

# Welcome to the SDSU ASEE Best Practices in Engineering Education Series

Today's Topic: Rubrics

Help yourself to pizza / drinks



#### Check out:

SDSU External website – Engineering Homepage

ASEE page – links to these Best Practices Sessions & SoTL work – Conference papers



#### THE NATIONAL EFFECTIVE TEACHING INSTITUTE

Feb 2012

21st Annual National Effective Teaching Institute (NETI)

### Thursday, June 7 - Saturday, June 9 Grand Hyatt, San Antonio

The National Effective Teaching Institute (NETI) is a three-day workshop held each year just prior to the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Engineering Education. For information about the NETI content and facilitators, a summary of past workshop evaluations, the enrollment procedure, and the time and location of the next offering of the workshop, visit NETI.

The Dean of Engineering nominates faculty to attend



Next session: Thursday, March 15

Topic: Discussion on doing collaborative academic research projects and applying for the Academic and Scholarly Excellence funds, due Oct 1.



Feb 2012

#### Rubrics:

- Descriptive scoring schemes that are developed by teachers or other evaluators to guide the analysis of the products or processes of students' efforts.
- Presents a continuum of performance levels, defined in terms of selected criteria, towards full attainment or development of the targeted skills
- Provides qualitative information regarding the observed performance in relation to a desired one



Feb 2012

#### Rubrics:

- Used for subjective and authentic assessments
- Learners are clearer about the expectations prior to assessment and are clear about their areas of weakness and strength after the assessment
- Using rubrics for assessment standardizes the evaluation of the assessments, and reduces the burden on faculty time



### Examples of Rubrics Used to Assess ABET Student Outcomes in a Capstone Course

## Rubrics used to help ABET data-gathering process

- Used to evaluate the direct evidence of student work, that is, "grading" the student's progress on meeting the assigned learning outcomes
- Evaluation at the level of a program's Capstone course is subjective
  - Deals with how well the student project groups can define and solve a technical problem
  - Doing as objective as possible evaluation of the student's work requires the use of standardized rubrics



Feb 2012

#### Rubrics:

- Analytical vs. Holistic
- Formative vs. Summative



Feb 2012

#### Rubrics:

 Analytical – also called quantitative - usually preferred when a fairly focused type of response is required

ABET Outcome	Tool	Superior	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
		10	9	8	6-7	0-5
(n) the ability to apply	Midterm	100% on	90-99%	80-89%	etc.	
project management	Test	exam	on exam	on exam		
techniques						



### Examples of Rubrics Used to Assess ABET Student Outcomes in a Capstone Course

- Holistic also called qualitative are used to evaluate or assess the whole process, performance, or product
- Based on the idea that instructors "know quality when they see it"
- Faculty should write thorough narrative descriptions for excellent work, down to poor work, incorporating each attribute into the description



Feb 2012

#### Holistic - Teamwork

ABET	Tool	Superior	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Outcome		10	9	8	7-6	5-0
(e) an ability	Rubric at	Completes all	Completes all			
to function	middle	assigned tasks by	assigned tasks	most	some	few tasks
effectively	and end	deadline without	by deadline	tasks	tasks	
as a member	of project	prompting				
or leader of						
a team		Work accomplished is thorough, comprehensive, and advances the project	Work accomplished is thorough and advances the project	mostly through	does not advance	little work accomplished
		Proactively helps other team members complete their assigned tasks to a similar level of excellence	Works with other team members as required.	only with prompting	only on some tasks	works poorly with team members



### Examples of Rubrics Used to Assess ABET Student Outcomes in a Capstone Course

Formative (a grade) & Summative (feedback to students)

	Design Review Rubric	4 pts	3 pts	2 pts	1 pts
		Exceeds	Meets	Nearly Meets	Below
		Expectations	Expectations.	Expectations.	Expectations.
	Up-to-date Status Report, Customer Reviews, Tracking Gantt	X	Present	X	Not present
	Deliverables Table - show what has been finished	X	Present	X	Not present
8	All documentation that you have to	Documentation	Documentation	Documentation	Documentation
	date on what you have done	is all clear,	is mostly clear,	is clear, but not	is not clear,
	technically on the project.	complete, and	complete, and	complete or	incomplete, and
		organized	somewhat organized	organized	is disorganized
	Hardware and/or software of project to date	Project works as plan describes, is almost ready for final version	Project works to some extent, it is clear what works needs to be done in next few weeks	Projects works somewhat, but it is unclear what work still needs to be done	Project does not work, work needed to complete is unknown
	If you need to change your plan / scope of the project / deliverables, etc., in order to complete the project by Apr. 15, write that out and make it as clear as possible	Plan is Very Clear	Mostly clear	Somewhat unclear	Unclear

#### Holistic Rubric Example

A supplement to an Assessment101 column, "Rubrics: What Are They Good For? Part II," from the October 2006 issue of ABET's CommunityMatters newsletter.

4-Exceeds Criteria	3 – Meets Criteria	2- Progressing to Criteria	1 – Below Expectations
<ul> <li>Provides ample supporting detail to support solution/ argument.</li> <li>Organizational pattern is logical and conveys completeness.</li> <li>Uses effective language; makes engaging, appropriate word choices for audience and purpose.</li> <li>Consistently follows the rules of standard English.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Provides adequate supporting detail to support solution/argument.</li> <li>Organizational pattern is logical &amp; conveys completeness &amp; wholeness with few lapses.</li> <li>Uses effective language &amp; appropriate word choices for intended audience &amp; purpose.</li> <li>Generally follows the rules for standard English.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Includes some details, but may include extraneous or loosely related material.</li> <li>Achieves little completeness &amp; wholeness though organization attempted.</li> <li>Limited &amp; predictable vocabulary, perhaps not appropriate for intended audience &amp; purpose.</li> <li>Generally does not follow the rules of standard English.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Includes inconsistent or few details which may interfere with the meaning of the text Little evidence of organization or any sense of wholeness &amp; completeness.</li> <li>Has a limited or inappropriate vocabulary for the intended audience &amp; purpose.</li> <li>Does not follow the rules of standard English.</li> </ul>



### Examples of Rubrics Used to Assess ABET Student Outcomes in a Capstone Course

If you, as a faculty, are developing your own rubrics, Rocco suggests following these guidelines:

- Outline your expectations.
- Divide expectations into traits for a quality performance or product.
- Decide on a hierarchy of traits.
- Decide on the rubric format.
- If you are using a holistic rubric, create sample products for each level of competence.
- Share and discuss the rubric with students.
- Use the rubric.
- Modify the rubric as needed.



#### Using Rubrics in D2L Dropbox

Feb 2012