NIH PUDIIC ACCESS

Author Manuscript

St Bioorg Med Chem Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 September 15

Antitumor agents. 258. Syntheses and evaluation of dietary

antioxidant – taxoid conjugates as novel cytotoxic agents

Kyoko Nakagawa-Goto^a, Koji Yamada^a, Seikou Nakamura^a, Tzu-Hsuan Chen^a, Po-Cheng Chiang^a, Kenneth F. Bastow^b, Shao-Chun Wang^c, Bill Spohn^c, Mien-Chie Hung^c, Fang-Yu Lee^d, Fang-Chen Lee^d, and Kuo-Hsiung Lee^{a,*}

aNatural Products Research Laboratories, School of Pharmacy, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, USA

bDivision of Medicinal Chemistry and Natural Products, School of Pharmacy, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, USA

cDepartment of Molecular and Cellular Oncology, The University of Texas, MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Boulevard Box 108, Houston, Texas 77030, USA and Center for Molecular Medicine, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan

dYung-Shin Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., Ta-Chia, Taichung, Taiwan

Abstract

Various dietary antioxidants, including vitamins, flavonoids, curcumin, and a coumarin, were conjugated with paclitaxel (1) through an ester linkage. The newly synthesized compounds were evaluated for cytotoxic activity against several human tumor cell lines as well as the corresponding normal cell lines. Interestingly, most tested conjugates selectively inhibited the growth of 1A9 (ovarian) and KB (nasopharyngeal) tumor cells without activity against other cell lines. Particularly, conjugates 16 and 20 were highly active against 1A9 (ED₅₀ value of 0.005 μ g/mL) as well as KB (ED₅₀ values of 0.005 and 0.14 μ g/mL, respectively) cells. Compound 22b, the glycinate ester salt of vitamin E conjugated with 1, appears to be a promising lead for further development as a clinical trial candidate as it exhibited strong inhibitory activity against Panc-1 (pancreatic cancer) with less effect on the related E6E7 (normal) cell line.

Keywords

Conjugation; Paclitaxel; Antioxidant; Cytotoxicity

In current cancer therapy, undesirable side effects due to toxicity of antitumor drugs on normal tissues present an important problem to be solved. Therefore, a challenging research focus in cancer treatment is the discovery of efficient antitumor drugs with high therapeutic indexes, which will have selective activity against target tumors and reduced normal tissue damage. Among various strategies to improve drug selectivity, conjugation of cytotoxic drug components has proven to be a promising approach to enhance the activity as well as selectivity of an individual lead compound.¹ This concept is now accepted as an effective strategy for designing ligands, inhibitors, and other drugs that influence biological systems.² On the basis

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: 919-962-0066, fax: 919-966-3893.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

of this theory, some interesting results have been reported by our group³ as well as others⁴ in recent years. In our prior study, we explored the syntheses and evaluation of heterodimer conjugates, which combined two kinds of antitumor drugs through various linkages, as novel antitumor agents.⁵

Dietary anti-oxidants, such as flavonoids and vitamins A, C, and E that are found in various foods, can act as cancer preventive agents. These compounds are capable of neutralizing and deactivating reactive oxygen species, which can seriously damage DNA and other cellular molecules, thereby causing tumors.⁶ Antioxidants act not only as cancer preventive agents, but also as therapeutic biologic response modifiers, and are able to directly induce apoptosis in established tumor cells. In addition, evidence shows that antioxidants can enhance chemotherapeutic antitumor effects.⁷

Therefore, conjugation of antitumor drugs with dietary antioxidants might provide new classes of antitumor drug candidates with tumor selectivity or activity against multi-drug resistant cancer cell lines. We chose paclitaxel (1)⁸ as the antitumor base compound and various antioxidants as the conjugate partners as shown in Figure 1. Vitamins,⁶ such as retinol (vitamin A, 2a)^{9,10} and α -tocopherol (vitamin E, 3),^{9,11} are well known dietary antioxidants. Compound **4** was also selected as a related vitamin E analog. Other known antioxidant agents, including curcumin (5)^{6,12} found in turmeric, dehydrozingerone (6)¹³ isolated from ginger, and its analog **7**, were also used. In addition, antioxidant flavonoids^{6,14} and coumarins^{6,15} are widely found in various vegetables, fruits, nuts, coffee, tea or wine, and representative compounds [galangin (8), chrysin (9), and 4-methylumbelliferone (10), respectively] were conjugated with **1**.

Paclitaxel (1) was reacted first with succinic anhydride in pyridine to give 11,¹⁶ which has a succinate ester at the C-2' position. However, esterification of 11 with 2a provided an unstable compound; therefore, retinoic acid (2b, vitamin A acid) was reacted directly with 1 to afford 12. Conjugations of 11 with other antioxidants, 3–10, were carried out by a common esterification method using EDCI in the presence of DMAP to give 13–20. Water solubility, which is connected with oral bioavailability, is always of concern for drug discovery and development. Accordingly, the resulting conjugates, 12–14 and 16–18, were converted to the corresponding glycinate esters, 21–26. These esters can be converted to various salts. As discussed later, the paclitaxel-vitamin E conjugate (13) showed good activity against a pancreatic cancer cell line with less effect against the related normal cell line. Therefore, in order to increase water-solubility, conjugate 13 was converted first to various amine (22, 27, and 28) or carboxylic (29) esters and then to the corresponding hydrochloride (22a, 27a, 28a), methanesulfonate (22b, 28b), or triethylammonium (29a) salts as shown in Scheme 2.

The newly obtained conjugates were evaluated for cytotoxic activity against several human tumor cell lines. Interestingly, conjugates **12**, **14**, and **16–20** and the glycinate esters **21** and **23–26** selectively inhibited the growth of 1A9 and KB cells (data shown in Table 1), without inhibition of the remaining cell lines [lung carcinoma (A549), breast cancer (MCF-7), prostate carcinoma (LN-CAP, PC-3, DU-145) and multi-drug resistant variant expressing P-glycoprotein KB-VIN]. Conjugates **16** (paclitaxel-dehydrozingerone) and **20** (paclitaxel-coumarin) exhibited the highest potency against these two cell lines (1A9: ED₅₀ 0.005 µg/mL; KB: ED₅₀ 0.005 and 0.14 µg/mL, respectively). Moreover, against KB cells, glycinate ester **26** showed significantly increased cytotoxic activity (ED₅₀ 0.09 µg/mL) compared to the related parent compound **18** (ED₅₀ 0.26 µg/mL), even though glycinate esters **23-25** showed similar or lower activity compared with the parent compounds **14**, **16**, and **17**.

Conjugate **13**, its C-7 esters **22** and **27–29**, their corresponding salts, and conjugate **15** were evaluated for cytotoxic activity against A549, 1A9, colon adenocarcinoma (HCT-8),

epidermoid skin carcinoma (A431), KB, and KB-VIN cell lines. The data are shown in Table 2. The ED₅₀ values of 1, 3 or 5, and a 1:1 mixture of 1 with 3 or 5 are also shown for comparison. The 1:1 mixtures of 1 with 3 or 5 showed similar results to 1 itself against A549, A431 and 1A9 cells but were less potent against HCT-8 cells. However, the related conjugates 13 and 15 showed unique selectivity. Vitamin E conjugate 13 lost cytotoxic activity against most cell lines, and inhibited the growth of only the A431 cell line with an ED₅₀ value of 0.1 μ g/mL. In comparison, curcumin conjugate 15 showed similar potencies to 1 against A549, 1A9, A431, KB and KB-VIN cells, but lost activity against HCT-8 cells. Among the C-7 esters/salts of conjugate 13 (22 and 27–29), only the glycinate ester 22, succinic ester 29, and their salts displayed cytotoxic activity against the A431 cell line with similar ED₅₀ values to the parent compound 13.

Conjugate **13** and its related esters/salts were also screened against multiple human tumor as well as the corresponding normal cell lines. Figure 2 shows selected data after 48 h. Conjugate **13** demonstrated less growth inhibition of normal pancreatic cells (E6E7) than related tumor cells (Panc-1), whereas growths of other normal cells were inhibited more or as strongly than the related tumor cells. Glycinate ester salt **22b** also showed less inhibition of E6E7 growth and the highest potency against Panc-1, compared with the other esters/salts,

In conclusion, we have synthesized various 1-antioxidant conjugates linked through an ester bond at the 2'-position of 1. All conjugates were screened against various tumor cell lines and showed tumor-selective activity. Most conjugates selectively inhibited the growth of 1A9 and KB tumor cells and lacked activity against other tested cell lines. On the other hand, conjugate 13 showed cytotoxic activity only against A431, while conjugate 17 lacked any activity against HCT-8 while retaining similar activity to 1 against the other tumor cell lines. Salt 22b exhibited inhibitory activity against Panc-1 with less effect on the related normal cell. Compound 22b appears to be a promising new lead for further development into a clinical trial candidate. In summary, the conjugation of 1 with dietary antioxidants enhanced tumor selectivity dependant on the identity of the partner compound.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by grant CA17525 from the National Cancer Institute, NIH, awarded to K. H. L.

References and notes

- a) Langer M, Kraz F, Rothen-Rutishause B, Wunderli-Alemnspach H, Beck-Sickinger AG. J Med Chem 2001;44:1341. [PubMed: 11311056] b) Safavy A, Bonner JA, Waksal HW, Buchsbaum DJ, Gillespie GY, Khazaeli MB, Arani R, Chen DT, Carpenter M, Raisch KP. Bioconjugate Chem 2003;14:302.
- 2. Choi, SK. Synthetic Multivalent Molecules. Wiley-Interscience; New York: 2004.
- 3. a) Bastow KF, Wang HK, Cheng YC, Lee KH. Bioorg Med Chem 1997;5:1481. [PubMed: 9313854] b) Chang JY, Guo X, Chen HX, Jiang Z, Fu Q, Wang HK, Bastow KF, Zhu XK, Guan J, Lee KH, Cheng TC. Biochem Pharm 2000;59:497. [PubMed: 10660116] c) Shi Q, Wang HK, Bastow KF, Tachibana Y, Chen K, Lee FY, Lee KH. Bioorg Med Chem 2001;9:2999. [PubMed: 11597482] d) Ohtsu H, Nakanishi Y, Bastow KF, Lee FY, Lee KH. Bioorg Med Chem 2003;11:1851. [PubMed: 12659771]
- 4. For example: a) Milas L, Mason KA, Hunter N, Li C, Wallace S. Int J Radiation Oncology Biol Phys 2003;55:707. b) Kuznetsova L, Chen J, Sun L, Wu X, Pepe A, Veith JM, Pera P, Bernacki RJ, Ojima I. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2006;16:974. [PubMed: 16298526] c) Danieli B, Giardini A, Lesma G, Passarella D, Peretto B, Sacchetti A, Silvani A, Pratesi G, Zunino F. J Org Chem 2006;71:2848. [PubMed: 16555841]
- Nakagawa-Goto K, Nakamura S, Nyarko A, Peng CY, Bastow KF, Lee KH. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2007;17:2894. [PubMed: 17350834]

- Recent reviews for dietary antioxidants and cancers: a) Drisko JA, Chapman J, Hunter VJ. Gynecologic Oncology 2003;88:434. [PubMed: 12648599] b) Borek C. Integrative Cancer Therapies 2004;3:333. [PubMed: 15523104] c) Collins AR. Eur J Cancer 2005;41:1923. [PubMed: 16111883] d) Kaliora AC, Dedoussis GVZ, Schmit H. Atherosclerosis 2006;187
- 7. a) Park CH, Amare M, Savin MA, Hoogstraten B. Cancer Res 1980;40:1062. [PubMed: 6928398] b) Chinery R, Brockman JA, Peeler MO, Shyr Y, Beauchamp RD, Coffey RJ. Nat Med 1997;3:1233. [PubMed: 9359698] c) Prasad KN, Kumar A, Kochupillai V, Cole WC. J Am Coll Nutr 1999;18:13. [PubMed: 10067654] d) Conklin KA. Nutr Cancer 2000;37:1. [PubMed: 10965514]
- 8. a) Wani MC, Taylor HL, Wall ME, Coggon P, MacPhail AT, Sim GA. J Am Chem Soc 1971;93:2325.
 [PubMed: 5553076]Itokawa, H.; Lee, KH. Taxus. Taylor & Francis; London and New York: 2003.
- 9. Debier C, Larondelle L. British J Nutr 2005;93:153.
- 10. Napoli JL. Encyclopedia of Biological Chemistry 2004;4:354.
- 11. Niki E. Oxidative Stress and Disease 2006;21:217.
- a) Anto RJ, Kuttan G, Babu KVD, Rajasekharan KN, Kuttan R. Int J Pharm 1996;131:1. b) Ahsan H, Parveen N, Khan NU, Hadi SM. Chem Biol Interact 1999;121:161. [PubMed: 10418962] c) Sakano K, Kawanishi S. Arch Biochem Biophys 2002;405:223. [PubMed: 12220536]
- a) De Bernardi M, Vidari G, Vita-Finzi P. Phytochemistry 1976;15:1785. b) Motohashi N, Ashihara Y, Yamaguchi C, Saito Y. Mutat Res 1997;377:17. [PubMed: 9219575]
- 14. a) Woodman OL, Chan ECH. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 2004;31:786. [PubMed: 15566394] b) Moon YJ, Wang X, Morris ME. Toxicol in Vitro 2006;20:187. [PubMed: 16289744]
- Lacy A, O'Kennedy R. Current Pharmaceutical Design 2004;10:3797. [PubMed: 15579072] b) Irena K. Mini Rev Med Chem 2006;6:365. [PubMed: 16613573]
- Deutsh HM, Glinski JA, Hernandez M, Haugwits RD, Narayanan VL, Suffness M, Zalkow LH. J Med Chem 1989;32:788. [PubMed: 2564894]




Figure 1.






Figure 2.

Liver human hepatomacarcinoma (SKHep), normal liver epithelial cell (Chang Liv), human ovarian carcinoma (2774), normal surface ovarian epithelial cell (IOSE), human pancreatic cancer cell (Panc 1), normal ovarian epithelial cell (E6E7), human lung cancer cell (H1299), normal human fibroblast (W138), breast cancer (MCF-7) and normal breast epithelial cell (MCF-10A).



Scheme 1.

Page 8



Scheme 2.

	Table 1
Cytotoxic activity data for taxol conjugates 12, 14	4 , 16–21 , 23–26 [ED ₅₀ (μg/mL)] ^{<i>a,b</i>}

	Cell	Line		Cell	Line
Conjugate	1A9 ^c	KB ^c	Glycinate Ester	1A9	KB
12	0.49	0.20	21	4.47	7.65
14	0.20	0.94	23	1.01	9.79
16	0.005	0.005	24	0.63	0.70
17	0.14	0.39	25	0.19	0.34
18	0.13	0.26	26	0.11	0.0
19	0.20	0.47			
20	0.005	0.14			
Control					
1	0.001	0.002			

 a Cytotoxicity as ED₅₀ values for each cell line, the concentration of compound that caused 50% reduction in absorbance at 562 nm relative to untreated cells using the sulforhodamine B assay.

^bAll compounds tested did not reach 50% inhibition against human lung carcinoma (A549), breast cancer (MCF-7), human prostate carcinoma (LN-CAP, PC-3, DU-145), and multi-drug resistant KB variant expressing P-glycoprotein (KB-VIN).

^CHuman ovarian carcinoma (1A9), human epidermoid carcinoma of the nasopharynx (KB).

Compound			ED ₅₀ (μg/mL)	g/mL)		
	A549	1A9	HCT-8 ^a	$A431^{a}$	KB	KB-VIN
1	0.02	0.01	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.2
3 (Vitamin E)	NA^{b}	NA	NA	0.75	NA	NA
13 (1 + 3 Conjugate)	NA	NA	NA	0.1	NA	NA
1+3 (1:1 Mixture)	0.02	0.01	0.20	0.01	0.01	0.1
22	NA	NA	NA	0.2	NA	NA
22a, 22b	NA	NA	NA	0.1	NA	NA
27, 27a, 28, 28a, 28b	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
29	NA	NA	NA	0.1	NA	NA
29a	NA	NA	NA	0.2	NA	NA
5 (Curcumin)	NA	NA	NA	0.75	NA	NA
15 (1+5 Conjugate)	0.03	0.03	NA	0.03	0.01	0.2
1+5 (1:1 Mixture)	0.03	0.01	0.10	0.01	0.01	0.2

^aEpidermoid skin carcinoma (A431), colon adenocarcinoma (HCT-8); for other cell lines, see Table 1.

 $b_{NA} = Not active.$