

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 April 01.

Published in final edited form a

# Developmental transitions in presentations of externalizing problems among boys and girls at risk for child maltreatment

MIGUE'L T. V'LLODAS<sup>a</sup>, AL AN J. LITROWNIK<sup>b</sup>, RICHARD THOMPSON<sup>c</sup>, DEBORAH JONES<sup>d</sup>, SCOTT C. ROESCH<sup>b</sup>, JON 11. HUSSL Y<sup>d</sup>, STEPHANIF DLOCK<sup>e</sup>, DIANA J. ENGLISH<sup>f</sup>, and HOWARD DUBOWITZ<sup>g</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Florida International University <sup>b</sup> San Diego State University <sup>c</sup>J reanile Protective Association <sup>d</sup>University of North Carolina Sc Chapel Hill <sup>e</sup>University of Massachusetts–Lowell <sup>f</sup>University of Washington <sup>g</sup>Uni resity of Maryland

# Abstract

The present cludy examined the impact of children's manreatment experiences on the emergence of externalizing problem presentations among children during different developmental periods. The sample included 788 youth and their caregivers who participated in a multisite, prospective study of youth a risk for maltreament. Ex emalizing problems were accessed at ages 4, 8, and 12, and symptoms and diaguoses of advention-deficit/hyperactivity discuer, or positional defiant disorder, and conduct discluer were assessed at age 14, during interviews with youth and caregivers. Informat on a bout maltrendment allegations we suded from offic al records. Latent transition analysis identified aree groups of youth with similar presentations of externalizing problems ("well adjusted," "h-, peractive/oppositional," and "agor assive/rule breaking") and transitions between groups from area 4, 9, and 12. A "defiant/deceitfril" group also emerged at age 12. Girls were generally n ore likely to present as well adjusted than beys. Children with recent physical abuse allegations had an increase a risk for aggressive/rule-braining presentations during the preschool and preadolescent years, while children with sexual buse or neglect all egations had lower probabilities of having we'l-adjucted presenta ions during m. ddie chiu hood. These findings indicate that persistently severe aggressive conduct problems, which he related to the n cat concerning outcomes, can be identified early runucularly a nong neglined and physically and sexually abused children.

> Externalizing problems represent a broad class of behaviors that range from minor disruptive or nuisance behaviors (e.g., calling out in class) to more severe and even criminal behaviors (e.g., physical assault). Almough some or these behaviors can be considered normative at earlier developmental plands. Productionence to socially normative behavior is expected over time, leading to a normative decline in such behaviors over the course of childhood and adolescence (Dishion & Patterson, 2005). Persistence of these behaviors during developmentally inappropriate periods we mants concern and can lead to diagnosis of

<sup>©</sup> Cambridge University Press 2014

Address correspondence and reprint requests to: Miguel Villodas, Fibrida International University, 11200 SW 8th Street, Miami, FL 33199; miguel.villodas@fiu.edu.

psychiatric disorders, such as disruptive t shavior disorders (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) Such disorders are very costly for families and society because they on an require extensive mental health services, detention or incarceration, and are associated with myriad negative emptional and behavioral consequences during adulthood (Foster, Iones & Conduct Problems I revention Plasear th Group, 2005). Moreover, researchers have found that these behaviors often result from or are exacerbated by child abuse and neglect (Ciclinetti P. Valentino, 2006). Understanding the course of externalizing problems and the ctiological factors associated with their persistence is crucial to intervening and preventing their development into more severe problems, such as criminality and violence, particularly among youth at risk for malticatment.

# Externalizing Problems and Child Mattreatment

Although externalizing problem, nave been consisten ly *i*' entified as a common consequence of child abuse and neglect, the the of these adversities in the development of behavio. problems requires additional investigation (Cicchetti & Valentino, 2006). Child mal.reatment has been found to disrupt routiple physiclogical, cognitive, emotional, and vocial development of externalizing which in turn contribute to the development of externalizing p. oblem. and dismuct other developmental processes (Appleyard, Yang, & Runyan, 2010; Cicchetti & Valentino, 2006; Kim & Cicchetti, 2010, Shont & Cicchetti, 2001). Attempts to disentanyle the prospective relationship between mutreatmy at and externalizing problems have found that early, continued and receip maltreatment are lelated to the development, maintenarce, and chacerbation of externalizing problems (Karlow & Widom, 2007; Keiley, Howe, Dod 2e, Bate, & Pettit, 2001; Kotch et al., 2006; Lansfeld et al., 2007; Manly, Kim, Rogosch, & Cicchetti, 2001; Theant erry, Henry, Ireland, & Stuith, 2010). Attempts to delineate the specific effects of sub-types of malareatment and the developmental periods during which they occur have been inconsistent across studies, but the general association between child maltreatment and externalizing problems has remained consistent. Researchers have jound evidence for the lasting and immediate effects of early neglect and physical abuse, as well as rater physical abuse, on externation providers in childhood and adolescence (Keiley et al., 2001; Kouch et al., 2008; Lansford et al., 2007; Thornberry, Ireland, & Smith, 2001) Although maltreating children are often found to have higher rates of externalizing protiems there is little evidence to suggest that these behaviors follow substantially different levelopmental trajectories than in youth from the peneral population.

# The Development of Externation Problems

Researchers have previously identified a generally decreasing thend it externalizing problems as youth mature (Broidv et al., 2003; Eashion & Patterson, 2000; Loever, Burke, & Pardini, 2009). These results are not supprising given that children are socialized to adhere to specific behavioral guidelines in order to attend mainstream schools and de relap nore sophisticated emotional and behavioral regulation, as hey get older. However, a subgroup of youth characterized by persistent externalizing problems have also been consistently identified and have been found to be a the highest task for engaging in more serious criminal behavior during adolescence and continuing into young adulthoria (Broidv et el., 2003; Dishion & Patterson, 2006; Moffue, 2000). These youth have typically been

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; availat is in PMC 2 J15 A ril 01.

distinguished by a greater frequency and everity of externalizing problems relative to their peers beginning as early as toddler ood and persisting into adolescence. Moreover, this group generally consists of a higher moportion of boys than girls. These findings are consistent with theoretical models of the development of antisocial behavior, which distinguish between youth whose externalizing problems follow a normative decreasing thend after childhood, youth whose externalizing problems persist beyond childhood, and youth who first begin to present with externalizing problems during adolescence (Dishion & Fratterson, 2006; Moffitt, 2006). According to these models, youth whose externalizing problems persist beyond childhood in to adolescence learn new behaviors from family members and peers, which repair in the development of more severe antisocial behaviors and cophisucated techniques for musing detection. Although researchers have found that youth following other developmental prelivangs are at an increased risk for some negative consequences ratering life, their psychopatiology is not as consistently severe and treatment resistant.

Clinically, evenalizing problems correspond to symptoms of disruptive behavior diorders si n as oppositional defient disorder (CDD) and windukt disorder (CD; Achenbach, Durr.enci, & P. scorla, 2003; America 1 Psychiatric / ssc ciation, 2013; Loeber et al., 2009). Although not traineally considered an externation problem or a disruptive behavior disorde, attention-deficit/hyperactivity acorder (ADHD) is often grouped together with these problems, given the high rates of comorbidity and the high degree of overlap in their symptomatic presentations (American Psychiatric association 2013; Angold, Costello, & Erkanh, 1999: Maughan Powe, Messer, Goodman, & Meltuer, 2004). An increase in research or the management of externalizing moderns and their developmental courses to diagnostic classifications in the general population, which contributed to the development of the DSM-5, provided evidence that both ADHD and CDD typi ally precede CD (Burke, Loeber, Laher & Rath Juz, 2005), can be identified in presenoolers a early as 17 months of age, remain stable into middle childhood (Baillargeon, Sward, Keenza, & Cao, 2011; Keenan et al., 2011; Wakschlag et al., 2007), and have unique resouctive abilities beyond those of CD (Burke, Waldman, & Labey, 2010). In ao Lion, boys and guis have similar risk factors, consequences, and onsets for ODD and CD, but boys have higher lates of ADHD and ODD and are more likely to go on to levelop CD (I'od in, Fergulson, & Horwood, 2010; Fergusson, Bolen. & Horwood, 2017; Krenan, Wrothewski, Hipwell Loeber, & Stouthamer-Loeber, 2010; Pardini & File, 2010; Rowe, Co. tello, Angold, Copeland, & Maughan, 2010). Considerabi, less reserion has tocused on the development of these psychiatric disorders among meliceated children, although increased rates of intisocial personality disorders have been identified in a duts with historics of maireatment Kaplow & Widom, 2007).

Investigations of the overlap in ADHD, CDD, and CD have typically keen variable centered and focused on identifying unique prediction of outcomes. Person-centered, taristical procedures have been increasingly applied to the ident fication of unobsection or latent groups of individuals from a population with similar traits, such as youth whose externalizing problems are persistent across development relative to youth whose externalizing problems desist or begin, and remain now across development (Prolay et al. 2003; Nagin & Tremblay, 2005). Researchers here also implemented cross-sectional

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2 J15 A jril 01.

variations of these models, known as rate t class/ profile analysis (LC/PA; Collins & Lanza, 2010) in order to identify the coursed groups of individuals with similar presentations of Averna'izing problems (Son lei, ker et al., 2005; Storr, Accornero, & Crum, 2007; van Lier, Verlulst, van der Ende, & Crijner, 2003; Villodas, Litrownik, & Roesch, 2012). Using these technique, three presentations were consistently identified using caregiver and youth selfteports of tehavior during early (van Lier et al., 2003) and middle childhood (Sondeijker et al., 2005), readolescer e (Villodas, Lirownik, & Roesch, 2012), and adolescence (Storr et al., 20%/): low or no extendizing p oblems: more ate to high probabilities of ADHD and CDD related problems, but low or no CD related problems; and high probabilities of ADHD and ODD related problems and moderate to high proclabilities of CD related problems. All but one of these studies exacting youth from the general population, while the other found similar results among a sample of maltreated mildren (Villodas, Litrownik, & Roesch, 2012), Two or these studies fur her candated these findings by demonstrating that preser mions included behaviors related to CD in particular were at an increased risk for sub take us, and diagnoses of ADHD, ODD, and CD during adolescence (Storr et al., 200.'; Villor'.as, Litrownik, & Roesch, 2012).

Each of these previous studies identified externalizing p oblem presentations crosssectionally, which limited their abilities to creatine patterns in these presentations across developmental periods. Such an examination can be facilitated using a longitudinal extension of LC/PA models, latent transition analysis (LTA, Collins & Lanza, 2010). This data a taly ic procedure builds on LC/PA models and facilitates the estimation of probabilities that individuals change presentation groups across successive time periods. The elevated risk for caternalizing problems among votant at risk for maltreatment underscores the importance of better understanding the developmental transitions in presentations of these problems in this population, as well as the effects of maltreatment subtypes and timing on the development and maintenance of these presentations.

# Present Study

The present study had three objectives: to identify presentations of externalizing problems across developmental periods and patterns of change in these presentations to establish the predictive validity of these mesentations; and to identify differences in presentation group memberships between boys and girls and children who we ereported to Child Protective Services (CPS) for different types of maltreatment. In order to accompute the flast of these objectives, the present study utilized LTA to identify changes in externalizing problem presentations among yould of these objectives by and presentations across three developmental periods (i.e., early childhood, middle childhood, and presentations previous studies, it was expected that these same three presentations would embry in the present cample. Moreover, based on previous research findings, it was expected that a maiority of youth would transition of these same's externalizing problem presentations over the and that only a small contingent of children would persist in their agressive externalizing problem presentations over the and that only a small contingent of children would persist in their agressive externalizing problem presentations over the and that only a small contingent of children would persist in their agressive externalizing problem presentations over the and that only a small contingent of children would persist in their agressive externalizing problem presentations over the and that only a small contingent of children would persist in their agressive externalizing problem presentations over the presentations of presentations of the presentations of the presentations of presentations of presentations.

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; availat is in PMC 2 J15 A jril 01.

With regard to the concludiojective, the present study established the predictive validity of the identified externalizing problem presentations by examining the patterns of relationships between these presentations and diagnoses of ADHD, ODD, and CD during early addressence. In light of recent research that has sought to delineate the developmental course and stability of these disorders, we expected that children who developed severe and aggressive externalizing problem presentations early would be more likely to meet criteria for CD during early addressence relative to children with other presentations, while children whose problem presentations were has severe of developed later would be more likely to more criteria for ADHD and ODD, by t not CD. relative to children who presented with lower revels of problem behaviors. In order to more clearly identify dimensional differences in diagnoses between children with each presentation that may not have been reflected in their diagnostic outcomes, differences in the number of symptoms that they presented with for each disorder were also examined.

Finally in order to accomplish the third objective, membership in each externalizing problem precontation group was predicted by gender and whether children had allegations for four types of maltroaument during each developmental period. Based on the previous literature, boyo were predicted to be n ore tikely that, git is to develop and persist in the most severe externalizing problem presentations core, time and to present with more physically aggressive behaviors. Although the specific effects of two and timing of maltreatment on externalizing problems remains unresolved in the previous frequency, it was expected that recent reports of physical abuse and neglect work prediction or essure and persistent externalizing problem presentations characterized by physically aggressive behaviors.

#### Methods

#### Sample

The present study utilized data from a large-scale consortium of ongoing prospective studies, the Longitudinal Studies of Chilo Abuse and Neglect (UCivGSCAN). LONGSCAN consists of five sites in the SouthWestern, Northwestern, Eastern, Southorn, and Midwestern United States dedicated to conducting longitudinal research examining the development of children and youth at rick for maltreatment. All sites used uniform nonsurement, data collection, data entry and lata handling protopils and were coordinated inrough a central coordinating center. Children and their conegivers were recruited to participate when the children were 4 years old and more interviewed brannually between ages 4 and 18 using developmentally appropriate mendares of the children, their coregivers, families, neighborhoods, and schools. All interviews were conducted in person using laptop computers and audio-computer assisted self-interviews for sensitive matchals.

The total sample recruited for LONGSCAN included 1,354 children across the five substitut were identified as being at varying levels of the total children across the five substitut Northwestern and Southwestern siles recruited children who had been reported for maltreatment, while the Eastern's terrecruited children attending pediatric clinits who were at a high risk for maltreatment based on demographic rick factors and the Southern and Midwestern sites recruited both children who had been reported for maltreatment, as well as children who were identified as being at a high rick for maltree ment (see Runyan et al.,

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; availat is in PMC 2 J15 A ril 01.

Page 5

1998, for a more detailed description of the overall study design and site-specific recrui ment procedures). Little's tert (1985) of missing data patterns (results not presented like) revealed that yout i's behavior problems were not associated with any identifiable missing data pattern. Moleover, youth with complete data did not significantly differ from youth who missed at least or e interview chi any of the demographic characteristics described likelow or on baseline problem behaviors. Thus, the present study included data from 788 youth who had completed caregiver interviews at ages 4, 8, and 12 (see Table 1 for sample demographics).

Sample descript ve statistics are presented in Table 1. The sample was very diverse and representative of children at risk for child abuse and neglect. Rates of all types of maltreatment were highest before ac. 4, which is reflective of the sampling method. Nevertheless, the rules of each type of matrea ment, mong children with maltreatment allegations were consistent with nationally reported transfer in maltreatment for each age rai ge ('US Depart.nent of Health and Human Services, 2013). While the majority of the chil tren in the sample were living with biological parents, an increasing number were ad opted of living with contives, as they got o'do. The number in nonrelative care (i.e., istra care or group homes) decreased as (nildren get ol ler. However, previous researchers have identified that some of the childryn's itering situations were unstable over time (e.g., Proctor st al., 2011, report that approximately 14% of the wildren who were placed in foster care from the Southwest sample changed care<sup>oi</sup>, ers between ages 6 and 8). For this reason, a min mult 2-month period of c, re was required before report; were obtained from a caregiv... A substantial proportion of the sample reported vory 'ow incomes (<\$15,000 annually). / inally, at age 14, approximately 9% of cuildren in u.s sample were diagnosed with ADF D, 13% were diagnosed with ODD, and 10% were diagnosed with CD.

#### Measures

**Sociodemographics**—A caregiver coort measure was developed by LONGSCAN including items that assessed sociodemographic variables at one time (i.e., youth gender and race/ethnicity or at each interview and current household income level).

**Child Behavior Cherklist (CBCL)**—The CBCL asks care-givers to report on the frequency of 113 child and adolescent problem behaviors that their child has engaged in over the past 6 months on a 3-point secter (0 = never true, 1 = cometimes and 2 - often true; Achenbach, 1991; Acherbach & Reccorda, 2001). The present study facus id on 26 items from the ADHD, CDD, and CD DSM-origined scales identified by Achenbach et al. (2003). Each of these scales consists of an independent subset of items from the at ention problems scale and the externalizing behavior problems broadbard scale and is specific to each disorder. More specific in the series 5 indicators of ADHD behaviors (e.g., "Con't concentrate, pay attention for long"), 5 indicators of OLD behaviors (e.g., "An gues a let"), and 16 indicators of CD behaviors (e.g., "I nysically attack s other people") were included in the present study from the CBCL. These items were identified by experts and assigned to these categories before being factor analyzed in large nor native samples of childrer. (Achenbach et al., 2003). Because of low frequencies of endorsement for several items all CBCL items were dichotomized in the present analyzed in the present analyses (i.e., 0 = never true, 1 = sometimes

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; availat is in PMC 2 J15 A ril 01.

often true), which is consistent with previous studies that have utilized the CBCL items in LCAs (Sondeijk r et al., 2005, Stor. et al., 2007; van Lier et al., 2003; Villodas, Litrownik,  $\mathcal{L}$  Roes ch, 2012). These iter is were suministered to caregivers when the youth were ages 4, 8, and 12.

**HIMH Crinputerized Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children IV**—The NIMH Computerized Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children IV was administered at age 14 to cisses shore than 30 psychilatric diagnoses as well as symptoms for each disorder that have occurred in the youth over the preceding year using both child and caregiver reports based and DOM-IV-TR (Shaffer Fisner, Lucco, Hilsenroth, & Segal, 2004). These symptoms are later derived into symptom counts for each disorder as well as diagnoses when all relevant criteria are met (e.g., Shaffer et al., 2004). The present study included the combined youth and caregiver report (as described by Shaffer et al., 2004) of the following diagnoses and symptom counts: AP-HD, ODD, and CD. There was some variability in the concordance between voluth and caregivers' reports about whether each symptom was present for each disorder (AP-rfD: 56%–84%; ODD: 49%–%5%; CD: 56%–99%) as has been reported by previour researchers (see review by Grifts & Guendick, 2002). These variables were included to provide evidence of the predictive validity of the LCA solutions at ages 4, 8, and 12 i... the identification of externalizing providem presentations that are of particular clinical concern

CPS 'ecurds—Each of the LUNGSCAN sites Cystematically reviewed CPS records to identify reports or alleged mattreatment and coded the narraives using a modification of the Maltreatment Classification System (MMCS; Barrant, Manly, & Cicchetti, 1993; English & LONGSCAN investigators. 1927). Coders at each side were trained to use the MMCS by experience 1 coulors mult they removed 90% agreement what the good standard. To further ensure reliab.<sup>a</sup> coding coders at all five sites coded a subcample (n = 109) of the CPS narratives that represented cases from each site. Kappas for MACS codes by LONGSCAN coders were high (ranging from  $\kappa = 0.73$  for emotion i maltreatment to  $\kappa = 0.87$  for physical abuse; English & LONGSCAN 'avestigators, 1997). "... present study used dichotomous indicators (i.e.,  $0 = not \ c \ lleged$ , 1 = alleged) of maltreatment subtypes, including four types of maltreatment distinguished by the MMCS (i.e., physical abuse secual abuse, neglect, and emotional maltreatment) for each of three 4-year intervals including preschool (birth to age 4 interview), early childhood (following age 4 interview to age 8 interview), and Interview), and Interview) childhood (following age 8 interview to nge 12 in erview). The decision to use Illegations of maltreatment was based on previous findings tool children with alleged and substantiated maltreatment are at a similarly increased risk for maltreatment footdivious and met al health and behavioral consequences (Drake, Jonson-Roda, Way, & Churg, 2002, Hussey et al., 2005; Kohl, Jonson-Reid, & Trake, 2007). As can be seen in Table 1 a substantial proportion of children had all gations for more than one type of maltratment juring each developmental period. A previous investigation of the co-occurrence of heso types of maltreatment in this sample found that abuse (either playsical or sexual) frequently cooccurred with neglect and emotional maltreatment and, in the absence of physical of sexual abuse, neglect frequently co-occur d win unotional inaltreatment (Villodus, Litrownik, Thompson, et al., 2012).

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; available in PMC ^ J15 A pril 01.

# Data analysis

Data viere analyzed using L1A in 'uplus version 7.12 (Muthén & Muthén, 2012), in order to examine changes in the level opmental presentations of externalizing problems prospectively. LTA is a person-centered data analytic procedure, much like LC/PA, which 'acilitate' the identification of unobserted or latent groups of individuals with a common set of traits. LTA extends 'his model to longitudinal data by including additional parameters that allow researchers to examine stability and changes in group memberships over time. LTA requires the development of baseline metasurement models using LC/PAs at each time point. In this way categorical latent variables are created and the probability that individuals change latent classes across ume points is estimated at the probability that individuals change latent classes across une points is estimated at a with LC/PA, the goal is to maximize homogeneity with a classes and heterogeneity between classes.

mouel selection and fit ind'co-According to the most current recommended model Lecti n procedurer (Collins & Lanza, 2010; N; lund, Asparouhov, & Muthén, 2007), models with incleasing numbers of classes were fit sequentially and multiple indicators of model fit were compared in order to select the model with the best fit according to the 'najority of fit indices. The Lo-Mende I-Rion adjusted likelihood ratio test (LMRT; Lo, Mondell 2 Rubin, 2001) provided a test of whether a more complex model (e.g., threecless) provided superior fit compared to a less complex model (e.g., two-class) based on differences between the corresponding log likenhood values for each model. The Bayesian information criterion (BIC: Sci vartz, 1978) and a sample sizo-adjusted version of the BIC (SSA-BIC Sclove 1267) were also used for model select..., with lower values indicating superior fit. Athough candardized guidelines for evaluang the magnitude of change in each of the se ir formation criteria have not yet been developed N flund et al. (2007) recommend comparing or loria across models what increasing numbers of classes until a minimum value is reached Finally, in addition to statistical indices of model fit, Collins and Lanza (2010) highlight the importance of considering the theoretical interpretability of each model, in conjunction with model ut stat stics, when selecting the best fitting model. Related to the interpretability of the movie, parameters described below, entropy provides an index of class separation, with value, closer to 1 indicating better separation and values above .80 indicating good separation. In the present study, the BIC, SSA-RIC and LI ART were considered in the selection of the statistically lest fitting model while entropy and interpretability of other model parameters viere also considered in the model cuection process.

**Model parameters**—The basic LCA model includes two inportant parameters, conditional response probabilities (CRPs) and latent blass probabilities (LCPs). ChPs are estimated for individuals in each class and represent the probability that they had each behavior problem. CRPs can be examined within and between classes in order to label each class and substantively differentiate it from the other classes in the model. CRFs can also be considered relative to the average probabilities that each behavior problem occurred a pross all children in the sample. In addition to CRPs, LCPs that each behavior problem occurred a pross all children in the sample. In addition to CRPs, LCPs there estimated for models at each time point (Collins & Lanza, 2010). The basic LTA model includes an addition all parameters, batter transition probabilities (LTPs; Collins & Lanza, 2010), which represent the probability that

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; availat is in PMC 2 J15 A ril 01.

individuals change classes or remain in the same class across consecutive periods. Additional parameters can be added to the LTA model to specify the effects of covariates on latent class memberships, and cutcome variables can be predicted by the resulting LTA model (Muthén, 2004).

**The proposed model** —In order to address the first objective of the present study, individual baseline LCA models were sequentially tested based on the 26 indicators of externalizing problems from the CECL at three the points (i.e., ages 4, 8, and 12), and transitions among classes across consecutive time points were estimated using LTA. The coord objective of the present study was to validate the identified externalizing problem classes of ADHD, ODD, and CD at age 14. This was accomplished using logistic regression analyses to predict whether youth in each class had each of these diagnesses at age 14. In order to consider a met sional models of disruptive behavior disorders and to more clearly characterize the identified classes, differences in the mean numbers of symptoms that youth had for each disorder were also examined using analyses of variance with Tukey honestly significant afterence post hoc tests. Finally, the third objective of the present study was accomplished by examining gender and maltreatment allegations no predictors or latent class: membership at each time point using multinomial logistic regression analyses.

# Results

#### Objective 1: Identia Vatent classes and transitions across developmental periods

**Baseline .node'**. **selection**—Two- through six class models were tested at each age (individual model fit statistics are presented in Table 2). For the age 4 model, the BIC and LMRT indicated that the five class model provided the best fit, while the SSA-BIC indicated that the five class model provided the best fit. Decreases in entropy and interpretability of CRPs and LCPs further supported the selection of the more parsimonious and better statistically fitting three-class model. Similarly, for the age 8 model, the BIC and LMRT indicated that the four-class model provided the best fit. Interpretability of CRPs and LCPs further supported the selection of the more parsimonious and better statistically fitting three-class model. Similarly, for the age 8 model, the BIC and LMRT indicated that the four-class model provided the best fit. Interpretability of CRPs and LCPs also supported the selection of the three-class model. Finally, at age 12, the BIC and LMRT indicated that the four-class model was the best fitting, while the SSA-BIC indicated that the four-class model was the best fitting, while the SSA-BIC indicated that the four-class model was the best fitting, while the SSA-BIC indicated that the four-class model was the best fitting, while the SSA-BIC indicated that the four-class model was the best fitting, while the SSA-BIC indicated that the four-class model was the best fitting, while the SSA-BIC indicated that the four-class model was the best fitting, while the SSA-BIC indicated that the four-class model was the best fitting, while the SSA-BIC indicated that the four-class model was the best fitting, while the SSA-BIC indicated that the five-class model provided the best fit Clace again, the interpretability of the model parameters supported the more parsimonious, and better statistically fitting, four-class model.

**Age 4 model**—Three distinct classes of youth where identified in the sample block on their externalizing problem presentations (see Table 3 for the CRPs for each class). The first class, labeled "well-adjusted," consisted of 41% of the sample and was characterized by 'ower CRPs relative to the other classes and to the sample alrerage probabilities for all externalizing problems, with the exception of behaviors that were considered generally normative among 4-year-old children (e.g., difficulty sitting still). The second class labeled "hyperactive/oppositional," included 48% of the sample and consisted of volum with predominantly high probabilities of ADHD-and CDD-related behaviors (all CEPs above

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; availat is in PMC ^ J15 A Jril 01.

0.50 except being disclosulient at senoor) relative to the well-adjusted class and to the sample average probabilities and relatively now relababilities of CD-related behaviors (most CRPs below 0.25 and at or below the sample average). The third class, labeled "aggressive/rule-breaking" represented a small proportion of the sample (11%) and was characterized by high probabilities of ADHD- and ODD-related behaviors (all CRPs above 0.70 except being disobedicine at school) and relatively moderate to high probabilities of most CD-related behaviors (all CRPs above 0.70 except being disobedicine at school) and relatively moderate to high probabilities of most CD-related behaviors (all CRPs above the sample average). The most pronounced elevations in CRPs relative to the other class as were for extreme aggressive behaviors such as bullying and being mean to others, physically attaking others, getting in many fights, and threatening outers.

**Age 8 model**—The three distinct classes of youth that were identified at age 8 very closely recombled the direc classes of youth identified at age 4 (see Table 3 for the CRPs for each class). The CRPs for the first class followed a similar pattern to those of the well-adjusted class at ago 4, and this class consisted of 34% of the sample. The pattern of CRPs for the second class closely resembled that of the hyperactive oppositional class at age 4. This class included 46% of the sample. The CRPs for the nind class were analogous to those of the aggressive/rule-breaking class at age 4, bit this class consisted of 20% of the sample at age 8. This class was again characterized by promounced extreme aggressive behaviors such as bullying and being mean to others, physically attacking univers, getting in many fights, and destroying others things.

**Age 12 mode!** —Although three classes emerged that were similar to those identified at ages 4 and 3, a fourth class emerged at age 12 (see Table 3 for the CRPs and LCPs for each class). The first class consisted of 30% of the sumple and closely resembled the previously identified vielle dimeted class. The second class was most consistent with the hyperactive/ oppositional class and consisted of 36% of the sample. The united class that emerged was most similar to the aggressive/rule-breaking class identified at ages 4 and 8, represented 8% of the sample, and was again most clearly distinguished from the other classes by their extremely aggressive presentations such as bullying and oping mean to others, physically attacking others, getting in many fights, and threatening others. Finally the new fourth class that emerged included 2.5% of the sample and was characterized by high probabilities of ADHD- and ODD-related openations (all CRPs above 0.65 and all above the cample average) and predominantly low to moderate probabilities of most CD related behaviors (including physically aggressive behaviors), except for tullying or being mean to others, obstroying other's property, lying or cheating, tacking guit, having bad friends, and swe aring (CRPs range = 0.42–0.81). This class was labeled "definant/deceitful."

**LTA of externalizing problems from age 4 to 12**—In order to examine the probabilities that youth transitioned to dimerent classes, an unconditional LTA was conducted based on the LCA baseline moders at ages 4, 8, and 12 described above (see Table 4 for LTPs). The CRPs for each class were fixed using the values from the baccline LCA models at each age so that classes were not reactimeled (i.e., the substantive interpretations of the classes did not change) in the full LTA model. However, because class membership in the LTA model is dependent on memberships at the previous time point,

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2J15 A jril 01.

class sizes are reactimated using uns additional information and can differ from those identified in the initial LCA model. (i.e., those described above). Although for the age 4 and  $\ 100 de^{1}$ s, the class size: estimated by the LTA did not differ from those reported above, the class sizes estimated for the age 12 model did differ substantially from those reported above. Only approximately 10% of youth were identified as hyperactive/oppositional at age 12 by the LTA (relative to 3.5%) by the LCA reported above). Similarly, 38% of youth were identified as defiant/decontrol at age 12 by the LTA (relative to 3.6%) by the LCA reported above). Similarly, 38% of youth were identified as defiant/decontrol at age 12 by the LTA (relative to 26% by the LCA reported above). While the size of the well-adjust id class of 18% of the sample according to the LTA (relative to 3.6%) according to the LCA reported above).

Between ages 4 and 8, the majority of youth did no, change classes (LTPs between 0.63 and 0.75) Of these your who did thange classes, the probabilities of transitioning to classes with more severe externalizing problems at age 8 (i.e., ".cil-adjusted to hyperactive/ oppositional; hyp\_ractive/oppositional to aggressive/rule-breaking) was higher than the protabilities of youth transitioning to classed with ices severe externalizing problems. Youth in the appressive/rule-backing class at age 4 had the his hest probability of remaining in that class at age & very few youth transitioned directly hetwisen the well-adjusted and agercasive/rule breaking classes. More transitions occurred between ages 8 and 12, and the pat erns were more variable, although this was in part because of the emergence of the defient/d ceitful class at age 12. More than half on the hyper active/oppositional youth and a third of the aggressive/r\_ie-breating youth at ape 3 transitioned to the new defiant/deceitful class at age 12 This indicates that the majority of youth in this group were escalating to a more sever : externalizing class (i.e., a class with ronviolent conduct problems), while others were transitior ing from a more aggressive externalizing class. However, age 8 aggressive/ rule-breaking and well-adjusted youth were most likely to remain in those respective classes at age 12.

#### Objective 2: Validate externalizing problem presentation classes

In order to validate the external 2 log r toblem presentation closes, logistic regressions were performed with age 12 class membership predicting the likelihood of being diagnosed with ADHD, ODD, or CD r age 1 +,  $\chi^2$  (3) = 32.25 82.87, at d 71.71, Nagetker at  $R^2$  = .12, .23, and .23, respectively, ps < .001. As expected, aggressive/rube-breaking youth work more likely to be diagnosed with all three disorders relative to well adjusted (ADHD) of law ratio [OR] = 11.26, p < .001, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 3.75–32.84; ODD, OR = 15.23, p < .001, 95% CI = 6.81-34.07; CD, OR = 12.21, p < .001, 95% CI = 2.43-27.48, hyperaclive/oppositional (ADHD) OR = 9.61, p < .01, 95% CI = 2.18-42.36, ODD, OR = 54.15, p < .001, 95% CI = 7.26-403.74; CD; OR = 43.43 r < .001, 95% CI = 5.81-324.55, or defiant/deceitful youth (ADHD) OR = 2.19 r < .05, 05% CI =  $1.16-4.15, 0^{T}$ ,  $D^{T}$ , GR = 4.85, p < .001, 95% CI = 2.83-8.33; CD, OR = 7.28, p < .001, 95% CI = 3.87-13.69).

Defiant/deceitful youth were more like by to be diagnosed v ith ADHD and ODD, by: not CD, relative to the well-adjusted (AD, ID:  $OR = 5.1^{4}$ , p < .01, 95% CI = 1.75–15.08; CDD: OR = 3.14, p < .01, 95% CI = 1.4–7.02) and hyperactive doppositional (ADHD: OR = 4.39, F < .05, 95% CI = 1.01–19; ODD: OR = 11.16 F = .05, 95% CI = 1.5–83.2.) youth.

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2J15 April 01.

Meanwhile, well adjusted and hyperactive/oppositional youth did not differ in their inkelil ood of diagnosis for any of the discretes.

In order to more clearly characterize the classes and explore dimensional differences in symptom, of ADHD, CDD, and CD between classes, mean differences were tested using (ne-way ?: alyses of variance. These analyses indicated that mean symptoms of ADHD, ODD, and CD significantly differed be ween groups, F(3, 620) = 71.42, 75.52, and 122.37, respectively, ps < .001. Post hoc tes s rerealed the consistent with the findings for the logistic regression above, the well-ac justed (ADHD: M = 3.26, SD = 2.62; ODD: M = 2.3, SD = 2, CD, M = 1.74, SD = 1.72) and hyperactive/oppositional (ADHD: M = 3.43, SD = 1.72) 2.52: ODD: M = 2.54, SD = 1.72; CD: M = 1.74, SD = 1.64) youth did not significantly differ from one another with regard to their metal symptom counts for any disorders. Defiant/decoder youth (ADHD: M = 5.67 SL = 3.5°; ODD: M = 2.1) had significantly higher (n < 0.01) mean symptoms for ADHD at d OD. Clative to both well-adjusted and hy peractive, opperational youth and also had significantly higher (p < .001) mean CD syn ptom counts (CD: M = 3.18, SD = 2.4) <sup>+1</sup> and poin classes of youth. Finally, consistent win the results of the log stic regression above, appressive/rule-breaking youth (ADHD: M  $= 8 \text{ C}_2$ , SD = 4.22; ODD: M = 5.98, SI = 2.29; CD: M = 7.02, SD = 3.2) had significantly high a (ps < .001) mean symptoms for all disorders relative to all other classes of youth.

# Objective 3: Prevlict latent class membership using oncuer and maltreatment allegations

Multi om al logistic regressions were used to predict class membership at each age based on gender and melareatment diregations. For each age, the well-adjusted class was initially coded as the referent and then the hyperactive/or positional class was coded as the referent in a second analysis in order to dotain an alternate predimeterization (see Table 5 for odds ratios and confidence later vals). Girls were generally more likely than boys to present as well-adjusted at age 8 but were more likely than boys to present as hyperactive/oppositional at age 12 relative to all other classes. With regard to maltreatment, children with recent physical abuse ellegations were more likely to present as aggressive/rule-breaking than as well-adjusted at ages 4 and 12. but not at age 8. However, earlier physical abuse allegations did not predict class membership at later time points.

Children with allega ions for neglect or sectual abuse during the preschool or early childhood years were generally ress likely to present as well-adjusted at appeal and were particularly more likely to present as aggregative/rule-blocking. However, neither neglect no sexual abuse allegations that occurred during preschool predicted class monobership at appeal for 12. However, children with neglect allegations that occurred during the courred during the childhood were more likely to present as aggregative/rule-brocking than as well-adjusted at age 12. Finally, children with emotional malticatment allegations during preschool were more likely to present as either well-adjusted or hyperbolic adjusted at age 12. Finally, children with emotional malticatment allegations during preschool were more likely to present as either well-adjusted or hyperbolic adjusted at age 12. Finally, children at a either well-adjusted or hyperbolic adjusted or hyperbolic adjusted or hyperbolic adjusted at age 8.

# Discussion

The present study utilized a longitudinal person-centered anchysis, LTA, to examine presentations of externalizing problems among youth at risk for maltreatment across

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; available in PMC <sup>2</sup>J15 April 01.

VILLODAS et al.

developmental periods, crainate me predictive validity of these presentations, and identify the in pact of ch.ld ownder and ine and type of alleged child maltreatment on the Le 'eloy ment of these proble pr. With regard to questions about the uniqueness of ADHD, ODD, and CD, given the high rates of comorbidity among these disorders, models were developed at ages 4, 8, and 12, which idesumed presentations of externalizing problems that vere largel / consistent voith precentations identified by previous researchers that used LC/<sup>r</sup>A (So<sup>\*</sup>.deijker et a<sup>1</sup>., 2005; S<sup>+</sup>orr e. al., 2007; van Lier et al., 2003; Villodas, Litrownik, & Roesch, 2012). The first presentation consister of well-adjusted youth who were c<sup>k</sup>.aracterized by age-normative level of relative<sup>1</sup>, less problematic externalizing problems and nad consistent prevalence rates (30%-41%) with hose reported in previous studies usioss similar developmenta' railods. These nigh prevalence rates of well-adjusted youth were somewhat surprising in the context of the multiple risk factors (e.g., poverty, inducation, and violence exposition of externalizing problems experienced by many "youth in the symple However, note that the e clildren were considered well adjusted with respect to neir resentations of externalizing problems, but they may have presented with internalizin', problems that were not measured in the present study. In addition, a notable r oport on of well-2 just d youth develope? In hy era tive/oppositional presentation as the, transitioned from carly to middle chi dhood; a difficult transitional period that includes ad astment to die school environment and increased expectations for attentional, emotional, and beh vioral self-regulation (Carter et al., 2010; Mclanet, Shaw, & Maxwell, 2010). Nevertheless, the majority of y, uth with this presentation remained relatively stable across developmental periods, which prints to the need for future researchers to identify the processes the facilitate dus resilience to externalizing processes for youth from high-risk populations. Although these youth did, on average, manifest come symptoms of ADHD, ODD, and CD during adologence, it is possible "at these symptons represent a frequently noted and transitiony increase in externalizing problems that occurs during this period (Moffitt, 2006), It is also possible that they resulted from exposure to risk factors inherent to youth at risk for maltreatment

The hyperactive/oppositional presentation included youn who were characterized by problems consistent with ALHD and ODD, but not CD, including difficulty concentrating, hyperactivity, argumentativeness, and confine with adults and authority figures. The high probabilities of trans tions from this presentation to the ageressive/rule orealing presentation from early to middle child ... wod that one might enject based on current conceptualizations and empirical finding: and AL HD and ODP precede CD did not emerge. Hyperactive/ oppositional youth had a relative. " low probability of developing the aggressive/rule-breaking presentation, but all tansition probabilities during these time periods were similarly low. Thus, a custantial moportion of these youth were identified prior to starting school, and their presentations remained relatively styble of they transitioned to middle childhood, which is consistent with the finding, of K eei an  $t^{\dagger}$  al. (2011). However, this presentation 'secar's tess prono ince I during preacible celler and was not distinguished from the well-acjust d presentation curir g adolescence w th regard to symptoms or diagnoses of ADHE OLD, or CD. These findings initially appear to be consistent with previous research that has identified subsets of youth with decreasing trajectories of externalizing problems as they mature (P.ordy et al., 2003; Nagin &

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2J15 April 01.

Tremblay, 2005) and youth who develop ODD, but do not develop CD (Burke et al., 2010; Rowe et al., 2010) In the context with transition probabilities, however, many previously hyperactive/oppositional youth developed the defiant/deceitful presentation during prea dole scence, indicating that a substantial proportion of youth with this presentation actually diveloped more severe externalizing problems. This is not uncommon as youth graduate from elementary school and begin middle school, where they have increased autohomy and opportunities for misbehavior (Moilanen et al., 2010). Although the prevalence of the hyperactive/oppositional presentation was comparable to that of previous studies across childhood (46%-49%) it drastically decreased during preadolescence (to 1270), indicating that this presentation may be less de relopmentally relevant during this period.

In contrast to the hyperactive/copositional presentation, the defiant/deceitful presentation was characterized by slightly higher levels of ADHD- and ODD-related problems and considerably higher levels of specific CD-related problems such as lying and cheating, lacking guilt, swearing, bullying or being mean to others, and associating with deviant peers. Although this presentation was not ider affed in previous studies using LC/PA, the high-risk hather of the present sample may have facilitated the identification of a more specific subgroup with more covert conduct problems that were not easily detected by caregivers (e.g., Disnon & Patterson, 2006; Loeber et al. 2009) or als ubgroup with a subthreshold ODD-CD presentation as described by Burke et al. (2010). However, the finding that youth with this presentations with regard to likelihood of being and gnosed with ADHD and ODD, but not CD, aespite having a significantly greater number of CD symptoms, is less consistent with these explanations. This presentation, similar to the adole scent-limited antisocial youth described by Mc fint (2006; Moffit et al., 2008).

The characteristics of definit/deceitful youth were clearly distinguished from the aggressive/ rule-breaking youth, who generally comprised the smallest proportion of the sample and presented with problems related to 'aDHD and ODD as yell as nore physically aggressive and serious conduct problems related to CD. During addlest ence ageressive/rule-breaking youth had more symptoms and were more likely to be diagnosed with eran disorder than were any of the other presentations. It is clear that these youth represent the most concerning risk for serious future antisocial behavior: he vever, a substantial proportion developed the defiant/deceitful present duon as they transitioned from middle childhood to preafficience. This decrease in aggressive conduct problems had one observed by previous researchers (Broidy et al., 2003; Nagin & Tremblay, 2005) and could represent a childhood dimined antisocial trajectory more recently propored by researchers (Moffitt, 2006; Moffitt) et al., 2008). In contrast, few new youth, developed the aggressive/rule-breaking presentation, across development. This is consistent with previous findings (Nagir & Tremblay, 2005) that new cases of aggression rarely emerge later in development and suggests that the most concerning presentation can be identified at a very yr ung age.

Previously identified increased rates of externalizing problems among boys did not emerge until middle childhood, and they persisted for the most severe externalizing problem

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2 J15 A jril 01.

VILLODAS et al.

presentations during preudoicscence. Although previous studies have found that gender differences in ea 'ly externa' juing p' soler's did not emerge immediately, these differences we re usually detected b ' preschool (Caillargeon et al., 2007, 2011). However, it is possible that early gender differences in exernalizing problems were obfuscated in the present sample by a general increase in externalizing problems as a result of the high prevalence of cevere rick factors (e.g. carly child maltreatment). Specifically, early physical abuse has beer identified as a particularly solient risk factor for early, continued, and later externalizing problems across boys and girls in a number of previous studies (e.g., Lansford et al., 2007; Manly et al., 2001), while others have suggested that recent physical abuse contributes equally or more chongly to these problems (e.g., Keiley et al., 2001; Thornberry Li ai., 2001). In the present s may, more recall physical abuse contributed to the development of aggressive/rule-breaking presentations during preschool and preadolescence, but the cificults of physical at use during middle childhood and the lasting effects of early physical obuse Juning Later developmental periods where that observed. It is possible that the effects of ph/sical 2 Juse during middle childhood were not detected as a result of the generally high and beaking rates of externalizing problems often observed during this period (Broidy et al., 2503; Dishion & Patterson, 2006; Loel er et al., 2007). However, no distal effects of physical abise were detected during preadoles ence either. Farly physical abuse has been associated wi'll disruptions in a number of developmental processes related to the expression of external zing problems (Appleyard et al., 2010, Cicchella & Valentino, 2006; Kim & Cicc. etti, 2010). However, other studies have reported that maltreatment occurring earlier in childhood, and particularly during middle childhood, has more profound immediate effect on externalizing problems than on later problems (Kaplein & Widom, 2007; Thornberry et al., 2001, 2010). One explanation for the lack of a lasting official be the presence of effective intervention services. In contrast, specific unique effects of maltreatment types may not have been easily detected siven the number of predictors in the regression models. Future research, that accounts for the overlap in children's maltreatment experiences (e.g., Villodas, Litrownik Thompson, et ul., 2012) is needed to finance clainfy these effects.

Previous researchers have a dentified that children whe have been neglected are at an increased risk for externalizing problems during childhood (Kotch et al., 2008; Manly et al., 2001) and adolescence (Thoreberry et al., 2001). Although it was supersising that early neglect did not emerge as a predictor of externalizing problems during problems during presented of it did contribute indiscriminately to the development of the hyperarchee/opportional and aggressive/rule-breaking presentations during middle childhood. More report neglect, however, contributed more specifically to the development of the aggressive/rule-oreaking presentation during middle childhood and presiduescence. While the disruptive effects of neglect during the early years on children's development seem inherent, it is possible that these effects may not emerge immediately or are conditional on the concurrence of other risk factors or forms of maltreatment (e.g., physical abuse). Conversely, later externalizing problems may emerge as a more immediate response to categivers' lack of supervisior and/or failure to provide for child en's physical and emotional needs. However, it may be particularly important for future researchers to consider the overlap in children's every solution of the response to categivers' lack of supervisior and/or failure to provide for child en's physical and emotion allower, especially considering the

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; available in PMC ^ J15 A pril 01.

high rates of co-occurrence between neglect and other types of maltreatment (Villodas, Litrov nik, Thon pson, et al., 2012)

Alti oug't sexual abuse his more f equently been associated with internalizing symptom, tology and scaulized behavior during childhood (for a review, see Putnam, 2003), it energed as a salient risk factor for the development of externalizing problems in the present study. While carry sexual abuse seemed to contribute to the development of <sup>2</sup>/gress<sup>1</sup>/e/rule-breaking presentations in particula; the effects of more recent sexual abuse we c stronger and less specific. Nevertheless, it is rot difficult to conceive that victims of sevent abuse could begin to eveness difficult behaviors as they transition into more structured school environments and encounter, new social experiences with peers. It is also possible that these behaviors are active manifestations of their trauma symptoms, rather than emerging discussive behavior problems. Findings for emotional maltreatment have been somewhat less clear, because emotional ma'trea ment has been less consistently defined. He wever, Shaffer, Yates, and Egeland (2009) recently found that two, more clearly conveptualized forms of emotional maltreatment, environal abuse and emotional neglect, prior to see 4 were both plated to increased 25510 furing middle childhood. The indiags of the present study are difficult to interpret, because they seem to contradict these findings during ....ddle childhood but concurring these findings during preadolescence, alb it n arginally significantly. It is clear, however, that more research on emotional malt eatment is needed in order to operationally define the construct more clearly, as well as delinente i's effects.

#### Limitations

Although he i iclusion of a large, his h-risk san plo may have facil tated the identification of more specific extended in problem presentations, the coneralizativity of these findings may be limited for youth from the general population. Specifically, the presentations and their patterns of development that were identified in the present study may reflect different transactional processes than those of youth from the seneral population (Cicchetti & Valentino, 2006). Although similar presentations have also been identified in general population samples, it will be important to replicate the 'ransitions between these presentations in additional samples. The indicators of externalizing problems that were included in the present study have been extensively researched and validated (A chenbach et al., 2003; Achenbach & Rescorla, 2001), but are not representative of the full range of externalizing problems or symptoms of ADHD, CDD, and CD, specifically the indicators included in the present study dia not include in licators of relation and aggression, callous unemotional traits, and more extreme antisocial behaviors, and the problems related to ADHD and ODD did not include second important symptoms. Ir contrast, the indicators of CD-related problems include 1 three it ans (i.e. that ing guilt, having bad friends, and using bad language) that are not symptoms of CD, but represent commonly associated fectures or characteristics. Moreover, the present strugy relied exclusively on caregiver roport, of youth's externalizing problems. It is possible that some caregivers (e.g., nor re'ative caregivers, foster parents, or group care workers) were not aware of the extent of youth's externalizing problems. Neverthele, s, the validity of the CBCI, for use by group care workers has been previously established (Alorecht, Vouman, Damen, & Kloes, 2001). It is

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; available in PMC ^ J15 A Jril 01.

also possible that moltraiting caregivers vould minimize their reports of children's problems in order to avoid further CPC involvement. However, previous research has shown that inaltreating parents' reports of their children's behaviors are generally consistent with behavioral observations, and that when they are discrepant, they tended to overreport their children's behavior problems (Lau, Valeri, McCarty, & Weisz, 2006). Moreover, the presentations reported in the present study were validated using combined youth and caregiver diagnostic into views during adolescence. Future researchers should attempt to repliced these results using multiple information, particularly teacher reports, which could include more detailed information about symptomes of ADHD.

Although the data analytic models attlized in the present study were generally strengths, they were limited in some of the direct comparisons that could be made. Although indices of comparative model fit are read by available and interpretable, absolute indices of overall model fit are loss reliable (Collins & Lanza, 2010). Moreover, inferential tests of model fit are not considered reliable, which means that model fit is often limited to comparisons among indices of relative fit. In addition, as a result of the relatively low base rates of some forms of inaltreatment (i.e., sexual abuse between ages 1 and 8), as well as the small sizes of some classes (i.e., hypernetive/opposition(1 class at tige 12), some odds ratios could not be estimated and others were not significant, despite indirating large effect sizes. Related to this issue, in consideration of the number of maltreatment variables included in the regression models, results of these analyses should be interpreted with some caution. Specifically, as a result of might overlap in the maltreatment type should be interpreted with some caution.

#### Implications

A novel contribution of the present study is the finding that developmental trajectories of externalizing problem presentations among youth at a bigit risk for multreatment are generally consistent with those identified in the general population. The identification of a qualitatively unique group of youth who rivet criteria for ADHC and ODD, but had lateonset, subthreshold, and non-physically aggressive CD symptoms curing pre-adolescence could indicate an important distingtion between youth with early and late-conset conduct problems. When considered relative to the stability of as gressive behavior, among a subset of youth, at the highest risk for the development of more stations conduct models, it appears that many of the highest risk ...ost severe, and most persistently antisocial youth can be identified early with some degree of a curacy, are characteristically distinct from other youth with regard to their aggressive behavior problems and may bene it from early, intensive intervention. This is consistent with long-term outcomes of the Fast Trac c intervention (e.g., Conduct Problem: revention Research Group, 2011). Moreover, this more aggressive presentation appears to be a common consequence of different child maltreatment experiences, but most prominently recent physical abuse and heglect. This indicates that the etiological influences on the development of externalizing propums should be considered in addition to the developmental course of these problems in order to distinguish the highest risk youth who are most in r.eed of interventions that target externalizing problems from youth vith less persister, and severe externalizing problems. It will be imperative for future researchers to future explore the covelopmental processes that

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2J15 A jril 01.

may account for the differential impact of these maltreatment types during specific developmental periods in code to a norm more personalized intervention efforts.

The sub tantial overlap in benavich problems that was identified among youth with more severe presentations underscenes the importance of implementing multifaceted intervention a pproached in order to address a broader variety of externalizing problems and of identifying and intervening with youth very early (Conduct Problems Prevention Research Group, 2011; Vienggeler & Schaeffer, 2010, Smith & Chambertain, 2010). Moreover, these results suggest that more immediate trauma-focused treatments the directly target children's maltreatment experiences could perhaps prevent the development of more severe externalizing problems used by a substantial proportion of youth, and planteularly girls, despite the high risk for maltreatment in the present sample, equal inform the refinement of future interventions for reducing externalizing problems among boys and other high-risk youth.

In sum, the present study confirmed many of the findings of previous researchers and assertions of theoretical models with regard to the development of externalizing problems in a sample of youth at risk for maltreatment. These findings support the uniqueness of disruptive behavior problem presentations and the early identification of persistently severe aggressive conduct problems, particularly among physically abused and neglected children. The overlapping problem presentations identified in the precent study provide support for multicomponent intervention strategies and treatments und the certain the treatment of support for strategies and treatments und the certain strategies and treatments und the certain strategies and the precent study provide support for multicomponent intervention strategies and treatments und the certain term of the certain constraints and the certain strategies.

# Acknowledgment s

This research vas supported by Granies r 31GM081918-01, 90CA17 i+, 90CA1745, 90CA1746, 90CA1747, 90CA1748, and 90CA1749

# References

- Achenbach, TM. Integrat.ve n. ani/ i for the 1991 CBCL/4-18, YSE, and TRF profiles. Burlington, VT: University of Vermint, Department of Psychiatry; 1991.
- Achenbach TM, Dumenci L, Restorla LA. DSivi-o tiented and empirically based at proaches to constructing scales from the same item pool. Journal of Clinical Cinital and Adolescent Psychology. 2003; 32:328–340.10 1207/S15374424JCCP. 203-02 [PubMe 1: 128°:022]
- Achenbach, TM.; Rescorla, LA. Manual for the ASEBA school-age forms and profiles. Burlington, VT: University of Vermont Department of Psychology; 2001.
- Albrecht G, Veerman JW, L amer L, Kroes G. The Child Behavior Check list for group care workers: A study regarding the factor structure. Journal of Aonormal Child Dychology. 2001; 29:55– 89:10.1023/A:1005255614039 [PubMed: 11316337]
- American Psychiatric Association, Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, 5. Arlington, VA: Author; 2013.
- Angold A, Costello EJ, Erkanli A. Como bidity. Journal of Chind Psychology and Javel iatry 1999; 40:57-87.10.1111/1469-7610.00424 [Pub/.red: 1010272c]
- Appleyard K, Yang C, Runyan DK. E eline ating the maladar rive bathways of child in a treatment: A mediated moderation analysis of the roles of self-perception and social support. Development and Psychopathology. 2010; 22:337–352.10.1217/S005457941/00009X [PubMed: 20425345]

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; availat is in PMC 2J15 April 01.

- Baillargeon RH, Normand CL, Seguin JK, Zo colillo M, Japel C, Perusse D, et al. The evolution of problem and social competence behaviors during toddlerhood: A prospective population-based cohort survey. Inrant Mental Health Journal. 2007; 28:12–38.10.1002/imhj.20120
- Bai large on RH, Sward GL, Ke, Ian K, Cao G. Opposition-defiance in the second year of life: A pc pula ion-based cohort + tudy. Jr. ancy. 2011; 16:418–434.10.1111/j.1532-7078.2010.00043.x
- Barne, t, D, Manly, JT.: Cicche'.u, D. Defining child maltreatment: The interface between policy and research. In: Cicche ti. D.; Toth, CL., editors Advances in applied developmental psychology: Child abi se, child development and so tal policy. Norwood, NJ: Ablex; 1993.
- P Jden JV, Fergusson DM, Hor. ood L', Risk factors for conduct disorder and oppositional/defiant 'sorder: Evidence from a New Zeal and tirt', cohort. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2010; 49:1:25–1133 10.1097/00004583-201011000-00006 [PubMed: 209/0700]
- Precidy Livi, INAGIN DS, Tremble V P.E., Bates JF, Erame B, Dedge KA, et al. Developmental trajectories of childhood disruptive behaviors and adolescent del.nquency: A six-site, cross-national study. Developmental Psychology. 2 103; 39:222–245.10.1057/00/2-1649.39.2.222 [PubMed: 12661883]
- Burke JD, Loeber R, Labey BB, Radiouz PJ. Developmental transitions among affective and behavioral alsorders in adolescent boys. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2005; 46:1200-1210.10.1111/j.1469-7610.2005.00422.x [PubMed: 16238667]
- Burk e JD, Weldman I, Lahey BB. Predictive vellatty of childhood oppositional defiant disorder and conduct disorder: Implications for the ΓSM-V Journal of Abnormal Psychology. 2010; 119:739–751.10.1037/20019708 FubMed: 208:3917]
- Carter A.5, Wagmille, KJ, Gray SAO, McCarthy KJ, Heawitz SM, Briggs-Gowan MJ. Prevalence of DSM-IV assorder in a representative, healthy birth cobort at school entry: Sociodemographic risks and social adaptation. Journal of the American Academy of Crild & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2010; 49:68. -698.10.1097/00004583-201007000-00002 [PubMed. 20610138]
- Cicchetti, L.; Valentino, K. An ecological-transaction? perspective on child maltreatment: Failure of the worage expectable environment and its influence on child dowelopment. In: Cicchetti, D.; Cohen, D., editors. Developmental psychopathology: *Vol. 3.* Risk, disorder, and adaptation. 2. Hoboken, NJ. Wiley; 2006. p. 129-201.
- Collins, LN.; Li nza, ST. Later., class and latent tran. it on analysis with a plications in the social, behavioral, and behavioral, and behavioral, and behavioral, and behavioral problem. More than social social
- Conduct Prob. ms Prevention Research Group. The effects of the rast 1 ack preventive intervention on the development of conduct disorder across child...ood. Child Development. 2011; 82:331– 345.10.1111/j.1107-0024.2010.01558.x [1/ubMed: 212914/2]
- Dishion, TJ.; Pattarson, GR. The development and ecology of anti-octal behavior in children and adolescents. In: Ciccl etti, D.: Cohen, JJ., editors. Developmental <sup>D</sup>sychopathology: Vol. 3. Risk, disorder, and adaptation. 2. Hobol.en, NJ: Wiley; 2006. p. 503-541.
- Drake B, Jonson-Reid M 'way I Chung S. Su'stat tiation and reci livists' Child M Itreat. 2003; 8:248–260.10.117<sup>-</sup>/1077<sup>-</sup> 59503258930 [P ibM.d: 146041/3]
- English, DJ. LONGSPAN Investigators. Modified maltreatment classification system (MMC<sup>\*\*</sup>). 1997. Retrieved from http://www.iprc.uml.edu/longscan/
- Fergusson DM, Boden JM, Horwood LJ. Crassification of behavior clusorders in adorest-mee: Scaling methods, predictive val dity and gender differences. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 2010 119:699–712.10.1037/a0018610 [PubMed: 20%55914]
- Foster EM, Jones DE. Conduct Problems Trevention Prosearch Group. The high clusts of Aggression: Public expenditures resulting from conduct disorder. American Journal of Public Haulth 2005; 95:1767–1772.10.2105/AJP.1.2001.061424 [LubMed: 15131639]
- Grills AE, Ollendick TH. Issues in parent -child account: The case of structured a ag ostiinterviews. Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review. 2 102; 5:57-1; 3.1 1.1023/A 1014573708569 [PubMed: 11993545]
- Henggeler, SW.; Schaeffer, C. Treating serious antisocial behavior using multisystemic therapy. in: Weisz, JR.; Kazdin, AE., editors. Evidence-based psychotherapies for children and adolescents. P New York: Guilford Press; 2010. p. 259-276.

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2J15 April 01.

- Hussey JM, Marshall IM, English DJ, Kungnt ED, Lau AS, Dubowitz H, et al. Defining maltreatment according to substantiation: Distinction without a difference? Child Abuse and Neglect. 2005; 29:479–492.10.1016/j.chizbu.2005.12.055 [PubMed: 15970321]
- Kat low 'B, Widom CS. At e of unset c' child maltreatment predicts long-term mental health cutco nes. Journal of A) normal d'sychology. 2007; 116:176–187.10.1037/0021-843X.116.1.176 [HubMud: 17324028]
- Vieenan K , c oeldt D, Ch en D, Covne C, Donald P, Duax J, et al. Predictive validity of DSM-IV on positional defiant and conduct disorcers in clinically referred preschoolers. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry 2011; 22:47 -55.10.1111/j.1469-7610.2010.02290.x [PubMed: 2013; 23:448]
- V.eenan K, Wroblewski K, Hipwell A J Leber R, Stolanamer-Loeber M. Age of onset, symptom unreshold, and expansion of the nosology or conduct theorder for girls. Journal of Abnormal Psychology. 2010; 119:689-698 10.1037/a0012646 [Put Med: 20853913]
- Keiley MK, Howe TR, Dodge KA, Bate JE, Pettit CS. The timing of child physical maltreatment: A cross-domain growth analysis of impact of addressent externalizing and internalizing problems. Development and Esychopatheless. 2001; 3:851–912. [Pul Med: 11771913]
- Kim J, Cicchetta D. I Sugitudinal pathways linking etailed maltreatment, emotion regulation, peer relations, and psychopathology. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2010; 51:706– 716.10.111.1/j.1469-7610.2009.02202.x [Publiced: 200070965]
- Kcill PL Conson-Reid M, D ake B. Time to leave closulation behind: Findings from a national probability study. Child Maltreatment 2007; 14:17-21.10.1177/1077559508326030 [PubMed: 18971246]
- Ke ch JB, U Swis T, Hussey JM, English D, Thompson R. Litrownik AJ, et al. Importance of early neglect for childhood aggression. Pediatrics. 2006, 121-725–731.10.1542/peds.2006-3622 [PubMed: 18381537]
- Lansford JL, Miller-Johnson, S, Bervin LJ, Dodge KA, Dates JE, Petti GS. Early physical abuse and late visionent delinquency: A prospective longitudinal study. Child Waltreatment. 2007; 12:233–245. [Publiced: 17621023]
- Lau AS, Valeri S.A, McCarty CA, Weilly JR. Abusi le parents' approximation thild behavior problems: Relationship to observed matent-child interactions fund Abuse and Neglect. 2006; 30:639-655.10. 016/j.chiclus.2005.11 (Sep [PubMed: 16782194]
- Little RJA. A lost of missing completely at random for multivariate data with missing values. Journal of the American Statistical Association. 1988; 83:11:0-1202.10.1050/01 521459.1988.10478722
- Loeber R, Burke JD, Parcini L A Development and etiology of disruptive and dei nquent behavior. Annual Review of Clinical Psychology. 2009; 5:291–310. 0.1144/annurev clinisy.032408.153631
- Manly JT, Kim JE, Rogor en FA Cicchetti D. Jimensions of child maltreatment and children's adjustment: Contributions of developmental timing and subspace. Development and Psychopathology. 2051; 13:759–782. [Publiced: 11771907]
- Maughan B, Rowe R, Messer J, Goedman R, Me<sup>1</sup>zer H. Conduct disorder and oppositional defiant disorder in a national sempre: Developmental coide niology. Journal of Clind Psychiatry. 2004; 45:607–671.10.1111/j.1469-7010.2004.00250.x [Pt bMed: 15045379]
- Moffitt, TE. Life-course-persistent versus adolese line-limited antisocial behavior. In: Cicci etti, D.; Cohen, DJ., editors. Developmental payenopathology: Vol. 3. Risk, lisorder and ad plation. 2. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley; 2006. p. 570-598.
- Moffitt TE, Arseneault L, Jaffee SR, Kim-Cohon J, Koenen KC, Odgers CL, et al. Research review: DSM-V conduct disorder: Research riceds for an evidence use. Journal of Chin' Psychology and Psychiatry. 2008; 49:3–33.10.1111 (.146°-/610.2007.01823..: [PubMed 18 818.'8]
- Moilanen KL, Shaw DS, Maxwell KL. De velopmental case? des: Externalizing, inte nalizing, and academic competence from midd e ch ldhood to early adole scence. Development and Psychopathology. 2010; 22:635–6.53.10.1017/S02545794.10000337 [PubMed: 2057o184]

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; availat is in PMC 2J15 April 01.

- Muthén, BO. Latent variable analysis. Grown mixture modeling and related techniques for the social sciences. In: Laplan, D., editor Handbook of quantitative methodology for the social sciences. Ne vbury Park, CA: Sage; 2004 p. 345 568.
- Mu hén, LK.; Muthén, BO. Mp as user's guide. 7. Los Angeles: Author; 2012.
- Nagi. DS. Tremblay RE. What has been learned from group-based trajectory modeling? Examples from physical aggression and other problem behaviors. Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science. 2002, 602:82–117.10.1177/0002716205280565
- Nylur, a KL, Asparouhov T, Authén BO. Deciding on the number of classes in latent class analysis and grow in mixture modeling. A Monte Carlo simulation study. Structural Equation Modeling. 2007; 1+:569.10.1080/10705510701575395
- <sup>7</sup> ardini DA Fite <sup>D</sup>J. Symptoms of conduct disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder, and callous-unchrotional traits as unique predictors of psychosocial malaujustment in boys: Ad an ting an evidence base for DSM-V. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Perjonatry. 2010, 40:1154–1144.10.1016/j.jaac.2010.07.010 [PubMed: 20970701]
- Proctor LJ, Van Dusen Randazzo K, Litrownik AJ, Newton RR Davis IP, Villodas MT. Factors associated with criegiver stability in permaning lacements: A classification tree approach. Child Abuse and Neglect. 2011; 35:425–436.10.1016/j.chiabu.2011.02.002 [PubMed: 21645922]
- Putn im FW. Ten-year research update review: Child sexual obuse. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent respectively. 2007, 42:262-272 10.1097/00004583-200303000-00006 [PubMed: 12525179]
- Rowe P, Costello F<sup>+</sup>, Angold A, Copelanc WE, Maushan B. Developmental pathways in oppositional defiant *Coorder and conduct disorder*. Journal of Abno.mal Psychology. 2010; 119:726–738. 0.1037/a0020798 [PubMed: 210908/0]
- Runy in D X, Curtis PA, Hunter WM, Black MM, Korch JB, Bangai vala S, et al. LONGSCAN: A consortium for longitudinal studies of maltinatiment and the life course of children. Aggression and Violent. Behavior. 1998; 3:775–285.10.1016/s1359-1789(96)00027-4
- Schwartz G. Lstimating the dimensions of a model. Annalo of Statistics. 1978; 6:461–464.10.1214/aos/ 1176344136
- Sclove SL. Application of model-selection criteria to some problems in multivariate analysis. Pyschonetrika. 1267; 52:332-543.10.1007/BF02294360
- Shaffer A, Yator TM Found BR. The relation of emotional manureatment to early adolescent competence: Developmental processes in a prospective study. Chila Abuse and Neglect. 2009; 33:36–44.10 1010/J.cniabu.2008.12.005 [JubMed: 191670.37]
- Shaffer, D.; Fishe, P.; L. cas, J.; HIIS aroth MJ.; Segal, D'J. The Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children (DISC). In: Hilse mc.a, MJ, Segal, DL.; Hersen, M., curres. Comprehensive handbook of psychological assessment: Vol. 2. Personality assessment. Hoboket. NJ. Wiley; 2004. p. 256-270.
- Shonk SM, Cicchetti L Mal-attment, competency deficits, and tisk for academic and behavioral maladjustment. Developmental Psychology. 2001; 37:3–17.10.1027.0012-164.9.37.1.3 [1] bMed: 11206431]
- Smith, DK.; Chamberlain, P. Aultidimencional treatment foster care for adolescents. Purcesses and outcomes. In: Weisz, Jk.; Keckin, AE., editors. Evidence-based psychotherapies for children and adolescents. 2. New York: Guilford Press; 2010. p. 243-258.
- Sondeijker FE, Ferdinand RF, Oldehinke<sup>1</sup>, J, Veenstra K, De Winter AF, Orme<sup>1</sup>, et al. Classes of adolescents with disruptive behaviors in a general population samply. Social Psychiatric Epidemiology, 2:05: 40,931–920,100//s20127-005-0570-6 [rubMed: 1622,1441]
- Storr CL, Accornero VH, Crum RM. Profiles of current disruptive behavior: Association with resent drug consumption among adolescents. A faictive Behaviors. 2007; 32:2-8-264.13.1016/j.ac.dbeh. 2006.03.045 [PubMed: 16713686]
- Thornberry TP, Henry KL, Ireland T(), Sn ith CA. The carsal in pact of childhood innited maltreatment and adolescent maltreatment on early adult adjustment. Journal of Adolescent Health. 2010; 46:359–365.10.1016/j.jadohealth.2000.09.011 [Pac Med: 2030 /825]

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; availat is in PMC ° J15 A Jril 01.

- Thomberry TP, Ireland TO, Smith Co, me in bortance of timing: The varying impact of childhood and adolescent maltreatment on multiple problem outcomes. Development and Psychopathology. 20(1; 13:957–979.10 101(/j.jadchealth 2009.09.011 [PubMed: 11771916]
- US Department of Health and Haman Services, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, & Children's Bureau. Chil I maltreatment 2012. Washington, DC: Author; 2013. Retrieved from http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/cb/resegrend.ta-technology/statistics-research/child-mattree.nent
- van List PAC Verhulst FC is an der Ende J. Crijnen AAM. Classes of disruptive behaviour in a sample of yos ag elementary school standren. Jo urnal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2003; 44:377–3°/.10.1111/1469-7616.00128 [Pub. 4ed: 1242.3967]
- Villodas MT, Litrownik AJ, Roesch SC Latent class to of externalizing behaviors among youth with early maltreatinent histories. Ivieasurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development. 2012; 45:40 CO.10.1177/0748175611425336
- Villodas MT, Litrownik AJ, Thompson P., Roesch SC, EI glisł. DJ, Dubowitz H, et al. Changes in youth's experiences of child L altreatment. acro. s deve opmental periods in the LONGSCAN consortium. Psychology of Violence. 2012; 2:325–338. '0.1(37/a0029829 [PubMed: 24999441]
- Wikschag LS Brigge Gowan MJ, Carter AS, H.U.C., Danis B, Keenan K, et al. A developmental fran ev ork for distinguishing disruptive behavior from normative misbehavior in preschool children. Journal of Child Psychology and Psycniatry. 2007; 48:976–987.10.1111/j. 1469-7510.2007.01786 [PubMed: 1791/398]

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2J15 April 01.

| ample demographic.             |        |        |        |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
|                                | Age 4  | / ge 8 | •ge 12 |
| Mi le                          | 49%    |        |        |
| Chil. race ethnicity           |        |        |        |
| Caucasian                      | 26%    |        |        |
| African Ar Jerican             | 5 10/0 |        |        |
| Hispan. ?                      | 6%     |        |        |
| Mixed or other                 | 14%    |        |        |
| Alleged maltreatment           |        |        |        |
| Any maltreatm nt               | 56%    | %00    | 24%    |
| Physical abuse                 | 20%    | 14%    | 11%    |
| Emotional maltreatment         | 2.5%   | 12%    | 12%    |
| Sexual abuse                   | 8%     | 5%     | 5%     |
| Neglect                        | 480/   | 22%    | 16%    |
| More than one type             | 28%    | 16%    | 14%    |
| Living situations              |        |        |        |
| Biological parents             | 75%    | 71%    | 69%    |
| Adopted                        | 5%     | 11%    | 13%    |
| Living with relatives          | 11%    | 11%    | 1.5%   |
| Nonrelative care               | 9%     | 1%     | 5%     |
| Household income <\$15,000/yea | 5 8%   | 45%    | 29%    |

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; availat is in PMC 2,15 A pril 01.

VILLODAS et al.

Table 2

|  |                 | Age               | 4          |             |        | Age             | 8               |                  |        | A                | ge 12           |            |            |
|--|-----------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|------------|------------|
| Indicators   | Sample          | WA                | ЮН         | ARB         | Sample | WA              | ОН              | ARB              | Sample | WA               | ЮН              | DD         | / vB       |
| ADHD items   |                 |                   |            |             |        |                 |                 |                  |        |                  |                 |            |            |
| Cannot concentrate, pay attention for long   | .51             | .29               | .63        | .81         | .62    | .28             | .74             | 68.              | .57    | .20              | 0               | .82        | <u>9</u> . |
| Cannot sit still, restless, or hyperactive   | .67             | .41               | .82        | 98.         | .61    | .27             | .74             | ۲8.              | 46     | .16              | .44             | 72         | 9          |
| Impulsive or acts without thinking   | .39             | 60'               | .54        | .84         | .44    | .07             | çc.             | .85              | .4     | .07              | ĉ               | 75         | 5          |
| Talks too much   | .60             | .46               | 69.        | .74         | 59     | .33             | Ľ               | £7.              | .53    | .26              | S               | 71         | 9.         |
| Unusually loud   | .44             | .22               | .55        | <i>6L</i> . | .40    | 6,1.            | .45             | TT.              | 01     | 81.              | ĩ.              | <i>.</i> , | .7.        |
| ODD items  |                 |                   |            |             |        |                 |                 |                  |        |                  |                 |            |            |
| Argues a lot   | ۶۶              | 44.               | .83        | 6.          | .70    | 37              | .80             | -                | .72    | .29              | ڊي.             | 7          | .94        |
| Disobedient at home  | .57             |                   | LL.        | 98.         | 57     | 1               | 72              | 96.              | 56     | .07              | .60             | .92        | .94        |
| Disobedient at school  | ۲               | 60.               | 31         | .57         | 6      | .04             | 5               | .76              | 41     | .05              | .34             | .74        | .95        |
| Stubborn, sullen o. Irrita de  | 69.             | .4.               | <b>3</b> 4 | 66.         | .64    | .30             | .74             | 96.              | .60    | .16              | 69.             | à          | Lt.        |
| Truper tai.""ums or of the ther  | .57             | 33                | 0(         | 76.         | .49    | .14             | .56             | 16.              | .44    | 11.              | .42             | 69:        | 6          |
| CD item~   |                 |                   |            |             |        |                 |                 |                  |        |                  |                 |            |            |
| <sup>T</sup> hreatens per vie  | .12             | 00 <sup>.</sup>   | οί         | .62         | .05    | 00 <sup>-</sup> | .03             | . <del>4</del> . | Ξ      | .01              | .01             | Ē.         | 71         |
| the true true is the true of t | .32             | .04               | .42        | .95         | .29    | .03             | .25             | 0                | .28    | . 3              | 91.             | .50        | 5.         |
| G 'ts in many fig its  | .20             | .03               | .22        | LL.         |        | .01             | 15              | 0.               | .20    | 0.               | < 1             | .34        | LL:        |
| Phy. ically attac's oth r peop 3   | .21             | .02               | .23        | 86          | .It    | .01             | ۲. ۲            | .58              | F      | ( ) <sup>.</sup> | .02             | .14        | LL:        |
| c'ruel to animals  | 60 <sup>.</sup> | .01               | 50.        | 3.5         | .05    | 0ι.             | .0              | IC.              | .04    | 00 <sup>.</sup>  | 70.             | .05        | G          |
| Vandation  | J3              | · 0·              | 01         | .21         | .03    | 0.              | 10.             | F:               | .02    | 00 <sup>.</sup>  | 00              | .01        | .25        |
| Destroys things belonging to fam <sup><math>i_j</math></sup> or c <sup><math>i</math></sup> hers   | 2<br>5 :        | .08               | 0 ·        | 88.         | v T    | .02             | Ę               | .74              | .21    | .03              | .07             | .42        | .83        |
| Sets fires   | .02             | Ľ                 | 10.        | , c. c.     | 02     | .01             | .01             | .08              | .03    | .01              | 00 <sup>.</sup> | .04        | .14        |
| Steals at ".one  | .05             | - <sup>-</sup> 0: | .04        | ٥٢          | H.     | .01             | .07             | .35              | .10    | 00 <sup>.</sup>  | .02             | .18        | .58        |
| Steal outstue the hon e  | .ر 4            | 00:               | .02        | .24         | .08    | .01             | .05             | .28              | .08    | .01              | 00 <sup>-</sup> | .12        | .51        |
| , yin, or cheating   | 34              | .12               | 44.        | .76         | .47    | .12             | .54             | 68.              | .43    | .06              | .35             | .81        | .91        |
| Runs i vay front hone  | .02             | 00.               | .01        | .10         | .01    | 00 <sup>-</sup> | 00 <sup>-</sup> | .05              | .04    | 00 <sup>.</sup>  | .03             | .03        | .28        |
| Tru. ncy, skipsool   | .05             | .03               | .05        | .10         | .01    | 00 <sup>-</sup> | .01             | .03              | .04    | .02              | .01             | .07        | .18        |
| Swea. ing or occessive language  | .19             | .03               | .22        | .63         | .16    | .02             | .15             | .40              | .27    | .04              | .17             | .48        | .85        |

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; availat is in PMC ^ J15 A pril 01.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 3

Conditional response probabilities for each class at each age

Note: Sample, Probability in overall sample; WA, well adjusted; HO, hyperactive/oppositional; ARB, aggressive/rule breaking, DD, defiant/decei-ful, ADI D, atten ion-defici/hyperactive?, disorc r; ODD, oppositional defiant disorder; CD, conduct disorder.

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; availatic in PMC ^ J15 A pril 01.

#### Page 27

#### Table /

<sup>1</sup> ...ent transition probabilities for transitions from ages 4 to 8 and 8 to 12

| W : Adjusted<br>A ze 8 | Age 9                                       | Aggi essive/Rule Breaking<br>Age 8   |
|------------------------|---|--|
|                        |   |  |
| .63                    | .33   | .04  |
| .16                    | .63   | .21  |
| .07                    | /   | . `5   |
|                        | W : Adjusted<br>A 3e 8<br>.63<br>.16<br>.07 | Win Adjusted Lyperar nve/Oppositional   A 36 8 Age 9   .63 .33   .16 .63   .07 .17 |

|                          | Well Adjusted<br>Age 12 | Hyperactive/Opportional<br>Ag 12 | Deficient Diceitted<br>Age 1 ? | Aggressive/Rule Breaking<br>Age 12 |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Age 8                    |                         |                                  |                                |                                    |
| Well adjusted            | .67                     | .18                              | .15                            | .02                                |
| Hyperactive/oppositiona' | .15                     | .16                              | 57                             | .12                                |
| Aggressive/rule breaking | .03                     | .01                              | 38                             | .58                                |

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; availation PMC ^ J15 A Jril 01.

| <b>NIH-PA</b> |  |
|---------------|--|
| Author        |  |
| Manuscript    |  |

Table 5

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

| VILLODAS | et al. |
|----------|--------|

| <br>  |
|---|
|   |
| - 1.27 (0.49-3<br>- 9.27*(1.34-6<br>- 1.17 (0.6, -2<br> |

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; availat is in PMC 2J15 April 01.

Page 28

Note: HO, Hyperactive/oppositional; ARB, aggressive/rule breaking; DD, defiant/deceitful; EM, emotional maltreatment; PA, physical abuse; SA, sexual abuse; NE, neglect. The well-adjusted class was the initial reference group. The confidence intervals for each odds ratio are in parentheses. The HO class was the reference group for the odds ratios in brackets. Odds ratios marked NA were not estimated NIH-PA Author Manuscript

because no children with SA allegations were assigned to the HO class at age 12.

Dev Psychopathol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2 J15 A pril 01.

AH Formatter V6.2 MR6 (Evaluation) http://www.antennahouse.com/

p < .01.p < .05. \* \*