University of Windsor Scholarship at UWindsor

Social Work Publications

School of Social Work

2020

Colon cancer care of Hispanic people in California: Paradoxical barrio protections seem greatest among vulnerable populations

Keren M. Escobar University of Windsor

Mollie Sivaram McMaster University

Kevin M. Gorey University of Windsor

Isaac N. Luginaah University of Western Ontario

Sindu M. Kanjeekal PoiholecthRegionad Chainceal George at: https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/socialworkpub

Part of the American Studies Commons, Chicana/o Studies Commons, Digestive System Diseases See next page for additional authors, Ethnic Studies Commons, Feminist, Gender, and Sexuality Studies Commons, Geography Commons, Health Policy Commons, Neoplasms Commons, Other Languages, Societies, and Cultures Commons, Political Science Commons, Public Affairs Commons, Social and Cultural Anthropology Commons, Social Policy Commons, Social Psychology Commons, Social Welfare Commons, Social Work Commons, Sociology Commons, and the Urban Studies Commons

Recommended Citation

Escobar, Keren M.; Sivaram, Mollie; Gorey, Kevin M.; Luginaah, Isaac N.; Kanjeekal, Sindu M.; and Wright, Frances C. (2020). Colon cancer care of Hispanic people in California: Paradoxical barrio protections seem greatest among vulnerable populations. *Journal of Public Health Research*, 9 (1696), 255-258. https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/socialworkpub/119

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Social Work at Scholarship at UWindsor. It has been accepted for inclusion in Social Work Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholarship at UWindsor. For more information, please contact scholarship@uwindsor.ca.

Authors

Keren M. Escobar, Mollie Sivaram, Kevin M. Gorey, Isaac N. Luginaah, Sindu M. Kanjeekal, and Frances C. Wright



Brief Report

Colon cancer care of Hispanic people in California: Paradoxical barrio protections seem greatest among vulnerable populations

Keren M. Escobar,¹ Mollie Sivaram,² Kevin M. Gorey,¹ Isaac N. Luginaah,³ Sindu M. Kanjeekal,⁴ Frances C. Wright⁵

¹School of Social Work, University of Windsor, Ontario; ²Faculty of Health Sciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario; ³Department of Geography, Western University, London, Ontario; ⁴Department of Oncology, Windsor Regional Cancer Center and Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Western University, Windsor, Ontario; ⁵Division of General Surgery, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Center and cross appointed Departments of Surgery and Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Abstract

Background: We examined paradoxical and *barrio* advantaging effects on cancer care among socioeconomically vulnerable Hispanic people in California.

Methods: We secondarily analyzed a colon cancer cohort of 3,877 non-Hispanic white (NHW) and 735 Hispanic people treated between 1995 and 2005. A third of the cohort was selected from high poverty neighborhoods. Hispanic enclaves and Mexican American (MA) *barrios* were neighborhoods where 40% or more of the residents were Hispanic or MA. Key analyses were restricted to high poverty neighborhoods.

Results: Hispanic people were more likely to receive chemotherapy (RR=1.18), especially men in Hispanic enclaves (RR=1.33) who were also advantaged on survival (RR=1.20). A survival advantage was also suggested among MA men who resided in *barrios* (RR=1.80).

Conclusions: The findings were supportive of Hispanic paradox and MA *barrio* advantage theories. They further suggested that such advantages are greater for men, perhaps due to their greater spousal and extended familial support.

Introduction

Kyriakos Markides and Jeannine Coreil's germinal paper suggested the *Hispanic paradox* theory and began a generation-long debate on its soundness.¹ They described diverse morbid and mortal advantages among Hispanic people, many of whom lived in Hispanic enclaves, especially in *barrio* neighborhoods prevalently populated by first generation immigrants from Mexico. The related *barrio advantage* theory suggested that though *barrios* tend to be high poverty places, they provide their residents with relatively more supports.² They may have more social capital than other neighborhoods; their residents, including extended family members, assisting each other with direct and indirect health care costs. Pointing toward hopeful notions of cultural strengths and resiliencies the theory has much appeal and evidence has been produced in support of it.³ Some credible studies have not supported it however, inferring that the phenomenon is well-explained by methodological artifacts.

A few studies found evidence of the Hispanic paradox only among the socioeconomically vulnerable with low incomes or the uninsured.⁴ Such analyses seemed especially intriguing and were clearly important as Hispanic Americans are not a homogeneous population. Though statistically powerful, those analyses were limited in several ways. First, they did not study poverty, *per se*. Second, they focused on all-cause mortality. Third, their models controlled for personal and contextual characteristics that might be better described. We aimed to create complimentary knowledge with a descriptive epidemiologic approach, focusing on treatment access and survival among the most socioeconomically vulnerable people with colon cancer.

Prevalent among Hispanic and Non-Hispanic White (NHW) women and men, colon cancer is treatable when diagnosed early.5 Studies of cancer have ranged from highly supportive of the Hispanic paradox to counter-hypothetical. Those studies tended to suffer the same limitations of the field in general. Our research group studied colon cancer care in California and Ontario and observed that the more impoverished were the people and places, the larger was the Canadian universal health insurance-based advantage.⁶ Focusing on the most vulnerable patients seemed to magnify policy and human significance. The same likely analogously applies to study of the Hispanic paradox, a notion that we had a secondary opportunity to analyze. Because we oversampled people with colon cancer in California's poorest neighbourhoods we also oversampled Hispanic people, including MAs. Consequently, we hypothesized no Hispanic/NHW differences among the socioeconomically diverse. But among the socioeconomically vulnerable, principally the poor and inadequately insured, we hypothesized paradoxical colon cancer treatment and survival advantages of being Hispanic and living in a Hispanic enclave.

Significance for public health

Hispanic Americans are three-times as likely to live in poverty as non-Hispanic white Americans. Despite this, Hispanic enclaves, Mexican American barrios, in particular, seem to be relatively protective. Considering cancer care in California, for example, Hispanic men who live in such Hispanic enclaves/barrios are particularly advantaged in their access to cancer care and survival. Such communities may effectively double the protective effects of familialism that many Hispanic men already enjoy through marriage.

Methods

A registry-based colon cancer cohort of 6,300 people was established in California between 1995 and 2000, joined to the 2000 census by census tracts and followed until 2015. A third of them were randomly selected from high poverty neighbourhoods (\geq 30% poor), the remainder equally from these strata: 5% to 29% or <5% poor. This secondary cohort was restricted to 3,877 NHW and 735 Hispanic people. A sample of 201 MAs were identified through medical records or with a validated algorithm using Hispanic names, birthplace and other record linkages (sensitivity = 84%, specificity = 99%).⁷ Hispanic enclaves and MA *barrios* were defined as neighborhoods where 40% or more of the residents were Hispanic or MA as this was the most predictive criterion. Inadequate health insurance was defined as uninsured or Medicaid-insured. Age can confound any cancer analysis and chemotherapy was indicated experimentally, therapeutically or as palliation for stages II, III or IV colon cancer. Therefore, all rates were age and stage-adjusted and reported as percentages. Surgery was received by 9 of every 10 of the study participants and did not differ significantly by ethnicity or poverty so we did not analyze it. Five-year survival analyses included stages I to IV to account for diagnostic and treatment differences. Standardized rate ratios (RR) were reported with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Exploratory analyses of small subsamples reported 90% CIs. Further methodological details were reported.^{6,8} The original study was reviewed and cleared by the University of Windsor research ethics board.



Results

Cohort description

Hispanic people, generally, and MAs, specifically, were threetimes more likely to live in high poverty neighborhoods, and three to five-times more likely to live in Hispanic enclaves or MA barrios and to be inadequately insured. Furthermore, annual household incomes among Hispanic people and MAs were much lower than those of NHW people. Respective mean incomes in neighborhoods were \$36,845 and \$34,365 vs \$55,310; both P<0.001. Being much younger than their NHW counterparts, members of both Hispanic samples were more likely to have never been married and less likely to be widowed. Restricting cohorts to those living in high poverty neighborhoods resulted in NHW, Hispanic and MA samples that were quite similar economically and biologically. Their average incomes did not differ significantly (\$23,340 and \$23,450 vs \$23,850) and their tumors were of similar stages and grades. Both ethnic minority groups, however, were still two to three-times more likely to be inadequately insured.

Protections associated with being Hispanic or living in a Hispanic enclave

Findings related to the receipt of chemotherapy and 5-year survival are respectively displayed in the top and bottom of Table 1. First displayed are analyses of the overall samples. Among these overall samples, no significant differences were observed between

Table 1. Description of the protective effects of being Hispanic or living in a Hispanic enclave by gender on the receipt of chemotherapy and 5-year survival among people with colon cancer (N = 4,612).

	Chemot	Chemotherapy		Rate	
	Sample	Rate (%)	Ratio*	95% CI	
Receipt of chemotherapy by people with stage II to IV disease					
Non-Hispanic white people	3,001	38.5	1.00		
Hispanic people	597	36.6	0.95	0.84, 1.07	
Mexican Americans	163	37.0	0.96	0.79, 1.16	
Residents of high poverty neighborhoods				,	
Non-Hispanic white people	630	32.3	1.00		
Hispanic people	338	38.1	1.18	$0.99, 1.40^{\circ}$	
Residents of Hispanic enclaves				,	
Hispanic people	305	39.0	1.21	1.01, 1.45	
Hispanic women	158	35.4	1.10	0.86, 1.40	
Hispanic men	147	42.8	1.33	1.06, 1.67	
Medicaid-insured or uninsured				, , ,	
Non-Hispanic women	93	40.8	1.00		
Hispanic women	67	47.1	1.15	0.84, 1.57	
Mexican American women	25	58.5	1.43	0.95, 2.15#	
5-year survival among those with stage I to IV disease				,	
Non-Hispanic white people	3,877	50.5	1.00		
Hispanic people	735	49.6	0.98	0.89, 1.08	
Mexican Americans	201	48.7	0.96	0.81, 1.13	
Residents of high poverty neighborhoods					
Non-Hispanic white people	818	43.3	1.00		
Residents of Hispanic enclaves [§]					
Hispanic people	338	49.0	1.13	0.99, 1.29^	
Hispanic women	175	46.4	1.07	0.89, 1.29	
Hispanic men	163	51.8	1.20	1.00, 1.44	
Medicaid-insured or uninsured					
Non-Hispanic white people	244	43.6	1.00		
Mexican American men	21	53.6	1.23	0.79, 3.60	
Mexican American men residing in barrios	8	78.6	1.80	0.93, 3.50**	

*Rate ratio of 1.00 was the baseline; °90% confidence interval (1.02, 1.36); [#]90% confidence interval (1.01, 2.02); [§]Hispanic neighborhood prevalence was >50%; ^90% confidence interval (1.01, 1.26); **90% confidence interval (1.03, 3.15).



either the Hispanic or MA and NHW groups. Hispanics of diverse national origins, MAs and NHWs with colon cancer enjoyed equal access to chemotherapy and were equally likely to be alive five vears after their diagnoses. Next, we examined the possible protections of being a Hispanic person or of living in a Hispanic enclave among the socioeconomically vulnerable. No such Hispanic enclave or barrio advantages were observed among female or male NHW participants. They were the comparison group for these analyses. In high poverty neighborhoods, Hispanic people were more likely to receive chemotherapy (RR=1.18) a benefit that was most pronounced among Hispanic men residing in Hispanic enclaves (RR=1.33). A similar pattern was observed for survival among the poor. Hispanic men residing in Hispanic enclaves seemed most advantaged (RR=1.20). Among the inadequately insured, MA women seemed quite advantaged on chemotherapy access (RR=1.43). In fact, this advantage was most pronounced for MA women who lived in poverty and were inadequately insured (RR=2.05, 95% CI 1.09, 3.86, data not shown). Advantaged survival among MA men residing in barrios was also suggested (RR=1.80).

Discussion

We tested all the main effects of being Hispanic or MA as well as all their interacting effects within Hispanic enclaves, exploring any potential gender differences as well. Most findings were null and were not presented. Even such null findings lent support to Hispanic paradox and enclave or *barrio* advantage theories recalling that Hispanic people and places are much more socioeconomically vulnerable than those of their NHW counterparts. Ten hypothesis tests were significant, eight in support of Hispanic advantages and two in support of NHW advantages. Additionally, all the non-significant point-estimates were in the direction of Hispanic enclave or *barrio* advantages. The overall pattern of findings seemed largely supportive of Hispanic paradox and Hispanic enclave or MA *barrio* advantage theories especially among the most socioeconomically vulnerable populations such as the poor and or the inadequately insured.

Interpretations and future research direction

Consistent with a recent study of lung cancer in California,⁹ our pattern of findings strongly suggested that general Hispanic enclave and specific MA barrio advantages are greater for men. Such also seems consistent with a recent systematic review of familism.¹⁰ The concept refers to the special cultural emphases; allegiances, attachments and supports associated with nuclear and extended family networks that may attend being Hispanic, particularly a first-generation Hispanic American. We were not able to study familism, per se, with this secondary analysis, but we did uncover a potentially important descriptive addendum. Hispanic men living in Hispanic enclaves were much more likely to be married (70%) than were Hispanic women (43%) living in the same enclaves. In addition to more prevalently enjoying the support of a spouse, such men may also double their extended family network through marriage, and thereby double its protective familismbased effects. Future qualitative studies of key informing Hispanic residents who reside in Hispanic enclaves are needed to advance theory-building knowledge about the most probable explanations for the paradoxical enclave or barrio-based advantages that we and many others have observed.

Some of our analyses could be fairly categorized as powerful, especially the overall Hispanic-NHW hypothesis tests that ana-

lyzed the experiences of 3,598 to 4,612 participants. Those precise analyses probably engendered confidence. Restricting samples to the lowest socioeconomic strata and depicting interactions of ethnicity, enclave status and gender, we analyzed smaller samples. Those related to the experiences of Hispanic women and men residing in ethnic enclaves ranged from 350 to 50. Similar subsamples of MAs within key strata ranged from 200 to as small as 8. Finally, we tested multiple hypotheses, some of which only approached statistical significance, across several treatment and survival outcomes. Admittedly, these were exploratory, theory building analyses. We encourage researchers with access to national data to systematically replicate them.

Correspondence: Kevin M. Gorey, School of Social Work, University of Windsor, 167 Ferry Street, Windsor, Ontario, N9A 0C5, Canada. E-mail: gorey@uwindsor.ca

Key words: Hispanic paradox; Hispanic enclave; barrio advantage; poverty; colon cancer.

Acknowledgements: We gratefully acknowledge the administrative and logistical assistance of Kurt Snipes, Janet Bates and Gretchen Agha of the Cancer Surveillance and Research Branch, California Department of Public Health (CDPH) and Dee West and Marta Induni of the Cancer Registry of Greater California (CRGC). We also gratefully acknowledge the research assistance of Glen Halvorson, Donald Fong and Arti Parikh-Patel of the CRGC and Madhan Balagurusamy, Daniel Edelstein and Nancy Richter of the University of Windsor. Finally, we are grateful for the assistance we received from Eric Holowaty of the University of Toronto, Caroline Hamm and Guangyong Zou of Western University and Emma Bartfay of the University of Ontario Institute of Technology in obtaining funding to create the primary database for this secondary analysis.

The collection of data was supported by the CDPH as part of the statewide cancer reporting program mandated by California Health and Safety Code Section 103885; the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results Program under contracts awarded to the Cancer Prevention Institute of California, the University of Southern California and the Public Health Institute; and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) National Program of Cancer Registries, under an agreement awarded to the CDPH. The ideas and opinions expressed herein are those of the authors and endorsement by the State of California, the Department of Public Health, the National Cancer Institute and the CDC or their contractors and subcontractors are not intended nor should be inferred.

Contributions: KME, MS, conceptualized the study; KMG, supervised the analysis and writing. All authors assisted with study design, data analysis and interpretation, and writing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding: This study was supported in part with funds from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (grant no. 67161-2) and an Ontario Graduate Scholarship. The funders had no role in study design, data collection, analysis or preparation of the manuscript.

Received for publication: 25 October 2019. Accepted for publication: 8 July 2020.

©Copyright: the Author(s), 2020 Licensee PAGEPress, Italy Journal of Public Health Research 2020;9:1696 doi:10.4081/jphr.2020.1696 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial 4.0 License (CC BY-NC 4.0).



References

- 1. Markides K, Coreil J. The health of Hispanics in the southwestern united states: An epidemiological paradox. Public Health Rep 1986;101:253-65.
- 2. Eschbach K, Ostir GV, Patel KV, et al. Neighborhood context and mortality among older Mexican Americans: Is there a barrio advantage? Am J Public Health 2004;94:1807-12.
- Ruiz JM, Steffen P, Smith TB. Hispanic mortality paradox: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the longitudinal literature. Am J Public Health 2013;103:e52-60.
- Turra CM, Goldman N. Socioeconomic differences in mortality among US adults: Insights into the Hispanic paradox. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci 2007;62:S184-92.
- 5. Hines RB, Barrett A, Twumasi-Ankrah P, et al. Predictors of guideline treatment nonadherence and the impact on survival in patients with colorectal cancer. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2015;13:51-60.
- 6. Gorey KM, Luginaah IN, Bartfay E, et al. Better colon cancer

care for extremely poor Canadian women compared with American women. Health Soc Work 201;38:240-8.

- NAACCR Race and Ethnicity Work Group. NAACCR guideline for enhancing Hispanic-Latino identification: Revised NAACCR Hispanic/Latino identification algorithm. Springfield, IL: North American Association of Central Cancer Registries.
- Campbell D, Gorey KM, Luginaah IN, et al. Gender differences on the interacting effects of marital status and health insurance on long-term colon cancer survival in California, 1995-2014. Public Health 2016;140:258-60.
- Patel MI, Schupp CW, Gomez SL, et al. How do social factors explain outcomes in non-small-cell lung cancer among Hispanics in California? Explaining the Hispanic paradox. J Clin Oncol 2013;31:3572-8.
- Yanez B, McGinty HL, Buitrago, D, et al. Cancer outcomes in Hispanics/Latinos in the United States: An integrative review and conceptual model of determinants of health. J Latin Psychol 2016;4:114-29.