

The University of North Carolina
at Greensboro

JACKSON LIBRARY



..... CQ

..... no. 737

.....
.....
.....
Gift of
George David Joyner
COLLEGE COLLECTION

JOYNER, GEORGE DAVID. A Stieltjes Integral Existence Theorem. (1970)
Directed by: Dr. H. B. Hoyle . pp. 43

Definition: Let f be a function from $[a,b]$ into the real numbers. Then f is said to be locally variable on $[a,b]$ provided there is a positive integer N such that if $\{s_p\}_0^n$ is an increasing sequence with $s_0 = a$ and $s_n = b$, then f is of bounded variation on all but at most N of the intervals $[s_{p-1}, s_p]$ for $0 < p \leq n$.

Theorem: Let f be a continuous function from $[a,b]$ to the real numbers that is locally variable. If there exists a number M such that if $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ is a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a,b]$, then

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) \right| < M, \text{ then } \int_a^b f \, df \text{ exists.}$$

4

APPROVAL SHEET
A STIELTJES INTEGRAL EXISTENCE THEOREM

This thesis has been approved by the following committee of
the Faculty of the Graduate School at the University of North
Carolina at Greensboro.

by

George David Joyner

Thesis
Adviser: Hughes B. Hayle, III

A Thesis Submitted to
the Faculty of the Graduate School at
The University of North Carolina at Greensboro
in Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree
Master of Arts

Greensboro
March, 1970

Hughes B. Hayle, III

March 17, 1970
Date of Examination

Approved by

Hughes B. Hayle, III
Thesis Adviser

APPROVAL SHEET

This thesis has been approved by the following committee of
the Faculty of the Graduate School at the University of North
Carolina at Greensboro.

Thesis
Adviser

Hughes B. Hoyle, III

Oral Examination
Committee Members

Robert L. Bernhardt

Karl Ray Gentry

Hughes B. Hoyle, III

March 17, 1970
Date of Examination

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

With sincere appreciation and gratitude I would like to thank
Dr. H. B. Hoyle for his patient assistance and encouragement that made
this thesis possible.

CHAPTER II: A SIMILAR INTERNAL EXISTENCE THROUGH THE SAME
FUNCTIONS NOT OF BOUNDED VARIATION 21

BIBLIOGRAPHY 43

358895

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	v
CHAPTER I: NOTATION, DEFINITIONS, AND SOME PROPERTIES OF STIELTJES INTEGRALS	1
CHAPTER II: A STIELTJES INTEGRAL EXISTENCE THEOREM FOR SOME FUNCTIONS NOT OF BOUNDED VARIATION	21
BIBLIOGRAPHY	43

358895

INTRODUCTION

This paper is the result of inquiry into questions that arose concerning Stieltjes integrals. During a course in real analysis at the University of North Carolina at Greensboro, the students were asked to find an example of a continuous function f from $[0,1]$ to the real numbers such that $\int_0^1 f \, df$ did not exist. The function f such that $f(x) = \begin{cases} x \sin \frac{\pi}{x} & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$ was selected since it is a function

not of bounded variation. It was observed that the set of sums over all Stieltjes subdivisions of $[0,1]$ was bounded for the function f with respect to itself. Thus the question remained, does $\int_0^1 f \, df$ exist? It is shown in the paper that $\int_0^1 f \, df$ does exist.

In looking for a general condition weaker than bounded variation under which Stieltjes integrals exist, three questions were asked. First, if f is continuous does $\int_a^b f \, df$ exist? A counter example is given in the paper. Second, does $\int_a^b f \, df$ exist if and only if f is continuous and f^2 is of bounded variation? A counter example for this question is given in the paper. Last, if f is continuous and the set of sums over all Stieltjes subdivisions of $[a,b]$ is bounded does $\int_a^b f \, df$ exist? By the addition of a condition called locally variable as a restriction on the function, the last question can be answered affirmatively.

CHAPTER I

Notation, Definitions and Some Properties of Stieltjes Integrals

Notation: The symbol $\left\{s_p\right\}_a^b = s$ means that a and b are nonnegative integers and s is a sequence whose domain is the set to which the integer p belongs only in case $a \leq p \leq b$.

Definition 1: A Stieltjes subdivision of the interval $[a, b]$ is a nondecreasing finite sequence $s = \left\{s_p\right\}_0^{2m}$ such that $s_0 = a$ and $s_{2m} = b$. If $\left\{s_p\right\}_0^{2m}$ is a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ the norm of s , denoted $\|s\|$, is defined by $\|s\| = \sup \left\{s_{2p} - s_{2p-2} \mid 1 \leq p \leq m\right\}$. If $\left\{s_p\right\}_0^{2m}$ is a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ then the even part of s is the set $\left\{s_{2p} \mid 0 \leq p \leq m\right\}$ and the odd part of s is the set $\left\{s_{2p-1} \mid 1 \leq p \leq m\right\}$.

Definition 2: A refinement of a Stieltjes subdivision $\left\{s_p\right\}_0^{2n}$ of $[a, b]$ is a Stieltjes subdivision $\left\{t_p\right\}_0^{2m}$ of $[a, b]$ such that the even part of $\left\{s_p\right\}_0^{2n}$ is a subsequence of the even part of $\left\{t_p\right\}_0^{2m}$.

Definition 3: The function f from $[a, b]$ to the real numbers is said to be of bounded variation only in case there is a number

$V < \infty$ such that if $\left\{s_p\right\}_0^{2m}$ is a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ then $\sum_{p=1}^m |f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})| < V$. The total variation of f is the smallest number V such that if $\left\{s_p\right\}_0^{2m}$ is a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ then $\sum_{p=1}^m |f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})| \leq V$.

Definition 4: Let a and b be real numbers with $a \leq b$. Let f and g be functions from $[a, b]$ to the real numbers. The Stieltjes integral from a to b of f with respect to g denoted $\int_a^b f dg$ is a number z such that if ϵ is a positive number there is a Stieltjes subdivision $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ of $[a, b]$ such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ then

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) - z \right| < \epsilon.$$

The following three theorems are easily proved and hence are stated without proof.

Theorem 1: Let $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ and let $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ be a refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$. If $\{r_p\}_0^{2q}$ is a refinement of $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ then $\{r_p\}_0^{2q}$ is a refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$.

Theorem 2: Let $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ and $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ be Stieltjes subdivisions of $[a, b]$. There exists a Stieltjes subdivision $\{r_p\}_0^{2q}$ that is a common refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ and $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$.

Theorem 3: Let f and g be functions from $[a, b]$ to the real numbers. If $\int_a^b f dg$ exists then $\int_a^b f dg$ is unique.

Theorem 4: If $\int_a^b f dg$ exists and c is a real number then

$$c \int_a^b f dg = \int_a^b c f dg = \int_a^b f d(CG).$$

Proof: Let ϵ be a positive number. Either $c = 0$ or $c \neq 0$. If $c = 0$ then let $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ then

$$\left| \int_a^b f dg - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| < \epsilon. \text{ Let } \{t_p\}_0^{2m} \text{ be a}$$

refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| c \int_a^b f dg - c \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| \\ &= |c| \left| \int_a^b f dg - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| < |c| \cdot \epsilon = 0 < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Then } \left| c \int_a^b f dg - \sum_{p=1}^m c f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| =$$

$$\left| c \int_a^b f dg - c \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| < \epsilon \text{ and by theorem 3}$$

$$c \int_a^b f dg = \int_a^b c f dg. \text{ Also}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| c \int_a^b f dg - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(cg(t_{2p}) - cg(t_{2p-2})) \right| \\ &= \left| c \int_a^b f dg - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1}) c (g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| \\ &= \left| c \int_a^b f dg - c \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| < \epsilon \text{ and by} \end{aligned}$$

theorem 3, $c \int_a^b f dg = \int_a^b f d(CG)$. If $c \neq 0$ then let $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ be a

Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a refinement

of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ then $\left| \int_a^b f dg - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{|c|}$.

Let $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ be a refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| c \int_a^b f dg - c \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| \\ &= |c| \left| \int_a^b f dg - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| < |c| \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{|c|} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } & \left| c \int_a^b f dg - \sum_{p=1}^m c f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| \\ &= \left| c \int_a^b f dg - c \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| < \epsilon \text{ and by theorem} \end{aligned}$$

$$3 \quad c \int_a^b f dg = \int_a^b c f dg. \text{ Also}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| c \int_a^b f dg - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(cg(t_{2p}) - cg(t_{2p-2})) \right| \\ &= \left| c \int_a^b f dg - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1}) c (g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| \\ &= \left| c \int_a^b f dg - c \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| < \epsilon \text{ and by theorem} \end{aligned}$$

$$3, \quad c \int_a^b f dg = \int_a^b f d(CG).$$

Theorem 5: If $\int_a^b f dh$ and $\int_a^b g dh$ exist then $\int_a^b (f + g) dh =$

$$\int_a^b f dh + \int_a^b g dh.$$

Proof: Let ϵ be a positive number. Let $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a refinement of

$$\{s_p\}_0^{2n} \text{ then } \left| \int_a^b f \, dh - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(h(t_{2p}) - h(t_{2p-2})) \right| < \epsilon/2. \text{ Let}$$

$\{r_p\}_0^{2k}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a refinement of $\{r_p\}_0^{2k}$ then

$$\left| \int_a^b g \, dh - \sum_{p=1}^m g(t_{2p-1})(h(t_{2p}) - h(t_{2p-2})) \right| < \epsilon/2. \text{ Let } \{z_p\}_0^{2q} \text{ be a}$$

common refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ and $\{r_p\}_0^{2k}$. Let $\{z_p\}_0^{2q}$ be a refinement of $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ then

$$\left| \int_a^b f \, dh + \int_a^b g \, dh - \sum_{p=1}^q (f + g)(z_{2p-1})(h(z_{2p}) - h(z_{2p-2})) \right| =$$

$$\left| \int_a^b f \, dh + \int_a^b g \, dh - \sum_{p=1}^q (f(z_{2p-1}) + g(z_{2p-1}))(h(z_{2p}) - h(z_{2p-2})) \right| =$$

$$\left| \int_a^b f \, dh + \int_a^b g \, dh - \sum_{p=1}^q f(z_{2p-1})(h(z_{2p}) - h(z_{2p-2})) -$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^q g(z_{2p-1})(h(z_{2p}) - h(z_{2p-2})) \right| \leq$$

$$\left| \int_a^b f \, dh - \sum_{p=1}^q f(z_{2p-1})(h(z_{2p}) - h(z_{2p-2})) \right| +$$

$$\left| \int_a^b g \, dh - \sum_{p=1}^q g(z_{2p-1})(h(z_{2p}) - h(z_{2p-2})) \right| < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon. \text{ By}$$

theorem 3, $\int_a^b f \, dh + \int_a^b g \, dh = \int_a^b (f + g) \, dh.$

Theorem 6: If $\int_a^b f dh$ and $\int_a^b f dg$ exist then $\int_a^b f d(h + g)$

$$= \int_a^b f dh + \int_a^b f dg.$$

Proof: Let ϵ be a positive number. Let $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ then $|\int_a^b f dh - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(h(t_{2p}) - h(t_{2p-2}))| < \epsilon/2$. Let $\{r_p\}_0^{2k}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a refinement of $\{r_p\}_0^{2k}$ then

$$|\int_a^b f dg - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2}))| < \epsilon/2. \text{ Let } \{t_p\}_0^{2m} \text{ be}$$

a common refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ and $\{r_p\}_0^{2k}$. Let $\{z_p\}_0^{2q}$ be a refinement of $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$.

$$|\int_a^b f dh + \int_a^b f dg - \sum_{p=1}^q f(z_{2p-1})[(h + g)(z_{2p}) - (h + g)(z_{2p-2})]| =$$

$$|\int_a^b f dh + \int_a^b f dg - \sum_{p=1}^q f(z_{2p-1})[(h(z_{2p}) - h(z_{2p-2})) +$$

$$(g(z_{2p}) - g(z_{2p-2}))]| =$$

$$|\int_a^b f dh + \int_a^b f dg - \sum_{p=1}^q f(z_{2p-1})(h(z_{2p}) - h(z_{2p-2})) -$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^q f(z_{2p-1})(g(z_{2p}) - g(z_{2p-2}))| \leq |\int_a^b f dh -$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^q f(z_{2p-1})(h(z_{2p}) - h(z_{2p-2})) \mid +$$

$$\left| \int_a^b f dg - \sum_{p=1}^q f(z_{2p-1})(g(z_{2p}) - g(z_{2p-2})) \right| < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon. \text{ By}$$

theorem 3, $\int_a^b f dh + \int_a^b f dg = \int_a^b f d(h + g).$

Theorem 7: If $\int_a^b f dg$ exists then $\int_a^b g df$ exists and

$$\int_a^b f dg + \int_a^b g df = f(b)g(b) - f(a)g(a)$$

Proof: Let ϵ be a positive number. Let $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$

then $\left| \int_a^b f dg - \sum_{p=1}^m f(s_{2p-1})(g(s_{2p}) - g(s_{2p-2})) \right| < \epsilon.$ Let $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$

be a refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ such that if $0 \leq p \leq 2n$ then

$$\begin{cases} t_{2p} = t_{2p+1} = t_{2p+2} = s_p & \text{for } p \text{ even} \\ t_{2p+1} = s_p & \text{for } p \text{ odd} \end{cases} .$$

Let $\{r_p\}_0^{2k}$ be a refinement of $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$. Then

$$\left| f(b)g(b) - f(a)g(a) - \int_a^b f dg - \sum_{p=1}^k g(r_{2p-1})(f(r_{2p}) - f(r_{2p-2})) \right| =$$

$$\left| f(r_{2k})g(r_{2k-1}) - f(r_0)g(r_1) - \int_a^b f dg - \sum_{p=1}^k g(r_{2p-1})f(r_{2p}) + \right.$$

$$\left. \sum_{p=1}^k g(r_{2p-1})f(r_{2p-2}) \right| =$$

$$\left| - \int_a^b f dg - \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} g(r_{2p-1})f(r_{2p}) + \sum_{p=2}^k g(r_{2p-1})f(r_{2p-2}) \right| =$$

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} g(r_{2p+1})f(r_{2p}) - \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} g(r_{2p-1})f(r_{2p}) - \int_a^b f dg \right| =$$

$\left| \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} f(r_{2p})(g(r_{2p+1}) - g(r_{2p-1})) - \int_a^b f dg \right|$. If p is an even integer and $0 \leq p \leq 2n$ then there is an integer j such that

$$p \leq j \leq k-1 \text{ and } s_p = t_{2p} = t_{2p+1} = t_{2p+2} = r_{2j} = r_{2j+1} = r_{2j+2}.$$

Thus $a = s_0 = t_1 = r_1$ and $b = s_{2n} = t_{4n+1} = r_{2k-1}$. Let $v_{p-1} = r_p$ for $1 \leq p \leq 2k-1$ then $\{v_p\}_0^{2k-2}$ is a refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ and

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} f(r_{2p})(g(r_{2p+1}) - g(r_{2p-1})) - \int_a^b f dg \right| =$$

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} f(v_{2p-1})(g(v_{2p}) - g(v_{2p-2})) - \int_a^b f dg \right| < \epsilon. \text{ Thus}$$

$$\left| f(b)g(b) - f(a)g(a) - \int_a^b f dg - \sum_{p=1}^k g(r_{2p-1})(f(r_{2p}) - f(r_{2p-2})) \right| < \epsilon,$$

hence by theorem 3, $\int_a^b g df$ exists, and $\int_a^b g df =$

$$f(b)g(b) - f(a)g(a) - \int_a^b f dg.$$

Corollary 1: If $\int_a^b f \, df$ exists then $\int_a^b f \, df = \frac{(f(b))^2 - (f(a))^2}{2}$.

Proof: By theorem 7, $\int_a^b f \, df + \int_a^b f \, df = f(b)f(b) - f(a)f(a)$

and therefore $\int_a^b f \, df = \frac{(f(b))^2 - (f(a))^2}{2}$.

Corollary 2: If $\int_a^b f \, df$ exists and $f(a) = f(b)$ then $\int_a^b f \, df = 0$.

Proof: By corollary 1, $\int_a^b f \, df = \frac{(f(b))^2 - f(a)^2}{2} = \frac{0}{2} = 0$.

Let f and g be functions from $[a, b]$ to the real numbers such that if $\epsilon > 0$ then there is a Stieltjes subdivision $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ of $[a, b]$ such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ then

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(g(s_{2p}) - g(s_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| < \epsilon.$$

Let $S = \{s_p\}_1^\infty$ be the sequence defined by $s_1 =$ Stieltjes subdivision $\{(s_1)_p\}_0^{2n}$ of $[a, b]$ such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a refinement of s_1 then

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{1_{2p-1}})(g(s_{1_{2p}}) - g(s_{1_{2p-2}})) \right| < \epsilon.$$

$s_{i+1} =$ Stieltjes subdivision $\{(s_{i+1})_p\}_0^{2n}$ of $[a, b]$ such that s_{i+1} refines s_i and if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a refinement of s_{i+1} then

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{i+1_{2p-1}})(g(s_{i+1_{2p}}) - g(s_{i+1_{2p-2}})) \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{i+1}.$$

Let $v = \{v_k\}_1^\infty$ be the sequence defined by

$$v_k = \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{k_{2p-1}})(g(s_{k_{2p}}) - g(s_{k_{2p-2}}))$$

In the following three lemmas f , g , S , and v are as above.

Lemma 1: Let ϵ be a positive number and let δ be a positive number such that $\delta < \epsilon$. Let $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ be the Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ then

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(g(s_{2p}) - g(s_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| < \epsilon. \text{ There exist a refinement}$$

$\{\bar{s}_p\}_0^{2q}$ of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a refinement of $\{\bar{s}_p\}_0^{2q}$

$$\text{then } \left| \sum_{p=1}^q f(\bar{s}_{2p-1})(g(\bar{s}_{2p}) - g(\bar{s}_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| < \delta.$$

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| < \delta.$$

Proof: Let $\{r_p\}_0^{2k}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a refinement of $\{r_p\}_0^{2k}$ then

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^k f(r_{2p-1})(g(r_{2p}) - g(r_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| < \delta.$$

$g(t_{2p-2})) | < \frac{\xi}{2}$. Let $\{\bar{s}_p\}_0^{2q}$ be a common refinement of $\{r_p\}_0^{2k}$ and $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$. Then $\{\bar{s}_p\}_0^{2q}$ is a refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ and if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a refinement of $\{\bar{s}_p\}_0^{2q}$ it is also a refinement of $\{r_p\}_0^{2k}$ and

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^q f(\bar{s}_{2p-1})(g(\bar{s}_{2p}) - g(\bar{s}_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right|$$

$$g(t_{2p-2})) | \leq$$

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^q f(\bar{s}_{2p-1})(g(\bar{s}_{2p}) - g(\bar{s}_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^k f(r_{2p-1})(g(r_{2p}) - g(r_{2p-2})) \right| +$$

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^k f(r_{2p-1})(g(r_{2p}) - g(r_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right|$$

$$g(t_{2p-2})) | < \frac{\xi}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2} = \xi.$$

Thus $\{\bar{s}_p\}_0^{2q}$ is a refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a refinement of $\{\bar{s}_p\}_0^{2q}$ then $\left| \sum_{p=1}^q f(\bar{s}_{2p-1})(g(\bar{s}_{2p}) - g(\bar{s}_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| < \xi$.

$$\sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) | < \xi.$$

Lemma 2: The sequence V converges.

Proof: Let ϵ be a positive number. There exist a positive integer N such that $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon/2 < \epsilon$. Let c and d be positive integers such that $c \geq N$ and $d \geq N$. There is a Stieltjes subdivision s_c of $[a, b]$ such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a refinement of s_c then

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{c_{2p-1}})(g(s_{c_{2p}}) - g(s_{c_{2p-2}})) \right| < \frac{1}{c}.$$

There is a Stieltjes subdivision s_d of $[a, b]$

such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a refinement of s_d then

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^q f(s_{d_{2p-1}})(g(s_{d_{2p}}) - g(s_{d_{2p-2}})) - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| < \frac{1}{d}.$$

Let $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ be a common refinement of s_c and s_d then

$$|V_d - V_c| =$$

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^q f(s_{d_{2p-1}})(g(s_{d_{2p}}) - g(s_{d_{2p-2}})) - \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{c_{2p-1}})(g(s_{c_{2p}}) - g(s_{c_{2p-2}})) \right| =$$

$$g(s_{c_{2p-2}}) \Big| =$$

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^q f(s_{d_{2p-1}})(g(s_{d_{2p}}) - g(s_{d_{2p-2}})) - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| +$$

$$g(t_{2p-2}) \Big| +$$

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{c_{2p-1}})(g(s_{c_{2p}}) - g(s_{c_{2p-2}})) \right| \leq$$

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^q f(s_{d_{2p-1}})(g(s_{d_{2p}}) - g(s_{d_{2p-2}})) - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| +$$

$$g(t_{2p-2})) | +$$

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{c_{2p-1}})(g(s_{c_{2p}}) - g(s_{c_{2p-2}})) \right| <$$

$$\frac{1}{d} + \frac{1}{c} \leq \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N} < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon. \text{ Thus if } \epsilon > 0$$

there exist a positive integer N such that if c and d are integers and $N < c, d$ then $|V_d - V_c| =$

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^q f(s_{d_{2p-1}})(g(s_{d_{2p}}) - g(s_{d_{2p-2}})) - \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{c_{2p-1}})(g(s_{c_{2p}}) - g(s_{c_{2p-2}})) \right| < \epsilon.$$

Thus V is a Cauchy sequence and V converges.

Lemma 3: $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} V_k = \int_a^b f dg$

Proof: Let ϵ be a positive number. Since V converges let $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} V_k = Z$. There exists a positive integer N such that if k is

an integer and $N \leq k$ then $|Z - V_k| < \epsilon/2$. Let k be an integer such that $N \leq k$ and $\frac{1}{k} < \epsilon/2$. Let $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ be a refinement of

$$s_k = \left\{ s_{k_p} \right\}_0^{2n} \text{ then}$$

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{k_{2p-1}})(g(s_{k_{2p}}) - g(s_{k_{2p-2}})) \right| <$$

$$g(s_{k_{2p-2}}) | =$$

$$\left| Z - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) - V_k \right| < \frac{1}{k}. \text{ Then}$$

$$\left| Z - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| = \left| Z - \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{k_{2p-1}})(g(s_{k_{2p}}) - g(s_{k_{2p-2}})) + \right.$$

$$\left. g(s_{k_{2p-2}}) \right) +$$

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{k_{2p-1}})(g(s_{k_{2p}}) - g(s_{k_{2p-2}})) - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| \leq$$

$$\left| Z - \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{k_{2p-1}})(g(s_{k_{2p}}) - g(s_{k_{2p-2}})) \right| + \left| \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{k_{2p-1}})(g(s_{k_{2p}}) - g(s_{k_{2p-2}})) - \right.$$

$$\left. g(s_{k_{2p-2}}) \right) - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right|$$

$$= \left| Z - V_k \right| + \left| V_k - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| < \epsilon/2 + \frac{1}{k} <$$

$\epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon$. Thus if $\epsilon > 0$, Z is a number and s_k is a Stieltjes

subdivision of $[a, b]$ such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a refinement of s_k

then $\left| Z - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| < \epsilon$. Therefore $Z =$

$$\int_a^b f dg \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} V_k = \int_a^b f dg.$$

The preceding lemmas and definitions may be combined and stated as follows.

Theorem 8: Let f and g be functions from $[a,b]$ to the real numbers. If ϵ is a positive number and there exists a Stieltjes subdivision $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ of $[a,b]$ such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ refines $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$

$$\text{then } \left| \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(g(s_{2p}) - g(s_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right| < \epsilon, \text{ then } \int_a^b f dg \text{ exists.}$$

Theorem 9: Let f and g be functions from $[a,b]$ to the real numbers. If f is continuous and g is of bounded variation on $[a,b]$ then $\int_a^b f dg$ exists.

Proof: Let ϵ be a positive number. Let H be the total variation of g . By the uniform continuity of f , let δ be a positive number such that if $x, y \in [a,b]$ and $|x - y| < \delta$ then $|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{1+H}$. Let $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a,b]$ such that $\|s\| < \delta$. Let $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ be a refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$. Since each t_{2p-1} , $1 \leq p \leq m$, is contained in some $[s_{2k-2}, s_{2k}]$, then there is a number z_{2p-1} such that $f(s_{2k-1}) + z_{2p-1} = f(t_{2p-1})$

$$\text{and } |z_{2p-1}| < \frac{\epsilon}{1+H}. \text{ Then } \left| \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(g(s_{2p}) - g(s_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(g(s_{2p}) - g(s_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^m (f(s_{2k-1}) + z_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(g(s_{2p}) - g(s_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^m (f(s_{2k-1}) + z_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) \right|$$

$g(t_{2p-2}))$ | and since the even part of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ is contained in the even part of $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ the above equals $|\sum_{p=1}^m f(s_{2p-1})(g(s_{2p}) - g(s_{2p-2})) -$

$$g(s_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(g(s_{2p}) - g(s_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^m z_{2p-1}(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2}))|$$

$$= |\sum_{p=1}^m z_{2p-1}(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2}))| \leq \sum_{p=1}^m |z_{2p-1}| |g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})| <$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^m \frac{\epsilon}{1+H} |g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})| = \frac{\epsilon}{1+H} \sum_{p=1}^m |g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{1+H}$$

$H < \epsilon$. Thus if $\epsilon > 0$ there is a Stieltjes subdivision $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ of $[a, b]$ such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ then

$$|\sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(g(s_{2p}) - g(s_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2}))| < \epsilon$$

and by theorem 8, $\int_a^b f dg$ exists.

Theorem 10: If $a \leq c \leq b$ and $\int_a^b f dg$ exists then $\int_a^b f dg =$

$$\int_a^c f dg + \int_c^b f dg.$$

Proof: Let ϵ be a positive number. Since $\int_a^b f dg$ exists let $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is

a refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ then $|\int_a^b f dg - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2}))| < \epsilon/2$. Let $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ be a refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ such that

$t_{2k} = c$. Let $\{r_p\}_0^{2q}$ be a refinement of $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ such that $r_{2j} = c$ and $\{r_p\}_0^{2q}$ is identical to $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ on $[c, b]$. Then $\epsilon = \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 >$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) - \int_a^b f dg \right| + \left| \int_a^b f dg - \right. \\ & \left. \sum_{p=1}^q f(r_{2p-1})(g(r_{2p}) - g(r_{2p-2})) \right| \\ & \geq \left| \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^q f(r_{2p-1})(g(r_{2p}) - g(r_{2p-2})) \right| = \\ & \left| \sum_{p=1}^k f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^j f(r_{2p-1})(g(r_{2p}) - g(r_{2p-2})) \right| \text{ thus} \end{aligned}$$

$\{t_p\}_0^{2k}$ is a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, c]$ such that if $\{r_p\}_0^{2j}$ is

a refinement of $\{t_p\}_0^{2k}$ then $\left| \sum_{p=1}^k f(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^j f(r_{2p-1})(g(r_{2p}) - g(r_{2p-2})) \right| < \epsilon$. Therefore by theorem 8, $\int_a^c f dg$

exists. By similar method $\int_c^b f dg$ exists. Let $\{u_p\}_0^{2k}$ be a

Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, c]$ such that if $\{w_p\}_0^{2j}$ is a refinement

of $\{u_p\}_0^{2k}$ then $\left| \sum_{p=1}^j f(w_{2p-1})(g(w_{2p}) - g(w_{2p-2})) - \int_a^c f dg \right| < \epsilon/2$.

Let $\{v_p\}_0^{2i}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision of $[c, b]$ such that if $\{w_p\}_0^{2q}$

is a refinement of $\{v_p\}_0^{2i}$ then

$\left| \sum_{p=1}^q f(w_{2p-1})(g(w_{2p}) - g(w_{2p-2})) - \int_c^b f dg \right| < \epsilon/2$. Let $\{z_p\}_0^{2(i+k)}$ be

the Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ such that $z_p = u_p$ for $0 \leq p \leq 2k$ and $z_p = v_{p-2k}$ for $2k \leq p \leq 2(i+k)$. Let $\{W_p\}_0^{2m}$ be a refinement of $\{z_p\}_0^{2(i+k)}$ then there is an integer $d \leq m$ such that $W_{2d} = z_{2i}$.

$$\text{Then } \epsilon = \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 > \left| \sum_{p=1}^d f(W_{2p-1})(g(W_{2p}) - g(W_{2p-2})) - \int_a^c f dg \right| +$$

$$\left| \sum_{p=d+1}^m f(W_{2p-1})(g(W_{2p}) - g(W_{2p-2})) - \int_c^b f dg \right| \geq \left| \sum_{p=1}^m f(W_{2p-1})(g(W_{2p}) -$$

$$g(W_{2p-2})) - \left(\int_a^c f dg + \int_c^b f dg \right) \right|. \text{ Thus by theorem 3,}$$

$$\int_a^c f dg + \int_c^b f dg = \int_a^b f dg.$$

Theorem 11: If f is a function from $[a, b]$ to the real numbers and $\int_a^b f df$ exists then f is continuous.

Proof: Suppose f is not continuous on $[a, b]$. Let $c \in [a, b]$ such that f is not continuous at c . Either the discontinuity at c is on the right or the left. Let the discontinuity be on the right. Let ϵ be a positive number such that if δ is a positive number there is an $x \in [a, b]$ and $|x - c| < \delta$ such that $|f(x) - f(c)| > \epsilon$. Let $\gamma = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}$. Let $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ be the Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ then

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})) - \int_a^b f df \right| < \gamma. \text{ Let } k \text{ be a positive integer. Let } \{t_p\}_0^{2m}$$

$t_{2k} = c$ and $t_{2k+1} \neq c$. Let $d \in [t_{2k}, t_{2k+1}]$ such that $|f(c) - f(d)| > \epsilon$. Let $\{r_p\}_0^{2m+2}$ be a refinement of $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ such that $r_{2k+1} = r_{2k+2} = d$, $r_p = t_p$ for $0 \leq p \leq 2k$, and $r_{p+2} = t_p$ for $2k+1 \leq p \leq 2m$. Let $\{u_p\}_0^{2m+2}$ be a refinement of $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ such that $u_{2k+1} = t_{2k}$, $u_{2k+2} = d$, $u_p = t_p$ for $0 \leq p \leq 2k$, and $u_{p+2} = t_p$ for $2k+1 \leq p \leq 2m$.

$$\text{Then } \left| \sum_{p=1}^{m+1} f(r_{2p-1})(f(r_{2p}) - f(r_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^{m+1} f(u_{2p-1})(f(u_{2p}) - f(u_{2p-2})) \right| =$$

$$|f(u_{2p-2})| =$$

$$|f(r_{2k+1})(f(r_{2k+2}) - f(r_{2k})) - f(u_{2k+1})(f(u_{2k+2}) - f(u_{2k}))| =$$

$$|f(d)(f(d) - f(c)) - f(c)(f(d) - f(c))| = |(f(d) - f(c))(f(d) - f(c))| = |f(d) - f(c)|^2 > \epsilon^2.$$

Since $\{r_p\}_0^{2m+2}$ and $\{u_p\}_0^{2m+2}$ are refinements of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ then

$$\epsilon^2 = \frac{2}{2} \epsilon^2 = 2 \gamma > \left| \sum_{p=1}^{m+1} f(r_{2p-1})(f(r_{2p}) - f(r_{2p-2})) - \int_a^b f \, d f \right| +$$

$$\left| \int_a^b f \, d f - \sum_{p=1}^{m+1} f(u_{2p-1})(f(u_{2p}) - f(u_{2p-2})) \right| \geq$$

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^{m+1} f(r_{2p-1})(f(r_{2p}) - f(r_{2p-2})) - \int_a^b f \, d f + \int_a^b f \, d f - \sum_{p=1}^{m+1} f(u_{2p-1})(f(u_{2p}) - f(u_{2p-2})) \right|$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^{m+1} f(u_{2p-1})(f(u_{2p}) - f(u_{2p-2}))|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{p=1}^{m+1} f(r_{2p-1})(f(r_{2p}) - f(r_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^{m+1} f(u_{2p-1})(f(u_{2p}) - f(u_{2p-2})) \right|,$$

a contradiction. Thus f is continuous. A similar argument holds for discontinuity on the left.

CHAPTER II

A Stieltjes Integral Existence Theorem for Some Functions Not of Bounded Variation

Example 1: Let $g: [0,1] \rightarrow \text{reals}$ be defined by $g(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{x} \sin \frac{\pi}{x} & \text{if } x \neq 0. \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$ Then g is continuous on $[0,1]$ but $\int_0^1 g \, dg$ does not exist.

Proof: The function g is the product of continuous functions for $x \neq 0$; thus if g is continuous at zero then it is continuous on $[0,1]$. Let ϵ be a positive number. Let $\delta = \epsilon^2$ and if $x \in [0,1]$ such that $|x-0| < \delta = \epsilon^2$ then $|\sqrt{x} \sin \frac{\pi}{x} - 0| = |\sqrt{x} \sin \frac{\pi}{x}| = |\sqrt{x}| |\sin \frac{\pi}{x}| \leq |\sqrt{x}| = \sqrt{x} < \sqrt{\delta} = \sqrt{\epsilon^2} = \epsilon$ and g is continuous at zero.

Let $\{s_p\}_0^\infty$ be the sequence defined by $s_0 = 1, s_{2p} = \frac{2}{2p+1}, s_{2p-1} = s_{2p-2}$, where $0 < p$.

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} g(s_{2p-1})(g(s_{2p-2}) - g(s_{2p})) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} g^2(s_{2p-2}) - g(s_{2p-2})g(s_{2p}) =$$

$$\sum_{p=2}^{\infty} (\sqrt{s_{2p-2}} \sin \frac{\pi}{s_{2p-2}})^2 - \sqrt{s_{2p-2}} \sin \frac{\pi}{s_{2p-2}} \sqrt{s_{2p}} \sin \frac{\pi}{s_{2p}} =$$

$$\sum_{p=2}^{\infty} s_{2p-2} \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{s_{2p-2}} - \sqrt{s_{2p} s_{2p-2}} \sin \frac{\pi}{s_{2p-2}} \sin \frac{\pi}{s_{2p}} = \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \frac{2}{2p-1} +$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{2p+1} \cdot \frac{2}{2p-1}} > \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2p-1} = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2p+1}. \text{ By theorem 3.27 of Rudin,}$$

Principles of Mathematical Analysis, $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{p+1}}$ converges if and only

if $\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^p}{2^{p+1}+1}$ converges. $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^p}{2^{p+1}+1} = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{2^p}} = \frac{1}{2}$ thus $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{p+1}}$

diverges and $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} g(s_{2p-1})(g(s_{2p-2}) - g(s_{2p}))$ diverges by comparison. Therefore if $\{r_p\}_0^{2n}$ is a Stieltjes subdivision of $[0,1]$ with q the smallest integer such that $r_{2q} \neq 0$ then there is a refinement $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ of $\{r_p\}_0^{2n}$ such that if M is a positive number there is a positive

integer k such that $t_{2k} = r_{2q}$, $\{t_p\}_1^{2k-1}$ is identical to $2k-2$

values of $\{s_p\}_0^{\infty}$ on $(0, r_{2q})$ and $\sum_{p=1}^k g(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) -$

$\sum_{p=1}^q g(r_{2p-1})(g(r_{2p}) - g(r_{2p})) > M$. Thus if $\epsilon > 0$ and $\{r_p\}_0^{2n}$ is a

Stieltjes subdivision of $[0,1]$ there is a refinement $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ of

$\{r_p\}_0^{2n}$ such that $|\sum_{p=1}^m g(t_{2p-1})(g(t_{2p}) - g(t_{2p-2})) -$

$\sum_{p=1}^n g(r_{2p-1})(g(r_{2p}) - g(r_{2p-2}))| > \epsilon$ and $\int_0^1 g dg$ does not exist.

Example 2: Let f be a function from $[0,1]$ to the real numbers

defined by $f(x) = \begin{cases} x \sin \frac{\pi}{x} & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$

The function f is continuous at 0.

Proof: Let ϵ be a positive number. Let $\delta = \epsilon$. Let $x \in [0,1]$

such that $|0-x| < \delta$ then $|f(0) - f(x)| =$

$|0 - x \sin \frac{\pi}{x}| = |x \sin \frac{\pi}{x}| = |x| |\sin \frac{\pi}{x}| < |x| \cdot 1 = |x| < \delta = \epsilon$. Thus if $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $x \in [0,1]$ and $|0-x| < \delta$ then $|f(0) - f(x)| < \epsilon$ and f is continuous at 0.

The function f is not of bounded variation.

Proof: Suppose f is of bounded variation on $[0,1]$ then there is a number V such that if $\{t_p\}_0^{2n}$ is a Stieltjes subdivision of $[0,1]$ then $\sum_{p=1}^n |f(t_{2p-2}) - f(t_{2p})| < V$. Let $\{t_p\}_0^{2n}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision of $[0,1]$ such that n is even, $t_0 = 0$, $t_{2n} = t_{2n-1} = 1$,

and $t_{2p} = t_{2p-1} = \frac{2}{n-p+2}$ for $1 \leq p < n$. Then $\sum_{p=1}^n |f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})|$

$$= \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} |f(t_{2n-2p}) - f(t_{2n-2p-2})| = |f(t_{2n}) - f(t_{2n-2})| + |f(t_{2n-2}) -$$

$$f(t_{2n-4})| + \dots + |f(t_2) - f(t_0)| = |f(1) - f(\frac{2}{3})| + |f(\frac{2}{3}) - f(\frac{2}{4})| +$$

$$\dots + |f(\frac{2}{n-1}) - f(0)| =$$

$$|0 - (-\frac{2}{3})| + |(-\frac{2}{3}) - 0| + |0 - (\frac{2}{5})| + |(\frac{2}{5}) - 0| + \dots + |(\frac{2}{n-1}) - 0| =$$

$$\frac{4}{3} + \frac{4}{5} + \frac{4}{7} + \dots + \frac{4}{n-1} = 4(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{7} + \dots + \frac{1}{n-1}). \text{ Then}$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^n |f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})| = 4 \sum_{k=1}^{(n/2)-1} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}, \text{ the first } (n/2)-1 \text{ terms of}$$

$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}$. By theorem 3.27 of Rudin, Principles of Mathematical

Analysis, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}$ converges if and only if $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^k}{2^{k+1}+1}$ converges.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^k}{2^{k+1}+1} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{2^k}} = \frac{1}{2}. \text{ Thus } \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^k}{2^{k+1}+1} \text{ diverges as does}$$

$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}$. Since the partial sums of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}$ form a monotonic

increasing sequence that does not converge then the sequence is unbounded. Thus there is an integer m such that if $\{s_p\}_0^{2m}$ is a Stieltjes subdivision of $[0,1]$ then $\sum_{p=1}^m |f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})| > \nu$, a contradiction.

Definition 5: Let f be a function from $[a,b]$ into the real numbers. Then f is said to be locally variable on $[a,b]$ provided there is positive integer N such that if $\{s_p\}_0^n$ is an increasing sequence with $s_0 = a$ and $s_n = b$, then f is of bounded variation on all but at most N of the intervals $[s_{p-1}, s_p]$ for $0 < p \leq n$.

Example 3: Let i be a natural number. Let $h_i: [0,1] \rightarrow$ real numbers be defined by $h_i(x) = xi^2 + xi - i$. Let $f: [0,1] \rightarrow$ real numbers be defined by $f(x) = \begin{cases} x \sin \frac{\pi}{x} & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$. Let $g_i: [\frac{1}{i+1}, \frac{1}{i}]$

→ real numbers be defined by $g_i(x) = \frac{f(h_i(x))}{i}$. Let $g: [0,1] \rightarrow$ real

numbers be defined by $g(x) = \begin{cases} g_i(x) & \text{if } \frac{1}{i+1} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{i}. \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$. Then g is

continuous but is not locally variable.

Proof: Let i be a natural number. Then $g(\frac{1}{i}) = g_i(\frac{1}{i}) =$

$\frac{f(h_i(\frac{1}{i}))}{i} = \frac{f(1)}{i} = 0$ and $g(\frac{1}{i+1}) = g_i(\frac{1}{i+1}) = \frac{f(h_i(\frac{1}{i+1}))}{i} = \frac{f(0)}{i} = 0$. The

function g_i is continuous in $[\frac{1}{i+1}, \frac{1}{i}]$ by the composition of continuous functions and thus g is continuous on $(0,1]$. Let ϵ be a positive number. Let n be a natural number such that $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$. Let $x \in [0,1]$ such that $|0-x| < \frac{1}{n}$ then $|g(0) - g(x)| = |0-g(x)| = |g(x)| \leq |g_i(x)| = \frac{|f(h_i(x))|}{i} \leq \frac{1}{i} \leq \frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$. Thus if $\epsilon > 0$ there

is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $|0-x| < \delta$ then $|g(0) - g(x)| < \epsilon$ and g

is continuous at 0. The function h_i is monotonically increasing on $[\frac{1}{i+1}, \frac{1}{i}]$ with $h_i(\frac{1}{i+1}) = 0$ and $h_i(\frac{1}{i}) = 1$ thus $f(h_i(x))$ on

$[\frac{1}{i+1}, \frac{1}{i}]$ takes on all the values that f takes on $[0,1]$. Then by example 2, $f(h_i(x))$ is not of bounded variation on $[\frac{1}{i+1}, \frac{1}{i}]$. Since there are an infinite number of such intervals then g is not locally variable on $[0,1]$.

Theorem 12: Let f be a function from $[a,b]$ to the real numbers. If $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ is a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a,b]$ then there exists a Stieltjes subdivision, $\{r_p\}_0^{2n}$, of $[a,b]$ such that

$$\sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) + \sum_{p=1}^m f(r_{2p-1})(f(r_{2p}) - f(r_{2p-2})) = f^2(b) - f^2(a).$$

Proof: Let $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a,b]$. Let $\{t_p\}_0^{4n}$ be a refinement of $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ such that $t_{4p-3} = t_{4p-2} = t_{4p-1} = s_{2p-1}$ and $t_{4p} = s_{2p}$. Let $\{r_p\}_0^{4n}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a,b]$ such that $r_{2p} = t_{2p}$, $r_{4p-3} = t_{4p-4}$, and $r_{4p-1} = t_{4p}$. Let $m = 2n$. Then $\sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) +$

$$\sum_{p=1}^m f(r_{2p-1})(f(r_{2p}) - f(r_{2p-2})) =$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-1}) + f(s_{2p-1}) - f(s_{2p-2})) +$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^{2n} f(r_{2p-1})(f(r_{2p}) - f(r_{2p-2})) =$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-1})) + f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p-1}) - f(s_{2p-2})) +$$

$\sum_{p=1}^n f(r_{4p-3})(f(r_{4p-2}) - f(r_{4p-4})) + f(r_{4p-1})(f(r_{4p}) - f(r_{4p-2}))$. By substitution the above is equal

$$\sum_{p=1}^n f(t_{4p-2})(f(t_{4p}) - f(t_{4p-2})) + f(t_{4p-2})(f(t_{4p-2}) - f(t_{4p-4})) +$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^n f(t_{4p-4})(f(t_{4p-2}) - f(t_{4p-4})) + f(t_{4p})(f(t_{4p}) - f(t_{4p-2})) =$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^n (f(t_{4p}) + f(t_{4p-2}))(f(t_{4p}) - f(t_{4p-2})) + (f(t_{4p-2}) +$$

$$f(t_{4p-4}))(f(t_{4p-2}) - f(t_{4p-4})) =$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^n f^2(t_{4p}) - f^2(t_{4p-2}) + f^2(t_{4p-2}) - f^2(t_{4p-4}) =$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^n f^2(t_{4p}) - f^2(t_{4p-4}) = f^2(t_{4n}) - f^2(t_0) = f^2(b) - f^2(a). \text{ Thus}$$

$\left\{ r_p \right\}_0^{2m}$ is a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ such that

$$\sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) + \sum_{p=1}^m f(r_{2p-1})(f(r_{2p}) - f(r_{2p-2})) =$$

$$f^2(b) - f^2(a).$$

Theorem 13: Let f be a continuous function from $[a, b]$ to the real numbers such that if $\left\{ s_p \right\}_0^{2n}$ is a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ then f is not of bounded variation on at most one of the intervals $[s_{2p-2}, s_{2p}]$. If there exists a number M such that

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) \right| < M \text{ then } \int_a^b f \, df \text{ exists.}$$

Proof: Since $\int_a^b f \, df$ exists if f is of bounded variation consider f is not of bounded variation. Let ϵ be a positive number. Let $\bar{f} = \max \{ |f(x)| \mid x \in [a, b] \}$. Let δ be a positive number such that $\bar{f} \cdot \delta < \epsilon/10$. Since f is uniformly continuous on

$[a, b]$ then let γ be a positive number such that if $x, y \in [a, b]$ and $|x-y| < \gamma$ then $|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Since f^2 is uniformly continuous on $[a, b]$ then let λ be a positive number such that if $x, y \in [a, b]$ and $|x-y| < \lambda$ then $|f^2(x) - f^2(y)| < \epsilon/10$. Let $\sigma = \min \{ \gamma, \lambda \}$. Let N be the smallest number such that if $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ is a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ and $\|s\| < \sigma$ then

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) \right| \leq N. \text{ Let } \{s_p\}_0^{2n} \text{ be a Stieltjes}$$

subdivision of $[a, b]$ such that $\|s\| < \sigma$ and $N - \epsilon/2 <$

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) \right|. \text{ Let } c \text{ be an integer such that}$$

$1 \leq c \leq n$. Let f not be of bounded variation on $[s_{2c-2}, s_{2c}]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} N - \epsilon/2 < \left| \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) \right| &= \left| \sum_{p=1}^{c-1} f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - \right. \\ & f(s_{2p-2})) + f(s_{2c-1})(f(s_{2c}) - f(s_{2c-2})) + \sum_{p=c+1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - \\ & \left. f(s_{2p-2})) \right| \leq \left| f(s_{2c-1})(f(s_{2c}) - f(s_{2c-2})) \right| + \left| \sum_{p=1}^{c-1} f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - \right. \\ & \left. f(s_{2p-2})) + \sum_{p=c+1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) \right| = \left| f(s_{2c-1}) \right| \left| f(s_{2c}) - \right. \\ & \left. f(s_{2c-2}) \right| + \left| \sum_{p=1}^{c-1} f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) + \sum_{p=c+1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$f(s_{2p-2}))| < \bar{F} \cdot \xi + \left| \sum_{p=1}^{c-1} f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) \right| +$$

$$\sum_{p=c+1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2}))| < \epsilon/10 + \left| \sum_{p=1}^{c-1} f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) -$$

$$f(s_{2p-2})) + \sum_{p=c+1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2}))|. \text{ Thus } N - \epsilon/2 < \epsilon/10 +$$

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^{c-1} f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) + \sum_{p=c+1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) \right| \text{ or}$$

$$N - \frac{3\epsilon}{5} < \left| \sum_{p=1}^{c-1} f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) + \sum_{p=c+1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) -$$

$$f(s_{2p-2}))|. \cdot$$

Suppose there exists, $\{z_p\}_0^{2k}$, a Stieltjes subdivision of

$$[s_{2c-2}, s_{2c}] \text{ such that } \left| \sum_{p=1}^k f(z_{2p-1})(f(z_{2p}) - f(z_{2p-2})) \right| > \frac{4}{5} \epsilon.$$

By Theorem 12 there exists a Stieltjes subdivision $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ of

$$[s_{2c-2}, s_{2c}] \text{ such that } \sum_{p=1}^k f(z_{2p-1})(f(z_{2p}) - f(z_{2p-2})) +$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})) = f^2(s_{2c}) - f^2(s_{2c-2}). \text{ Thus}$$

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^k f(z_{2p-1})(f(z_{2p}) - f(z_{2p-2})) + \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})) \right| =$$

$$\left| f^2(s_{2c}) - f^2(s_{2c-2}) \right| \text{ and } \left| \sum_{p=1}^k f(z_{2p-1})(f(z_{2p}) - f(z_{2p-2})) \right| =$$

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})) \right| \leq \left| \sum_{p=1}^k f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) \right| +$$

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})) \right| = \left| f^2(s_{2c}) - f^2(s_{2c-2}) \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{10} \text{ since}$$

$$|s_{2c} - s_{2c-2}| < \sigma. \text{ Thus } \frac{4\epsilon}{5} < \left| \sum_{p=1}^k f(z_{2p-1})(f(z_{2p}) - f(z_{2p-1})) \right| <$$

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})) \right| + \epsilon/10 \text{ and } \frac{3\epsilon}{5} <$$

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})) \right|. \text{ Observe that values of}$$

$\sum_{p=1}^k f(z_{2p-1})(f(z_{2p}) - f(z_{2p-2}))$ and $\sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2}))$ must be opposite in sign since the absolute value of each exceeds $\epsilon/10$

and the absolute value of their sum is less than $\epsilon/10$. Let

$$\sum_{p=1}^k f(z_{2p-1})(f(z_{2p}) - f(z_{2p-2})) \text{ be positive.}$$

$$\text{Either } N - \frac{3\epsilon}{5} < \sum_{p=1}^{c-1} f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) +$$

$$\sum_{p=c+1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) \text{ or } N - \frac{3\epsilon}{5} < -$$

$$\left(\sum_{p=1}^{c-1} f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) + \sum_{p=c+1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) \right). \text{ In}$$

the former case let $\left\{ r_p \right\}_0^{2j}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ such

that $\left\{ r_p \right\}_0^{2j}$ is identical to $\left\{ s_p \right\}_0^{2n}$ on $[a, s_{2c-2}]$, identical to

$\{z_p\}_0^{2k}$, on $[s_{2c-2}, s_{2c}]$ and identical to $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ on $[s_{2c}, b]$. Then

$$\left| \sum_{p=1}^j f(r_{2p-1})(f(r_{2p}) - f(r_{2p-2})) \right| = \sum_{p=1}^{c-1} f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) +$$

$$\sum_{p=c+1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) + \sum_{p=1}^k f(z_{2p-1})(f(z_{2p}) - f(z_{2p-2})) >$$

$N - \frac{3\epsilon}{5} + \frac{3\epsilon}{5} = N$ a contradiction. In the latter case let $\{r_p\}_0^{2j}$ be a

Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ such that $\{r_p\}_0^{2j}$ is identical to

$\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ on $[a, s_{2c-2}]$, identical to $\{t_p\}_0^{2m}$ on $[s_{2c-2}, s_{2c}]$ and

identical to $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ on $[s_{2c}, b]$. Then $\left| \sum_{p=1}^j f(r_{2p-1})(f(r_{2p}) -$

$$f(r_{2p-2})) \right| = - \left(\sum_{p=1}^{c-1} f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) \right) + \sum_{p=c+1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) -$$

$$f(s_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=1}^m f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})) > N - 3\epsilon/5 + 3\epsilon/5 = N$$
 a

contradiction. Thus if $\{z_p\}_0^{2k}$ is a Stieltjes subdivision of

$[s_{2c-2}, s_{2c}]$ then $\left| \sum_{p=1}^k f(z_{2p-1})(f(z_{2p}) - f(z_{2p-2})) \right| \leq 4\epsilon/5$. The other

case is similar.

Since f is of bounded variation on $[a, s_{2c-2}]$ then $\int_a^{s_{2c-2}}$
 $f \, df$ exists. Let $\{\bar{u}_p\}_0^{2i}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, s_{2c-2}]$
 such that if $\{u_p\}_0^{2w}$ is a refinement of $\{\bar{u}_p\}_0^{2i}$ then $\left| \int_a^{s_{2c-2}} f \, df -$

$\sum_{p=1}^i f(u_{2p-1})(f(u_{2p}) - f(u_{2p-2})) \right| < \epsilon/20$. Since f is of bounded

variation on $[s_{2c}, b]$ then $\int_{s_{2c}}^b f df$ exists. Let $\{\bar{v}_p\}_0^{2h}$ be a

Stieltjes subdivision of $[s_{2c}, b]$ such that if $\{v_p\}_0^{2d}$ is a

refinement of $\{\bar{v}_p\}_0^{2l}$ then $|\int_{s_{2c}}^b f df - \sum_{p=1}^d f(v_{2p-1})(f(v_{2p}) -$

$f(v_{2p-2}))| < \epsilon/20$. Let $\{\bar{h}_p\}_0^{2e}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ such that $\{\bar{h}_p\}_0^{2e}$ is identical to $\{\bar{u}_p\}_0^{2i}$ on $[a, s_{2c-2}]$, $\bar{h}_{2i+1} = \bar{h}_{2i+2} = s_{2c-2}$, $\bar{h}_{2i+3} = s_{2c}$, and identical to $\{\bar{v}_p\}_0^{2l}$ on $[s_{2c}, b]$. Let $\{h_p\}_0^{2g}$ be a refinement of $\{\bar{h}_p\}_0^{2e}$ such that j, k are integers

and $h_{2j} = s_{2c-2}$, $h_{2k} = s_{2c}$. Then $|\int_a^{s_{2c-2}} f df + \int_{s_{2c}}^b f df -$

$\sum_{p=1}^g f(h_{2p-1})(f(h_{2p}) - f(h_{2p-2}))| = |\int_a^{s_{2c-2}} f df + \int_{s_{2c}}^b f df -$

$\sum_{p=1}^j f(h_{2p-1})(f(h_{2p}) - f(h_{2p-2})) - \sum_{p=j+1}^k f(h_{2p-1})(f(h_{2p}) - f(h_{2p-2})) -$

$\sum_{p=k+1}^g f(h_{2p-1})(f(h_{2p}) - f(h_{2p-2}))| \leq |\int_a^{s_{2c-2}} f df - \sum_{p=1}^j f(h_{2p-1})(f(h_{2p})$

$- f(h_{2p-2}))| + |\int_{s_{2c}}^b f df - \sum_{p=k+1}^g f(h_{2p-1})(f(h_{2p}) - f(h_{2p-2}))| +$

$|\sum_{p=j+1}^k f(h_{2p-1})(f(h_{2p}) - f(h_{2p-2}))| < \epsilon/20 + \epsilon/20 + 4\epsilon/5 = \frac{9\epsilon}{10}$.

$|\frac{f^2(b) - f^2(a)}{2} - (\int_a^{s_{2c-2}} f df + \int_{s_{2c}}^b f df)| = |\frac{f^2(b) - f^2(a)}{2} -$

$$\left| \frac{f^2(s_{2c-2}) - f^2(a) + f^2(b) - f^2(s_{2c})}{2} \right| =$$

$$\left| \frac{f^2(b) - f^2(a) - f^2(s_{2c-2}) + f^2(a) - f^2(b) + f^2(s_{2c})}{2} \right| =$$

$$\left| \frac{f^2(s_{2c}) - f^2(s_{2c-2})}{2} \right| < \frac{\epsilon/10}{2} = \epsilon/20.$$

$$\text{Thus } \left| \frac{f^2(b) - f^2(a)}{2} - \sum_{p=1}^g f(h_{2p-1})(f(h_{2p}) - f(h_{2p-2})) \right| =$$

$$\left| \frac{f^2(b) - f^2(a)}{2} - \left(\int_a^{s_{2c-2}} f \, df + \int_{s_{2c}}^b f \, df \right) + \left(\int_a^{s_{2c-2}} f \, df + \right.$$

$$\left. \int_{s_{2c}}^b f \, df \right) - \sum_{p=1}^g f(h_{2p-1})(f(h_{2p}) - f(h_{2p-2})) \right| \leq \left| \frac{f^2(b) - f^2(a)}{2} - \right.$$

$$\left. \left(\int_a^{s_{2c-2}} f \, df + \int_{s_{2c}}^b f \, df \right) \right| + \left| \left(\int_a^{s_{2c-2}} f \, df + \int_{s_{2c}}^b f \, df \right) - \right.$$

$$\left. \sum_{p=1}^g f(h_{2p-1})(f(h_{2p}) - f(h_{2p-2})) \right| < \epsilon/20 + 9\epsilon/10 < \epsilon. \text{ Therefore if } \epsilon$$

is a positive number then there exists a Stieltjes subdivision, $\left\{ \bar{h}_p \right\}_0^{2e}$

such that if $\left\{ h_p \right\}_0^{2g}$ is a refinement of $\left\{ \bar{h}_p \right\}_0^{2e}$ then

$$\left| \frac{f^2(b) - f^2(a)}{2} - \sum_{p=1}^g f(h_{2p-1})(f(h_{2p}) - f(h_{2p-2})) \right| < \epsilon \text{ and } \int_a^b f \, df$$

exists.

Theorem 14: Let f be a locally variable continuous function from $[a, b]$ to the real numbers. If there exists a number M such that if $\left\{ s_p \right\}_0^{2n}$ is a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ then

$|\sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2}))| < M$ then $\int_a^b f df$ exists.

Proof: Let N be the positive integer such that if $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ is a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ then f is of bounded variation on all but at most N intervals $[s_{2p-2}, s_{2p}]$ for $0 < p \leq n$. Let $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ such that f is not of bounded variation on N intervals $[s_{2p-2}, s_{2p}]$ for $0 < p \leq n$. Let p be a positive integer such that $0 < p \leq n$. Either f is of bounded variation on $[s_{2p-2}, s_{2p}]$ or it is not. If f is of bounded variation on $[s_{2p-2}, s_{2p}]$ then $\int_{s_{2p-2}}^{s_{2p}} f df$ exists by theorem 9. If f

is not of bounded variation on $[s_{2p-2}, s_{2p}]$ then $\int_{s_{2p-2}}^{s_{2p}} f df$ exists

by theorem 13. By theorem 10, $\sum_{p=1}^n [\int_{s_{2p-2}}^{s_{2p}} f df] = \int_a^b f df$.

Lemma 4: Let f be a continuous function from $[a, b]$ to the real numbers. Let $\{s_p\}_0^{2n}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$. If there exists a positive integer k such that $1 < k \leq n$ and either $f(s_{2k}) - f(s_{2k-2}) \geq 0$ and $f(s_{2k-2}) - f(s_{2k-4}) \geq 0$ or $f(s_{2k}) - f(s_{2k-2}) \leq 0$ and $f(s_{2k-2}) - f(s_{2k-4}) \leq 0$ then there is a Stieltjes

subdivision $\{t_p\}_0^{2n-2}$ such that $\sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) \leq$

$\sum_{p=1}^{n-1} f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2}))$.

Proof: Case I. Let k be a positive integer such that

$1 < k \leq n$, $f(s_{2k}) - f(s_{2k-2}) \geq 0$ and $f(s_{2k-2}) - f(s_{2k-4}) \geq 0$. Let $\{t_p\}_0^{2n-2}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ such that $t_p = s_p$ for $0 \leq p \leq 2k-4$, $t_{2k-3} = c \in [s_{2k-4}, s_{2k}]$ such that $f(c) = \sup \{f(x) | x \in [s_{2k-4}, s_{2k}]\}$, and $t_p = s_{2p+2}$ for $2k-2 \leq p \leq 2n-2$. Then

$$\sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) = \sum_{p=1}^{k-2} f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) +$$

$$f(s_{2k-3})(f(s_{2k-2}) - f(s_{2k-4})) + f(s_{2k-1})(f(s_{2k}) - f(s_{2k-2})) +$$

$$\sum_{p=k+1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) \leq \sum_{p=1}^{k-2} f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) +$$

$$f(c)(f(s_{2k-2}) - f(s_{2k-4})) + f(c)(f(s_{2k}) - f(s_{2k-2})) + \sum_{p=k+1}^n$$

$$f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) = \sum_{p=1}^{k-2} f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2}))$$

$$+ f(c)(f(s_{2k}) - f(s_{2k-4})) + \sum_{p=k+1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) =$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^{k-2} f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})) + f(t_{2k-3})(f(t_{2k-2}) - f(t_{2k-4})) +$$

$$\sum_{p=k}^{n-1} f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})) = \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})).$$

$$\text{Thus } \sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) \leq \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})).$$

Case II. Let k be a positive integer such that $1 < k \leq n$, $f(s_{2k}) - f(s_{2k-2}) \leq 0$, and $f(s_{2k-2}) - f(s_{2k-4}) \leq 0$. Let $\{t_p\}_0^{2n-2}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision of $[a, b]$ such that $t_p = s_p$ for

$0 \leq p \leq 2k - 4$, $t_{2k-3} = d \in [s_{2k-4}, s_{2k}]$ such that $f(d) = \inf \{f(x) | x \in [s_{2k-4}, s_{2k}]\}$, and $t_p = s_{p+2}$ for $2k - 2 \leq p \leq 2n - 2$. Then

$$\sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) = \sum_{p=1}^{k-2} f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) +$$

$$f(s_{2k-3})(f(s_{2k-2}) - f(s_{2k-4})) + f(s_{2k-1})(f(s_{2k}) - f(s_{2k-2})) +$$

$$\sum_{p=k+1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) \leq \sum_{p=1}^{k-2} f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) +$$

$$f(d)(f(s_{2k-2}) - f(s_{2k-4})) + f(d)(f(s_{2k}) - f(s_{2k-2})) +$$

$$\sum_{p=k+1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) = \sum_{p=1}^{k-2} f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) +$$

$$f(d)(f(s_{2k}) - f(s_{2k-4})) + \sum_{p=k+1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) =$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^{k-2} f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})) + f(t_{2k-3})(f(t_{2k-2}) - f(t_{2k-4})) +$$

$$\sum_{p=k}^{n-1} f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})) = \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})). \text{ Thus}$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^n f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p}) - f(s_{2p-2})) \leq \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})).$$

Let f be the function from $[0,1]$ to the real numbers defined

$$\text{by } f(x) = \begin{cases} x \sin \frac{\pi}{x} & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x=0 \end{cases} \quad \text{The function } f \text{ was earlier shown}$$

to be continuous and not of bounded variation in example 2.

In the remainder of the paper f is as above.

Lemma 5: If p is a positive integer there is one and only one number $x \in [\frac{1}{p+1}, \frac{1}{p}]$ such that $f'(x) = 0$, $f(x)$ is a maximum of f on $[\frac{1}{p+1}, \frac{1}{p}]$ if p is even and $f(x)$ is a minimum of f on $[\frac{1}{p+1}, \frac{1}{p}]$ if p is odd.

Proof: f is differentiable on $(0,1]$ and $f'(x) = \sin \frac{\pi}{x} - \frac{\pi}{x} \cos \frac{\pi}{x}$. $f'(x) = 0$ when $\sin \frac{\pi}{x} = \frac{\pi}{x} \cos \frac{\pi}{x}$. Since $\cos \frac{\pi}{x}$ cannot be zero here then $f'(x) = 0$ when $\tan \frac{\pi}{x} = \frac{\pi}{x}$. Let p be a positive integer. Since the tangent function takes on all real values on the interval $[p\pi, (p+1)\pi]$ then there is an x such that $\frac{1}{p+1} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{p}$, $\frac{\pi}{1} \leq \frac{\pi}{x} \leq \frac{\pi}{p+1}$ or $p\pi \leq \frac{\pi}{x} \leq (p+1)\pi$ and $\tan \frac{\pi}{x} = \frac{\pi}{x}$. Since

$\frac{\pi}{x}$ is positive for $x \in (0,1]$ then $(\frac{1}{p+1/2}, \frac{1}{p})$ only need be considered since $\tan \frac{\pi}{x}$ is nonpositive elsewhere on $[\frac{1}{p+1}, \frac{1}{p}]$ that it is defined. Suppose there exist x_1 and x_2 such that $p < x_1 < x_2 < p + 1/2$, $\tan \frac{\pi}{x_1} = \frac{\pi}{x_1}$ and $\tan \frac{\pi}{x_2} = \frac{\pi}{x_2}$. Define function g

from $(\frac{1}{p+1/2}, \frac{1}{p})$ to the real numbers by $g(x) = \frac{\pi}{x} - \tan \frac{\pi}{x}$. The function g is differentiable. Since $g(x_1) = g(x_2) = 0$ there is a number $c \in (\frac{1}{p+1/2}, \frac{1}{p})$ such that $g'(c) = \frac{g(x_1) - g(x_2)}{x_1 - x_2} = 0$. Consider

$g'(x) = \frac{\pi}{x^2} (\sec^2 \frac{\pi}{x} - 1)$ and $\sec^2 \frac{\pi}{x} \neq 1$ on $(\frac{1}{p+1/2}, \frac{1}{p})$ thus $g'(x) \neq 0$, a contradiction. Thus there exists at most one number

$x \in [\frac{1}{p+1}, \frac{1}{p}]$ such that $\tan \frac{\pi}{x} = \frac{\pi}{x}$ or $f'(x) = 0$. $f'(\frac{1}{p}) = \sin p\pi - p\pi \cos p\pi = \begin{cases} p\pi & \text{if } p \text{ is even} \\ -p\pi & \text{if } p \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$ Thus if p is a positive integer there

is one and only one number $x \in [\frac{1}{p+1}, \frac{1}{p}]$ such that $f'(x) = 0$, $f(x)$ is a maximum of f on $[\frac{1}{p+1}, \frac{1}{p}]$ if p is even and $f(x)$ is a minimum of f on $[\frac{1}{p+1}, \frac{1}{p}]$ if p is odd.

Lemma 6: Let $c, d \in (0, 1]$ such that $c < d$. $f'(c) = f'(d) = 0$. Then $|f(c)| < |f(d)|$.

Proof: By lemma 5, $f(c)$ and $f(d)$ are local maximums or local minimums. Let p_1 be a positive integer such that $c \in [\frac{1}{p_1+1}, \frac{1}{p_1}]$. Let

p_2 be a positive integer such that $d \in [\frac{1}{p_2+1}, \frac{1}{p_2}]$. Then $p_1 > p_2$. In

$[\frac{1}{p_1+1}, \frac{1}{p_1}]$, $|x \sin \frac{\pi}{x}|$ is bounded by $\frac{1}{p_1}$. There is an

$x \in [\frac{1}{p_2+1}, \frac{1}{p_2}]$ such that $|\sin \frac{\pi}{x}| = 1$ and $|f(x)| = x$. Then $|f(x)| =$

$x > \frac{1}{p_2+1} \geq \frac{1}{p_1}$. Thus $|f(c)| < \frac{1}{p_1}$, $\frac{1}{p_1} < |f(x)|$, $|f(x)| \leq |f(d)|$ and

$|f(c)| < \frac{1}{p_1} < |f(x)| \leq |f(d)|$ or $|f(c)| < |f(d)|$.

Define $\{s_p\}_0^\infty$ by $s_0 = 1$, $s_{2p} = x$ such that $f'(x) = 0$ on $[\frac{1}{p+1}, \frac{1}{p}]$, and $s_{2p-1} = s_{2p-2} \cdot \sum_{p=1}^\infty f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p-2}) - f(s_{2p})) =$

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} f(s_{2p-2})(f(s_{2p-2}) - f(s_{2p})) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} f^2(s_{2p-2}) - f(s_{2p-2})f(s_{2p}) =$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} [(s_{2p-2})^2 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{s_{2p-2}} - s_{2p-2} s_{2p} \sin \frac{\pi}{s_{2p-2}} \sin \frac{\pi}{s_{2p}}] =$$

$$0 + \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} [(s_{2p-2})^2 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{s_{2p-2}} - s_{2p-2} s_{2p} \sin \frac{\pi}{s_{2p-2}} \sin \frac{\pi}{s_{2p}}] <$$

$$\sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \left[\left(\frac{1}{p-1}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{p-1}\right)^2 \right] = 2 \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(p-1)^2} = 2 \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^2}. \text{ By theorem 3.28 of}$$

Rudin, Principles of Mathematical Analysis $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^2}$ converges and thus

$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p-2}) - f(s_{2p}))$ converges by comparison. In lemma 7,

$\{s_p\}_0^{\infty}$ is as defined above.

Lemma 7: Let $M = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p-2}) - f(s_{2p}))$. Let $\{t_p\}_0^{2n}$ be

a Stieltjes subdivision of $[0,1]$ then $\left| \sum_{p=1}^n f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})) \right|$

$< M + 1$.

Proof: By theorem 12 there is a Stieltjes subdivision $\{\bar{t}_p\}_0^{2m}$

of $[0,1]$ such that $\sum_{p=1}^n f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})) +$

$\sum_{p=1}^m f(\bar{t}_{2p-1})(f(\bar{t}_{2p}) - f(\bar{t}_{2p-2})) = f^2(1) - f^2(0) = 0$. Thus let

$\sum_{p=1}^n f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})) > 0$. By lemma 4, let $\{r_p\}_0^{2q}$ be a

Stieltjes subdivision of $[0,1]$ such that if k is an integer and $1 < k \leq q$ then $f(r_{2k}) - f(r_{2k-2})$ and $f(r_{2k-2}) - f(r_{2k-4})$ are

opposite in sign and $\sum_{p=1}^q f(r_{2p-1})(f(r_{2p}) - f(r_{2p-2})) \geq$

$$\sum_{p=1}^n f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})). \quad \sum_{p=1}^q f(r_{2p-1})(f(r_{2p}) - f(r_{2p-2})) =$$

$$\sum_{p=0}^{q-1} f(r_{2q-2p-1})(f(r_{2q-2p}) - f(r_{2q-2p-2})) = \sum_{p=1}^q f(r_{2q-2p+1})(f(r_{2q-2p+2}) -$$

$f(r_{2q-2p}))$. Suppose there exists an integer j such that $1 < j \leq q$ and $f(s_{2j-1})(f(s_{2j-2}) - f(s_{2j})) < |f(r_{2q-2j+1})(f(r_{2q-2j+2}) -$

$f(r_{2q-2j})|$. Then either $|f(s_{2j-1})| < |f(r_{2q-2j+1})|$ or $|f(s_{2j-2}) -$

$f(s_{2j})| < |f(r_{2q-2j+2}) - f(r_{2q-2j})|$. In both cases $s_{2j-2} < r_{2q-2j+2}$

by lemma 6 and $[r_{2q-2j+2}, 1] \subset [s_{2j-2}, 1]$. Then there is a partition

$\{v_p\}_0^j$ of $[s_{2j-2}, 1]$ consisting of j intervals such that if i is an integer and $0 < i < j$ then $f(v_i) - f(v_{i-1})$ and $f(v_{i+1}) - f(v_i)$ are opposite in sign. By the definition of $\{s_p\}_0^\infty$, $[s_{2j-2}, 1]$ may be

partitioned into at most $j-1$ intervals with this property, a

contradiction. Thus if j is an integer and $1 < k \leq q$ then

$$|f(r_{2q-2j+1})(f(r_{2q-2j+2}) - f(r_{2q-2j}))| \leq f(s_{2j-1})(f(s_{2j-2}) - f(s_{2j})).$$

Then by comparison $\sum_{p=1}^n f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})) \leq \sum_{p=1}^q f(r_{2q-2p+1})$

$(f(r_{2q-2p+2}) - f(r_{2q-2p})) \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} f(s_{2p-1})(f(s_{2p-2}) - f(s_{2p})) +$

$f(r_{2q-1})(f(r_{2q}) - f(r_{2q-2})) < M + 1.$

Lemma 8: $\int_0^1 f \, df$ exists

Proof: By lemma 7 there is a positive number K such that if

$\{t_p\}_0^{2n}$ is a Stieltjes subdivision $[0,1]$ then $|\sum_{p=1}^n f(t_{2p-1})(f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2}))| < K$. Let $c \in (0,1]$. The function f is of bounded variation on $[c,1]$. Thus if j is an integer and $1 \leq j \leq n$ then f is not of bounded variation on at most one interval $[t_{2j-2}, t_{2j}]$ and f is locally variable. Then by theorem 14 $\int_0^1 f \, df$ exists.

Example 4: Let g be a function from $[0,1]$ to the real numbers

defined by $g(x) = 2 + f(x)$. $\int_0^1 g \, dg$ exists and g^2 is not of bounded variation.

Proof: Since $\int_0^1 f \, df$ exists and the constant function 2 is

continuous and of bounded variation then $\int_0^1 f \, d2$ exists and $\int_0^1 f \, df + \int_0^1 f \, d2 = \int_0^1 f \, d(2+f)$ by theorem 6. Then $\int_0^1 (2+f) \, df$ exists by theorem 7, $2+f$ is continuous and $\int_0^1 (2+f) \, d2$ exists, thus $\int_0^1 (2+f) \, df$

$$\int_0^1 (2+f) d^2 = \int_0^1 (2+f) d(2+f) = \int_0^1 g dg \text{ exists. } g^2 = (2+f)^2 = 4+4f+f^2.$$

Let L be a positive number. Let $\{t_p\}_0^{2n}$ be a Stieltjes subdivision

of $[0,1]$ such that $\sum_{p=1}^n |f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})| > L$. Then $\sum_{p=1}^n |g^2(t_{2p}) -$

$$g^2(t_{2p-2})| = \sum_{p=1}^n |4+4f(t_{2p}) + f^2(t_{2p}) - 4 - 4f(t_{2p-2}) - f^2(t_{2p-2})| =$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^n |4f(t_{2p}) - 4f(t_{2p-2}) + f^2(t_{2p}) - f^2(t_{2p-2})| = \sum_{p=1}^n |f(t_{2p}) -$$

$$f(t_{2p-2})| |4 + f(t_{2p}) + f(t_{2p-2})| \geq \sum_{p=1}^n |f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})| (|4| -$$

$$|f(t_{2p})| - |f(t_{2p-2})|) > \sum_{p=1}^n |f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})| (4-1-1) =$$

$$2 \sum_{p=1}^n |f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})| > \sum_{p=1}^n |f(t_{2p}) - f(t_{2p-2})| > L. \text{ Thus if } L \text{ is}$$

a positive number there is a Stieltjes subdivision $\{t_p\}_0^{2n}$ such that

$$\sum_{p=1}^n |g^2(t_{2p}) - g^2(t_{2p-2})| > L \text{ and } g^2 \text{ is not of bounded variation.}$$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Rudin, Walter. Principles of Mathematical Analysis. New York:
McGraw-Hill, 1964.