#### South Dakota State University

## Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange

SDSU Extension Fact Sheets

**SDSU Extension** 

1983

### Formulating Supplements for Beef Cows

Cooperative Extension South Dakota State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://openprairie.sdstate.edu/extension\_fact

#### **Recommended Citation**

South Dakota State University, Cooperative Extension, "Formulating Supplements for Beef Cows" (1983). SDSU Extension Fact Sheets. 982. https://openprairie.sdstate.edu/extension\_fact/982

This Fact Sheet is brought to you for free and open access by the SDSU Extension at Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in SDSU Extension Fact Sheets by an authorized administrator of Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information, please contact michael.biondo@sdstate.edu.

# Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



For current policies and practices, contact SDSU Extension Website: extension.sdstate.edu Phone: 605-688-4792 Email: sdsu.extension@sdstate.edu

SDSU Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer in accordance with the nondiscrimination policies of South Dakota State University, the South Dakota Board of Regents and the United States Department of Agriculture.

# Formulating supplements for beef cows

Cooperative Extension Service South Dakota State University U.S. Department of Agriculture

# Formulating supplements for beef cows

J.A. Minyard Extension livestock specialist (beef)

Although the basic diet of most South Dakota beef cows is primarily forages, the nutritional content of those forages varies greatly. Type, stage of maturity, and whether and how the forage is harvested and stored all make a difference.

The nutritional needs of beef cows differ, as well. Nutritional needs are based on stage of production, age, size, condition, and weather.

Providing for the nutritional needs of beef cows in most South Dakota herds is a matter of supplementing the existing diet to correct specific deficiencies. This is particularly important from late fall to spring when nutritional deficiencies are most common. Supplements are generally not expected to make up a major part of the total diet or to provide a major share of needed nutrients.

#### **Computing supplement needs**

You can quickly calculate the daily supplement needs of your beef cows with a pocket or desk calculator. With minimal additional calculation you can then evaluate and compare costs of a variety of prospective supplements.

First you'll need to know:

- 1. daily nutrient requirements,
- approximate daily intake of dry matter,
   approximate nutrient composition of the
- basic diet,
- 4. nutrient composition of the supplement(s) to be considered, and
- 5. cost of the supplement(s) per pound.

You can get most of that information from Tables 1 and 2 in this publication.

With that information and this formula you can figure the amount of a given supplement needed per head per day.

where:

- I = daily dry matter intake
- N = percentage of crude protein, digestible protein, total digestible nutrients (TDN), or any nutrient needed in the diet.
  - expressed on a percent composition basis
- D = percentage of N in the existing diet
- S = percentage of N in the supplement

In this equation the values for N and D indicate how well the existing diet meets the need for the nutrient considered. If N is larger than D the diet is deficient. If N and D are equal the diet is balanced for that nutrient. And, if D is larger than N the existing diet contains excessive amounts of the nutrient.

To find the cost of the supplement per head per day multiply the pounds of supplement needed per head per day times the cost of the supplement per pound.

#### Dry matter intake

Dry matter intake varies considerably among cows, depending on size, level of production, and quality of feed, particularly roughages. Greatest dry matter intake can be expected with high quality forages. For dry pregnant cows, intake may range from 1.0-2.5% of body weight with an average of about 1.75%. Dry matter intake of lactating beef cows might vary from 1.5-3.5% of body weight. You can expect about a 2.25% dry matter intake for average milking cows and a 2.75% intake for superior milking cows.

#### Sample problem

Say a cattleman has a herd of mature 1100-lb beef cows with superior milking ability in early lactation being fed average quality prairie hay. He wishes to know how much 32% commercial supplement will be needed per head per day to balance for protein.

According to Table 1, mature 1100-lb beef cows of superior milking ability in early lactation need a minimum of 26.0 lb forage dry matter per day that contains 10.9% (.109) total protein. From Table 2 he can see average quality prairie hay contains 6.5% (.065) total protein on a dry matter basis. Note also in Table 2 that the protein content of a 32% commercial supplement will probably average about 34% (.34). The 32% is considered a minimum.

The amount of supplement needed per head per day can be calculated as follows:

$$\frac{26.0 (.109 - .065)}{(.34 - .065)} = \frac{26.0 \times .044}{.275} = \frac{1.144}{.275} = 4.16 \text{ lb}$$

The daily diet, on a dry matter basis, then becomes 21.84 lb prairie hay plus 4.16 lb of 32% supplement (21.84 + 4.16 = 26.0).

#### **Checking your calculations**

Will 21.84 lb of prairie hay dry matter (d.m.) plus 4.16 lb of 32% supplement (d.m.) really meet his beef cows' needs?

You can check the calculations as follows:

21.84 lb prairie hay d.m. $\times$ .065 = $\perp$	1.42 lb protein
4.16 lb supplement d.m. $\times$ .34 =	1.41 lb protein
	2.83 lb ÷ 26.0
	= .109 or 10.9% protein

In the same manner, using appropriate values from Table 2, the ration can be checked for TDN:

```
21.84 lb prairie hay d.m. \times .51 =
4.16 lb supplement d.m. \times .81 =
+ \frac{11.14 \text{ lb TDN}}{3.37 \text{ lb TDN}}
14.51 lb \div 26.0
= .558 \text{ or } 55.8\% \text{ TDN}
```

Checking Table 1 again you can see that this diet is balanced for TDN, as well as for total protein.

On an "as fed" basis, the daily ration would be:

prairie hay—21.84 lb  $\div$  .90 (% d.m.) = + 24.3 lb supplement— 4.16 lb  $\div$  .90 (% d.m.) = +  $\frac{24.3 \text{ lb}}{4.6 \text{ lb}}$ Total as fed 28.9 lb

#### **Evaluating potential supplements**

In the equation used to solve the sample problem the numerator (1.144) indicates the protein deficiency of the existing diet in pounds per day. The denominator (.275) indicates the net increase in dietary protein for each pound of supplement added to the diet. To compare different supplements all you need to do is substitute different denominators derived from the nutrient values of those different supplements. See the sample calculations that follow. Table 2 has the nutrient values you'll need.

Supplement considered	Denominator (S-D)	Calculation	Pounds d.m. needed per head daily
Alfalfa hay, early cut Brewers grains 44% soybean meal	.184065 = .119 .281065 = .216 .48065 = .415	1.144 ÷ .119 = 1.144 ÷ .216 = 1.144 ÷ .415 =	9.61 5.30 2.76

Any feed or combination of feeds can be considered. For example, you might want to feed your beef cows half alfalfa hay and half 44% soybean meal. The nutrient value of the combination would be the average of the feeds. If you did decide to supplement your beef cows' diet with a combination of feeds, you wouldn't have to mix them together. The feeds could be fed individually on an alternating basis.

#### **Optional calculations**

If for some reason you wanted to feed a predetermined quantity of a supplement per head per day you would need to know what the protein level in the supplement should be. You can figure that with the following equation. Use the same code letters indicated previously.

$$\frac{I(N-D)}{\text{lb of supplement}} + D$$

Considering the same herd of mature 1100-lb beef cows as in the earlier problem and feeding a predetermined 3.0 lb of supplement dry matter per head per day, you would find you needed a supplement with a 45% protein level.

26.0 (.10	9065)	065 = 1.144	+ 0.65 = 45	5 or 45%
3.	0 ]	3.0	+.065 = .45	101 10 10

#### Mineral and vitamin supplementation

Minerals and vitamins are not considered in these calculations. Free choice feeding of mineral supplements appears adequate under most conditions. As a rule, complex mineral mixtures are not necessary. Mineral supplements may be commercial or home-mixed, and in either case, the phosphorus content should be about 10%. Salt should be offered in addition to the mineral mix; in some areas the use of trace mineral salt may be suggested. Supplemental vitamins, especially vitamin A, may be included in the supplement or they can be provided by injection or periodically feeding a special vitamin supplement.

Table 1. Nutrient requirements of beef cows and heifers (Nutrient concentration in ration dry matter).	Tab	le 1	. Nutrient	requirements	of	beef	cows	and	heifers	(Nutrient	concentration	in	ration	dry	matter).
--	-----	------	------------	--------------	----	------	------	-----	---------	-----------	---------------	----	--------	-----	----------

Weight	Daily gain	Minimum daily dry matter	TDN	Total protein	Digestible protein	Calcium	Phosphorus
lb	lb	lb	%	%	%	%	%
Dry pregnant	mature cow-m	iddle third of pregr	nancy				
900		13.5	in figure (20)				
1000		15.0	52	5.9	2.8	.18	.18
1100		16.0	01	0.0	2.0	.10	
1200		17.0					
Dry pregnant	mature cow—la	st third of pregnand	ev				
900		15.5	Cooms of the				
1000		16.5	52	5.9	2.8	.18	.18
1100		18.0	02	0.0	2.0	.10	
1200		19.0					
Early lactation		ing ability					
900	0	19.5					
1000		20.5	52	9.2	5.4	.28	.28
1100		21.5	04	0.4	0.4	.40	
1200		23.0 )					

Weight	Daily gain	Minimum daily dry matter	TDN	Total protein	Digestible protein	Calcium	Phosphorus
lb	lb	lb	%	%	%	%	%
Early lactation	n-superior milki	ng ability					
900		24.0					
1000		25.0	55	10.9	6.4	.39	.37
1100		26.0	00	10.0	0.1	.00	.01
1200		27.5 /					
Pregnant year	ling heifers—last	third of pregnancy					
700	0.9	14.3	52				
	1.3	18.5	52	8.8	5.2	.22	.22
	1.8	20.8	57				
800	0.9	15.6	52				
	1.3	20.1	52	8.7	5.0	.20	.20
	1.8	23.7	55				
900	0.9	16.8	52	8.7	5.0	.19	.19
	1.3	21.8	04	0.1	0.0	.19	.19

Table 2. Nutrient composition of common feedstuffs on a 100% dry matter basis.

Feedstuff	Dry matter	Total protein	Digestible protein	TDN	Calcium	Phosphorus
and the second second second	%	%	%	%	%	%
Grazing						
Mixed native plants						
Late spring and early summer		11.0	6.0	56	.38	.22
Late summer		7.5	3.5	53	.38	.18
Early fall		6.5	2.8	52	.38	.15
Late fall		5.5	2.3	50	.35	.14
Winter, good range condition		4.5	1.5	48	35	.09
Winter, poor range condition		4.0	0.7	43	.35	.06
		4.0	0.1	40	.00	.00
Crop residue (corn and sorghum),		6.5	2.0	FO	.30	.11
first 30 days		0.0	3.0	50	.30	.11
Crop residue (corn and sorghum),			1-	10	00	00
after 30 days		4.5	1.5	46	.30	.08
Vheatgrass pasture,		States of the	The start of the second	A Charles and	S. S. States of	1991 1992 1993
early spring and fall		13.0	8.0	58	.40	.30
loughages						
Alfalfa hay, early cut	90	18.4	12.7	59	1.25	.23
Malfalfa hay, midseason	90	15.9	11.4	56	1.25	.20
Ifalfa hay, late cut	90	13.6	9.5	53	.50	.15
	90	16.0	12.0	54	1.30	.10
lfalfa-brome hay	90 88	4.1	0.5	43	.34	.20
Barley straw						
Brome hay	90	11.8	5.0	52	.40	.30
Corn cobs	90	2.8	0.0	47	.12	.04
Corn husklage	85	5.0	1.8	52	.30	.10
Corn silage	33	8.4	4.9	70	.28	.21
Dats hay	90	9.2	4.4	61	.26	.24
Dats straw	92	4.4	1.4	50	.33	.10
rairie hay, early cut	90	8.5	4.1	53	.57	.19
rairie hay, average	90	6.5	2.8	51	.35	.21
rairie hay, late cut	90	4.5	1.5	47	.35	.10
lye straw	90	3.0	0.0	40	.28	.10
mall grain chaff	89	6.5	2.0	56	.35	.10
orghum hay	88	7.0	3.5	58	.35	.20
orghum silage	30	6.3	1.7	58	.35	.20
udangrass hay	90	11.0	5.7	56	.56	.31
talklage, corn and sorghum	88	5.2	2.0	50	.30	.10
Vheatgrass hay, early cut	90	12.0	7.5	57	.35	.30
Vheatgrass hay, late cut	90	6.5	3.5	50	.35	.15
Vheat straw	89	3.6	0.4	48	.17	.08
	00	0.0	0.1	10		.00
Concentrates						
arley	88	13.0	9.8	82	.09	.47
eet molasses	78	8.7	5.0	75	.21	.04
rewers grains	92	28.1	20.8	66	.29	.54
Ground ear corn	87	9.3	4.6	83	.05	.31
Ailo	89	12.4	7.1	80	.04	.33

#### Table 2. (Cont.)

Feedstuff	Dry matter %	Total protein %	Digestible protein %	TDN %	Calcium %	Phosphorus %
Oats	89	12.4	9.3	75	.10	.43
Shelled corn	89	10.0	7.5	91	.02	.35
Soybean meal, 44%	90	48.0	41.0	81	.36	.75
32% commercial supplement	90	34.0	27.0	81	.22	.57
20% grain-base supplement	90	22.0	17.0	80	.15	.46
Vheat	89	14.6	11.4	88	.06	.47
Wheat screenings	89	16.9	12.2	77	.09	.40
Ainerals						
Bonemeal, steamed	95				30.5	14.3
Dicalcium phosphate	96				23	18.6
Ground limestone	100				34	
Monosodium phosphate	97					22.5
Rock phosphate defluorinated	100				32	18
Sodium tripolyphosphate	96			1	1	26

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the USDA, Hollis D. Hall, Director of Cooperative Extension Service, SDSU, Brookings. Educational programs and materials offered without regard to age, race, color, religion, sex, handicap or national origin. An Equal Opportunity Employer. File: 4.1-2—5M—7-83kt—ES 092.



U.S. Department of Agriculture **Cooperative Extension Service** South Dakota State University

FS 816