

# NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Cell Mol Life Sci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 August 01.

# Published in final edited form as:

Cell Mol Life Sci. 2014 August; 71(15): 2909–2915. doi:10.1007/s00018-014-1587-2.

# Primordial chemistry and enzyme evolution in a warm environment

## **Richard Wolfenden**

Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics CB #7260, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 120 Mason Farm Road, Chapel Hill NC 27599-7260

# Abstract

Ever since the publication of Darwin's Origin of Species, questions have been raised about whether enough time has elapsed for living organisms to have reached their present level of complexity by mutation and natural selection. More recently, it has become apparent that life originated very early in Earth's history, and there has been controversy as to whether life originated in a hot or cold environment. This review describes evidence that rising temperature accelerates slow reactions disproportionately, and to a much greater extent than has been generally recognized. Thus, the time that would have been required for primordial chemistry to become established would have been abbreviated profoundly at high temperatures. Moreover, if the catalytic effect of a primitive enzyme (like that of modern enzymes) were to reduce a reaction's heat of activation, then the rate enhancement that it produced would have increased as the surroundings cooled, quite aside from changes arising from mutation (which is itself highly sensitive to temperature). Some nonenzymatic catalysts of slow reactions, including PLP as a catalyst of amino acid decarboxylation, and the Ce<sup>IV</sup> ion as a catalyst of phosphate ester hydrolysis, have been shown to meet that criterion. The work reviewed here suggests that elevated temperatures collapsed the time required for early evolution on Earth, furnishing an appropriate setting for exploring the vast range of chemical possibilities and for the rapid evolution of enzymes from primitive catalysts.

## Keywords

evolution; enzyme; evolution; primordial chemistry; activation energy; thermophilic organisms; pyridoxal phosphate; PLP; phosphate ester hydrolysis; amino acid decarboxylation; transition state analogue

# Catalysis and transition state binding by enzymes

Since the time of Pasteur, biologists and chemists have marveled at the power of enzymes to enhance the rates of reactions. Individual enzyme molecules are present at such low concentrations in cells that biological reactions must proceed very rapidly at their active sites—often in a matter of milliseconds—if these reactions are to be of any use to a cell with a division time measured in hours. On the other hand, if biological reactions were not very

water@med.unc.edu, tel. (919) 966-1203, fax (919) 966-2852.

slow in the absence of enzymes, then biologically important molecules would disintegrate too rapidly to be of any use to living organisms. So the very existence of life can be said to depend on the difference in rate between uncatalyzed reactions and enzyme-catalyzed reactions.

What is responsible for this difference in rate? Transition state theory (also known as the theory of absolute reaction rates) provides a useful way of describing the action of a catalyst (Fig. 1).

In the absence of a catalyst (red line), every reaction passes from the ground state S to the transition state S<sup>‡</sup>, in a process with a nominal equilibrium constant ( $K^{\ddagger}_{non}$ ) that is highly unfavorable. The transition states of all reactions are understood to decompose to products with the same absolute rate constant kT/h (where k = Boltzmann's constant, T = absolute temperature and h = Planck's constant), so that one reaction is faster than another to the extent—and only to the extent—that the equilibrium constant of activation ( $K^{\ddagger}$ ) is larger for the first reaction than for the second.

The rate constant ( $k_{cat}$ ) of an enzyme reaction, which describes the rate of product formation from the enzyme-substrate complex ES (green line), can be treated in the same way. If  $k_{cat}$ exceeds  $k_{non}$  by a known factor (the rate enhancement), then  $K^{\ddagger}_{cat}$  exceeds  $K^{\ddagger}_{non}$  by the same factor. It follows algebraically that the enzyme's binding affinity for the substrate (roughly the reciprocal of  $K_m$ ) increases by that same factor as the complex proceeds from the ground state (ES) to the transition state (ES<sup>‡</sup>), where binding becomes exceedingly strong (the reciprocal of  $K_{tx}$ ). A stable analogue of  $S^{\ddagger}$  should therefore be a powerful reversible inhibitor. That inference remains valid even if—as is sometimes the case—the enzymatic and nonenzymatic reactions proceed by mechanisms that differ, or if the rate of the enzyme reaction is limited by the physical release of the reaction product.<sup>1</sup>

# Measuring benchmark rates of uncatalyzed reactions

That principle led to the discovery of powerful enzyme inhibitors. In addition to more practical applications, these molecules, usually termed transition state analogues, have proven useful in distinguishing between alternative mechanisms by which an enzyme might act, and (in conjunction with exact structural methods) in revealing enzyme-substrate interactions that are important for catalysis. But when attempts were made to compare the binding affinities of real analogues with the transition state affinities that would be expected in theory, it became apparent that a significant piece of information was missing. The rate constants of most biological reactions *in the absence of a catalyst* were simply unknown. Experiments involving extremely sensitive methods for product formation such as the release of a radioactive product from a matrix-bound substrate,<sup>2</sup> had shown that half-lives could be as long as several years (Fig. 2). But it was clear that a different approach would be needed to measure the rates of any processes much slower than that at ordinary temperatures, with half-lives that might extend to centuries or even longer.

Virtually all chemical processes are accelerated by heat. One of the most general ways to monitor the rates of very slow reactions is to determine reaction rates at elevated temperatures and extrapolate the results to ordinary temperatures using the Arrhenius

equation, according to which the logarithm of the rate constant varies with the reciprocal of the absolute temperature. For most simple reactions, that relationship turns out to be remarkably linear, as exemplified by the results for peptide hydrolysis in Fig. 3. A regression line through the values between 95 and 170 °C intersects a value determined at 25 °C based on a hypersensitive fluorescence assay,<sup>3,4</sup> showing that this Arrhenius plot is linear over a range of >10<sup>5</sup>-fold in rate constants. Although curvature would be expected in those cases where heat capacities of activation are significant, curvature is very seldom observed except in those processes in which the rate-limiting step changes with temperature.

For peptide hydrolysis, the extrapolated half-life for the uncatalyzed reaction is ~500 years at 25 °C, but some reactions have been found to be much slower, with half-lives of 130,000 years for phosphodiester hydrolysis,<sup>5</sup> 10<sup>8</sup> years for the decarboxylation of orotidine 5'-phosphate<sup>5</sup> and 10<sup>12</sup> years for the reaction of water with phosphomonoester dianions.<sup>6</sup> Shown on a logarithmic vertical scale, Figure 4 compares the rate constants of some enzyme reactions (across the top) with the rate constants of the corresponding uncatalyzed reactions (across the bottom). Each of these comparisons is for reactions whose mechanisms are similar in the presence and absence of the enzyme, not for reactions in which the enzymatic and nonenzymatic reactions involve different sites or mechanisms of bond breaking. The rate enhancement (k<sub>cat</sub>/k<sub>non</sub>) produced by each of these enzymes is indicated by the length of the vertical line (note that this is a logarithmic scale), and range from 10<sup>7</sup>-fold for carbonic anhydrase to  $10^{21}$ -fold for phosphate monoesterases that catalyze direct water attack on the substrate.

# **Evolutionary questions**

In the course of gathering information about enzyme rate enhancements for the purpose of estimating the affinities expected of ideal transition state analogue inhibitors, we stumbled unexpectedly on information that might be relevant to two vexing evolutionary questions. Ever since the time of Kelvin,<sup>7</sup> critics of Darwinian evolution have argued that not enough time had passed for these and other biological processes to evolve to their present level of complexity. Moreover, although the age of the earth is now understood to be ~4.6 billion years, cyanobacteria appear to have been flourishing within the first ~1 billion years after its birth.<sup>8</sup> Figure 4 shows that in the absence of an enzyme, some biological reactions are slow on a geological—or even a cosmological—time-scale. How did these reactions get started before enzymes were available to catalyze them? And how might enzyme evolution itself have gotten started?

# How did biological reactions get started before enzymes were available?

Consider the temperature dependence of chemical reactions in the absence of a catalyst. Vernon Harcourt, the first chemist to examine the effects of temperature systematically, noticed in 1862 that the rate of the iodine clock reaction roughly doubled with a 10 °C increase in temperature (sometimes paraphrased: " $Q_{10} = 2$ ").<sup>9</sup> For reasons that appear in retrospect to have been related to the limited range of reaction rates that are convenient to follow at ordinary temperatures, Harcourt's observation emerged as a generalization applicable to *all* reactions in the minds of many chemists, and continues to appear in many

prominent textbooks. And in fact it is true that  $Q_{10}$  does equal 2 for a few biologically important reactions such as the dehydration of carbonic aid, which proceeds spontaneously, in the absence of an enzyme, with  $t_{1/2} \sim 30 \text{ s}.^{10}$ 

But that statement is not true as a generalization. Compare the slope of the black "Harcourt line" (for which  $Q_{10} = 2$ ) in Figure 5 with the slopes of the colored lines representing various reactions that have been examined recently. The slopes of these Arrhenius plots are seen to be much greater than that of the Harcourt line, and to vary greatly among themselves. The slower a reaction is at low temperature (toward the right side of Figure 2), the more sensitive to temperature it tends to be.

Now consider the result of compounding these effects over a substantial temperature range for reactions with different H<sup>‡</sup> values. Some modern thermophilic organisms grow at temperatures as high as 122 °C, while some psychrophiles grow at temperatures well below 0 °C. Without going to these extremes, let us consider the effect of raising the temperature from 25° to 100 °C. The rate of peptide and urea hydrolysis ( $t_{1/2} = 600$  y at 25 °C), a reaction with a Q<sub>10</sub> of 4 (H<sup>‡</sup> = 24 kcal/mol), increases 4000-fold when the temperature is raised from 25 to 100 °C. The rate of sucrose hydrolysis ( $t_{1/2} = 10^{12}$  y at 25 °C) with a Q<sub>10</sub> of 8 (H<sup>‡</sup> = 36 kcal/mol) increases 200,000-fold in going from 25 to 100 °C. The rate of hydrolysis of phosphate monoester dianions, one of the slowest reactions known ( $t_{1/2} = 10^{12}$ y at 25 °C), with Q<sub>10</sub> = 16 (H\* = 48 kcal/mol) increases 10,000,000-fold in going from 25 to 100 °C.

The startling magnitude of these temperature effects on slow reactions suggests a provisional answer to the question of how very slow biological reactions got started on a primitive earth. If early biomimetic chemistry began while Earth was still warm, then the elevated temperature of the surroundings would have collapsed the time required for their occurrence, especially for the slowest reactions.<sup>11</sup>

But did life arise while the earth was still warm? The discovery of ancient cyanobacteria suggests that the first organisms originated within the first of the 4.6 billion years of Earth's history, not long after the end of the Late Heavy Bombardment.<sup>8</sup> The most primitive branches of the tree of life appear to have been largely populated by thermophilic organisms.<sup>12</sup> and the genetic code appears to have been established while the earth was still warm.<sup>13</sup> Moreover, recent reconstructions of the probable amino acid sequences of ancestral enzymes yield proteins with much higher temperatures of denaturation than their modern counterparts.<sup>14</sup> If life did indeed arise while the earth was still warm, then the kinetic burden imposed on early chemical evolution by the sluggishness of most biological reactions at ordinary temperatures would have been alleviated.

# How did enzyme evolution get started? A hypothesis

Modern enzymes exhibit rate enhancements as large as  $10^{21}$ -fold.<sup>[6]</sup> Moreover, numerous enzymes have been found to act on their substrates with second order rate constants that approach the physical limits imposed by enzyme-substrate encounter in solution, with values of k<sub>cat</sub>/K<sub>m</sub> that approach  $10^9$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>15</sup> What circumstances would have permitted enzymes to evolve to their present state of near-perfection within the limited time available? The

magnitude of this problem becomes apparent if one considers the decarboxylation of orotidine 5'-phosphate, an essential step in the biosynthesis of pyrimidine nucleotides, and thus of DNA. In the absence of the decarboxylase, the half-life of this reaction is  $\sim$ 78 million years at 25 °C in neutral solution.<sup>5</sup> If a "primitive" enzyme enhanced the rate of this reaction by a factor of 10<sup>3</sup>, 10<sup>6</sup> or even 10<sup>9</sup>, for example, that enzyme would have been of little use in a primitive organism's struggle for existence. How did the earliest enzyme reactions get a toehold, so that natural selection could begin to do its work?

Efforts to address that question have tended to be constrained by the conditions of life as we know it, and at 25 °C, the barriers to reaction are formidable indeed. But—as we have seen for simple reactions—the picture changes markedly if we consider a much warmer environment in which spontaneous reactions occur much more rapidly. Figure 6 shows the temperature dependence rate of glycoside hydrolysis in the presence and absence of a bacterial  $\alpha$ -glycosidase.<sup>16</sup> The enzyme reaction is far more rapid at ordinary temperatures, but because it is is much less sensitive to temperature than the spontaneous reaction, the rate enhancement (k<sub>cat</sub>/k<sub>non</sub>) produced by the enzyme (the white area between the lines in Figure 6) becomes less and less impressive with increasing temperature.

Now reverse this process and consider a potential scenario on the early earth, in which a primitive enzyme, perhaps a metal ion or a simple organic acid or base, might have produced a small enhancement in the rate of a reaction at elevated temperatures. As the surroundings cooled, the rate enhancement produced by that proto-enzyme would have increased automatically, quite apart from any improvements that might have resulted from the effects of selective pressure over time.

The plausibility of that scenario depends on whether, and to what extent, a primitive catalyst might have achieved its effect by lowering the enthalpy of activation (orange wedge, Figure 7), as opposed to achieving its effect by enhancing the entropy of activation (blue wedge, Figure 7). It would therefore be of interest to know whether nonenzymatic catalysts, such as might have been present on the hot surface of a primitive earth, follow either of those extreme scenarios. Figure 8 shows some nonenzymatic catalysts or other environments for which rate enhancements have been reported. These include 5 proteins (including a catalytic antibody, 2 designed enzyme mimics and a designed interface between 2 protein subunits), 3 metal ions and several nonaqueous environments (cyclohexane, hexamethylphosphoramide and the vapor phase).

Highlighted in orange are pyridoxal phosphate (PLP) and Ce<sup>IV</sup>, which generate rate enhancements approaching those produced by some enzymes ( $k_{cat}/k_{non}$  values for some enzyme reactions are shown along the right vertical axis of Figure 8). PLP is a thermostable coenzyme that survives lengthy exposure a warm environment, is required in a variety of biological reactions, and has been shown to catalyze amino acid decarboxylation in the absence of an enzyme.<sup>17</sup> Cerium, which is the most abundant of the rare earth elements and composes 0.05% by weight of the earth's crust, is also the only lanthanide that is stable in the +4 state. Soluble complexes of Ce<sup>IV</sup> have been shown to catalyze the hydrolysis of phosphoric acid esters.<sup>18,19</sup> When the rate enhancements produced by PLP and Ce<sup>IV</sup> were examined as a function of temperature, the effects of these powerful catalysis were found to

be based entirely on a reduction of  $H^{\ddagger}$ . Instead of being favorable, their effects on T S<sup>‡</sup> were actually found to be unfavorable (Table 1).<sup>11</sup>

If the catalytic effect of a primordial enzyme were enthalpic, then the rate enhancement that it produced would have increased as the environment cooled (Fig. 7B), quite apart from any benefits conferred by mutation and natural selection. It may therefore be of historical interest that, with the notable exception of the peptidyltransferase site of the ribosome (see below), most modern enzymes resemble  $\alpha$ -glycosidase (Figure 6) in that the enthalpy of activation ( $H^{\ddagger}$ ) is much less positive for the enzyme reaction than for the uncatalyzed reaction. For a randomly chosen set of enzymes catalyzing the cleavage of bonds of seven different types, the value of  $H^{\ddagger}$  associated with  $k_{cat}$  is reduced by ~16 kcal/mol.<sup>11</sup> Such a catalyst produces a 300-fold larger rate enhancement at 25° than at 100 °C. If  $k_{cat}/K_m$  (or transition state affinity) is considered, that effect becomes even more pronounced because  $K_m$  values tend to increase with increasing temperature.

In the exceptional case of the peptidyltransferase center of the ribosome, which produces only a modest rate enhancement (10<sup>7</sup>-fold), catalysis is achieved entirely by rendering the entropy of activation more favorable. Indeed, the ribosome actually renders the enthalpy of activation less favorable.<sup>20,21</sup> The dominance of entropy in this case appears to be in keeping with the relative importance of substrate specificity, rather than rate enhancement, in the function of the ribosome.

It seems reasonable to infer that the enthalpic basis of catalysis by most modern enzymes may reflect their origin in more primitive catalysts for which a reduction of  $H^{\ddagger}$  (rather than an increase in T  $S^{\ddagger}$ ) offered a selective advantage in a gradually cooling environment. The means by which that was accomplished would have varied from case to case, but typically involves the formation of new electrostatic and hydrogen bonds in the transition state.<sup>16</sup>

# References

- 1. Wolfenden R. Analog approaches to the transition state in enzyme reactions. Accts Chem Res. 1972; 5:10–18.
- Kahne D, Still WC. Hydrolysis of a peptide bond in neutral water. J Am Chem Soc. 1988; 10:7529– 7534.
- 3. Radzicka A, Wolfenden R. Rates of uncatalyzed peptide bond hydrolysis in neutral solution and the transition state affinities of proteases. J Am Chem Soc. 1996; 118:6105–6109.
- Bryant RAR, Hansen DE. Direct measurement of the uncatalyzed rate of hydrolysis of a peptide bond. J Am Chem Soc. 1996; 118:5498–5499.
- 5. Radzicka A, Wolfenden R. A proficient enzyme. Science. 1995; 267:90–93. [PubMed: 7809611]
- Lad C, Williams NH, Wolfenden R. The rate of hydrolysis of phosphomonoester dianions and the exceptional catalytic proficiencies of protein and inositol phosphatases. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2003; 100:5607–5610. [PubMed: 12721374]
- 7. Thompson, W. Popular lectures and addresses. London: Macmillan; 1871. On the origin of life; p. 197-205.(Lord Kelvin) 1871.
- Schopf JW. Fossil evidence of Archaean life. Phil Trans Roy Soc B. 2006; 361:869–885. [PubMed: 16754604]
- Harcourt AV, Essen WT. On the laws of connexion between the conditions of a chemical change and its amount. Phil Trans Roy Soc Lond. 1866; 157:117–137.

- Roughton FJW. The kinetics and rapid thermochemistry of carbonic acid. J Am Chem Soc. 1941; 63:2930–2934.
- Stockbridge RB, Lewis CA Jr, Yuan Y, Wolfenden R. Impact of temperature on the time required for the establishment of primordial biochemistry, and for the evolution of enzymes. Proc. Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010; 107:22102–22105. [PubMed: 21123742]
- 12. Woese K. Bacterial evolution. Microbiol Rev. 1987; 51:221-271. [PubMed: 2439888]
- Di Giulio M. The late stage of genetic code structuring took place at high temperature. Gene. 2003; 261:189–195. [PubMed: 11164050]
- 14. Risso VA, Gavira JA, Mejia-Carmona DF, Gaucher EA, Sanchez-Ruiz JM. Hyperstability and substrate promiscuity in laboratory resurrections of precambrian β-lactamases. J Am Chem Soc. 2013; 135:2899–2902. [PubMed: 23394108]
- 15. Snider MG, Temple BS, Wolfenden R. The path to the transition state in enzyme reactions: a survey of catalytic efficiencies. J Phys Org Chem. 2004; 17:586–591.
- 16. Wolfenden R, Snider M, Ridgway C, Miller B. The temperature dependence of enzyme rate enhancements. J Am Chem Soc. 1999; 121:7419–7420.
- Zabinski RF, Toney MD. Metal ion inhibition of nonenzymatic pyridoxal catalyzed decarboxylation and transamination. J Am Chem Soc. 2001; 133:193–198. [PubMed: 11456503]
- Bracken K, Moss RA. Remarkably rapid cleavage of a model phosphodiester by complexed cerium ions in aqueous micellar solutions. J Am Chem Soc. 1997; 119:9323–9324.
- Maldonado AL, Yatsimirsky AK. Kinetics of phosphodiester cleavage by differently generated cerium (IV) oxo species in neutral solution. Org Biomol Chem. 2005; 3:2859–2867. [PubMed: 16032364]
- Sievers A, Beringer M, Rodnina MV, Wolfenden R. The ribosome as an entropy trap. Biochemistry. 2004; 101:7897–7901.
- 21. Schroeder GK, Wolfenden R. The rate enhancement produced by the ribosome: an improved model. Biochemistry. 2007; 46:4037–4044. [PubMed: 17352494]



#### Figure 1.

Free energy diagram for enzyme catalysis, showing the path of the nonenzymatic reaction (red) and the enzyme reaction (green). Estimation of the dissociation constant of the enzyme from the altered substrate in the transition state requires knowledge of the rate constant of the uncatalyzed reaction.

rate constant  
k (s<sup>-1</sup>)  

$$10^{-10}$$
  
peptide hydrolysis  $t_{1/2} = 7$  years  
triosephosphate isomerization  $t_{1/2} = 2$  days  
chorismate mutation  $t_{1/2} = 7$  hours  
 $10^{-5}$   
peptide cis-trans isomerization  $t_{1/2} = 23$  seconds  
CO<sub>2</sub> hydration  $t_{1/2} = 5$  seconds  
 $t_{1/2} = 10$  milliseconds

Figure 2.

Rate constants and the half-lives of nonenzymatic reactions at 25 °C known in 1994.



#### Figure 3.

Arrhenius plot for peptide hydrolysis at pH 7, showing points gathered at elevated temperatures (inset) and a single point measured at 25 °C (bullseye).

Wolfenden



## Figure 4.

Rate constants for reactions in the presence and absence of enzymes, plotted on a logarithmic scale. The length of each red line represents the rate enhancement produced by each enzyme, identified by abbreviations shown on the right.







#### Figure 6.

Arrhenius plots for the enzymatic and nonenzymatic reactions catalyzed by  $\alpha$ -glucosidase. The bold segments of the lines show the range of temperatures over which rate measurements were conducted.



#### Figure 7.

Variation with temperature of two catalysts, one of which (A) enhances a reaction's entropy of activation (blue) without affecting the enthalpy of activation, while the other (B) lowers the enthalpy of activation (orange) without affecting the entropy of activation.



#### Figure 8.

Rate enhancements produced by some nonenzymatic catalysts, compared with some enzymes (right margin).

# Table 1

Effects of nonenzymatic catalysts on thermodynamics of activation (kcal/mol, where  $G^{\ddagger} = H^{\ddagger} - T S^{\ddagger}$ ).<sup>11</sup> In each case, the effect of the catalyst on  $H^{\ddagger}$  is favorable (**green**), but its effect on T S<sup>‡</sup> is unfavorable (**red**).

Phosphate monoester hydrolysis, pH 7	k (s <sup>-1</sup> ) (25 °C)	G <sup>‡</sup> (25 °C)	H‡	T S <sup>‡</sup> (25 °C)
4-npP <sup>-2</sup>	$3.4  imes 10^{-9}$	29.0	27.6	-1.4
4-npP:Ce <sup>IV</sup>	$5.2  imes 10^{-5}$	23.2	12.1	-11.1
rate enhancement	$1.5  imes 10^4$	-5.8	-15.5	-9.7
MeP <sup>-2</sup>	$2 \times 10^{-20}$	44.3	47.0	2.7
MeP <sup>-2</sup> Ce <sup>IV</sup>	$1.9  imes 10^{-6}$	25.2	6.3	-18.9
rate enhancement	9.6 × 10 <sup>13</sup>	-19.1	-40.7	-21.6
decarboxylation, pH 9				
(3)				
serine	$2.0  imes 10^{-12}$	32.9	25.3	-7.6
serine:PLP	$9.4 imes10^{-8}$	26.9	16.5	-10.4
rate enhancement	$2.2 \times 10^4$	-6.0	-8.8	-2.8
AIB	$1.1  imes 10^{-18}$	41.8	39.9	-1.9
AIB:PLP	$7.1  imes 10^{-8}$	27.1	13.0	-14.1
rate enhancement	$6.5  imes 10^{10}$	-14.7	-26.9	-12.2

footnotes to Table 2:

- **1.**  $4\text{-npP}^{-2} = 4\text{-nitrophenyl phosphate dianion.}$
- 2.  $MeP^{-2} = methyl phosphate dianion.$
- **3.** PLP = pyridoxal phosphate.
- **4.** AIB = 2-aminoisobutyric acid.