

NIH Public Access Author Manuscript

Anal Chim Acta. Author manuscript: available in PMC 2008 December 1

Published in final edited form as: *Anal Chim Acta*. 2007 December 12; 605(1): 70–79.

An Immunoaffinity Tandem Mass Spectrometry (iMALDI) assay for Detection of *Francisella tularensis*

Jian Jiang¹, Carol E. Parker², James R. Fuller², Thomas H. Kawula³, and Christoph H. Borchers^{2,4,*}

1 Curriculum in Applied and Materials Sciences, UNC-CH, Chapel Hill, NC

2Dept. of Biochemistry and Biophysics, UNC-CH, Chapel Hill, NC

3Dept. of Microbiology, UNC-CH, Chapel Hill, NC

4Dept. of Biochemistry and Microbiology, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada

Abstract

Francisella tularensis (*F. tularensis*) has been designated by the CDC as one of the ten organisms most likely to be engineered for bioterrorism. Symptoms of tularenia in humans are non-specific, thus making the disease difficult to diagnose. If not quickly diagnosed and treated, the disease has a high mortality rate - thus methods for early and specific diagnosis are of critical importance.

This immunoaffinity MALDI MS/MS (iMALDI) assay provides unambiguous detection of *F*. *tularensis* peptides at attomole levels from peptide solutions, and at low CFU levels from bacteria. The addition of stable-labeled versions of the peptide as internal standards allows absolute quantitation of *F*. *tularensis* peptides with a linear dynamic range spanning two orders of magnitude. The ability of mass spectrometry to obtain amino acid sequence data on affinity-captured peptides provides absolute specificity and avoids "false positives" from the non-specific binding. The *F*. *tularensis* iMALDI assay has been applied to different samples, such as nasal swabs.

This novel quantitative diagnostic *F. tularensis* iMALDI assay allows the safe, sensitive and specific detection of *F. tularensis*. The assay can be easily adapted to other target peptides and therefore has broad application potential in clinical diagnosis of other pathogens and diseases.

Keywords

Francisella tularensis; immuno Tandem Mass Spectrometry; MALDI; quantitation; iMALDI

1. Introduction

Tularemia is caused by *Francisella tularensis* (*F. tularensis*), a small, nonmotile, aerobic Gram-negative cocco-bacillus. Although normally causing a relatively rare tick-borne disease in humans, *F. tularensis* has the potential to be engineered for bioterrorism. The bacteria can penetrate unbroken skin, can survive and multiply within macrophages, and can then spread to various organs through the blood [1]. Ten bacteria injected subcutaneously [2], 10-50 on

^{*}Author correspondence: Department of Biochemistry & Microbiology, University of Victoria - Genome British Columbia Proteomics Centre, #3101-4464 Markham Street, Vancouver Island Technology Park, Victoria, BC, Canada V8Z 7X8, Tel.: 250-483-3221, Fax: 250-483-3238, Christoph@proteincentre.com

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

contact with unbroken skin [3], 10-50 given by aerosol [4,5], or 10^2 to 10^8 bacteria by ingestion [3] are sufficient to cause infection. Humans who have direct physical contact with infected animals or insects, or have inhaled aerosolized bacteria, have a good chance of becoming infected. Untreated, the mortality rate can be 30% [6].

Initial non-specific flu-like symptoms usually appear 3 days after exposure [7], and general laboratory tests (CRP, LDH, alkaline phosphatase, leukocytes, etc.) are insufficient for diagnosis. Because early antibiotic therapy (with streptomycin or gentamicin) can greatly reduce the lethality rate [8], an immediate diagnosis of an infection with *F. tularensis* is critical. Because of the virulence of *F. tularensis*, the severity of the disease, the rapidity of progression from initial onset to seriousness or death, and the high mortality rate, several agencies [9] have been concerned about the weaponization of *F. tularensis*, especially the use of aerosolized bacteria by terrorists as an airborne pathogen [10-12]. *F. tularensis* has been designated as one of the organisms most likely to be engineered for bioterrorism [13], and one of the six "category A bioterrorism organisms" [1,11,14,15].

Bacteriological methods can be used for detecting *F. tularensis* [16], but culturing the organism is difficult [2,4,10,17], time-consuming (sometimes taking several days), and is potentially hazardous to laboratory personnel [18]. Moreover, several studies have shown [19] that the sensitivities and specificities of these methods are low (note: "specificity" means that there are no "false positives" - *i.e.*, the test gives a positive result only for the target organism).

Since culturing *F. tularensis* is difficult, serological tests such as the bacterial microagglutination (MA) test, have been used to diagnose tularemia, but this takes one week for measurable levels of antibodies to develop, and an additional week for sufficient antibody levels for a reliable test [10,20-23]. Antibodies against *F. tularensis* may cross react with other organisms such as *Brucella, Proteus OX19*, and *Yersinia spp.* [10], which reduces the specificity and could lead to false positives. More recently, another type of serological test, an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), achieved a detection limit of 10³ bacteria mL⁻¹ in PBS and 10⁴ bacteria mL⁻¹ in human serum [24]. However, when samples are contaminated with other microorganisms [25], accurate diagnosis with ELISA or culturing methods is very difficult due to their low specificities.

PCR is a molecular method for detection of *F. tularensis* that usually only takes several hours per reaction. A hand-held PCR assay could detect *F. tularensis* in 3 hours, and achieved the analytical sensitivity of 100 bacteria mL⁻¹ PBS or $10^3 - 10^4$ bacteria mL⁻¹ serum [26]. However, PCR may give false positives from contamination with other DNA, which lowers its specificity. Moreover, simultaneous assaying for multiple species or virulence factors by PCR is difficult [27].

In this paper, we describe a peptide-based immunoaffinity MALDI mass spectrometry (iMALDI) assay for detection of *F. tularensis*, which circumvents many of the problems associated with traditional detection methods. It is based on capture of the *F. tularensis* IgIC aa 49-61 peptide. This *F. tularensis* peptide assay is capable of fast, safe, sensitive, and specific detection of *F. tularensis* in PBS solution. It can be used for absolute quantitation of target peptides and, therefore, for absolute quantitation of their parent proteins. We also demonstrate the applicability of iMALDI to the detection of *F. tularensi* in clinical samples, such as human plasma and nasal swabs.

2. Experimental section

In iMALDI (Figure 1) [28] anti-peptide antibodies are first produced and immobilized on affinity beads. Next, the proteome of interest is proteolytically digested. Isotopically-labeled epitope-containing peptides, called "heavy" peptides, are added into the digest as internal

standards for quantitation. The digest, containing both the labeled "heavy" peptides and the unlabeled native ("light") peptides, is incubated with the antibody beads and both types of peptides are adsorbed. After immuno-adsorption, the antibody-beads are arranged in a microarray/spot format on the MALDI-target plate. MALDI matrix solution is then added, which enables the elution of the affinity-bound peptides from the immobilized antibodies permitting MALDI analysis of the peptides. The relative abundances of the molecular ion signals corresponding to the original "light" and "heavy" peptides are used for quantification. Absolute specificity can be achieved by mass spectrometric sequencing of the epitope-containing peptide, using MALDI-MS/MS.

2.1. Target protein

The 23kDa protein, IgIC, from *F. tularensis* bacteria is encoded by *igIC*, whose sequence has no significant homology to any other gene present in the GenBank database [29]. Live Vaccine Strain (LVS) bacteria, at a concentration of 2.25×10^9 CFU (Colony Forming Units) mL⁻¹ in 70% ethanol, were used. The total protein concentration of the bacteria sample was 700ng mL⁻¹ as determined with an ELX800 Universal Microplate Reader.

2.2. Nasal swab samples

Mice were inoculated intranasally with 1×10^5 LVS. Two days post inoculation, a small, moist, alginate swab was used to rub across the nostrils of the mice. The swab was then swirled in a tube containing 100 µL PBS. Next, 100 µL of 95% ethanol was added to the sample to ensure inactivation.

2.3. Tryptic digestion

Digestion of human plasma (UNC blood bank) was carried out in 25mM ammonium bicarbonate (Sigma) at 37°C overnight. A ~1:10 enzyme:substrate ratio of trypsin (Sequencing-grade modified trypsin, Promega) to protein was used. The digested human plasma was spiked with *F. tularensis* peptides or a bacterial digest to mimic clinical samples. Before digestion, the nasal swab solution was diluted with ammonium bicarbonate until the final concentration of ethanol was 38%, which is compatible with tryptic digestion.

2.4. Antibody production and immobilization of antibodies on beads

Four *F. tularensis* IgIC tryptic peptides, which are absolutely unique to, and thus diagnostic of *F. tularensis*, were selected for their high sensitivity in the MALDI-MS mode (Figure 2 and Table 1). These four peptides were synthesized by Sigma-Genosys. Antibodies were raised against each peptide, and tested by ELISA to determine the detection sensitivities/efficiencies. The peptide that showed the highest binding efficiency was selected for use in the *Francisella* peptide assay, and the corresponding antibody was purified by Sigma-Genosys.

The anti-peptide antibody was then immobilized on CNBr-activated sepharose beads (Amersham Pharmacia) according to the manufacturer's instructions [30]. Briefly, by reacting with the primary amine groups on the antibody, the sepharose beads covalently linked to the antibody. Excess binding sites on the beads are blocked by incubation with an amine-containing buffer, (in this case, Tris). The process was completed by a series of washes at alternating pH.

2.5. Immuno-adsorption protocol

An aliquot of settled antibody-bead slurry (1-5 μ L) was added to a compact reaction column (USB) and washed 4-5 times with 400 μ L of 0.1× PBS. A bacterial digest or synthetic F. tularensis IglC peptide [CNIVAIEGGEDVTK, aa 49-61, calculated MW=1446.71 (note: the N-terminal cysteine, C, is not part of the native sequence, but was added to facilitate antibody production)] was dissolved in water/buffer and diluted to a series of concentrations, *e.g.* 25 ng

Page 4

 μ L⁻¹, 5 ng μ L⁻¹, 0.5 ng μ L⁻¹, *etc.* A 20 μ L aliquot of each solution was incubated separately with a small amount (1~5 μ L) of antibody-beads to immuno-adsorb the epitope-containing peptide. Peptide solutions or a bacterial digest spiked into a plasma digest mixture were used to mimic clinical samples. Three negative control experiments were performed by incubating (a) unconjugated agarose beads with PBS; (b) unconjugated agarose beads with synthetic peptide or bacteria digest sample; and (c) antibody-beads with PBS, respectively.

After incubation for 2-4 h at room temperature with end-to-end rotation on a "Labquake" rotator (Lab Industries), the beads were washed 6 times with 400 μ L of freshly-made 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate. When high levels of non-specific binding were expected in complex samples such as plasma, the beads were washed 3 times with 400mM of NaCl (Sigma) followed by 3 times with 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate. Then the beads were re-suspended in a small volume of 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (1-5 μ L) and an aliquot of the beads (0.5 μ L) was spotted directly onto the MALDI target as described in the Results and Discussion section.

2.6. Isotopic labeling for absolute quantitation

The *F. tularensis* IgIC aa 49-61 peptide ⁴⁹NIVAIEGGEDVTK⁶¹ (calculated MW=1343.69) containing an isotopically-labeled value at position 59 (underlined) (the "heavy" peptide) was synthesized at the UNC Peptide Synthesis Facility, using a ¹³C-labeled fmoc Value purchased from Isotec/Sigma-Aldrich according to the fmoc approach described in details elsewhere [31]. The increase in mass from the unlabeled ("light") *F. tularensis* IgIC aa 49-61 peptide was 6 Da.

2.7. Absolute quantitation

The "heavy" peptide, dissolved in water (HPLC grade, Pierce) was used as an internal standard. Heavy peptide was spiked into a *F. tularensis* bacterial digest in various amounts, immuno-adsorbed on anti-*F. tularensis* IgIC peptide antibody beads, and analyzed by MALDI-MS of the beads on the MALDI target.

2.8. Mass spectrometric analysis

MALDI-MS experiments were performed on Bruker Daltonics' (Billerica, MA) Reflex III and Ultraflex MALDI-TOFs, using Bruker's Anchor-chip MALDI-target plates (400 or 600 μ m/ 384 spot format). MS/MS analyses were carried out on an Applied Biosystems Voyager 4700 (Framingham, MA) MALDI-TOF/TOF. The matrix used for all experiments was recrystallized (from hot methanol) α -cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (HCCA) (Sigma). A saturated solution of HCCA in 50:49.9:0.1 acetonitrile (Caledon Laboratories): water (HPLC grade, Pierce): trifluoroacetic acid (Pierce) was used. A small volume (1-5 μ L) of ammonium bicarbonate (ABC) solution (50mM) was added for easy placement of the beads on the MALDI target. Following placement of the antibody beads on the target, 0.3-0.5 μ L of HCCA matrix was added, and the spot was allowed to dry at room temperature.

3. Results and discussion

The iMALDI technique belongs to a general category of techniques which couples affinity capture with direct mass spectrometric analysis of target proteins (Experimental section and Figure 1) [32-49]. In iMALDI, anti-*peptide* antibodies are immobilized on sepharose beads for affinity capture, rather than on the surface of a plate, thus eliminating the need for special surface chemistry. Additional advantages of using affinity beads are less-stringent sample storage requirements after antibody immobilization, fewer complications resulting from denaturation of the capture antibody in solution, and the ability to incubate several types of beads with small volumes of tryptic-digested biological fluid instead of "soaking" the entire target. Because peptides are more stable and less susceptible to denaturation, the iMALDI

should be more reproducible from sample to sample than the techniques based on anti-protein antibodies, and sample storage requirement should not be as stringent.

Unlike conventional affinity capture/elution/MALDI techniques [33,34], the "stable isotope standards with capture by anti-peptide antibodies" (SISCAPA) approach [35], or on-target elution followed by removal of affinity beads as was done by Li [50], in iMALDI the affinity beads are placed directly on the MALDI target and analyzed without prior elution of the bound analytes [32-49], which greatly reduces the sample loss and therefore increases the detection sensitivity. In iMALDI, after immuno-adsorption, the antibody beads are arranged in a microarray/spot format on the MALDI-target plate. Addition of MALDI matrix solution releases the affinity-bound peptides from the immobilized antibodies permitting MALDI-analysis of the peptides. Multiple samples can be analyzed simultaneously by mass spectrometry as an array of different anti-peptide antibody beads on a MALDI target. Furthermore, since complete inactivation of the bacteria can be achieved by enzymatically digesting the lysate at the time of sample collection, the iMALDI technique carries low risk to laboratory personnel.

3.1. Sensitivity

3.1.1. Detection of F. tularensis bacteria in PBS solution—By direct MALDI-MS analysis of the beads, the detection limit for the synthetic *F. tularensis* IgIC peptide (cysteine was added for antibody production) was determined to be in the low attomole range (14 attomoles) in buffer (HPLC-grade water or PBS). Without enrichment by iMALDI, in MALDI-MS analysis, the detection sensitivity of the peptide in buffer was in the low femtomole range (17 femtomoles) (Figure 3). In the enrichment process, the peptides are bound to antibody beads, which greatly reduces losses due to adsorption by tubes and tips. Moreover, when spotted on the target plate, even after elution by the matrix solution, the peptides do not spread out all over the spot area. Instead, they tend to aggregate at "hot spots", and when the laser is focused at these "hot spots", greatly increased detection sensitivities can be obtained.

The *F. tularensis* iMALDI assay, based on the anti-*F. tularensis* IgIC, aa49-61 antibody, can also detect the target *F. tularensis* peptide from a bacterial digest. The target *F. tularensis* peptide was determined in a bacterial digest equivalent to 80 bacteria. The bacteria were digested and incubated with a small aliquot $(1-5 \ \mu L)$ of anti-aa 49-61 NIVAIEGGEDVTK antibody beads. One tenth of the antibody beads were spotted on the MALDI target plate and analyzed directly. Although there were signals from some unknown impurities or non-specifically bound peptides from, for example, the bacterial digest, the target peptide was still detectable by its mass. The singly-charged, epitope-containing tryptic peptide (aa 49-61 NIVAIEGGEDVTK) from the IgIC protein was observed at m/z = 1344.7 (Figure 4). Therefore the detection limit for bacteria in PBS solution is determined to be 8 CFU bacteria on target by using 50% of the antibody beads incubated with 50 μ L of a bacterial solution in PBS, at a concentration of 320 CFU mL⁻¹, which is as low as the most sensitive detection systems yet developed [51,52]. It should be noted that 8 CFU does not represent the lowest possible detection limit in real applications, but is an estimate of the lowest possible detection limit of our method, as the tryptic digestion of the protein equivalent of 8 CFU might be difficult.

3.1.2. Detection of F. tularensis bacteria in blood—The following detection limits were determined for the synthetic *F. tularensis* IglC, aa49-61 and *F. tularensis* bacteria spiked into blood samples (plasma): 69 attomoles of the *F. tularensis* IglC aa49-61 peptide and 800 CFU of bacteria (Figure 5) on target by using 50% of the antibody beads incubated with 125μ L of spiked plasma, at a concentration of 1 femtomole mL⁻¹ IglC aa49-61 peptide and 1280 CFU mL⁻¹ bacteria, respectively. Although this method is not as sensitive for the detection of *F. tularensis* bacteria in blood as it is for the detection of bacteria in PBS solution, the

detection limit is still comparable to most ELISA methods [24,53]. However, our technology has the advantage of greater safety because we are detecting bacterial *peptides* after the bacteria have been inactivated by lysis and proteolysis. In addition, our technology has higher specificity, since tandem mass spectrometry is a molecular approach with sequencing capabilities to provide absolute identification of the target molecule.

Due to the high virulence of bioterrorism pathogens, high sensitivity is one of the most important requirements in order to avoid "false negative" results. The sensitivity in plasma (800 CFU) is lower than in PBS solution (*ca.* 10 CFU bacteria), may be due to incomplete digestion and to high levels of non-specific binding of other plasma proteins which can suppress the ion signal from the target peptide. Optimized sample preparation protocols may alleviate this problem. In particular, a major advantage of this MS/MS-based technique is that the proteins which are the source of these non-specifically-bound peptides can be identified from the MS/MS spectra of their peptides, and depletion protocols can be specifically designed to remove these proteins prior to digestion and affinity capture of the target peptides [54]. Improved sample preparation protocols, and improvements in mass spectrometric detection technology, should lead to routine detection sensitivities of ~10-100 attomoles of peptide.

3.1.3. Detection of F. tularensis bacteria in Nasal swab samples—The current technique is also usable for nasal swab analyses. Nasal swabs are widely used in clinical tests, and are a simple and useful method for collecting a wide range of respiratory viruses. The collection of a nasal swab is easy and painless, and it can easily be performed in remote locations [55]. In the mouse nasal swab samples collected 2 days after inoculation, a very low level of target peptide (m/z=1344.7) was detected (Figure 6a). The absence of the signal for this peptide in the uninfected mouse samples supports these results (Figure 6b). MS/MS was not performed on the ion at m/z=1344.7 because the ion abundance was below the instrument threshold for MS/MS acquisition. No bacteria were observed in a culture of the nasal swab solution from the infected animal (which later developed tularemia), thus demonstrating that iMALDI could detect *F. tularensis* at levels too low for successful culturing of the bacteria.

3.2. Specificity

By "specificity" of the assay, we mean that the target peptide is unique to the organism (as determined by a BLAST search of the peptide sequence). Thus the detection of this peptide, at the appropriate MW and with the correct sequence is a positive indication of the presence of *F. tularensis*. The iMALDI can provide this specificity by combining two molecular characteristics of the epitope-containing peptides: i) the molecular weight, typically measured by MALDI-MS within an error of ≤ 100 ppm and ii) the amino acid sequence, determined by performing MS/MS on the same sample.

We have demonstrated that this iMALDI technology is able to accurately determine the molecular weight of an immunoaffinity-enriched *F. tularensis* peptide from a proteolytic digest of *F. tularensis* bacteria in PBS solution and blood. The molecular weight determination was accurate to within 60 ppm. Sequence information on the affinity-bound peptide was also obtained by MALDI-MS/MS (Figure 7a). MS/MS analysis produces high-abundance sequence-specific ions, resulting in an almost complete amino acid sequence of the peptide. These sequence-specific ions allow confident assignment of the target peptide (Figure 7b). Using the existing NCBInr database (2006.02.16), searching with only MS data, we retrieved 74,528 hits out of more than 3 million entries; in contrast, searching with combined MS and MS/MS data, resulted in only one hit. This demonstrates the specificity of this iMALDI technique for detecting *F. tularensis*. Here, we used polyclonal antibodies because of their ready availability. Polyclonal antibodies often contain more than a single epitope within a particular stretch of amino acids, and therefore, are able to capture even modified peptides

since they are likely to contain an unmodified stretch of amino acids. This makes this detection method tolerant of small sequence variations. On the other hand, the use of polyclonal antibodies might lead to cross-reactivity with peptides from other species. This could decrease specificity and/or the detection limit of our method. This issue could be resolved by using a several monoclonal antibodies, or perhaps even both types of antibodies, for the final clinical version of this technique.

3.3. Quantitation

Mass spectrometry is well suited for peptide/protein quantitation. MS can perform *relative* quantitation using reference peptides from two different samples that have been differentially-labeled with stable isotopes, or *absolute* quantitation if a known amount of a stable-isotope labeled peptide has been added as an internal standard.

To determine the absolute concentration of a given peptide in a sample, and, therefore, the absolute concentration of the original protein, as is done in isotope dilution-mass spectrometry (ID-MS) [56], synthetic stable-isotopically labeled "heavy" peptide is used as an internal standard. These heavy peptides are identical in amino acid sequence to the native epitope-containing "light" peptides from the protein in the sample, but are higher in mass. They are added to the proteolytically-digested protein sample prior to incubation with the immobilized anti-peptide antibody. Following incubation, MALDI-MS analysis of the antibody beads shows doublets of ion signals from the "light" and "heavy" peptides. The relative abundances of the molecular ion signals corresponding to the "light" and "heavy" peptides are used to quantify the amount of the "light" peptide and therefore its parent protein in the original sample.

For quantifying *F. tularensis* IgIC protein, the *F. tularensis* IgIC aa 49-61 peptide ⁴⁹NIVAIEGGEDVTK⁶¹ was synthesized with an isotopically-labeled value at position 59 (underscored). This "heavy" peptide (H) is identical in amino acid sequence to the native epitope-containing "light" peptide (L), but is 6 Da higher in mass (m/z=1350.7) due to 6 ¹³C's in place of 6 ¹²C's. In these experiments, the heavy peptide was added in various amounts (100 femtomoles - 5 picomoles) to a proteolytic digestion of *F. tularensis* bacteria in PBS solution, and was immuno-adsorbed using the anti-*F. tularensis* IgIC aa49-61 antibody immobilized on affinity beads (Figure 8a-d). Analysis of an aliquot of these beads spotted directly on the MALDI target reveals monoisotopic protonated molecular ions from the two peptides, separated by 6 Da. Figure 8e shows a linear correlation (R²=0.9988) between the ratio of the two peptides (H/L) and the amount of H spiked in as internal standard over near two orders of magnitude (from 100 femtomoles to 5 picomoles). The nearly perfect linear correlation demonstrates the stability of iMALDI for the absolute quantitation of *F. tularensis* peptides using an internal standard.

Compared to the traditional culturing method and microagglutination (MA) test [2,4,10,17, 20-23], iMALDI is more rapid, sensitive and specific. The peptide sequencing capability of tandem mass spectrometry in iMALDI provides higher molecular specificity than ELISA. The sensitivity of iMALDI is comparable to the most sensitive PCR method [26], but may not be as rapid when the digestion and incubation time is considered. However, the digestion time of iMALDI can be greatly reduced by using immobilized trypsin [57], and the incubation time can be reduced by using an antibody with high affinity. Moreover, compared to other methods, iMALDI has higher safety, better absolute quantitation capabilities, and improved high-throughput analysis capability which significantly reduce the cost per sample. One major disadvantage of iMALDI is that its overall quality highly depends on the affinity and specificity of the antibody. However, this problem should be able to be solved by using different strategies of antibody optimization, or alternative affinity-capture materials such as aptamers [58,59].

4. Conclusions

We have shown that the combination of two mass spectrometric approaches (MALDI-MS and MALDI-MS/MS), inherent in our iMALDI approach, can unambiguously identify affinitybound peptides, and therefore, permits specific detection of *F. tularensis* bacteria. The *F. tularensis* iMALDI can detect *F. tularensis* bacteria in PBS solution at a sensitivity of better than 10 CFU of bacteria. Since both the molecular weight and the sequence of the bacterial peptide is determined at high accuracy, the high sensitivity of this technique means a low rate of "false negatives", and the high specificity means a low rate of "false positives". In addition, the iMALDI *F. tularensis* peptide assay is adequate for accurate and absolute quantitation of the target protein concentration. With the addition of robotic liquid handling systems, it would be an even safer technique, as well as being capable of high-throughput analyses. This would make iMALDI an extremely valuable tool for the early detection of *F. tularensis* and other bioterrorist agents.

Acknowledgements

We thank the UNC-Duke Michael Hooker Proteomics Center (which was partially supported by a gift from an anonymous donor) and the UNC Peptide Synthesis Core. We also thank the Southeast Regional Center of Excellence for Emerging Infections and Biodefense (SERCEB, 5U54AI057157-04) for funding for this project.

References

- [1]. Gallagher-Smith M, Kim J, Al-Bawardi R, Josko D. Clin. Lab. Sci 2004;17:35. [PubMed: 15011979]
- [2]. Saslaw S, Eigelsbach HT, Wilson HE, Prior JA, Carhart S. Arch. Intern. Med 1961;107:689. [PubMed: 13746668]
- [3]. Dedie, K.; Bockemuehl, J.; Kuehn, H.; Volkmer, K-J.; Weinke, T. Ferdinand Enke Verlag. Stuttgart: 1993. p. 363
- [4]. Saslaw S, Eigelsbach HT, Prior JA, Wilson HE, Carhart S. Arch. Intern. Med 1961;107:702. [PubMed: 13746667]
- [5]. Hornick R. N. Engl. J. Med 2001;345:1637. [PubMed: 11757513]
- [6]. Karwa M, Bronzert P, Kvetan V. Critical Care Clinics 2003;19:279. [PubMed: 12699324]
- [7]. Ohara Y, Sato T, Fujita H, Ueno T, Homma M. Infection 1991;19:14. [PubMed: 2013502]
- [8]. Penn, RL. Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases. 4th Edition. Tularensis, F., editor. Churchill Livingstone: 1995. p. 2060
- [9]. Khan AS, Morse S, Lillibridge SR. Lancet 2000;356:179. [PubMed: 10963190]
- [10]. Evans, D.; Freidlander, AM. Textbook of Military Medicine, Office of The Surgeon General. Bellamy, RF., editor. Department of the Army, United States of America; Bethesda, MD: 1997. p. 503
- [11]. Dennis DT, Inglesby TV, Henderson DA, Bartlett JG, Ascher MS, Eitzen E, Fine AD, Friedlander AM, Hauer J, Layton M, Lillibridge SR, McDade JE, Osterholm MT, O'Toole T, Parker G, Perl TM, Russel PK, Thonat K. JAMA 2001;285:2763. [PubMed: 11386933]
- [12]. Ellis J, Oyston PCF, Green ME, Titball RW. Clin. Microbiol. Rev 2002;15:631. [PubMed: 12364373]
- [13]. Firmani MA, Broussard LA. Expert Rev. Mol. Diagn 2003;3:605. [PubMed: 14510181]
- [14]. http://www.vet.uga.edu/vpp/IVM/ENG/Modes/bioagents.htm
- [15]. Khan AS, Ashford DA. N. Engl. J. Med 2001;345:287. [PubMed: 11474670]
- [16]. 1999. www.microbes-edu.org/professionel/diag/francisella.htm
- [17]. Chu, MC.; Weyant, R. Manual of Clinical Microbiology. 8th edition. Murray, PR.; Baron, EJ.; Jorgensen, JH.; Pfaller, MA.; Yolken, RH., editors. American Society for Microbioloy; Washington, DC: 2003.
- [18]. Pike RM. Health Lab. Sci 1976;13:105. [PubMed: 946794]
- [19]. Junhui Z, Ruifu Y, Jianchun L, Songle Z, Meiling C, Fengxiang C, Hong C. J. Med. Microbiol 1996;45:477. [PubMed: 8958253]

- [20]. Evans ME, Gregory DW, Schaffner W, McGee ZA. Medicine; analytical reviews of general medicine, neurology, psychiatry, dermatology, and pediatrics 1985;64:251.
- [21]. Sato T, Fujita H, Ohara Y, Homma M. J. Clin. Microbiol 1990;28:2372. [PubMed: 2229367]
- [22]. Koskela P, Salminen A. J. Clin. Microbiol 1985;22:973. [PubMed: 4066925]
- [23]. Syrjala H, Koskela P, Ripatti T, Salminen A, Herva E. J. Infect. Dis 1986;153:142. [PubMed: 3941279]
- [24]. Grunow R, Splettstoesser W, McDonald S, Otterbein C, O'Brien T, Morgan C, Aldrich J, Hofer E, Finke E-J, Meyer H. Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol 2000;7:86. [PubMed: 10618283]
- [25]. Kischel, N. Vergleich von ELISA, Immunfluoreszenz, Durchflußzytometrie, Westernblot und Agglutinationstest zum Nachweis von Serumantikörpern gegen Francisella tularensis. München: 2003. Dissertation eingereicht bei: Technische Universität München, Fakultät für Medizin, 2002-05-23
- [26]. Emanuel PA, Bell R, Dang JL, McClanahan R, David JC, Burgess RJ, Thompson J, Collins L, Hadfield T. J. Clin. Microbiol 2003;41:689. [PubMed: 12574268]
- [27]. Yang S, Rothman RE. Lancet Infect. Dis 2004;4
- [28]. Jiang J, Parker CE, Hoadley KA, Perou CM, Boysen G, Borchers CH. Proteomics. 2007Accepted
- [29]. www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Genbank/
- [30]. Warren EN, Jiang J, Parker CE, Borchers CH. BioTechniques 2005;38:S7.
- [31]. Warren MRE, Parker CE, Mocanu V, Klapper DG, Borchers CH. Rapid Communic. Mass Spectrom 2005;19:429.
- [32]. Jiang J, Parker CE, Robinette D, Borchers CH. BIOforum Europe 2006:18.
- [33]. Niederkofler EE, Tubbs KA, Gruber K, Nedelkov D, Kiernan UA, Williams P, Nelson RW. Anal. Chem 2001;73:3294. [PubMed: 11476228]
- [34]. R.W.K. Nelson JR, Bieber AL, Williams P. Anal. Chem 1995;67:1153. [PubMed: 15134097]
- [35]. Anderson NL, Anderson NG, Haines LR, Hardie DB, Olafson RW, Pearson TW. J. Proteome Res 2004;3:235. [PubMed: 15113099]
- [36]. Niederkofler EE, Tubbs KA, Gruber K, Nedelkov D, Kiernan UA, Williams P, Nelson RW. Anal. Chem 2001;73:3294. [PubMed: 11476228]
- [37]. Brockman AH, Orlando R. Anal. Chem 1995;67:4581. [PubMed: 8633790]
- [38]. Tubbs KA, Kiernan UA, Niederkofler EE, Nedelkov D, Bieber AL, Nelson RW. Anal. Chem 2006;78:3271. [PubMed: 16689526]
- [39]. Nedelkov D. Expert Rev. Proteomics 2006;3:631. [PubMed: 17181477]
- [40]. L.H. Cazares GW Jr, Leung SM, Nasim S, Adam BL, Yip TT, Schellhammer PF, Gong L, Vlahou A. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis 1999;2:264. [PubMed: 12497173]
- [41]. Hlavaty J, Partin A, Kusinitz F, Shue M, Stieg A. Clin. Chem. 2001e. al.
- [42]. Paweletz CP, Trock B, Pennanen M, Tsangaris T, Magnant C, Liotta LA, Petricoin EF 3rd. Dis. Markers 2001;17:301. [PubMed: 11790897]
- [43]. Vlahou A, Schellhammer PF, Mendrinos S, Patel K, Kondylis FI, Gong L, Nasim S, Wright GL Jr, Jr. Am. J. Pathol 2001;158:1491. [PubMed: 11290567]
- [44]. Petricoin E, Ardekani A, Hitt B, Levine P, Fusaro V., Lancet 2002;359:572. [PubMed: 11867112] e. al.
- [45]. Parker C, Papac D, Trojak S, Tomer K. J. Immunol 1996;157:198. [PubMed: 8683115]
- [46]. Papac DI, Hoyes J, Tomer KB. Anal. Chem 1994;66:2609.
- [47]. Raska C, Parker C, Sunnarborg S, Pope R, Lee D. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom 2003;14:1076.[PubMed: 14530088]e. al.
- [48]. Raska CS, Parker CE, Dominski Z, Marzluff WF, Glish GL, Pope RM, Borchers CH. Anal. Chem 2002;74:3429. [PubMed: 12139050]
- [49]. Hutchens TW, Yip TT. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom 1993;7:576.
- [50]. Schriemer DC, Li L. Anal. Chem 1996;68:3382. [PubMed: 8843136]
- [51]. Versage JL, Severin DDM, Chu MC, Petersen JM. J. Clin. Microbiol 2003;41:5492. [PubMed: 14662930]

- [52]. Grunow R, Splettstoesser W, McDonald S, Otterbein C, O'Brien T, Morgan C, Aldrich J, Hofer E, Finke EJ, Meyer H. Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol 2000;7:86. [PubMed: 10618283]
- [53]. Viljanen MK, Nurmi T, Salminen A. J. Infect. Dis 1983;148:715. [PubMed: 6631062]
- [54]. Scarlett, CO.; Mocanu, V.; Jiang, J.; Lessey, BA.; Dicheva, NN.; Parker, CE.; Borchers, CH. Proceeding of the 53rd Annual Conference on Mass Spectrometry and Allied Topics; San Antonio, TX. June 5-9, 2005; 2005.
- [55]. Heikkinen T, Marttila J, Salmi AA, Ruuskanen O. J. Clin. Microbiol 2002;40:4337. [PubMed: 12409425]
- [56]. Barr JR, Maggio VL, Patterson DG Jr. Cooper GR, Henderson LO, Turner WE, Smith SJ, Hannon WH, Needham LL, Sampson EJ. Clin. Chem 1996;42:1676. [PubMed: 8855153]
- [57]. Freije JR, Mulder PPMFA, Werkman W, Rieux L, Niederlander HAG, Verpoorte E, Bischoff R. J. Proteome Res 2005;4:1805. [PubMed: 16212436]
- [58]. Xu W, Ellington AD. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1996;93:7475. [PubMed: 8755498]
- [59]. McCauley TG, Hamaguchi N, Stanton M. Anal. Biochem 2003;319:244. [PubMed: 12871718]



Figure 1. Analytical scheme of the iMALDI assay

Epitope-containing peptides, ⁴⁹NIVAIEGGEDVTK⁶¹, synthesized using isotopically-labeled amino acid V, are added to the proteolytic digest of *F. tularensis* bacteria and are subsequently incubated with the anti-aa49-61 (*F. tularensis* IgIC) antibody beads to immunoadsorb both isotopically-labeled and unlabelled peptides. After immunoadsorption of the differentially-labeled peptides, the antibody beads are arranged in a microarray/spot format on the MALDI-target plate. MALDI matrix solution added to the affinity-bound peptides elutes the peptides from the immobilized antibodies, permitting MALDI analysis of the peptides. The relative abundances of the molecular ion signals corresponding to heavy (isotopically-labeled) and light (unlabelled) peptides are used to quantify the amount of this protein in the original sample. Absolute specificity can be achieved by mass spectrometric sequencing of the epitope-containing peptide, using MALDI-MS/MS.



Figure 2. Selection of *F. tularensis* IgIC peptides for raising antibodies to be used for the *F. tularensis* iMALDI assay

MALDI-MS of proteolytic *F. tularensis* IgIC peptides obtained by in-solution digestion of IgIC with trypsin. Four "true" (C-terminal cleavage of K or R residues) tryptic peptides of IgIC (shown in blue) were selected for antibody production based on the high sensitivity in the MALDI-MS.



Figure 3. Detection sensitivity of synthetic *F. tularensis* IglC peptides in solution and using the *F. tularensis* iMALDI assay

MALDI-MS spectrum of synthetic *F. tularensis* IglC peptide CNIVAIEGGEDVTK **a**) in solution: a-1) 100 femtomoles; a-2) 1 femtomoles; a-3) 10 attomoles. **b**) affinity-bound to antiaa 48-61 (*F. tularensis* IglC) antibody beads: b-1) 138 femtomoles; b-2) 1.38 femtomoles; b-3) 13.8 attomoles.













a) MALDI-MS spectrum of peptides affinity-bound to anti-aa49-61 (*F. tularensis* IgIC) antibody beads, obtained after proteolysis of mouse nasal swab extracts collected two days after the mice were inoculated with *F. tularensis*. The singly-charged, epitope-containing, tryptic peptide, NIVAIEGGEDVTK, corresponding to aa 49-61 of the *F. tularensis* IgIC protein, was observed at m/z = 1344.7 (see inset). **b)** MALDI-MS spectrum of anti-aa 49-61 (*F. tularensis* IgIC) antibody beads obtained after proteolysis of nasal swab extracts collected from uninfected mice on the same day.



	Fragment ions	m/z observed	m/z theoretical	Mass accuracy (ppm)
В	b4	398.2089	398.24	-79
	b5	511.2995	511.32	-49
	b_{10}	998.4560	998.48	-24
	y ₆	648.3083	648.32	-19
	y7	705.3058	705.34	-51
	y ₈	834.3605	834.38	-29
	y 9	947.4389	947.47	-31
	y ₁₀	1018.4960	1018.51	-9.5
	y 11	1117.5164	1117.57	-52

Figure 7. Highly specific detection of *F. tularensis* bacteria in PBS solution by mass spectrometric sequencing of the immunoaffinity-enriched *F. tularensis* IgIC aa49-61 peptide, using the *F. tularensis* iMALDI assay

a) MALDI-MS/MS spectrum of the peptide at m/z = 1344.7 affinity-bound to anti-aa49-61(*F. tularensis* IglC) antibody beads, obtained after proteolysis of *F. tularensis* bacteria in PBS solution. All of the abundant ions can be assigned to sequence-specific y- and b-ions of the *F. tularensis* IglC peptide aa49-61, resulting in unambiguous identification of the immunoaffinity-enriched peptide. **b**) This table shows the mass accuracies of the fragment ions, demonstrating that the assignment of these ion signals is correct. This therefore

demonstrates that, using our *F. tularensis* iMALDI assay, *F. tularensis* bacteria can be detected with high specificity and correspondingly low "false positive" rates.



Figure 8. Quantitation of *F. tularensis* bacteria using the *F. tularensis* iMALDI assay Absolute quantitation of the IgIC peptide NIVAIEGGEDVTK (aa 48-61) (L, light peptide, m/ z = 1344.7) in a bacterial sample. *F. tularensis* bacteria were digested and incubated with different amounts of heavy peptides (H, m/z =1350.7) as internal standards: **a**) 0.1 picomoles, **b**) 0.5 picomoles, **c**) 1 picomoles, **d**) 5 picomoles, **e**) Plot of the observed ratios of monoisotopic abundances of H and L in the MALDI-MS spectra (a-d) versus the absolute amount of H added. Note, only a 10% aliquot has been used for the analysis.

Table 1

Affinity determination of the four anti-(F. tularensis IgIC) peptide antibodies against their epitope peptide by ELISA. The cysteine residue marked with asterisk has been added to the native sequence because of ease of conjugation with the carrier protein

Tryptic F.t. p23 peptides used antibody production	Anti-(F.t. p23) peptide Antibodies	ELISA response (titer)
 ¹⁵³AFSISIEPTELMGVS¹⁶⁷ ⁸QQVTSGETIHVR¹⁹ ⁴⁸C*NIVAIEGGEDVTK⁶¹ ⁶²ADSATAAASVIR⁷³ 	[(F.t. p23) aa153-167] [(F.t. p23) aa8-19] [(F.t. p23) aa48-61] [(F.t. p23) aa62-73]	1/50,000 1/3,000 1/500,000 1/10,000