ |
| $(R)-8$ | $\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ | $3^{\prime}$ | 147-148 | $>10,<30[0.5]$ | $>30,<100$ [0.5] |  |  |  |  |
| $(R)-9$ | $\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ | $4^{\prime}$ | 134-135 | $3.6[0.25](3.0-4.3)$ | $13[0.25](9.2-19)$ | 3.6 | 1.7 [0.5] (1.4-2.2) | $63[0.5](47-76)$ | 37 |
| phenytoin ${ }^{i}$ |  |  |  | $9.5[2](8.1-10)$ | $66[2](53-72)$ | 6.9 | $30[4](22-39)$ | ${ }^{j}$ | >100 |
| phenobarbital ${ }^{i}$ |  |  |  | $22[1](15-23)$ | $69[0.5](63-73)$ | 3.2 | $9.1[5](7.6-12)$ | $61[0.5]$ (44-96) | 6.7 |
| valproate ${ }^{i}$ |  |  |  | 270 [0.25] (250-340) | $430[0.25](370-450)$ | 1.6 | $490[0.5](350-730)$ | $\begin{gathered} 280[0.5](190- \\ 350) \end{gathered}$ | 0.6 |

[^2]
${ }^{h}$ Choi, D.; Stables, J. P.; Kohn, H. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 1907-1916.
${ }^{i}{ }^{\text {Porter, R. J.; Cereghino, J J.; Gladding, G. D.; Hessie, B. J.: Kupferberg, H. J.; Scoville, B.; White, B. G.; Cleveland Clin. Q. 1984, 51, 293-305. }}$
$$
{ }^{j} \text { No ataxia observed up to } 3000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \text {. }
$$


| No. | $\mathrm{R}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{Mp}\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | Rat (po) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MES, ${ }^{\boldsymbol{d}} \mathrm{ED}_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {e }} \mathbf{T D}_{50}$ | ${ }_{\text {PI }} f$ | MES, ${ }^{\boldsymbol{d}} \mathrm{ED}_{50}$ | Tox,,$^{\text {TD }}$ 50 | $\mathrm{PI} f$ |
| ${ }^{(R)-17}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 119-120 | 73 [0.25] (62-88) | $\begin{gathered} 180[0.25](160-210) \\ {[0.25]} \end{gathered}$ | 2.3 | $45[0.5]$ (29-76) | $>500$ [0.25 to 4.0 ] | >11 |
| $(R)-18$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 160-161 | $>10,<30[0.5]$ | $>100,<300[0.5]$ |  | 4.9 [1] (2.7-7.6) | >280 | >57 |
| (R)-19 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | 118 | $>300[0.5]$ | $>300[0.5]$ |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{(R)}$ )20 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 105-107 | $20[0.25](18-23)$ | $62[0.25](57-74)$ | 3.1 | $16[0.5]$ (8.8-25) | $>500$ [0. 5 to 4.0 ] | >32 |
| (R)-21 | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 148-149 | $3.5[0.25](2.8-4.6)$ | $16[0.25](11-19)$ | 4.6 | $7.6[0.5](2.9-12)$ | $>150,<225$ | >20 |
| ${ }_{(R)-22}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 178-180 | $8.0[0.5]$ (5.3-12) | 11 [0.5] |  | $2.0[0.5](0.8-3.4)$ | $49[0.5](34-77)$ | >25 |
| ${ }_{(R)-23^{i}}$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}$ | 161-162 | $>3,<10[0.5]$ | $>10,<30[0.5]$ |  | $3.4[0.5](2.0-6.1)$ | >250 | >4 |
| ${ }_{(R)-24}$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 178-180 | $>10,<30[0.5]$ | $>30,<100[0.5]$ |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{(R)-25}$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | 120-121 | >300 | >30, <100[0.5] |  |  |  |  |
| (R)-26 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | 126-127 | >3 [0.5] | $>3,<10[0.5]$ |  |  |  |  |
| (R)-27 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 141-142 | $10[0.25]$ (7.7-12) | $15[0.25](13-17)$ | 1.5 | 18 [1] (8.6-33) | $100[0.5]$ (86-120) | 5.5 |



| No. | $\mathrm{R}^{4}$ | $\mathbf{M p}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | $\operatorname{Rat}(\mathbf{p o})^{\boldsymbol{c}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MES, ${ }^{\boldsymbol{d}} \mathbf{E D}_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{e}{ }^{\text {TD }}{ }_{\text {50 }}$ | PI ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | MES, ${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{ED}_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {g }} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | PI $f$ |
| (R)-28 | CN | 168-169 | 150 [1.0] (140-170) | $>500$ | >3.3 | >30 | >30 |  |
| (R)-29 | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{O}$ | 132-133 | >300 | >300 |  |  |  |  |
| (R)-30 | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | 197-198 | >300 [0.5] | >300 [0.5] |  |  |  |  |
| (R)-31 | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 167-168 | >100, <300 | > 300 [0.5] |  |  |  |  |
| (R)-32 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 151-152 | > 300 [0.5] | $>300$ [0.5] |  |  |  |  |
| (R)-33 | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{C}(\mathbf{O}) \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 202-204 | > 300 [0.5] | >100, <300 [0.5] |  | >30 | >30 |  |
| (R)-34 | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 149-150 | 8.4 [0.25] (5.7-12) | 46 | 5.5 | $3.9[0.5](2.5-6.2)$ | >250 | >64 |
| (R)-35 | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 146-147 | $>30,<100[0.5]$ | >100, <300 [0.5] |  | $28[0.5](21-35)$ | $>500$ [0.25 to 4.0] | >188 |
| (R)-9 | $\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ | 134-135 | 3.6 [0.25] (3.0-4.3) | 13 [0.25] (9.2-19) | 3.6 | 1.7 [0.5] (1.4-2.2) | 63 [0.5] (48-76) | 37 |
| $(R)-6^{h}$ | F | 144-145 | 4.2 [0.25] (3.5-5.1) | 28 [0.25] (22-34) | 6.7 | $2.6[2](1.9-3.6)$ | $>125,<250$ | >48 |
| (R)-36 | Cl | 155 | 5.0 [0.25] (4.4-5.6) | 28 [0.25] (22-33) | 5.6 | $1.0[0.5](0.4-1.8)$ | >200 | >200 |


| No. | $\mathrm{R}^{4}$ | Mp( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | $\operatorname{Rat}(\mathbf{p o})^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MES ${ }^{\boldsymbol{d}} \mathrm{ED}_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{e} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | ${ }_{\text {PI }}{ }^{\text {f }}$ | MES, ${ }^{\boldsymbol{d}} \mathrm{ED}_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\boldsymbol{g}} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | PI ${ } f$ |
| (R)-37 | Br | 159-161 | 8.7 [0.25] (7.2-10) | 30 [0.25] (24-36) | 3.5 | 4.9 [0.5] (3.0-7.2) | 300 [0.25] (217-347) | 61 |
| (R)-38 | I | 159-160 | 16 [0.25] (14-18) | 41 [0.25] (28-58) | 2.6 | $12[0.25](7.0-19)$ | $>500$ [0.25 to 4.0] | $>43$ |
| (R)-39 | SO2NH2 | 177-179 | >300 [0.5] | >300 [0.5] |  |  |  |  |
| phenytoin ${ }^{j}$ |  |  | $9.5[2](8.1-10)$ | $66[2](53-72)$ | 6.9 | $30[4](22-39)$ | $k$ | >100 |
| phenobarbital ${ }^{j}$ |  |  | $22[1](15-23)$ | $69[0.5](63-73)$ | 3.2 | 9.1 [5] (7.6-12) | $61[0.5](44-96)$ | 6.7 |
| valproate ${ }^{j}$ |  |  | 270 [0.25] (250-340) | 430 [0.25] (370-450) | 1.6 | $490\left[\begin{array}{c} {[0.5](350)} \\ 730) \end{array}\right.$ | $280[0.5](190-350)$ | 0.6 |

[^3]${ }^{\text {Porter, R. J.; Cereghino, J J.; Gladding, G. D.; Hessie, B. J.: Kupferberg, H. J.; Scoville, B.; White, B. G.; Cleveland Clin. Q. 1984, 51, 293-305. }}$ ${ }^{k}$ No ataxia observed up to $3000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$.

${ }^{\text {The compounds were tested through the auspices of the NINDS ASP. }}$
${ }^{6}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally. ED50 and TD50 values are in milligrams per kilogram.
${ }^{d}$ MES $=$ maximal electroshock seizure test.
${ }^{e}$ TD50 value determined from the rotorod tes
${ }^{j}$ Porter, R. J.; Cereghino, J J.; Gladding, G. D.; Hessie, B. J.: Kupferberg, H. J.; Scoville, B.; White, B. G.; Cleveland Clin. Q. 1984, 51, 293-305, ${ }^{k}$ No ataxia observed up to $3000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$.


| No. | $\mathbf{R}^{4}$ | Preliminary Hippocampal Kindling Test (Rat) |  |  |  | Hippocampal Kindled Rat ( $\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ h) (ip) $\qquad$ $\mathbf{E D}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ (interval) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Seizure Score |  | After discharge duration (sec) |  |  |
|  |  | Pre-drug | Drug | Pre-drug | Drug |  |
| (R)-17 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 5 | 0 | 40-69 | 12 | 87 (58-129) |
| (R)-20 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 4-5 | 2 | 21-40 | 21 | ND |
| (R)-21 | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 4-5 | 0 | 27-43 | 0-11 | ND |
| (R)-34 | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 4 | 0 | 29-65 | 0 | 6 (3-9) |
| (R)-36 | Cl | 4-5 | 0 | 25-159 | 2 | ND |
| (R)-38 | I | 5 | 0 | 17-36 | 0-4 | $12(7.5-18)$ |


${ }^{a}$ The compounds were tested through the auspices of the NINDS ASP.
${ }^{b_{\text {Ref }}} 23$.
${ }^{c}$ NINDS ASP internal control data.
NINDS ASP internal control data


[^0]:    CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Division of Medicinal Chemistry and Natural Products, UNC Eshelman School of Pharmacy, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-7568 and Department of Chemistry, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-3290, hkohn@email.unc.edu, Telephone: 919-843-8112, Fax number: 919-966-0204.
    Supporting Information Available: Synthetic procedures for the intermediates leading to the preparation of $(R)-\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{7 - 3 1}, \mathbf{3 3}-\mathbf{3 9}, \mathbf{6 0}$, and $\mathbf{6 1}$, and (S)-11, 21, 23, 26, 28, 29, 34, and 38, elemental analyses, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of compounds evaluated in this study. This material is available free of charge via the internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ Abbreviations: FAA, functionalized amino acid; MES, maximal electroshock seizure; SAR, structure activity relationship; AB, affinity bait; CR, chemical reporter; IBCF, isobutyl chloroformate; NMM, $N$-methyl morpholine; DMTMM, 4-(4,6-dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-methylmorpholinium chloride; National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, NINDS; ASP, Anticonvulsant Screening Program; ip, intraperitoneally; po, orally; ED50, effective dose (50\%); TD50, neurological impairment (toxicity, 50\%); PI, protective index; scMet, subcutaneous Metrazol.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {The compounds were tested through the auspices of the NINDS ASP. }}$
    ${ }^{b}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally. ED50 and TD50 values are in milligrams per kilogram.
    ${ }^{c}$ The compounds were administered orally. ED50 and TD50 values are in mg/kg.

[^3]:    $a_{\text {The compounds were tested through the auspices of the NINDS ASP. }}$
    ${ }^{b}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally. ED50 and TD50 values are in milligrams per kilogram.
    ${ }^{c}$ The compounds were administered orally. ED50 and TD50 values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$.

