

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Clin Infect Dis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2007 November 6.

Published in final edited form as: *Clin Infect Dis.* 2007 November 1; 45(9): 1120–1131.

Genotype Prevalence and Risk Factors for Severe Clinical Adenovirus Infection, United States 2004-2006

Gregory C. Gray¹, Troy McCarthy¹, Mark G. Lebeck¹, David P. Schnurr³, Kevin L. Russell⁴, Adriana E. Kajon⁵, Marie L. Landry⁶, Diane S. Leland⁷, Gregory A. Storch⁸, Christine C. Ginocchio¹⁰, Christine C. Robinson¹², Gail J. Demmler¹³, Michael A. Saubolle¹⁴, Sue C. Kehl¹⁵, Rangaraj Selvarangan⁹, Melissa B. Miller¹⁶, James D. Chappell¹⁷, Danielle M. Zerr¹⁸, Deanna L. Kiska¹¹, Diane C. Halstead¹⁹, Ana W. Capuano¹, Sharon F. Setterquist¹, Margaret L. Chorazy¹, Jeffrey D. Dawson², and Dean D. Erdman²⁰

1 Center for Emerging Infectious Diseases, Department of Epidemiology, University of Iowa College of Public Health, Iowa City

2Department of Biostatistics, University of Iowa College of Public Health, Iowa City

3California Department of Health Services, Richmond, San Diego, California

4Navy Respiratory Disease Laboratory, Naval Health Research Center, San Diego, California

5Lovelace Respiratory Research Institute, Albuquerque, New Mexico

6*Clinical Virology Laboratory, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Yale New Haven Hospital and Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut*

7Indiana University School of Medicine and Clarian Health Partners, Indianapolis

8St. Louis Children's Hospital, St. Louis, Children's Mercy Hospital, Kansas City, Missouri

9Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Children's Mercy Hospital, Kansas City, Missouri

10North Shore University Hospital and North Shore-Long Island Jewish Health System Laboratories, Manhasset, Syracuse, New York

11Department of Clinical Pathology, SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, New York

12Department of Pathology, The Children's Hospital, Denver, Colorado

13Department of Pediatrics, Baylor College of Medicine and Diagnostic Virology Laboratory, Texas Children's Hospital, Houston

14Laboratory Sciences of Arizona/Sonora Quest Laboratories, Tempe

15Department of Pathology, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee

16Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill

17Departments of Pathology and Pediatrics, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, Tennessee

18Department of Pediatrics, University of Washington and Children's Hospital and Regional Medical Center, Seattle

19Infectious Disease Laboratories, Baptist Medical Center, Jacksonville, Florida

Reprints or correspondence: Dr. Gregory C. Gray, Center for Emerging Infectious Diseases, Dept. of Epidemiology, College of Public Health, University of Iowa, 200 Hawkins Dr., Rm. C21K-GH, Iowa City, IA 52242 (gregory-gray@uiowa.edu).

Publisher's Disclaimer: The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Navy, Department of Defense, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or the US Government.

20Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia

Abstract

Background—Recently, epidemiological and clinical data have revealed important changes with regard to clinical adenovirus infection, including alterations in antigenic presentation, geographical distribution, and virulence of the virus.

Methods—In an effort to better understand the epidemiology of clinical adenovirus infection in the United States, we adopted a new molecular adenovirus typing technique to study clinical adenovirus isolates collected from 22 medical facilities over a 25-month period during 2004-2006. A hexon gene sequence typing method was used to characterize 2237 clinical adenovirus-positive specimens, comparing their sequences with those of the 51 currently recognized prototype human adenovirus strains. In a blinded comparison, this method performed well and was much faster than the classic serologic typing method.

Results—Among civilians, the most prevalent adenovirus types were types 3 (prevalence, 34.6%), 2 (24.3%), 1 (17.7%), and 5 (5.3%). Among military trainees, the most prevalent types were types 4 (prevalence, 92.8%), 3 (2.6%), and 21 (2.4%).

Conclusions—For both populations, we observed a statistically significant increasing trend of adenovirus type 21 detection over time. Among adenovirus isolates recovered from specimens from civilians, 50% were associated with hospitalization, 19.6% with a chronic disease condition, 11% with a bone marrow or solid organ transplantation, 7.4% with intensive care unit stay, and 4.2% with a cancer diagnosis. Multivariable risk factor modeling for adenovirus disease severity found that age <7 years (odds ratio [OR], 3.2; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.4-7.4), chronic disease (OR, 3.6; 95% CI, 2.6-5.1), recent transplantation (OR, 2.7; 95% CI, 1.3-5.2), and adenovirus type 5 (OR, 2.7; 95% CI, 1.5-4.7) or type 21 infection (OR, 7.6; 95% CI, 2.6-22.3) increased the risk of severe disease.

More than 35 years ago, population-based studies of viral respiratory illnesses among US families were conducted in Ohio [1], Kansas [2], Louisiana [3], New York [4], and Washington [5]. As a result of these investigations, scientists concluded that adenovirus infection was quite common among children. Approximately 50% of infections were asymptomatic, and symptomatic infections were typically mild and resolved without sequelae. In contrast, military populations experienced severe epidemics of acute respiratory disease, including pneumonia and encephalitis, especially involving adenovirus types 4, 7, and 21. For example, in 1958, adenoviral infection was reported to have caused hospitalization of an estimated 10% of military recruits [6] and to be the etiology of most respiratory disease during winter months. Subsequently, vaccines for adenovirus types 4 and 7 were developed and effectively used among US military trainees from the 1970s until the late 1990s [7,8]. Thus, until recently, adenovirus infection was considered to have little consequence, except for causing morbidity among military trainees.

However, much has changed since these early epidemiological studies were conducted. In contrast to the modest number of adenovirus types recognized 35 years ago, 51 unique serotypes are now recognized. Different serotypes have been found to have different tissue tropisms that correlate with different clinical manifestations of infection. Limited epidemiological investigations have revealed that, among some specific serotypes, multiple genetic variants exist that often have quite different geographical distributions and associated virulence [9-11]. In addition, largely because of molecular diagnostics, adenovirus infection has been associated with a number of acute and chronic diseases, including chronic airway obstruction [12] and pulmonary dysplasia [13], myocarditis and dilated cardiomyopathy [14], mononucleosis-like syndromes [15], intussusception [16], sudden infant perinatal death [17], and obesity [18,19]. Among some population groups, adenoviral infection is common and, often, severe. For example, the incidence of adenoviral disease among bone marrow transplant

Page 3

recipients varies from 3% to 20% [20], and mortality can exceed 50% [21]. Similarly, multiple recent outbreaks of adenoviral infection in the United States have frequently occurred among institutionalized children and in medical settings, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality among patients and medical staff [22]. In vitro studies suggest that specific adenovirus types are more likely to respond to certain antiviral therapies [23]. Finally, since the military lost its manufacturer of adenovirus types 4 and 7 vaccines in the late 1990s, numerous outbreaks of adenovirus infection have occurred among military trainees, resulting in great morbidity [24,25]. Subsequently, the US Department of Defense identified a new vaccine manufacturer, and restoration of adenovirus types 4 and 7 vaccines is projected for 2008 [25]. It seems prudent to investigate whether previously very effective and safe vaccines may also benefit some nonmilitary populations. Therefore, an updated look at the epidemiology of human adenovirus infection is warranted. In this report, we present 25 months of viral and patient epidemiological data on clinical adenovirus infection detected through a nationwide network of 22 US military and civilian medical facilities. We used a recently described molecular adenovirus typing technique to characterize the strains of adenovirus [26].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Population

From July 2004 through September 2006, specimens that were positive for adenovirus by rapid diagnostic test, PCR, or culture were collected from 22 US medical facilities. Of these facilities, 8 were military sites located in California, Georgia, Illinois, Missouri, New Jersey, South Carolina (2 sites), and Texas, and 14 were civilian laboratories located in Arizona, Colorado, Connecticut, Indiana, Florida, North Carolina, New York (2 sites), Missouri (2 sites), Tennessee, Texas, Washington, and Wisconsin. Samples were collected as part of routine testing, labeled with unique study identifiers, and sent to the University of Iowa Center for Emerging Infectious Diseases (Iowa City) for genetic typing. This study was approved by the multiple institutional review boards representing the participating laboratories.

As part of routine viral respiratory pathogen surveillance [25], the military laboratories first submitted specimens to the Navy Respiratory Disease Laboratory in San Diego, California. Each month, after viral identification work, the Navy laboratory shared a sample set of \sim 30 specimens with the Center for Emerging Infectious Diseases. This sample set represented specimens that were positive for adenovirus from each of the 8 sites, distributed over time. For adenovirus-positive specimens, the Navy laboratory provided the specimen collection date and the age, sex, and training site of the patient from whom the specimen was obtained.

Civilian laboratories were asked to submit all adenovirus-positive specimens. Limited demographic and clinical data were obtained from each civilian specimen, including the laboratory collection site (city and state), collection date, specimen source (e.g., nasal, pharyngeal or blood), and specimen type (e.g., culture, direct fluorescent antibody, or PCR), as well as patient birth date and sex, whether the patient was hospitalized when the specimen was collected, and whether the patient had undergone transplantation during the 6 months prior to specimen collection. Because of their risk for severe disease, additional information (race and ethnicity, clinical presentation, hospitalization care, disposition, cancer status, and chronic disease status) was obtained from patients with adenovirus infection who were aged <7 years or who had undergone transplantation within 6 months before the positive adenovirus result. The cutoff value of <7 years of age was based on a recent investigation of an outbreak of adenovirus type 7d2 infection (among 93 patients, with a 33% attack rate and 8 deaths), in which children aged <7 years were found to be at increased risk for illness [22].

DNA extraction, PCR, and sequencing

After an initial study, we found that most adenovirus-positive specimens yielded PCR amplification products without requiring additional culture enrichment. Viral DNA was extracted from 200 µL of the original adenovirus-positive specimen in a final elution volume of ~200 µL using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen). An initial volume of 5 µL of eluted sample was amplified using a PCR procedure that targeted hypervariable regions 1-6 of the hexon gene [26]. M13 universal priming tails (forward, 5'-TGT AAA ACG ACG GCC AGT-3'; and reverse, 5'-CAG GAA ACA GCT ATG ACC-3') were added to the previously described primers to facilitate sequencing. Expected amplicons from this reaction ranged from 764 to 896 bp in length. These amplicons were then sequenced on a 3730 xl DNA Analyser (Applied BioSystems). The forward and reverse sequences were combined using BioEdit (Ibis Therapeutics) and were compared with the similar sequences of 51 well-characterized prototype viruses and other well-characterized genotypes from our collections and from GenBank. Specimens that yielded identity scores ≥90%, compared with both our internal sequence database and that of GenBank, were considered to be good genotype matches.

Culture

When PCR amplification failed, the original specimen was inoculated into A549 cells (ATCC) and incubated at 37°C for 4-7 days or until a cytopathic effect was observed. If, after 7 days, no cytopathic effect was detected, cells were cultured again. After cytopathic effect was confirmed, the positive cell culture specimen was characterized by PCR and sequencing.

Validation

A systematic sample of 101 adenovirus-positive specimens containing numerous genotypes was shared with the Viral and Rickettsial Disease Laboratory of the California Department of Health Services (Richmond) for blinded validation using classic serotyping techniques. This laboratory shared 3 of these specimens with the Navy Respiratory Disease Laboratory for further study using a PCR adenovirus typing algorithm [27].

Statistical analyses

Questionnaire and laboratory data were linked by the unique specimen number. In instances in which several adenovirus-positive specimens were obtained from the same patient and clinical event, the linkage of these specimens was indicated by the civilian laboratories using study forms. During studies of clinical outcomes, only the first adenovirus-positive specimen was considered in studies of clinical events. The χ^2 test and Fisher's exact test were used to examine potential risk factor associations. The exact Cochran-Armitage test for trend was used to examine adenovirus genotype prevalence across years. Logistic regression modeling was used to examine potential risk factors for outcomes, such as pneumonia. The proportional odds model was used to examine risk factors for severe adenovirus infection, considering the outcomes of hospitalization, intensive care unit stay, and death in a mutually exclusive, ordinal severity scale based on data on the first adenovirus-positive specimen for the clinical event. These 3 final multivariable models were derived using a saturated model and manual backwards elimination. Analyses were performed using SAS, version 9.1 (SAS Institute).

RESULTS

Validation

In the blinded validation study, 98 (97%) of the 101 adenovirus-positive specimens were typeable using type-specific neutralization. Scrotyping agreed with genotyping for all 98 specimens. The remaining 3 specimens of unknown types were studied using a novel and quite

different PCR typing algorithm [27], and the blinded results of this algorithm were in agreement with University of Iowa genotyping methods.

Genotyping by PCR and sequencing

During the study period, 2237 adenovirus-positive specimens were received (1653 specimens from civilians and 584 from military trainees). All of the specimens from military trainees resulted from culture. Specimen type information was available for 1170 specimens from civilians; 84.9% of specimens were isolated by culture, 7.9% by direct fluorescent antibody, and 7.2% by PCR assays. Ninety-eight percent of the 2237 adenovirus-positive specimens were successfully typed by PCR and sequencing. Of the 47 initially nontypeable specimens, 27 (57.4%) were eventually typed after statistical analysis had been concluded, 4 (8.5%) were not confirmed to be positive for adenovirus by PCR, and 16 (34.0%) were confirmed to be positive for adenovirus by PCR may represent false-positive specimens or specimens with low viral titer that did not yield adenovirus by culture. The samples confirmed to be positive for adenovirus by PCR that had inconclusive sequence data may represent dual adenovirus infections or infection with novel viruses. We are further analyzing these specimens.

The 582 successfully typed specimens from military trainees were comprised primarily of adenovirus hexon sequence genotypes (HSgenotypes) 4 (92.8% of specimens), 3 (2.6%), and 21 (2.4%) (table 1). The age and sex distribution of patients with adenovirus infection were consistent with the demographic characteristics of military training populations. During the study period, there was a statistically significant increasing trend in the prevalence of adenovirus type 21 isolates and a decreasing trend in the prevalence of type 4 isolates. These data represent only a small subset and limited time distribution of adenovirus isolates found in specimens from military trainees. Detailed analyses of a more comprehensive sample set are the subject of a separate report (K.L.R., unpublished data).

Specimens from civilians yielded a more diverse distribution of adenovirus HSgenotypes, with 23 different types detected (table 2). Among the 1608 adenovirus-positive specimens successfully typed, 76.8% were from children aged <7 years, 59% were from male patients, 71.0% were from upper respiratory tract sites, and 49.6% were associated with hospitalization. The most prevalent adenovirus HSgenotypes were types 3 (34.6% of specimens), 2 (24.3%), 1 (17.7%), and 5 (5.3%). The distribution of HSgenotypes differed by age group (with types 1 and 2 being more prevalent among patients aged <7 years) and by specimen source (with types 1, 3, and 2 being most prevalent among blood, upper respiratory tract, and gastrointestinal tract specimens, respectively).

For the 1059 unique clinical events experienced by patients with adenovirus infection who were aged <7 years or who had undergone transplantation within 6 months prior to specimen collection, more clinical information was obtained. Of these patients, 95.3% were aged <7 years, 58.9% were male, 11.0% reported to have recently received a transplant, and 4.2% had received a diagnosis of cancer (table 3). Sixty-seven (57.8%) of the 116 transplant recipients had received a bone marrow transplant (data not shown). Thirty-four (58.8%) of the 45 patients with cancer had cancer of lymphatic and hematopoietic origin (data not shown). Four hundred fifty (42.5%) of the 1059 patients with adenovirus infection presented with upper respiratory tract infection. Two hundred fifty-five patients (24.1%) were hospitalized for \geq 4 days (median duration of hospitalization, 3 days). Two hundred eight patients (19.6%) had a chronic disease, and 78 (7.4%) had stayed in an intensive care unit. Because certain populations were thought to be at risk of severe adenovirus infection, the characteristics of patients aged <7 years were stratified by immune status and compared with the characteristics of immunocompromised patients aged \geq 7 years (table 4). In general, nonimmunocompromised children aged <7 years

experienced more adenovirus type 3 infections and more upper respiratory tract infections than did immunocompromised children aged <7 years; however, the nonimmunocompromised children had less severe disease and were less likely to have numerous adenovirus isolates per clinical event.

A number of laboratories submitted multiple adenovirus-positive specimens for a single clinical event. Most of these specimens were of the same genotype; however, 20 unique clinical events were found to be associated with laboratory evidence of infection with \geq 2 adenovirus HSgenotypes (table 5). Most of these infections involving multiple adenovirus HSgenotypes occurred in immunocompromised patients who were aged <7 years.

The multivariable risk factor modeling (table 6) for adenovirus disease severity (death or intensive care unit stay vs. hospitalization vs. other disease) revealed some interesting findings. As expected, patients aged <7 years (OR, 3.2; 95% CI, 1.4-7.4), patients with chronic disease (OR, 3.6; 95% CI, 2.6-5.1), and patients who had recently undergone transplantation (OR, 2.7; 95% CI, 1.3-5.2) had an increased risk of severe disease. However, unexpectedly, patients infected with adenovirus type 5 (OR, 2.7; 95% CI, 1.5-4.7) or 21 (OR, 7.6; 95% CI, 2.6-22.3) also had a higher risk of developing severe adenovirus disease. Similar multivariable modeling was performed for the outcome of pneumonia, but no specific adenovirus types were associated with this condition (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

Sequencing of hypervariable regions 1-6 of the adenovirus hexon gene [26] appears to correlate well with serological and other molecular methods for typing human adenovirus and, unlike serological methods that can take weeks to perform, can generally be completed within 2 days. It is likely that PCR and sequencing will replace older, classic adenovirus serotyping methods, because PCR and sequencing have the potential to provide rapid results to clinicians and public health officials evaluating and treating specific patients and responding to outbreaks of adenovirus infection. Conversion from classic serotyping to genotyping of adenovirus is not unlike similar recent shifts for the study of group A streptococci [28] and enterovirus [29].

Typing results yielded some interesting observations. The high prevalence of infection with adenovirus type 4 HSgenotypes among patients in the military is consistent with a recent report [25]. However, the increased prevalence of adenovirus type 21 infection among both military and civilian patients was unexpected (tables 1 and 2). Because prevalence figures for adenovirus infection have been known to fluctuate over time, these changes were not significantly alarming. However, adenovirus type 21 infection has caused sporadic outbreaks among military [30-32] and civilian populations [33,34], and changes in prevalence must be evaluated as possible indicators of the emergence of a novel strain. Because of such outbreaks, adenovirus type 21 vaccine development efforts were attempted [30,35,36] but never finalized, because morbidity associated with adenovirus 21 infection has remained low [7]. The high prevalence of hospitalization among the patients with adenovirus infection (table 2) was surprising. This may reflect the desire of clinicians to more frequently determine the etiology of an infection in patients sick enough to merit hospitalization. However, this high prevalence of hospitalization, the significant number of patients with adenovirus infection who require hospitalization in an intensive care unit, and the relatively long duration of hospitalization that is experienced for patients with adenovirus infection reflect the impact on morbidity that adenovirus infection has in US medical care facilities today.

Before this surveillance effort was initiated, adenovirus type 7 infection was predicted to have a strong association with severe morbidity. Worldwide, various adenovirus type 7 genotypes have emerged to cause epidemics and severe disease [9,37-47]. However, thus far in this

surveillance, adenovirus type 7 infection has not been implicated to have a strong association with severe morbidity. This could be because of a stabilization of circulating adenovirus type 7 genotypes in the United States—as was recently apparent in Iowa for the strain adenovirus type 7d2 [10]—with subsequent increases in herd immunity and reductions in adenovirus type 7 infections. Previous reports have noted that outbreaks of adenovirus type 7 infection seem to be sporadic [40,48] and may be the result of the emergence of new type 7 subtypes [9,40, 48].

The association of adenovirus types 5 and 21 with severe disease (table 6) was unexpected. Although adenovirus types 5 and 21 are associated with novel strains [49], epidemics [33,34, 50,51], severe disease [52], and death [53], these observations have been relatively rare. Our data revealed that, of the 13 patients with adenovirus infection who died, 1 had been infected with adenovirus type 5 (1.7% of the patients infected with type 5), and 2 had been infected with type 21 (14.3% of the patients infected with type 21). Although the data supporting these findings are rather sparse, the observations merit continued study.

Additionally interesting was the relatively high number of patients detected as having infections with multiple adenovirus isolates over time, with multiple adenovirus types being identified during a single clinical event (tables 3, 4 and 5). Recent reports regarding repeat adenovirus detections in immunocompromised patients [54] and multiple strains causing concomitant infection in US military trainees [55] seem to reinforce our observation, illustrating the complexities of evaluating adenovirus infection. Molecular typing strategies are thus useful in evaluating adenovirus infection epidemics and individual patients. For instance, a transplant clinician might use molecular typing to discern whether a patient is experiencing a reactivation of a latent adenovirus infection, a nosocomial infection, a community-acquired infection, or a donor-associated adenovirus infection.

This research has a number of limitations. Although a large number of adenovirus-positive specimens were tested in this study, the specimens were collected for diagnostic purposes and, thus, were likely to have been obtained from the most severely affected patients. In addition, although 98% of the specimens were genotyped with good identity score agreements, relying solely on the hypervariable regions of the hexon gene to distinguish strains may cause to be missed other important genetic differences in strains that may correlate better with host immunologic response and strain virulence. For instance, the fiber protein possesses cell receptor binding sites, and alteration of these sites can alter the tissue tropism of an adenovirus [56]. Similarly, genes coding for certain adenovirus early proteins may influence adenovirus cell-to-cell transmission [57]. Thus, the hexon gene sequencing approach will merit further study augmented with other molecular typing methods to reduce the risk of missing important recombinations of adenovirus.

In conclusion, the hexon gene sequence typing strategy used in this study seems to be very useful. Additional studies of such typing in concert with other molecular typing methods are warranted. Molecular methods have the potential to assist clinicians in evaluating and treating specific patients and in aiding public health officials in investigating adenovirus infection epidemics. The suggestion that adenovirus types 3, 5, and 21 may be associated with more frequent or more severe disease, as well as the unexpected lack of such associations with adenovirus type 7, merit further molecular investigation to determine whether there are viral predictors of disease severity. Finally, our findings that clinical adenovirus infection was often associated with hospitalization and that, more often than expected, multiple adenovirus types could be detected add to our new appreciation of the morbidity associated with adenovirus infection.

Acknowledgments

We thank the numerous University of Iowa graduate students and laboratory interns who have contributed to the molecular study of the viral specimens; Dr. Jennifer Goodrich (University of North Carolina Hospitals) and Dr. David Metzgar (Navy Respiratory Disease Laboratory), for their assistance in providing laboratory specimens; Dr. Kevin L. Knudtson (University of Iowa DNA Facility), for his much appreciated assistance in sequencing work; and Dr. Leta Crawford Miksza (California Department of Health Services) and Dr. Gary Doern (University of Iowa College of Medicine), for their assistance with study design.

Financial support. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), National Surveillance for Emerging Adenovirus Infections, National Institutes of Health (NIH; NIH/NIAID R01 AI053034 to G.C.G.).

Potential conflicts of interest. G.C.G. serves on the data monitoring board for adenovirus vaccine trials sponsored by Duramed. All other authors: no conflicts.

References

- Jordan WJ. The frequency of infection with adenoviruses in a family study population. Ann NY Acad Sci 1957;67:273–8. [PubMed: 13411965]
- 2. Wenner H, Bera G, Weston J, Chin T. The epidemiology of acute respiratory illness. I. Observations of adenovirus infections prevailing in a group of families. J Infect Dis 1957;101:275–86. [PubMed: 13491882]
- Hall CE, Brandt CD, Frothingham TE, Spigland I, Cooney MK, Fox JP. The virus watch program: a continuing surveillance of viral infections in metropolitan New York families. IX. A comparison of infections with several respiratory pathogens in New York and New Orleans families. Am J Epidemiol 1971;94:367–85. [PubMed: 4329328]
- 4. Fox JP, Brandt CD, Wassermann FE, et al. The virus watch program: a continuing surveillance of viral infections in metropolitan New York families. VI. Observations of adenovirus infections: virus excretion patterns, antibody response, efficiency of surveillance, patterns of infections, and relation to illness. Am J Epidemiol 1969;89:25–50. [PubMed: 4303049]
- Fox JP, Hall CE, Cooney MK. The Seattle virus watch. VII. Observations of adenovirus infections. Am J Epidemiol 1977;105:362–86. [PubMed: 192073]
- Hilleman M. Efficacy of and indications for use of adenovirus vaccine. Am J Public Health Nations Health 1958;48:153–8. [PubMed: 13521062]
- 7. Gaydos CA, Gaydos JC. Adenovirus vaccines in the U.S. military. Mil Med 1995;160:300–4. [PubMed: 7659229]
- GaydosCAGrayGCPlotkinSAOrensteinWAOffitPAAdenovirus vaccines. VaccinesElsevier (in press) Edinburgh5th ed.
- Erdman DD, Xu W, Gerber SI, et al. Molecular epidemiology of adenovirus type 7 in the United States, 1966-2000. Emerg Infect Dis 2002;8:269–77. [PubMed: 11927024]
- Gray GC, Setterquist SF, Jirsa SJ, DesJardin LE, Erdman DD. Emergent strain of human adenovirus endemic in Iowa. Emerg Infect Dis 2005;11:127–8. [PubMed: 15705336]
- Kajon AE, Murtagh P, Garcia Franco S, Freire MC, Weissenbacher MC, Zorzopulos J. A new genome type of adenovirus 3 associated with severe lower acute respiratory infection in children. J Med Virol 1990;30:73–6. [PubMed: 2154546]
- Russkanen, O.; Meurman, O.; Kusjavi, A. Adenoviruses. In: Richman, DD.; Whitley, RJ.; Hayden, FG., editors. Clinical virology. Churchill Livingstone; New York: 1997. p. 525-47.
- Couroucli XI, Welty SE, Ramsay PL, et al. Detection of microorganisms in the tracheal aspirates of preterm infants by polymerase chain reaction: association of adenovirus infection with bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Pediatr Res 2000;47:225–32. [PubMed: 10674351]
- 14. Bowles NE, Ni J, Kearney DL, et al. Detection of viruses in myocardial tissues by polymerase chain reaction. evidence of adenovirus as a common cause of myocarditis in children and adults. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;42:466–72. [PubMed: 12906974]
- Melon S, Mendez S, Iglesias B, et al. Involvement of adenovirus in clinical mononucleosis-like syndromes in young children. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2005;24:314–8. [PubMed: 15891915]
- 16. Guarner J, de Leon-Bojorge B, Lopez-Corella E, et al. Intestinal intussusception associated with adenovirus infection in Mexican children. Am J Clin Pathol 2003;120:845–50. [PubMed: 14671973]

- Bajanowski T, Wiegand P, Cecchi R, et al. Detection and significance of adenoviruses in cases of sudden infant death. Virchows Arch 1996;428:113–8. [PubMed: 8925124]
- Dhurandhar NV. Contribution of pathogens in human obesity. Drug News Perspect 2004;17:307–13. [PubMed: 15334180]
- Atkinson RL, Dhurandhar NV, Allison DB, et al. Human adenovirus-36 is associated with increased body weight and paradoxical reduction of serum lipids. Int J Obes (Lond) 2005;29:281–6. [PubMed: 15611785]
- 20. Wang WH, Wang HL. Fulminant adenovirus hepatitis following bone marrow transplantation: a case report and brief review of the literature. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2003;127:e246–8. [PubMed: 12708923]
- Hierholzer JC. Adenoviruses in the immunocompromised host. Clin Microbiol Rev 1992;5:262–74. [PubMed: 1323383]
- Gerber SI, Erdman DD, Pur SL, et al. Outbreak of adenovirus genome type 7d2 infection in a pediatric chronic-care facility and tertiary-care hospital. Clin Infect Dis 2001;32:694–700. [PubMed: 11229836]
- Morfin F, Dupuis-Girod S, Mundweiler S, et al. In vitro susceptibility of adenovirus to antiviral drugs is species-dependent. Antivir Ther 2005;10:225–9. [PubMed: 15865216]
- 24. Gray GC, Goswami PR, Malasig MD, et al. Adult adenovirus infections: loss of orphaned vaccines precipitates military respiratory disease epidemics. For the Adenovirus Surveillance Group. Clin Infect Dis 2000;31:663–70. [PubMed: 11017812]
- Russell KL, Hawksworth AW, Ryan MA, et al. Vaccine-preventable adenoviral respiratory illness in US military recruits, 1999-2004. Vaccine 2006;24:2835–42. [PubMed: 16480793]
- 26. Lu X, Erdman DD. Molecular typing of human adenoviruses by PCR and sequencing of a partial region of the hexon gene. Arch Virol 2006;151:1587–602. [PubMed: 16502282]
- Metzgar D, Osuna M, Yingst S, et al. PCR analysis of egyptian respiratory adenovirus isolates, including identification of species, serotypes, and coinfections. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43:5743–52. [PubMed: 16272512]
- Facklam R, Beall B, Efstratiou A, et al. *emm* Typing and validation of provisional M types for group A streptococci. Emerg Infect Dis 1999;5:247–53. [PubMed: 10221877]
- 29. Thoelen I, Lemey P, Van Der Donck I, Beuselinck K, Lindberg AM, Van Ranst M. Molecular typing and epidemiology of enteroviruses identified from an outbreak of aseptic meningitis in Belgium during the summer of 2000. J Med Virol 2003;70:420–9. [PubMed: 12767006]
- Takafuji ET, Gaydos JC, Allen RG, Top FH Jr. Simultaneous administration of live, enteric-coated adenovirus types 4, 7 and 21 vaccines: safety and immunogenicity. J Infect Dis 1979;140:48–53. [PubMed: 458200]
- 31. van der Veen J, Oei KG, Abarbanel MF. Patterns of infections with adenovirus types 4, 7 and 21 in military recruits during a 9-year survey. J Hyg (Lond) 1969;67:255–68. [PubMed: 4307899]
- 32. van der Veen J, Dijkman J. Association of type 21 adenovirus with acute respiratory illness in military recruits. Am J Hyg 1962;76:149–59. [PubMed: 13925163]
- van der Avoort HG, Adrian T, Wigand R, Wermenbol AG, Zomerdijk TP, de Jong JC. Molecular epidemiology of adenovirus type 21 in The Netherlands and the Federal Republic of Germany from 1960 to 1985. J Clin Microbiol 1986;24:1084–8. [PubMed: 3023438]
- 34. de Jong JC, van der Avoort HG, Wigand R, Adrian T. Revival of adenovirus 21 in Netherlands and West Germany. Lancet 1985;2:776. [PubMed: 2864504]
- Dudding BA, Bartelloni PJ, Scott RM, Top FH Jr, Russell PK, Buescher EL. Enteric immunization with live adenovirus type 21 vaccine. I. Tests for safety, infectivity, immunogenicity, and potency in volunteers. Infect Immun 1972;5:295–9. [PubMed: 4564559]
- Scott RM, Dudding BA, Romano SV, Russell PK. Enteric immunization with live adenovirus type 21 vaccine. II. Systemic and local immune responses following immunization. Infect Immun 1972;5:300–4. [PubMed: 4629075]
- 37. Benyesh-Melnick M, Rosenberg HS. The isolation of adenovirus type 7 from a fatal case of pneumonia and disseminated disease. J Pediatr 1964;64:83–7. [PubMed: 14100105]
- Simila S, Ylikorkala O, Wasz-Hockert O. Type 7 adenovirus pneumonia. J Pediatr 1971;79:605–11. [PubMed: 4328651]

- 39. Brown RS, Nogrady MB, Spence L, Wiglesworth FW. An outbreak of adenovirus type 7 infection in children in Montreal. Can Med Assoc J 1973;108:434–9. [PubMed: 4347082]
- 40. Wadell G, Varsanyi TM, Lord A, Sutton RN. Epidemic outbreaks of adenovirus 7 with special reference to the pathogenicity of adenovirus genome type 7b. Am J Epidemiol 1980;112:619–28. [PubMed: 6254358]
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Adenovirus type 7 outbreak in a pediatric chronic-care facility—Pennsylvania, 1982. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1983;32:258–60. [PubMed: 6302468]
- 42. Straube RC, Thompson MA, Van Dyke RB, et al. Adenovirus type 7b in a children's hospital. J Infect Dis 1983;147:814–9. [PubMed: 6302176]
- 43. de Silva LM, Colditz P, Wadell G. Adenovirus type 7 infections in children in New South Wales, Australia. J Med Virol 1989;29:28–32. [PubMed: 2555443]
- Porter JD, Teter M, Traister V, Pizzutti W, Parkin WE, Farrell J. Outbreak of adenoviral infections in a long-term paediatric facility, New Jersey, 1986/87. J Hosp Infect 1991;18:201–10. [PubMed: 1680902]
- Azar R, Varsano N, Mileguir F, Mendelson E. Molecular epidemiology of adenovirus type 7 in Israel: identification of two new genome types, Ad7k and Ad7d2. J Med Virol 1998;54:291–9. [PubMed: 9557295]
- 46. Yamadera S, Yamashita K, Akatsuka M, Kato N, Inouye S. Trend of adenovirus type 7 infection, an emerging disease in Japan: a report of the National Epidemiological Surveillance of Infectious Agents in Japan. Jpn J Med Sci Biol 1998;51:43–51. [PubMed: 10211431]
- 47. Mitchell LS, Taylor B, Reimels W, Barrett FF, Devincenzo JP. Adenovirus 7a: a community-acquired outbreak in a children's hospital. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2000;19:996–1000. [PubMed: 11055603]
- Noda M, Yoshida T, Sakaguchi T, Ikeda Y, Yamaoka K, Ogino T. Molecular and epidemiological analyses of human adenovirus type 7 strains isolated from the 1995 nationwide outbreak in Japan. J Clin Microbiol 2002;40:140–5. [PubMed: 11773107]
- Adrian T, Schlipkoter U, Roggendorf M, Wigand R. Nosocomial and endemic infections with a genome type of adenovirus type 5. Zentralbl Bakteriol 1989;271:339–44. [PubMed: 2553041]
- Shult PA, Polyak F, Dick EC, Warshauer DM, King LA, Mandel AD. Adenovirus 21 infection in an isolated antarctic station: transmission of the virus and susceptibility of the population. Am J Epidemiol 1991;133:599–607. [PubMed: 2006647]
- Larsen RA, Jacobson JT, Jacobson JA, Strikas RA, Hierholzer JC. Hospital-associated epidemic of pharyngitis and conjunctivitis caused by adenovirus (21/H21 + 35). J Infect Dis 1986;154:706–9. [PubMed: 3018096]
- 52. Becroft DM. Bronchiolitis obliterans, bronchiectasis, and other sequelae of adenovirus type 21 infection in young children. J Clin Pathol 1971;24:72–82. [PubMed: 4324685]
- 53. Chatterjee NK, Samsonoff WA, Balasubramaniam N, Rush-Wilson K, Spargo W, Church TM. Isolation and characterization of adenovirus 5 from the brain of an infant with fatal cerebral edema. Clin Infect Dis 2000;31:830–3. [PubMed: 11017844]
- 54. Kroes AC, de Klerk EP, Lankester AC, et al. Sequential emergence of multiple adenovirus serotypes after pediatric stem cell transplantation. J Clin Virol 2007;38:341–7. [PubMed: 17317293]
- Vora GJ, Lin B, Gratwick K, et al. Co-infections of adenovirus species in previously vaccinated patients. Emerg Infect Dis 2006;12:921–30. [PubMed: 16707047]
- Hesse A, Kosmides D, Kontermann RE, Nettelbeck DM. Tropism modification of adenovirus vectors by peptide ligand insertion into various positions of the adenovirus serotype 41 short-fiber knob domain. J Virol 2007;81:2688–99. [PubMed: 17192304]
- Subramanian T, Chinnadurai G. Temperature-sensitive replication-competent adenovirus shRNA vectors to study cellular genes in virus-induced apoptosis. Methods Mol Med 2007;130:125–34. [PubMed: 17401168]

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Gray et al.

 Table 1

 Distribution of adenovirus hexon sequence genotypes among specimens from patients in military training facilities.

| | Total no of | | | | | | , | | |
|----------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Variable | specimens | 1 ($n = 1$) | 2 (<i>n</i> = 1) | 3 (<i>n</i> = 15) | 4 ($n = 540$) | 5(n = 1) | 7 (<i>n</i> = 5) | 14 $(n = 5)$ | 21 $(n = 14)$ |
| Collection year | | | | | | | | | |
| 2004 | 261 | : | 1(0.4) | 6 (2.3) | 251 (96.2) | : | : | : | 3 (1.1) |
| 2005 | 234 | 1 (0.4) | . : | 5 (2.1) | 223 (95.3) | : | : | : | 5 (2.1) |
| 2006 | 86 | : | : | 4 (4.7) | 65 (75.6) | 1 (1.2) | 5 (5.8) | 5 (5.8) | 6(7) |
| Patient age group | | | | r. | ÷ | | r | n. | |
| 17-19 years | 304 | : | : | 8 (2.6) | 287 (94.4) | : | 1(0.3) | 2 (0.7) | 6 (2) |
| 20-37 years | 277 | 1(0.4) | 1 (0.4) | 7 (2.5) | 252 (91) | 1(0.4) | 4 (1.4) | 3(1.1) | 8 (2.9) |
| Patient sex | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 510 | 1(0.2) | : | 12 (2.4) | 474 (92.9) | : | 4(0.8) | 5 (1) | 14 (2.7) |
| Female | 61 | : | 1(1.6) | 3(4.9) | 55 (90.2) | 1(1.6) | 1(1.6) | : | : |
| Collection site | | | | | | | | | |
| Great Lakes, IL | 141 | : | : | : | 137 (97.2) | 1(0.7) | : | 2 (1.4) | 1 (0.7) |
| San Diego, CA | 91 | : | : | : | 90 (98.9) | : | : | 1(1.1) | . : |
| Ft. Jackson, SC | 86 | : | 1 (1.2) | 6 (7) | 78 (90.7) | : | 1 (1.2) | . : | : |
| Ft. Leonard Wood, MO | 81 | : | : | 3 (3.7) | 72 (88.9) | : | 4 (4.9) | : | 2 (2.5) |
| Ft. Benning, GA | 65 | : | : | 6 (9.2) | 55 (84.6) | : | . : | 2(3.1) | 2(3.1) |
| Parris Island, SC | 64 | : | : | : | 57 (89.1) | : | : | . : | 7 (10.9) |
| Cape May, NJ | 33 | : | : | : | 33 (100) | : | : | : | |
| San Antonio, TX | 21 | 1 (4.8) | : | : | 18 (85.7) | : | : | : | 2 (9.5) |

Gray et al.

 Table 2

 Distribution of the most prevalent adenovirus hexon sequence genotypes among specimens from the studied civilians.

| Variable Collection year 2005 2006 2006 Collection month January February March April | Total no. of specimens | $1 \ (n = 284)$ | 1 | | | | | | | Other (n - |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Collection year 2005 2006 2006 Collection month January February March April | | | 2 (n = 390) | 3 (n = 556) | 4 ($n = 78$) | 5 (<i>n</i> = 86) | 7 (<i>n</i> = 48) | 21 ($n = 32$) | 41 ($n = 29$) | 105) |
| 2005 2006 Collection month January February March April | 202 | 40.6133 | 60 (JJ 5) | (27) (13) | 307652 | 00 (5 5) | 0.0 | 3.01 | 2 (1) | 13 27 06 |
| 2005 2006 Collection month January February March April | 100 | (CT) 04 | (0.77) (0) | (04) 700 | (0.0) 07 | (0.2) 27 | | | | (C.0) 02 |
| Collection month January February March April | 040 155 | (1.01) CCI | (1.62) 212 | (0.45) 522 | 04 (4) 04 (5 3) | (c.c) c4 (3 b) 10 | (1.7) 27 | 10 (2.1) | 10 (2.1) 0 (1 0) | (6.C) 0C |
| January Pebruary March April | 004 | (07) 16 | 107 (24) | (0.02) 101 | (C.C) +7 | (1) (4.0) | ((() | 11 (4:4) | 0 (0.1) 0 | (1.1) CC |
| January March April | 107 | 37 (16 7) | AR LOA AN | 71 (36) | 6 (3) | 0.011 | 0 11 2 | 2 (1) | 6 (3) | 16/81 |
| April | 19/ | 20 (72 0) | 40 (24.4) 34 (20.0) | (00) 1/ | (0) 0 | 0 (4.1) 11 (6 7) | 0 (4.1) 1 (7 5) | 2 (1 0) 2 (1 0) | (5)0 | 7(4.2) |
| April | 100 | (6.07) 60 | 04 (20.9) | 50 (54.4) | (1.c) c | (1.0) 11 | 4 (C.2) (C.2) (C.2) | (01) C | (C.2) + | (0.4)/ |
| April | 100 | (0.61) cc | 44 (20.2) | (8.62) 00 | (4.2) | 1 (4.2) | (0.0) 0 | 5 (1.8) | (0.1) C | (6.8) CI |
| | 141 | 31(22) | 38 (27) | 39 (27.7) | 6(4.3) | 10 (7.1) | 6(4.3) | 3(2.1) | 0(0) | 8 (5.7) |
| May | 95 | 14 (14.7) | 24 (25.3) | 28 (29.5) | 7 (7.4) | 3 (3.2) | 6 (6.3) | 11 (11.6) | 2 (2.1) | (0) |
| June | 105 | 18 (17.1) | 24 (22.9) | 37 (35.2) | 6(5.7) | 8 (7.6) | 1(1) | 3 (2.9) | 1(1) | 7 (6.7) |
| July | 102 | 11 (10.8) | 28 (27.5) | 40 (39.2) | 5 (4.9) | 3 (2.9) | 2 (2) | 2 (2) | 4 (3.9) | 7 (6.9) |
| August | 106 | 8 (7.5) | 18 (17) | 42 (39.6) | 15 (14.2) | 7 (6.6) | 4 (3.8) | 1(0.9) | 1 (0.9) | 10 (9.4) |
| September | LL | 17 (22.1) | 10 (13) | 32 (41.6) | 7 (9.1) | 3 (3.9) | 2 (2.6) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 6 (7.8) |
| October | 84 | 17 (20.2) | 14 (16.7) | 30 (35.7) | 5 (6) | 6 (7.1) | 2 (2.4) | 0 (0) | 3 (3.6) | 7 (8.3) |
| November | 189 | 35 (18.5) | 48 (25.4) | 68 (36) | 4 (2.1) | 13 (6.9) | 3 (1.6) | 3 (1.6) | 1 (0.5) | 14 (7.4) |
| December | 181 | 29 (16) | 60 (33.1) | 63 (34.8) | 5 (2.8) | 7 (3.9) | 4 (2.2) | 1(0.6) | 4 (2.2) | 8 (4.4) |
| Patient age group | | | | | | | | | | |
| <7 years | 1235 | 253 (20.5) | 334 (27) | 419 (33.9) | 38 (3.1) | 64 (5.2) | 34 (2.8) | 13 (1.1) | 25 (2) | 55 (4.5) |
| ≥7 years | 373 | 31(8.3) | 56 (15) | 137 (36.7) | 40(10.7) | 22 (5.9) | 14(3.8) | 19(5.1) | 4(1.1) | 50 (13.4) |
| Patient sex | | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 948 | 182 (19.2) | 228 (24.1) | 305 (32.2) | 53 (5.6) | 49 (5.2) | 27 (2.8) | 21 (2.2) | 12 (1.3) | 71 (7.5) |
| Female | 653 | 102 (15.6) | 156 (23.9) | 251 (38.4) | 25 (3.8) | 37 (5.7) | 21 (3.2) | 11 (1.7) | 16 (2.5) | 34 (5.2) |
| Collection site | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Haven, CT | 201 | 44 (21.9) | 33 (16.4) | 70 (34.8) | 19 (9.5) | 15 (7.5) | 4 (2) | 9 (4.5) | (0) (0) | 7 (3.5) |
| Indianapolis, IN | 178 | 27 (15.2) | 30(16.9) | 57 (32) | 3 (1.7) | 18(10.1) | 9 (5.1) | (0)(0) | 9 (5.1) | 25 (14) |
| St. Louis, MO | 178 | 42 (23.6) | 47 (26.4) | 60 (33.7) | 7 (3.9) | 12 (6.7) | 1(0.6) | 6(3.4) | 0 (0) | 3 (1.7) |
| Denver, CO | 162 | 40 (24.7) | 44 (27.2) | 36 (22.2) | 6 (3.7) | 4 (2.5) | 6 (3.7) | 2(1.2) | 5(3.1) | 19 (11.7) |
| Houston, TX | 138 | 16 (11.6) | 50 (36.2) | 44 (31.9) | 9 (6.5) | 6 (4.3) | 3 (2.2) | 0 (0) | 3 (2.2) | 7 (5.1) |
| Manhasset, NY | 120 | 16 (13.3) | 33 (27.5) | 50 (41.7) | 14 (11.7) | 3 (2.5) | 1(0.8) | 1(0.8) | 0(0) | 2(1.7) |
| Tempe, AZ | 119 | 19 (16) | 24 (20.2) | 60 (50.4) | 2(1.7) | 3 (2.5) | 6 (5) | 1(0.8) | 1(0.8) | 3 (2.5) |
| Kansas City, MO | 107 | 8 (7.5) | 12 (11.2) | 68 (63.6) | 3 (2.8) | 2(1.9) | 6 (5.6) | 0(0) | 3 (2.8) | 5 (4.7) |
| Milwaukee, WI | 103 | 11 (10.7) | 43 (41.7) | 19 (18.4) | 0(0) | 6 (5.8) | 1(1) | 0 (0) | 5 (4.9) | 18 (17.5) |
| Nashville, TN | 78 | 7 (9) | 16 (20.5) | 27 (34.6) | 3 (3.8) | 3 (3.8) | 1(1.3) | 11(14.1) | 1(1.3) | 9 (11.5) |
| Seattle, WA | 73 | 10 (13.7) | 18 (24.7) | 30 (41.1) | 3 (4.1) | 6 (8.2) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 1 (1.4) | 5 (6.8) |
| Chapel Hill, NC | 69 | 24 (34.8) | 17 (24.6) | 10 (14.5) | 5 (7.2) | 4 (5.8) | 7 (10.1) | 0 (0) | 0(0) | 2 (2.9) |
| Syracuse, NY | 54 | 18 (33.3) | 16 (29.6) | 10 (18.5) | 4 (7.4) | 3 (5.6) | 1(1.9) | 1(1.9) | 1(1.9) | 0 (0) |
| Jacksonville, FL | 28 | 2 (7.1) | 7 (25) | 15 (53.6) | 0 (0) | 1 (3.6) | 2 (7.1) | 1 (3.6) | (0)(0) | (0) (0) |
| Specimen source | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upper respiratory tract | 1142 | 215 (18.8) | 282 (24.7) | 461 (40.4) | 57 (5) | 55 (4.8) | 26 (2.3) | 21 (1.8) | 2 (0.2) | 23 (2) |
| Lower respiratory tract | 58 | 6(10.3) | 12 (20.7) | 16 (27.6) | 5 (8.6) | 5 (8.6) | 1(1.7) | 6(10.3) | 1 (1.7) | 6(0.1) |
| Gastrointestinal tract | 234 | 34 (14.5) | 65 (27.8) | 36 (15.4) | 10(4.3) | 20 (8.6) | 6 (2.6) | 3 (1.3) | 26 (11.1) | 34 (0.1) |
| Urine | 44 | 2 (4.6) | 6 (13.6) | 3 (6.8) | 1 (2.3) | 2 (4.6) | 4(9.1) | 0 (0) | (0) (0) | 26 (0.6) |
| Blood | 37 | 18 (48.7) | 7 (18.9) | 2 (5.4) | 0 (0) | 2 (5.4) | 6 (16.2) | 0 (0) | 0(0) | 2 (0.1) |
| Ocular | 36 | (0)(0) | 1(2.8) | 22 (61.1) | 3 (8.3) | (0) (0) | 1 (2.8) | 1 (2.8) | (0)(0) | 8 (0.2) |
| Other ^a | 57 | 9 (15.8) | 17 (29.8) | 16 (28.1) | 2 (3.5) | 2(3.5) | 4 (7) | 1(1.8) | 0 (0) | 6 (0.1) |
| Patient hospitalized when | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 700 | 115 (14 4) | 100 /07 07 | 10/ 270 | 10 /5/ | 10 /01 | 10 (2 5) | 10 07 20 | 10 (0 5) | 75 (0.4) |
| res | 96/ | (4.4) | 198 (24.8) | 24/ (J1) | (c) 0 4 | 49 (0.1) | (0.0) 87 | (0.0) 2 | (C.2) 02 | (4.6) C/ |

| ~ |
|-------------------|
| ≨ |
| T. |
| 亡。 |
| σ |
| $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ |
| ς. |
| |
| 5 |
| Jtho |
| ō |
| - |
| 2 |
| |
| lan |
| 2 |
| nuscri |
| õ |
| Ξ. |
| D |
| + |

| | Other $(n = 105)$ | 4 (4.3) |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------|
| | 41 (<i>n</i> = 29) | 0 (0) |
| | 7 $(n = 48)$ 21 $(n = 32)$ 41 $(n = 29)$ | 0 (0) |
| pecimens | | 5 (5.4) |
| Adenovirus type, no. (%) of specimens | 5 (<i>n</i> = 86) | 5 (5.4) |
| Adenovirus ty | 4 (<i>n</i> = 78) | 3 (3.3) |
| | 3 (<i>n</i> = 556) | 40 (43.5) |
| | 2 (<i>n</i> = 390) | 21 (22.8) |
| | 1 (<i>n</i> = 284) | 14 (15.2) |
| | Total no. of specimens | 92 |
| | Variable | Uncertain |

NOTE. Counts occasionally include multiple isolates from a single clinical event. Because of missing data, total counts are not always identical.

 $^{\alpha}$ Includes CSF, lymph nodes, combined sources, and no source indicated.

Medical record information based on a unique clinical event associated with a adenovirus-positive specimen for patients aged <7 years or patients who had received a transplant within 6 months prior to specimen collection (no duplicate isolates). Table 3

| un ur ur ur ur cli Patient age group <7 years ≥7 years Patient sex Male Fennale Immunocompromization Cancer | unique | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Patient age group <7 years ≥7 years Patient sex Male Female Immunocompromization Cancer | clinical events | 1 ($n = 226$) | 2 ($n = 282$) | 3 ($n = 351$) | 4(n=31) | 5 (<i>n</i> = 59) | 7(n=30) | 21 (<i>n</i> = 14) | 41 (<i>n</i> = 16) | Other $(n = 50)$ |
| >7 years ≥7 years Patient sex Male Female Immunocompromization Garcer | 1000 | 715 (713) | (2 20) 326 | 346 (34 3) | 30 (2) 0) | 53 (5 3) | 10 67 06 | 10.11.01 | 15 (1 5) | 35 (3 5) |
| Patient sex Male Female Immunocompromization | 500 5 | (C.12) C17 (C2) 11 | (C.12) C12 7 (14) | (c.+c) 0+c 5 (10) | (6.2) 62 2 (4) | (2.0) 60 ((12) | (6.2) 67 (0) 1 | $\frac{12}{2}(1.2)$ | (c.1) c1 (0) [| (c.c) cc (15 (30) |
| Male Fennale Immunocompromization Cancer | | | | | | | | | | |
| Female Immunocompromization Cancer | 624 | 138 (22.1) | 170 (27.2) | 195 (31.3) | 21 (3.4) | 34 (5.4) | 16 (2.6) | 8 (1.3) | 7 (1.1) | 35 (5.6) |
| Immunocompromization Cancer | 435 | 88 (20.2) | 112 (25.7) | 156 (35.9) | 10 (2.3) | 25 (5.7) | 14 (3.2) | 6 (1.4) | 9 (2.1) | 15 (3.4 |
| (ancer | ſ | (0) 0 | | (C 7 17 1 | 0000 | 000 | (0) Q | (0) 0 | (C 7 1 3) | |
| | - 00 | 0 (0) E (12 2) | (1.)(3) | I (14.3) E (12.3) | 0(0) | 0(0) | (0) (0) | | 1 (14.3) | 1 (14.3) |
| Violeting monormal | 50 026 | (7.61) C | (C.02) UI | 227 (25 5) | 1 (2.0) 79 (2) | 1 (2.0) 48 (5 1) | (F) C | 1 (2.0) 17 (1 2) | 1 (2.0) | 11 (20) 2C |
| Transnlantation | 064 78 | (1.12) co2 | 16 (2015) 16 (2015) | (c.cc) 2cc (16.7) | 2 (0.6) | (1.C) 04 (1.2.8) | (1.2) (7 2, (7, 6) | (C.I.) 21 (C.I.) 1 | 3 (3 8) | (1.2) CZ |
| Upper respiratory tract infection | 2 | (| (202) 01 | (| | (0 | | () | | |
| Yes | 450 | 109 (24.2) | 128 (28.4) | 154 (34.2) | 11 (2.4) | 27 (6) | 8 (1.8) | 4 (0.9) | 1 (0.2) | 8 (1.8) |
| Not reported | 609 | 117 (19.2) | 154 (25.3) | 197 (32.3) | 20 (3.3) | 32 (5.3) | 22 (3.6) | 10(1.6) | 15 (2.5) | 42 (6.9 |
| Pneumonia | 00 | | | | | i. i | | ć | ţ. | i |
| Yes Not montrol | 98 | 16(16.3) | 21 (21.6) 255 (26 5) | 35 (35.7) 216 (27 0) | 4 (4.1) | 5 (5.1) 54 (5 6) | 3 (3.1) | 2 (2) 12 (1 3) | 1 (1) 1 5 (1 6) | 5 (5.1) AE (A 7) |
| | 106 | (6.12) 012 | (0.02) 002 | (6.70) 010 | (0.7) 17 | (0.C) 1 C | (0.7) 17 | 12(1.2) | (0.1) CI | 4.4) (4.1 |
| Conjunctivitis Ves | 70 | 7 (10) | 9(129) | 41 (58 6) | 7 (10) | 3 (4 3) | 1 (1 4) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.010 |
| Not reported | 989 | 219 (22,1) | 273 (27.6) | 310 (31.3) | 24 (2.4) | 56 (5.7) | 29 (2.9) | 14 (1.4) | 16(1,6) | 48 (4.9) |
| Encephalitis | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 4 | 1 (25) | 0 (0) | 2 (50) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 1 (25) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0) 0 |
| Not reported | 1055 | 225 (21.3) | 282 (26.7) | 349 (33.1) | 31 (2.9) | 59 (5.6) | 29 (2.7) | 14 (1.3) | 16(1.5) | 50 (4.7) |
| Duration of hospital stay | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not recorded | 32 | 4 (12.5) | 6(18.8) | 18 (56.3) | 0 (0) | 3 (9.4) | 1 (3.1) | 0(0) | 0 (0) | (0) (0) |
| No stay or unknown ^d | 460 | 111 (24.1) | 123 (26.7) | 164 (35.7) | 13 (2.8) | 17 (3.7) | 12 (2.6) | 2 (0.4) | 6(1.3) | 12 (2.6) |
| up to 3 days | 300 | 74 (24.7) | 82 (27.3) | 103 (34.3) | 9 (3) | 13 (4.3) | 7 (2.3) | 3 (1) | 1 (0.3) | 8 (2.7) |
| 4-407 days | 255 | 35 (13.7) | 68 (26.7) | 63 (24.7) | 8 (3.1) | 26 (10.2) | 9 (3.5) | 9 (3.5) | 9 (3.5) | 28 (11) |
| Unknown no. of days | 12 | 2 (16.7) | 3 (25) | 3 (25) | 1 (8.3) | 0 (0) | 1 (8.3) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 2 (16.7) |
| Duration of intensive care unit stay | ç | | | 1 (22 2) | (0) 0 | | 0,00 | 10/ 0 | 0,00 | 0000 |
| | 0.0 | (c.cc) I | (0.00) 1 | (c.cc) I | (n) n | (n) n | (n) n | (n) n | | |
| No stay or unknown | 973 20 | (5.12) 02 | 201 (20.8) | 331 (34) 0 /72 1) | 29 (3) 1 /2 6) | 48 (4.9) | 20 (7.7) | 8 (0.8) 2 (£ 1) | (c.1) cI | 46 (4.7) |
| 1-0 days 0 376 daws | 20 20 | 7 (17 0) | 9 (23.1) 0 (73.1) | (1.22) 7 7 (17 0) | 1 (2.0) | 0 (13.4) 5 (13 8) | 1 (2.0) 3 (7 7) | 2 (1.0 3) 1 (10 3) | 1 (2.0) | 2 (7 7) 2 (7 7) |
| Inknown no of davs | ^y v | 0.00 | 2 (40) | 3 (60) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 000 | | 000 |
| Cause of death | 2 | | (2.) = | (22) 2 | | | (0) 0 | (2) 2 | (0) 0 | |
| Not recorded | 1 | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 1 (100) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0)0 |
| None or unknown ^a | 1046 | 225 (21.5) | 276 (26.4) | 350 (33.5) | 31 (3) | 58 (5.5) | 29 (2.8) | 12 (1.1) | 16 (1.5) | 49 (4.7) |
| Other unknown cause | 9 | 0(0) | 3 (50) | 0 (0) | 0(0) | 0 (0) | 1 (16.7) | 1 (16.7) | 0 (0) | 1 (16.7) |
| Primarily adenovirus infection | 9 | 1 (16.7) | 3 (50) | (0) | 0 (0) | 1 (16.7) | 0 (0) | 1 (16.7) | 0(0) | 0) 0 |
| Chronic disease | ; | | | | | | | | | |
| Not recorded | 31 | 6 (19.4) | 7 (22.6) | 14 (45.2) | 1 (3.2) | 1 (3.2) | 1 (3.2) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 1 (3.2) |
| No | 732 | 152 (20.8) | 201 (27.5) | 249 (34) | 22 (3) | 41 (5.6) | 21 (2.9) | 8 (1.1) | 8 (1.1) | 30 (4.1) |
| Yes | 208 ĩĩ | 49 (23.6) | 56 (26.9) | 49 (23.6) | 7 (3.4) | 11 (5.3) | 5 (2.4) | 6 (2.9) | 6 (2.9) | 19 (9.1) |
| Unknown | 88 | 19 (21.6) | 18 (20.5) | 39 (44.3) | 1(1.1) | 6 (6.8) | 3 (3.4) | (0)(0) | 2 (2.3) | (0)(0) |

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

| | Total no of | | | Adenovirus hex | on sequence ge | Adenovirus hexon sequence genotype, no. (%) of unique clinical events | of unique clinic | cal events | | |
|----------|------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Variable | unique clinical events | 1 (n = 226) | 2 (<i>n</i> = 282) | 3 (<i>n</i> = 351) | 4(n=31) | 5 (<i>n</i> = 59) | 7(n=30) | 21 (<i>n</i> = 14) | 41 ($n = 16$) | Other $(n = 50)$ |
| | 086 | 219 (22.3) | 263 (26.8) | 328 (33.5) | 26 (2.7) | 55 (5.6) | 23 (2.3) | 12 (1.2) | 13 (1.3) | 41 (4.2) |
| 2 | 44 | 4 (9.1) | 10 (22.7) | 16 (36.4) | 2 (4.5) | 3 (6.8) | 2 (4.5) | 2 (4.5) | 2 (4.5) | 3 (6.8) |
| 3 | 22 | 1 (4.5) | 5 (22.7) | 6 (27.3) | 3 (13.6) | 0 (0) | 2(9.1) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 5 (22.7) |
| 4 | | 0(0) | 1(100) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0 (0) | 0(0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0(0) |
| 5 | 4 | 0 (0) | 0(0) | 1 (25) | 0 (0) | 1 (25) | 1 (25) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 1 (25) |
| 9 | 33 | 1(33.3) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | (0) (0) | 0 (0) | 1(33.3) | 0 (0) | 1 (33.3) | (0)(0) |
| 7 | 2 | 1 (50) | 1(50) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| 6 | 2 | 0(0) | 2(100) | 0 (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | (0) |
| 20 | 1 | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | (0) | 0 (0) | (0) (0) | 1(100) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | (0) (0) |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| : | | | | | | | | | | |

Gray et al.

 $a^{\rm u}. {\rm Unknown}^{\rm v}$ was a given response on the data forms.

b. No. of adenovirus-positive specimens per clinical event refers to the number of specimens submitted that were associated with a single clinical event for a unique patient on the basis of medical record review at the submitting laboratory.

Medical and genotyping information for adenovirus-infected patients per unique clinical event for patients aged <7 years or patients who had received a

transplant within 6 months before specimen collection (no duplicate isolates), by age group and immunocompromization status.

No. (%) of unique clinical events

| Intermedia Intermedia <thintermedia< th=""> Intermedia Interme</thintermedia<> | | | • | |
|---|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Immunocompronised (n = 73) Nontimunocompronised (n = 946) 13 $(16,4)$ $(30,5)$ 14 $(19,2)$ $(30,5)$ 14 $(14,3)$ $(30,5)$ 14 $(14,3)$ $(30,5)$ 14 $(14,3)$ $(14,3)$ 14 $(14,3)$ $(14,3)$ 14 $(14,3)$ $(16,3)$ 14 $(14,3)$ $(16,3)$ 14 $(14,3)$ $(16,3)$ 14 $(14,3)$ $(16,3)$ 14 $(14,3)$ $(16,3)$ 14 $(14,3)$ $(16,3)$ 14 $(14,3)$ $(16,3)$ 14 $(14,3)$ $(16,3)$ 14 $(14,3)$ $(16,3)$ 14 $(14,3)$ $(16,3)$ 14 $(14,3)$ $(16,3)$ 14 $(14,3)$ $(16,3)$ 14 $(14,3)$ $(16,3)$ 14 $(14,3)$ $(16,3)$ 14 $(14,3)$ $(16,3)$ | | Patients | aged <7 years | Immunocompromised patients aged |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Variable | Immunocompromised ($n = 73$) | Nonimmunocompromised $(n = 936)$ | ≥ 7 years $(n = 50)$ |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Serutione | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | 12 (16.4) | 203 (21.7) | 11 (22) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 2 | 23 (31.5) | 252 (26.9) | 7 (14) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $ | 3 | 14 (19.2) | 332 (35.5) | 5 (10) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 4 | 1 (1.4) | 28 (3) | 2 (4) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | S. | 5(6.9) | 48 (5.1) | 6 (12) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | ℃ t | 2 (2.7) | 7 (0.8) | 0(0) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | (C.C) 4 (C.C) 4 | (1.7) 22 | I (2) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | I (1.4) | 0(0) | 6 (12) 6 (2) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 21 | 3 (4.1) | I (0.1) | 0(0) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 14 | 0(0) | 3(0.3) | 0(0) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0 | 1 (1.4) | 0(0) | 0(0) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 19 | 0(0) | / (0.8) | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 17 | 0(0) | 12 (1.3) | 2 (4) 2 (0) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 77 | 0 (0) | 1.0.1 | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 23 | 3 (1) | (1.0) 1 A (0.4) | 0 (0) |
| $\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & (55) & 11 & (12) \\ 4 & (55) & 356 & (412) \\ 31 & (42.5) & 356 & (412) \\ 31 & (42.5) & 356 & (412) \\ 31 & (42.5) & 356 & (412) \\ 31 & (42.5) & 356 & (412) \\ 31 & (42.5) & 363 & (53.7) \\ 31 & (42.5) & 363 & (53.7) \\ 31 & (17.3) & 31 & (42.5) \\ 31 & (17.3) & 31 & (42.5) \\ 31 & (17.3) & 36 & (92.5) \\ 31 & (17.3) & 36 & (92.5) \\ 31 & (17.3) & 36 & (92.5) \\ 31 & (17.3) & 36 & (92.5) \\ 31 & (12.5) & 31 & (97.5) \\ 31 & (12.5) & 31 & (97.5) \\ 31 & (12.5) & 31 & (97.5) \\ 31 & (12.5) & 31 & (97.5) \\ 31 & (12.5) & 31 & (97.5) \\ 31 & (12.5) & 31 & (97.5) \\ 31 & (12.5) & 31 & (97.5) \\ 31 & (12.5) & 31 & (97.5) \\ 31 & (12.5) & 31 & (97.5) \\ 31 & (12.5) & 31 & (97.5) \\ 31 & (12.5) & 31 & (12.5) \\ 31 & (1$ | | (1.) U | 4 (0.4) 0 /0/ | 2 (1) 7 (0) |
| 4,6,0 $11,0,0$ $31,42.5$ $550,63.8$ $31,42.5$ $550,63.8$ $31,42.5$ $550,63.8$ $31,42.5$ $550,63.8$ $31,42.5$ $550,63.8$ $31,42.5$ $550,63.8$ $31,42.5$ $550,63.8$ $11,07,3$ $845,60,3$ $11,07,3$ $845,60,3$ $71,07,3$ $845,60,3$ $71,07,3$ $845,60,3$ $73,100$ $86,69,5$ $0,00$ $70,75$ $91,077$ $91,078$ $91,077$ $91,048$ $91,075$ $91,048$ $91,075$ $91,048$ $91,075$ | 26 26 | 0 00 | 0(0) | (0) 4 (7) C |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 50 41 | 0 (0) | (7.0) 1 | 2 (4) 1 (2) |
| 42.57.5 $550 (58.8)$ $31 (42.5)$ $386 (41.2)$ $31 (42.5)$ $386 (41.2)$ $31 (42.5)$ $386 (41.2)$ $386 (41.2)$ $386 (41.2)$ $13 (17.8)$ $423 (65.3)$ $1 (7.3)$ $91 (9.7)$ $71 (97.3)$ $91 (9.7)$ $71 (97.3)$ $91 (9.7)$ $70 (7.5)$ $70 (7.5)$ $91 (9.7)$ $70 (7.5)$ $91 (9.7)$ $91 (9.7)$ $70 (7.5)$ $91 (9.7)$ $91 (9.7)$ $70 (7.5)$ $91 (9.7)$ $91 (9.7)$ $70 (7.5)$ $91 (9.7)$ $91 (9.7)$ $70 (7.5)$ $91 (9.7)$ $91 (9.7)$ $91 (9.7)$ $91 (9.7)$ $91 (9.7)$ $91 (9.7)$ $91 (9.7)$ $91 (9.7)$ $11 (1.4)$ $26 (5.5)$ $11 (1.4)$ $91 (9.7)$ $10 (1.4)$ $91 (9.7)$ $10 (1.4)$ $91 (1.4)$ $10 (1.4)$ $91 (1.2)$ $11 (1.2)$ $91 (1.2)$ $11 (1.4)$ $91 (1.2)$ $11 (1.4$ | Sex | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Male | 42 (57.5) | 550 (58.8) | 32 (64) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $ | Female | 31 (42.5) | 386 (41.2) | 18 (36) |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Upper respiratory tract infection | | × • | ×. |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Not reported | 60 (82.2) | 503 (53.7) | 46 (92) |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Yes | 13 (17.8) | 433 (46.3) | 4 (8) |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Pneumonia | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Not reported | 71 (97.3) | 845 (90.3) | 45 (90) ž (100 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Yes | 2 (2.1) | 91 (9.7) | (II) C |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$ | Conjunctivitis | | | 50 (100) |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Not reported | 0.00 | (C:76) 000 | (001) 00 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | I CS Encombolitie | 0(0) | (0.1) 01 | 0 (0) |
| spial stay $1(14)$ $3(0.3)$ spial stay $1(14)$ $3(0.3)$ 1 $26(35.6)$ $6(0.6)$ 11 $11(15.1)$ $422(46.2)$ 11 $11(15.1)$ $293(31.3)$ 0 $00(41.1)$ $194(207)$ $11(14)$ $20(41.1)$ $11(12)$ $11(14)$ $20(31.2)$ $3(0.3)$ $11(14)$ $3(0.3)$ $3(0.3)$ $11(14)$ $3(0.3)$ $3(0.3)$ $11(14)$ $3(0.3)$ $3(0.3)$ $11(14)$ $3(0.3)$ $3(0.3)$ $10(14)$ $3(0.3)$ $3(0.3)$ $10(14)$ $3(0.3)$ $3(0.3)$ $10(14)$ $3(0.3)$ $3(0.3)$ $10(14)$ $3(1.3)$ $3(1.3)$ $10(14)$ $3(1.3)$ $3(1.3)$ $10(14)$ $3(1.3)$ $3(1.3)$ $10(14)$ $3(1.3)$ $3(1.3)$ | Encepraturs Not remorted | (980) (2 | 033 (00 7) | 50 (100) |
| | Yes | 1 (1.4) | 3 (0.3) | 0 (0) |
| 1 $26 (35.6)$ $6 (0.6)$ nknown ^d $11 (15.1)$ $432 (46.2)$ $5 (6.9)$ $5 (6.9)$ $293 (31.3)$ $5 (6.1)$ $912 (30.7)$ $912 (30.7)$ $11 (1.2)$ $912 (31.3)$ $914 (20.7)$ $912 (31.3)$ $11 (1.4)$ $912 (31.3)$ $11 (1.2)$ $912 (31.3)$ $11 (1.2)$ $912 (31.3)$ $11 (1.2)$ $912 (31.3)$ $11 (1.2)$ $912 (31.3)$ $11 (1.4)$ $11 (1.4)$ $3 (0.3)$ $11 (1.4)$ $3 (0.3)$ $11 (1.4)$ $3 (1.3)$ $11 (1.4)$ $3 (1.3)$ $11 (1.4)$ $3 (1.3)$ $11 (1.4)$ $3 (1.3)$ $12 (1.4)$ $3 (1.4)$ $12 (1.4)$ $3 (1.4)$ $12 (1.4)$ $3 (1.4)$ $12 (1.4)$ $3 (1.4)$ $12 (1.4)$ $3 (1.4)$ $12 (1.4)$ < | Duration of hospital stay | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Not recorded | 26 (35.6) | 6 (0.6) | 0 (0) |
| 5(6.9) $2(6.9)$ 293 (31.3) 30 (4.1) 30 (4.1) 194 (20.7) 10 (1.4) 11 (1.2) 114 (1.2) 10 (1.4) 0 (0) 3 (0.3) 10 0 (0) 3 (0.3) 10 (1.4) 3 (0.3) 10 (1.4) 3 (0.3) 10 (1.4) 3 (0.3) 10 (1.4) 3 (0.3) 10 (1.4) 3 (0.3) 10 (1.4) 3 (0.3) 8 (1) 3 (0.4) 28 (3) 3 (3.6) 0 (0) 4 (0.4) | No stay or unknown ^a | 11 (15.1) | 432 (46.2) | 17 (34) |
| a of days $30 (41.1)$ $194 (20.7)$ b. of days $1 (1.4)$ $11 (1.2)$ ensive care unit stay $0 (0)$ $3 (0.3)$ 1 $0 (0)$ $3 (0.3)$ 1 $0 (0)$ $3 (0.3)$ 1 $0 (1, 4)$ $3 (1.3)$ 1 $1 (1.4)$ $34 (3.6)$ 8 (11) $28 (3)$ $28 (3)$ 0.0 (0) $0 (0)$ $4 (0.4)$ | Up to 3 days | 5 (6.9) | 293 (31.3) | 2 (4) |
| . of days $1 (1.4)$ $11 (1.2)$ ensive care unit stay $0 (0)$ $3 (0.3)$ 1 $0 (0)$ $3 (0.5)$ 1 $0 (0)$ $3 (7.9)$ $0 (0)$ $0 (1.4)$ $34 (3.6)$ $0 (1.4)$ $28 (3.6)$ $0 (1.4)$ $28 (3.6)$ $0 (10)$ $0 (0)$ | 4-407 days | 30 (41.1) | 194 (20.7) | 31 (62) |
| ensive care unit stay $0 (0)$ $3 (0.3)$ 11 $64 (87.7)$ $867 (92.6)$ nknown ^d 1 (1.4) $34 (3.6)$ 8 (11)28 (3)0.00.0)4 (0.4) | Unknown no. of days | 1(1.4) | 11 (1.2) | 0 (0) |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Duration of intensive care unit stay | | | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Not recorded | 0 (0) | 3 (0.3) | 0 (0) |
| $\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 (1.4) & 54 (3.6) \\ 8 (11) & 28 (3) \\ 0 (0) & 4 (0.4) \end{array}$ | No stay or unknown ^{α} | 64 (87.7) | 867 (92.6) | 42 (84) |
| 8 (11) 28 (3) 0 (days 0 (0) 4 (0.4) | 1-8 days | 1 (1.4) | 34 (3.6) | 4 (8) |
| 0. 01 days 0 (0) 4 (0.4) 5 (0.4) | 9-326 days | 8 (11) | 28 (3) | 3 (6) |
| | Unknown no. of days | 0 (0) | 4 (0.4) | 1 (2) |
| | | | | |

Gray et al.

Page 16

No. (%) of unique clinical events

| | Patients | Patients aged <7 years | Immunocompromised patients aged |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Variable | Immunocompromised $(n = 73)$ | Nonimmunocompromised (n = 936) | ≥ 7 years $(n = 50)$ |
| Not recorded | 0 (0) | 1 (0.1) | 0(0) |
| None or unknown ^a | 69 (94.5) | 931 (99.5) | 46 (92) |
| Other or unknown cause | 1 (1.4) | 3 (0.3) | 2 (4) |
| Primarily adenovirus infection | 3 (4.1) | 1(0.1) | 2 (4) |
| Chronic disease | ~ | ~ | ~ |
| Not recorded | 2 (2.7) | 29 (3.1) | 0 (0) |
| No | 14 (19.2) | 706 (75.4) | 12 (24) |
| Yes | 24 (32.9) | 149 (15.9) | 35 (70) |
| Unknown | 33 (45.2) | 52 (5.6) | 3 (6) |
| Number of adenovirus-positive specimens per clinical event | ~ | | |
| 1 | 51(69.9) | 893 (95.4) | 36 (72) |
| 2 | 5 (6.9) | 34 (3.6) | 5 (10) |
| 3 | 10(13.7) | 9(1) | 3 (6) |
| $^{>4}b$ | 7 (9.6) | 0 (0) | 6 (12) |
| | | | |
| a | | | |
| ""Unknown" was a given response on the data forms. | | | |
| | | | |

 $\boldsymbol{b}_{\text{Participants}}$ had up to 20 unique adenovirus types detected.

| ~ | |
|-----------|--|
| ~ | |
| _ | |
| _ | |
| _ | |
| | |
| | |
| U | |
| 1 | |
| | |
| - | |
| ~ | |
| Author | |
| - | |
| _ | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 5 | |
| 0 | |
| _ | |
| | |
| _ | |
| < | |
| _ | |
| വ | |
| | |
| | |
| - | |
| <u> </u> | |
| 10 | |
| 0, | |
| JSC | |
| \sim | |
| . | |
| | |
| 0 | |
| <u> </u> | |
| | |

| 7 | |
|------|--|
| ~ | |
| = | |
| т. | |
| 1.1 | |
| | |
| ~ | |
| | |
| - | |
| ⋗ | |
| 2 | |
| ≒. | |
| utho | |
| ລ | |
| 2 | |
| 7 | |
| 2 | |
| < | |

| | | No. of isolates | 5 | - 4 - | κ – – | 0- | | - 0 | — — — | | 4 % - 10 | | 0-0 | | 1 - 7 | (|
|---|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| clinical event. | Isolate information | Adenovirus type | 61 W | 41 41 2 | 0 3 7 | 18 15 | 2 6 | 6 | 0 0 | 2 6 NT | | - 00 | 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 1 N 1 N 1 N 1 N 1 N | 19 19 | с – | ςς, τ |
| Data on patients whose collected specimen yielded ≥ 2 adenovirus hexon sequence genotypes for a single clinical event. | | Collection month/year | 1/2005 1/2005 | 1/2005 2/2005 3/2005 | 11/2004 10/2004 12/2004 | 11/2005 11/2005 11/2005 11/2005 | 1/2006 | 6/2005 6/2005 | 1/2006 1/2006 2/2006 2/2006 | 10/2005 10/2005 11/2005 | 11/2005 10/2005 10/2005 9/2005 9/2005 | 12/2005 1/2006 | 7/2005 8/2005 8/2005 8/2005 8/2005 8/2005 | 4/2006 3/2006 3/2006 | 5/2006 5/2006 | 3/2006 |
| ed ≥2 adenovirus hexon seg | ormation | Cancer | None | None | Lymphatic and hematopoietic tissue | Lymphatic and hematopoietic tissue | None | None | None | None | Lymphatic and hematopoietic tissue | Lymphatic and hematopoietic tissue | Lymphatic and hematopoietic tissue | None | None | Medulloblastoma |
| d specimen yielde | Patient information | Transplant | Pancreas and small bowel | Bone marrow | Bone marrow | Bone marrow | Bone marrow | None | Bone marrow | Kidney | Bone marrow | Bone marrow | Bone marrow | None | Bone marrow | Bone marrow |
| whose collecte | | Age | 5 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 43 | 27 | 52 | ω | ю | m | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| Data on patients | | Clinical event | _ | 7 | œ | 4 | S | 9 | ٢ | ∞ | σ | 10 | Ξ | 12 | 13 | 14 |

Clin Infect Dis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2007 November 6.

Page 18

| _ |
|----------|
| |
| _ |
| _ |
| |
| |
| U |
| <u> </u> |
| |
| - |
| - |
| |
| - |
| |
| |
| - |
| lutho |
| - |
| 0 |
| _ |
| |
| _ |
| |
| \sim |
| 01 |
| 2 |
| |
| |
| SN |
| |
| S |
| Ö |
| 0 |
| _ |
| |
| O |
| - |
| |

| $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ |
|-------------------|
| - |
| |
| t |
| Ч |
| 0 |
| \simeq |
| - |
| ~ |
| \leq |
| ā |
| |
| |
| S |
| 0 |
| Ξ. |
| 5 |
| 9 |
| — |
| |
| |
| |

NIH-PA

Gray et al.

| | | Patient information | rmation | Π | Isolate information | |
|----------------|-----|---------------------|--|--|------------------------|-----------------|
| Clinical event | Age | Transplant | Cancer | Collection month/year | Adenovirus type | No. of isolates |
| 15 | 7 | Bone marrow | Lymphatic and hematopoietic tissue | 12/2005 12/2005 3/2006 1/2006 3/2006 4/2006 | ω 0 − 0 1 0 | |
| 16 | Ś | Bone marrow | Lymphatic and hematopoietic tissue | 12/2005 12/2005 12/2005 12/2005 | -1 € N € | 0 |
| 17 | 4 | None | Lymphatic and hematopoietic tissue | 9/2005 9/2005 10/2005 | 000 | |
| 18 | 17 | None | Bone, connective tissue, skin, or breast | 5/2006 6/2006 | 7 35 | - 1 |
| 19 | 6 | None | Lymphatic and hematopoietic tissue | 8/2004 8/2004 | ω4 | |
| 20 | 9 | Bone marrow | None | 1/2005 7/2005 8/2005 | 7 15 7 | 1 |
| | | | | | | |

NOTE. NT, nontyped adenovirus.

| _ |
|-------------------|
| |
| |
| - |
| |
| - T |
| <u> </u> |
| U |
| ~ |
| |
| - |
| N |
| |
| |
| <u> </u> |
| - |
| <u> </u> |
| utho |
| \simeq |
| |
| _ |
| < |
| _ |
| CO CO |
| = |
| |
| - |
| - |
| S |
| Ö |
| $\mathbf{\nabla}$ |
| 1 |
| 7 |
| <u> </u> |
| |

| | model. |
|---|--|
| | odds |
| 5 | nal |
| | ortio |
| | propc |
| | the |
| | of |
| | asis c |
| | р С |
| | l the |
| | 0D |
| | tors for adenovirus clinical severity on the basis of the proportional odds mode |
| | a |
| | nic |
| | cli |
| | virus |
| | adenc |
| | for |
| | actors |
| | k fa |
| | Risk |
| | |

| | | Sample distribution | | OR (95% CI) | 5% CI) |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Variables | Death or ICU stay | Hospitalization | Other | Unadjusted | Adjusted ^a |
| Age group | | , TEC | 011 | 0 5 (0 2 00) | |
| years</td <td>9/0</td> <td>456</td> <td>449</td> <td>0.3 (0.3-0.8)</td> <td>3.2(1.4-1.4)</td> | 9/0 | 456 | 449 | 0.3 (0.3-0.8) | 3.2(1.4-1.4) |
| ≥/ years Adanovirus havon samanoa ganotyna | م | 24 | 1/ | Kelerence | Keterence |
| | 16 | 94 | 111 | 1.1 (0.8-1.5) | 0.9 (0.7-1.3) |
| 2 | 22 | 130 | 123 | 1.3 (1-1.8) | 1.1(0.8-1.6) |
| 3 | 19 | 150 | 170 | Reference | Reference |
| 4 | 2 | 16 | 13 | 1.4 (0.7-2.9) | 1.1 (0.6-2.3) |
| 5 | 11 | 28 | 17 | 2.5 (1.5-4.3) | 2.7 (1.5-4.7) |
| 7 | 4 | 13 | 12 | 1.6(0.8-3.3) | 1.4 (0.7-3) |
| 21 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 10.3 (3.6-29.2) | 7.6 (2.6-22.3) |
| 41 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 1.7 (0.6-4.4) | 1 (0.4-2.7) |
| Other | 4 | 34 | 12 | 2.6 (1.5-4.7) | 1.8 (0.9-3.3) |
| Chronic disease | ç | | L V | | |
| ICS | 40 | C71 | C 1 C | (د.د-د) 4.1 | (T.C-0.2) 0.C |
| No or unknown | 65 | 105 | 421 | Keterence | Keterence |
| Transplantation during the 6 months previous to specimen collection | | | | | |
| r Yes | 19 | 44 | 24 | 2.8 (1.8-4.2) | 2.7 (1.3-5.2) |
| No or unknown | 99 | 436 | 442 | Reference | Reference |

ų č ŝ (death or ICU stay or hopitalization vs. other illness). ICU, intensive care unit.

 a Statistically significant covariates, after manual backward elimination from a saturated model. The adjusted model included only the variables shown.