

On the Prime Number Lemma of Selberg

By: Filip Saidak

Saidak, F. (2008). On the prime number lemma of Selberg. *Mathematica Scandinavica*, 103(1), 5-10.

Made available courtesy of Institut for Matematik Aarhus Universitet: http://www.mscand.dk/index

© Institut for Matematik Aarhus Universitet. Reprinted with permission. No further reproduction is authorized without written permission from Institut for Matematik Aarhus Universitet.

Keywords: Prime Number Theorem | Lemmas | Alte Selberg

***Note: Full text of article below

ON THE PRIME NUMBER LEMMA OF SELBERG

FILIP SAIDAK

(Dedicated to the memory of Atle Selberg)

Abstract

The key result needed in almost all elementary proofs of the Prime Number Theorem is a prime number lemma proved by Atle Selberg in 1948. Without restricting ourselves to purely elementary techniques we show how the error term in Selberg's fundamental lemma relates to the error term in the Prime Number Theorem. In spite of all the interest in this topic over the last sixty years this particular question seems to have been overlooked in the past.

1. Prime Number Theorem

Let

$$\pi(x) := \sum_{p \le x} 1.$$

In its simplest form the Prime Number Theorem (PNT) asserts that, as $x \to \infty$,

(1)
$$\pi(x) \sim \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left\{ \int_0^{1-\epsilon} + \int_{1+\epsilon}^x \right\} \frac{dt}{\log t} \iff \psi(x) \sim x,$$

where $\psi(x) = \sum_{n \le x} \Lambda(n) = \sum_{p^m \le x} \log p$. The important error function E(x), $E(x) := \psi(x) - x$

is closely connected to zero-free regions of $\zeta(s)$ in the critical strip. In fact, since

$$\frac{\psi(x+0) + \psi(x-0)}{2} - x = -\sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho} - \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(0) - \frac{1}{2} \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{x^2}\right)$$

and $\sum_{0<\operatorname{Im}(\rho)< T}\frac{1}{|\rho|}=O(\log T)^2$, both sums being extended over all non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$, it is easy to see that (e.g. [5], §18): $E(x)=O(x^{\Delta}(\log x)^2)$ if $\zeta(s)\neq 0$ for Re(s) > Δ . However as Selberg [19] and Erdős [7] discovered

Received January 29, 2007.

in 1948, analytic methods are not exclusively needed for estimation of E(x) or the proof of PNT.

2. Selberg's lemma

The following remarkable lemma of Atle Selberg is used in almost all known *elementary* proofs of (1):

LEMMA 2.1 (Selberg [19], p. 309)). For all x > 0 we have

(2)
$$\psi(x)\log x + \int_1^x \psi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) d\psi(t) = 2x\log x + O(x).$$

In his original proof of Lemma 2.1 (see [19], p. 307) Selberg used only the rough elementary Chebyshev-type bound $\vartheta(x) := \sum_{p \le x} \log p = O(x)$, which is equivalent to the weak estimates $\pi(x) = O(x/\log x)$ and $\psi(x) = O(x)$. It seems natural to ask whether a somewhat better estimate for $\psi(x)$ could give us a sharper result than (2). Moreover, if we are willing to abandon the idea of necessity of purely elementary means, and just look at Selberg's lemma as a fundamental result concerning prime numbers worth studying in its own right, then it seems of interest to investigate the question of what the sharpest possible estimates concerning $\psi(x)$ may yield in this direction. This "converse" problem is exactly what we investigate below.

The purpose of this paper is to give a simple proof the following:

THEOREM 2.2. If we assume that $\psi(x) = x + E(x)$, then we have

$$\psi(x)\log x + \int_{1}^{x} \psi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) d\psi(t) = 2x \log x - (2\gamma + 1)x + O(E(x)(\log x)^{2}).$$

COROLLARY 2.3. The sharpest known version of the Prime Number Theorem (still due to Korobov [8] and Vinogradov [22], from 1958) implies that there exists a constant A > 0, such that for all $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\psi(x) \log x + \int_{1}^{x} \psi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) d\psi(t) = 2x \log x - (2\gamma + 1)x + O\left(x \exp\left(-\frac{A(\log x)^{3/5}}{(\log\log x)^{1/5}}\right)\right).$$

COROLLARY 2.4. Under the assumption of the Riemann Hypothesis [17]

$$\psi(x)\log x + \int_1^x \psi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) d\psi(t) = 2x\log x - (2\gamma + 1)x + O\left(\sqrt{x}(\log x)^3\right).$$

REMARK 2.5. Starting with Kuhn [9] and van der Corput [4] many results concerning the error (remainder) term in the Prime Number Theorem by elementary methods have been published over the last six decades, including the works of Bombieri [2], Wirsing [23], Diamond & Steinig [6], Lavrik & Sobirov [10] and Lu [13], to mention just a few. Moreover, various extensions and generalizations of Lemma 2.1 were obtained by Shapiro [20], Tatuzawa & Iseki [21], Popken [15], Breusch [3] and others. However these contributions did not involve sharpening of Selberg's lemma per se, and they did not explicitly study the relationship of its error term to that of the error in the Prime Number Theorem either.

REMARK 2.6. The sharpest version of (2) is due to V. Nevanlinna [14]:

(3)
$$\psi(x) \log x + \int_{1}^{x} \psi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) d\psi(t) = 2x \log x - (2\gamma + 1)x + o(x).$$

3. Lemmas

The proof of our main theorem is short and straight-forward, but it relies on some basic lemmas which, for convenience, we list below with appropriate explanations. (For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by [x] the integer part of x.)

Lemma 3.1 (Euler). Let $\gamma := \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n} = 0.57721\ 56649... = -\Gamma'(1)$ be Euler's constant. Then, for all x > 0, we have

$$\sum_{n \le x} \frac{1}{n} = \log x + \gamma + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \quad and \quad \sum_{n \le x} \log n = x \log x - x + O(\log x).$$

PROOF. Both results follow immediately from simple applications of the Euler-MacLaurin Summation, see [1] – Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.3, respectively.

LEMMA 3.2. For positive integers n, define the Möbius function $\mu(n)$ as

$$\mu(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ (-1)^k & \text{if } n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k \\ 0 & \text{if } p^2 | n \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\psi(x) - x \ll E(x) \implies M(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \mu(n) \ll E(x).$$

PROOF. In fact, under the given assumption, even a little bit stronger bound on M(x) could be proved – see Landau [12], Vol. II, Chapters 10–12.

For the Chebyshev function $\psi(x)$ we will need the following two lemmas:

LEMMA 3.3. Let $\psi(x) = x + E(x)$, then for x > 1 we have

(4)
$$\int_{1}^{x} \frac{d\psi(t)}{t} = \log x - \gamma + O\left(\frac{E(x)}{x}\right).$$

PROOF. For all real x > 1, integrating by parts gives us

$$\int_{1}^{x} \frac{d\psi(t)}{t} = \frac{\psi(x)}{x} - \int_{1}^{x} \frac{-\psi(t)}{t^{2}} d[t] = \frac{x + E(x)}{x} + \int_{1}^{x} \frac{t + E(t)}{t^{2}} d[t]$$

$$= 1 + \int_{1}^{x} \frac{1}{t} d[t] + O\left(\frac{E(x)}{x}\right) = \log x - \gamma + O\left(\frac{E(x)}{x}\right),$$

by Lemma 3.1.

LEMMA 3.4 (Tatuzawa & Iseki [21]). Consider two real valued functions f(x) and g(x) related via the identity

$$g(x) = \log x \sum_{n \le x} f\left(\frac{x}{n}\right).$$

Then, for all x > 1, we have

(5)
$$f(x)\log x + \int_{1}^{x} f\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) d\psi(t) = \int_{1}^{x} g\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) dM(t).$$

COROLLARY 3.5 (Nevanlinna [14]). The function $\psi(x)$ can be written in the form

(6)
$$\psi(x) = \int_{1}^{x} \left[\frac{x}{t} \right] \log \frac{x}{t} dM(t) - \log x.$$

PROOF. Take f(x) = 1 in (5). Then $g(x) = [x] \log x$ and the result is clear.

4. Proof of the Theorem

The key idea is to compare the two sides of Lemma 3.4 when $f(x) = \psi(x) - x$. With this particular choice of f(x), the left hand side (LHS) of (5) becomes

LHS :=
$$(\psi(x) - x) \log x + \int_1^x \left(\psi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) - \frac{x}{t} \right) d\psi(t)$$

= $\psi(x) \log x + \int_1^x \psi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) d\psi(t) - x \log x - x \int_1^x \frac{d\psi(t)}{t}$
= $\psi(x) \log x + \int_1^x \psi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) d\psi(t) - 2x \log x + \gamma x + O(E(x)),$

by Lemma 3.3. On the other hand, the two estimates in our Lemma 3.1 imply

$$g(x) = \log x \sum_{n \le x} \left(\psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) - \frac{x}{n} \right) = \log x \sum_{n \le x} \log n - x \log x \sum_{n \le x} \frac{1}{n}$$

$$= (x \log^2 x - x \log x + O(\log x)^2) - (x \log^2 x + \gamma x \log x + O(\log x))$$

$$= -(\gamma + 1)[x] \log x + h(x) \log x,$$

where h(x) is a function that satisfies the bound $h(x) = O(\log x)$. Therefore, the right hand side (RHS) of (5) can be now rewritten as:

RHS :=
$$\int_{1}^{x} g\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) dM(t)$$

$$= -(\gamma + 1) \int_{1}^{x} \left[\frac{x}{t}\right] \log \frac{x}{t} dM(t) + \int_{1}^{x} h\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \log \frac{x}{t} dM(t)$$

$$= -(\gamma + 1)(\log x + \psi(x)) + O\left((\log x)^{2} \int_{1}^{x} dM(t)\right)$$

$$= -(\gamma + 1)x + O(E(x)) + O(E(x)(\log x)^{2}),$$

by Lemma 3.2 and Corollary 3.5. Equating the two sides proves the theorem.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I would like to thank the referee for several helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- Ayoub, R., An Introduction to the Analytic Theory of Numbers, Chapter 2, Math. Surveys Monogr. 10 (1963).
- Bombieri, E., Maggiorazione del resto nel "Primzahlsatz" col metodo di Erdős-Selberg, Ist. Lombardo Accad. Sci. Lett. Rend. A, 96 (1962), 343–350.

- Breusch, R., Elementary proof of the prime number theorem with remainder term, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 487–497.
- 4. van der Corput, G. J., *Sur le reste dans la démonstration élémentaire du théorème des nombres premiers*, Colloque sur la Théorie des Nombres, Bruxelles, 1955, 163–182.
- 5. Davenport, H., Multiplicative number theory, 3rd Ed., Grad. Texts in Math. 74 (2000).
- Diamond, H. G. & Steinig, J., An elementary proof of the prime number theorem with a remainder term, Invent. Math. 11, 1970, 199–258.
- 7. Erdős, P., On a new method in elementary number theory which leads to an elementary proof of the prime number theorem, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 35 (1949), 374–384.
- 8. Korobov, N. M., *Estimates for trigonometric sums and their applications*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 13 (1958), 185–192.
- 9. Kuhn, P., Eine Verbesserung des Restgliedes beim elementaren Beweis des Primzahlsatzes, Math. Scand. 3 (1955), 75–89.
- Lavrik, A. F. & Sobirov, A. S., On the remainder term in the elementary proof of the prime number theorem, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 211 (1973), 534–536.
- 11. Landau, E., *Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1909. (Reprinted 1974 by Chelsea, New York.)
- 12. Landau, E., Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie, Vol. II, Chelsea, New York, 1947.
- 13. Lu, W. C., On the elementary proof of the prime number theorem with a remainder term, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 29 (1999), no. 3, 979–1053.
- Nevanlinna, V., A refinement of Selberg's asymptotic equation, Pacific J. Math. 21, no. 3 (1967).
- 15. Popken, J., On convolutions in number theory, Indag. Math. 17 (1955), 10–15.
- Postnikov, A. G. & Romanov, N. P., A simplification of A. Selberg's elementary proof of the asymptotic law of distribution of prime numbers, Uspehi Mat. Nauk (N.S.) 10 (1955), no. 4, (66), 75–87.
- 17. Riemann, B., Über die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse (1859), Collected Works, p. 145, Teubner, Leipzig, 1892.
- 18. Saidak, F., An elementary proof of a theorem of Delange, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. 24, 4 (2002), 144–151.
- Selberg, A., An elementary proof of the prime-number theorem, Ann. of Math. (2) 50 (1949), 305–313.
- Shapiro, H., On a theorem of Selberg and generalizations, Ann. of Math. (2) 51 (1950), 485–497.
- 21. Tatuzawa, T. & Iseki, K., On Selberg's elementary proof of the prime-number theorem, Proc. Japan Acad. 27 (1951), 340–342.
- 22. Vinogradov, I. M., A new estimate for $\zeta(1+it)$, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. 22 (1958), 161–164.
- 23. Wirsing, E., *Elementare Beweise des Primzahlsatzes mit Restglied. I. & II.*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 211 (1962), 205–214, and 214/215 (1964), 1–18.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA GREENSBORO, NC 27401 USA E-mail: f_saidak@uncg.edu