# NIH PUDIIC ACCESS

**Author Manuscript** 

J Health Care Poor Underserved. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 November 0

Published in final edited form as:

J Health Care Poor Underserved. 2013 November ; 24(4 0): 29–37. doi:10.1353/hpu.2014.0015.

## Hepatitis-C Multimedia Prevention Program in Poor Hispanic HIV-Infected Injecting Drug Users: Six Months after Intervention

Angel M. Mayor<sup>1</sup>, Diana M. Fernández<sup>1</sup>, Héctor M. Colón<sup>2</sup>, James C. Thomas<sup>3</sup>, Christine Miranda<sup>1</sup>, and Robert F. Hunter-Mellado<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Retrovirus Research Center, Internal Medicine Department, Universidad Central del Caribe School of Medicine, Bayamón, PR

<sup>2</sup>Center for Addiction Studies, Universidad Central del Caribe School of Medicine, Bayamón, PR

<sup>3</sup>Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC

### Abstract

**Background**—In order to prevent the spread of the hepatitis C virus (HCV) amongst Hispanic injecting drug users (IDUs), we developed, validated, and implemented a multimedia educational intervention program.

**Methods**—A pre-post intervention study design was used to evaluate long-lasting knowledge and behavior changes in a group of 88 poor Hispanic HIV-infected IDUs. Pre-intervention data was compared with data measured six months after the intervention.

**Results**—A significant increase in the awareness regarding HCV clinical manifestations, HCV risky behaviors, HCV prevention practices and HIV/HCV co-infection synergisms was observed in the group six months post intervention.

**Conclusion**—Our study confirms the long-lasting benefits of multimedia based intervention programs for disseminating HCV prevention strategies in IDUs. Therefore, preventive educational approaches that use images, figures, and animations tools can be recommended to target and tailor interventions for vulnerable populations.

## Introduction

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) are blood-borne pathogens, commonly transmitted by sharing drug injecting equipment and paraphernalia used in the preparation and administration of drugs for injection.<sup>1-3</sup> Both viral infections are unevenly distributed across ethnic groups of injecting drug users (IDUs) in the US and elsewhere, with US African Americans and Hispanics having disproportionately higher rates.<sup>3-5</sup> Although significant advances have been made in preventing HCV and HIV-infection in IDUs, there are still gaps which must be addressed.<sup>1, 6</sup> Syringe exchange programs (SEP) and methadone maintenance treatment programs (MMTP) are well known prevention strategies designed to engage the individual in harm reduction practices for HCV and HIV transmission.<sup>7-9</sup> However, additional prevention strategies are needed, especially in countries where these type of programs are poorly designed or implemented. In Puerto Rico for example, less than 15% of the syringes used by IDUs come from SEP. Most are from

private sellers who do not necessarily provide educational information on how to reduce risks of blood borne infections.<sup>10</sup> Consequently, complementing SEP and MMTP approaches with prevention strategies that promote safe injection education is critical.<sup>11-13</sup> Based on this need, we developed and implemented a multimedia HCV prevention intervention program for vulnerable persons, based on the Health Belief Model (HBM) and Social Cognitive Theory (SCT) framework.<sup>14</sup> The principal behavioral target of this intervention was the reduction of HCV-associated risk behaviors among Hispanic HIV-infected IDUs. Previously published results described the feasibility, acceptability, and immediate short-term effectiveness of this program.<sup>14, 15</sup> The present study is reporting the long lasting knowledge and behavior's changes, measured six months after the intervention.

#### Methods

#### Study design

The study was an intervention trial conducted on a group of HIV-infected persons recruited from February 2006 through December 2008. Participants were HIV-infected IDUs visiting the Retrovirus Research Center (RRC) in Bayamón, Puerto Rico, for HIV-related care. Most of the patients seen through the RRC have an annual family income below the US Federal Poverty Level. A test-retest study design was used to evaluate the effects of a novel multimedia educational intervention based on the HBM and SCT framework.<sup>14-15</sup> A self-administered questionnaire evaluated changes in HCV knowledge, perception of disease severity and infection's susceptibility, as well as changes in HCV risk behavior practices in HIV-infected persons. The questionnaire was administered at eight weeks and six months after the intervention. The instrument utilized Likert scales, with other measures, to evaluate disease severity perceptions and risk behavior practices. This study's educational intervention, outcome measures collection and evaluation have been described in previously published work.<sup>14-15</sup> The study was conducted with the approval of the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Universidad Central del Caribe, at Bayamón Puerto Rico.

#### Statistical analysis

Differences in the data within baseline and between baseline and follow-up were assessed with Chi Square, t-test, McNemar and Wilcoxon signed ranks tests. Non- parametric tests were used to avoid unequal pairwise correlation across repeated measures and to correct for small sample size effects. A 2-tailed p-value of less than 0.05 was used to evaluate statistical significance. Statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package of Social Sciences (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

#### Results

#### Study enrollment

Of the total of 138 participants enrolled in the study, 88 (63.7%) completed the 6-month evaluation questionnaire. No statistical differences at enrollment were observed between those who completed and those who did not completed this evaluation (Table 1). Of the 88 participants, 72 (81.8%) were male and 72 (81.8%) were co-infected with HCV (Table 1).

The mean age was 42.7 years, with a standard deviation (SD) of  $\pm$  9.2 years and the mean educational level was 10<sup>th</sup> grade. All the study participants had an annual family income that was below the US Federal Poverty Level. Over one third of them (39.8%) reported active

drug injection practices during the six months prior to study enrollment; 20 (22.7%) reporting active drug injection practices during the previous month before enrollment into the study (table 1).

#### Hepatitis and HCV knowledge changes

Six months from baseline, study participants showed a significant improvement in their ability to accurately define hepatitis as liver damage and to identify the presence of a virus as the cause of the HCV (Table 2). Similarly, knowledge concerning viral distribution in bodily fluids, HCV clinical manifestations, HCV treatments, and HCV co-infection increased significantly at the 6<sup>th</sup> month's re-evaluation (Table 2). This knowledge improvement was more relevant in relation to the HCV treatment, lack of HCV vaccine, and HIV co-infection (Table 2). Knowledge of HCV-infection associated risk behaviors also had an incremental increase over time, especially in relationship to sharing drug paraphernalia, sharing razors, unprotected sex, and getting body piercings (Table 3). Conversely, the significant reduction in the misconceptions that coughing, sneezing, or sharing food/utensils contributed to the HCV transmission remained in the 6<sup>th</sup> month's re-evaluation (Table 3).

#### **HCV** perception of severity

The perception of HCV infection as a severe healthcare disease remained high over the study period. However, the perception of HCV infection as a cause for liver cirrhosis and cancer slightly decreased over the study period (Table 4). The current intervention highlighted the positive effect of an adequate and opportune HCV therapy in the infection's prognosis, and the spontaneous remission in over 20% of the cases. Based on these data, we can hypothesize that the HCV knowledge improvement could be related to this awareness's reduction.

#### HCV risk-behavior changes

The number persons who reported active drug injecting behaviors in the previous month decreased from 20 at enrollment to 12 at the six month evaluation. Similarly, the reported HCV risk behavior, related to their injecting drug use practices, decreased significantly compared to baseline, especially in the use of shooting galleries and in paraphernalia sharing (Table 4).

#### Discussion

It is well known that drug users, especially IDUs are one of the most vulnerable groups to increased risk of infection and reduced access to healthcare, health education, and prevention strategies.<sup>3, 16</sup> Existing limitations in access to HCV and HIV education and preventive interventions in IDUs increases the transmission of the viruses.<sup>8</sup> Because HCV and HIV are easily spread through the blood, especially during drug injection process, it is generally accepted that the strategies to prevent HCV transmission among IDUs will also prevent HIV transmission.<sup>1, 8, 16</sup> Syringe exchange programs, a well-known prevention

strategy for preventing blood-borne infections, exist in Puerto Rico; however, they are not commonly used by IDUs. Syringes are obtained primarily from private sellers, who do not typically provide educational strategies that emphasis the reduction of the HCV transmission.<sup>10</sup>

The present study supported that the HCV multimedia educational intervention created for IDUs could produce durable health-related behavior changes by improving the HCV infection knowledge and by promoting preventive strategies. Our previous publication reported knowledge improvement and HCV risk behaviors reduction two months after the intervention. The present study demonstrated that these changes were sustained six months post-intervention.

Given the high HCV prevalence in the participant group, the more relevant prevention issue for this study is if there would be a reduction of further HCV transmission, rather than a reduced incidence of HCV infection in the participants. The high baseline prevalence of HCV in the study group has limited the possibility of evaluating the prevention effects of the multimedia intervention in reducing the HCV incidence among uninfected IDUs. This represents a study limitation that requires further evaluation. However, there was a sustained reduction in the sharing of syringes and drug preparation equipment reported by the participants. This behavior change would directly reduce the opportunities for cross-contamination of these devices with HCV infected blood. In theory, a reduction in the infection source would have a preventive effect among the uninfected population. Interrupting HCV and HIV transmission has been seen as the optimal goal and standard public health concept of disease reduction.<sup>17</sup> Lessening the HCV burden from the risky behavior practices would reduce the scope of the virus transmission, diminishing the overall incidence of HCV in IDUs.

This study does have several limitations. First, not all participants completed the 6<sup>th</sup> month post-intervention evaluation, introducing a potential source of bias. Second, the study measured only self-reported behaviors which may have been an under- or overestimation of the actual behavior change. Third, participants' tendency to provide socially acceptable or desired responses to the questionnaire may have introduced bias into the data. Fourth, a low prevalence of active injecting drug use in the study group may limit the program's goal of reducing HCV-infection risk behavior. Therefore, this multimedia intervention would need to be implemented in uninfected IDUs at an earlier stage of drug addiction and with a higher level of active drug use in order to confirm the lasting preventive trend suggested here.

In conclusion, this computer-based intervention appears to be helpful in generating durable changes related to HCV knowledge improvements and reducing HCV risk behavior among Hispanic HIV-infected IDUs. The introduction of similar preventive strategies in this frequently inaccessible and marginalized population may ultimately serve to decrease this hard-to-control healthcare issue.

#### Acknowledgments

The present study was sponsored by the NIH Grants U54RR019507, 8G12MD007583 and 8U54MD007587. We would like to thank Mrs. Mayra Soto, case manager, Mr. Mario J Sepulveda, data analysis, Mr. Raul Ramon, data manager and Dr. Mary Helen Mays, scientific editor, for their assistance and contribution to the study.

#### References

- 1. Hagan H, Pouget ER, Williams IT, et al. Attribution of hepatitis C virus seroconversion risk in young injection drug users in 5 US cities. J Infect Dis. 2010; 201:378–85. [PubMed: 20053137]
- Thorpe LE, Ouellet LJ, Hershow R, et al. Risk of hepatitis C virus infection among young adult injection drug users who share injection equipment. Am J Epidemiol. 2002; 155:645–53. [PubMed: 11914192]
- Lelutiu-Weinberger C, Pouget ER, Des Jarlais DD, et al. A meta-analysis of the hepatitis C virus distribution in diverse racial/ethnic drug injector groups. Soc Sci Med. 2009; 68(3):579–90. [PubMed: 19062148]
- Friedman SR, Chapman TF, Perlis TE, et al. Similarities and differences by race/ethnicity in changes of HIV seroprevalence and related behaviors among drug injectors in New York City, 1991-1996. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 1999; 22(1):83–91. [PubMed: 10534151]
- Kottiri BJ, Friedman SR, Neaigus A, et al. Risk networks and racial/ethnic differences in the prevalence of HIV infection among injection drug users. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2002; 30(1):95–104. [PubMed: 12048369]
- Lazarus JV, Shete PB, Eramova I, et al. HIV/hepatitis coinfection in Eastern Europe and new pan-European approaches to hepatitis prevention and management. Int J Drug Policy. 2007; 18(5):426– 32. [PubMed: 17854732]
- Birkhead G, Klein SJ, Candelas AR, et al. Integrating multiple programme and policy approaches to hepatitis C prevention and care for injection drug users: a comprehensive approach. Int J Drug Policy. 2007; 18(5):417–25. [PubMed: 17854731]
- Palmatter N, Kimber JM, Hickman M, et al. Evidence for the effectiveness of sterile injecting equipment provision in preventing hepatitis C and human immunodeficiency virus transmission among injection drug users: a review of reviews. Addiction. 2010; 105:844–59. [PubMed: 20219055]
- Falter K, Kaldor JM, Mahert L. Hepatitis C virus acquisition among injecting drug users: a cohort analysis of a national repeated cross-sectional survey of needle and syringe program attendees in Australia, 1995-2004. Collaboration of Australian Needle and Syringe Programs. J Urban Health. 2009; 86(1):106–18. [PubMed: 18979201]
- Finlinson HA, Oliver-Vélez D, Deren S, et al. A longitudinal study of syringe acquisition by Puerto Rican injecting drug users in New York and Puerto Rico: Implication for syringe exchange and distributing program. Subst Use Misuse. 2006; 41(9):1313–336. [PubMed: 16861181]
- 11. Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Recommendation for prevention and control of hepatitis C (HCV) infection and HCV-related chronic disease. MMWR. 1998; 47(RR19):1–39.
- 12. Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Hepatitis C. Sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines 2006. Recommendation and Reports. MMWR. 2006; 55(RR11):76–8.
- Edlin BR. Prevention and treatment of HCV in injecting drug users, Hepatology. 2002; 36:S210– S219.
- Mayor AM, Fernández DM, Colón HM, et al. The feasibility and acceptability of a multimedia Hepatitis C prevention program for Hispanic HIV-Infected persons. Ethn Dis. 2008; 18:S2-195– S2-199.
- Mayor AM, Fernández DM, Colon HM, et al. The effectiveness evaluation of a multimedia hepatitis C prevention program for Hispanic HIV infected individuals. Ethn Dis. 2010; 20:S1-158– S1-162.
- March JC, Oviedo-Joekes E, Romero M. Factors associated with reported hepatitis C and HIV among injecting drug users in Ten European Cities. Enferm Intec Microbiol Clin. 2007; 25(2):91– 7.

17. Heymann D. Control, elimination, eradication and reemergence of infection diseases: getting the message right. Bull Word Health Organ. 2006 Feb; 84(2):82–3.

Baseline findings by groups of persons who completed and did not completed the  $6^{\text{th}}$  month post intervention evaluation

|   | Completed (n=88) | Not completed (n=50) | P-value |
|---|------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Male (%)  | 81.8             | 86.0                 | 0.274   |
| Age at study entry (mean± SD)                       | 42.7±9.2         | 40.4±9.1             | 0.149   |
| Educational level (mean± SD)                        | 10±3.2           | 10±2.9               | 0.653   |
| Age of first IDU (mean± SD)                         | 20.2±18.9        | 20.4±19.1            | 0.880   |
| IDU in the last month (%)                           | 22.7             | 36.0                 | 0.093   |
| IDU in the last semester (%)                        | 39.8             | 50.0                 | 0.244   |
| HCV co-infected at enrolment (%)                    | 81.8             | 82.6                 | 0.768   |
| HCV knowledge (%)                                   |                  |                      |         |
| HCV is predominantly in blood                       | 90.9             | 97.9                 | 0.104   |
| Not all HCV-infected patients have symptoms         | 54.5             | 60.0                 | 0.534   |
| HCV infection can lead to liver cancer              | 74.1             | 70.0                 | 0.524   |
| HCV treatment is available                          | 58.1             | 70.0                 | 0.160   |
| An HCV vaccine is not available                     | 36.8             | 44.0                 | 0.377   |
| Not all HCV treated persons get cured               | 72.9             | 66.0                 | 0.406   |
| HCV treatment could affect HIV therapy              | 33.7             | 30.0                 | 0.951   |
| Not all HIV/HCV persons can receive HCV therapy     | 23.0             | 30.0                 | 0.345   |
| HCV risk behavior knowledge (%)                     |                  |                      |         |
| Used of injecting drugs                             | 98.7             | 94.0                 | 0.291   |
| Reusing cookers or filters from other IDUs          | 84.1             | 78.0                 | 0.507   |
| Sharing paraphernalia for cocaine sniffing          | 59.1             | 60.0                 | 0.807   |
| Coughing and sneezing                               | 35.2             | 26.0                 | 0.296   |
| Sharing eating utensils                             | 46.6             | 38.0                 | 0.377   |
| Having unprotected sex                              | 79.5             | 46.0                 | 0.784   |
| Men having sex with men (n=113)                     | 66.2             | 54.8                 | 0.226   |
| HCV prevention self confidence (median (IQR))       |                  |                      |         |
| Using their own syringe                             | 10 (9-10)        | 10 (10-10)           | 0.378   |
| Not using others' filters or cottons                | 10 (6-10)        | 10 (8.8-10)          | 0.269   |
| Utilizing water from their own containers           | 10 (9-10)        | 10 (9.8-10)          | 0.677   |
| Not permitting others to use their water containers | 10 (8.3-10)      | 10 (10-10)           | 0.436   |
| Refusing to let their syringes be back loaded       | 10 (7-10)        | 10 (8.8-10)          | 0.712   |
| Rejecting used filters or cookers                   | 10 (8-10)        | 10 (6.8-10)          | 0.905   |

HCV = Hepatitis C; IDU=Injecting drug use; IDUs=Injecting drug user; SD=Standard deviation; IQR= Inter Quartile Rate

#### Pre- and post-intervention knowledge evaluation

| Liver, Hepatitis, and HCV virus knowledge          | Day 0 (%) | 8 <sup>th</sup> Week (%) | 6 <sup>th</sup> Month (%) |
|--|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Hepatitis means liver damage or harm               | 87.5      | 97.7 <sup>*</sup>        | 97.7*                     |
| The liver has a metabolic function                 | 64.8      | 66.7                     | 67.0                      |
| HCV is a viral condition                           | 75.0      | 84.9                     | 86.4                      |
| HCV is predominantly in the blood                  | 90.9      | 95.4                     | 97.7                      |
| HCV is not predominantly in urine                  | 71.6      | 83.9                     | 89.8*                     |
| HCV is not predominantly in stools                 | 75.0      | 81.6                     | 85.2                      |
| HCV is not predominantly in semen                  | 62.5      | 70.2                     | 69.3                      |
| Not all HCV-infected patients have symptoms        | 54.7      | 61.6                     | 60.2                      |
| Over 50% of HCV-infected develop chronic infection | 83.0      | 74.7                     | 87.5                      |
| HCV infection can lead to liver cancer (n=87)      | 74.7      | 70.6                     | 75.9                      |
| HCV treatment is available (n=87)                  | 58.6      | 72.1*                    | 70.1                      |
| An HCV vaccine is not available (n=87)             | 35.6      | 52.9 <sup>*</sup>        | 59.8 <sup>*</sup>         |
| Not all HCV treated persons get cured (n=86)       | 72.1      | 75.3                     | 74.4                      |
| HCV treatment could affect HIV therapy(n=87)       | 34.5      | 52.9 <sup>*</sup>        | 69.0*                     |
| Not all HIV/HCV persons can receive HCV therapy    | 22.7      | 37.9 <sup>*</sup>        | 33.0                      |

p value < 0.05 between baseline and second or third evaluations, by McNemar's Test

HCV = Hepatitis C; HIV= Human Immunodeficiency virus

Pre- and post-intervention HCV risk-behavior knowledge evaluation

| Risk behaviors                               | Day 0 (%) | 8 <sup>th</sup> Week (%) | 6 <sup>th</sup> Month (%) |
|--|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Reusing syringe during drug injection        | 98.9      | 97.7                     | 98.9                      |
| Reusing cookers or filters from other IDUs   | 84.1      | 92.0                     | 95.4 <sup>*</sup>         |
| Getting a tattoo under unhygienic conditions | 93.2      | 91.9                     | 90.9                      |
| Performing unhygienic body piercing          | 86.4      | 88.5                     | 89.8                      |
| Sharing paraphernalia for cocaine sniffing   | 59.1      | 86.2*                    | 85.2*                     |
| Sharing razors with others                   | 84.1      | 83.9                     | 85.2                      |
| Coughing and sneezing                        | 35.2      | 12.6*                    | 10.4*                     |
| Food preparation                             | 12.5      | 6.8                      | 10.2                      |
| Sharing eating utensils                      | 46.6      | $20.9^{*}$               | 22.7*                     |
| Having unprotected sex                       | 79.5      | 82.4                     | 81.8                      |

p value < 0.05 between baseline and second evaluations, by McNemar's Test

Test HCV = Hepatitis C; IDUs= injecting drug user

#### Pre- and post-intervention HCV severity perception and HCV risk behavior evaluation

| HCV-infection issues  | Day 0 Median(IQR) | 8th Week Median(IQR) | 6 <sup>th</sup> Month Median(IQR) |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| HCV severity perception   |                   |                      |                                   |
| HCV infection is a severe disease                                     | 10.0 (7.0-10)     | 10.0 (8.0-10) *      | 10.0 (8.0-10)                     |
| HCV infection can cause death   | 7.0 (5.0-10)      | 6.0 (5.0-10)         | 6.0 (4.0-8.8)                     |
| HCV infection can cause liver cirrhosis                               | 9.0 (6.0-10)      | 8.0 (6.0-10)         | 8.0 (5.0-10)*                     |
| HIV/HCV infection can cause death                                     | 10.0 (6.0-10)     | 8.0 (5.0-10)         | 8.0 (5.0-10)*                     |
| HCV infection can cause liver cancer                                  | 8.0 (5.0-10)      | 7.0 (5.0-10)         | 7.0 (5.0-9.0)                     |
| Injecting drug use risk behaviors in the previous 30 days (n = $20$ ) |                   |                      |                                   |
| Using a shooting gallery to inject drug                               | 8.0 (0-10)        | 0 (0-8.0)*           | 0 (0-7.8)*                        |
| Utilizing water previously used by another IDUs                       | 1.0 (0-8.8)       | 0 (0-4.0)*           | 0 (0-0)*                          |
| Utilizing cooker previously used by another IDUs                      | 2.0 (0-9.0)       | 0 (0-6.25)*          | 0 (0-0)*                          |
| Utilizing filter or cotton previously used by IDUs                    | 0 (0-6.8)         | 0 (0-2.75)           | 0 (0-0)                           |
| Collecting drug from a cooker after another IDUs                      | 0 (0-7.2)         | 0 (0-2.0)*           | 0 (0-0)                           |
| Back loading syringes   | 0.5 (0-6.5)       | 0 (0-1.0)            | 0 (0-0)                           |
| Utilizing another IDUs's syringe                                      | 1.0 (0-5.3)       | 0 (0-0)              | 0 (0-0)                           |

Score scale from 0 (none) - 10 (very high or very frequent)

 $\hat{p}$  value < 0.05 between baseline and the second or third evaluations, by Wilcoxon Test

HCV = Hepatitis C; IQR=Inter Quartile Rate; IDUs=Injecting drug user