



Published in final edited form as:

Int J Pharm. 2010 November 30; 401(1-2): 87–92. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2010.09.003.

Tresyl-Based Conjugation of Protein Antigen to Lipid Nanoparticles Increases Antigen Immunogenicity

Anekant Jain^{1,*}, Weili Yan^{2,*}, Keith R. Miller³, Ronan O'Carra⁴, Jerold G. Woodward⁵, and Russell J. Mumper¹

¹Division of Molecular Pharmaceutics, and the Center for Nanotechnology in Drug Delivery, UNC Eshelman School of Pharmacy, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, NC 27514

²Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Auburn University, Harrison School of Pharmacy, Auburn, AL 36849

³Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, NC 27514

⁴Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40536

⁵Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Molecular Genetics, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40536

Abstract

The present studies were aimed at investigating the engineering of NPs with protein-conjugated-surfactant at their surface. In order to increase the immunogenicity of a protein antigen, Brij 78 was functionalized by tresyl chloride and then further reacted with the primary amine of the model proteins ovalbumin (OVA) or horseradish peroxidase (HRP). The reaction yielded Brij 78-OVA and Brij 78-HRP conjugates which were then used directly to form NP-OVA or NP-HRP using a one-step warm oil-in-water microemulsion precursor method with emulsifying wax as the oil phase, and Brij 78 and the Brij 78-OVA or Brij 78-HRP conjugate as surfactants. Similarly, Brij 700 was conjugated to HIV p24 antigen to yield Brij 700-p24 conjugate. The utility of these NPs for enhancing the immune responses to protein-based vaccines was evaluated *in vivo* using ovalbumin (OVA) as model protein and p24 as a relevant HIV antigen. In separate *in vivo* studies, female BALB/c mice were immunized by subcutaneous (s.c.) injection with NP-OVA and NP-p24 formulations along with several control formulations. These results suggested that with multiple antigens, covalent attachment of the antigen to the NP significantly enhanced antigen-specific immune responses. This facile covalent conjugation and incorporation method may be utilized to further incorporate other protein antigens, even multiple antigens, into an enhanced vaccine delivery system.

Author for Correspondence: Russell J. Mumper, Ph.D., John A. McNeill Distinguished Professor, Director, Center for Nanotechnology in Drug Delivery, Division of Molecular Pharmaceutics, UNC Eshelman School of Pharmacy, CB # 7362, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-7362, mumper@email.unc.edu, Phone: (919) 966-1271, Fax: (919) 843-1232.

*these authors contributed equally to the manuscript as authors

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Keywords

Ovalbumin; Nanoparticles; Covalent Conjugation; Vaccine; HIV Protein

1. Introduction

Recent vaccine development efforts have moved away from traditional vaccines containing whole organisms and toward safer subunit vaccines containing only the components, such as protein, peptide or plasmid DNA, necessary to elicit useful immune responses. However, these new generation vaccines typically have lower immunogenicity and higher cost. Thus, novel formulations comprising adjuvants or a delivery system are needed to improve immunogenicity, reduce antigen dose, and reduce cost (Gupta and Siber, 1995; Hagmann, 2000; Yan and Huang, 2007). For a successful peptide or protein antigen delivery system to effectively induce humoral- and cell-mediated immune responses, an adjuvant or delivery system is essential since peptides or the protein itself is usually a weak immunogen (Guinn et al., 2007). However, despite an intensive search for newer and better adjuvants, there are relatively few approved adjuvants (e.g., Alum, MF59, ASO₄) for human use (O'Hagan and De Gregorio, 2009; O'Hagan et al., 2006; Gabutti et al., 2005; Pichichero, 2008).

Several groups have reported on the use of particles to deliver protein antigens more effectively to affect the breadth and depth of immune responses (Yoshikawa et al., 2008; Cui and Huang, 2005; Elamanchili et al., 2007; Patel et al., 2006; Cui and Mumper, 2003; van den Berg et al., 2010; Aline et al., 2009; Salman et al., 2009; Akagi et al., 2007; Allison and Gregoriadis, 1974; Alving, 1991). In addition, other groups have shown that the direct covalent or high-affinity conjugation of protein antigen to particles further enhances immune responses (Patel et al., 2007b; Sloat et al., 2010a; Sloat et al., 2010b; Dominguez and Lustgarten, 2010).

To this end, our laboratory has reported on the engineering of solid lipid-based nanoparticles (NPs) from oil-in-water microemulsion precursors and the use of these NPs for enhancing immune responses to DNA vaccines (Cui and Mumper, 2002b; Cui and Mumper, 2002c), cationized β -galactosidase protein (Cui and Mumper, 2002a), and HIV Tat and Gag p24 proteins (Cui et al., 2004; Patel et al., 2006; Patel et al., 2007a). These NPs are approximately 100 nm in size and have the advantage of being engineered in a single step, one vessel process. The physical and surface properties of the engineered NPs may be modified by utilizing surfactants with various charges (i.e., neutral, anionic, or cationic) or reactive groups (i.e., sulfhydryl, amine, carboxyl). Moreover, the oil phase for the engineering of these solid lipid NPs is comprised of cetyl alcohol and polysorbate 60; both of which are found in many pharmaceutical products and are biocompatible (Koziara et al., 2005). It was hypothesized that increasing the interaction of the antigen for the particles could lead to increased accumulation of the antigen in the antigen presenting cells (APCs) as compared to antigen coated on the surface of charged NPs and thus, enable greater enhancement in immune responses *in vivo*. Indeed, his-tagged protein antigen was able to bind NPs decorated with a small amount of accessible nickel on the surface, leading to enhanced immune responses (Patel et al., 2007b). Apparently, the interaction between chelated nickel and the short sequence of histidine residues increased the delivery efficiency of the protein antigen to APCs.

Recently, the hydroxyl group of poly(ethyleneglycol) (PEG)-sterol was used to conjugate a protein via tresyl chemistry and the protein conjugate was successfully incorporated into a liposome (Steenpass et al., 2006). It was hypothesized that similar chemistry could be used to conjugate a protein antigen to NPs engineered with Brij 78 (polyoxyethylene-20 stearyl

ether) and Brij 700 (polyoxyethylene-100 stearyl ether) as the surfactants. The present studies were aimed at investigating the engineering of NPs incorporated with protein conjugated surfactant in NPs. In addition, the utility of these NPs for enhancing the immune responses to protein-based vaccines were evaluated *in vivo* using OVA as model protein and p24 as the relevant HIV antigen.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Materials

Emulsifying wax (E-wax), and aluminum hydroxide were purchased from Spectrum Quality Products (New Brunswick, NJ). PBS/Tween 20 buffer, ovalbumin (OVA), horseradish peroxidase (HRP), cetyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), tresyl chloride, and mannitol were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). HIV p24 was purchased from ARP American Research Products Inc. (MA, USA). Brij 78 and Brij 700 were purchased from Uniqema (New Castle, DE) and Sigma Aldrich (USA), respectively. Goat anti-mouse IgG and peroxidase-linked species specific F(ab')₂ fragment was purchased from Amersham Pharmacia Biotech (Piscataway, NJ). Tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) Substrate Kit was purchased from Pierce (Rockford, IL). Complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA) and incomplete freunds adjuvant (IFA) was purchased from Fisher Scientific (Hampton, NH).

2.2 Synthesis of tresylated Brij 78 (T-Brij 78) and tresylated Brij 700 (T-Brij 700)

The tresylation of Brij 78 was performed as described previously (Nilsson and Mosbach, 1984). Briefly, Brij 78 (1.15 g, 1 mmol) or Brij 700 (4.67 g, 1 mmol) (Fig 1a) was dissolved in 10 ml dichloromethane and incubated at 0°C. Tresyl chloride (120 µl, 2 mmol) (Fig 1b) and 250 µl pyridine was added to the Brij 78 solution drop-wise. The solution was mixed and the reaction was allowed to continue at room temperature with constant stirring for 18 h under nitrogen atmosphere, after which the solution was rotary evaporated under reduced pressure and the precipitate was re-dissolved in 60 ml ethanol with 250 µl HCl. The solution was kept overnight at -20°C and then the formed precipitate was centrifuged at 2500 rpm at -5°C. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was re-suspended in 50 ml ethanol acidified with 50 µl HCl. The washing steps were repeated until pyridine was removed as verified by a minimum and constant OD at 255 nm. The solid T-Brij 78 or T-Brij 700 (Fig 1c) was stored at -20°C under nitrogen. Similarly, Brij 700 (4.67 g, 1 mmol) was also tresylated.

2.3 Preparation of Brij 78-HRP conjugation & preparation and characterization of NP-HRP

Simple standard coupling procedure was established with HRP as a model protein to prepare Brij 78-HRP conjugate (Steenpass et al., 2006). HRP (100 µl of a 200 µg/ml in 0.1 M HEPES buffer, pH 8.0) was added to T-Brij 78 (8.0 mg) and allowed to react for 3 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was then heated to 55°C and added to 4 mg of melted E-Wax. The mixture was stirred for 3 min, after which 2 ml de-ionized water was added under stirring to form the warm oil-in-water microemulsion which was allowed to stir for an additional 30 min followed by direct cooling to room temperature while stirring to obtain solid lipid NPs. The engineered (200 µl) NPs were passed down a gravity packed Sepharose CL4B gel permeation chromatography column (15×80 mm) using PBS buffer, pH 7.4 as the mobile phase. Fractions (1 ml) were collected and the fractions containing the NPs were determined by measuring the particle size intensity. The fractions were diluted 200-fold, and 10 µl samples were assayed for HRP enzyme activity using 90 µl TMB as a substrate. The color development was stopped by addition of 50 µl of 2M H₂SO₄ and the OD at 450 nm was read using a Universal Microplate Reader (Bio-Tek Instruments, Inc.).

2.4 Preparation and characterization of Brij 78-OVA conjugate and engineering of NPs

As described in 2.3 with slight modification, 100 µg of OVA and p24 was reacted with different weight ratios of Brij 78 and Brij 700, respectively, in 0.1 M HEPES buffer, pH 8.0 at room temperature for 18 h. OVA protein (30 µg total) was subjected to native SDS-Page gel. The gel was then stained by Coomassie Blue and imaged using a digital camera.

Eight (8) mg of T-Brij 78 was reacted with 1.5 mg OVA in 100 µl 0.1 M HEPES buffer, pH 8.0 at room temperature for 18 h to prepare Brij 78-OVA conjugate. The resulting conjugate mixture (Fig 1d) was heated to 55-60°C and mixed with 4 mg of melted E-Wax. The mixture was stirred for 3 min, after which 2 ml de-ionized water was added under stirring to form the warm oil-in-water microemulsion which was allowed to stir for an additional 30 min followed by direct cooling to room temperature, while stirring to obtain solid NPs. The un-reacted and unhydrolyzed tresyl group on the surface of the NPs was blocked using 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.0 for 1 h. The 1 ml NP suspension was concentrated to 0.2 ml using 100 kDa cut-off microcon (Millipore), and then subjected to GPC column as described in section 2.3. Every fraction was assayed for protein concentration using the BCA method (Pierce). Nanoparticles (NP-OVA and NP-p24) were engineered and characterized by measuring their size using the particle sizer at 90° and zeta-potential (surface charge) using a Malvern Zeta Sizer 2000 (Malvern Instruments, Southborough, MA).

2.5 Engineering of cationic NPs and coating the OVA

Cationic NPs from oil-in-water microemulsion precursors were engineered as previously described with slight modifications (Patel et al., 2007a). Briefly, 2 mg of E-Wax and 3.5 mg of Brij 78 was melted and mixed at ~65°C. De-ionized water (980 µl) was added to the melted wax and surfactant while stirring to form an opaque suspension. Finally, 20 µl of cetyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) (50 mM) was added to form clear microemulsions at 60-65°C. The microemulsions were cooled to room temperature while stirring to obtain NPs (2 mg/ml). The final concentration of components in the NP suspension was E-Wax (2 mg/ml), Brij 78 (3 mM), and SDS (1 mM). OVA protein was added to NPs (1000 µg/ml) in 5% (v/v) mannitol at a ratio of 10:1 (weight ratio of E-Wax: protein). The suspension was gently vortexed and placed on a horizontal shaker at room temperature for a minimum of 30 min to allow for coating. These coated NPs (NP/OVA) were diluted appropriately in de-ionized water for particle size and zeta potential measurements.

2.6 Mouse immunization study

Female BALB/c mice (8–10 weeks old) were immunized subcutaneously with 150 µl of the formulations. Mice ($n = 8$ /group) were dosed on day 0 and 14 with NP-OVA, NP/OVA, Brij 78-OVA and OVA adjuvanted with Alum (OVA+Alum). The doses of OVA and Alum in every group were kept as 5 and 100 µg, respectively, per mouse. As a positive control, mice were immunized on day 0 with 5 µg of OVA adjuvanted with complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA) followed by a boost with 5 µg OVA adjuvanted with incomplete Freund's adjuvant (IFA) on day 14. On day 28, mice were bled by cardiac puncture and sera were separated. All sera collected were stored at -20°C for further characterization.

2.7 Determination of anti-OVA and anti-p24 antibody titers

OVA specific serum IgG, IgG1 and IgG2a antibody titers were determined using an ELISA as described previously (Patel et al., 2007a). Briefly, 96-well plates (Costar) were coated with 100 µl of OVA (10 µg/ml in 0.01 M carbonate buffer, pH 9.6) overnight at 4°C. The plates were blocked for 1 h at 37°C with 200 µl of 2% BSA prepared in PBS/Tween 20. The plates were then incubated with 100 µl per well of mouse serum diluted appropriately in 2%

BSA/PBS/Tween 20 for 2 h at 37°C. The plates were washed with PBS/Tween 20 and incubated with 100 µl/well sheep-anti-mouse IgG HRP (1:5000 in 2% BSA/PBS/Tween 20) for 1 h at 37°C. For IgG1 and IgG2a determination, the plates were similarly incubated with goat anti-mouse IgG1-HRP or goat anti-mouse IgG2a-HRP diluted 1:5000 (2% BSA/PBS/Tween 20). After washing the plates with PBS/Tween 20, plates were developed by adding 80 µl of TMB substrate and incubated for 10 min at RT. The color development was stopped by the addition of 50 µl of 2M H₂SO₄ and the OD at 450 nm was read using a Bio-Tek Synergy 2 Microplate Reader (Bio-Tek Instruments, Inc.). The endpoint titer was defined as the highest reciprocal dilution of sera yielding an OD₄₅₀ value at least 3 × background obtained using samples taken from naïve mice. The same procedure was followed for the determination of p24 specific serum IgG antibody titers, but with slight modifications.

2.8 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using either one-way analysis of variances (ANOVA) followed by pair-wise comparisons using Tukey's multiple comparison test using GraphPad Prism software or Students *t*-test. Data were considered statistically significant when *p* value was less than 0.05.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Incorporation of HRP into NPs

The surfactant-protein conjugate approach was utilized to attach proteins to NPs. The more conventional method of attaching HRP directly onto pre-made NPs was not successful since it required functionalization of hydroxyl group of Brij 78 on the surface of NPs via tresyl chemistry. When the HRP was reacted with the engineered NPs; HRP enzymatic activity was not detected in the NP fractions, suggesting no HRP conjugation to NPs (data not shown). There were two possible reasons; 1) hydrolysis of tresyl groups on Brij 78 during the process of NP engineering, leading to de-functionalization of the NPs, and 2) steric hindrance of the NPs and protein, both of which prevented the amine group of the protein from reacting with the Brij 78 on the surface of the NPs. To avoid these problems, Brij 78 was first covalently attached to HRP at pH 8.0 resulting in the stable synthesis of the Brij 78-HRP conjugate (Fig 1d), which was then incorporated into the solid lipid NPs which were successfully engineered via the oil-in-water microemulsion precursor method. These engineered NPs were then fractionated using a GPC column, followed by NP characterization and utilizing an enzymatic assay to quantify retained HRP activity. Particle intensity indicated that NPs were distributed in fraction 4 and 5, which were associated with HRP activity when Brij 78 was reacted with HRP. A control of HRP coated on non-tresyl modified Brij 78 NPs showed no HRP activity in the NP fraction 4 and 5 (Fig 2), proving that little or no non-specific binding of HRP to the NPs made with non-tresylated Brij 78. Fig 2 also indicates about 30% of the enzymatic activity was associated with NPs made with Brij 78. These data indicate HRP model protein was able to be conjugated to Brij 78 and subsequently incorporated into NPs as depicted in Fig 1.

3.2 Conjugation of OVA to Brij 78 and Incorporation of Brij 78-OVA to NPs

The retention of significant HRP enzymatic activity on the NPs suggested that the tresylation method could be used to formulate protein antigen coupled NPs. However, it did not provide any quantitative information about the amount of protein attached to the NPs. Therefore, the widely used model antigen OVA was utilized to study and optimize the conjugation of OVA to Brij 78, with subsequent incorporation of the conjugate into NPs. Different weight ratios of OVA to Brij 78 were designed to optimize the conjugation conditions. The moderate basic condition of pH 8.0 which significantly reduced the hydrolysis of tresyl groups and at the same time prevented the protonation of primary

amines of protein, resulted in high overall conjugation efficiency. The reaction mixtures were then subjected to native SDS-PAGE (Fig 3a).

The position of a protein on a native gel is influenced not only by the size of the protein, but also by its charge and aggregation status. The Brij 78 portion of the synthesized protein conjugate contains a hydrophobic stearyl moiety which may lead to aggregation of the protein to form oligomers or multimers. Fig 3a shows that as the amount of T-Brij 78 to OVA was increased, the amount of free OVA gradually decreased and the amount of Brij 78-OVA conjugate was increased. There was no significant difference in staining of SDS-PAGE bands between the weight ratios of 10:1 and 5:1 T-Brij 78:OVA conjugate suggesting the maximal conjugation efficiency was achieved at weight ratio of about 5:1. Therefore, this weight ratio was used in subsequent engineered NPs. According to the molecular weights of OVA and Brij 78, there was about a 160-fold molar excess of Brij 78 in the reaction mixture. Regardless, the unreacted Brij 78 was not removed, but directly utilized together with Brij 78-OVA conjugate and E-Wax to form the oil-in-water microemulsion precursor which was then used to form solid NPs since the total Brij 78 (unreacted Brij 78 and the Brij 78-OVA) was precisely the amount of Brij 78 required to engineer the E-Wax NPs. Thus, the solid NPs were formed using a combination of Brij 78 and T-Brij 78 that was equivalent to the amount of Brij 78 alone required to form placebo or blank NPs. The formed NPs were fractionated using a GPC column. The total protein concentrations in the fractions were assayed by the BCA method and are summarized in Fig 3b. Similar to the results in Fig 2, the OVA protein was successfully attached to NPs as evidenced by fractions 4 and 5. The NP-associated OVA was around 30% of the total protein input in the initial conjugation reaction as determined by the protein assay. NPs were characterized by both particle size and zeta potential and the data are summarized in Table 1.

The NP-OVA had similar particle size and PI values compared to blank NPs formed by E-Wax and Brij 78 alone, but had a more negative zeta potential due to negative charged OVA protein on the surface of NPs. However, both NP-OVA and blank NPs had smaller sizes than NP/OVA complex. The NP-OVA formulation, filtered through a 0.22 μm filter, was stable for at least one week at 4°C. It should be noted that no formal stability study of the formulations was performed.

3.3. Immune response to NP-OVA formulation

In vivo studies in BALB/c mice were carried out to investigate the use of NP-OVA as a potential delivery system to enhance the immune responses to OVA. Mice were immunized with NP-OVA, cationic NP/OVA complex (NP/OVA), OVA adjuvanted with Alum (Alum+OVA), Brij 78-OVA conjugate on day 0 and 14. Our previous results showed that at a neutral pH, negatively charged OVA efficiently bound to cationic NPs made by the addition of CTAB as a co-surfactant and formed a stable NP/OVA complex (Patel et al., 2007a). As a positive control, mice were immunized with OVA adjuvanted with CFA (OVA+CFA) using the same immunization protocol. The OVA antigen dose among all the groups was 5 μg per mouse. Sera collected from mice were analyzed for total OVA-specific IgG at 14 days post second immunization. As expected, animals immunized with OVA adjuvanted with CFA +IFA resulted in the highest total IgG titers among all the groups. More importantly, 5-10 fold greater titers were observed using NP-OVA compared to Alum+OVA, or Brij 78-OVA, or NP/OVA (Fig 4a). The Brij 78-OVA conjugate control had similar antibody response with Alum+OVA suggesting the protein conjugation itself increased the immunogenicity of the OVA as presented in Fig 4a. Our previous studies reported anionic NP/Tat complex enhanced humoral immune responses compared with Tat adjuvanted with Alum (Cui et al., 2004; Patel et al., 2006); however, current studies indicated no difference between the cationic NP/OVA complex and Alum+OVA. It has been shown that cationic surfactants are more toxic than anionic and neutral surfactants at the same concentration (Lewis, 1990).

Recently, it was reported that high doses of cationic liposome induced apoptosis of dendritic cells leads to compromised immune responses (Yan et al., 2008). Therefore, the cationic NP-induced dendritic cell apoptosis may explain the weaker adjuvant activity of cationic NPs compared to the anionic NPs. However, the toxicity profiles of the cationic NPs and anionic NPs require further systematic investigation. Overall, these data support that protein covalently bound to NPs was superior to Alum and NP/OVA complexes in enhancing humoral immune responses to model antigen OVA.

The serum isotype levels, IgG₁ versus IgG_{2a}, were measured to assess the type of immune response generated (i.e., Th2 or Th1 respectively). During an immune response, the release of Th1 or Th2 cytokines will affect the production of these antibodies. BALB/c mice bias the immune response towards a Th2 profile (Guler et al., 1997; Bix et al., 1998) generating relatively higher levels of antibodies of IgG₁ isotype; however, in the presence of Th1 type immune responses, the cells produce Th1 cytokines which cause a switch in the isotype produced to IgG_{2a}. The isotype analysis in these studies revealed that the NP-OVA resulted in the superior IgG₁ (Fig 4b) and IgG_{2a} (Fig 4b) levels compared to NP/OVA, Alum+OVA and Brij 78-OVA, suggesting the enhanced and balanced Th1 and Th2 immune responses can be generated by NP-OVA formulation.

Human immunodeficiency virus type-1 (HIV-1) capsid protein p24 is the main component of tubular core in the mature HIV particle (Marechal et al., 1998). Studies have found p24 to be relatively conserved among HIV subtypes. Decrease in the level of antibodies to p24 and p24 antigen has been considered to be one of the most useful prognostic markers in HIV infected individuals (Bollinger Jr et al., 1992). Therefore, most assays have been developed to detect HIV antibodies which use p24 as an integral component in addition to envelope glycoproteins. Inhibition of capsid formation can be an effective prophylactic or therapeutic strategy (Tang et al., 2003). Thus, p24 is a rationale target antigen.

Therefore, to assess this proof of concept, Brij 700-p24 antigen conjugate was prepared, as described above, and coated onto the NPs and total IgG was assessed (Fig. 5). The total IgG for NP-p24 was found to be increased as compared to Brij 700-p24 conjugate and p24 adjuvanted with Alum. Brij 700 was utilized in later studies using p24 since other studies have shown that the longer chain polyoxyethylene chain improves both the conjugation efficiency and loading onto nanoparticles due to reduced steric hindrance near the surface of the nanoparticles (unpublished results). These data demonstrate the potential applications of these NPs for vaccine delivery and warrant further investigation of these systems for enhancing immune responses with HIV protein antigen based vaccines.

4. Conclusions

Covalent conjugation of protein antigen to NPs through tresyl chemistry is a novel approach to increase the immunogenicity of the poorly immunogenic proteins. This facile covalent conjugation and incorporation method may be utilized to incorporate other peptide and protein antigens, even multiple antigens, into an enhanced vaccine delivery system.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by NIH-NIAID AI051147 to RJM and JGW.

References

- Akagi T, Wang X, Uto T, Baba M, Akashi M. Protein direct delivery to dendritic cells using nanoparticles based on amphiphilic poly (amino acid) derivatives. *Biomaterials*. 2007; 28:3427–3436. [PubMed: 17482261]

- Aline F, Brand D, Pierre J, Roingard P, Severine M, Verrier B, Dimier-Poisson I. Dendritic cells loaded with HIV-1 p24 proteins adsorbed on surfactant-free anionic PLA nanoparticles induce enhanced cellular immune responses against HIV-1 after vaccination. *Vaccine*. 2009; 27:5284–91. [PubMed: 19450633]
- Allison A, Gregoriadis G. Liposomes as immunological adjuvants. *Nature*. 1974; 252:252. [PubMed: 4424229]
- Alving C. Liposomes as carriers of antigens and adjuvants. *Journal of immunological methods*. 1991; 140:1–13. [PubMed: 1712030]
- Bix M, Wang ZE, Thiel B, Schork NJ, Locksley RM. Genetic regulation of commitment to interleukin 4 production by a CD4(+) T cell-intrinsic mechanism. *J Exp Med*. 1998; 188:2289–99. [PubMed: 9858515]
- Bollinger R Jr, Kline R, Francis H, Moss M, Bartlett J, Quinn T. Acid dissociation increases the sensitivity of p24 antigen detection for the evaluation of antiviral therapy and disease progression in asymptomatic human immunodeficiency virus-infected persons. *The Journal of infectious diseases*. 1992; 165:913–916. [PubMed: 1569343]
- Cui Z, Huang L. Liposome-polycation-DNA (LPD) particle as a carrier and adjuvant for protein-based vaccines: therapeutic effect against cervical cancer. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. 2005; 54:1180–90. [PubMed: 15846491]
- Cui Z, Mumper R. Microparticles and nanoparticles as delivery systems for DNA vaccines. *Critical reviews in therapeutic drug carrier systems*. 2003; 20:103–137. [PubMed: 14584521]
- Cui Z, Mumper RJ. Coating of cationized protein on engineered nanoparticles results in enhanced immune responses. *Int J Pharm*. 2002a; 238:229–39. [PubMed: 11996826]
- Cui Z, Mumper RJ. Genetic immunization using nanoparticles engineered from microemulsion precursors. *Pharm Res*. 2002b; 19:939–46. [PubMed: 12180545]
- Cui Z, Mumper RJ. Topical immunization using nanoengineered genetic vaccines. *J Control Release*. 2002c; 81:173–84. [PubMed: 11992690]
- Cui Z, Patel J, Tuzova M, Ray P, Phillips R, Woodward JG, Nath A, Mumper RJ. Strong T cell type-1 immune responses to HIV-1 Tat (1-72) protein-coated nanoparticles. *Vaccine*. 2004; 22:2631–40. [PubMed: 15193389]
- Dominguez AL, Lustgarten J. Targeting the tumor microenvironment with anti-neu/anti-CD40 conjugated nanoparticles for the induction of antitumor immune responses. *Vaccine*. 2010; 28:1383–90. [PubMed: 19931385]
- Elamanchili P, Lutsiak CM, Hamdy S, Diwan M, Samuel J. “Pathogen-mimicking” nanoparticles for vaccine delivery to dendritic cells. *J Immunother*. 2007; 30:378–95. [PubMed: 17457213]
- Gabutti G, Guido M, Durando P, De Donno A, Quattrocchi M, Bacilieri S, Ansaldi F, Cataldini S, Chiriaco PG, De Simone M, Minniti S, Sticchi L, Gasparini R. Safety and immunogenicity of conventional subunit and MF59-adjuvanted influenza vaccines in human immunodeficiency virus-1-seropositive patients. *J Int Med Res*. 2005; 33:406–16. [PubMed: 16104444]
- Guinn BA, Kasahara N, Farzaneh F, Habib NA, Norris JS, Deisseroth AB. Recent advances and current challenges in tumor immunology and immunotherapy. *Mol Ther*. 2007; 15:1065–71. [PubMed: 17375068]
- Guler ML, Jacobson NG, Gubler U, Murphy KM. T cell genetic background determines maintenance of IL-12 signaling: effects on BALB/c and B10.D2 T helper cell type 1 phenotype development. *J Immunol*. 1997; 159:1767–74. [PubMed: 9257839]
- Gupta RK, Siber GR. Adjuvants for human vaccines--current status, problems and future prospects. *Vaccine*. 1995; 13:1263–76. [PubMed: 8585280]
- Hagmann M. Computers aid vaccine design. *Science*. 2000; 290:80–2. [PubMed: 11183154]
- Koziara JM, Oh JJ, Akers WS, Ferraris SP, Mumper RJ. Blood compatibility of cetyl alcohol/polysorbate-based nanoparticles. *Pharm Res*. 2005; 22:1821–8. [PubMed: 16132346]
- Lewis MA. Chronic toxicities of surfactants and detergent builders to algae: a review and risk assessment. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf*. 1990; 20:123–40. [PubMed: 2276359]
- Marechal V, Clavel F, Heard J, Schwartz O. Cytosolic Gag p24 as an index of productive entry of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *Journal of virology*. 1998; 72:2208. [PubMed: 9499078]

- Nilsson K, Mosbach K. Immobilization of ligands with organic sulfonyl chlorides. *Methods Enzymol.* 1984; 104:56–69. [PubMed: 6371449]
- O'Hagan DT, De Gregorio E. The path to a successful vaccine adjuvant--'the long and winding road'. *Drug Discov Today.* 2009; 14:541–51. [PubMed: 19508916]
- O'Hagan DT, Singh M, Ulmer JB. Microparticle-based technologies for vaccines. *Methods.* 2006; 40:10–9. [PubMed: 16997709]
- Patel J, Galey D, Jones J, Ray P, Woodward JG, Nath A, Mumper RJ. HIV-1 Tat-coated nanoparticles result in enhanced humoral immune responses and neutralizing antibodies compared to alum adjuvant. *Vaccine.* 2006; 24:3564–73. [PubMed: 16516358]
- Patel J, Gandhapudi S, Jones J, O'Carra R, Woodward J, Mumper R. Cationic Nanoparticles for Delivery of CpG Oligodeoxynucleotide and Ovalbumin: In Vitro and In Vivo Assessment. *Journal of Biomedical Nanotechnology.* 2007a; 3:97–106.
- Patel JD, O'Carra R, Jones J, Woodward JG, Mumper RJ. Preparation and characterization of nickel nanoparticles for binding to his-tag proteins and antigens. *Pharm Res.* 2007b; 24:343–52. [PubMed: 17180725]
- Pichichero ME. Improving vaccine delivery using novel adjuvant systems. *Hum Vaccin.* 2008; 4:262–70. [PubMed: 18398303]
- Salman HH, Irache JM, Gamazo C. Immunoadjuvant capacity of flagellin and mannosamine-coated poly(anhydride) nanoparticles in oral vaccination. *Vaccine.* 2009; 27:4784–90. [PubMed: 19539576]
- Sloat BR, Sandoval MA, Cui Z. Towards preserving the immunogenicity of protein antigens carried by nanoparticles while avoiding the cold chain. *Int J Pharm.* 2010a; 393:197–202. [PubMed: 20416366]
- Sloat BR, Sandoval MA, Hau AM, He Y, Cui Z. Strong antibody responses induced by protein antigens conjugated onto the surface of lecithin-based nanoparticles. *J Control Release.* 2010b; 141:93–100. [PubMed: 19729045]
- Steenpass T, Lung A, Schubert R. Tressylated PEG-sterols for coupling of proteins to preformed plain or PEGylated liposomes. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2006; 1758:20–8. [PubMed: 16483539]
- Tang C, Loeliger E, Kinde I, Kyere S, Mayo K, Barklis E, Sun Y, Huang M, Summers M. Antiviral inhibition of the HIV-1 capsid protein. *Journal of molecular biology.* 2003; 327:1013–1020. [PubMed: 12662926]
- van den Berg JH, Oosterhuis K, Hennink WE, Storm G, van der Aa LJ, Engbersen JF, Haanen JB, Beijnen JH, Schumacher TN, Nuijen B. Shielding the cationic charge of nanoparticle-formulated dermal DNA vaccines is essential for antigen expression and immunogenicity. *J Control Release.* 2010; 141:234–40. [PubMed: 19751778]
- Yan W, Chen W, Huang L. Reactive oxygen species play a central role in the activity of cationic liposome based cancer vaccine. *J Control Release.* 2008; 130:22–8. [PubMed: 18554742]
- Yan W, Huang L. Recent advances in liposome-based nanoparticles for antigen delivery. *Polymer Reviews.* 2007; 47:329–344.
- Yoshikawa T, Okada N, Oda A, Matsuo K, Kayamuro H, Ishii Y, Yoshinaga T, Akagi T, Akashi M, Nakagawa S. Nanoparticles built by self-assembly of amphiphilic gamma-PGA can deliver antigens to antigen-presenting cells with high efficiency: a new tumor-vaccine carrier for eliciting effector T cells. *Vaccine.* 2008; 26:1303–13. [PubMed: 18255205]




Fig 1. Synthesis and Engineering Scheme for NP-protein formulation. Functionalization of Brij 78 (X=20) & Brij 700 (X=100) (a) by tresyl chloride (b). The resulting T-Brij conjugate (c) was reacted with protein to form Brij 78-protein or Brij 700-protein conjugate (d). The NP-protein (e) was engineered via warm oil-in-water microemulsion precursors using E-wax as oil phase and the synthesized protein conjugate (d) as the surfactant.




Fig 2. HRP enzymatic activity associated with NPs made using Brij 78 (■) and T-Brij 78 (□).

Figure 3a





Figure 3b

**Fig 3.**

(A) Conjugation of OVA protein to T-Brij 78: Different weight ratios of T-Brij 78:OVA were used to conjugate OVA to T-Brij 78, followed by native PAGE gel separation. Thirty microgram of OVA was loaded onto the gel and stained by Coomassie Blue and imaged using a digital camera. (B) The GPC purification profile for particle intensity and protein concentration of OVA associated with NPs.

Figure 4a




Figure 4b




Fig 4. OVA specific humoral responses after immunization with NP-OVA formulations
Mice were immunized with 5 μ g of OVA covalently bound to NPs (50 μ g) (NP-OVA), coated on cationic NPs (50 μ g) (NP/OVA), adjuvanted with Alum (50 μ g) (Alum+OVA), Brij 78-OVA conjugate or adjuvanted with CFA on day 0 and day 14. Total anti-OVA serum IgG levels (A) and IgG1 (B) were measured on day 28. The data for each group represents the mean \pm SD ($n=8$). ** $p < 0.01$ compared to NP/OVA, Alum+OVA and Brij 78-OVA. Serum IgG2a levels were measured on day 28 by ELISA at a 1:100 serum dilution. The data for each group represents the mean \pm SD ($n=6-8$). * $p < 0.05$ compared to NP/OVA, Alum+OVA and Brij 78-OVA.




Fig 5. p24 specific humoral responses after immunization with NP-p24 formulations

Mice were immunized with 5 μ g of p24 coated to NPs (50 μ g) (NP-p24), adjuvanted with Alum (100 μ g) (Alum+p24), Brij 700-p24 conjugate on day 0 and day 7. Total anti-p24 serum IgG levels were measured on day 25 by ELISA at a 1:1000, 1:5000, and 1:10000 serum dilutions. * $p < 0.05$ compared to Alum+p24 and Brij 700-p24. The data for each group represents the mean \pm SD ($n=3$).

Table 1
Physical properties of NP-OVA, NP/OVA and blank NPs

Nanoparticles (NPs)	Mean diameter (nm) (n=5)	Mean PI (n=5)	Mean charge (mV)(n=5)
NP/OVA ^a	111.2 ± 13.2	0.199 ± 0.069	+25.6 ± 4.4
NP-OVA ^b	81.8 ± 1.0	0.270 ± 0.065	-19.3 ± 0.7
NPs ^c	82.8 ± 3.5	0.120 ± 0.099	-3.2 ± 0.3

^aCationic NPs engineered with E-wax, Brij 78 and 1 mM CTAB were coated with OVA at a final weight ratio of 10:1 (w/w) (E-Wax:OVA)

^bPurified NPs conjugated with OVA.

^{a,b}OVA concentration was 0.1 mg/ml formulation of NPs;

^cBlank NPs made with E-wax and Brij 78