Aalborg Universitet



A Metasurface Superstrate for Mutual Coupling Reduction of Large Antenna Arrays

Tang, Jiazhi; Faraz, Faizan; Chen, Xiaoming; Zhang, Qingqing; Li, Qinlong; Li, Yingsong; Zhang, Shuai

Published in: **IEEE** Access

DOI (link to publication from Publisher): 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3008162

Creative Commons License CC BY 4.0

Publication date: 2020

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA): Tang, J., Faraz, F., Chen, X., Zhang, Q., Li, Q., Li, Y., & Zhang, S. (2020). A Metasurface Superstrate for Mutual Coupling Reduction of Large Antenna Arrays. *IEEE Access*, *8*, 126859-126867. [9137276]. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3008162

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- ? Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- ? You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain ? You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Received June 30, 2020, accepted July 6, 2020, date of publication July 9, 2020, date of current version July 22, 2020. Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3008162

A Metasurface Superstrate for Mutual Coupling **Reduction of Large Antenna Arrays**

JIAZHI TANG¹, FAIZAN FARAZ¹, XIAOMING CHEN^{®1,2}, (Senior Member, IEEE), QINGQING ZHANG¹, QINLONG LI¹, YINGSONG LI¹⁰³, (Senior Member, IEEE), AND SHUAI ZHANG⁰⁴, (Senior Member, IEEE)

¹School of Information and Communications Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China

²State Key Laboratory of Millimeter Waves, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China

³College of Information and Communication Engineering, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin 150001, China ⁴Department of Electronic Systems, Section of Antennas, Propagation and Millimeter-Wave Systems, Aalborg University, 9100 Aalborg, Denmark

Corresponding author: Xiaoming Chen (xiaoming.chen@mail.xjtu.edu.cn)

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 61801366 and Grant 61901354, and in part by the Open Project Program of the State Key Laboratory of Millimeter Waves under Grant K201933.

ABSTRACT In this paper, a ε -negative metasurface superstrate is proposed for mutual coupling reduction of large antenna arrays. Unlike the previous decoupling metasurface works that are mostly confined to two-ports antennas, the proposed decoupling superstrate can be extended to massive multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) systems. A 4×4 antenna array is used as an example to illustrate the decoupling performance of the proposed metasurface. With the decoupling metasurface, the worst mutual coupling of the antenna array is improved by 8 dB over the operation bandwidth with a maximum mutual coupling reduction of 25 dB. Moreover, the decoupling metasurface also help restore the radiation patterns, bring down the active voltage sanding wave ratio, and broaden the bandwidth of the array.

INDEX TERMS Array, metasurface, mutual coupling reduction, superstrate.

I. INTRODUCTION

Modern wireless communication systems demand enhancements of data rate and reliability. In order to fulfill these requirements, multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) systems are used ubiquitously in various applications [1]–[3]. Due to space limitation and aesthetic reasons, compact array antennas are often used, which makes mutual coupling inevitable [4]. Mutual coupling can result in high sidelobes, gain reduction, large voltage standing wave ratio (VSWR), etc., which in turn cause a significant degradation of the MIMO performance [5]. Over the last decades, a lot of research work has been devoted to mutual coupling reductions [6]-[25]. Different decoupling techniques have been proposed, such as defected ground structure (DGS) [8], parasitic element [9], electromagnetic bandgap (EBG) [10]-[12], neutralization line [13], asymmetric coplanar wall [14], topology optimization [15], array-antenna decoupling surface (ADS) [16], decoupling ground [17], near-field resonator [18], polarization diversity [19], [20], split ring resonator (SRR) based metasurface [21]-[27] and T-shaped decoupling feeding network [28]. All the above-mentioned methods can effectively mitigate the mutual coupling. Nevertheless, most of them are limited to decoupling of two-port antennas. Except for [16]-[18], most of the decoupling techniques are difficult to be extended to large antenna arrays.

In this work, we focus on the metasfurace based decoupling technique. Unlike the previous SRR based metasurface (with negative permeability μ and positive permitivity ε) works that are mostly confined to two-port antennas [21]-[25], we propose a new metasurface superstrate (with negative ε and positive μ) for decoupling of large antenna arrays. Noted that it is relatively easy to achieve high isolation of a two-port antenna $(1 \times 2 \text{ array})$ or $2 \times 2 \text{ array}$. However, it is not a trivial task to achieve high isolaiton for larger arrays (e.g., 4×4 array) in that the mutual couplings between the inner and outer array elements make the overall decoupling a challenging task. For example, the decoupling metasurface in [22] becomes less effective when applied to large plannar arrays directly, because its equivalent permeability is negative only within a small angular range over the array aperture. In order to enable decoupling for large arrays, the proposed metasurface in this work achieves negative permittivity over large angular range and thus can reduce the mutual coupling effectively over the whole plannar array, as explained in the next section.

The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Jenny Mahoney.

As a demonstration, a $4 \times 4 \operatorname{array}^1$ with center-to-center (edge-to-edge) separation of $0.43\lambda_0$ ($0.19\lambda_0$) at 5.8 GHz is designed to show the effectiveness of the proposed decoupling metasurface. Thanks to the proposed decoupling metasurface, more than 8 dB isolation enhancement is achieved over the operation bandwidth (with a maximum mutual coupling reduction of 25 dB at the center frequency of 5.8 GHz). In addition, it is shown that the decoupling metasurface also helps broaden the operation bandwidth of the array, restore the radiation patterns for good coverage, and bring down VSWR for MIMO precoding.



FIGURE 1. 4 × 4 antenna array: (a) top view, (b) single antenna with U-shaped slot, and (c) side view.

II. ANTENNA ARRAY WITH DECOUPLING SUPERSTRATE

In this work, we propose a decoupling metasurface superstrate for large plannar arrays. To show the applicability of the proposed decoupling superstrate to massive MIMO array, a 4 × 4 array antenna working at 5.8 GHz is designed, as shown in Fig. 1. The array is printed on a substrate of RO4350B with a size of 110 mm × 110 mm × 1.52 mm and dielectric constant of 3.48. The center-to-center (edgeto-edge) separation of the array is $0.43\lambda_0(0.19\lambda_0)$. The patch antennas are marked as **A1- A16** as shown in Fig. 1(a). The length and width of the rectangular patches are denoted as L_p and W_p , respectively, as marked in Fig. 1(b). A capacitive U-shaped slot is inserted around the feeding point (i.e., the red dot in Fig. 1(b)) of each patch element to improve the impedance matching [29].

The metasurface superstrate ($L_s \times L_s \times H$) consisting of 13 × 13 unit cells is fabricated on the Taconic-TLT 6 with a dielectric constant of 2.65 (The number of cells becomes insensitive to the decoupling performance once it is larger than 10 × 10 and the decoupling superstrate is larger than the array. We choose 13 × 13 unit cells in this work so that the decoupling superstrate is slightly larger than the array.) The structure of the unit cell is presented in Fig. 2(a). The dimension values of the optimized unit cell structure are listed in Table 1.

TABLE 1. Parameters values.

Parameters	Values (mm)	Parameters	Values (mm)
L ₁	8.50	L_2	3
L_3	6	L_4	2.50
L ₅	8.50	L_6	5
L_7	3	L_8	2.25
L_{sub}	110	g_1	1
g_2	0.25	L_p	12.4
Wn	12.3	a	4
hl	3.5	с	0.40
h2	2	n	0.65
b	3.80	Н	1.5
h	1.52	Ls	120



FIGURE 2. (a) Structure of the unit cell; (b) unit cell simulation model.



FIGURE 3. Permittivity and permeability of the unit cell at different incident angles.

The CST microwave studio is used for simulations in this work. Fig. 2(b) shows the simulation model of the unit cell. Permeability and permittivity values are extracted using the method proposed in [30]. It is observed from Fig. 3 that the metasurface exhibits negative permittivity ε (and positive permeability μ) over a wide angular range ($-89^{\circ} \le \theta \le 89^{\circ}$).

¹If the decoupling superstrate can work for 4×4 arrays, it can certainly be extended to larger arrays.



FIGURE 4. Illustration of field distribution of the antenna array.

The mutual coupling of the array is illustrated in Fig. 4. The metasurface with negative ε creates an equivalent media with an imaginary tangential wave number $k_t = k_0 \cdot \sqrt{-|\mu|.|\varepsilon|} = jk_0\sqrt{|\mu|.|\varepsilon|}$, which turns the tangential surface wave into evanescent wave $A_0e^{jkx} = A_0e^{-k_0\sqrt{|\mu|.|\varepsilon|}x}$. In this way, the mutual coupling caused by the surface wave in the angular range of $-89^\circ \le \theta \le 89^\circ$ can be effectively suppressed, whereas the angular range of negative μ produced by the SRRs in [23] is less than $-40^\circ \le \theta \le 40^\circ$, i.e., much smaller than the angular range of negative ε in this work.

For the given array configuration [cf. Fig. 1(a)] with centerto-center (edge-to-edge) separation of $0.43\lambda_0$ ($0.19\lambda_0$), the H-plane coupling dominates (i.e., about -12 dB). Therefore, we orientate the decoupling metasurface so that it can effectively mitigate the H-plane coupling (e.g., mutual coupling between elements 1 and 2), whereas the E-plane coupling (e.g., mutual coupling between elements 1 and 5) can be further redeuced by optimizing the patch shape, as further discussed in the next section. This ensures the applicability of the decoupling scheme to massive MIMO arrays.



FIGURE 5. Illustration of the decoupling superstrate.

III. SIMULATIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

The decoupling superstrate consists of two layers of identical metasurface (with dielectric posts for supporting the structure), as shown in Fig. 5. To illustrate the effects



FIGURE 6. Reflection coefficients of (a) array 1 and array 2 and array 4 (b) array 1 and array 3.

of the decoupling superstrate and the U-shaped slot [see Fig. 1(b)], The reflection coefficients of the antenna arrays without decoupling superstrate and U-shaped slots (Array 1), without decoupling superstrate yet with U-slots (Array 2), with both decoupling superstrate and U-slots (Array 3) and with decoupling superstrate yet without U-slots (Array 4) are compared in Fig. 6. Note that due to the geometric symmetry of the array, only the S-parameters corresponding to antennas A_1 , A_2 , A_5 and A_6 are shown here. Fig. 6(a) shows the comparisons of the reflection coefficients of Array 1, Array 2 and Array 4, whereas Fig. 6(b) shows that of Array 1 and Array 3. It is observed from Fig. 6(a) that using the U-shaped slots or the decoupling superstrate alone cannot match the antenna well. It can be seen from Fig. 6(b) that the U-shaped slot improves the impedance matching while the decoupling superstrate also helps broaden the bandwidth of Array 3, implying the U-shaped slots should actually be used in combination with the decoupling metasurface to achieve good matching. Note that one metasurface layer can also reduce the mutual coupling for the reasons mentioned in the previous section. Nevertheless, it is found that by using two layers of metasurfaces (cf. Fig. 7), better reflection coefficients can be achieved at the expense of slightly increased profile (about 2 mm) and increasing the number of layers beyond two hardly improve the matching. Therefore, the double-layer decoupling structure (cf. Fig. 5) is chosen in this work. Note that the cost of fabricating two identical

metasurfaces is only slightly higher that of fabricating one metasurface.



FIGURE 7. Reflection coefficients of proposed array with one layer of metasurface, proposed array with two layers of metasurfaces and proposed array with three layers of metasurfaces.



FIGURE 8. Comparison of E-plane and H-plane couplings of the reference array.



FIGURE 9. Worst mutual couplings of the reference and proposed arrays.

The decoupling effect of the proposed metasurface superstrate is shown in Fig. 9 by comparing the mutual couplings of the reference array (Array 1) and the proposed array (Array 3). Note that for clear exhibition, only the worst mutual couplings of the corresponding arrays are shown here. Given the array with center-to-center (edge-to-edge) separation of $0.43\lambda_0$ ($0.19\lambda_0$), the H-plane coupling (i.e., the mutual coupling between horizontally adjacent array elements) dominates. From Fig. 8, the mutual couplings between vertically and diagonally adjacent elements are smaller than that of the horizontally adjacent elements. It is observed that the worst coupling of Reference array is around -11 dB at 5.8 GHz, whereas with the decoupling superstrate, the worst mutual coupling of the proposed array is improved by at least 8 dB over the entire bandwidth (with a maximum reduction of more than 25 dB at the center frequency of 5.8 GHz).



FIGURE 10. Self-admittances of the reference and proposed arrays.

The bandwidth enhancement of the decoupling superstrate can be further illustrated from the admittance curves as shown in Fig. 10. Reference array has sharp slopes near the center frequency, while the slope of the proposed array is much flatter. Next, we further illustrate the decoupling mechanism by examining the field distributions with and without the decoupling superstrate.

Fig. 11 shows the E-field distributions of the reference and proposed arrays at 5.8 GHz when Antenna A_1 or Antenna A_6 is excited. It is observed from Fig. 10(a) that without the decoupling superstrate, there is strong mutual coupling between the antenna elements. It can be seen from Fig. 10(b) that with the decoupling superstrate, the mutual coupling can be greatly suppressed.

Fig. 12 shows the total antenna efficiencies of the reference and proposed arrays. It is observed that the total efficiencies of elements A_1 , A_2 , A_5 and A_6 of Reference array at 5.8 GHz are around 77%, 65%, 71%, and 60%, respectively, whereas their counterparts of Proposed array are all about 76% ~ 77% at 5.8 GHz thanks to the reduced coupling losses. Moreover, as can be seen, decoupling superstrate also broadens the array's bandwidth [see also Fig. 6(b)]. The realized gains of the four antenna elements are shown in Fig. 13. As expected, the gain improves as the mutual coupling reduces [31]. Comparisons of the envelope correlation coefficients (ECCs) [32] calculated from the simulated radiation patterns in CST are shown in Fig. 14. It can be seen that the ECCs of the proposed array are lower than that of the reference array over the entire bandwidth.



FIGURE 11. E-fields of (a) the reference array and (b) proposed array at 5.8 GHz. The left column corresponds to the case where Antenna 1 is excited, while the right column corresponds to the case where antenna 6 is excited.



FIGURE 12. Total antenna efficiencies of the reference and proposed arrays.



FIGURE 13. Realized gains of the reference and proposed arrays.

To illustrate the difference between this work and the metasurface in Optics Express [24] (which is represented as OE meta in the sequel), a frequency scaling is performed on the



FIGURE 14. Envelope correlation coefficients of the reference and proposed arrays.

OE meta so that it has the same size and height. (Note that [24] also employed a double-layer structure.) A comparison of both metasurfaces on the same array is shown in Fig. 15. From the reflection coefficients in Fig. 15(a), there is some frequency offset of the array with the OE meta. Since the main purpose is to compare their decoupling effects on the 4×4 array, their exact resonating frequencies are not important. Figs. 15(b) and (c) show that the proposed array achieves overall much lower mutual coupling than that with the OE meta at their operating frequencies. This example indicates that decoupling superstrate that works for 1×2 antenna array (as demonstrated in[24]) may not be directly applicable to larger arrays. By contrast, since the decoupling metasurface can work for a 4×4 array, it will also be feasible for larger arrays.

To further motivate the decoupling metasurface, we compare the active voltage standing wave ratios (VSWRs) of the reference and proposed arrays. To that end, we take similar assumptions as that in [16] [17]. Suppose the 4×4 array is used at an access point (AP) that serves four user equipements (UEs) simultaneously, forming a 4×16 MIMO system in the downlink mode At the AP, four random binary phase shift keying (BPSK) data streams are precoded by using the zero-forcing (ZF) algorithm. For simplicity, we assume Rayleigh fading MIMO channel **H**, which is a 4×16 matrix with independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) complex Gaussian random variables as its entries. The ZF precoder can then be expressed as $\mathbf{H}^{\dagger} = (\mathbf{H}^{H}\mathbf{H})^{-1}\mathbf{H}^{H}$, where the superscripts \dagger and H represent pseudo-inverse and conjugate transpose, respectively. Denote the raw BPSK data streams at an abtritary time sample as a 4×1 column vector s. The precoded data stream can be expressed as $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{H}^{\dagger} \mathbf{s}$ The active reflection at the *n*th AP antenna can be calculated as $\Gamma_n = \sum_{m=1}^N S_{nm} x_m / x_n$ [33], where S_{nm} is the S-parameter and x_m is the *m*th entry of the vector **x**. Note that the minimum value of x_m is limited to 0.1 to avoid the singularity problem caused by very small excitations. The active VSWR at the *n*th AP antenna can be calculated as $(1 + |\Gamma_n|)/(1 + |\Gamma_n|)$.



(d)

FIGURE 15. (a) Reflection coefficient of the proposed array and OE meta array (b) E-plane mutual coupling of proposed array and OE meta array (c) H-plane mutual coupling of proposed array and OE meta array (d) top view of OE meta cell and array with OE meta superstrate.



FIGURE 16. Active VSWRs of Reference array and Proposed array.

Fig. 16 compares the worst VSWRs among the 16 AP antennas in the frequency range of 575.9 GHz for the reference and proposed arrays under 50 random channel realizations (excitations). As can be seen, the decoupling metasurface can suppress the active VSWR effectively.

IV. MEASUREMENTS

To further verify the proposed decoupling superstrate, a prototype of the proposed antenna array is fabricated and measured in an anechoic chamber as shown in Fig. 17.



FIGURE 17. Fabricated prototype in an anechoic chamber for measurements with top and bottom view as well as assembly parts.

Simulated and measured reflection coefficients and mutual couplings of the proposed antenna array are shown in Figs. 18 and 19, respectively. As can be seen, there are some discrepancies between the measured and simulated S-parameters. These are mainly due to imperfect soldering of the feeding ports and assembling of the array and metasurface superstrate. It is found that the measured resonating frequencies of the array varies as we adjust the superstrate height above it. Nevertheless, the measured reflection and mutual coupling coefficients are sufficiently small, implying good matching and decoupling of the fabricated prototype.



FIGURE 18. Simulated (sim.) and measured (mea.) reflection coefficients of proposed array.



FIGURE 19. Simulated and measured mutual couplings of proposed array.



FIGURE 20. Radiation pattern of antenna (A₁) at 5.8 GHz (a) H-plane (b) E-plane.

Figs. 20-23 show the simulated and measured radiation patterns of array elements A_1 , A_2 , A_5 and A_6 of the reference and proposed arrays, respectively. Good agreements are observed for the measured and simulated radiation patterns for Proposed array. The small discrepencies are mainly attributed to misalignment in the measurements, manufacturing tolerance and imperfect soldering. It can be seen by comparing the radiation patterns of the reference and



FIGURE 21. Radiation pattern of antenna (A₂) at 5.8 GHz (a) H-plane (b) E-plane.



FIGURE 22. Radiation pattern of antenna (A₅) at 5.8 GHz (a) H-plane (b) E-plane.



FIGURE 23. Radiation pattern of antenna (A₆) at 5.8 GHz (a) H-plane (b) E-plane.

proposed arrays that the decoupling metasurface helps restore the radiation patterns of the coupled elements. For instance, due to the strong mutual couping, the main beam of element A_1 in the corner of the reference array is tilted outwards (away from the array's broadside). Since element A_6 is in the middle of the Reference array, its main beam is not tilted, yet its H-plane pattern becomes irregular and its E-plane pattern is broadened. With effective mutual coupling reduction, the radiation patterns of elements A_1 , A_2 , A_5 and A_6 of the proposed array are restored to some extend. This ensures good coverage of the decoupled array.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a double-layer metasurface was proposed for mutual coupling reduction of massive MIMO antennas. The periodic arrangement of unit cells exhibited negative permittivity (and positive permeability), which turned the surface wave into evanescent tangential wave, as pointed by the previous literature. However, unlike the previous decouping metasurface works that were confined to two-port antennas, extra cares were exerted in optimizing the parameters of the metasurface so that it could suppress the mutual coupling in a wide angular range. Hence, the proposed decoupling metasurface could be applied to large planar array. A 4×4 antenna array was designed for experimental demonstration. It was shown that the mutual coupling caused by surface waves could be greatly suppressed by placing the decoupling metasurface above the 4×4 array. With the proposed decoupling metasurface superstrate, the worst mutual coupling is improved by at least 8 dB over the entire bandwidth with a maximum mutual coupling reduction of more than 25 dB at the center frequency of 5.8 GHz. Moreoever, it was shown that the decoupling superstrate also helped broaden the bandwidth and restore the radiation patterns of the antenna array.

REFERENCES

- R. He, R. He, B. Ai, G. L. Stüber, G. Wang, and Z. Zhong, "Geometricalbased modeling for millimeter-wave MIMO mobile-to-mobile channels," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 67, no. 4, pp. 2828–2863, Apr. 2018.
- [2] K. Guan, Z. Zhong, J. I. Alonso, and C. Briso-Rodriguez, "Measurement of distributed antenna systems at 2.4 GHz in a realistic subway tunnel environment," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 834–837, Feb. 2012.
- [3] M. Li, X. Chen, A. Zhang, and A. A. Kishk, "Dual-polarized broadband base station antenna backed with dielectric cavity for 5G communications," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 18, no. 10, pp. 2051–2055, Oct. 2019.
- [4] M. S. Sharawi, "Current misuses and future prospects for printed multipleinput, multiple-output antenna systems [wireless corner]," *IEEE Antennas Propag. Mag.*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 162–170, Apr. 2017.
- [5] X. Chen, S. Zhang, and Q. Li, "A review of mutual coupling in MIMO systems," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 24706–24719, 2018.
- [6] I. Nadeem and D.-Y. Choi, "Study on mutual coupling reduction technique for MIMO antennas," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 563–586, 2019.
- [7] C.-Y. Chiu, F. Xu, S. Shen, and R. D. Murch, "Mutual coupling reduction of rotationally symmetric multiport antennas," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 66, no. 10, pp. 5013–5021, Oct. 2018.
- [8] C.-M. Luo, J.-S. Hong, and L.-L. Zhong, "Isolation enhancement of a very compact UWB-MIMO slot antenna with two defected ground structures," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 14, pp. 1766–1769, Apr. 2015.
- [9] B. K. Lau and J. B. Andersen, "Simple and efficient decoupling of compact arrays with parasitic scatterers," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 464–472, Feb. 2012.
- [10] H. Yi and S. Qu, "A novel dual-band circularly polarized antenna based on electromagnetic band-gap structure," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 12, pp. 1149–1152, Sep. 2013.
- [11] Q. Li, A. P. Feresidis, M. Mavridou, and P. S. Hall, "Miniaturized double-layer EBG structures for broadband mutual coupling reduction between UWB monopoles," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 1168–1171, Mar. 2015.
- [12] A. Pirhadi1, H. Bahrami, and A. Mallahzadeh, "Electromagnetic band gap (EBG) superstrate resonator antenna design for monopulse radiation pattern," *Appl. Comput. Electromagn. Soc. J.*, vol. 27, no. 11, pp. 908–917, 2012.

- [13] S. Wang and Z. Du, "Decoupled dual-antenna system using crossed neutralization lines for LTE/WWAN smartphone applications," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 14, pp. 523–526, Nov. 2015.
- [14] H. Qi, L. Liu, X. Yin, H. Zhao, and W. J. Kulesza, "Mutual coupling suppression between two closely spaced microstrip antennas with an asymmetrical coplanar strip wall," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 15, pp. 191–194, Jun. 2016.
- [15] S.-H. Zhu, X.-S. Yang, J. Wang, N.-S. Nie, and B.-Z. Wang, "Mutual coupling reduction of ±45° dual-polarized closely spaced MIMO antenna by topology optimization," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 29089–29098, 2020.
- [16] K.-L. Wu, C. Wei, X. Mei, and Z.-Y. Zhang, "Array-antenna decoupling surface," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 65, no. 12, pp. 6728–6738, Dec. 2017.
- [17] S. Zhang, X. Chen, and G. F. Pedersen, "Mutual coupling suppression with decoupling ground for massive MIMO antenna arrays," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 68, no. 8, pp. 7273–7282, Aug. 2019.
- [18] M. Li, B. G. Zhong, and S. W. Cheung, "Isolation enhancement for MIMO patch antennas using near-field resonators as coupling-mode transducers," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 755–764, Feb. 2019.
- [19] C. F. Ding, X. Y. Zhang, C.-D. Xue, and C.-Y.-D. Sim, "Novel patterndiversity-based decoupling method and its application to multielement MIMO antenna," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 66, no. 10, pp. 4976–4985, Oct. 2018.
- [20] H. Li, B. K. Lau, Z. Ying, and S. He, "Decoupling of multiple antennas in terminals with chassis excitation using polarization diversity, angle diversity and current control," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 60, no. 12, pp. 5947–5957, Dec. 2012.
- [21] J. Ghosh, D. Mitra, and S. Das, "Mutual coupling reduction of slot antenna array by controlling surface wave propagation," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 1352–1357, Feb. 2019.
- [22] J.-Y. Lee, S.-H. Kim, and J.-H. Jang, "Reduction of mutual coupling in planar multiple antenna by using 1-D EBG and SRR structures," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 63, no. 9, pp. 4194–4198, Sep. 2015.
- [23] Z. Wang, L. Zhao, Y. Cai, S. Zheng, and Y. Yin, "A meta-surface antenna array decoupling (MAAD) method for mutual coupling reduction in a MIMO antenna system," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 8, no. 1, Feb. 2018, Art. no. 3152.
- [24] L. Si, H. Jiang, X. Lv, and J. Ding, "Broadband extremely close-spaced 5G MIMO antenna with mutual coupling reduction using metamaterialinspired superstrate," *Opt. Express*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 3472–3482, 2019.
- [25] F. Liu, J. Guo, L. Zhao, X. Shen, and Y. Yin, "A meta-surface decoupling method for two linear polarized antenna array in sub-6 GHz base station applications," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 2759–2768, 2019.
- [26] A. Jafargholi, A. Jafargholi, and J. H. Choi, "Mutual coupling reduction in an array of patch antennas using CLL metamaterial superstrate for MIMO applications," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 67, no. 1, pp. 179–189, Jan. 2019.
- [27] F. Liu, J. Guo, L. Zhao, G.-L. Huang, Y. Li, and Y. Yin, "Dual-band metasurface-based decoupling method for two closely packed dual-band antennas," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 552–557, Jan. 2020.
- [28] X.-J. Zou, G.-M. Wang, Y.-W. Wang, and H.-P. Li, "An efficient decoupling network between feeding points for multielement linear arrays," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 67, no. 5, pp. 3101–3108, May 2019.
- [29] K.-F. Tong, K.-M. Luk, K.-F. Lee, and R. Q. Lee, "A broad-band Uslot rectangular patch antenna on a microwave substrate," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 954–960, Jun. 2000.
- [30] D. R. Smith, S. Schultz, P. Markoš, and C. M. Soukoulis, "Determination of effective permittivity and permeability of metamaterials from reflection and transmission coefficients," *Phys. Rev. B, Condens. Matter*, vol. 65, no. 19, Apr. 2002, Art. no. 195104.
- [31] A. O. Karilainen, P. M. T. Ikonen, C. R. Simovski, and S. A. Tretyakov, "Choosing dielectric or magnetic material to optimize the bandwidth of miniaturized resonant antennas," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 59, no. 11, pp. 3991–3998, Nov. 2011.
- [32] X. Chen, P.-S. Kildal, J. Carlsson, and J. Yang, "MRC diversity and MIMO capacity evaluations of multi-port antennas using reverberation chamber and anechoic chamber," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 917–926, Feb. 2013.
- [33] D. M. Pozar, "A relation between the active input impedance and the active element pattern of a phased array," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 51, no. 9, pp. 2486–2489, Sep. 2003.



JIAZHI TANG is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree with Xi'an Jiaotong University. His research interests include metasurface and reverberation chamber.



QINLONG LI received the B.S. degree from Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, in 2010, the M.S. degree from the University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, in 2013, and the Ph.D. degree from The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong. He is currently a Lecturer with Xi'an Jiaotong University. His research interest includes antenna and metamaterial design.



FAIZAN FARAZ is currently pursuing the master's degree with the School of Information and Communications Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China.



YINGSONG LI (Senior Member, IEEE) received the B.S. degree in electrical and information engineering and the M.S. degree in electromagnetic field and microwave technology from Harbin Engineering University, China, in 2006 and 2011, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree from the Kochi University of Technology (KUT), Japan, and Harbin Engineering University, in 2014. He was a Visiting Scholar with the University of California at Davis, Davis, from March 2016 to

March 2017, and a Visiting Professor with the University of York, U.K., in 2018. He has been a Visiting Professor with Far Eastern Federal University (FEFU) and KUT, since 2018. He was a Postdoctoral Researcher with the Key Laboratory of Microwave Remote Sensing, Chinese Academy of Sciences, from 2016 to 2020. He has been a Full Professor with Harbin Engineering University, Since July 2014. He has authored or coauthored about 300 publications in various areas of electrical engineering, antennas, and wireless communications. His current research interests include remote sensing, underwater communications, signal processing, radar, SAR imaging, metasurface designs, and microwave antennas. He is a Fellow of the Applied computational Electromagnetics Society (ACES) and a Senior Member of the Chinese Institute of Electronics (CIE). He is the TPC Co-Chair of the 2019-2020 IEEE International Workshop on Electromagnetics (iWEM 2019), the 2019 IEEE 2nd International Conference on Electronic Information and Communication Technology (ICEICT 2019), the 2019 International Applied Computational Electromagnetics Society (ACES) Symposium-China, the 2019 Cross Strait Quad-Regional Radio Science and Wireless Technology Conference (2019 CSQRWC), and iWEM 2020. He also serves as the Session Chair or an Organizer for many international conferences, including the WCNC, AP-S, and ACES. He is an Area Editor of the AEU-International Journal of Electronics and Communications. He is an Associate Editor of IEEE Access and the Applied Computational Electromagnetics Society (ACES) Journal. He acts as a Reviewer of numerous IEEE, IET, Elsevier, and other international journals.



SHUAI ZHANG (Senior Member, IEEE) received the B.E. degree from the University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, China, in 2007, and the Ph.D. degree in electromagnetic engineering from the Royal Institute of Technology (KTH), Stockholm, Sweden, in 2013. After his Ph.D. studies, he was a Research Fellow with KTH. In April 2014, he joined Aalborg University, Denmark, where he currently works as an Associate Professor. He was a Visiting Researcher

with Lund University, Sweden, and Sony Mobile Communications AB, Sweden, in 2010 and 2011, respectively. He was also an External Antenna Specialist at Bang and Olufsen, Denmark, from 2016 to 2017. His research interests include mobile terminal mmwave antennas, biological effects, CubeSat antennas, UWB wind turbine blade deflection sensing, MIMO antenna systems, and RFID antennas.

XIAOMING CHEN (Senior Member, IEEE) received the B.Sc. degree in electrical engineering from Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, China, in 2006, and the M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering from the Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden, in 2007 and 2012, respectively. From 2013 to 2014, he was a Postdoctoral Researcher with the Chalmers University of Technology. From 2014 to 2017, he was with Qamcom Research

and Technology AB, Gothenburg, where he was involved in the EU H2020 5GPPP mmMAGIC Project (on 5G millimeter-wave wireless access techniques). Since 2017, he has been a Professor with Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an. He has coauthored one book, two book chapters, and more than 80 journal articles on these topics. His research interests include 5G multiantenna techniques, over-the-air (OTA) testing, and reverberation chambers. He received the International Union of Radio Science (URSI) Young Scientist Awards, in 2017 and 2018, and the IEEE Outstanding Associate Editor (AE) Awards, in 2018, 2019, and 2020. He was also a Guest Editor of the Special Cluster on 5G/6G enabling antenna systems and associated testing technologies in AWPL and a Special Issue on Metrology for 5G Technologies in the journal of *IET Microwaves, Antennas and Propagation*. He serves as an Associate Editor for the journal of the IEEE ANTENNAS AND WIRELESS PROPAGATION LETTERS (AWPL).



QINGQING ZHANG is currently pursuing the bachelor's degree with the School of Information and Communications Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China.

. . .