

Nietzsche on Time and History

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008



authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

Nietzsche on Time and History

Edited by
Manuel Dries

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

Walter de Gruyter · Berlin · New York

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

♻️ Printed on acid-free paper which falls within the guidelines of the ANSI to ensure permanence and durability.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

A CIP catalogue record for this book is available from the Library of Congress.

ISBN 978-3-11-019009-0

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

© Copyright 2008 by Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, 10785 Berlin, Germany.
All rights reserved, including those of translation into foreign languages. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Printed in Germany

Cover design: Martin Zech, Bremen.

Printing and binding: Hubert & Co GmbH & Co KG, Göttingen.

If there is no goal in the whole of history of man's lot, then we must put one in: assuming, on the one hand, that we have need of a goal, and on the other that we've come to see through the illusion of an immanent goal and purpose. And the reason we have need of goals is that we have need of a will—which is the spine of us. 'Will' as the compensation of lost 'belief', i.e., for the idea that there is a divine will, one which has plans for us.

Friedrich Nietzsche, Nachlaß Summer 1886–Spring 1887, KSA 12, 6[9]

We are still growing continually, our sense of time and place, etc., is still developing.

Friedrich Nietzsche, Nachlaß April–June 1885, KSA 11, 34[124]
authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

'Timeless' to be rejected. At a particular moment of a force, an absolute conditionality of the redistribution of all forces is given: it cannot stand still. 'Change' is part of the essence, and therefore so is temporality—which, however, just amounts to one more conceptual positing of the necessity of change.

Friedrich Nietzsche, Nachlaß May–July 1885, KSA 11, 35[55]

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

Acknowledgements

The essays in this volume were first presented at the 15th International Conference of the Friedrich Nietzsche Society of Great Britain and Ireland, held at Peterhouse, Cambridge, in September 2005. I wish to take this opportunity to thank delegates and all those who participated in this event for the debates and critical discussions that shaped the research presented here.

I would further like to thank the Faculty of Philosophy and the Department of German at Cambridge for providing generous financial support. I am much indebted to Raymond Geuss, Simon Blackburn, Margaret Clare Ryan, and the Executive Committee of the Friedrich Nietzsche Society for their advice during the various stages of the editing of this volume.

Two of the contributions in this book are either drawn from or have appeared in full elsewhere, and this material appears with permission and my thanks. Raymond Geuss' article was previously published in his collection of essays *Outside Ethics* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2005). It is reprinted here with permission of Princeton University Press. Lawrence J. Hatab's article is drawn in parts from the text of his book *Nietzsche's Life Sentence: Coming to Terms with Eternal Recurrence* (New York: Routledge University Press, 2005). I thank Routledge for permission to publish the essay.

The four excerpts of printed music of Wagner, Bizet, and Stravinsky in Jonathan R. Cohen's essay appear here with permission of Dover Publishing, Chester Music Limited (Music Sales) and Schott Music GmbH & Co. KG. Every effort has been made to trace and contact copyright holders. If there are any inadvertent omissions I apologize to those concerned and undertake to include suitable acknowledgements in future editions.

Finally, I would like to thank Walter de Gruyter Publishers for taking on this volume, Gertrud Grünkorn, Christoph Schirmer, and Jana Pokorny for their patient support, and Angela Blackburn for copyediting the final manuscript.

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

Contents

Notes on Contributors	XI
Abbreviations and Translations	XIII

Nietzsche's Critique of Staticism	
Introduction to Nietzsche on Time and History	1
<i>Manuel Dries</i>	

Part I: Time, History, Method

Nietzsche's Cultural Criticism and his Historical Methodology	23
<i>Andrea Orsucci</i>	
Thucydides, Nietzsche, and Williams	35
<i>Raymond Geuss</i>	
The Late Nietzsche's Fundamental Critique of Historical Scholarship	51
<i>Thomas H. Brobjer</i>	

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

Part II: Genealogy, Time, Becoming

Nietzsche's Timely Genealogy: An Exercise in Anti-Reductionist Naturalism	63
<i>Tinneke Beeckman</i>	
From Kantian Temporality to Nietzschean Naturalism	75
<i>R. Kevin Hill</i>	
Nietzsche's Problem of the Past	87
<i>John Richardson</i>	
Towards Adualism: Becoming and Nihilism in Nietzsche's Philosophy	113
<i>Manuel Dries</i>	

Part III: Eternal Recurrence, Meaning, Agency

Shocking Time: Reading Eternal Recurrence Literally	149
<i>Lawrence J. Hatab</i>	
Suicide, Meaning, and Redemption	163
<i>Paul S. Loeb</i>	
Nietzsche and the Temporality of (Self-)Legislation	191
<i>Herman W. Siemens</i>	

Part IV: Nietzsche's Contemporaries

<i>Geschichte</i> or <i>Historie</i> ? Nietzsche's Second <i>Untimely Meditation</i> in the Context of Nineteenth-Century Philological Studies	213
<i>Anthony K. Jensen</i>	
'An Uncanny Re-Awakening': Nietzsche's Renaissance of the Renaissance out of the Spirit of Jacob Burckhardt	231
<i>Martin A. Ruehl</i>	

Part V: Tragic and Musical Time

Metaphysical and Historical Claims in <i>The Birth of Tragedy</i>	275
<i>Katherine Harloe</i>	
Nietzsche's Musical Conception of Time	291
<i>Jonathan R. Cohen</i>	
Index rerum et nominum	309

Notes on Contributors

TINNEKE BEECKMAN is postdoctoral researcher for the Fund of Scientific Research, Flanders. She works for the Department of Philosophy, University of Brussels, Belgium.

THOMAS H. BROBJER is Associate Professor in the Department of the History of Science and Ideas at Uppsala University, Sweden.

JONATHAN R. COHEN is Professor of Philosophy at the University of Maine in Farmington, USA.

MANUEL DRIES is Research Fellow at Wolfson College and the Faculty of Philosophy, University of Oxford, UK.

RAYMOND GEUSS is Professor of Philosophy at the University of Cambridge, UK.

KATHERINE C. HARLOE is a Career Development Fellow in Classics at St. Anne's College, University of Oxford, UK.

LAWRENCE J. HATAB is Louis I. Jaffe Professor of Philosophy at Old Dominion University, Virginia, USA.

R. KEVIN HILL is Associate Professor of Philosophy at Portland State University, USA.

ANTHONY K. JENSEN is a Visiting Assistant Professor in the Department of Philosophy at Xavier University in Cincinnati, Ohio, USA.

PAUL S. LOEB is Professor of Philosophy at the University of Puget Sound, USA.

ANDREA ORSUCCI is Professor of Philosophy at the University of Cagliari, Italy.

JOHN RICHARDSON is Professor of Philosophy at New York University, USA.

MARTIN A. RUEHL is University Lecturer of German at Sidney Sussex College, University of Cambridge, UK.

HERMAN SIEMENS is University Lecturer in Philosophy at the University of Leiden, The Netherlands.

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

Abbreviations and Translations

Friedrich Nietzsche's published and unpublished writings (Nachlaß) are quoted according to the following abbreviations:

- A *The Anti-Christ*, cited by section number.
- AOM 'Assorted Opinions and Maxims' (vol. 2, pt 1, of *Human, All Too Human*), cited by section number.
- BAW *Historisch-kritische Gesamtausgabe. Werke*, ed. Hans Joachim Mette, 5 vols. (Munich: C. H. Beck, 1933–1940), cited by volume and page number.
- BAB *Historisch-kritische Gesamtausgabe. Briefe*, ed. Hans Joachim Mette, 4 vols. (Munich: C. H. Beck, 1933–1940), cited by volume and page number.
- BGE *Beyond Good and Evil*, cited by section number.
- BT *The Birth of Tragedy*, cited by section number and KSA page number.
- CV 'Five Prologues to Five Unwritten Books', cited by number and KSA page number.
- CW *The Case of Wagner*, cited by section number.
- D *Daybreak*, cited by section number.
- EH *Ecce Homo*, cited by section heading and (when applicable) number.
- EI 'On the Future of Our Educational Institutions', cited by section number.
- GM *On the Genealogy of Morality*, cited by essay and section number.
- GS *The Gay Science*, cited by section number.
- HA *Human, All Too Human*, cited by volume and section number.
- CV 'Five Prefaces to Five Unwritten Books', cited by preface number and KSA page number.
- KGB *Briefwechsel. Kritische Gesamtausgabe*, ed. Giorgio Colli and Mazzino Montinari (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1975–), cited by volume and page number.
- KGW *Werke. Kritische Gesamtausgabe*, established by Giorgio Colli and Mazzino Montinari, ed. Wolfgang Müller-Lauter and Karl Pestalozzi (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1967–), cited by volume, part, and page number.

XIV

- KSA *Sämtliche Werke. Kritische Studienausgabe*, ed. Giorgio Colli and Mazzino Montinari (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1967–), cited by volume and page number. The Nachlaß is cited by date, KSA volume, notebook section, and fragment number.
- KSB *Sämtliche Briefe. Kritische Studienausgabe Briefe*, ed. Giorgio Colli and Mazzino Montinari (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1986–), cited by volume and page number.
- NCW *Nietzsche contra Wagner*, cited by section heading.
- OTL ‘On Truth and Lying in a Non-Moral Sense’, cited by KSA page number.
- PTAG ‘Philosophy in the Tragic Age of the Greeks’, cited by section number.
- TI *Twilight of the Idols*, cited by section heading and number.
- UM *Untimely Meditations*, cited by part and section number, and (when applicable) KSA page number.
- Z *Thus Spoke Zarathustra*, cited by part, section heading, and (when applicable) number.

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008
Note on Translations of Nietzsche’s Works

The contributors to this volume have used different translations of Nietzsche’s texts, often modified by the individual contributor. At the end of each essay the reader will find a list of the translations used. Where no such list has been provided the contributor has relied exclusively on his or her own translations. All translations from Nietzsche’s Nachlaß are usually by the individual contributors, although other translations have been consulted whenever possible, notably *The Will to Power*, trans. Walter Kaufmann and R. J. Hollingdale (New York: Random House, 1967), and *Writings from the Late Notebooks*, ed. Rüdiger Bittner, trans. Kate Sturge (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003).

Metaphysical and Historical Claims in *The Birth of Tragedy*

Katherine Harloe

What is *The Birth of Tragedy* about? From a contemporary critical perspective, the very attempt to pose this question may appear hopelessly naive. Even if the furthest reaches of the complex and varied history of the reception of Nietzsche's first book are ignored, debates among scholars over its coherence, content, and significance within Nietzsche's thought have shown no signs of abating, and Montinari's comment twenty-five years ago that 'the entire problem of interpretation of Nietzsche's philosophical firstling is still wide open' appears equally apposite today (Montinari 1980, p. 5). In this essay I wish to question an assumption which I believe has come increasingly to guide interpretation of *The Birth of Tragedy*, and which is common to many who hold very different substantive views of its content. This is the idea that it should be read primarily as a contribution to what was, admittedly, one of the major debates of German philosophy after Kant: that of the possibility of metaphysics. If this assumption is granted, the most important question to ask about Nietzsche's first book becomes whether or not he there asserts or denies the possibility of 'transcendent' knowledge of the ultimate nature of the world. Regardless of the substantive differences between the answers scholars have given to this question over the past few decades, agreement that *The Birth of Tragedy* is essentially an exercise in metaphysics has informed many influential readings.

Sometimes the assumption is very much a background presence in a discussion which focuses on different themes. Consider, for example, Alexander Nehamas' views as put forward in his book *Nietzsche: Life as Literature* (Nehamas 1985). His interpretation of Nietzsche places the doctrine of perspectivism centre-stage and is primarily concerned with the writings of the 1880s rather than the 1870s. *The Birth of Tragedy* is, however, mentioned in order to support the following observation:

Nietzsche seems to have believed that there are some ultimate facts, some non-interpretive truths, concerning the real nature of the world ... he denied that these facts could ever be correctly stated through reason, language, and science. Yet he also believed (and here the influence of Schopenhauer became dominant) that tragedy, primarily through the musically inspired, 'Dionysian' chorus, can intimate the final truth that the ultimate nature of the world is to

have no orderly structure: in itself the world is chaos, with no laws, no reason, and no purpose. (Nehamas 1985, pp. 42–43)

The Birth of Tragedy is here invoked as a document of Nietzsche's early faith in the possibility of metaphysics, and is thereby distinguished from the later writings, in which 'Nietzsche comes to deny the very contrast between things-in-themselves and appearance which was presupposed by his discussion of tragedy' (Nehamas 1985, p. 43). The assumption does rather more work in motivating the influential, deconstructive readings of *The Birth of Tragedy* offered by Paul de Man and Philippe Lacoue-Labarthe (de Man 1979, pp. 79–102; Lacoue-Labarthe 1971). As Henry Staten has convincingly shown, it is because de Man interprets *The Birth of Tragedy* as an attempt to depict an 'ontological hierarchy', according to which the Dionysian is genetically prior to the Apollonian, that his verdict on it as a text that is logocentric—and his consequent deconstruction—can operate (de Man 1979, pp. 83, 85; Staten 1990, pp. 187–216). More recently, James I. Porter has argued against the view that any metaphysical thesis is asserted in *The Birth of Tragedy* and in favour of reading it as an attempt 'to mimic and challenge—through a mixture of parody, irony, implausibility, and logical circularity—the metaphysical banalities that the work superficially conveys' (Porter 2000a, p. 87). While his reconstruction of the content of Nietzsche's argument could not be more opposed to that of Nehamas or de Man, his reinterpretation of Nietzsche as an anti-metaphysician nevertheless leaves the question of metaphysics in the foreground.

This first interpretative question is usually thought to be bound up closely with a second contested issue: the Schopenhauerianism of Nietzsche's first book. The connection seems straightforward enough: *The Birth of Tragedy*'s elaboration of the Apollonian-Dionysian polarity conspicuously deploys Schopenhauerian language, and Schopenhauer's magnum opus, *The World as Will and Representation* (Schopenhauer 1969 [English]; 1949a and b [German])¹, offers a systematic metaphysics in the traditional sense of a set of interconnected claims about the ultimate nature of the world. We might, therefore, take *The Birth of Tragedy*'s Schopenhauerianism as an indicator of its metaphysical commitment: insofar as Nietzsche's position there may justly be characterized as Schopenhauerian, he is defending a metaphysical thesis. It is my contention that this apparently plausible inference is in fact mistaken, and rests upon an oversimplification of what 'Schopenhauer' could have represented for Nietzsche at the time of

1 Translations from Schopenhauer's and Nietzsche's Nachlaß and letters are my own.

writing *The Birth of Tragedy*. Appreciating this leads us to recognize that *The Birth of Tragedy* may be ‘Schopenhauerian’ yet not ‘metaphysical’ in any straightforward sense.

My argument to this effect will proceed by means of a critique of one of the most recent attempts to give an overarching interpretation of *The Birth of Tragedy*: the aforementioned reading of Porter. Porter’s discussion is important as it exposes some of the puzzles and difficulties that arise when the interpretative question with which I began is answered in the affirmative. He is correct to insist that certain aspects of *The Birth of Tragedy*’s ‘narrative structure’—its language, imagery, and train of argument—call into question the notion that its author is ‘uncritically enthralled to a metaphysics that ... [he] later abandoned’ (Porter 2000a, p. 20). In reinterpreting *The Birth of Tragedy* as an ‘attack on metaphysics’ (ibid., p. 28), however, and equating this with an attack on Schopenhauer, Porter repeats what I suggest are a mistaken interpretative assumption and attendant oversimplification. By responding to his arguments, then, I hope to be able to indicate why both ways of answering the question of metaphysics in relation to *The Birth of Tragedy* miss what is really at issue.

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

It would, of course, be impossible to provide an adequate response to Porter in the course of this essay. This is not just because his reading of *The Birth of Tragedy* is based on an detailed and broad-ranging consideration of Nietzsche’s notebooks and early philological writings,² but also because he attributes to Nietzsche a deliberate strategy of what Quentin Skinner has termed ‘oblique reference’ (Skinner 1969, pp. 32–35). Put crudely, this is the writing of something one does not believe in order to disguise as well as to set out what one means to say. As Skinner points out, oblique strategies pose particular problems of interpretation, assessment of which requires close attention to the possible linguistic (textual) contexts of a particular work in order to decide whether its author is subverting or sustaining the ideas, generic conventions, topoi and so on, of his predecessors and contemporaries. Porter interprets *The Birth of Tragedy* as a subversive text; the immediate target of its critique is Wagnerian and Schopenhauerian metaphysics. My comments here are intended to draw attention to some aspects of the Schopenhauerian and Wagnerian linguistic

2 In addition to Porter 2000a, the focus of my discussion here, this reading is extended in Porter 2000b.

context, overlooked by Porter, which I believe support a different interpretation.

One of the cornerstones of Porter's reading is his interpretation of the Dionysian as a 'pleat in the texture of appearance' (2000a, p. 49; see pp. 33–50, *passim*) and hence of metaphysics as something 'generated from within' appearance itself. Repeatedly in *The Birth of Tragedy*, Nietzsche seems to claim that the Dionysian is ontologically prior to the Apollonian—'the eternal and original artistic power that first calls the whole world of phenomena into existence' (BT 25, quoted in Porter 2000a, p. 36). This message is, however, undermined by passages such as the allusion to Lucretius in *The Birth of Tragedy* 1, which implies that all divinities, Dionysus included, are the product of dreams. Just as much as Apollo, then, who is explicitly associated with dreams and deception, the opening section of *The Birth of Tragedy* provides a hefty hint that Dionysus is illusory: an aspect of human psychology rather than a constituent of the deeper reality behind appearances. Nevertheless—and this is the flip-side of Porter's reading—Nietzsche tells us that such illusions cannot simply be done away with. They are the product of the deep-seated human need to project some higher meaning onto existence.

These are noteworthy observations, but do they, as Porter thinks, amount to a decisive move away from a Schopenhauerian or Wagnerian position? Let us consider the crucial passage where Nietzsche states that 'As Lucretius envisages it, it was in dream that the magnificent figures of the gods first appeared before the souls of men' (BT 1, KSA 1, p. 26). The sentence continues by quoting Wagner:

In dream the great image-maker saw the delightfully proportioned bodies of superhuman beings; and the Hellenic poet, if asked about the secrets of poetic procreation, would likewise have reminded us of dream and would have given an account much like that given by Hans Sachs in the *Meistersinger*:

My friend, it is the poet's task
 To mark his dreams, their meaning ask.
 Trust me, the truest phantom man doth know
 Hath meaning only dreams may show:
 The arts of verse and poetry
 Tell nought but dreaming's prophecy. (*ibid.*)

In the following paragraph, which continues the theme of dreaming, we are referred to Schopenhauer:

Philosophical natures even have a presentiment that hidden beneath the reality in which we live and have our being there also lies a second, quite different reality; in other words, this reality too is a semblance, and Schopenhauer actually states that the mark of a person's capacity for philosophy is the gift of

feeling occasionally as if people and all things were mere phantoms or dream-images. (ibid.)

Porter is, I think, correct to interpret these passages as implicating the Dionysian and the supposedly higher reality it symbolizes in ‘appearances’, but how are we to read the specific allusions to Wagner and Schopenhauer in this context?

The immediate Schopenhauerian allusion is to a passage from his *Nachlaß*,³ but the theme is treated at greater length in volume 2 of *The World as Will and Representation*, in a chapter tellingly titled ‘On Man’s Need for Metaphysics’ (Schopenhauer 1969, vol. 2, pp. 160–187/1949b, pp. 175–209). There Schopenhauer talks of man as an *animal metaphysicum*, permanently afflicted by the desire for metaphysical knowledge. In the face of the evident suffering and misery of life, humans are compelled to wonder why the world exists. The desperate need for an answer to this question is, Schopenhauer says, the origin of all ‘metaphysical’ thought, both religious and philosophical:

Temples and churches, pagodas and mosques, in all countries and ages, in their splendour and spaciousness, testify to man’s need for metaphysics, a need strong and ineradicable, which follows close on the physical. (1969, vol. 2, p. 162/1949b, p. 177)

The difference between religion and philosophy does not consist in the claim, common to both, to embody a truth beyond appearances, but rather in their mode of presentation. Religions provide a ‘popular metaphysics’ resting upon revelation, and can be true solely *sensu allegorico*. Philosophy, by contrast, appeals to thought and conviction and claims to be true *sensu proprio* (1969, vol. 2, pp. 166–168/1949b, pp. 183, 185). Nevertheless, both arise from humans’ need, faced with the misery of life, to make ‘metaphysical assumptions’ about the existence of another world whose real character is separated by ‘a deep gulf, a radical difference’ from anything of which they can conceive (1969, vol. 2, p. 178/1949b, pp. 197, 198). Belief in metaphysical doctrines is, then, a human cognitive response to misery and helplessness in the face of existence, and both religion and philosophy, as forms of metaphysics, gain their content by a projection of the antithesis of the world of ‘appearances’ into an assumed beyond. In this

3 ‘He who does not feel occasionally as if people and all things were mere phantoms or dream-images has no gift for philosophy. For it arises out of the contrast of individual things with the Idea of which they are the appearance’ (Schopenhauer 1864, p. 295). An annotated copy of this work survives among Nietzsche’s personal effects, although the date at which he purchased it is unknown (see Oehler 1942, p. 21).

chapter of *The World as Will and Representation*, Schopenhauer presents a view of the origins of metaphysical thought which is surprisingly similar to Porter's interpretation of the hidden message of *The Birth of Tragedy* 1.

The heavily annotated copy of *The World as Will and Representation* which survives among Nietzsche's personal possessions is part of the *Collected Works* edited by Julius Frauenstädt and published in 1873–1874, after the appearance of *The Birth of Tragedy* (Oehler 1942, p. 21). While there can be no doubt that Nietzsche read *The World as Will and Representation* extensively in the years 1865–1872, it is impossible to prove which chapters he studied most attentively. It is, however, extremely likely that he was familiar with the chapter discussed above, as it contains Schopenhauer's problematic and much-commented-upon claim that, unlike the systems of his predecessors, his metaphysics is *not* transcendent:

And although no one can recognize the thing-in-itself through the veil of the forms of perception, on the other hand everyone carries this within himself, in fact he himself is it; hence in self-consciousness it must be in some way accessible to him, although still only conditionally. Thus the bridge on which metaphysics passes beyond experience is nothing but just that analysis of experience into phenomenon and thing-in-itself in which I have placed Kant's greatest merit. For it contains the proof of a kernel of the phenomenon different from the phenomenon itself. It is true that this kernel can never be entirely separated from the phenomenon, and be regarded by itself as an *ens extramundanum*; but it is known always only in its relations and references to the phenomenon itself. The interpretation and explanation of the phenomenon, however, in relation to its inner kernel can give us information about it which does not otherwise come into consciousness. Therefore in this sense metaphysics goes beyond the phenomenon, i.e., nature, to what is concealed in or behind it (*τὸ μετὰ τὸ φυσικόν*), yet always regarding it only as that which appears in the phenomenon, not independently of all phenomenon. Metaphysics thus remains immanent, and does not become transcendent; for it never tears itself entirely from experience, but remains the mere interpretation and explanation thereof, as it never speaks of the thing-in-itself otherwise than in its relation to the phenomenon. This, at any rate, is the sense in which I have attempted to solve the problem of metaphysics, taking into general consideration the limits of human knowledge which have been demonstrated by Kant. (Schopenhauer 1969, vol. 2, pp. 182–183/1949b, pp. 203–204)

This claim was interrogated by Rudolf Haym in his 1864 essay on Schopenhauer, which Nietzsche read in 1866.⁴

4 Nietzsche to Hermann Mushacke, 27 April 1866, KGB I 2, pp. 126–129; Nietzsche to Carl von Gersdorff, end-August 1866, KGB I 2, pp. 156–161 (see Barbera 1994).

The likely linguistic contexts of the appeal of *The Birth of Tragedy* 1 to Wagner complement this picture of congruence between Nietzsche's arguments and Schopenhauerian themes. The passage Nietzsche quotes centres around the paradoxical notion of the 'truest phantom' or 'illusion' (*wahrster Wahn*), and is taken from Act III of *Die Meistersinger*, in which *Wahn* is a prominent theme.⁵ It is therefore relevant to consider Wagner's letter to Ludwig II of Bavaria (Wagner 1911 [German]/1995 [English]), published in 1873 under the title *Über Staat und Religion*, which Nietzsche read in manuscript in 1869.⁶ In this letter, Wagner combines a Schopenhauerian metaphysical standpoint with a lengthy analysis of political and religious ideas as forms of *Wahn*, necessary illusions:

Blindness is the world's true essence, and not Knowledge prompts its movements, but merely a headlong impulse, a blind impetus of unique weight and violence, which procures itself just so much light and knowledge as will suffice to still the pressing need experienced at the moment. So we recognize that nothing really happens but what has issued from this not far-seeing Will that answers merely to the momentarily-expressed need. (1995, p. 10/1911, p. 8)

Humans are the unwitting instruments of this blind striving for existence, and both patriotism (which induces them to place the ends of state above their own egoistic goals) and religion (which counsels resignation in the face of the wretchedness of existence) are ruses by which they are induced to serve the ends of Will. This outlook leads Wagner to give the following analysis of religious feeling:

Its inmost kernel is denial of the world—i.e., recognition of the world *as a fleeting and dreamlike state reposing merely on illusion*—and struggle for Redemption from it, prepared-for by renunciation, attained by Faith. In true Religion a complete reversal thus occurs of all the aspirations to which the State had owed its founding and its organising: what is seen to be unattainable here, the human mind desists from striving-for upon this path, to ensure its reaching by a path entirely opposite. To the religious eye the truth grows plain that there must be another world than this, because the inextinguishable bent-to-happiness cannot be stilled within this world, and hence requires another world for its redemption. What, now, is that other world? So far as the conceptual faculties of human Understanding reach, and in their practical application as intellectual Reason, it is quite impossible to gain a notion that shall not

5 I am thinking in particular of Hans Sachs' famous *Wahn-monologue* at the end of act III, scene 1. The passage Nietzsche cites is from the beginning of act III, scene 2.

6 See Barbera 1994, p. 219 (no. 4). As late as 1873, Nietzsche thought fit to praise this work of Wagner's as 'in the highest sense "edifying"' (Nietzsche to Gersdorff, 2 March 1873, KSB II 3, p. 131).

clearly show itself as founded on this selfsame world of need and change: wherefore, since this world is the source of our unhappiness, that other world, of redemption from it, must be precisely as different from the mode of cognisance whereby we are to perceive that other world must be different from the mode which shews us nothing but this present world of suffering and illusion. (1995, pp. 23–24/1911, pp. 20–21, emphasis mine)

Religious feeling is awesome in nature—Wagner calls it ‘wonder-working’ (*wunderwirkend*) and ‘sublime’ (*erhaben*) (1995, p. 25/1911, p. 21), but is nonetheless illusion for all that. In explicitly associating religious thought with illusion and dream, Wagner goes further than Schopenhauer does in the passages I have quoted, but both the language and the content of this recognizably Schopenhauerian train of thought foreshadow those aspects of *The Birth of Tragedy* 1 that Porter emphasizes.⁷

If Porter’s argument that the Dionysian or the metaphysical originates as the compensatory fantasy of needy and suffering human beings is granted, it seems nevertheless that the elaboration of these thoughts in the opening sections of *The Birth of Tragedy* draws considerably on Schopenhauer’s treatment of the same theme. It is, moreover, not merely Nietzsche’s account of the *origins* of metaphysics that is Schopenhauerian in tenor. His discussion of the *resurgence* of the need for metaphysics in his contemporary era is also redolent of Schopenhauer. According to Nietzsche, this need is provoked anew by the eventual bankruptcy of the optimistic, ‘Socratic’ belief that science can provide a fully satisfactory explanation of the world (see especially BT 15, 18). The second half of Schopenhauer’s chapter ‘On Man’s Need for Metaphysics’ is likewise devoted to an extensive and scathing discussion of the ambitions of science to explain the world:

Naturalism, or the purely physical way of considering things, will never be sufficient, it is like a sum in arithmetic that never comes out. Beginningless and endless causal series, inscrutable fundamental forces, endless space, beginningless time, infinite divisibility of matter, and all this further conditioned by a knowing brain, in which alone it exists just like a dream and without which it vanishes—all these things constitute the labyrinth in which naturalism leads us incessantly round and round ... In fact, even if a man wandered through all the planets of all the fixed stars, he would still not have made one step in *metaphysics*. On the contrary, the greatest advance in *physics* will only

7 The connection between metaphysical ‘knowledge’ and dreams is treated at length in Schopenhauer’s essay on spirit-seeing (Schopenhauer 1960 [German]/1974 [English]). This discussion inspired Wagner’s 1870 centenary essay on Beethoven, which Nietzsche praises in the Preface to BT and in section 16 (KSA 1, pp. 23, 104).

make the need for a system of *metaphysics* felt more and more, since the corrected, extended, and more thorough knowledge of nature is the very knowledge that always undermines and finally overthrows the metaphysical assumptions that till then have prevailed. (Schopenhauer 1969, vol. 2, pp. 177–178/1949b, pp. 196–197)

Like Nietzsche, Schopenhauer is disdainful of the ambitions of science, and believes that it will eventually refute itself, provoking a return to metaphysical speculation. Not only are there general thematic parallels, but the very terms in which Nietzsche expresses the cultural importance of Socratism echo the cosmic imagery of Schopenhauer's contemptuous dismissal.⁸

An element of continuity with Schopenhauerian ideas is also, I would argue, implied by the imagery of veiling that Nietzsche uses to depict the insight offered by the Dionysian state:

Now, hearing this gospel of universal harmony, each person feels himself to be not only united, reconciled or merged with his neighbour, but one with him, as if the veil of maya had been torn apart, so that mere shreds of it flutter before the mysterious primordial unity. (BT 1, KSA 1, pp. 29–30; see too BT 15, KSA 1, pp. 98–99; BT 24, KSA 1, p. 150)

Porter points out that the veracity of this vision is far from assured, suggesting that the subjunctive character of the 'as if'-clause and the continued fluttering of the tattered veil imply that the Dionysian vision does not provide immediate insight into the beyond (2000a, pp. 51–52). He concludes that this represents a critique of Schopenhauer; but again, there are Schopenhauerian precedents. We have already seen Schopenhauer speak of 'the veil of the forms of perception' in *The World as Will and Representa-*

8 'For the first time, thanks to this universality, a common network of thought was stretched over the whole globe, with prospects of encompassing even the laws of the entire solar system' (BT 15, KSA 1, p. 100). They also contain echoes of Wagner. Nietzsche characterizes the Socratic instinct for scientific knowledge as a 'sublime metaphysical illusion' (BT 15, KSA 1, p. 99) and comments that without its influence, human energy would have been 'applied instead to the practical, i.e., egotistical goals of individuals and nations'. The 'wars of extinction' that would have ensued would have led to a generalized and suicidal pessimism of the kind which, Nietzsche claims, 'has existed throughout the entire world, wherever art has not appeared in one form or other, especially as religion or science, to heal and to ward off the breath of that pestilence' (BT 15, KSA 1, pp. 100; see also p. 102). Wagner had likewise argued that patriotic or political *Wahn* is still too close to individual egoism to be stable, and will collapse into war unless supplemented by the illusions of faith (1995, pp. 15–19/1911, pp. 12–14). Nietzsche's account of the way science functions as a form of illusion is thereby aligned with Wagner's discussion of religion.

tion II, chapter 17, when wrestling with the thorny issue of human beings' 'inner' experience of the thing-in-itself (1969, vol. 2, pp. 182–183, quoted above). He resorts to this metaphor again in the following chapter, this time to confess the impossibility of an unshrouded view:

Meanwhile it is to be carefully noted, and I have always kept it in mind, that even the inward observation we have of our own will still does not by any means furnish an exhaustive and adequate knowledge of the thing-in-itself ... in this inner knowledge, the thing-in-itself has indeed to a great extent cast off its veils, but still does not appear quite naked ... Accordingly we have to refer the whole world of phenomena to that one in which the thing-in-itself is manifested under the lightest of all veils, and still remains phenomenon only insofar as my intellect, the only thing capable of knowledge, still always remains distinguished from me as the one who wills, and does not cast off the knowledge-form of *time* even with inner perception. (Schopenhauer 1969, vol. 2, pp. 197, 198/1949b, pp. 220–221)⁹

These passages are taken from the second volume of *The World as Will and Representation*, which was added in the second edition of 1844 and forms a supplement to volume 1. There is no question that such statements are hard to reconcile with the confidence with which the thesis that the world is Will is presented in the first edition of Schopenhauer's work. It is nevertheless evident that the terms of what Porter sees a radical critique of Schopenhauer are available from Schopenhauer himself.

I have, I hope, succeeded in showing that allusions to these particular chapters of *The World as Will and Representation* are prominent at several points in *The Birth of Tragedy*. Nietzsche's selective allusions may fairly be said to emphasize this self-critical moment in Schopenhauer, but do they thereby amount to a wholesale rejection of whatever he may have understood the elder philosopher to stand for? An alternative interpretation is suggested by yet another apologia for the use of metaphysical language—this time from Nietzsche's own notebooks. The passage is from an early draft of Fragment 10[1], which survives labelled by Nietzsche as

9 The imagery of the veil has a long pedigree in German philosophical aesthetics, evoked by Kant Goethe, Schiller, Novalis, Hegel, and others. See Gombrich 1985 for some examples. The implication is always double-edged: a veil conceals as much as it reveals. It is this tradition that Nietzsche taps into with his remarks about the veiling and unveiling in BT 15 and in *The Gay Science* (GS Preface to the second edition 4, KSA 3, pp. 351–352; GS 57, KSA 3, pp. 421–422).

‘Fragment of an extended form of “The Birth of Tragedy” written in the first weeks of the year 1871’ (KSA 7, pp. 333ff.):

If I ventured in passing to speak of genius and of appearance as if a knowledge that exceeded every bound stood at my disposal and as if I were able to see out of the pure, great eye of the world, in what follows it will be explained that in using this figurative language [*Bildersprache*] I do not believe that I have stepped beyond anthropomorphic bounds. But who could endure existence without such mystical possibilities? (KSA 14, p. 541)

Porter states that Nietzsche’s position in *The Birth of Tragedy* is ‘not only that metaphysics is a fictional enterprise worthy of being shattered once and for all *but also that its resurrection is an inescapable and constitutional need deeply implanted in human nature*’ (Porter 2000a, p. 9; emphasis mine). Although he recognizes that Nietzsche portrays metaphysical speculation as a matter of human need, his overall discussion of *The Birth of Tragedy* suggests that its argument is weighted heavily towards critique. In the passage above, however, we see Nietzsche underlining in poignant terms a conclusion that we have also seen Schopenhauer and Wagner emphasize: the need for a myth such as the metaphysical provides in order to endure existence. Nietzsche’s acknowledgement of this need, together with its Schopenhauerian precedent, raises the possibility that *The Birth of Tragedy* deploys Schopenhauer not in parodic fashion, as a weapon with which to shatter all such illusions, but rather as a means of developing them in a new and superior form.¹⁰

This interpretation also coheres with Nietzsche’s comments about the work of Friedrich August Lange. Porter argues that it was reading Lange that caused Nietzsche to apostatize from Schopenhauer (Porter 2000a, pp. 5, 9–16). Yet, in the same August 1866 letter to Gersdorff in which he praises Lange’s *History of Materialism* as ‘splendid and highly instructive’ (KGB I 2, p. 159), Nietzsche draws a different conclusion: ‘You see that even in the face of this most exacting critique our Schopenhauer remains for us, indeed, he almost becomes us even more.’ What Lange’s arguments show is, according to Nietzsche, that philosophy can only be a form of art, of which none other than Schopenhauer furnishes the highest example:

If philosophy is art, then even Haym may hide from Schopenhauer; if philosophy should be edifying, then I at least know no philosopher who edifies more than our Schopenhauer. (KGB I 2, p. 160)

My suggestion is therefore that Nietzsche draws upon Schopenhauer in *The Birth of Tragedy* as part of his attempt to foster a new form of Wagnerian

10 Note the echo in Nietzsche’s 1873 praise of Wagner’s ‘On State and Religion’ as ‘highly “edifying”’, quoted in n. 6 above.

Wahn: an acknowledgement and indulgence of the need to find a higher meaning in existence, however illusory that meaning may be. This project may seem opposed to Schopenhauer's goal of presenting a system of metaphysics in the grand style, yet there are sufficient counter-currents in *The World as Will and Representation* to enable Nietzsche to enlist his predecessor in the service of this enterprise. Schopenhauer claims that his philosophy embodies a set of 'truths' (1969, vol. 2, p. 185/1949b, p. 206), yet not in the sense that it presents a system of conclusions derived deductively from true premises, nor because it relies on some form of privileged intuition. Rather, it is true in virtue of providing, in contrast to science, an 'understanding' (*Verständniß*), 'interpretation' (*Auslegung*), or 'deciphering' (*Entzifferung*) of the world of phenomena which is, so he claims, rich, satisfying and complete (1969, vol. 2 pp. 184–186/1949b, pp. 204–205). It is such a humanly satisfying interpretation of existence that, according to the arguments of *The Birth of Tragedy*, only art can provide. Nietzsche picks up on those elements of *The World as Will and Representation* which can be redeployed creatively in order to support this insight. The presentation of Schopenhauer which results from his refashioning is, admittedly, partial and one-sided. It may nevertheless be concluded that *The Birth of Tragedy* extends Schopenhauerian themes and concerns in order to hammer its message home.

The Birth of Tragedy's co-option of Schopenhauer extends further than this, however. Nietzsche does not stop at drawing upon his predecessor's arguments in order to announce the crisis of science; he also dramatizes this crisis and casts Schopenhauer in a leading role. He does so by constructing a narrative which has its beginnings in sixth-century Greece, and which locates Schopenhauer—along with Aeschylus, Sophocles, Euripides, Socrates, Kant, and Wagner—at points along a cultural development that will culminate in a new form of tragic art.¹¹ This chronology is putatively historical, but insofar as it may be characterized as a form of illusion that aims, via a representation of the past, to generate a constellation of

11 This comment assumes that the 'rebirth' of tragedy Nietzsche envisages in *The Birth of Tragedy* is, indeed, a Wagnerian Renaissance. Although this has sometimes been questioned, it still seems to me the best way to make sense not only of *The Birth of Tragedy* but of the references to Wagner in Nietzsche's notes and letters of the early 1870s. The scope of the rebirth Nietzsche has in mind is, however, far too broad and indeed open-ended to encompass Wagner alone. Although Wagner is identified with the fulfilment of this ideal in *The Birth of Tragedy*, this is compatible with the view that he later retracted this association and, as occurred in *Ecce Homo*, disavowed *The Birth of Tragedy's* Wagnerianism without disowning the 'hope' that speaks out from the work (EH III BT 1 and 4).

beliefs and attitudes that legitimate a particular form of cultural activity, it might more aptly be termed ideological. Its function is to alert its readers to the climactic shift taking place in European culture and to raise their hopes for tragedy's rebirth.

Nietzsche's most general verdict on Schopenhauer's significance within this narrative comes in *The Birth of Tragedy* sections 18 and 19, when he is describing the disintegration of the Socratic-optimistic outlook:

The catastrophe slumbering in the womb of theoretical culture is gradually beginning to frighten modern man ... Meanwhile great natures with a bent for general problems have applied the tools of science itself, with incredible deliberation, to prove that all understanding, by its very nature, is limited and conditional, thereby rejecting decisively the claim of science to universal validity and universal goals. Thanks to this demonstration it has been recognized for the first time that it is a delusion [*Wahnvorstellung*] to believe that we can penetrate to the innermost essence of things by following the chain of causality. The hardest-fought victory was won by the enormous courage and wisdom of Kant and Schopenhauer, a victory over the optimism which lies hidden in the nature of logic and which in turn is the hidden foundation of our culture ... This insight marks the beginning of a culture which I now dare to describe as a tragic culture. Its most important feature lies in putting wisdom in place of science as its highest goal. (BT 18, KSA 1, pp. 117–118)

Let us recall then, how Kant and Schopenhauer made it possible for the spirit of German philosophy ... to destroy scientific Socratism's contented pleasure in existence by demonstrating its limits, and how this demonstration ushered in an incomparably deeper and more serious consideration of ethical questions and art, one which can be defined as the conceptual formulation of Dionysiac wisdom. In what direction does this mysterious unity of German music and German philosophy point, if not towards a new form of existence, the content of which can only be guessed at from Hellenic analogies? (BT 19, KSA 1, p. 128)

In these remarks, Schopenhauer is lauded (alongside Kant) for having demonstrated the bankruptcy of the Socratic attempt to view the world as amenable to human understanding. The philosophers are not praised for their residual hope for a form of knowledge that transcends the bounds of experience, but rather because of the demonstration their arguments furnish of those very bounds. Although it is Socrates whom Nietzsche dubs 'the vortex and turning point of so-called world history' (BT 15, KSA 1, p. 100), in *The Birth of Tragedy* 18 and 19, Kant and Schopenhauer appear almost as important as actors on the world-historical stage. In finally discrediting Socratism, they clear the way for the replacement of corrosive scientism with a 'new form of existence': a renewed kind of artistic orientation to the world. Schopenhauer is significant in this story not as the last metaphysician, but rather as the philosopher who demonstrates the need for

a new myth and who anticipates its form.¹² In doing so, he merits praise as an augur of the rebirth of tragedy. His successor, both in this prophesying and in this anticipating, is Nietzsche himself.

This paper has tried to rehabilitate some claims about *The Birth of Tragedy* which may seem rather traditional: namely, the positive character of its appropriation of Schopenhauer and Wagner, and the importance of the (quasi-)historical structure of its argument. Being traditional does not, of course, amount to being mistaken, and I hope I have shown that such claims can be supported by crediting Nietzsche with a less naive reception of Schopenhauer than has sometimes been suggested. Nietzsche famously warns philosophers to be vigilant about the unnoticed and subtle commitments inherent in the grammar of our language (BGE 2, KSA 5, p. 54; TI “Reason” in Philosophy’ 5, KSA 6, p. 78), but the manner in which the areas and positions of long-running debates come to be defined may occasionally be just as insidious.¹³

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

References

Barbera, Sandro, 1994, ‘Ein Sinn und unzählige Hieroglyphen. Einige Motive von Nietzsches Auseinandersetzung mit Schopenhauer in der Basler Zeit’, in: Tilman Borsche / Federico Gerratana / Aldo Venturelli (eds.), *Centauren-Geburten*. Wis-

12 As Nietzsche emphasizes in BT 16, it is Schopenhauer’s analysis of the representational and expressive capacity of music which also provides an intimation of the kind of art by means of which the crisis can be overcome. Schopenhauer’s writings suggest that a work of art which combines music with images or action can represent ‘the innermost kernel preceding all form, or the heart of things’ (Schopenhauer 1949a, p. 311, quoted by Nietzsche, BT 16, KSA 1, p. 106). This is, of course, the kind of representation Nietzsche characterizes as *myth*: ‘the symbolic image ... with the highest degree of significance’ (BT 16, KSA 1, p. 107). Regrettably, space considerations preclude any further discussion of this aspect of Nietzsche’s appropriation of Schopenhauer here.

13 The research for this paper was begun when I was a Junior Postdoctoral Fellow in the Institute of Greece, Rome and the Classical Tradition at the University of Bristol, UK. I am grateful to the Institute Board for funding my research and to the Bristol Classical Seminar for their responses to an early presentation. I also owe thanks to Martin Ruehl, Raymond Geuss, Mike Levene, and Thomas Brobjer for their comments and questions on my initial conference paper, and to Nicholas Jardine and Dawn Phillips for subsequent constructive criticism.

- senschaft, Kunst und Philosophie beim jungen Nietzsche, Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, pp. 217–233.
- de Man, Paul, 1979, *Allegories of Reading: Figural Language in Rousseau, Nietzsche, Rilke, and Proust*, New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Gombrich, E. H., 1985, 'The Symbol of the Veil: Psychological Reflections on Schiller's Poetry', in: Peregrine Horden (ed.), *Freud and the Humanities*, London: Duckworth, pp. 75–109.
- Lacoue-Labarthe, Philippe, 1971, 'Le detour', in: *Poétique*, 5, pp. 53–76.
- Montinari, Mazzino, 1980, *Nietzsche lesen*, Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Nehamas, Alexander, 1985, *Nietzsche: Life as Literature*, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Oehler, Max, 1942, *Nietzsches Bibliothek*, Weimar: Gesellschaft der Freunde des Nietzsche-Archivs.
- Porter, James I., 2000a, *The Invention of Dionysus: An Essay on The Birth of Tragedy*, Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Porter, James I., 2000b, *Nietzsche and the Philology of the Future*, Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Schopenhauer, Arthur, 1864, *Aus Arthur Schopenhauer's handschriftlichem Nachlaß. Abhandlungen, Anmerkungen, Aphorismen und Fragmente*, ed. Julius Frauenstädt, Leipzig: F. U. Brockhaus.
- Schopenhauer, Arthur, 1949a, *Die Welt als Wille und Vorstellung, Erster Band*, in: Arthur Schopenhauer, *Sämtliche Werke. Nach der ersten, von Julius Frauenstädt besorgten Gesamtausgabe neu bearbeitet*, ed. Arthur Hübscher, vol. 3, Wiesbaden: Eberhard Brockhaus Verlag.
- Schopenhauer, Arthur, 1949b, *Die Welt als Wille und Vorstellung, Zweiter Band*, in: Arthur Schopenhauer, *Sämtliche Werke*, ed. Arthur Hübscher, vol. 4, Wiesbaden: Eberhard Brockhaus Verlag.
- Schopenhauer, Arthur, 1960, 'Versuch über das Geistersehn und was damit zusammenhängt', in: Arthur Schopenhauer, *Parerga and Paralipomena. Kleine philosophische Schriften, Erster Band*, in: Arthur Schopenhauer, *Sämtliche Werke*, ed. Arthur Hübscher, vol. 5, Wiesbaden: Eberhard Brockhaus Verlag, pp. 239–329.
- Schopenhauer, Arthur, 1969, *The World as Will and Representation*, trans. E. F. J. Payne, 2 vols., New York: Dover.
- Schopenhauer, Arthur, 1974, 'Essay on Spirit-Seeing and Everything Connected Therewith', in: Arthur Schopenhauer, *Parerga and Paralipomena: Short Philosophical Essays*, trans. E. F. J. Payne, vol. 1, Oxford: Clarendon Press, pp. 225–309.
- Skinner, Quentin, 1969, 'Meaning and Understanding in the History of Ideas', in: *History and Theory*, 8, pp. 3–53.
- Staten, Henry, 1990, *Nietzsche's Voice*, Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Wagner, Richard, 1911, 'Über Staat und Religion', in: Richard Wagner, *Sämtliche Schriften und Dichtungen. Volksausgabe, Sechste Auflage*, vol. 8, Leipzig: Breitkopf und Härtel, pp. 3–29.
- Wagner, Richard, 1995, 'On State and Religion', in: Richard Wagner, *Art and Politics*, trans. William Ashton Ellis, Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, pp. 5–34.

Translations

The Birth of Tragedy, ed. Raymond Geuss, trans. Ronald Speirs, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999.

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

Index rerum et nominum

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

A

- Abel, G., 10, 18, 122, 132–134, 142–143
- Absichtlichkeit*, 130
- absolute, V, 3–4, 10, 77, 114, 123–124, 128–129, 137–138, 155, 233, 306
- Abstammungslehre*, 28, 32
- abstraction, 49
- absurd, 69, 122, 155, 164–167, 187
- acquaintance, 23, 214, 231
- activity, 53, 65, 72, 130, 179, 198, 223–225, 235, 286
- acts of intending, 95
- actuality, 3, 79, 158
- adaptation, 13, 28, 68, 70–72
- adaptive-pragmatic, 9
- Adorno, Th. W., 238, 264
- adualism, 113–146.
- adualistic-dialetheic, 2, 9, 10–11
- Aeschylus, 215, 219, 286
- aesthetic, 160, 186, 199, 218, 232, 234, 239, 256, 306
- aestheticism, 243
- affect, 71–72, 84, 97, 129, 304
- affirmation, 14–15, 113, 139, 149, 151–155, 161, 166–167, 180, 183–184, 195, 199–202
- afterlife, 25, 165
- Afterphilosophie*, 247
- agency, 10, 16, 85, 96, 102, 108, 125, 248
- aggressive, 99, 258
- agon, 203–209
- agonal law, 209
- agonistic, 15, 17, 151, 153–154, 161, 260
- ahistorical, 241, 247
- Ajax, 39
- alchemy, 140
- Alcibiades, 37
- alienation, 196
- alternatives, 9, 12, 136–137, 142
- Altertumswissenschaft*, 16, 213, 214
- Alteuropa*, 236
- altruism, 68, 136
- ambiguity, 1, 196–199
- amor fati*, 150
- amoral, 257, 261
- amoralism, 239
- anaesthesia, 124
- anarchists, 174
- Anaxagoras, 137
- Anderson, R. L., 176, 189
- Andler, C., 232, 246, 264
- anglo-analytic, 11
- anomalous, 102, 120
- anomaly, 14, 113
- anthropocentric, 135
- anthropological, 1, 8
- anthropomorphic, 285
- anti-humanist, 16, 233, 255, 264
- Antike*, 266
- anti-liberal, 16, 233, 255
- antiquarian, 16, 51, 213–226
- antiquity, 16, 43, 44, 215–226, 233, 240, 243, 246–249, 252, 259
- Antisemitismus*, 269
- antistoricismo*, 227
- Apollonian, 159, 234, 276–278
- appearance, 3–4, 24, 45, 79, 85, 120, 125, 151, 155, 164, 193, 201, 220, 231, 276–280, 284
- approximation, 41, 121
- Ardinghello*, 233–234, 239, 267
- argument from anxiety, 8
- aristocratic, 16, 139, 251–256
- Aristophanes, 38
- Aristotle, 40, 47, 222
- art, 45, 103, 122, 140, 159, 160, 177, 201–202, 220, 234, 237–238, 243, 248, 253, 256, 257, 258, 261, 263, 283, 285, 286, 287, 292, 295
- artist, 55, 77, 121, 159, 201, 234, 238, 257
- artistic, 15, 38, 55, 104, 159, 160, 201, 222, 234–235, 256–258, 278, 287, 291
- artworks, 122
- ascetic, 15, 54–56, 97, 100–101, 107, 138, 152, 164–166, 169–175, 181–182, 260
- asceticism, 15, 26–27, 154, 166
- Aschheim, S. E., 262, 264
- Asian, 30
- asymmetrical, 132
- atemporal, 2, 80

- atheist, 257
 Athenians, 42, 44
 atom, 127, 129
 atomistic, 196, 202, 209
Aufklärung, 269
Auflösungsprozess, 116
 Augustine, 26–27, 155
 Anger, R., 72–73
 autopoiesis, 3
 auto-sensitization, 4
 awareness, 8, 79, 83, 106, 127, 133, 189
- B**
- Bächtold, H., 254, 264
 backwards-willing, 185–188
 Baldwin, G., 233, 264
 Barbera, S., 198, 210, 280–281, 288
 Barkow, J., 64, 73
 Barth, H., 232, 265
 Bauer, S., 248, 254, 265, 270
 Baumgarten, F. F., 263, 265
Baumkultus, 25, 32
 beauty, 24, 199, 233, 262–263
 becoming, 3–8, 14, 75, 85, 91, 113–142, 151–155, 161, 164, 170, 191, 198, 200, 217, 224–226, 231, 250
 Beeckman, T., IX, XI, 13, 18, **63–74**
 Beethoven, 243, 244, 282
 being, 3–7, 14, 25, 42, 52, 54, 57, 64, 67–68, 71, 75–76, 78, 81, 83, 85, 89, 91, 102–103, 106, 108–110, 113–142, 151, 154, 159, 161, 167, 169, 172, 173, 176–178, 182, 184, 186, 187, 191–194, 197–200, 203, 215, 217, 227, 243, 246, 261, 278, 285, 288, 302, 305
 benevolence, 109
 Benz, E., 251, 265
 Berg, Ch., 254, 265
 Bergk, Th., 215
 Bergmann, P., 241, 244, 265
 Berkeley, 35, 49, 50, 82, 84, 264, 272
 Berkowitz, P., 181, 189
 Berlin, I., 40
 Bernhardt, G., 216, 229
 Bertram, E., 249, 265
besinnen, 4–5
Bewegungen, 269
Bewusstsein, 198
Biedermeier, 238
Bildungsbürger, 240
Bildungstrieb, 123
Bildungsvereine, 254
 binary thinking, 3, 151
 biology, 23, 67, 71–72
 Bismarck, 239, 241, 270–271
 Bizet, 37, 292–294
 Blackmore, S., 70–73
 blame, 239
 blasphemous, 239, 257
 Bluhm, H., 249, 265
 body, 25, 32, 101, 125–126, 131, 160, 167, 194, 209, 304
 Boeckh, A., 213–217, 221, 223, 226
 Boeschstein, H., 255, 265
 Bohley, R., 251, 265
 Borchardt, H., 234, 265
 Borchmeyer, D., 242, 244, 249, 265
 Borgia, C., 233, 236, 238–239, 250, 257–263
 Boscovich, R., 82
 both-and, 11
 bourgeois, 234–240, 254, 260
 Bowie, A., 121, 143
 Boyd, R. 72, 74
 Brahmanistic, 28
 brain, 11, 13, 75–80, 282
 Brecht, 234, 265
 Brobjer, IX, XI, 13, 18, **51–60**, 219, 227, 232, 241–242, 265, 288
 Brose, K., 232, 265
 Brown, G., 67, 73
 brutality, 237, 239
 Buddhism, 28, 127, 182
 Bullen, B., 235, 266
 Burckhardt, J., X, 16, 19, 30–32, 52, 58, 200, 231–272
Bürgerhumanismus, 240
 burials, 25
 Bursian, C., 216, 227
 Byzantium, 91
- C**
- cadence, 295, 296
 Calder III, W. M., 227–228
 Callebaut, W., 71, 73
 Campioni, G., 213, 227, 242–245, 266

- Camus, A., 15, 163–168, 171, 183, 186–189
- Cancik, H., 241, 244, 254, 266
- Carmen*, 292–295, 301
- Cartesian, 8, 10, 48, 123
- Catholic, 231, 250–251
- causal, 8, 42, 46, 93, 97, 129, 132, 152, 155, 185, 282
- causality, 75–76, 84, 97, 125, 130, 287
- Cavell, S., 195, 210
- centripetal, 202
- Cesana, A., 244, 266
- Cesare Borgia aestheticism, 262
- C-fibres, 11
- chaos, 2–3, 140, 178, 276, 297, 302, 304
- chemical, 9, 125
- Choephoren*, 219
- choral, 160, 244, 292
- chorus, 222, 275
- Christentum*, 269–270, 272
- christianisme*, 25, 33
- Christianity, 12, 24–31, 47, 94, 239, 243, 250, 259–260
- chronophile, 7
- chronophobia, 4
- Cicero, 44
- circularity, 155, 185, 276
- civilization, 12, 24, 25–30, 170, 233–264
- Clark, M., 33, 111, 124, 143, 144, 188, 189
- classic, 47, 71, 258, 292, 301
- classical philology, 89, 213, 241, 244, 252
- coercive, 207–208
- coexistence, 12, 31–32
- cognition, 10, 48, 78, 80, 81, 82, 93, 95, 96, 99, 134, 154, 156, 279
- Cohen, J. R., X–XI, 17–18, 33, **291–307**
- Cohen, M. D., 215, 228
- cohesion, 94, 139
- comedy, 260
- commands, 99, 165, 185, 189
- communism, 254
- Communist Manifesto*, 235
- community, 16–17, 25–27, 97, 135–136, 139, 201, 209
- compassion, 139
- competing powers, 207
- competition, 101, 205
- complementarity, 307
- completeness, 204
- complexity, 14, 26, 29, 67, 114, 131, 135, 217
- composition, 3, 243, 296
- Conant, J., 195, 210
- conceptual, V, 28, 114, 150, 160, 281, 287
- condottieri*, 233, 237, 256
- confederation, 127
- conflict, 12, 35, 42, 48, 88, 111, 115, 153, 196, 199, 202, 208, 209, 217, 307
- conformity, 194, 201
- conscience, 92, 99, 136, 193
- conscious, 4, 54, 69, 79, 92, 95, 99, 106, 129, 130–134, 142, 159, 165–167, 185, 187–188
- consciousness, 4, 10, 11, 46, 72, 76, 93, 123, 124, 128, 134, 165–166, 181, 185, 187, 280
- conspirieren*, 126
- contentious contentment, 140
- contest, 151, 203–207, 227
- continental, 11, 135
- continuum, 30, 125, 131–132
- continuum-relations, 134
- contradiction, 5, 9, 15, 52, 65, 73, 91, 105–106, 166, 191, 207
- Conway, D., 195, 204, 210
- Corcyra, 44–45
- Cosmides, L., 73
- cosmological, 14–15, 149, 154, 160, 185
- cosmos, 59, 82, 186
- counter-force, 14, 113, 117–118, 135, 141, 151, 209
- counter-ideal, 15, 57, 169, 171–172, 180, 182
- Craig, G., 234, 266
- creative, 17, 127, 156–159, 175–176, 180, 192, 196, 201, 205, 208, 223–224, 247, 295
- creativity, 73, 156, 206
- creator, 85, 258
- creator-god, 48

- crime, 198, 256, 261
 critical history, 51
 crystallization, 16, 233, 292, 297
 cultivation, 46
 cult, 25–26
 culture, 16, 26, 31–32, 39, 45, 47, 51, 53, 58, 63–65, 70–72, 90, 104, 119, 150, 158, 196, 199, 201, 203, 222, 226, 233, 235, 240–249, 252–256, 262–264, 286, 287
 custom, 94, 97, 99, 170, 191
 cycle, 188–189
 cyclic repetition, 154–157
- D*
- da Romano, E., 236
 dancing, 65, 159, 295, 304
 Danto, A. C., 123, 128, 143
 Darwin, Ch., 13, 28, 64–73, 89, 93
 Darwinism, 53, 66–72, 87, 92–93, 111
 Darwinizing, 73
 de Man, P., 17, 276, 288
 death, 38, 40, 45, 104, 138, 150–152, 155, 164–170, 175, 181–188, 250, 260
 death of God, 104, 151–152, 175
 death of Socrates, 38
 decadence, 41, 85, 119, 172, 174, 241
 decadent, 15, 58, 124, 138, 168–169, 172
 decay, 85, 209, 260, 292
 deconstruction, 16, 173, 248, 276
 deferral, 209
 degenerating, 15, 119, 167, 169–171
 deity, 85
 Deleuze, G., 69, 73, 125, 143
 democratic, 208, 253
 democratization, 254
Demokratie, 269
 Dennett, D., 68, 71–73
 Derrida, J., 139, 143
 desire, 3, 5, 40, 54, 69, 73, 88, 94, 97, 117, 124, 164, 170, 175, 179, 199, 201–202, 209, 222, 236, 250, 254, 279, 292, 296
 despotic rulers, 236
 destruction, 41, 44, 80, 85, 141, 156, 205, 222, 256
 destructive, 18, 40, 119, 170, 182, 196, 198, 222, 304
 Detwiler, B., 256, 266
 Deussen, P., 28, 32
 diachronic, 127, 192, 247
 dialectical, 3
 dialethic, 10
 Dialetheism, 9
 difference-preserving, 11
 differential, 70, 138
 dilemma, 103, 135–136
 Dionysian, 76, 124, 141, 159–160, 219, 234, 262, 275–283
 Dionysus, 38, 278, 289
 directednesses, 95
 diremption, 196
 disembodied, 185, 264
 disgregation, 129, 135, 196, 209
 disjunction, 3, 15, 120–121
 dissatisfaction, 156, 164
 diversity, 15, 202–206
 Dodds, E. R., 47, 49
 dogmatic, 12, 48, 123
 Dombowsky, D., 258, 266
 Donnellan, B., 247, 266
 doubleness, 138
 doubt, 5, 56, 79, 122, 126, 170, 187, 241, 256, 260, 263, 280
 Draper, J. W., 24, 32
 dream, 177, 278, 281, 282
 Dries, IX, XI, 1–19, 14, 18, 19, 113–145, 114, 135, 143, 144, 189
 drives, 32, 54, 66, 92–110, 131, 152, 194, 222
 dualism, 14, 114, 120–123, 130
 dualistic, 113, 137, 138
 duality, 49, 137, 139
 Dühring, 56
 duration, 65, 114, 123, 133–134
 dwarf, 105, 182, 187
 dynamic, 120, 126, 129, 151, 191, 195–196, 203, 205–206, 207
- E*
- early modern, 231–236, 240, 244, 246, 253, 255, 257–259, 261, 263
 earth, 56, 89, 153, 170, 174–175, 186, 201, 295
 ecstatic nihilism, 116, 119, 183

- educators, 197
 efficacy, 94, 116, 123–124, 132, 222
 egalitarian, 52, 203, 260
 ego, 91
 egocentric, 203
 egoism, 234, 283
 Egypt, 91
 Egyptianism, 85
Einheit, 128, 132, 202
einverleibt, 6, 133
 either-or, 10, 135–140
 elitism, 253, 255
 emancipation, 194, 200, 202, 240,
 244–245, 249
 embodied, 25, 160, 167, 183, 239, 252
 Emden, Ch. J., 115, 143
 Emerson, W., 15, 195, 197, 210
 emotional force, 159
 emotions, 42
 empirical, 10, 47, 75, 78, 81–82, 150
 empirically real, 81
 empiricism, 252
 encounters, 117, 125
 endless melody, 17, 291–292, 295–
 297, 300–304, 307
 Enlightenment, 196, 234, 246, 264
 epiphenomenal, 9, 252
 epistemological, 53–54, 152, 157, 223
 equality, 208, 254–255
 Erasmus, 244, 271
 Ernst, J., 239, 266
 error, 4–10, 36, 95, 102, 121, 157, 300
 error theory, 4–5
 essence, V, 3–4, 27, 54, 65, 114, 122,
 125–126, 182, 186, 213, 249, 252,
 262, 281, 287
 essentialism, 132
 eternal novelty, 156
 eternal recurrence, 14–15, 56–57, 127,
 141, 149–161, 174, 180–189
 eternal return, 87–90, 105, 110–111,
 183
 eternity, 105, 151, 186, 189, 204, 207
 ethical, 6–7, 16, 37, 39, 42, 47–48, 66,
 204, 206, 209, 234, 239, 246, 287
 ethics, 47–48, 191, 260
 etiological, 93
 Euclidean, 77
 Euripides, 38, 160, 286
 Europe, 7, 43, 56, 235–238, 244, 251,
 253–255, 257, 260, 262, 267
 evolution, 28, 53, 63–67, 69–72, 92,
 97, 253
 evolutionary history, 133
 evolutionary psychology, 64, 68, 73
 exaptation, 68
 excellence, 4, 35, 193, 238, 246, 295
 excess, 232, 261
 excitation, 11, 56
 exhaustion, 167, 170
 existence, 2–3, 6, 48, 53, 67, 69, 70,
 77–82, 88, 92, 98, 114, 126, 137,
 150–151, 153, 155, 165, 167, 169,
 174–176, 178, 180–181, 184–186,
 189, 194–195, 198–199, 237, 244,
 278–279, 281, 285, 287, 303, 306
 existentialist, 165, 167, 183–184, 305
 exogenous, 115
 experiential, 10–11, 124
 experiment, 117, 149
 externalism, 95
F
 factual, 42, 149, 157
 factuality, 58, 158
 faculties, 281
 fallacy, 8, 68
 falsehood, 198, 218
 falsification, 15, 77, 82, 121, 131, 178
 Farulli, L., 246, 253, 266
 fatalism, 137
 fate, 43, 46, 115, 175, 187, 188, 201,
 241
 fatigue, 117, 119, 169, 171
 Faustian, 239
 feeling, 1, 27, 29, 41, 90, 95, 98–99,
 118, 136, 181, 195, 203, 225, 243,
 261, 279, 281–282, 292, 295
 Ferguson, W. K., 233–235, 240, 266
 fiction, 8, 10, 127, 157, 160, 234, 239
 fictionalist, 9
 Figal, G., 125, 143
 fighter, 201, 204
 fighting, 238
 Figl, J., 128, 143
 figurative, 158, 284
 finitude, 152, 155, 193
 first-person perspective, 9–10, 142

- fitness, 70, 94
 fixation, 87, 89
 fixed, 1, 102, 118, 126, 151–152, 179, 197, 282
 fixity, 158
 flourishing, 17, 171, 295
 flow, 83, 91, 123, 186, 225, 292
 flux, 119–120, 123, 128, 133, 138, 141, 152, 158, 209
 force, V, 15, 29, 64, 68–71, 77, 82, 93, 107–109, 116–118, 121, 125, 127, 129–154, 156, 159, 161, 166, 178, 183, 191, 197, 201–204, 207, 223, 232, 234, 237, 242, 246, 249, 256, 259, 282, 292
 Ford, A., 41, 49
 forgetfulness, 88
 forgetting, 103, 179
 formless, 244
 Förster-Nietzsche, E., 245, 266
 Foucault, M., 31, 32, 63, 69, 73
 foundation, 51, 56, 124, 151, 233, 241, 255, 287
 Fowler, R., L., 220, 227
 fragility, 135
 framework, 7, 9, 10, 11, 135, 177, 179, 191, 206, 241, 259
 Frank, M., 121, 127, 143
 free spirit, 199, 209, 245, 257
 freedom, 87, 95, 105, 108–110, 156, 165, 175, 184, 194, 199, 201–202, 208, 232, 251, 295
 Freeman, A., 10, 18, 19, 131, 143
 Frege, G., 124
Freigeist, 266
Freiheit, 144
 Freud, S., 37, 183, 288
 Froben, J., 244
Frühromantik, 143
 Fubini, R., 240, 263, 267
 fundamental-duality, 11
G
Ganze, 115, 135
 Gay, P., 232, 238, 267
Gegenkraft, 113, 116, 117, 141
Gegensatz, 10
 Gelzer, H., 239, 267
 genealogy, 4–7, 14, 63–71, 88, 92, 95–96, 98, 102–110, 119, 124, 193, 234
 genius, 26, 37, 135, 199–202, 204, 239, 242, 246, 248, 264, 284
 genotype, 72
Gerechtigkeit, 202
 Gerhardt, V., 121, 143, 192, 195, 200, 202, 204, 210, 213, 228, 243, 260, 267
 German culture, 204, 241, 250
 German Darwinism, 67
Germanen, 25, 32
 Germanic, 241, 243, 264
 Germany, 28, 91, 218, 231–234, 252, 254, 262–264, 270
Geschichte, X, 16, 18, 24, 32, 213, 216, 226–228, 265–272
 Geuss, R., VII, IX, XI, 12, 18, **35–50**, 63, 73, 142, 288, 289
 Gilbert, F., 235, 267
 Gilbert, M., 232, 267
Gleichheit, 136, 208, 255
Gleichmachung, 208
 goal, V, 1, 13, 28, 52, 92, 119, 125, 126, 129, 137, 174–178, 181, 185, 188–189, 209, 215, 222, 225, 285, 287
 goddess, 155, 172, 239
 Goethe, J. W. von, 16, 136, 143, 214–215, 226, 228, 234, 239, 243, 259, 268, 284
 Gombrich, E. H., 284, 288
 Gomme, A. W., 43, 50
 Gossman, L., 232, 236, 241, 254, 258, 267
 Gottfried, P., 267
 Gould, S., 68, 73
 Greece, 41, 91, 158, 220, 243, 246, 248, 252, 286, 288
 Greek, XIV, 9, 12, 16, 24–25, 28, 43–47, 59, 79, 155, 157–160, 174, 192, 203, 220–222, 226, 233, 243, 245, 247, 256, 270–271
 Gregor-Dellin, M., 249, 267, 271
 Grey, J., 18
 Gründer, K., 220, 226, 228
 guilt, 47, 99, 102, 165

H

- Habermas, J., 196, 210
 habituated, 8, 10
 Hale, J. R., 237, 267
 Hales, S., 127, 143
 Hampe, K., 257, 267
 Hankins, J., 240, 267
 happiness, 5–6, 12, 39, 48, 88, 150, 173, 194, 261
 Hardtwig, W., 235, 267
 Harloe, X, XI, 17, 18, **275–289**
 harmonic, 292, 296, 302
 Harnack, A. von, 27, 31–32
 Hatab, L. J., IX, XI, 10, 14, 18, 65, 73, **149–162**, 168, 185, 190
 Haupt, M., 215–217, 220, 272
 health, 39, 54, 57, 88, 104–105, 172, 174, 181, 183, 199, 223, 249, 259, 304
 Hector, 39
 hedonist, 55
 Hegel, G. W. F., 2–4, 18, 37, 64, 115, 120, 124, 139, 143, 196, 247, 284
 Heidegger, M., 31, 32, 210
 Heinse, (J. J.) W., 233–234, 239–240, 265, 267, 272
Hellene, 13, 48, 160
 Hellenic, 25, 38, 58, 203, 246, 278, 287
 Heller, E., 232, 267
 Heraclitean, 7, 10, 121, 137, 202
 Heraclitus, 134, 137–138, 203
 hereditary, 28
 heredity, 70
 hermeneutics, 122
 heterogeneous, 23–25, 29
 heteronomy, 195, 204
 hierarchical, 255
 hierarchy, 102, 276
 Higgins, 306, 307
 Hill, R. K., IX, XI, 13, 18, **75–85**, 269
 Hinz, M., 244, 268
 Hippocrates, 42, 45
 Hirsch, E., 249, 251, 268
 historian, 25, 51, 54–58, 216, 221–227, 231–234, 251, 263
 historical, 12–13, 16–17, 23–24, 28–32, 38, 43, 47–48, 51–59, 67–69, 85, 87, 96, 99, 101, 103, 157–158, 213, 216, 221–222, 226, 232–233, 246, 248, 256–257, 259, 261, 264, 286, 288
 historicist, 85
 historicity, 5, 221, 224
 historiography, 55, 213, 220, 224–226
 history, V, 1–3, 7, 10–11, 13, 16, 23, 28–31, 36, 40, 42–47, 49, 51–59, 63, 65–68, 72, 87, 89, 91, 94, 96–101, 121, 157–158, 161, 170, 174, 184, 186, 191, 201, 213–215, 219, 223–224, 227, 231–234, 244, 247–248, 251–252, 262–263, 275, 287, *See* ahistorical, critical history, evolutionary history, historian, historicism, historicity, historiography, overhistorical, prehistoric, prehistory, superhistorical, suprahistorical, time, unhistorical.
 Hofmann, H., 241, 268
 Hölderlin, F., 215
 holistic, 161, 216, 225
 Homer, 42, 203
 homogeneity, 29, 94
 homogenization, 136
 homogenous, 30, 255
 hope (*ἐλπίς*), 40
 Houlgate, S., 120, 143
 human animal, 15, 164, 170–171, 174, 176, 178–183, 186–188
 human existence, 151, 175–176, 179, 186
 humanism, 232, 240, 243, 251, 255
humanitas, 240, 259
 humanity, 64–66, 92, 94, 96, 116, 119, 201, 255, 260, 262
 humankind, 94, 170–175, 182, 184
 Humboldt, A. von 214
 hypostases, 125
- I*
- idealism, 76, 79–80, 82, 104
 idealization, 234, 237
 identity, 27, 102, 106, 114, 120, 126, 127, 135, 233, 237, 257
 illness, 138, 169, 174
 illogical, 136

- illusion, V, 1, 5, 43, 51, 102–103, 119,
 199–200, 223, 281–283, 286
 illusory, 3, 7, 121, 124, 199, 278, 285
 imagination, 47, 233, 260
 immanence, V, 1, 155, 175, 198, 201,
 280
 immoral, 85, 238, 261
 immoralism, 172, 232–236
 impermanence, 3, 113
 impulse, 49, 65, 195, 201, 213, 223,
 226, 244, 281
 incoherent, 36, 81, 82
 inconsistency, 8, 118, 119–122, 141
 incorporated, 6, 10, 109–110, 131,
 133, 140
 indeterminacy, 3, 123
 indetermination, 120, 128
 indifferent, 29, 39, 136
 individualism, 17, 193, 233, 238–240,
 253, 255, 261, 263
 inheritance, 67, 70–71, 77, 233, 237
 innocence of becoming, 115, 119
 inorganic, 131–134
 instinct, 93–94, 97, 104, 140, 164,
 167, 171, 175, 222, 224, 257, 283
 instinctive, 58
 intellectual, 53, 172, 231–232, 239–
 240, 244–245, 263, 281
 intelligence, 36
 intentionality, 92–93, 105, 122, 130–
 131
 interconnected, 35, 276
 interdetermination, 128
 interpretationism, 132
 intersubjectivity, 306
 interweavings, 23, 30
 intoxication, 124
 intuition, 76–77, 134, 224, 286
 involuntary, 47, 161
 irony, 276
 irrational, 247
 irreducibility, 160–161
 isomorphic, 129
- J*
- Jacobs, A., 234, 268
 Jahn, O., 213–228
 Janssen, E. M., 232, 234, 239, 251–
 252, 255, 257, 261, 268
- Janz, C. P., 244, 268
 Jeismann, K.-E., 254, 268
 Jelavich, P., 263, 268
 Jensen, A. K., X, XI, 16, 18, **213–229**
 Jewish, 27, 31, 174, 245
 Joël, K., 248, 268
 Judeo-Christian, 7, 85
 judgement, 37, 44, 46, 96, 132, 195,
 198–199, 220, 223, 225, 236, 239,
 257–258, 261
 Jung, M., 248, 268
 justice, 15, 29, 83, 115, 173, 202, 223,
 236, 254, 296
- K*
- Kaegi, W., 231–239, 244–248, 251,
 256, 258, 261, 268, 272
 Kahan, A., 236, 268
 Kant, I., 13, 47–48, 75–85, 89, 110,
 135, 143, 160, 275, 280, 284–287,
 305–306
 Kantianism, 77, 79
 Katsafanas, P., 127, 143
 Kitcher, P., 64, 73
 Knobe, J., 10, 18, 132, 143
 knowability, 8
 knowable, 81
 knowledge, 11, 35, 39–40, 48–49, 52,
 75, 79, 83, 92, 100–101, 106, 150,
 209, 215, 242, 275, 279–284, 287
 Körner, E., 233, 269
- L*
- La Rochefoucauld, F. de, 247, 266
 Lachmann, K., 213, 216–218, 221–
 222
 Lacoue-Labarthe, P., 276, 289
 Ladwig, P., 240, 269
 Laland, K., 67, 73
 Lamarck, J.-P. de, 13, 64–71
 Lange, F. A., 285
 Langer, S., 304, 307
 language, 11, 14, 26, 44, 65, 93, 114,
 120–123, 125, 128–130, 133, 142,
 157–159, 201, 209, 214, 226, 262–
 263, 275–277, 282, 284, 288, 292,
 295, 306
 Large, D., 145, 241, 269

- laughter, 185
law, 10–11, 15, 25, 67, 98, 127, 191–209, 256
law-givers, 198, 204–206
Lecky, W. E. H., 25, 27, 32, 66, 74
legislator, 192, 199, 203–204
legislators, 192, 204, 209
legislator-types, 192
Leiter, B., 10, 18–19, 33, 132, 143, 144, 166, 169, 183–184, 190
Leo, H., 234, 236, 269
leveller, 206
levelling synthesis, 138
liberalism, 260
liberty, 172, 234, 236, 238, 239, 240
Lichtenberger, H., 266
life as lived, 15, 156
life-affirmation, 15, 26, 150–156, 161, 168–169, 171–172, 180–181, 184, 188, 199, 200, 203
life-as-becoming, 15, 191
life-denying, 152–154, 166, 169, 171, 260
listen, 295, 297, 304
listener, 17, 160, 244, 292, 296–297, 301–303, 307
literal, 5, 149, 154, 156–162
literality, 14, 149, 158
Loeb, P. S., IX, XI, 15, 18, **163–190**
logic, 7, 9–10, 117, 127, 134, 137–142, 165, 167–168, 188, 287
logic of alternatives, 137
logocentric, 276
Lothar, R., 262–263, 269
love, 27, 116, 135, 139, 142, 154, 164, 172–173, 177, 197, 201, 207–209, 260, 296
Löwith, K., 256, 269
Luther, M., 16, 241, 243–244, 248–251, 265, 268
- M*
- Machiavelli, N., 237, 239, 242–243, 256–258, 271
Macht, 142–144, 200, 210, 241
macro-teleological, 3–4
Magee, B., 296, 307
maladaptive, 68
Mann, Th., 244, 262, 266, 269–270
Mannhardt, W., 25, 32
Marti, U., 254, 269
Martin, A. von, 232, 237, 239, 245, 248, 258–259, 263
martyrdom, 27
Marx, K., 235
mask, 54, 135
mass, 171, 255, 262
massification, 254
master, 125, 130–131, 170, 224, 226, 259
materialism, 236
mathematical symmetry, 292
Mattioli, A., 245, 269
Maurer, R., 232, 269
McGinn, C., 10, 18
meaning, 3, 13–14, 28, 41–44, 48, 57, 59, 63, 65, 68, 83, 91, 94–95, 117–118, 120–121, 130, 149–157, 160–161, 164–166, 169, 171–188, 192, 194, 197–198, 200, 207, 221, 233, 250, 278, 285, 307
measures, 17, 151, 292–301
mechanism, 66, 78, 91, 94
medievalism, 244
Melodie, 292
melody, 17, 292, 295–296, 301
meme, 70, 72
memetics, 64, 72–73
memory, 87–90, 97–102, 105, 179–180, 188, 197
meta-belief, 7
metabolism, 304
metaphor, 27, 157, 283, 302–305
metaphysician, 123, 219, 287
metaphysics, 7, 17, 55, 77, 84–85, 120, 123–124, 127–129, 139, 142, 197, 200, 247, 275–285, 303
Methodenstreit, 228
methodological, 13, 16, 68, 216, 225, 252
Meyer, E., 30, 32, 272
Michelangelo, B., 257–258
Michelet, J., 238, 269
micrologists, 222
micro-teleological, 3
Middle Ages, 235, 242, 251–252, 255, 259, 264
Mill, J. S., 53

- mimetic, 158–161
 mind, 10–13, 43, 66, 75, 78, 80, 82–84, 92, 98, 100, 115, 127, 131–132, 137, 154, 163, 165, 184, 199, 226, 250, 262, 281, 284, 286
 mind-brain-world state, 11
 Mithras, 26
 mixing, 12, 29, 31, 32
 mixture, 108, 256, 276
 mnemonic, 179, 182, 185
 mnemotechniques, 89, 179
 modern, 29, 32, 40, 45, 47, 52–55, 58, 70, 87, 160, 171, 174, 192, 196, 199, 213, 233, 235–238, 240, 243, 246, 250, 254–255, 257–261, 287, 291
 modernity, 31, 52, 196, 201–202, 209, 236–237
 modesty, 96, 260
 Molner, D., 247, 269
 moment, V, 3, 69, 71, 91, 102, 109, 126, 132, 136, 176, 179–180, 185, 187–189, 244, 262, 281, 284
 momentum, 107
 Mommsen, W. J., 51, 256, 269
 Mongolian, 24
 monism, 11, 113, 134, 137
 monstrosity, 258
 Montaigne, M. E. de, 247, 269, 271
 monument, 104, 257
 monumental, 16, 51, 213, 221, 224–226, 252, 257
 Moore, G., 115, 123, 143
 morality, 7, 15, 36, 53, 59, 65–68, 72–73, 95, 98–99, 102–103, 108, 114, 165, 191, 194–196, 204–206, 209, 231–232, 241, 259, 260, 262, 295
 moralization, 39
 mosquito, 136
 motion, I, 130, 247
 Müller, C. W., 213, 217, 228, 268
 Müller-Lauter, W., XIII, 125, 144
 multiplicity, 32, 73, 120, 135, 139, 194, 202–203
 mummification, 7, 121, 224
 music, 17, 27, 37, 159, 178, 201, 218, 226, 241–244, 249, 253, 287, 291–292, 295–296, 297, 301–304, 306
 mutually exclusive, 5–6, 9, 12, 136–137, 140, 142
 mysterious, 201, 256, 283, 287, 306
 mystery, 26
 mystical, 285
 myth, 39, 140, 160, 186–188, 285–288
 mythopoetic, 157

N
 Naake, E., 254, 269
 Nägeli, K. W., 28, 32
 Nancy, J.-L., 10, 18
 narrative, 17, 41, 43–44, 64, 131, 176, 185, 260, 277, 286, 292
 nationalism, 233
 nations, 11, 91, 283
 natural science, 4, 52–53, 55, 65, 72, 76, 78, 81, 115, 117–118
 naturalisation, 194
 naturalism, 13, 63–65, 73, 75, 78–79, 151, 282
 Nehamas, A., 15, 17, 176–180, 190, 233, 262, 269, 275–276, 289
 neither space nor time, 77
 neither-nor, 11, 138
 neo-Romantic, 232
 neurobiological, 11
 neuroscience, 11
 Newtonian physics, 76
 Niebuhr, B. G., 51
 Nietzscheanism, 262
 nihilism, 7, 9, 11, 14, 52, 57–58, 104, 107, 113–119, 127–129, 135, 137, 140–142, 170, 172, 181, 196, 204, 307
 nobility, 29, 225
 noble, 196, 223, 225, 234, 248, 250, 255, 258–260, 264
 nomadic, 91
 non-Christian, 3
 non-circularity, 39
 non-contradiction, 10
 nondualist, 11
 non-literary, 12, 42
 non-mythic, 12, 42
 non-permanent, 7
 non-reductive, 13–14, 114, 132
 non-sensory, 5–6
 non-static, 7

- non-theological, 12, 42
 non-traditional, 12
 Norbrook, D., 251, 261, 269
 Nordic, 244
 norm, 170
 normative, 152
 nothingness, 155–156, 164, 172, 176,
 181–182, 187
 noumenal, 81, 85, 124
 novelistic model, 156
O
 objectivity, 4, 52–54, 58, 104, 117,
 239, 305
 observable facts, 42
 observation, 38, 157, 256, 261, 275,
 284
 observer, 138, 263
 Odysseus, 24
 Oehler, M., 279–280, 289
 Oldenberg, H., 28, 32
 Olympus, 160
 omnipotence, 203
 omnipresent, 179
 oneness, 115, 135–139
 ontological, 3, 5–7, 121, 123–124,
 127, 276
 ontology, 7, 14, 75, 113–114, 117,
 122, 128, 134, 142, 191, 194
 oppositional, 136, 151
 optimism, 12, 17, 39, 41, 47, 49, 225,
 287
 optimistic, 3, 39–40, 246–247, 282
 orality, 158
 orderliness, 24
 orders, 251, 254
 organic, 3, 29, 91, 93, 95, 117, 131–
 134
 organism, 10, 68, 71, 94–95, 132, 134,
 142
 organs, 92–93, 134
 originality, 36, 89
 origins, 23–25, 31, 48, 65, 68, 90, 233,
 237, 280, 282
 Orsucci, A., IX, XI, 12, 19, **23–33**,
 249, 251, 270
 oscillation, 3
 Osiris, 26
 otherness, 139, 153
 Ottmann, H., 31–32
 Overbeck, F., 27, 31–33, 184
 overcoming, 6, 29, 109–110, 114–115,
 141, 151, 155, 173–174, 182, 192–
 193, 196, 207, 222, 241, 261, 287
 overhistorical, 51
P
 pagan, 25, 27, 30, 257, 259
 pain, 6, 11, 89, 99, 117, 207, 256
 painful, 6, 118, 197, 221
 painter, 57
 painting, 238
 Panizza, O., 262–263, 270
 panlogistic, 3
 panpsychism, 83, 131
 papacy, 250–251, 257
 paradigm of becoming, 116, 128, 130,
 133, 138–140
 paradigm of being, 4, 114, 116, 129,
 134, 137
 paradigm shift, 117–119
 paradoxical, 76, 129, 166, 281
 Parmenides, 4, 79, 85, 137
 parody, 276
 particle, 215, 221
 particularism, 15, 194–195, 206, 209
 partisanship, 45
 passion, 101, 202, 222, 246
 passive, 72, 205, 207
 Pastor, L., 231–232, 251, 263, 270
 paternalism, 236
 pathological, 45, 236
 pathos, 118, 126, 244, 259
 Patroclus, 39
 peace, 202, 231
 peacefulness, 261
 Peloponnesians, 42, 44
 penalty, 40
 perception, 71, 84, 131, 203, 209, 247,
 280, 283–284, 302, 306
 perfection, 64, 66, 155, 185, 195–197,
 215
 perfectionism, 15, 195
 performative sense, 158–159
 permanent, 3–7, 113, 118, 261, 279
 Pernet, M., 251, 270
 perspectival, 10, 130–132, 142, 154,
 306

- perspective, 10–11, 17, 32, 71, 104,
 127, 130–133, 137, 142, 158, 167,
 168, 194, 199, 206, 221, 224, 244,
 246, 261, 275, 302
 perspectivism, 75, 83, 131, 150, 275
 pessimism, 3, 12, 39, 47, 124, 155,
 183, 225, 241, 256, 283
 pessimist, 12, 48, 57, 168
 Petersen, E., 217, 228
 petrification, 292, 297
 phantasmal, 1, 126
 phantasms, 137
 phantom, 278, 281
 phenomena, 12, 23–24, 28–31, 63, 65,
 72, 75, 123, 138, 278, 284, 286
 phenomenal, 76–81, 85, 125, 128
 phenomenalism, 82
 phenomenological, 11, 123–124, 126–
 127, 135, 139, 141
 phenomenology, 123–125, 135, 139
 phenotypic, 71
 philhellene, 233, 263
 Philistius, 44
 philologist, 23, 57, 59, 219, 222, 224,
 252
 philology, 16, 29, 214–227
 physical, 81, 126, 128, 132, 138, 174,
 260, 279, 282
 physicalism, 131
 physiological, 18, 70, 123–124, 166,
 170, 304
physis, 198, 200
 plants, 25, 91
 Plato, 12, 35–49, 58, 89, 96, 137, 159,
 164, 198, 247
 Platonic-Aristotelean-Kantian
 tradition, 48
 Platonism, 26
 pleasure, 287
 Pletsch, C., 232, 270
 pluralism, 15, 191, 194–195, 203–207
 Poellner, P., 123–124, 126, 144
 poetry, 12, 41, 128, 159, 257, 278
 polemic reversal, 8, 10
 political, 43, 45–46, 49, 52, 151, 202,
 204, 217, 232, 234, 236–240, 246,
 254–258, 263, 281, 283, 291
 Politycki, M., 215, 228
 Porter, J. I., 17, 125, 144, 220, 228,
 276–285, 289
 Pöschl, V., 224, 228
 positivism, 14, 42, 46, 55–58, 104,
 154, 215, 222, 303
 power, 13–14, 25, 27, 29, 40–41, 66–
 71, 84, 92–94, 98–110, 117, 123,
 125–131, 151, 153, 160, 170, 179,
 184, 186, 189, 191–192, 196, 200–
 208, 225, 234–243, 256, 261, 264,
 278
 powerless, 187
 pragmatic, 237
 prayer, 27
 predictability, 6, 49
 prediction, 222
 prehistory, 25, 89
 prehuman, 179
 presence in us, 104
 pre-Socratic, 41, 46, 191
 pride, 88, 103, 107, 109
 priest, 58, 90, 97–171, 174, 250, 253
 Priest, G., 10, 19, 90, 97, 140, 144,
 171, 250, 253
 primeval times, 94
 primeval training to remember, 100
 primitive, 12, 24–28, 35, 47, 88, 97
 primordial, 13, 76–77, 99–100, 120,
 249, 283
 primordial unity, 76, 283
principium individuationis, 16, 75, 248
 prison, 40
 prisoner, 175
 processes, 11, 28, 75, 80–82, 92–96,
 103, 108, 126, 128–131, 142, 205
 productivity, 16, 136, 238, 255
 profanity, 239
 progress, 52–53, 57, 64, 66, 70, 155,
 171, 247, 260
 progressus, 28
 proletarian revolution, 236
 promise, 53, 88, 97–98, 106, 108, 259,
 262
 properties, 2, 9, 81, 84
 propositional, 40, 67, 128, 150, 177
 protension, 7
 proto-human, 102
 proto-intentional, 14
 protoplasm, 131

- protozoan, 126
 providence, 155, 177–178, 180
 psyche, 37
 psychoanalysis, 73
 psychological, 16, 37, 78, 89, 94, 129,
 149, 159, 173, 221, 223, 225–226,
 231, 234, 247, 252–253, 291
 psychologist, 66
 psychology, 10, 39, 47, 66, 80, 95,
 149, 159, 167, 278
 punishment, 25, 53, 89, 92, 95, 171,
 186–187
 purposeless, 76
 purposive, 47
 purposiveness, 93, 104
- Q*
- quale, 11
 qualitative, 11
 quality, 222, 224–226, 256
 quanta, 126–130
 quantum, 117, 130
 quarks, 81
 quasi-staticism, 9
quattrocento, 16, 235, 243–244, 248,
 254, 257–258
 Quine, W. V., 10, 135, 138, 144
- R*
- radical becoming, 120, 128, 151
 radicalism, 256
 radicalization, 116–117
 Ranke, L. von, 51, 261, 267, 270
 ratiocination, 41
 rational, 3–4, 6, 39, 41, 113, 151, 153,
 159, 305
 rationalism, 38, 40, 49, 247
Rausch, 124
 reactive, 13, 52, 72–73, 95
 realism, 36, 45, 78, 122, 127, 132, 258
 reality, 2, 4–5, 10–11, 36, 46, 54, 58,
 81–82, 84–85, 113, 118, 120, 123,
 127, 132, 138, 140, 159, 160, 179,
 189, 223, 278–279, 305–306
 recitative, 292
 recurring, 119, 181
 redemption, 14, 26, 28, 152, 175, 177–
 281
 reductionism, 65, 73, 222
 reductive, 10, 63–64, 132, 137, 151
 Reformation, 91, 244, 249, 250–251,
 259–260, 265, 268
Reformator des Lebens, 199
 Reginster, B., 10, 19, 181, 190
 regulative fiction, 8, 10
 regulative rule, 10
 Rehm, W., 233–234, 239, 262, 270
 Reibnitz, B. von, 245, 270
 Reinhardt, V., 263, 270
 relational, 126, 209
 relations, 8, 13–14, 30, 81, 84, 113–
 114, 122–130, 138, 142, 154, 157,
 191–192, 202, 209, 280
 relativism, 135–136
 religion, 92, 98–99, 102–103, 151,
 238, 240, 251, 261, 279, 281, 283
 religious, 25–27, 30, 40, 46, 52, 104,
 150, 155–156, 196, 234–236, 260,
 279, 281–282
 religious ceremonies, 27
 remedy, 6, 117–119
 remember, 87–88, 96–102, 106, 182,
 197
 Renaissance, X, 16, 19, 30–32, 53, 91,
 117, 174, 231–271, 286
 Renan, E., 25–27, 33, 56
 repetition, 149, 154–156, 180, 187,
 189
 replicative, 93–94
 replicator, 70
 republican, 17, 234, 236, 238, 240,
 255
 republics, 240
 resentful, 90, 92
 resentment, 57
 resistance, 47, 64, 151, 207–209, 261
 responsibility, 48, 95, 103, 108–109,
 194, 234
 Ressing, G., 241, 270
 restlessness, 221
 retension, 7
 retrospective stance, 14, 98–110
 reevaluation, 52, 56–57, 59, 140, 150–
 151, 225
 revenge, 27, 88, 98, 153, 181
 revolution, 52
 revolutionary, 68, 184, 236, 256, 258

- rhetorical, 36, 44, 139, 158
 rhetorician, 45
 rhyme, 295
 rhythm, 17, 292, 295–297, 301–307
 Ribbeck, O., 217, 228
 Richardson, IX, XI, 13, 19, 78, 85,
 87–111, 125, 130–133, 143–144,
 176, 190
 Richerson, P., 72, 74
 rigidity, 137, 205, 207
 Ritschl, F., 58, 213, 216–218, 220,
 226, 228
 Ritter-Santini, L., 262, 270
 rituals, 25
 Rockwell, W. T., 10, 11, 19
 Roeck, B., 263, 266, 270
 Rohde, E., 33, 214, 220, 228, 232
 Roman, 221, 234, 244, 265
 Romantic, 121, 128, 219, 234, 239,
 244
 Romanticism, 104
 Ross, W., 241, 244, 245, 247, 249,
 264, 270
 Rossi, R., 232, 270
 Ruehl, X, XI, 16, 19, **231–272**, 288
 Ruhstaller, P., 232, 270
 ruling caste, 257
 Rumohr, C. F. von, 234–236, 238, 270
 Rumsfeld, D., 41
 Russian, 297

 S

Sach-Philologie, 16, 215, 227
 sacred, 158
 sacrifice, 137, 165
 sacrilegious, 300
 Sadie, S., 292, 296, 307
 Salaquarda, J., 213–214, 228, 242,
 244, 249, 265
 Salin, E., 232, 245, 271
 salvational, 14, 154–155
 sameness, 136, 208
 Sandys, J. E., 215–218, 228
 Sautet, M., 256, 271
 sceptic, 40, 78, 122
 scepticism, 48, 77, 246, 264
Scheidekunst, 140
Schein, 3, 39, 137
 Schieder, Th., 241, 271
 Schiller, F. von, 135, 143, 196, 215,
 234, 240, 259, 266, 284, 288
 Schlechta, K., 232, 271
 Schlegel, F. von, 121, 214
 Schlegel, A. W. von, 214
Schmerzbringerin, 117–118
 Schmidt, M., 254, 268, 271
 scholastic factions, 16, 220
 Schopenhauer, A., 2–3, 13–19, 40, 75,
 77, 79, 82, 89, 115, 121, 124, 155,
 160, 167–168, 171, 190, 192–204,
 209–210, 225, 233, 241, 247, 248,
 267–268, 271, 275–289
 science, 4, 7, 10, 13, 17, 36, 42–43,
 46–48, 52, 54, 59, 65, 72, 76, 78,
 81, 91, 96, 99, 103, 106, 110, 117–
 118, 220, 238, 246, 264, 275, 282–
 283, 286, 287
 scientism, 236, 260, 287
 scriptural, 155
 secularization, 240, 250–251, 264
 secularized world-views, 114
 secularizers, 235
 security, 236, 240, 261
 sedimented layers of the past, 91
Sein, 4, 6, 28, 32, 122, 137, 197, 267
Sekurität, 236, 260
Selbstbewusstsein, 133
Selbstmord, 37
 selected-designed, 95, 97
 selection, 64, 67, 69–71, 93–94, 96–
 99, 108, 131, 133, 141, 183, 213
 selective, 16, 72, 77, 93, 97, 107, 109,
 139, 153, 162, 184, 225, 284
 self, 8, 17, 54, 99, 102, 106, 127, 131,
 133, 137–138, 176, 180, 185, 189,
 191, 197, 202, 235, 255, 260, 264
 self-knowledge, 91, 197
 self-legislation, 15, 192–197, 200,
 204, 207–209
 self-reflection, 4, 100
 semantic, 5, 129
 semblance, 137, 278
 sensation, 92, 95, 201, 295
 senses, 4–6, 26, 79, 85, 98, 117, 119,
 121, 124, 137, 157–158, 164
 sensorium, 115
 sexual, 133
 shame, 47, 201

- shamelessness, 219
 sick, 89, 95, 108, 167, 170–171, 174, 259
 sickness, 170, 177
 Siemens, H. W., IX, XI, 15, 19, **191–210**, 215, 228
 Simmel, G., 181, 190, 195, 210
 Simonde de Sismondi, J.-Ch. L., 235, 271
 simplicity, 24, 195
 simplification, 131
 simulation, 159
 simultaneity, 10, 12, 114, 130, 133, 140, 142
 simultaneity-thinking, 113, 134, 140, 142
 Sisyphus, 15, 163, 186–189
Sittengeschichte, 32
Sittengesetz, 195, 256
Sittlichkeit der Sitte, 97
 Skinner, Q., 35, 45, 50, 277, 289
 slave, 95, 108, 232, 251, 260–261
 slavish, 92, 206
 Smith, J. H., 125, 144
 sociability, 39
 social, 14, 26, 43, 49, 64, 67, 73, 88, 93–109, 174, 208, 232, 235–237, 255
 socialism, 260
 socialists, 174
 socialization, 89, 97
 sociobiology, 68
 sociology, 49
 sociopolitical, 232, 236, 241, 253–254
 Socrates, 13, 36, 38–42, 49, 79–80, 89, 135, 150, 163, 167, 286–287
 Socrates who makes music, 49
 Socratic, 17, 38, 42, 45, 49, 243, 248, 282–283, 287
 Soll, I., 181, 188, 190
 Sommer, A. U., 250, 261–262, 271
 Sophocles, 41–42, 45, 219, 286
 sorrow, 88, 90, 187
 soul, 8, 27, 35, 104, 165, 171, 173, 197, 223, 225, 246, 264, 291, 302
 soulless, 236
 sovereign, 97, 202, 237
 sovereignty, 103, 109, 253
 spatiotemporal, 80
 spectrum, 36, 134
 Spencer, H., 13, 67–68, 72
 Spengler, O., 30, 33
 Spir, A., 79–80
 spirit, 14, 29, 37, 44, 59, 149, 151, 215–216, 222, 226, 236, 240, 244, 250, 252, 263, 287
 spiritual, 25, 30, 101, 104, 151–152, 173, 178, 244, 256
 spirituality, 295
Sprache, 229
Staat, 268, 281, 289
 stability, 1, 29, 115, 126, 133–134
 stable, 3, 7, 15, 135, 151, 283, 302
 Stack, G. J., 115, 144
 Stadelmann, R., 239, 271
 Stahl, H.-P., 40, 43, 50
 Stambaugh, J., 123, 144, 213, 228
 Staten, H., 126, 144, 276, 289
 static, 1, 6, 8, 15, 127, 137
 staticism, 1–11
 staticist worldview, 2–6, 11
 Stierle, K., 233, 271
Stilkunst, 265
 stimulant, 207–208
 stimulus, 207, 237
 stoic, 117, 247
 stomach, 304
 Storr, A., 297, 307
 Stravinsky, I. F., 297, 300
 Strawson, G., 10–11, 18–19, 131–132, 143
 strength, 7, 18, 65, 94, 141, 160, 164, 208, 224, 260, 295, 304
 structuration, 38
 structureless, 128
 struggle, 42, 69–70, 73, 98, 154, 170, 191, 199–200, 204, 208, 214, 220, 225, 235, 238, 264, 281
Sturm und Drang, 233
 style, 1, 44–45, 259, 261, 285
 subconscious, 54, 185
 subjection, 205–207
 subjective, 77, 84, 127
 subjectivism, 137
 subjectivity, 84, 127, 233, 240, 262
 sublime, 172, 256, 282–283
 substance, 3, 8, 35, 84, 123, 127, 129
 substantiality, 125

substratum, 77, 84, 135
 subterranean, 26
 subtext, 261
 suffering, 5–6, 70, 87–88, 95, 108,
 118, 137, 150, 159, 164–175, 180–
 183, 247, 256, 279, 282
 suicidal instincts, 15, 169, 182
 suicidal nihilism, 15, 153, 166, 169
 suicide, 15, 25, 27, 153, 163–172, 181,
 184, 187
 superhistorical, 31
 superhuman, 15, 181, 183–186, 189,
 257–258, 278
 superman, 233, 262–263
 superstitious, 25–26
 suprahistorical, 103
 suspicion, 27, 99, 208, 232
 swimming and floating, 291–292
 symbol, 26, 151, 173, 182, 187, 262
 symbolic, 150, 160, 288
 symmetrical, 208
 symphonic, 202
 symptom, 85, 164
 system, 4, 7, 67, 71, 121, 133, 237,
 254, 282–283, 285
 systematic, 3, 12, 48, 113, 121, 192,
 276

T

taming, 7, 89, 170
 tautology, 152
 teleological, 14, 115, 130, 152, 154,
 155
 teleology, 55, 64, 92, 115, 121, 130
telos, 197
 temperate zone, 53
 temporal, 8, 12, 14, 80, 95, 114, 131–
 132, 142, 152–156, 191–193, 200,
 304, 306
 temporal movement, 152–155
 temporality, V, 3, 17, 114, 130–133,
 140, 142, 201
 tension, 9–13, 29, 91, 126, 138, 166,
 199, 209, 244
 testimony, 157
 theatre, 38, 131
 theatrical, 159
 Theognideian, 215
 theologian, 27, 31

theological, 48, 65, 156
 theology, 84
 theoretical, 10, 36–37, 81–82, 99–101,
 103, 109, 177–179, 188, 192, 199,
 213–214, 226, 237, 243, 246, 287
 theory, 9, 14, 26, 28, 39, 43, 63, 66,
 70–79, 82, 90, 100–102, 109, 111,
 123, 127, 131–134, 142, 158, 182,
 220, 235, 306
 therapy, 117
 thing, 1, 3, 8, 28, 40, 44, 49, 53, 56,
 67, 77, 83–87, 115, 126, 127, 168,
 172, 197, 254, 284
 thing-in-itself, 3–4, 17, 54, 75, 77, 78,
 81–84, 280, 283–284, 303–304
 Thompson, E., 10, 19
 Thucydidean, 12, 37, 41, 44–47
 Thucydides, IX, 12, 18, 35–50, 58–59
 tightrope, 173
 time. *See* absolute time, adaptation
 over time, aporia of time,
 atemporal, becoming, circular time,
 conscious time, cyclic repetition,
 cyclical, diegetic time, eternal
 recurrence, eternal return, flux of
 time, historical time, ill-will
 towards time, infinite time, linear,
 non-circularity, novelistic model,
 permanent, pessimistic model,
 positivistic, power over time, reality
 of time, revenge against time and
 becoming, salvational, teleological,
 time for us, time itself, time
 signatures, time-atom theory.
 time-atom theory, 7
 time-in-itself, 18, 303–306
 timeless, 7, 23, 142, 248
 Todte, M., 240, 271
 togetherness, 139
 tonic, 18, 292, 304, 307
 Tooby, J., 73
 torture, 171, 187
 totality, 125, 133, 177
 towardness, 93
 Tracy, J. D., 244, 271
 Traeger, J., 238, 271
 tragedians, 243
 tragedy, 12, 37, 45–47, 160, 219, 222,
 226, 244, 247, 275–276, 286, 288

- tragic, 150, 152, 155–156, 159–160, 187, 219, 243, 250, 286, 287
- tragic finitude, 152, 155
- transcendence, 150–152, 155, 196, 197
- transcendent, 85, 115, 125, 175, 198, 275, 280
- transcendental, 78–81, 110
- transcendentally ideal, 77, 80–81, 85
- transconsistent, 140
- transformation, 6, 70, 155, 159, 199, 219, 262
- transgressive, 239, 256, 258, 263–264
- transient, 137
- transvaluation, 191, 209, 241–242, 250, 257, 259
- transvaluative, 250, 259, 260, 262
- trauma, 153, 155
- Tristan, 292–301, 307
- Troeltsch, E., 29–30, 33
- trope, 157
- tropical, 259
- truth, 4–6, 9, 11, 36, 46, 48, 59, 77, 100–102, 104, 106–107, 110–111, 114, 116, 119, 122, 124, 131, 142, 151, 155, 159, 165–167, 174, 178, 185, 194, 199, 221, 223, 247, 251, 275, 279, 281
- truthfulness, 39, 41, 48, 199, 249
- Tugend*, 242, 261
- two-world metaphysics, 7, 121, 135
- tyrannical, 203, 208, 237, 239–240, 255–256, 264
- tyranny, 16, 78, 203, 207, 233, 255–256, 295
- Ü
- überflüssig*, 138
- Übermensch*, 135, 190
- Uekermann, G., 262–263, 271
- Ulfers, F., 215, 228
- ultimates, 9
- uncertainty, 6–7, 222, 256
- unconscious, 11, 93, 129, 130–131, 133
- undecidability, 158
- undefinable, 28
- unfreedom, 178, 208, 237
- unhappiness, 281
- unhealthy, 221, 305
- unhistorical, 56, 103
- unidirectional, 186
- unification, 15
- unified, 136, 186
- unifying, 196, 202, 209
- union, 139
- uniqueness, 194
- unitary, 26, 49
- unity, 28, 76, 114–115, 121, 135, 136, 139, 142, 193, 202, 207, 287
- universal, 48, 76, 92, 127, 191, 195, 204, 207–208, 254–255, 283, 287
- universe, 48, 114, 131, 238
- univocal, 157
- unobservable, 81–82
- unperceived posits, 82
- Unschuld*, 115
- Unsinn*, 6
- unsinnlich*, 6
- unveiling, 174, 284
- Usener, H., 23, 30, 33
- utilitarian, 239, 260
- utility, 64, 66–68, 108
- V
- Vacano, D. A. von, 258, 271
- vague, 9, 305
- value, 3–10, 13, 29, 32, 36, 42, 45, 53, 55, 63–68, 78, 96, 108, 110–115, 119, 121, 132, 139, 150, 154, 163–165, 168, 198, 199, 208, 214, 222–227
- value of life, 163–164, 198
- Vattimo, G., 122, 144
- vector, 129
- veil, 84, 117, 238, 280, 283–284
- veiled, 197, 254
- vengeful, 250
- Versteinerung*, 300
- vice, 97, 129, 195, 223, 257, 301
- violence, 16, 208, 223, 233, 241, 261, 263, 281
- violent, 139, 141, 175, 231, 238, 240, 246, 255
- virtue, 3, 41–42, 78, 93, 96, 168, 173, 242, 261, 286, 295
- visual, 305
- vital, 116, 139, 259–260

- vitality, 235
 Vivarelli, V., 247, 271
 Vogt, E., 217, 228
 Voigt, G., 240, 271
 volition, 47, 129
 Volpi, F., 242, 271
 voluntary, 2, 15, 25, 27, 47
vornehm, 250
 Vrba, E., 68, 73
- W
- Wagner, R., XIII, XIV, 15–17, 37, 144, 192–193, 199, 200–204, 218, 220, 226, 228, 233, 241–254, 263–271, 278–307
Wahn, 281–287
 Waldenfels, B., 10, 19, 138, 144
 war, 16, 41–46, 196, 202, 233, 245, 259, 261, 283
 warmth, 27
 water, 9, 100
 weak, 36, 41, 80, 108, 119, 169, 171, 260
 weakness, 36, 94, 135, 154, 260
 Weber, M., 30, 33, 235, 237, 267, 272
Wechselbestimmung, 128
 Weibel, O., 234, 272
 Welshon, R., 118, 127, 129, 143–144
 Wenzel, J., 236, 272
Werden, 6, 113, 121, 133, 144, 270.
See also becoming.
 West, M. L., 30, 40, 50, 151
 Wheeler III, S. C., 10, 19
 whole, V, 1, 3, 8, 40, 43, 54, 56, 65, 79, 82, 89–90, 97, 101, 104, 115, 122, 125, 130–142, 165, 169, 177, 187, 193, 200, 216, 220–221, 225, 252, 278, 283–284, 296, 304
 wholeness, 185
Widerfahrnis, 138
 Wilamowitz-Moellendorff, U. von, 214, 216, 218, 220, 227–229
 will to power, 14, 27, 64, 69–70, 72, 83, 92, 111–115, 123–134, 141–142, 150–153, 167, 171, 184, 195, 257
Wille, 144, 289
 Williams, B. IX, 12, 18, 33–50, 64, 66, 74, 145
 willing, 14–15, 79–80, 88, 90, 95, 100, 101–111, 118, 137, 154, 164, 176–187
 wills, 14, 91–94, 132, 167, 186, 284, 305
 Winkelmann, J. J., 246
Wirklichkeit, 18
 Wolf, F. A., 51, 213–216, 223, 226, 229
 Wölfflin, H., 258, 272
 world-disclosive, 14, 149, 160
 world-negating, 3
 worldview, 2, 118
 worthlessness, 199, 225
Wort-Philologie, 16, 215
 Wotan, 226
- Y
- Young, J., 123, 144, 168, 177–180, 187, 190, 269
- Z
- Zeeden, E. W., 239, 241, 272
Zeit, 199–200, 260, 264, 269, 272, 288
Zeitalter, 255
Zeitdauer, 300
 Zuckert, C., 213, 229
Zugleich-Denken, 113, 134
Zukunft, 192
Zukunftsmusik, 296
Zukunftspanilologie, 214, 218

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008