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#### Abstract

Due to the high housing costs in the Bay Area, Santa Clara University's (SCU) faculty and staff have to live further away from campus where the housing market is more affordable, ultimately increasing their commute time and increasing the environmental impact due to transportation. Therefore, SCU has expressed the need to provide affordable housing for their faculty and staff who do not earn enough income to be able to live in the City of San Jose or County of Santa Clara. The project proposed in this report represents the efforts of SCU Civil Engineering students to adhere towards the social, sustainable, and economic concerns held by the Civil, Environmental and Sustainable Engineering (CESE) Department in the design and construction of a proposed housing development for Santa Clara University faculty and staff. The team of civil engineering students, RADS Construction, LLC., has provided design recommendations for the 1200 Campbell Avenue development.

The team gained initial inspiration from the Planned Development Zoning Submittal that was received from the City of San Jose, which contained architectural drawings provided by Studio TSquare. The team also received a map of the water facilities at the proposed project site from San Jose Water. Using the architectural drawings and a map of the water facilities on site, RADS Construction designed the structural and stormwater management plans for the development; designed potable water and wastewater piping layouts; and created a construction schedule, waste management plan, and a Building Information Modeling (BIM) model. The team decided to change the originally proposed incubator space, as displayed in the architectural drawings, into a commercial space to allow shops and other small businesses to use this new building. This change helped to address the concerns of the stakeholders in the proximity of the project site since they wanted to benefit from this new building to help compensate for bringing in more traffic into the neighborhood. Through these deliverables, RADS Construction met both social and economic needs of SCU's faculty and staff, as well as fulfilling the CESE Departmental and School of Engineering standards for socially, economically, and environmentally sustainable engineering.
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## Introduction

## Initial Research/Background

The housing crisis in the Bay Area is becoming worse as property prices increase while incomes remain stagnant. Due to limited space in urban areas, the City of San Jose is not reaching its housing production goals. In 2018, San Jose set an annual housing production goal of 3,986 , but only 2,973 properties were constructed (Kendall, 2019). Expanding to a broader geography, the San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara Housing Market Area has a total demand of 4,800 sales units and 11,100 rental units but only 1,800 sales units and 3,475 rental units were under construction as of August, 2017 (U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 2017). This housing crisis not only has the possibility of discouraging potential employees of Santa Clara University (SCU) from accepting employment, but current faculty and staff are facing the pressures of the increasingly unaffordable housing market. Santa Clara University conducted a study on current staff. Santa Clara University does have 48 university owned apartments and 41 single-family homes that are offered to faculty and staff on a month-to month lease, but the existing housing still cannot capture all of SCU's needs.

To offset this issue, Santa Clara University has proposed to the City of San Jose a sevenstory mixed-use faculty \& housing development near Santa Clara University. Santa Clara University wanted a project site that was in proximity to SCU's campus, reputable school districts, transit stations, and retail to make it more convenient to the individuals that use the features of this development (Figure 1). Therefore, faculty and staff at Santa Clara University were the primary client. In May 2019, Santa Clara University conducted a survey on potential faculty and staff who would rent an apartment unit from this proposed development to determine how long they would plan to live there. The results of this survey are displayed in Figure 2.


Figure 1. Project Site with Respect to SCU's Campus.


Figure 2. Market Survey on SCU's Faculty \& Staff: Years Living in University Owned Housing.

The results from the faculty and staff housing demand analysis conducted by Santa Clara University made the team aware that affordable housing was an important need in the community. RADS Construction, the design-build team for this project, met with Chris Shay, Don Akerland, and Marissa Pimentel, from SCU Operations Department. They provided important feedback, helped to inform the team about the progress of the actual project, and directed the team to contacts that would be useful for this project. They helped to answer any questions about the project and to keep the team informed of what type of development that Santa Clara University desires. The team also attended a community stakeholders meeting in August 2019 that was hosted by Robert Rivera from the City of San Jose to receive input from current SCU faculty and staff, as well as nearby residents \& business owners. This meeting gave the team more information on potential unforeseen positive and negative impacts of this development, such as distribution of privacy for the neighboring residences, and traffic issues that could arise by developing this size of a building in this neighborhood.

## General Site Description

The current site is located on three different addresses (1200, 1202, 1250 Campbell Avenue), two separate parcels (230-14-009, 230-14-004), and zoned as a Heavy Industrial (HI) District. Figure 3 displays a panoramic street view of the site from Campbell Avenue. Santa Clara University was in the process of combining the two parcels into one parcel and rezoning it to a Planned Development (PD) Zoning District use when this project began. Due to time constraints, the team had to assume that SCU was able to properly rezone this site and continued with the design process. The proposed project is located at 1200 Campbell Avenue in San Jose, California, and it is directly adjacent to the Santa Clara University Villas Residence Hall and across from Stephen Schott Stadium. The project site is located on an area of about 3.07 acres. No geotechnical study was conducted, therefore a site class D was assumed to design this building based on the recommendations from ASCE 7-16, Section 11.4.3 (American Society of Civil Engineers, 2017). As evidenced by Santa Clara Water Valley Groundwater Well

07S01W02G024, the groundwater table at the site is very high. During peak rainfall seasons, the well detected groundwater levels are only one to two (1-2) feet below the ground surface (Santa Clara Valley Water District). A map of the design area including surrounding streets, landmarks, and buildings is shown in Figure 4.


Figure 3. Panoramic Street View of Current Site from Campbell Avenue.


Figure 4. Map of Development Area.

## Scope of Work

## Structural Engineering

Material research and an alternative analysis were conducted to determine which construction materials would be most effective for the design of this development, while also considering how much design knowledge that the structural engineering students contained. The structural engineering team member designed a comprehensive structural system of the housing development to abide by the local building codes, minimum seismic design standards with economic and sustainable considerations, and other reference manuals, as mentioned in the Design Criteria and Standards section of this report. An analysis of the lateral and gravity system was conducted to ensure that this development can withstand the loads acting in different directions onto the building, such as the occupancy, wind, and seismic loads. A set of the architectural drawings for the project was provided by the architect, Studio TSquare, which contained the architectural layout of each floor of the building and other project logistics.

Due to constraints resulting from the University's transition to no face-to-face meetings and online classes, the project scope was modified to omit the following design items:

- Foundation
- Parking garage ramps
- Elevated concrete slab gravity load for the parking garage
- Connection details
- Non load bearing structural components
- Steel Braced Frames

AutoCAD was used to determine where to place the gravity system: gravity beams \& columns, as well as the lateral system: special concentric steel braced frames, special reinforced concrete shear walls, and special steel moment frames. The team referred to the 2019 California Building Code (CBC) and ASCE/SEI 7-16 to determine the flat weights (dead and live loads) of the building. The architectural floor layouts were imported into AutoCAD to ensure accuracy of where the gravity and lateral system were placed. After determining the placement of the entire gravity and lateral system in AutoCAD, Enercalc was used to size all of the gravity beams and columns, and the tributary widths and areas acting on the gravity system were generated in AutoCAD. Revit was used to construct a 3D model of the development, which aided the structural team member with visualizing the different detail components that were needed and the construction team member with the overall cost estimate. Through this entire design process, the structural design team developed a structural calculation package for the entire structure by primarily using Microsoft Excel to generate calculation spreadsheets. The calculation spreadsheets supplemented the calculations that were performed in other structural analysis design software, such as Enercalc. In particular, beam and column loads were calculated in Microsoft Excel but were designed in Enercalc.

The final product that will be provided to the owner, architect, general contractor, and other contractors that are involved with this project is a digital copy of the structural design calculations package (Appendix C) and a digital copy of the drawing set (Appendix B) for construction.

Spencer Saito was responsible for this scope of work, and he worked in collaboration with Ayo Ogunfunmi for the design layout of the structure. Rachael Han and Deirdre Bonitz collaborated with Spencer Saito to ensure that the structural design coincided with their scope of
work, such as making sure that the member sizes were adequate enough for the piping layout throughout the building.

## Potable Water and Wastewater Management

The potable water and wastewater demands for the building, including residential demands, commercial demands, and fireflows, were calculated using a baseline calculation as well as a decreased flow calculation using higher efficiency fixtures from the Green Building Initiative's Water Consumption Calculator (Green Building Initiative, 2020). Based on the calculations, pipes were sized to meet the demands for both the baseline and decreased flow. Calculations for these flows are provided in their respective sections of this report. In efforts to create a more environmentally and economically sustainable structure, the cost of using the baseline demand and infrastructure were compared to the decreased flow and water efficient infrastructure to determine how economically sustainable it was to include more water efficient features in this project.

Using the layout of the structure, the topography, and the location of municipal main lines, the wastewater and potable water lines were laid out on the project site to connect to their respective mains located on Campbell Avenue.

In compliance with the California Fire Code (California Building Standards Commission, and International Code Council, 2019), fire hydrants were placed around the project site, and the demand for each of those hydrants was met in the water demand.

Deirdre Bonitz was responsible for this scope of work.

## Stormwater Management

An alternative analysis was conducted for the best Low-Impact Development (LID) approach for managing on-site runoff. Bioretention was chosen based upon multiple criteria that are explained in the alternative analysis portion of the report. Both the design of the bioretention and a physical experimental model were created.

The design of the bioretention included determining the placement of the bioretention, identifying the flow direction due to the existing topography, creating drainage areas to place inlets, calculating maximum flow rates in each drainage area based on a two-year storm, designing pipes connecting inlets to pop-up emitters, calculating the area of each bioretention to achieve a desired ponding depth, designing the underdrain, and modifying the cross-section based on city-approved construction drawings. CAD drawings of the site layout, connections from the bioretention outflow pipes to the storm drain, and elevation and section views of the bioretention were created.

A physical bioretention model was constructed to test the ability of three bioretention sections with varying layers of bio soil and gravel to filter out contaminants. One section had layers consistent with the C. 3 Stormwater Handbook (SCVURPPP, 2016), one section exceeded code, and another section broke code. Prior to constructing the bioretention, the design of the model was completed using geotechnical engineering methods to calculate lateral and vertical earth pressures based on measured saturated unit weights. These pressures were used to choose plywood, timber planks, strong ties, bolts, nuts, and a metal cart that met the flexural and compressive strength demands of upholding a saturated bioretention model. Detailed
construction drawings were created in AutoCAD to prototype the initial design and to increase the efficiency of the construction process. These drawings included two section views, three elevation views, and one plan view and are included in the design drawings package in Appendix B. The construction process took about 40 hours total, including time taken to gather materials, cut acrylic and wood pieces to size, assemble the components together, and waterproof leaks using caulk. After waterproofing, the proposed experimental procedure was to flush the bioretention three times until it reached equilibrium, and then use lab-created run-off to test the percentage reduction of contaminants due to each bioretention model. While the team was able to successfully waterproof the bioretention and ensure the sod took root in the bio soil, the experiment could not begin due to the shelter-in-place restrictions that began on March 16, 2020. The bioretention is currently located in Alameda Hall at Santa Clara University, where it is receiving plenty of rain and sunshine, so it may be used for a future senior design project or civil engineering class at SCU.

Rachael Han was responsible for this scope of work, and worked in collaboration with Deirdre Bonitz for piping layouts. All members of the team helped construct the bioretention model.

## Green Construction Management

Material analysis was performed to determine the cost effectiveness. Throughout the project, Ayo Ogunfunmi was working with the structural team member, as well as the bioretention team member, to assist with the design and implementation of key aspects related to the feasibility of construction. The construction team member integrated construction knowledge within the design process to bridge the gap between concept and reality as it pertained to this project. Aspects such as total cost, duration, and complexities associated with construction were thoroughly reviewed by the construction team member. The same assumption and design limitations listed by the structural and water resource engineering students were utilized by the construction engineering student throughout the design process.

To communicate the design intent of this site, a construction schedule, a 3D model, material quantity takeoff, and cost estimate were created to represent each of the design scopes used within this project. The 3D model utilized AutoDesk Revit and AutoCAD software to place concrete, steel, and other structural elements. Microsoft Project was used to plan duration for the placement of concrete, steel, and typical scopes. A combination of Revit, Bluebeam, and RSMeans assisted the construction engineering team member with cataloguing the total cost of materials and labor. Synchro Pro was used in coordination with the Revit model and Microsoft Project schedule to create a 4D model of the project.

The final product that will be provided to the owner, architect, general contractor, and other contractors that are involved with this project include the construction schedule, cost estimate, and a 4D model digitally submitted in Appendix F

Ayokunmi Ogunfunmi was responsible for this scope of work and worked closely with Spencer, Deirdre, and Rachael to implement their design and costs into the schedule, cost estimate, and 4D model.

## Organization of this Report

This report will begin with outlining the non-technical considerations that needed to be taken into account in this project. These considerations include the ethical considerations, sustainable considerations, social-political impacts, environmental impacts, and health and safety impacts.

Following this section will be the analysis of alternatives for the building material and stormwater management system. These analyses aimed to determine the best solutions for specific aspects of the project by comparing different alternatives based on a list of criteria determined to be of importance for each respective area.

The completion of the alternative analyses leads into the design criteria and standards. For each scope of the project, constraints, key values, codes, and assumptions for the designs are presented.

The next section is Description of Designed Development, which is where the design process and the results of the designs are presented. In the Summary of the Site Layout section, a brief overview of the site and project is presented. Following that are the Structural Design, Potable Water Design, Wastewater Management Design, Stormwater Management Design, Stormwater Management Model \& Construction, and Construction Management Program. In each of these sections, designs, calculations, and results are provided or referenced to a different section of this report.

The report ends with a conclusion of the results and impacts on the project, as well as a cost estimate.

## Non-Technical Considerations

## Ethical Considerations

One of the main ethical concerns with the project was how the new development will impact the local community. This SCU Faculty \& Staff Housing development is located right next to a residential community not affiliated with SCU, and those living in that community have expressed concerns about this project. These residents have an important stake in the outcome of this project. Their concerns were expressed at the community meeting held by the City of San Jose on August 19, 2019 at Santa Clara University's Locatelli Center. The main concerns that were expressed about the project were the infringement on their privacy that may come with a high rise building, the impacts of traffic congestion in the area, and parking. It is especially important to note that some of the residents may be elderly or have health problems, and the increase in traffic congestion can impact emergency vehicles from accessing the neighborhood as noted in community meetings anecdotally.

This lot lies on land that was owned by the indigenous Muwekma-Ohlone and Ohlone tribes, until the settlements from the colonial Spanish missions during the 18th-19th centuries, were established. To honor the historical and cultural significance of this land, prior to starting construction, the Muwekma-Ohlone and Ohlone tribes should be consulted. During the grand opening of the development, representatives from these tribes should be invited to speak to raise awareness about the colonial past of the land, their vibrant cultures, and significant practices.

This SCU Faculty \& Staff Housing Development serves as an incredibly valuable resource to many who have been impacted by the rising cost of living in the area. At the same time, the needs and concerns of the local communities should not be ignored in order for this development to progress. Those concerns were addressed in the design and construction of the development. Maximizing setbacks on the property, onsite parking, and commercial spaces accessible to the public and tenants of this development are some of the ways that the project will improve and form a relationship with the neighboring communities. Since a traffic and transportation analysis was not included in RADS Construction's project scope, those issues were not directly addressed. As the project does move forward, however, it will be critical for a transportation team to find solutions and mitigations to address the increase of population in the area.

## Economic Considerations

An economic concern for this project was whether spending money on this project was the best use of Santa Clara University's money. Santa Clara University will be funding and managing this new housing development. The money allocated to this project could also be used to fund other campus projects, as there are other aspects of campus that could use improvement.

On campus, there are buildings that are currently in use, but are in need of renovation. Additionally, over the past years there have been movements on campus to better support adjunct lecturers and workers at Benson Center (Santa Clara University's dining hall). There are many other campus issues that require University funding, so determining if spending money on this Faculty \& Staff Housing Development is the best use of campus funds is in question.

The Faculty \& Staff Housing Development seeks to address this economic concern through the benefits this project will have for faculty and staff at Santa Clara University, as well
as the students. This development can improve relations with adjunct lecturers and non-tenured professors on campus by providing them with an affordable place to live close to campus. An issue that Santa Clara University has been having is both keeping and attracting quality professors and lecturers because the cost of living in the area is so high. By providing more affordable housing, Santa Clara University is making it more reasonable for lecturers, professors, and staff to work and continue to work at the University. While funding this development will not directly improve other buildings and academic space on campus, it has the potential to boost the overall success of the University by attracting and keeping high quality faculty and staff. This continued success can then help to fund other campus projects in the future.

## Sustainable Considerations

Sustainability was a primary criteria throughout the design of this project. The project's goal was to abide by Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) v4 guidelines (U.S. Green Building Council, 2013). For the structure, steel was the primary material used. Steel relies on nonrenewable resources, therefore it will be a priority to use the least amount of steel possible, while ensuring that the design meets and exceeds the minimum design requirements per the code and regulations. Further, this project attempted to use local resources and materials. To do this, the LEED guidelines were followed, which states that materials are to be extracted, harvested or recovered, and manufactured within a 500 mile radius of the project site. Being conscious of material procurement will not only increase demand for regional materials, but it will also reduce the environmental impacts that come with the transportation of materials. Low impact development (LID) in the form of bioretention was included in the development design to manage water onsite, allow groundwater infiltration, and increase the quality of urban runoff.

Sustainability was not only important to consider for environmental impacts, but also social impacts. A crucial aspect of social sustainability was to ensure basic needs were met for all people. "All people" in this project means the faculty and staff at Santa Clara University who are the main users of this development. The most dire need of the faculty and staff is affordability, because the point of the housing development is to provide housing that is nearby SCU and within their salaries. Per the Engineering Design Processes and Practice for Civil Engineering Projects Handbook, compiled by Dr. Sukhmandar Singh, a sustainable design should use less energy, use less material, fail less often, pollute less, be reusable, and be recycled (Singh, 2012). By installing a bioretention system onsite, the amount of stormwater from the site and sent to water treatment plants is decreased, therefore lowering the amount of embodied energy of this development. Exploring more water efficient options for potable water and wastewater demands helps to conserve more water and potentially decrease the amount of infrastructure and energy needed by decreasing the water demands. Energy usage is correlated with pollution, since the majority of the energy is not sourced renewably yet, so decreasing energy usage also decreases the overall pollution. Using steel as the building material also decreases failure rates in seismic conditions, which is relevant to the location of this project site. Additionally, steel promotes reuse and recycling by melting and reforming the steel for new uses, contributing to a sustainable and circular economy.

## Social-Political Impact

During the planning and design of this Faculty and Staff Housing Development, the design team took as many different considerations into account as possible on how this project will affect the society nearby. One of the key points that was brought to the team's attention numerous times in the community meeting on August 19, 2019, was the social impact of having a seven-story residential apartment complex in the proximity of existing residential neighborhoods. Many of the residents who live in houses in the Encanto community, the housing community adjacent to the project site, are accustomed to a one-story industrial zoned building next to their house and expressed concern that their privacy will be taken away once this tall housing complex is constructed.

To address this issue, the team used the site layout provided by the architects (Studio TSquare) of this project and made suggestions on how to address the neighbors' concerns. One aspect of the design that serves as a solution to the privacy issues was the offset of the building footprint from the Encanto neighborhood community. There will be a driveway in between the proposed building and the existing neighborhood community. According to the 2019 California Building Code, the driveway must be a minimum of 20 feet wide (California Building Standards Commission, and International Code Council, 2019), and a 26 foot wide driveway was proposed to be incorporated into the design of this development, further separating the two developments from each other.

The team also recognized that the construction of this new mixed-use residential development will be an issue for nearby residents and businesses, especially since this site is located on a one-way in and one-way out street. In efforts to minimize the number of delays and road closures, the team examined and determined the best method of constructing this building and transporting materials and equipment to the job site. Especially taking into account rush hour, or commute time, when people leave and come back from work, the team would not schedule any activities that will block the road during these times.

During the planning of this project, the first two floors of the building consisted of a parking garage, incubator space, and five floors of residential units above it. Gaining feedback from the stakeholders of this project, the team decided to change the mixed-use aspect of this building by replacing the concept of an incubator space to be commercial space for a coffee shop and/or retail store(s). The stakeholders addressed that they did not see the need for an incubator space and wanted to have a space that was designated for a shop that they would be able to go to and actually make good use of. Especially taking into consideration that nearby residents and businesses that are not affiliated with Santa Clara University are not technically allowed to use the school's facilities, having a store nearby that they could use is more pleasing to them and helps to suit some of their needs.

The overall goal of this housing development was to construct apartment complexes that fall within the budget of Santa Clara University's staff and faculty in the area. While RADS Construction will not be setting rent prices for this housing development, the cost of construction will impact the rental prices as Santa Clara University attempts to recoup the cost accrued during construction. This cost will ultimately depend on Santa Clara University's ability to pay for this building. Given the goal of making this development LEED v4 certifiable, the initial cost of construction was expected to be higher than a traditional construction project. SCU may propose cheaper alternatives to the LEED guided practices to stay within budget and reduce the initial cost, however this comes at a higher cost throughout the project's lifetime.

As with recent archaeological discoveries, Santa Clara University and its surrounding properties have been built on the land of the Ohlone and the Muwekma Ohlone people (Santa Clara University, 2019). It is important to recognize the impact that the new development will have on the surviving members of those tribes whose land has already been paved over with concrete. While it is impossible to rectify the infrastructure built on this land, RADS Construction took this into consideration when implementing design elements, such as using native plants to the area and installing natural groundwater recharging methods in the surrounding areas of the site.

## Environmental Impact

Construction and the industry that it affects is reportedly responsible for the depletion of " $40 \%$ of global resources, $12 \%$ of potable water reserves, $55 \%$ of wood products, $45-65 \%$ of produced waste, $40 \%$ of raw materials, and the emission of $48 \%$ of harmful greenhouse gases" (Suzer, 2015). Construction itself exacerbates the effect that global warming has and given the increased pace of construction, the harmful byproducts of construction will continue to spread if left unchecked.

As a preventative measure, some countries like the United States and the United Kingdom have developed guidelines for construction projects centered around reducing emissions, using renewable energy, and utilizing environmentally conscious practices throughout the entirety of a construction project. In the Housing Development project proposed by RADS Construction, the United States' green construction building codes and LEED were used for the entirety of this project. Not only did it allow RADS Construction to implement environmentally friendly products into the building, but it gave RADS Construction clear goals to lessen the building's environmental impact.

Currently, the proposed project site is zoned as a heavy industrial site. This classification potentially has serious impacts on the quality of the soil, as oils and other substances may have saturated the soil, which could qualify this site as a brownfield. Additional testing of the soil may be required to determine the extent of potential contamination, which in turn could have time and cost impacts for the development. The team also considered the impact that the proposed construction will have on the heavy industrial site in terms of emission and waste produced by the vehicles and equipment on-site. A detailed construction waste management plan highlighting key ways to incorporate existing materials, such as metals and crushed concrete, was included within this project scope.

## Health \& Safety Impact

In the field of civil engineering, there are numerous reasons behind why infrastructure can potentially end up failing. One of the main failures that occurs is due to poor design of the infrastructure, which can greatly impact the safety of the individuals who are directly involved with the project and nearby residents. The 2019 California Building Code (CBC) and ASCE 7-16 were referenced to ensure that the proposed development met the minimum standards when designing this development. Enercalc SEL, a structural calculation software, was utilized to design the columns and beams for this development, alongside hand calculations to verify the values that were output from these programs to decrease the chances of human error.

This housing development would be classified as a Type III risk category due to the high volume of residents that will occupy this site. The team incorporated live \& dead loads, wind
loads, and seismic considerations into the design of the housing complex that adhere to the 2019 California Building Code (CBC) requirements.

To account for possible material failure, the main construction materials for this development will be concrete for the first two floors and hot-rolled steel for the five floors above. These two materials can be thoroughly inspected by a trained professional and can be created in a controlled environment, such as at a concrete plant or steel manufacturing plant. Reinforcement bars are used to help strengthen the concrete and increase the factor of safety as the concrete begins to crack across its lifespan. Also, the target compressive strength ( $\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}$ ) was specified for the project to ensure that the concrete can withstand the lateral and axial loads.

Steel is another material that has high structural strength and integrity, which will increase the safety of the overall building. It is impervious to pests and resistant to fires, after fire protection of the steel. One of the main drawbacks of using steel is its risk of being penetrated by moisture, which is mitigated by using insulation, moisture barriers, and high-quality coatings. Steel has the structural stability to withstand high winds and a large amount of seismic activity (Whirlwind). This development is being built in California, so choosing a material that will have less chances of failing in the event of a seismic event is preferred to ensure the safety of the residents and neighbors.

## Analysis of Alternatives

For each alternative analysis, a list of criteria was developed. Each criteria was weighed on a scale from one to 10 based on how important each criteria was to RADS Construction and the client (Santa Clara University): one being not important at all to 10 being very important to implement in this project. RADS Construction presented different alternatives and scored them based on how well each alternative met the criteria using a one to five rating system: one meaning that the alternative did not meet the criteria at all and five meaning that the alternative definitely met the criteria. The score was then multiplied by the weights to incorporate how important each criteria was, and the sum of the scores for each alternative was computed. The alternative with the highest score represented the best alternative and was implemented into the overall design of the project.

## Material Analysis

An alternative analysis of three different materials was conducted to justify which material will be used for Santa Clara University's faculty \& staff housing development at 1200 Campbell Avenue in San Jose, California. The three material options that were considered in this analysis were concrete, steel, and timber.

Concrete is a chemically stabilized structural material used in construction. Concrete forms to whatever mold that it is placed in which allows for the creation of unique structures of varying shapes and sizes. It excels in compression and is generally unfavorable in tension. The combination of widely used Portland cement and water makes it a relatively inexpensive building material. Concrete is one of the most common building materials due to its versatile use in foundations, columns, elevated slabs, beams, and walls.

Steel consists of carbon and iron alloys, which makes it very durable and is often used as a construction material. Although steel production emits $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ it is still environmentally friendly and sustainable. Steel is generally recyclable and is very ductile compared to other materials, such as concrete and timber (Worldsteel). Appropriate actions have been taken to continue to decrease the amount of harmful emissions that are generated from steel. Steel, if exposed to the elements, will require consistent maintenance either through painting a protective layer over it or by installing sacrificial anodes. Steel has a very high initial cost due to the material and labor but depending on the project, the amount of material that is needed can be reduced and the overall life span of the project increases. Also due to the different layouts of the apartments, large beam spans will be required for this development, which is possible to accomplish with steel and the ability to pre-camber steel beams if needed. Pre-cambering will allow the material tolerance of the steel to be altered, ultimately allowing the use of lighter, cheaper, and possibly thinner members.

Timber is wood that has been processed into material that can be used for structural purposes. It is often used as a building material since it uses less water and energy to create, is renewable from well managed forests, and has a lower carbon footprint compared to other building materials, such as steel. Wood is also relatively inexpensive especially if outsourced from countries like China, which is responsible for $44 \%$ of all timber imports (PR Newswire: Press Release Distribution, Targeting, Monitoring and Marketing, 2019). Timber has a great deal of flexibility, which makes it ductile and reduces the effects in the event of an earthquake. Timber is also a common building material, therefore it is used in most residential houses.

The criteria used to rate each system was weighted on a 1 to 10 scale, and the assigned weight for each criteria are listed as follows:

- Low Cost, 9/10
- Sustainability, 8/10
- Expertise, 7/10
- Aesthetics, 3/10
- Schedule Impacts, 5/10
- Seismic Resistance, 6/10

The weights for the material analysis were based on the needs from Santa Clara University, SCU's faculty and staff, and the overall site constraints. The stakeholders of this project were able to voice their opinions at the community meeting on August 18, 2019 and these criterias reflected their concerns. Each criteria was weighed and scored based off of the material's ability and effectiveness of meeting the criteria.

After applying the weights and criteria ratings to the alternatives (as shown in Appendix A, Table 1), the best material to build the structure was determined to be steel, with the exception of the first two floors constructed out of concrete for the parking garage. Steel received a score of 116 after assigning criteria ratings and multiplying by weights. The top four criteria were cost (9/10), sustainability (7/10), ease of constructibility (6/10), and schedule impacts (6/10), in order of highest weight. For cost, steel was the least affordable compared to concrete and timber. Steel, however, has a great long-term cost benefit due to its material properties (Pascal Steel, 2016), and RADS Construction has more design knowledge with steel compared to timber and concrete

## Stormwater Management Analysis

Alternatives for onsite stormwater management were analyzed and compared to determine the best solution for Santa Clara University's faculty \& staff housing development at 1200 Campbell Avenue in San Jose, California.

The stormwater management alternatives that were selected to be analyzed are based on the suggestions in the C. 3 Stormwater Handbook and are listed as follows (SCVURPPP, 2016):

- Porous pavement
- Green roof
- Bioretention
- Flow through planters
- Rainwater catchment
- Nothing (status quo)

Eleven criteria were used to evaluate the alternatives, and each criterion was rated a weight from 1-10. A weight of one means the criteria is not important to the project to achieve affordability and sustainability. A weight of five means the criteria moderately influences the design of the project to achieve both affordability of sustainability. A weight of 10 means the criteria highly influences the design of the project to achieve both affordability and sustainability.

Porous pavement is a load-bearing, durable surface that allows water to infiltrate (Santa Clara Valley Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention Program, 2016). Porous pavement consists of layers of both fine and coarse aggregate for the water to filter through. Once the water goes through the aggregate, it reaches a perforated pipe where some of the runoff is taken to the storm drain system and some infiltrates into the subgrade soil. The important benefits of this system are
its ability to filter fine particles and reduce runoff. Limitations of this alternative include susceptibility to clogging and higher installation costs than normal pavement (National Asphalt Pavement Association, 2020).

Green roofs are roof systems containing vegetation that function to "filter, absorb, and retain or detain the rain that falls upon them" (Suzer, 2015). The top layer of these roofs consist of planting media and vegetation. Structural components such as waterproofing and geofabrics lie underneath the top layer. Some benefits of this alternative are that it provides significant reduction to roof runoff, earns LEED credits, and is a highly aesthetically pleasing system. Some limitations of this alternative include high cost and impact on the structural design (EPA, 2020).

A bioretention area is a biotreatment design that uses soil and plants to filter and remove pollutants from urban runoff (SCVURPPP, 2016). A system typically consists of a detention area, plants, a layer of mulch, biotreatment soil, drain rock, and an underdrain. As water percolates through, it is treated and filtered by the varying layers before either infiltrating into the native soil or being sent to the storm drain through a perforated underdrain. Some benefits of this system are that it is low maintenance and it can conform with a variety of landscapes. Some limitations of this alternative include the need for irrigation for the first few years and the susceptibility to clogging (Whirlwind, 2017).

Flow through planters are similar to bioretention areas in terms of function, however, they do not allow for groundwater infiltration into the native soil. The system is completely contained by concrete or other planter walls, and they also have waterproof membranes. Some of their benefits include their ability to be adjacent to structures and they are low maintenance. Their limitations include head loss and susceptibility to clogging (SCVURPPP, 2016).

Rainwater catchment systems collect rainwater from the roof and other impervious surfaces. They are designed to collect and store the water for irrigation and other non-potable reuses. Benefits of this system include LEED credits and the reduction of runoff, especially roof runoff. Limitations of this system include cost of maintenance and installation and its usage of space (SCVURPPP, 2016).

The status quo alternative for this development would be no stormwater management or Low Impact Development aspects in place. This means that runoff flows directly into the storm drain system without any treatment or reduction efforts. Not implementing stormwater management on a site that contains more than 10,000 square feet of impervious area violates the C. 3 Stormwater Handbook (SCVURPPP, 2016). This project site is 137,000 square feet which is greater than the 10,000 square feet minimum requirement. Due to the amount of impervious area on the site, it is necessary to follow the C. 3 Stormwater Handbook, and there must be some onsite stormwater management to satisfy local and statewide regulations.

The three main constraints for stormwater management alternatives were run-off treatment, ability to fit on the project site, and compliance with the C. 3 Stormwater Handbook (SCVURPPP, 2016). The criteria used to rate each system was weighted on a 1 to 10 scale, and the assigned weight for each criteria are listed as follows:

- Groundwater infiltration capacity, 6/10
- Runoff treatment effectiveness, 10/10
- Aesthetics, 5/10
- Impact on structure design, $8 / 10$
- Space usage, 7/10
- Storm drain runoff reduction, 7/10
- Cost of construction, 10/10
- Feasibility of construction, $4 / 10$
- Cost of maintenance, $8 / 10$
- Feasibility of maintenance, $3 / 10$
- Geographically appropriate, 6/10

After applying the weights and criteria ratings to the alternatives (as shown in Appendix A, Table 3), the best stormwater management system was a bioretention system. The bioretention system received a score of 308 after assigning criteria ratings and multiplying by weights. The top five criteria were effectiveness of runoff treatment (10/10), the cost of construction (10/10), impact on structural design (8/10), cost of maintenance $(8 / 10)$, and storm drain runoff reduction ( $7 / 10$ ), in order of highest weight. A bioretention system was the best alternative for a stormwater management system because the bioretention system had the highest scores for three of the top five weighted criteria. As an addition, the porous pavement could also be added for stormwater use onsite to help the bioretention system by reducing the total amount of impervious area on the project site. The less the impervious area is, the less volume the bioretention system will have to be. A smaller bioretention system will benefit this site since it is a large development on a smaller site in an urban area.

## Design Criteria and Standards

## Constraints

Before beginning the design of this Faculty and Staff Housing Development for Santa Clara University, RADS Construction communicated with representatives from Santa Clara University and Studio TSquare to identify the following constraints for this project:

- Project Site Area $=133,730 \mathrm{ft} 2=3.07$ acres
- Intended Use of Building = Mixed-Use
- Minimum Number of Apartments $=280$ units
- Number of Floors = seven (7) floors
- Site Zone = Heavy Industrial District currently, proposal submitted for Mixed-Use
- Design knowledge of the design team
- Time \& Online Schooling


## Key Values, Applicable Codes, and Assumptions Used in Design Calculations

## Structural:

The design of all of the structural components of Santa Clara University's Faculty and Staff Housing Development abided by the 2019 California Building Code (CBC) and ASCE/SEI 7-16 Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures. According to the 2019 California Building Code (Table 1604.5) and the ASCE/SEI 7-16 (Table $1.5-1$ ), this building was designed to be a risk category III structure since the failure of this building could pose a substantial risk to human life. This classification was assumed to be appropriate mainly due to the size of the overall structure and the overall occupancy of the building being greater than 280 people. There was also no geotechnical report provided for this project site yet, therefore the site was assumed to have a soil class D, according to ASCE/SEI 716 Section 20.1. Also due to not having a geotechnical report, ACI 318-19 was used to assume the thickness of the foundation slab to be nine inches.

According to the ASCE/SEI 7-16 (Table C3.1-1a), dead loads throughout the building were estimated based off of the typical materials that are used to construct a concrete parking garage and steel residential apartment units. The dead load for the commercial space was 104 psf, the parking garage was 108 psf , the residential units \& corridors were 70 psf , and the roof was 51 psf . The dead loads were estimated by adding up the weight of the structural components, and five percent of the summation of the weights was added as a miscellaneous component and served as a factor of safety for the design.

Per the ASCE/SEI 7-16 (Table 4.3-1), live loads were assigned depending on the occupancy type. For the parking garage, a live load of 60 pounds per square foot (psf) was assigned, despite a minimum live load specification as stated for a passenger parking garage in ASCE/SEI 7-16 (Table 4.3-1) was 40 psf. A live load of 60 psf was used with anticipation that the parking garage can be used as an assembly area if needed. The residential units were assigned a live load of 40 psf , and all of the corridors were assigned a live load of 60 psf in case of people assembling in the hallways in an emergency, such as a fire. The live load for the
commercial space was 100 psf , and the roof live load was 20 psf in anticipation that solar panels could be installed on top of the building in the future. Based on the 2019 California Building Code (Table 1604.3), the deflection limit for the floor members for only the live loads was $\mathrm{L} / 360$ and L/240 for dead and live loads respectively, where $L$ is the length of each member (with units of inches) to ensure that the structural system and members were rigid enough to withstand the deflections.

Using the Equivalent Lateral Force method, the lateral force resisting system was designed based off of the estimated base shear and type of lateral system used. Special reinforced concrete shear walls, special steel concentric braced frames, and special steel moment frames were used to resist the lateral forces. To design the concrete shear walls, ACI 318-19 (Table 11.3.1.1) was used to determine the minimum thickness of the shear wall, which was eight (8) inches, and the minimum design requirements for the concrete shear wall. The base shear for concrete shear wall lateral system was $7,155 \mathrm{kips}$. To design the special reinforced concrete shear wall and special concentric braced frames, the Response Modification Coefficient (R) was 5 and the Deflection Amplification Factor (Cd) was 5 according to ASCE/SEI 7-16 (Table 12.21). To design the special steel moment frames and special concentric braced frames, as well as all of the other steel members, AISC 360-16 was used for steel section properties and design provisions. A stiffness of $8 \mathrm{EI} / \mathrm{L}_{3}$ was assumed for the design of all of the columns for the special steel moment frames since it was a generally conservative assumption based on the project characteristics. The base shear for the steel moment frame lateral system was 2,932 kips. According to ASCE/SEI 7-16 (Table 12.2-1), the Response Modification Coefficient (R) was 8 and the Deflection Amplification Factor $(\mathrm{Cd})$ was 5.5. All of the steel and concrete calculations were performed using Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD).

## Potable Water and Wastewater Management:

The calculations for the potable water and wastewater sections were based on the 2019 California Fire Code (California Building Standards Commission, and International Code Council, 2019), 2019 California Plumbing Code (California Building Standards Commission, and International Code Council, 2019), LEED v4 (U.S. Green Building Council 2013), Green Building Initiative Green Globes Water Consumption Calculator (Green Building Initiative, 2020), and tables from Water and Wastewater Engineering Design Principles and Practice (Davis, 2010).

## Stormwater Management:

To ensure that the development met the Bay Area stormwater requirements and abided by local San Jose codes, the C. 3 Stormwater Handbook was used to design the bioretention (SCVURPPP, 2016). Santa Clara Water Valley provided data for groundwater table levels near the site to determine if the bioretention should allow for groundwater infiltration. The rainfall data was collected in San Jose (NOAA), and unit hydrographs were generated following federal guidance (USDA, 2007). City of San Jose design codes were used as well (San Jose Technical Documents).

In designing the bioretention, a few assumptions were made. The first assumption was that all rainwater onsite will be caught by inlet pipes. The second assumption was that earthwork should be minimal, but will be demanded if needed. The last assumption was that placing a bioretention outside of the property line is allowed because that adjacent property is also owned
by Santa Clara University. All of the bioretention design was completed with the intention to significantly decrease the volume of untreated onsite run-off into storm drains.

## Construction:

All design considerations for this project have been vetted with the guideline presented in $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) with regard to upholding safety standards (Allen). All cost data was compiled from the RSMeans Construction Cost Database (Mewis).

## Description of Designed Development

## Summary of the Site Layout

The location of this project site was thoroughly thought out by Santa Clara University since the site that was chosen was owned by the University, property size was adequate to construct a mixed-use development, and it was in the proximity of transit hubs (i.e. Caltrain, Amtrak, and VTA). RADS Construction communicated with Santa Clara University and the architect for this project, Studio TSquare, to determine what was the most beneficial site layout to design the structure on. Santa Clara University mentioned that they wanted a building that had a minimum of 280 residential units but also had some extra space for an incubator. RADS Construction did not see the purpose for an incubator space, as Studio TSquare originally proposed, and included a commercial space in the team's design instead. The commercial space will have stores that will be open to the general public. Therefore, this building design will counteract nearby stakeholder's, who are not affiliated with Santa Clara University, concerns about adding this development to the neighborhood. Finally, the team decided to place the driveway on the south side of the property (displayed in Figure 5), adjacent to the neighboring housing development that is not owned by the University. The driveway was placed in this location to provide some privacy to the neighboring residences. The driveway will wrap around the east side of the building and into the University Villas driveway on the north side of the property.


Figure 5. Site Layout Including Driveway Design.

The building consists of a total of seven floors above grade level. The first floor consists of a parking garage and approximately a 25,000 square foot commercial space. The second floor contains a parking garage and residential units. Floors three and four have residential units and a clubhouse, which is only accessible on the third floor. Floors five through seven have only residential units. There are four different unit layouts: Studio, One-bedroom, Two-bedroom, and Three-bedroom, as proposed by Studio TSquare in Figure 6. A unique feature of the entire layout of this building is the open courtyard (Figure 7) in the center of the building from the third floor and above.


Figure 6. All Four Unit Layouts in the Building (Studio TSquare, 2019).


Figure 7. All Four Unit Layouts in the Building (Studio TSquare, 2019).

## Structural Design

Based on the architectural drawings provided by Studio TSquare, the entire building is seven stories, contains 290 residential units, 286 parking spaces, commercial space and a clubhouse. The first two floors of the building consists of a parking garage and commercial or residential space. The upper five floors consisted of residential units and a clubhouse only accessible on the third floor of the building but is two floors high. The first two floors of the building have a floor area of 91,000 square feet, and the residential floors have a floor area of 68,000 square feet due to the courtyard in the interior of the building that starts on the third floor. The first two floors have a higher elevation than the upper five floors making sure that the floors are high enough in the parking garage and commercial space. The floors that have mainly residential units have a typical height of 10 feet on each floor. The entire building was designed to be 77 feet above grade, especially since the soil conditions were unknown and the scope of work consisted of tasks above the foundation concrete slab. After conducting an alternative analysis of different building materials to use to design Santa Clara University's Staff and Faculty Housing Development, the structural system was broken down into two types of sections based on the intended use of the space and building material chosen. The foundation slab and parking garage consisted of mainly concrete. Hot-rolled steel and metal decking with concrete fill were the main building materials used to design the commercial space, residential units, and clubhouse.

Due to the time constraints and the unknown classification of the soil on site, it was assumed that the first floor of the building would sit on top of a nine inch concrete foundation slab that is below grade level. This building also consists of four elevators and four stairwells that are located near the four corners of the building since this building is large and can contain more than 300 occupants.

AutoCAD was used to determine and layout the appropriate locations to place the gravity force resisting system with respect to the key elements of this development. The gravity force resisting system for the concrete portion of this building occured in the parking garage which consisted of simply supported rectangular concrete beams and circular concrete columns. For the commercial space, clubhouse, and residential units, simply supported w-section steel beams, girders, and steel columns were used. The beams and columns were grouped into sections in AutoCAD to make it easier to design the gravity force resisting elements of this building. The structural team determined the tributary width of gravity loads that were acting on the beams and the tributary area of gravity forces that were acting on the columns.

The gravity columns were designed based on the assigned section of the building and the largest tributary area acting on a column in that respective section. The gravity beams were grouped based on their span lengths, and each group was designed using the largest tributary width of gravity load acting on each respective group. In order to not block any open areas, such as living space and windows, there are sections of the structure that had long spanning gravity beams. Therefore, a pre-composite camber design was performed on the Microsoft Excel calculation spreadsheet that the structural design team member created for beams which had a span greater than or equal to 30 feet long. By cambering the beam, a lighter and more shallow beam was used since the beam was deflected in the vertical direction to help negate the impact of heavy loads acting on these long members. While performing the pre-composite camber design, a metal deck was chosen from the ASC Metal Decking Floor Catalog. The floor metal decking that was specified for all of the floors that consisted of steel had a total slab depth of 6.25 inches, use of light-weight concrete, and a two hour fire rating was used. The two hour fire rating metal
deck will allow the occupants to have more time to exit the building in the event of a fire, especially due to the high density of this development. For the roof, a 1.5 inch metal deck with plywood was chosen from the ASC Metal Decking Roof Catalog since the dead loads on the roof was calculated to be 51 psf and would be mainly carrying the weight of mechanical, electrical, and plumbing (M.E.P.) equipment, which was not included in the scope of this project. The structural design team member knew that many of the columns and beams would be oversized but wanted to make all of the concrete columns the same size for ease of fabrication and construction. Table 1 displays the gravity loads that were applied depending on the intended use of the space. It is important to note that $5 \%$ of the total dead load for each intended space was added as miscellaneous to serve as a design factor of safety, taking into consideration the load assumptions that were made. It is also important to note that there are gravity columns that are not continuous to the grade level. This situation occurs on the third floor of the building, where steel columns were attached to the concrete floor diaphragm so the gravity loads can be effectively transferred to the columns and down to the ground. The design calculations for the gravity force resisting system can be found in the structural calculations package (Appendix C), and the structural details can be found in the drawing set (Appendix B).

Table 1. Flat Weights of the Building.

| Intended Use of Space | Dead Load (psf) | Live Load (psf) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parking Garage | 108 | 60 |
| Commercial Space | 104 | 100 |
| Residential Units | 70 | 40 |
| Residential - Corridors | 70 | 60 |
| Roof | 51 | 20 |

Looking at the overall structure layout in AutoCAD after laying out the gravity force resisting system, the structural design team member analyzed what type of lateral force resisting system(s) that needed to be included in the design of this development. The lateral forces were determined to mainly act on all of the four exterior walls of the building and the walls of the building that are in the open courtyard. Therefore, the lateral systems were placed towards the outer walls, which would experience the majority of the lateral forces. The lateral systems were also placed as symmetrical from each other as possible to prevent the center of mass and rigidity from affecting how the overall building reacts to lateral forces.

Due to the two different building materials used for the first and second floor of the building, two different lateral force resisting systems were designed and a two-stage design process was implemented (Figure 8). According to ASCE 7-16, Section 12.2.3.2, a two-stage design process was permitted if the development contained a flexible upper portion above a rigid lower portion. Therefore as seen in Figure 9, the first two floors that have special reinforced concrete shear walls and special concentric braced frames make the structure rigid (highlighted in blue), while the upper floors contain special steel moment frames for the lateral system, which make the structure flexible (highlighted in pink). Special steel moment frames were selected for
this design due to this site being in a high seismic region and the structure needed more ductility due to the size of the structure and being able to withstand large inelastic deformations.


Figure 8. Lateral Force Resisting Systems.


Figure 9. Rigid (Blue) Versus Flexible (Pink) Design of the Building.

Since there were two different diaphragm systems joining together on the second and third floor, special concentric braced frames and special reinforced concrete shear walls were chosen. Originally, the structural design team was planning to use special steel moment frames for the lateral system for the commercial space on the first floor and residential units on the second floor since special steel moment frames were being used on the floors above. But after considering how the building would react if it experienced lateral forces, the structural design
team decided to use special concentric braced frames for the first two floors to provide more rigidity to the structure on the lower levels and choose a lateral system that had a similar Response Modification Factor (R) to special reinforced concrete shear walls. The special reinforced concrete shear walls and special concentric braced frames on floors one through three (Figure 10) were placed relatively near the sides of the building where the building was expected to experience the strongest lateral forces.


Figure 10. Lateral System for Floors One through Three.

The lateral system for the upper five floors (residential units and a clubhouse) consist of special steel moment frames (Figure 11). Steel special moment frames were chosen especially for the residential units to prevent the lateral system from hindering any key elements of the building, such as windows, rooms, etc. It is important to note that both of the lateral systems were designed to resist torsion acting on the building due to lateral forces.


Figure 11. Lateral System for Floors Three to Roof.

All of the lateral force resisting systems, with the exception of the design of the special concentric braced frames since it was out-of-scope, were designed using the Equivalent Lateral Force Method and can be found in the structural calculations package in Appendix C. The lateral system details can be found in the drawing set in Appendix B. The two lateral systems were designed to meet the allowable story drift per ASCE 7-16, Table 12.12-1 and be able to withstand the base shear acting on the structure due to the lateral forces in each direction. Based on the response parameters of the site, the building was classified to have a Seismic Design Category (SDC) of D, and its Risk Category was III due to the high risk of human life.

The special steel moment frames were designed by calculating the amount of force that each frame experiences on each floor. The required moment of inertia for the columns was calculated, and the W -shaped properties in the AISC 360-16, Table 3-2 were referenced to find a column that had the capacity to contain the required minimum moment of inertia. The required moment of inertia for the beams in the moment frames were calculated, and the W -shaped properties in the AISC 360-16, Table 3-2 were referenced to find a beam that had at least the required moment capacity. A selection of the structural details for the lateral system can be found in Appendix B. The design calculations for the connections of the different members of the lateral system were not included in this scope due to time constraints and intensity of work. Figure 11 highlights the two lateral systems on two sides of the 3D model of the building, which was created using Revit.


Figure 12. Two Lateral Systems Highlighted on the 3D Model on Two Sides of the Building.

## Potable Water Management Design

## Water Demand

The potable water demand for the project was calculated for baseline standards and water efficient products that reduce demand for comparison. Santa Clara University's Faculty and Staff Housing Development consists of both residential and commercial space, which require different calculations because of the different purposes they serve.

The potable water demand for the commercial space was determined first. Based on the 26,000 square foot ( ft 2 ) commercial space available, it was expected that 104 people would be using this space daily, where $50 \%$ are male and $50 \%$ are female. For the baseline water demand, the flow rates of standard water closets, standard urinals, and conventional lavatories, sinks, and showers were used. For the reduced water demand, the flow rates of ultra low-flow water closets, waterless urinals, and WaterSense lavatories, sinks, and showers were used. These products are higher in water efficiency, as they use less water to function. The final calculations for both the baseline potable water demand and the reduced flow water demand of the commercial space are shown in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

Table 2. Baseline potable water demand for commercial space of the project.

| Commercial Space with 104 Occupants, 26,000 sf - Baseline Flow |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flush Fixture | Daily Uses | Flowrate (gpf) | Duration (flush) | Occupants | Water Use (gal) |
| Standard Water Closet (Male) | 1 | 1.60 | 1 | 52.00 | 83.20 |
| Standard Water Closet (Female) | 3 | 1.60 | 1 | 52.00 | 249.60 |
| Standard Urinal (Male) | 2 | 1.00 | 1 | 52.00 | 104.00 |
| Standard Urinal (Female) | 0 | 1.00 | 1 | 52.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Daily Uses | Flowrate (gpm) | Duration (sec) | Occupants (gal) | Water Use (gal) |
| Conventional Lavatory | 3 | 2.50 | 12 | 104.00 | 156.00 |
| Kitchen Sink | 1 | 2.50 | 12 | 104.00 | 52.00 |
| Shower | 0.1 | 2.50 | 300 | 104.00 | 130.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Total Daily Volume (gal) | 774.80 |
|  |  |  |  | Annual Workdays | 260.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Total Annual Volume (gal) | 201,448.00 |

Table 3. Reduced potable water flow for commercial space of the project.

| Commercial Space with 104 Occupants, 26,000 sf - Reduced Flow |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flush Fixture | Daily Uses | Flowrate (gpf) | Duration (flush) | Occupants | Water Use (gal) |
| Ultra Low-Flow Water Closet (Male) | 1 | 0.80 | 1 | 52.00 | 41.60 |
| Ultra Low-Flow Water Closet (Female) | 3 | 0.80 | 1 | 52.00 | 124.80 |
| Waterless Urinal (Male) | 2 | 0.00 | 1 | 52.00 | 0.00 |
| Waterless Urinal (Female) | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 52.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Daily Uses | Flowrate (gpm) | Duration (sec) | Occupants (gal) | Water Use (gal) |
| WaterSense Lavatory | 3 | 1.20 | 12 | 104.00 | 74.88 |
| Kitchen Sink | 1 | 1.75 | 12 | 104.00 | 36.40 |
| Shower | 0.1 | 1.80 | 300 | 104.00 | 93.60 |
|  |  |  |  | Total Daily Volume (gal) | 371.28 |
|  |  |  |  | Annual Workdays | 260.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Total Annual Volume (gal) | 96,532.80 |

After the potable water demand for the commercial space was completed, the potable water demand for the residential space was calculated. With the help of the Green Building Initiative's Green Globe's Water Calculator, the baseline and reduced flow cases for the water demand were calculated (Green Building Initiative, 2020). Like in the commercial space calculations, the baseline demand used the flow rates of standard water closets, sinks, lavatories, showers, and household appliances. The reduced flow for the residential space used the flow rates of low-flow toilets, WaterSense sinks, lavatories, and showers, and more efficient household appliances. The final calculations for the residential baseline potable water demand and reduced potable water demand are shown in Table 4. The complete calculations are shown in Appendix D.

Table 4. The total baseline (17,246,200 GPY) and reduced potable water demand (11,612,703 GPY) for the residential space of the building.

| Residential Water Demand: Calculated Using <br> GBI Green Globes Consumption Calculator |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Baseline <br> Demand | $17,246,200.00$ | GPY |
| Reduced <br> Demand | $11,612,703.00$ | GPY |

In addition to the potable water demands from the commercial space and residential area, there was also a water demand required for fire flow. Per the California Fire Code, a structure of this size requires a capacity of 6,000 GPM for fireflow. This requirement was added to the water demand.

A peaking factor of 5.3 as recommended from the book Water and Wastewater Engineering was added to the commercial and residential demands for both the baseline and reduced (Davis, 2010). The commercial water demand, residential water demand, and fireflow demand for both the baseline and reduced were compiled and are shown in Tables 5 and 6, respectively.

Table 5. Total baseline potable water demand for the entire building.

| Flow Type | Demand | Units |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Indoor Residential <br> Potable | $17,246,300$ | GPY |
| Indoor Commercial <br> Potable | 201,448 | GPY |
| Fireflow | 6,000 | gpm |
| Peak Hour | 253,351 | gpd |
| Max Day | 109,945 | gpd |
| Total Demand | $\mathbf{6 , 1 7 6}$ | gpm |

Table 6. Total reduced potable water demand for the entire building.

| Flow Type | Demand | Units |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Indoor Residential <br> Potable | $11,612,703$ | GPY |
| Indoor Commercial <br> Potable | 96,533 | GPY |
| Fireflow | 6,000 | gpm |
| Peak Hour | 170,025 | gpd |
| Max Day | 73,784 | gpd |
| Total Demand | $\mathbf{6 , 1 1 8}$ | gpm |

The addition of more water efficient features in the building significantly decreases the water demand for the entire structure. Using these basic water reducing strategies will result in a $33 \%$ decrease in indoor water use for the building and can achieve a total of 2 points for the LEED Indoor Water Use Reduction Credit. This reduction is shown in Table 7.

Table 7. Annual indoor water use reduction in the building using water efficient features.

| Water Reduction |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Annual <br> Baseline | $17,447,748$ | GPY |
| Annual <br> Reduced | $11,709,236$ | GPY |
| Percent <br> Reduction | $33 \%$ |  |

## Pipe Sizing and Layout

Based on the size of the structure, California Fire Code requires a minimum of six (6) hydrants to be located on the site with each hydrant receiving 1,000 GPM of flow during the time of need (California Building Standards Commission, and International Code Council, 2019). Three (3) of the hydrants are located on the northwest side of the structure and the other three (3) are located along the southeast side of the structure.

The project has two potable water mains with one on the northwest side of the building and the other on the southeast side of the building. Since the 6,000 GPM fireflow dictates the demand for both the baseline demand and the reduced demand, each of the mains will be 14 " ductile iron (DI) pipes with 8 " DI laterals that connect to the fire hydrants. To determine these diameters, Equation 1 and Equation 2 were used. Equation 1 displays the equation for calculating the area of the pipe, and Equation 2 displays the equation for calculating the velocity. The velocity must be greater than $2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ and less than $8 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$. These equations determine the diameters of the pipes for the potable water mains and hydrant laterals.

Potable Pipe Baseline
Total Demand $=13.79 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$
Split into two Mains: Demand in Each $=Q=6.90 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$
Mains 1 \& 2:
Diameter = D = 14 inches

$$
\text { Equation } 1: A=\frac{\Pi}{4} D^{2}=\frac{\Pi}{4}\left[(14 \mathrm{in})\left(\frac{1 \mathrm{ft}}{12 \mathrm{in}}\right)\right]^{2}=1.07 \mathrm{ft}^{2}
$$

$$
\text { Equation 2: } V=\frac{Q}{A}=\frac{6.90 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}}{1.07 \mathrm{ft}^{2}}=6.45 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

Potable Pipe Reduced
Total Demand $=13.63 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$
Split into Two Mains: Demand in Each $=Q=6.80 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$
Mains 1 \& 2:
Diameter = D = 14 inches
Equation $1: A=\frac{\Pi}{4} D^{2}=\frac{\Pi}{4}\left[(14 \mathrm{in})\left(\frac{1 \mathrm{ft}}{12 \mathrm{in}}\right)\right]^{2}=1.07 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$
Equation 2: $V=\frac{Q}{A}=\frac{6.80 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}}{1.07 \mathrm{ft}^{2}}=6.36 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$
Hydrant Pipes
Demand Per Hydrant $=1,000 \mathrm{gpm}=2.23 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$
Diameter $=\mathrm{D}=8 \mathrm{in}$.

$$
\text { Equation 1: } A=\frac{\Pi}{4} D^{2}=\frac{\Pi}{4}\left[(8 \mathrm{in})\left(\frac{1 \mathrm{ft}}{12 \mathrm{in}}\right)\right]=0.35 \mathrm{ft}^{2}
$$

$$
\text { Equation } 2: V=\frac{Q}{A}=\frac{2.23 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}}{0.35 \mathrm{ft}^{2}}=6.39 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

The locations of the potable water mains, the fire hydrants, and the fire hydrant laterals are shown in Figure 13.


Figure 13. The layout of the potable water mains, fire hydrants, fire hydrant laterals, sanitary sewer main, and sanitary sewer laterals on the project site.

## Wastewater Management Design

## Wastewater Demand

Based on the potable water demands for both the residential and commercial spaces, the total wastewater demand was determined. For both the baseline and reduced demands, a peak hour factor of 4 was taken from Figure 14 and applied to the average daily demand.


Figure 14. Peaking factor for wastewater demands. Sourced from (Davis, 2010).

With the addition of the peaking factor from Figure 14, the total wastewater demands for both the baseline and reduced demands are shown in Tables 8 and 9, respectively.

Table 8. Baseline wastewater demand for the entire building.

| Flow Type | Demand | Units |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Indoor <br> Residential | $17,246,300$ | GPY |
| Indoor <br> Commercial | 201,448 | GPY |
| Total Building <br> Demand | $17,447,748$ | GPY |
| Peak Hour | 191,208 | GPD |
| Max Day | 109,945 | GPD |

Table 9. Reduced wastewater demand for the entire building.

| Flow Type | Demand | Units |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Indoor <br> Residential | $11,612,703$ | GPY |
| Indoor | 96,533 | GPY |
| Commercial | $11,709,236$ | GPY |
| Total Building <br> Demand | 128,320 | GPD |
| Peak Hour | 73,784 | GPD |
| Max Day |  |  |

## Pipe Sizing and Layout

To size the pipes for the stormwater demand, Bentley Systems’ OpenFlows FlowMaster was used. This is a program that assists in performing hydraulic calculations for different kinds of flows. The maximum pipe flow capacity ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{D}$ ) was set to be at $60 \%$ capacity. A slope of 0.02 and a friction factor ( n ) of 0.013 based on using PVC pipe were used for the calculations. Additionally the velocity of the flow in the pipe was required to be greater than two (2) ft/s and less than eight ( 8 ) $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$, and the flows must meet the demands. The calculations determined that for both the baseline and reduced water demand, the minimum eight inch ( 8 ") PVC pipe will meet the required demands. The inputs and calculations done in FlowMaster are in Appendix D. The sanitary sewer main will be located along the southeast side of the building with an eight inch (8") lateral connecting the building to the main. Figure 13 displays the layout of the sanitary sewer piping.

## Connection

For this project, proper ways to connect the sanitary sewer lateral to the existing main on Campbell Avenue were researched. There is currently not a manhole located close enough in the street so that the main onsite can connect to the existing main on Campbell Avenue. Due to this fact, the team recommends that the project lateral connect to the existing main using a connection similar to the connection used in Figure 15. This detail uses a $45^{\circ}$ connection to join the project lateral to the existing main.


Figure 15. Example detail of how the sanitary sewer lateral on the project site should connect to the existing sanitary sewer main on Campbell Avenue. Sourced from (Tran, 2013).

## Water Efficient Features Cost Analysis

Many of the water efficient fixtures that reduce the amount of indoor water demand for the project come at a higher cost. For this reason, many projects may initially veer away from using these efficient fixtures, not willing to sacrifice cost for sustainability. These water efficient fixtures do decrease the amount of water demand, though, which can decrease the amount of potable water that the building needs to purchase annually to use. If the decrease in the building's water bill can offset the initial cost of purchasing the more water efficient fixtures, it would be far more reasonable and beneficial to use in the project.

To determine the economic benefits of implementing water efficient fixtures rather than standard fixtures, a cost comparison between the two was completed. The first part of the cost comparison was determining the costs of each of the different fixtures for both the reduced water demand and the baseline water demand. The different fixtures used were shower heads, lavatory sinks, toilets, urinals, and kitchen sinks. The average costs of the standard and water efficient fixtures were determined, and the total number of fixtures needed in the project were calculated using the building floor plans. This section of the cost analysis solely looked at material costs, not labor and installation costs, since those were assumed to be the same for the standard and
water efficient fixtures. Table 10 and Table 11 show the calculations for the total material cost of the standard fixtures and the water efficient fixtures.

Table 10. Total cost calculations for the use of standard fixtures in the building.

| Using Standard Fixtures |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost/Unit | \# of Units | Cost for all Units |
| Standard Shower Head | \$10.00 | 506 | \$5,060.00 |
| Standard <br> Lavatory Sink | \$25.00 | 510 | \$12,750.00 |
| Standard Water Closet | \$99.00 | 514 | \$50,886.00 |
| Standard Urinal | \$125.00 | 3 | \$375.00 |
| Standard Kitchen Sink | \$27.00 | 292 | \$7,884.00 |
|  |  | Total Fixture Cost | \$76,955.00 |

Table 11. Total cost calculations for the use of water efficient fixtures in the building.

| Using Water Effecient Fixtures |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Cost/Unit | \# of Units | Cost for all <br> Units |  |
| WaterSense <br> Shower Head | $\$ 20.00$ |  | 506 | $\$ 10,120.00$ |
| WaterSense <br> Lavatory Sink | $\$ 25.00$ |  | 510 | $\$ 12,750.00$ |
| Ultra Low Flow <br> Water Closet | $\$ 134.00$ |  | 514 | $\$ 68,876.00$ |
| Waterless Urinal | $\$ 299.00$ |  | 3 | $\$ 897.00$ |
| WaterSense <br> Kitchen Sink | $\$ 36.00$ |  | 292 | $\$ 10,512.00$ |

As mentioned before, despite the difference in demand, both the baseline demand using standard fixtures and the reduced demand using water efficient fixtures required the same size and length of piping on the project site. Due to this, the pipe cost was excluded from the analysis.

The second part of the comparison calculated the cost to purchase potable water annually from the City of San Jose. At the location of the project it costs $\$ 5.13 /$ one hundred cubic feet of water (City of San Jose, 2019). This cost was used to calculate the annual water purchasing cost for the baseline and reduced demand. The total costs for the two demands are shown in Tables 12 and 13 .

Table 12. The calculations for the annual purchasing cost of potable water for the baseline demand.

| Water Purchasing Cost for the Baseline Water <br> Demand  <br> Potable Water <br> Cost $\$ 0.01$ per gallon |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Total Demand | $17,447,748$ | gallons/year |
| Annual <br> Purchasing Cost | $\$ 119,661.69$ |  |

Table 13. The calculations for the annual purchasing cost of potable water for the reduced demand.

| Water Purchasing Cost for the Reduced Water <br> Demand |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Potable Water <br> Cost | $\$ 0.01$ | per gallon |
| Total Demand | $11,709,236$ | gallons/year |
| Annual <br> Purchasing Cost | $\$ 80,305.32$ |  |

The costs of both the fixtures and the water purchasing were compared between the baseline demand and the reduced demand. Table 14 depicts the cost differences between the two demands.

Table 14. Summary table comparing the costs of using standard fixtures versus using water efficient fixtures in the project.

|  |  | Water <br>  <br>  <br> Purchasing <br> Costs (1 year) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Standard <br> Fixtures | $\$ 76,955$ | $\$ 119,662$ |
| Water Efficient <br> Fixtures | $\$ 103,155$ | $\$ 80,305$ |
| Cost Difference | $-\$ 26,200$ | $\$ 39,356$ |

Water efficient fixtures cost $\$ 26,200$ more in unit costs than standard fixtures, however, when comparing the water purchasing costs, using water efficient fixtures results in a savings of $\$ 39,356$. This results in a return on investment of less than one year. So while the water efficient fixtures have a higher upfront cost, the savings in the water bill pays off that additional cost in less than one year and keeps the project's water bill at a much lower rate. The savings have led the team to recommend that the project install water efficient fixtures in the building.

## Stormwater Management Design

The C. 3 Stormwater Handbook (SCVURPPP, 2016) was used as a reference for the design of the bioretention area on the project site. According to the C.3, any site with more than $10,000 \mathrm{ft} 2$ of impervious area must have onsite stormwater management. The total impervious area of the site is $133,645.77 \mathrm{ft}$. The handbook also states that the stormwater management area must be at least four percent of the total impervious surface area on the site. Four percent of that area is equal to $5,345.83 \mathrm{ft} 2$ and assuming there is no pervious area on the site, the bioretention area must be equal to that. The area of the bioretention can be later adjusted when following the bioretention sizing worksheet in Section IV, Appendix B of the C.3.

Based on the minimum area requirement for the bioretention and the existing topographic map, two bioretention options were designed. In addition to the minimum area requirement, a bioretention area must include a 10 foot setback from a structure if no waterproofing is present per SCVURPPP C. 3 Ch 6.1. As mentioned in the General Site Description on page 9, the water table in the area is very high. Therefore, waterproofing must be installed. Both of the options met the minimum area and setback requirement but made different use of the site's space. The potential locations of the bioretention areas are shown below in Figure 16.


Figure 16. Layout of two bioretention placement options.

Option 1 was chosen because Option 2 requires an entire courtyard to be dedicated towards a bioretention instead of its intended use as a recreational area. To ensure that it is acceptable to place a bioretention outside of the property line, Jill Bicknell was consulted. Bicknell is a managing engineer at Environmental and Public Health Engineering and co-author of the C. 3 Handbook. She stated that Option 1 was acceptable because both parcels of land are owned by Santa Clara University. While designing the pipes that lead from inlets to the
bioretention in Option 1, another bioretention was determined to be required due to the unrealistic amount of earthwork needed to connect pipes from the inlets furthest south to the bioretention. Figure 17, below, shows the new layout of bioretentions on-site:


Figure 17. Revised layout of bioretention placement.
The site was broken up into small drainage basins, impervious and pervious, that were each assigned an inlet to flow into based on the existing topography. Drainage basins 5, 10, 11, $15,18,20,21,22,23,25$, and 28 require earthwork fill to drain to Inlets I, E, and J. See Figure 18 below for a layout of the drainage basins and inlets. Inlets are the lettered squares, and drainage basins are numbered.


Figure 18. Layout of drainage basins and inlets.

The following inlets and drainage basins are draining into the north bioretention:
Table 15. Inlets and drainage basins for the north bioretention.

| North Bioretention |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Inlet | Drainage Basin |
| A | 1,23 |
| B | $6,8,16$ |
| C | $2,3,7,9,14$ |
| J | $15,26,27,28$ |
| K | 13 |
| L | 14 |

The following inlets and drainage basins are draining into the west bioretention:

Table 16. Inlets and drainage basins for the west bioretention.

|  | West Bioretention |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Inlet | Drainage Basin |  |
| E | $4,5,12,17,19$ |  |
| I | $10,11,18,20,21,22,25$ |  |
| J | $15,26,27,28$ |  |

Using the NRCS Curve Number Method in the National Engineering Handbook, the runoff depth was calculated for both permeable and impervious surfaces (USDA, 2010). These runoff depths were used to find runoff volume for each inlet by multiplying the surface area of all drainage basins that flow into the inlet by the corresponding runoff depth. Below are the Curve Number calculations.

## NRCS CN Method Calculations

From SCVWD Figure B-1 in C3 Manual, soil at 1200 Campbell Ave is Clay, Group D (SCVURPPP, 2016). From Gupta Table 4.11, CN = 98 for Group D for urban impervious areas. For fair open urban space, $\mathrm{CN}=84$.

$$
C N=\frac{1000}{10+S}(\text { Equation } 4.19 \text { from Gupta text })
$$

Impervious Area Calculations
$\mathrm{CN}=98=1000 /(10+\mathrm{S})$
$\mathrm{S}=0.204$ in
North
Rainfall intensity $=0.2 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ (Per the C.3)
Design Storm $=2.825 \mathrm{hr}$
Rainfall depth $(\mathrm{P})=2.825 \mathrm{hr} \times 0.2 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}=0.565 \mathrm{in}$

$$
\text { Runoff depth, } Q=\frac{\left(^{(P-0.2 S)^{2}}\right.}{(P+0.8 S)} \text { (Equation } 4.18 \text { from Gupta text) }
$$

$Q=0.377$ in depth for impervious area for north bioretention
West
Rainfall intensity $=0.2 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ (Per the C.3)

Design Storm $=2.385 \mathrm{hr}$
Rainfall depth $(\mathrm{P})=2.385 \mathrm{hr} \times 0.2 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}=0.477 \mathrm{in}$

## $\mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{0 . 2 9 7}$ in depth for impervious area for west bioretention

## Pervious Area Calculations

$\mathrm{CN}=84=1000 /(10+\mathrm{S})$
$\mathrm{S}=1.90$ in

North
$\mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{0 . 0 1 6}$ in depth for pervious area for north bioretention
West
$Q=0.0047$ in depth for pervious area for west bioretention
After calculating the run-off depths, runoff volumes were found by multiplying each inlet's surface area by the pertaining pervious/impervious depth. Once the runoff volume for each inlet was calculated, the total runoff volume that each bioretention receives was determined by adding up the runoff volumes from the inlets assigned to that bioretention. The exact area of each bioretention was found by following Section IV in Appendix B of the C. 3 Handbook, which uses a combined flow and volume approach (SCVURPPP, 2016). The approach takes into account a desired ponding depth of roughly six inches ( 6 ") and the volume of water that is determined to flow into each bioretention. The bioretention sizing results are below in Table 17.

Table 17. Bioretention sizes and depths.

|  | North Bioretention | West Bioretention |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Surface Area (ft2) | 3150 | 570 |
| Ponding Depth (ft) | 0.50 | 0.51 |

Upon establishing the runoff volumes, Chapter 15 of Part 630 of the National Engineering Handbook (NEH) was used to calculate the time of concentration for each inlet (USDA, 2010). First, the total travel time was calculated using the following equation from NEH.

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{t}= \frac{0.007(n l)^{0.8}}{\left(P_{2}\right)^{0.5} S^{0.4}}  \tag{Eq.15-8}\\
& \text { where: } \\
& T_{t}=\text { Travel time, } \mathrm{h} \\
& n=\text { Manning's roughness coefficient (Table 15-1) } \\
& l=\text { Sheet flow length, } \mathrm{ft} \\
& P_{2}=\text {-year, 24-hour rainfall, in } \\
& S=\text { Slope of land surface, } \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}
\end{align*}
$$

According to the NEH, the Manning's coefficient for impermeable surfaces of concrete/asphalt is 0.011 and the coefficient for permeable surfaces of cultivated soils is 0.17 (15-6) . The sheet flow length for each drainage basin was determined by measuring the farthest reach from one end of the drainage basin to the inlet on AutoCAD. Using data from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, the 2-year, 24-hour rainfall depth for 1200 Campbell Avenue is 1.46 in . (NOAA, 2005). An estimated $2 \%$ slope was assumed as the slope of the land surface.

After calculating the travel time for each drainage basin, the time of concentration for each inlet was calculated by summing all travel times of drainage basins that led to each inlet.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{e}=T_{t 1}+T_{t 2}+T_{t 3}+\ldots T_{t n} \\
& \text { where: } \\
& T_{c}=\text { Time of concentration, } \mathrm{h} \\
& T_{t n}=\text { Travel time of a segment } \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~h} \\
& n=\text { Number of segments comprising the total hydraulic length }
\end{aligned}
$$

After finding the time of concentration, the maximum flow rate at each inlet was calculated by using a USDA unit hydrograph transformer, seen in Appendix E-1. The unit hydrograph transformer uses the inputs of time of concentration (hr), drainage area (miz), and Peak Rate Factor (PRF). The PRF used was 484, based on the USDA National Engineering Handbook Part 630 Chapter 16. Table 18 presents the total area, time of concentration, and maximum flow rates for each inlet.

Table 18. Maximum flow rate at each inlet.

| Inlet | Max CFS |
| :--- | ---: |
| A | 0.212 |
| B | 1.704 |
| C | 1.224 |
| E | 0.307 |
| G | 1.44 |
| I | 0.195 |
| J | 0.156 |
| K | 8.424 |
| L | 8.521 |

Using Bentley Engineering's Flowmaster program, each inlet was sized according to the maximum flow rate at that inlet plus flow rates at upstream inlets, using the minimum $1 \%$ slopes, and a Manning's roughness coefficient of 0.013 for PVC (Table 14.4 in Gupta text). See Appendix E-1 through E-9 for the Flowmaster reports for all pipes. A two-year storm was used
to calculate the maximum flow rates, so the maximum pipe flow capacity was set at $60 \%$. Table 19 presents pipe sizes, slopes, and maximum flow capacity for each pipe connecting an inlet to the bioretention.

Table 19. Pipe sizes, slopes, and max fullness of pipes at each inlet.

|  | Max Flow Rate (cfs) | Flow Capacity (\%) | Pipe Diameter (in) | Slope (ft/ft) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Inlet A | 0.212 | 43.3 | 6 | 0.01 |
| Inlet B | 10.225 | 47 | 24 | 0.01 |
| Inlet C | 9.648 | 45.4 | 24 | 0.01 |
| Inlet E | 0.463 | 42.5 | 8 | 0.01 |
| Inlet G | 11.877 | 51.7 | 24 | 0.01 |
| Inlet I | 0.658 | 52.5 | 8 | 0.01 |
| Inlet J | 0.156 | 48 | 5 | 0.01 |
| Inlet K | 8.424 | 56 | 20 | 0.01 |
| Inlet L | 8.521 | 56.5 | 20 | 0.01 |

Elevations of inlets were checked upon finding slopes for pipes by using the City of San Jose's GIS data to find invert elevations of storm drain manholes located on Coleman Avenue (San Jose Spatial Team, 2015). The invert elevation of the storm drain manhole for the north bioretention is 63.07 ft . The base of pop-up emitters will connect to the pipes that drain from the inlet to the bioretention and rest on the layer of biosoil with the top protruding from the three inch (3") thick mulch layer. Assuming a minimum slope of the outflow pipe and underdrain of $0.5 \%, 36$ inches of biosoil and gravel, and a 129.5 ft long outflow pipe and 148.5 ft long underdrain, the elevation of the base of the left pop-up emitter is 66.86 ft , and the elevation of the base of the right pop-up emitter is 67.35 ft . The invert elevation of the storm drain manhole for the west bioretention is 64.50 ft . Assuming a minimum slope of the outflow and underdrain of $0.5 \%$, a 36 inch thick bioretention, and a 40 ft long outflow pipe, the elevation of the base of the sole pop-up emitter is 67.6 ft . See Tables 20 and 21 below for elevations at each inlet for both bioretentions.

Table 20. Elevations at each inlet draining into the north bioretention.

| Location | Elevation (ft) | Pipe Length (ft) | Pipe Slope |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base of Pop Up Emitter Left | 66.86 |  |  |
|  |  | 14.779 | 0.01 |
| Corner | 67.01 |  |  |
|  |  | 132.5075 | 0.01 |
| Inlet C | 68.33 |  |  |
| Base of Pop Up Emitter Right | 67.35 |  |  |
|  |  | 14.3294 | 0.01 |
| Corner | 67.49 |  |  |
|  |  | 155.4771 | 0.01 |
| Inlet G | 69.05 |  |  |
|  |  | 8.2668 | 0.01 |
| Inlet B | 69.13 |  |  |
|  |  | 17.6677 | 0.01 |
| Inlet A | 69.22 |  |  |

Table 21. Elevations at each inlet draining into the west bioretention.

| Location | Elevation (ft) | Pipe Length (ft) | Pipe Slope |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Base of Pop Up Emitter | 67.7 |  |  |
| Inlet I | 67.84 |  |  |
|  |  | 13.8166 | 0.01 |
| Inlet E | 67.99 |  | 0.01 |
| Inlet J |  | 15.7727 |  |

Using an existing topography map obtained from the architects on the project, Studio TSquare, the elevations required for each inlet were checked. The elevations are above the existing topography, but do not require significant earthwork. The earthwork cut from digging the foundation will be used towards required fill of the site.

The underdrains were designed next. A flow rate was found for each underdrain by multiplying the infiltration rate of five (5) in/hr for biosoil by the surface area for each bioretention (Appendix B). Using the C. 3 specified minimum slope of $0.5 \%$, the flow rate and slope were imported into Bentley's Flowmaster with a Manning's roughness coefficient of 0.013 for PVC. Appendix E-10 to E-11 contains the detailed Flowmaster reports for the underdrains. The pipe flow capacity was allowed to be greater than $60 \%$ because the infiltration rates of the bioretention upon saturation remain the same regardless of the storm intensity or duration. See Table 22 for underdrain specifications for the north and west bioretentions.

Table 22. Underdrain detailing highlighted for north and west bioretentions.

| North Bioretention |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surface area | 3150 | sq ft |
| Q in underdrain | 0.365 | cfs |
| Slope | 0.005 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Pipe Diameter | 6 | in |
| Percent full | 75 | \% |
| West Bioretention |  |  |
| Surface area | 570.84 | sq ft |
| Q in underdrain | 0.066 | cfs |
| Slope | 0.005 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Pipe Diameter | 4 | in |
| Percent full | 50 | \% |

The outflow pipes followed a similar process, but required the use of a 10-year storm (Jill Bicknell, email communication). Using the storm durations of 2.825 hr and 2.385 hr for the north and west bioretentions, respectively, that were calculated in Figures 26 and 27, the intensities were found by interpolating two (2) hr and three (3) hr duration 10-year intensities found on NOAA for 1200 Campbell Avenue. Then, the impervious and pervious depths were found by repeating the NRCS Curve Number method in Figure 25 with the new intensities and corresponding rainfall depths. Upon finding the new CN flow depths, the new ponding depths in each bioretention using the 10-year storm and accompanying intensity were found following Section IV of the C. 3 Handbook, similar to Figures 26 and 27. See below for the CN flow depth and ponding depth calculations.

## Outflow Pipe Design

Data from NOAA for 1200 Campbell Ave, using a 10-year storm in Table 23 below:
Table 23. 10-year storm intensities for 1200 Campbell Ave.

|  | Intensity (in/hr) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2-hour Duration | 0.457 |
| 3-hour Duration | 0.373 |

Impervious Areas

## North Bioretention

Design storm $=2.825 \mathrm{hr}$
Intensity $=0.3877 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ (extrapolated from data in Table 22)
Rainfall depth $(\mathrm{P})=2.825 \mathrm{hr} * 0.3877 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}=1.095$ in
Using equation 4-18 from Gupta again,
$\mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{0 . 8 8 3}$ in depth for impervious areas for north bioretention

## West Bioretention

Design storm $=2.385 \mathrm{hr}$
Intensity $=0.425 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$
Depth $(\mathrm{P})=2.385 \mathrm{hr} * 0.425 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}=1.014 \mathrm{in}$
$Q=0.805$ in depth for impervious areas for west bioretention
Pervious Areas

North Bioretention
$\mathbf{Q}=0.195$ in depth for pervious areas for north bioretention

## West Bioretention

## $\mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{0 . 1 5 9}$ in depth for pervious areas for west bioretention

Following C. 3 Appendix B, Section IV again, the ponding depth of the north bioretention using new depths are $\mathbf{1 . 0 6 9} \mathbf{f t}$ for the north bioretention and 1.037 ft for the west bioretention.

After finding the ponding depths due to a 10-year storm in each bioretention, the volume of overflow was calculated by multiplying the surface area by the difference between the 10 -year and two-year ponding depth. The storm durations were calculated by adding the longest time of concentration to the original durations of 2.825 and 2.385 hrs. Referencing Table 3, the longest time of concentration for the north bioretention was 0.972 hr from Inlet C. For the west bioretention, the longest time of concentration was 1.516 hr from Inlet J. The maximum flow in the outflow pipes were calculated by dividing the volume of the overflow by the calculated storm duration and adding the maximum flow rate from the underdrain. The resulting flow rate, a Manning's roughness coefficient of 0.013 for PVC, and the minimum slope of $0.5 \%$ were used in Bentley's Flowmaster program to design the outflow pipes. Appendix E-12 to E-13 contains the detailed Flowmaster reports for the outflow pipes. See Table 24, below, for outflow pipe specifications for north and west bioretentions.

Table 24. North and west bioretention outflow pipe detailing.

| North Bioretention |  | West Bioretention |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Surface area | 3150 | sq ft | Surface area | 570.84 sq ft |
| Ponding Depth at 10 yr | 1.069 ft | Ponding Depth at 10 yr | 1.037 ft |  |
| Ponding Depth at 2 yr | 0.500 | ft | Ponding Depth at 2 yr | 0.510 ft |
| Volume of overflow | 1792.350 | ft | Volume of overflow | 300.833 ft |
| Duration of storm | 3.797 | hr | Duration of storm | 3.901 hr |
| Q in outflow pipe | 0.496 cfs | Q in outflow pipe | 0.087 cfs |  |
| Slope | $0.005 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ | Slope | $0.005 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |  |
| Pipe Diameter | 8 | in | Pipe Diameter | 4 in |
| Percent full | 55 | $\%$ | Percent full | $57.5 \%$ |

The site layout was then updated including all pipe lengths, diameters, slopes, and inlet elevations. See Figure 19 below for this layout.


Figure 19. Final site layout including details of stormwater management components. See Appendix B for a larger drawing.

Using drawing SW-3 from Part 2 of the SCVURPPP Green Stormwater Infrastructure Handbook, the cross-sections for the bioretentions were designed. Drawing SW-3 is specifically for a street slope-sided bioretention with no parking with an underdrain. The drawings were
edited to reflect the dimensions of the north and west bioretentions. See Figures 20 and 21 below for the AutoCAD cross-sections of the north and west bioretentions, respectively.


Figure 20. Cross-section of north bioretention (SCVURPPP Green Stormwater Infrastructure Handbook, 2019).


Figure 21. Cross-section of west bioretention (SCVURPPP Green Stormwater Infrastructure Handbook, 2019).

Using drawing BC 3.4 from Part 2 of the SCVURPPP Green Stormwater Infrastructure Handbook, the overflow structures for the bioretentions were designed. The details were adapted from the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission. See Figures 22 and 23 for the north and west bioretention overflow structure drawings.


Figure 22. North overflow structure (SCVURPPP Green Stormwater Infrastructure Handbook, 2019).


Figure 23. West overflow structure (SCVURPPP Green Stormwater Infrastructure Handbook, 2019).

## Cost Estimate

Upon completing the bioretention cross-section and overflow structure drawings, a cost estimate was completed (RSMeans). Using the cross-section and overflow structure drawings (Figures 2023), material estimates were found. See Tables 25 and 26 below for the material estimates.

Table 25. North bioretention material estimate.

| North Bioretention |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity |  |
| Biosoil | 4338.35 | cu. ft |
| 1' Deep Gravel (in sq. ft) | 1826.81 | sq. ft |
| Gravel (in cu. ft) | 1826.81 | cu. ft |
| Mulch | 799.07 | cu. ft |
| 3" Mulch | 3196.28 | sq. ft |
| Plants (24" apart, 12" from edges) | 656 | 4 " pots |
| Plants (4" pot $=0.125 \mathrm{gal}$ ) | 82 | gal |
| Waterproofing | 4190.87 | sq. ft |
| Overflow Structure (2500 psi Concrete) | 24.05 | cu. ft |
| Grate (26" x 26") | 1 | item |
| Outflow Pipe (8" PVC) | 129.5 | ft |
| Underdrain (6" PVC) | 148.5 | ft |

Table 26. West bioretention material estimate.

| West Bioretention |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity |  |
| Biosoil | 686.82 | cu. ft |
| 1' Deep Gravel (in sq. ft) | 265.28 | sq. ft |
| Gravel (in cu. ft) | 265.28 | cu. ft |
| Mulch | 144.45 | cu. ft |
| 3" Mulch | 577.8 | sq. ft |
| Plants (24" apart, 12" from edges) | 108 | 4" pots |
| Plants (4" pot $=0.125 \mathrm{gal}$ ) | 13.5 | gal |
| Waterproofing | 148.1 | sq. ft |
| Overflow Structure (2500 psi Concrete) | 24.05 | cu. ft |
| Grate (26" x 26") | 1 | item |
| Outflow Pipe (4" PVC) | 40 | ft |
| Underdrain (4" PVC) | 50 | ft |

The material estimates were then imported into RSMeans to calculate the initial material estimate without the overflow structure concrete and grate, as those were added separately. The 2500 psi concrete quantities and grate cost estimates were added in separately using Excel, as the free version of RSMeans did not have the capability to do so. The material estimates for each overflow structure require 1.78 cubic yards of concrete and one 26 " x 26 " grate. The cost estimate for concrete for material and labor with overhead and profit is $\$ 445$, and the cost estimate for the grate is $\$ 43$.

The cost estimate from RSMeans was updated by adding the values from the overflow structures to the Material and Material + Labor costs. The 5\% General Contractor Fee was added, along with the $15 \%$ Overhead \& Profit and $1.219 \%$ City rates. The Total Cost including overhead and profit (O\&P) is $\$ 91,645.59$. Next, a 10 -year maintenance fee was found using a $12 \%$ average market rate. Using an inflation rate of $7 \%$, the yearly maintenance fees were calculated from Year 0 to Year 10. See Table 27, below, for a breakdown of annual maintenance fees.

Table 27. Yearly maintenance fees for both bioretentions.

|  | Yearly <br> Maintenance Fee <br> (7\% Interest Rate) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Year 0 | $\$ 946.27$ |
| Year 1 | $\$ 1,012.51$ |
| Year 2 | $\$ 1,083.39$ |
| Year 3 | $\$ 1,159.22$ |
| Year 4 | $\$ 1,240.37$ |
| Year 5 | $\$ 1,327.19$ |
| Year 6 | $\$ 1,420.10$ |
| Year 7 | $\$ 1,519.50$ |
| Year 8 | $\$ 1,625.87$ |
| Year 9 | $\$ 1,739.68$ |
| Year 10 | $\$ 1,861.46$ |
| TOTAL | $\$ 14,935.57$ |

The maintenance fee total was added to the Total Cost including O\&P to calculate the Total Cost including O\&P and $10-$ Year Maintenance with inflation, equaling $\$ 106,581.15$. See Table 28, below, for a more detailed final cost estimate breakdown for both bioretentions, and Figure 24 for a 10-year cash flow chart.

Table 28. Detailed cost estimate for both bioretentions.

|  | Material | Labor | Material + Labor | General Contractor <br> Fee (5\%) | Total Cost Including Overhead \& Profit (15\% + 1.219\% City Rate) | Current 10-Year Maintenance Fee (12\%) | 10-Year Maintenance Fee with Inflation | Total Cost Including Overhead \& Profit and 10-Year Maintenance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost | \$57,897.11 | \$20,958.83 | \$78,855.94 | \$82,798.74 | \$91,645.59 | \$9,462.71 | \$14,935.57 | \$106,581.15 |



Figure 24. 10-year cash flow chart including initial construction cost and maintenance costs.

When comparing the cost of installing two bioretentions with another popular LID strategy of a green roof, the bioretention system is far more cost efficient. According to the Environmental Protections Agency, green roofs range from $\$ 10-\$ 25 / \mathrm{ft} 2$ to install and $\$ 0.75-\$ 1.50 / \mathrm{ft} 2$ to maintain. These rates were used to calculate a range of cost estimates if a green roof was chosen for the development over a bioretention. A 10-year span was used for maintenance, like the bioretention. See Table 29 for a preliminary green roof installation and maintenance cost estimate.

Table 29. Green roof cost estimate.

| Green Roof Cost Estimate According to EPA |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Sq. Ft Roof | $75945 \mathrm{ft2}$ |
| Extensive Cost/Ft2 | $\$ 10.00$ |
| Extensive |  |
| Maintenance |  |
| Cost/Ft2 | $\$ 0.75$ |
| Intensive Cost/Ft2 | $\$ 25.00$ |
| Intensive <br> Maintenance <br> Cost/Ft2 |  |
| Min Total Cost | $\$ 1.50$ |
| Max Total Cost | $\$ 2,012,542.50$ |

As can be seen in Table 29, the minimum and maximum costs excluding contractor, profit, and city fees and inflation are $\$ 816,408.75$ and $\$ 2,012,542.50$, respectively. The minimum cost for a green roof is about eight times greater than the total cost for two bioretentions including contractor, profit, and city fees and inflation.

## Stormwater Management Model \& Construction

To begin creating the bioretention model, the SCVURPPP C. 3 construction drawings for bioretentions were consulted to identify code minimums. To allow for groundwater infiltration, the code requires a minimum of 18 " of biosoil and 12 " of Class 2 Permeable Rock, with the underdrain running six inches ( 6 ") minimum from the bottom. This combination of layer thicknesses was held as the control section of the model. The next section broke code with 16 " of biosoil and 14 " of gravel. The last section broke code with 20 " of biosoil and 10 " of gravel. The dimensions of 24 " long x 36 " wide x 36 " tall were set for the bioretention model with 12 " width for each section.

Next, geotechnical engineering and strength of materials were used to choose materials to construct with and check the capacity of the rolling cart the bioretention was to be resting on. The horizontal lateral earth pressure of the soil was calculated to be 4.35 psi , and the horizontal lateral earth pressure of the gravel was calculated to be 4.75 psi . One-half ( $1 / 2$ ) inch thick plywood was found to be sufficient for the walls and the base of the bioretention, as the maximum flexural strength of the plywood is 350 psi . Three (3) mm thick acrylic was found to be sufficient for the clear sections of the bioretention, as the maximum flexural strength is $17,000 \mathrm{psi}$. The cart capacity is $3,600 \mathrm{lb}$, which is greater than the demanded $2,607 \mathrm{lbs}$ calculated by adding material weights.

After confirming the materials to be used in the construction of the model, construction drawings were created to streamline the construction process, as well as to finalize details. See Appendix E-3 to E-8 for elevation, section, and plan views of the bioretention.

After securing materials, the team created section cuts into the 2 " x 6 " lumber using a Dado saw and cut the $1 / 2 "$ plywood to match the construction drawings. The two inch ( $2^{\prime \prime}$ ) bulkhead fittings were ordered on Amazon, and the flat-bed cart was ordered from McMasterCarr. See Appendix E-4 for a more detailed list of materials purchased.

Construction began on February 11, 2020 and lasted for eight hours. Construction drawings were used as reference during construction. Biofiltration sod was picked up the same day from Payless Hardware, Rockery, and Nursery. See Figure 25 and 26 below for photos taken at the end of the day on February 11, 2020.


Figure 25. A mid-construction action shot.


Figure 26. A photo taken at the end of construction day one.

On February 13, 2020, the group returned to Alameda Hall, where the Civil Engineering lab is located, and spent another eight hours finishing the bioretention model. While filling up the model with soil, RADS realized they underestimated the amount of biosoil that was required, so the last section does not have the intended 20 " of soil. See Figures 27 to 29 below for photos of the finished bioretention model.


Figure 27. Finished product! Pictured are Rachael and Deirdre.


Figure 28. Post-construction selfie with Brent, the team's lab manager!


Figure 29. Bioretention model getting some sun in all its glory, post-first watering. Ain't she a beaut?
As seen in Figure 29, there were multiple leaks coming from the bottom of the bioretention. The next weeks were used to identify leaks and waterproof the bioretention using waterproof caulk. The last time the bioretention was able to be caulked was March 13, 2020, since the shelter-in-place took effect not long after. RADS believes, however, that the bioretention was effectively waterproofed as a result of the last caulking. See Figure 30 for the most recent photo of the bioretention taken on March 31, 2020 after receiving a couple weeks of sun and rain.


Figure 30. A well-watered and happy bioretention model.
Unfortunately, the next stage using the bioretention model of testing could not proceed due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The Hach lab kit, bentonite clay, sodium phosphate, and potassium nitrate were ordered to and located in the environmental lab in Alameda Hall prior to the shelter-in-place. The model was already built and the chemicals and pertaining test kits had been purchased, so the testing of the bioretention to identify percent reduction of contaminants due to different layer combinations could be continued as a senior design project for next year.

## Construction Management Program

Throughout the design process, the construction team member worked to input and coordinate the structural, stormwater, and wastewater scopes as they would appear in construction. A considerable amount of time was spent becoming familiar with cost data resources found in 2019 RSmeans and 2020 Revit's Family Library, as the characteristics such as weight, length, and area proved integral for creating an accurate construction estimate. The goal of this focus was to ensure that all team members could succinctly visualize how their scopes fit into the building as well as identify and correct clashes before they appeared.

This project employed a Design-Build approach when it comes to the delivery of the completed project. Design-Build is a project delivery method that combines the design stakeholders with construction stakeholders under a single union. While this is a relatively new project delivery method, it has been proven to be particularly effective at decreasing the number of change orders, disputes, and implementation time that occur throughout the entire length of the project. Limiting the number of roadblocks throughout construction accelerates the overall schedule of the project and in this case, helps push the start of construction earlier with the help of purposeful design decisions. This Design-Build project will streamline communication
between the contractor, design team, and the owner and will help reduce mis-communication. Figure 31 illustrates the completed model that represents the scope of this project.


Figure 31. Revit 3D Model.

The construction management program is divided into three sections covering the BIM Model, the cost estimate, and the construction schedule.

## BIM

Due to the limitations of the design team's knowledge as well as time restrictions for this senior design project, the construction cost estimate was broken down into three subcategories, each with different levels of detail. The first category, detailed unit cost, represents line items such as structural steel and walls that can be broken down into numerous labor, material, and finishing costs. A detailed estimate was performed for the items with the most definition of work scope and the highest level of design details. The second category, assemblies, represents common work items that typically have multiple trades associated with each. Work such as typical elevated concrete slabs can be estimated using national and local averages based on the total area covered. The final estimation technique utilizes a building function as well as overall square footage to determine the cost of other amenities. This type of estimate was used for items that are beyond the expertise of the project team, such as Mechanical, Electrical and Plumbing (MEP) systems, exterior glazing, and facades.

The design team heavily utilized the Revit Modeling system, as the framework of this project relies on the ability to synergize concept and form. As a result, the BIM Model was created concurrently with the structural design drawings, and any updates to the structural aspect of the project were always reflected in the model. This practice allowed each team member to visualize the project as a whole and prevent clashes of different scopes before they potentially
occured. This BIM model has been modeled to Level of Detail (LOD) 200 which mirrors a schematic design typically associated with construction (BIM Level of Development).

This Campbell project is a mixed-use apartment complex with two levels of aboveground parking, six stories of residential, and a commercial space located on the ground floor. The construction and structural design teams first input the steel and concrete based on a design submitted by Studio TSquare, as the team determined that the preliminary drawings from Studio TSquare would be the basis of this project layout.

Changes to TSquare's initial placement of concrete and steel were made in order to cut cost as well as ease the complexities of construction. These changes included the sizing of walls, then placement of beams, and the layout of rooms and open areas. The goal of these changes was aimed at optimizing living space and fixing potential clashes with structural column placements within occupied spaces. Within the concrete parking garage, the placement of the concrete columns were laid out in AutoCAD to ensure that the columns would not get in the way of any of the parking stalls or the two-way road for vehicles that were a minimum of 24 feet long and the width of the parking stalls were still at least $8^{\prime}-6$ " wide based on code guidelines. After consultation with the group's structural and construction advisors, the team ultimately decided to modify the layout of the Level 1 parking garage/mixed-use commercial space. The team believes in order to simplify the structural calculations as well as expedite the construction mobilizations, the footprint of the commercial space and parking garage located on Level 2 would be modified to mirror the residential space and parking garage on Level 2. This change proved beneficial in not only reducing the cost of construction but also allowed for the introduction of less complex structural connections between Level 1 and 2. The initial and final design changes for Level 1 and 2 have been illustrated in Figures 32 and 33, respectively.


Figure 32. Initial Level 1 Parking Garage Layout from Studio TSquare.


Figure 33. Final Level 1 Parking Garage Layout from Studio TSquare (Parking garage highlighted in blue).

Floors 3 through 7 make up the majority of the residential units within this project. Floor 4 includes a modified open area clubhouse accessible by all residents.

## Cost Estimate

The second scope of this project covered the project estimate. The design team used quantity takeoff and material takeoff estimation to generate accurate costs. The use of Revit was instrumental in completing quantity takeoffs directly from the 3D model. In accordance with Design-Build practices, materials presented in the model offer a significant amount of value engineering, as throughout this project the design team was able to quickly compare scope items within the program without tedious modeling.

Each item has been categorized according to the MasterFormat construction division developed by CSI (MasterFormat). As mentioned above, three types of cost estimation techniques were used to categorize the cost associated with this project. Items contained within the superstructure including concrete foundations, concrete columns, concrete walls, and steel framing were estimated by a detailed unit cost. These items were detailed with this method, as they not only make up the core of this project and therefore should be appropriately estimated, but as they are structural elements, they are unlikely to be modified in a significant way after the initial structural design. Assembly cost estimation accounted for items within this development that typically make up an entire system. Items such as staircases and building excavation were modeled as assembly costs, as the practices involved with these activities are usually standardized. Items that were either modeled without a great level of detail or out of scope for this project, like the MEP systems in place, were estimated using general square footage measurements. These items were likely to change as the LOD increased as the project moved from schematic to detailed design.

In order to assist with the preparation of the cost, material properties such as volume, weight, length, and area were taken directly from the BIM model. Material schedules were
created in Autodesk Revit for the purpose of organizing this information. An example of a material schedule for the Level 2 structural columns is listed in Figure 34.

| <Structural Column Schedule Level 2> |  |  |  |  | W12x106 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | c | D | E | $\begin{aligned} & W 12 \times 106 \\ & w \\ & w 2 \times 106 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Level/2 } \\ & \hline \text { Level } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{12.0}{12-0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.10 \mathrm{CY} \\ & 10.10 \mathrm{cy} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Type | Bane Level | Length | Volume | Weight | W12x108 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \mathrm{O}$ | 0.10 Cr |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | W12x100 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.10 Cr |  |
| Level 2 |  |  |  |  | W12x108 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \sigma$ | 0.10 Cr |  |
| W12x45 |  |  |  |  | W12x108 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.10 Cr |  |
| W12245 | Level 2 | 12.0 | 10.04 cr |  | W12x108 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \sigma$ | 0.10 Cr |  |
| W12x4 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \mathrm{O}$ | 0.04 cr |  | W12108 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \mathrm{O}$ | 0.10 cr |  |
| W12x4 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.04 cy |  | W12x100 | Level 2 | 12.0 | 0.10 Cr |  |
| W12<45 | Level 2 | 12 -0 | 0.04 Cr |  | W12x108 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \mathrm{O}$ | 0.10 Cr |  |
| W12x45 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.04 Cr |  | W12200 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.10 Cr |  |
| W12x4 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.04 Cr |  | W12x108 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.10 CY |  |
| W12x45 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.04 CY |  | W12x 108: 12 |  | $146-0$ | 1.14 CY |  |
| W12x4 | Level 2 | 12 -0. | 0.04 Cr |  | W18878 |  |  |  |  |
| W12x45 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.04 cr |  | W18878 | Level 2 | $12-0$ | 0.07 CY |  |
| W12x45 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \mathrm{O}$ | 0.04 cr |  | Wiecre | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \sigma$ | 0.07 Cr |  |
| W12x4 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.04 Cr |  | W18c8 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \sigma$ | 0.07 Cr |  |
| W12x45 | Level 2 | 12 - ${ }^{-}$ | 0.04 CY |  | W18ci6 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \sigma$ | 0.07 Cr |  |
| W12x45 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \mathrm{O}$ | 0.04 cr |  | W1880 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \sigma$ | 0.07 Cr |  |
| W12x+5 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \mathrm{O}$ | 0.04 cr |  | W18c76 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \mathrm{O}$ | 0.07 Cr |  |
| W12245 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.04 CY |  | W18878 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \sigma$ | 0.07 Cr |  |
| W12x4 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.04 CY |  | W18c7e | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.07 Cr |  |
| W12045 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.04 Cr |  | W18c7e | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \sigma$ | 0.07 Cr |  |
| W12x45 | Level 2 | 12 -0 | 0.04 Cr |  | W18c7e: 9 |  | $105 \cdot 0$ | 0.01 CY |  |
| W12x4 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.04 Cr |  | W18897 |  |  |  |  |
| W12x45 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.04 cr |  | W18897 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \mathrm{O}$ | 0.09 Cr |  |
| W12045 | Level 2 | 12 -0. | 0.04 CY |  | W18897 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.09 Cr |  |
| W1204 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.04 Cr |  | W18897 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.09 Cr |  |
| W12 2 45: 22 |  | 284.0 | 0.88 CY |  | W18997 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \sigma$ | 0.09 Cr |  |
| W12065 |  |  |  |  | W18897 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.09 Cr |  |
| W1205 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.00 Cr |  | W18897 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \sigma$ | 0.09 Cr |  |
| W12085 | Level 2 | 12 -0 | 0.00 Cr |  | W18897 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \sigma$ | 0.09 Cr |  |
| W12205 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.00 Cr |  | W18897 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.09 Cr |  |
| W120es | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.00 Cr |  | W18597 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \sigma$ | 0.09 Cr |  |
| W12265 | Level 2 | 12 -0. | 0.06 Cr |  | W18897 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.09 Cr |  |
| W122es | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.00 Cr |  | W18897 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.09 Cr |  |
| W12085 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.00 Cr |  | W18897 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 0.09 Cr |  |
| W12365 | Level 2 | 12 -0 | 0.06 cr |  | W18897 | Level 2 | $12 \cdot \mathrm{O}$ | 0.09 CY |  |
| W12065 | Level 2 | 12.0.0.0.0. | 0.00 cr |  | W18397: 13 |  | 158.0 | 1.14 CY |  |
| W12265:9 |  | $108 \cdot 0$ | 0.52 CY |  | Level 2 : 65 |  | 780-0 | 4.29 CY |  |

Figure 34. Level 2 Structural Columns Schedule.
The design team was able to take the item properties listed in the created schedules and locate exact or similar items within Gordian's Building Construction Cost with RSMeans Data (Mewis, Robert W., and R.S. Means Company). Careful effort was invested in locating the exact or similar material within RSMeans. Items in Division 5, which covers Metals, contains numerous items that were estimated with a detailed unit cost. For example, looking at the W12X45 Steel Sections located on Level 2 Column Schedule, the total amount of steel is 264 linear ft . From RSMeans, a W12X45 is not directly listed as a categorized item. It can be assumed, however, that a W12X50, which is listed in Section 05-12-23.75-1560, will have similar costs associated with a W12X45. Within the RSMeans section, crew type, daily output, bare cost, and total cost are included with options to modify each parameter based on location using a city index multiplier (in the case of the project site location, this city index multiplier is 1.219). A list of the RSMeans data for Level 2 Structural Columns has been tabulated in Figure 35.

| Level 2 Columns |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W12x45 | No | $\checkmark$ | 24 | LF | 05-12-23.75-1560 | 2019 | 132 | E-2 | 750 | LF | 78.91 | 89 | 2136 |
| W12X65 | No | $\checkmark$ | 108 | LF | 05-12-23.75-1580 | 2019 | 132 | E-2 | 750 | LF | 90.91 | 102 | 11016 |
| $12 \times 106$ | No | $\checkmark$ | 144 | LF | 05-12-23.75-1740 | 2019 | 132 | E-2 | 640 | LF | 133.51 | 150 | 21600 |
| W18×76 | No | $\checkmark$ | 108 | LF | 05-12-23.75-3940 | 2019 | 132 | E-2 | 900 | LF | 116.96 | 132 | 14256 |
| W18×97 | No | $\checkmark$ | 156 | LF | 05-12-23.75-3960 | 2019 | 132 | E-2 | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 23088 |

Figure 35. Level 2 Structural Columns.
Similar tables have been created for the three different types of estimates. A full cost breakdown listed in Appendix F.

The CSI Masterformat includes over 30 different construction divisions covering facility construction, facility services, site and infrastructure, and process equipment. For the SCU affordable housing development, certain divisions such as Masonry, Wood, Plastics \& Composites, Specialities, Special Construction, and all divisions in the Process Equipment Subgroup will not be populated with cost information. This decision was made due to the limited
level of design provided by the architect Studio TSquare, which this estimate uses as a basis of design. Major Divisions such as Division 23, 9, 5, and 12 contain the majority of the expensive scope items as expected.

The total cost of this project rests at $\$ 96,293,903.60$ with major costs associated with Division 23, 9, 5 and 26. A design fee of $10 \%$ was added to account for initial modeling and LOD of BIM models. The overhead and profit sat at a modest $10 \%$ and $5 \%$, respectively, with any savings split between the owner with $70 \%$ going to the owner and $30 \%$ returning to the design team.

Table 30. Project Cost Estimate.

| Division | Scope | Amount | lity <br> Index | Adjusted Amount |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | General Requirements | $\$ 19,205,605.77$ | 1 | $\$ 19,205,605.77$ |
| 2 | Existing Conditions | $\$ 92,750.00$ | 1.219 | $\$ 113,062.25$ |
| 3 | Concrete | $\$ 3,977,645.71$ | 1.219 | $\$ 5,044,639.62$ |
| 5 | Metals | $\$ 8,102,951.86$ | 1.219 | $\$ 9,877,498.32$ |
| 7 | Thermal \& Moisture Protection | $\$ 16,054.19$ | 1.219 | $\$ 19,570.06$ |
| 8 | Openings | $\$ 5,195,182.63$ | 1.219 | $\$ 6,332,927.62$ |
| 9 | Finishes | $\$ 9,644,781.95$ | 1.219 | $\$ 11,756,989.20$ |
| 11 | Equipment | $\$ 863,209.88$ | 1.219 | $\$ 1,052,252.84$ |
| 12 | Furnishings | $\$ 1,266,000.00$ | 1.219 | $\$ 1,543,254.00$ |
| 14 | Conveying Equipment | $\$ 2,770,463.40$ | 1.219 | $\$ 3,377,194.88$ |
| 21 | Fire Suppression | $\$ 3,862,469.92$ | 1.219 | $\$ 4,708,350.84$ |
| 22 | Plumbing | $\$ 12,560,056.68$ | 1.219 | $\$ 15,310,709.09$ |
| 23 | Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning | 1.219 | $\$ 8,114,810.52$ |  |
| 26 | Electrical | $\$ 5,550,701.08$ | 1.219 | $\$ 6,766,304.61$ |
| 27 | Communications | $\$ 925,988.78$ | 1.219 | $\$ 1,128,780.32$ |
| 31 | Earthwork | $\$ 404,215.55$ | 1.219 | $\$ 492,738.76$ |
| 32 | Exterior Improvements | $\$ 1,095,145.59$ | 1.219 | $\$ 1,334,982.47$ |
| 33 | Utilities | $\$ 93,709.96$ | 1.219 | $\$ 114,232.44$ |
|  | TOTAL Project Value |  | $\$ 96,293,903.60$ |  |

## Schedule

The final section concerning project management involved the project schedule. Microsoft Project's scheduling tools were used to plan the project from the beginning to completion. Microsoft Project task links were used to formulate the logical path of construction. Each task is tied to other construction activities that precede and succeed the tasks. Each task is also broken up by level with Level 2 tasks succeeding Level 1 tasks, as is expected. In general, this schedule followed a clear sequencing; crews would start completing site work activities, followed by foundation work. After the foundation, the superstructure along with an MEP equipment would be installed. Finally the project finishes after the exterior and interior finishes are installed. For the sake of productivity, certain tasks like exterior and interior walls were scheduled in a way that allowed for the construction of both tasks simultaneously, albeit on different levels. This allowed for a more dynamic distribution of labor and resources while also allowing multiple tasks to complete sooner. Examples of this schedule structure have been illustrated in Figure 36.


| $\square$ | $\triangle$ Exterior Walls | 87 days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ | Level 1 | 3 days |
| $\square$ | Level 2 | 3 days |
| $\cdots$ | Level 3 | 5 days |
| $\square$ | Level 4 | 6 days |
| $\square$ | Level 5 | 6 days |
| $\square$ | Level 6 | 6 days |
| $\square$ | Level 7 | 6 days |
| $\square$ | Roof | 2 days |

Figure 36. Exterior Walls Schedule Task and Gantt Chart.
Task durations were calculated based on data found in RSMeans with regards to daily output. While RSMeans specified crew size and equipment, certain durations were optimized to make sure that crew sizes reflected the productivity that is expected of a fully staffed construction crew.

In total, the project spans 426 days. The expected project start is April 5, 2021 and the expected project end is November 29, 2022. The main project categories have been collected with the total of days for each summary task illustrated in Figure 37.

|  | (1) | Task <br> Mode * | Task Name - | Duration | $\checkmark$ | Start - | Finish * | Predecessors | - | Success |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | - | $\triangle 1200$ Campbell | 426 days |  | Mon 4/5/21 | Mon 11/28/: |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | $\square$ | - Preconstruction Activity | 63 days |  | Mon 4/5/21 | Thu 7/1/21 |  |  |  |
| 7 |  | $\square$ | - Site Utlities | 20 days |  | Fri 7/2/21 | Thu 7/29/21 |  |  | 12 |
| 11 |  | $\cdots$ | - Site Work | 306 days |  | Fri 7/30/21 | Thu 10/6/22 |  |  |  |
| 18 |  | - | - Foundation | 45 days |  | Tue 8/31/21 | Wed $11 / 3 / 2$ : |  |  |  |
| 32 |  | $\square$ | 4 Shell | 383 days |  | Fri 6/4/21 | Mon 11/28/2 |  |  |  |
| 33 |  | $\pm$ | 4 Level 1 | 23 days |  | Thu 11/4/21 | Wed $12 / 8 / 2$ : |  |  |  |
| 34 |  | - | - Concrete Garage | 23 days |  | Thu 11/4/21 | Wed 12/8/2: |  |  |  |
| 35 |  | $\square$ | - Concrete Columns | 20 days |  | Thu 11/4/21 | Fri 12/3/21 |  |  |  |
| 41 |  | - | D Concrete Shear Walls | 23 days |  | Thu 11/4/21 | Wed 12/8/2: |  |  |  |
| 47 |  | - | - Open Space Mixed Use | 19 days |  | Mon 11/29/: | Thu 12/23/2: |  |  |  |
| 55 |  | $\square$ | - Level 2 | 45 days |  | Thu 12/9/21 | Wed $2 / 9 / 22$ |  |  |  |
| 56 |  | $\square$ | - Concrete Garage | 45 days |  | Thu 12/9/21 | Wed $2 / 9 / 22$ |  |  |  |
| 57 |  | $\square$ | - Concrete Deck | 19 days |  | Thu 12/9/21 | Tue 1/4/22 |  |  |  |
| 62 |  | $\square$ | - Concrete Columns | 23 days |  | Wed $1 / 5 / 22$ | Fri $2 / 4 / 22$ | 61 |  |  |
| 68 |  | $\square$ | - Concrete Shear Walls | 26 days |  | Wed $1 / 5 / 22$ | Wed $2 / 9 / 22$ | 61 |  |  |
| 74 |  | $\square$ | $\triangle$ Residential | 383 days |  | Fri 6/4/21 | Mon 11/28/: |  |  |  |
| 75 |  | - | - Steel Erect | 77 days |  | Thu 11/4/21 | Tue $2 / 22 / 22$ |  |  |  |
| 91 |  | $\square$ | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Stairs | 50 days |  | Mon 11/15/: | Mon 1/24/2 |  |  |  |
| 97 |  | $\square$ | - MEP Rough in | 90 days |  | Mon 12/6/21 | Fri 4/8/22 |  |  |  |
| 106 |  | $\square$ | - Exterior Walls | 87 days |  | Mon 12/13/: | Tue 4/12/22 |  |  |  |
| 115 |  | $\square$ | Delectrical Branch in | 49 days |  | Mon 12/13/: | Thu $2 / 17 / 22$ |  |  |  |
| 124 |  | - | - Concrete over Metal Deck | 88 days |  | Fri 11/12/21 | Wed $3 / 16 / 2$ |  |  |  |
| 153 |  | $\square$ | - Elevators | 204 days |  | Fri 6/4/21 | Tue $3 / 22 / 22$ |  |  |  |
| 156 |  | $\pm$ | D Interior Partitions - Opening Frames | 58 days |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wed } \\ & 4 / 13 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | Fri 7/1/22 |  |  |  |
| 173 |  | - | D Electrical Branch in | 66 days |  | Wed 5/4/22 | Wed $8 / 3 / 22$ | 165 |  |  |
| 182 |  | $\square$ | - MEP Finishes | 58 days |  | Tue 5/10/22 | Thu $7 / 28 / 22$ |  |  |  |
| 191 |  | $\cdots$ | $\triangle$ Interior Finishes | 83 days |  | Thu $8 / 4 / 22$ | Mon 11/28/: |  |  |  |
| 192 |  | $\square$ | Interior Flooring - Carpeting and Tiling | 32 days |  | Thu 8/4/22 | Fri 9/16/22 |  |  |  |
| 200 |  | $\square$ | ${ }^{\text {D }}$ Interior Paint - First Coat | 35 days |  | Mon 8/8/22 | Fri 9/23/22 |  |  |  |
| 208 |  | $\cdots$ | Façade Finishes | 21 days |  | Mon 8/15/22 | Mon 9/12/22 | 201 |  | 17 |
| 209 |  | $\square$ | MEP Commissioning | 14 days |  | Mon 9/26/22 | Thu 10/13/2: |  |  | 210 |
| 210 |  | $\cdots$ | Punchlist items | 32 days |  | Fri 10/14/22 | Mon 11/28/2 | 209,16 |  |  |

Figure 37. Project Schedule Overview.

## Synchro Pro

Synchro Pro is a powerful virtual construction software that combined the BIM model with the project schedule and offered real time phasing of construction activities and durations. This presented an essential piece of value engineering, as stakeholders in this project will be able to plan ahead for the variety of scenarios before and during construction. For this development, the project schedule and the BIM model were used concurrently to create an interactive schedule based model in Synchro. Elements like structural steel members, interior walls, and windows were selected by level in Synchro and tied to their respective schedule tasks. Synchro then took that information, which included the task duration (presented in days), and constructed an animation of the item being installed or removed relative to the total time of the project.


Figure 38. Synchro Pro Interface.

Each of the over 41000 elements modeled in Revit were assigned to schedule tasks in Synchro Pro. To simplify the assigned 3D elements, items were assigned to resources groups by level to mirror efforts made in the schedule.


Figure 39. Synchro Resource Animation Creation.

Synchro Pro allowed the design team to create a full construction animation time lapse, that illustrated the flow of the project as construction progressed. Having this 4D modeling software was essential for scope collaboration as well as resource planning.

## Conclusion

By conducting this project, RADS Construction was able to work closely with Santa Clara University and understand the importance of providing a housing development that is affordable to their Faculty and Staff, while improving the quality of the site. To effectively improve the quality of the site's stormwater runoff, two bioretentions were proposed; one placed on the west side of the property, and one placed on the north. Both bioretentions were designed in accordance with the SCVURPPP C. 3 Handbook. Additionally, bioretentions are used throughout the rest of Santa Clara University's campus, and uniformity is very important to SCU as can be seen through the Spanish style buildings. For cost, locale, environmental, and aesthetic reasons, RADS Construction believes that this stormwater management proposal is the best LID strategy for this project.

The design drawings and supporting calculations that RADS Construction created can be used to compare to the design of the actual project that is still in the process of being developed. The team based the majority of the designs on the Planned Development Zoning Submittal that was provided by the City of San Jose, which also contained preliminary architectural drawings from Studio TSquare. Even though RADS Construction decided to design the structure out of concrete and steel, and the actual development was going to be designed using concrete and timber, it will be interesting to compare the different designs. All of the designs were established to meet the minimum requirements as presented in the 2019 California Building Code, ASCE/SEI 7-16, Santa Clara Valley Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention Program's (SCVURPPP) C. 3 Stormwater Handbook, and other reference manuals.

The team also developed their own criteria, while adhering to Santa Clara University's design criteria and feedback from stakeholders who are in the proximity of the project site. One aspect of the architectural design that the team decided to incorporate in the design of the building was a commercial space instead of an incubator space. A commercial space will allow not only residents and people affiliated with Santa Clara University to use this aspect of the new development, but also nearby residents and businesses. This will ultimately help to decrease the concerns of nearby stakeholders for adding this size of a development in the neighborhood and will benefit everyone in some way.
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## Appendices

## Appendix A:

## Alternative Analyses Justification \& Matrices

## Material Analysis

For the criteria concerning low cost, the life cycle analysis of each material was considered for the entirety of the project. The initial cost of the material was weighed at 9 out of 10 and it was higher than cost accrued over the lifecycle for this analysis because the initial cost will be used in the bulk of the estimate. Materials with a higher score have the least economic impact on the project. Below are the explanations of the criteria scoring:

1: High cost with price fluctuations based on market demand
2: Moderate cost with some consistent with market demand
3: Moderate cost with high life cycle cost analysis consideration
4: Low cost with life moderate cycle analysis cost consideration
5: Low cost with a net zero life cycle analysis cost consideration

Sustainability is a priority as it fulfills Santa Clara University's mission for sustainability. For RADS Construction, the materials sustainability factor is dependent on the potential negative impacts surrounding the material's use. While the ultimate goal is to have materials have little to no negative impact throughout the production, use, and demolition of the materials, RADS Construction recognizes that this may not be feasible. However, materials that do a considerable job in mitigating their negative environmental impacts receive a higher score for this project. Sustainability was weighed 8 out of 10 due to the goal of reaching LEED Gold. Analyzing how these different materials affect the environment was a very important factor in determining the score of each alternative. Below are the explanations of the criteria scoring:

1: Construction material contributes a negative effect to the environment with no positive benefits
2: Construction material contributes to negative effects felt by the environment with some added consideration for reducing that effect
3: Construction material contributes negatively in production but has benefits throughout its lifecycle
4: Construction material contributes minimally to negative environmental impacts in production and can positively impact the environment over time
5: Construction material has a net positive impact in production and use.
RADS Construction hopes to present a well rounded design of this proposed project that represents the expansive experience of its team. That being said, with the limited time that RADS Construction possesses, existing knowledge about materials and their properties associated with their production, cost, and construction implementation will be favored over materials that RADS Construction needs to perform more research on. While members in RADS Construction have taken steel and concrete analysis and design courses at Santa Clara University, no members have taken any Timber courses. The ability to fully deliver a comprehensive design hinges on the team's ability to communicate within their civil engineering knowledge so consequently, the rating reflects the material expertise of the team.

Below are the explanations of the criteria scoring:
1: Designer has no knowledge with this material at all
2: Designer has minimal knowledge on certain material
3: Designer has adequate knowledge on material production and acquisition

4: Designer has proficient knowledge with material production, acquisition, and design
5: Designer is very proficient knowledge with this material in all aspects
Aesthetics of the development is important. From an initial design charrette, keeping the design similar to other infrastructure that is owned by Santa Clara University, while also blending into the residential community is important to residents and neighbors. The aesthetics of the building will pertain to the exterior and interior to ensure that the building does not stand out with the rest of the infrastructure nearby. The criteria for the aesthetics of the building was weighed a 3 out of 10 compared to the other criteria due to the functionality of the overall building is more important than the aesthetic design and it does not have to be very fancy. The building mainly needs to blend in with the rest of the residential buildings in the proximity. Below are the explanations of the criteria scoring:

1: The design has no similarities to the existing designs and noticeably stands out
2: Some similarities to existing structures
3: Considers the aesthetics of existing structures with few inconsistencies
4: Blends into current landscapes, minor inconsistencies
5: Seamlessly mirrors existing design language, no inconsistencies
Scheduling impacts is important, especially due to the limited access and exit from this project site. Materials like steel generally can be constructed quickly, while materials like concrete are dependent on curing time or utilizing mixes with higher than required strength, albeit at a higher cost. Wood's schedule impacts are dependent on the availability of material as well as the complexity of the finished structure. Advancement in cross laminated timber have greatly accelerated the installation of structural elements and have made wood building construction greater than 85 ft possible (Kilkelly, 2018). The expected building height of the structure will be 95 feet. This criteria was weighed a 5 out of 10 due to the potential negative ramifications that could arise based on the material used in the building. It can add extra time to the proposed schedule, therefore increasing the cost of the entire project. Below are the explanations of the criteria scoring:

1: Adds a degree of instability to the project schedule due to variety of considerations (delivery, constructionability, material shortage)
2: Has the ability to complicates the scopes of other trades
3: Moderate impacts that could change the pace of construction
4: Minor impacts that can be accounted for in a well organized schedule
5: No schedule and has the potential to improve schedule estimates
Seismic resistance is an important criteria to consider when choosing a material to construct a building with. California is unique in that its building code strictly requires consideration for earthquakes as past events have shown that seismic events pose an extreme risk to the safety of the public. This criteria was weighed 6 out of 10 as with this being a residential housing unit, the ability of a proposed material to mitigate risk in an earthquake is important. During the design phase of this project, the minimum design code requirements will be used as a reference to improve the overall structural system of the building in case of natural disaster occurs. While each material has numerous seismic tests, RADS Construction has more knowledge about the performance of steel and reinforced concrete in the event of an earthquake. The weight of the material can add to the seismic force felt by the overall building and of the
three materials proposed, timber is the lightest with concrete and steel being some of the heaviest materials. However, the weight of the material is just as important as the material properties that it exhibits during a seismic event; elasticity and plasticity are important properties to consider in a high magnitude earthquake as having high levels of both will give occupants more time to evacuate the building. While steel and timber both exhibit seismic responses that allow for elastic and plastic deformation during an earthquake, concrete deformation is usually permanent. Below are the explanations of the criteria scoring:

1: No seismic resistance for seismic resistance
2: Low degrees of seismic resistance
3: Moderate degrees of seismic resistance
4: Integral degrees of seismic resistance during a seismic event
5: High degrees of seismic resistance during and after a seismic event

## Stormwater Management Analysis

Groundwater infiltration capacity was chosen because it is important for this project to recharge the groundwater aquifers in the area. Considering the project is located in Santa Clara County where $40 \%$ of the water is sourced locally from groundwater or reservoirs, it is especially important to consider a design that replenishes groundwater supply in the area (Santa Clara Valley Water). A weight of 6 out of 10 was given to this criteria to take into account the benefits that come with groundwater infiltration. Porous pavements and a bioretention system both scored a 5 because they both can be designed to allow for groundwater infiltration through perforated pipes. Green roof and rainwater catchment both scored a 1 because they both retain the collected stormwater to either be released in evapotranspiration or for use onsite. Flow through planters scored a 1 because the structure is enclosed and carries water out through a pipe to the storm drain. Do nothing also scored a 1 because all the water goes directly into the storm drain. Below are the explanations of the criteria scoring:

1: Alternative does not allow for any groundwater infiltration
2: Minimal to no groundwater infiltration
3: Some groundwater infiltration
4: A good amount of groundwater infiltration
5: High levels of groundwater infiltration
The effectiveness of runoff treatment measures the alternative designs' ability to provide a form of water treatment for the urban runoff. In San Jose, stormwater runoff typically goes into the storm water drain system which goes into creeks and then into the San Francisco Bay. Since this water will eventually flow into active bodies of water without treatment along the way, it is important to treat the water as much as possible before it enters the storm drain system to prevent pollution. Therefore, this criteria was rated 10 out of 10 because environmental sustainability and improving the water quality of urban runoff is a priority. The bioretention and flow through planters both received a score of 5 because the stormwater is highly treated as it goes through the biotreatment system that filters and removes pollutants from the water through a physical, biological, and chemical process (Santa Clara Valley Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention Program, 2016). The green roof received a score of 4 because the water receives substantial treatment, but not as much as the bioretention or flow through planters. The porous pavement received a score of 3 because only some runoff treatment occurs. The rainwater catchment and
do nothing received a score of 1 because neither of the systems treat the water. Below are the explanations of the criteria scoring:

1: No water treatment occurs
2: Minimal amounts of runoff treatment occurs
3: Some runoff treatment occurs
4: Substantial amount of runoff treatment occurs
5: High levels of runoff treatment occurs
The aesthetics criteria seeks to address the needs of the faculty and staff of Santa Clara University, as well as the neighboring residents. A development that contains aesthetically pleasing features is important for those who will be inhibiting the building. Additionally, the development should benefit the overall neighborhood to satisfy the rest of the community. It is important to provide a quality product for the residents and surrounding community, however, it is not the most important criteria so it was given a weight of 5 out of 10 . The green roof and the flow through planters scored a 5 because they add unique, highly aesthetically pleasing features to the project site. The bioretention received a score of 4 because it can provide a good amount of nature and native plants to the project site. Porous pavement received a score of 2.5 because depending on the types of pavement selected it has the potential to provide some aesthetic features. The rainwater catchment and do nothing received a score of 2 because they add very little aesthetic benefits to the site. Below are the explanations of the criteria scoring:

1: Provides no aesthetic benefits
2: Provides minimal aesthetics, but not highly special or noticable
3: Provides some aesthetically pleasing features
4: Provides a substantial amounts of aesthetic features
5: Provides highly aesthetically pleasing features
The impact that the stormwater management design has on the structural design of the development is evaluated because it is important to limit the influence the structural design and stormwater management designs have on each other. Situations that will impact the structural design or integrity such as additional loads to the structure or water infiltration into the foundation want to be avoided. Impacts on the structural design can cause both a hassle and an increase in cost of the structural frame. Because keeping the cost of the development low is crucial to the project, the impact of the stormwater management design on the structural design was given a higher weight of 8 out of 10 . Porous pavement, flow through planters, and do nothing both scored a 5 . Porous pavement does not impact the structural design since underdrains can divert the water away from the structure. The flow through planters do not impact the structural design since they do not add any additional loads, and they can be placed right up against a structure as long as there is a waterproof barrier in between the two (Santa Clara Valley Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention Program, 2016). Doing nothing does not have any impact on the structural design since there is nothing additional placed on the site. Bioretention received a score of 4 because there is a mandatory setback of $10^{\prime}$ if no waterproofing is present, and infiltration from the system can potentially impact the foundation. Rainwater catchment scored a 3 because depending on the design of the system, there is potential for the system to impact the structural loads. Green roof scored a 1 because the roof system will add additional loads to the structure and impact the structural design. Below are the explanations of the criteria scoring:

1: Alternative has a major impact on the structural design
2: Alternative has a substantial impact on the structural design
3: Alternative has some impact on the structural design
4: Alternative has minor impact on structural design
5: Alternative has no impact on structural design
The space usage criteria aims to ensure that the stormwater management design is making the best use of space on the project site. The design has to be reasonable to be used in a high density development in an urban area. The development is a large 7 -story building on a lot size of about 3 acres. After including the fire lane access, setbacks, and recreation areas for the residents on the site, the amount of space leftover is limited. The stormwater management design must be able to work with the space criteria, which is why it was ranked higher with a weight of 7 out of 10 . The porous pavement, flow through planters, and do nothing all scored a 5 . The porous pavement can be used to replace impervious areas that will already be on the site, so there is no additional space needed. Likewise, the flow through planters take up minimal space since they can be placed directly up against the structure itself as long as there is a waterproof barrier in between the planter and the structure. Do nothing does not require any space since there is nothing being added. Bioretention scored a 3 because while it provides benefits to the site, without waterproofing it requires a setback that increases the minimum size. Rainwater catchment scored a 2 because for the San Jose area, a large storage tank would be needed, making inefficient use of space. Below are the explanations of the criteria scoring:

1: Alternative completely wastes space
2: Alternative does not use space efficiently and takes up unnecessary amount of space
3: Alternative takes up additional space, but provides benefits
4: Alternative makes positive use of space, but not the most efficient
5: Alternative makes the most efficient use of space and does not require addition space
Storm drain runoff reduction concerns the stormwater management design's ability to reduce the amount of runoff that is sent to the storm drain system. The water sent through the storm drain will eventually end up in the San Francisco Bay. Even if the water is filtered, there can still be complications with sending runoff water into the Bay. By limiting the amount of water sent to the Bay, the potential for environmental impact is reduced. Additionally, lowering the amount of storm drain runoff can save the project money by reducing both the quantity of runoff and the size of the lateral pipe that may need to be installed to connect to the main storm drain system. This criteria was given a weight of 7 out of 10 . Bioretention and rainwater catchment scored a 4 for this criteria. The bioretention intakes the urban runoff and puts it through a biotreatment process, where a significant portion of that runoff will seep into the soil for groundwater recharge, and only some of the runoff will go to the storm drain. The rainwater catchment system catches most of the rainwater onsite and stores it for reuse rather than sending it to the storm drain. Porous pavement scored a 3.5 because the design can allow for some groundwater infiltration, but there is still water sent to the storm drain. The green roof scored a 3 because it retains the stormwater it catches on the roof, but cannot retain all stormwater on the project site. Flow through planters and do nothing both scored a 1. Flow through planters do not allow for infiltration, so all of the treated water gets sent to the storm drain. Do nothing collects the untreated runoff into the storm drain system. Below are the explanations of the criteria scoring:

1: Alternative provides no runoff reduction
2: Alternative provides minimal runoff reduction
3: Alternative provides some runoff reduction
4: Alternative provides significant runoff reduction
5: Alternative does not send any runoff into the storm drain system
The most important criteria for the end user and therefore the design is cost, which is why cost has a weight of 10 out of 10 . Faculty and staff are struggling to find affordable housing in the Bay area, and this design is seeking to provide a solution to this problem. Since Santa Clara University is funding this project, keeping the project cost lower will reduce the return SCU will need from the development. A lower project cost will result in lower rent for the residents, especially considering the goal of providing affordable housing. While the project cost is important, the design must also be sustainable to meet LEED Gold certification, so the most effective yet cheapest stormwater management alternative should be provided. Porous pavement, bioretention, and do nothing scored a 4 for this criteria. Porous pavement only costs a bit more than normal pavement so it is considered to still be affordable. A bioretention area on the project site will add to the project cost, but is still a more affordable option. Flow through planters scored a 3.5 because they are similar to bioretention but they have an additional concrete cost. Rainwater catchment scored a 3 because depending on the size of system needed the storage tank cost can be higher. Green roof scored a 2 because both the cost of the materials and the impact on the structural system make it a more expensive option. Below are the explanations of the criteria scoring:

1: Very expensive
2: Expensive
3: Average
4: Affordable
5: Very affordable
The feasibility of construction should be considered in deciding between alternatives, but it is not the most important factor compared to direct costs and sustainability, which is why the criteria is assigned a 4 out of 10 . However, it is still given a higher rating than a 1 because the feasibility of construction affects the scheduling. Each day added is an extra cost. Because cost is a priority, the harder a design is the construct, the more compensation will need to be paid to laborers. The doing nothing and porous pavement scored a 5 for this criteria. Both received this score because they do not require much extra installation. Flow through planters, bioretention, and rainwater catchment scored a 4 because they all are easy to construct and will not require extra time. Green roof scored a 2 because they can be more difficult to construct especially considering the reliance on the structural system. Below are the explanations of the criteria scoring:

1: Very hard to construct, affects schedule greatly
2: Hard to construct, affects schedule mildly
3: Moderate difficulty to construct, affects schedule mildly
4: Easy to construct, does not affect schedule
5: Very easy to construct, decreases predicted time in schedule

The cost of maintenance is assigned a weight of 8 out of 10 for similar reasoning as the cost weight of 10 out of 10 . If the stormwater management design is expensive to maintain, the costs will fall onto the residents which are the faculty and staff. However, these end users are already strained on economic resources, which is why they need the housing development in the first place. If the bills are high to maintain the stormwater management design, that additional cost could be reflected in the rent and the users will not be satisfied. Doing nothing scored a 5 because after installation it hardly requires any maintenance. The bioretention and flow through planters scored a 4 for this criteria. Both of these systems require minimal maintenance aside for some irrigation in the first few years. Green roof and rainwater catchment both scored a 2 . Green roofs can require extensive maintenance, especially in an area like San Jose where rainwater and irrigation is not present year-round which will be expensive. Rainwater catchment also requires more maintenance to ensure that the system is usable year-round. Porous pavement scored a 1 because it requires very expensive maintenance which includes declogging the system frequently. Below are the explanations of the criteria scoring:

1: Very expensive maintenance cost
2: Expensive maintenance cost
3: Average maintenance cost
4: Low maintenance cost
5: No additional maintenance cost

The feasibility of maintenance has a weight of 3 out of 10 because it does not influence the main priorities of cost and sustainability greatly. Feasibility of maintenance is still included in the alternative analysis because if a design is not easily maintained, the design may not be functional for its supposed design life. Do nothing, bioretention, and flow through planters scored a 5 for this criteria. Doing nothing hardly requires any maintenance at all. Bioretention and flow through planters require little maintenance and it is typically only for the first few years. Green roof and rainwater catchment both scored a 3 because they will need maintenance from time to time. Porous pavement scored a 1 because it can easily be clogged and will need to be unclogged for it to be effective. Below are the explanations of the criteria scoring:

1: Needs monthly maintenance
2: Needs yearly maintenance
3: Needs infrequent maintenance
4: Needs little maintenance
5: Does not need any maintenance
The geographical appropriateness of the stormwater management design is weighted a 6 out of 10 . If a design is not appropriate, the maintenance of its functionality may add costs, which is why geographical appropriateness has a higher weight. Additionally, the chosen design should fit the site layout without intruding on the comfort of the residents. Porous pavement scored a 5 for this criteria because it can replace pavement that will already be in place and fits with the site layout. Bioretention and flow through planters scored a 4 because they incorporate native plants and can be adapted to fit within the site layout. Green roof scored a 2 because the limited rain California gets cannot support the green roof year round without additional irrigation. Rainwater catchment and do nothing both scored a 1. Due to California's limited rain, a rainwater catchment system would need excessively large storage to be able to supply water
year round, if it is even able to do so. Do nothing would violate local city and county codes therefore not being suitable. Below are the explanations of the criteria scoring:

1: Not suitable for the environment nor site layout
2: Mildly suitable for either the climate or site layout
3: Suitable for either the climate or site layout
4: Suitable for the climate and site layout
5: Very suitable for the climate and site layout

Overall Project

|  |  |  | How well do they meet criteria (0-5 Rating) |  |  |  | Score $=$ WT * Rating |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constraints | Criteria | Weights (1-10) | Alt 1: Concrete | Alt 2: Steel | Alt 3: Timber |  | Alt 1: Concrete | Alt 2: Steel | Alt 3: Timber |
| 7 stories | Low Cost | 9 | 3 | 2 | 4 |  | 27 | 18 | 36 |
| Fit in lot size | Sustainability | 7 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 14 | 21 | 28 |
| Minimum 280 units | Aesthetics | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 |  | 4 | 8 | 6 |
| Minimum LEED Gold | Schedule Impacts | 5 | 2 | 4 | 3 |  | 10 | 20 | 15 |
| Meet demands of residents and potential commerical spaces | Expertise | 7 | 3 | 4 | 2 |  | 21 | 28 | 14 |
| Knowledge of material | Seismic Resistance | 6 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  | 12 | 18 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88 | 113 | 111 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | "Best" Alt = | Alt 2: Steel |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rating (1-5) |  |  | core = WT * Ratin |  |  |
|  | Criteria | Weights (1-10) | Alt 1: Concrete | Alt 2: Steel | Alt 3: Timber | Alt 1: Concrete | Alt 2: Steel | Alt 3: Timber |  |
|  | Low Cost | 9 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 27 | 18 | 36 |  |
|  | Sustainability | 7 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 14 | 21 | 28 |  |
|  | Aesthetics | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 6 |  |
|  | Schedule Impacts | 5 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 20 | 15 |  |
|  | Expertise | 7 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 21 | 28 | 14 |  |
|  | Seismic Resistance | 6 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 18 | 12 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 88 | 113 | 111 |  |


| Overall Project |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Constraints | How well do they meet criteria (0-5 Rating) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Score $=$ WT * Rating |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Criteria | Weight (1-10) | Porous Pavement | Green Roof | Bioretention | Flow Through Planters | Rainwater Catchment | Nothing |  | Porous Paveme | Green Roof | Bioretention | Flow Through Planters | Rainwater Catchment | Nothing |
|  | Treat runoff | Groundwater infiltration capacity | 6 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 30 | 6 | 30 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
|  | Fit on the site | Runoff treatment effectiveness | 10 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 |  | 30 | 40 | 50 | 50 | 10 | 3 |
|  | Comply with C. 3 | Aesthetics | 5 | 2.5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 |  | 12.5 | 25 | 20 | 25 | 10 | 5 |
|  |  | Impact on structural design | 8 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 |  | 40 | 8 | 32 | 40 | 24 | 25 |
|  |  | Space usage | 7 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 5 |  | 35 | 28 | 21 | 35 | 14 | 25 |
|  |  | Storm Drain Runoff Reduction | 7 | 3.5 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 |  | 24.5 | 21 | 28 | 7 | 28 | 3.5 |
|  |  | Cost of construction | 10 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3.5 | 3 | 4 |  | 40 | 20 | 40 | 35 | 30 | 16 |
|  |  | Feasibility of construction | 4 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 |  | 20 | 8 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 25 |
|  |  | Cost of maintenance | 8 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 5 |  | 8 | 16 | 32 | 32 | 16 | 5 |
|  |  | Feasibility of maintenance | 3 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 |  | 3 | 9 | 15 | 15 | 9 | $\square 5$ |
|  |  | Geographically appropriate | 6 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  | 30 | 12 | 24 | 24 | 6 | $\square 5$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 273 | 193 | 308 | 285 | 169 | 122.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | "Best" Alt = | Bioretention |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Rating | (1-5) |  |  |  |  | Score $=$ W | T * Rating |  |  |  |
|  |  | Criteria | Weight (1-10) | Porous Pavement | Green Roof | Bioretention | Flow Through Planters | Rainwater Catchment | Nothing | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Porous } \\ \text { Pavement } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Green Roof | Bioretention | Flow Through Planters | Rainwater Catchment | Nothing |  |
|  |  | Groundwater infiltration capacity | 6 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 30 | 6 | 30 | 6 | 6 | 5 |  |
|  |  | Runoff treatment effectiveness | 10 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 50 | 10 | 3 |  |
|  |  | Aesthetics | 5 | 2.5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 12.5 | 25 | 20 | 25 | 10 | 5 |  |
|  |  | Impact on structural design | 8 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 40 | 8 | 32 | 40 | 24 | 25 |  |
|  |  | Space usage | 7 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 35 | 28 | 21 | 35 | 14 | 25 |  |
|  |  | Storm Drain Runoff Reduction | 7 | 3.5 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 24.5 | 21 | 28 | 7 | 28 | 3.5 |  |
|  |  | Cost of construction | 10 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3.5 | 3 | 4 | 40 | 20 | 40 | 35 | 30 | 16 |  |
|  |  | Feasibility of construction | 4 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 20 | 8 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 25 |  |
|  |  | Cost of maintenance | 8 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 32 | 16 | 5 |  |
|  |  | Feasibility of maintenance | 3 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 15 | 15 | 9 | 5 |  |
|  |  | Geographically appropriate | 6 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 30 | 12 | 24 | 24 | , | 5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 273 | 193 | 308 | 285 | 169 | 122.5 |  |
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C SPECIAL INSPECTIONS
CERTANASPECTS OF THE BULDNS THE INSPECTION AND TESTING MUST BE PERFORMED BY A CEETIFEE AGEECY AS DESCRIBED IN THE 2019 CBC, ASCE 7 -16, AND OTH
FOR BULDING STRUCTURAL LLEMENTS SUCH AS:

2. CONCRETE CONSTRUCTION. INCLUDES BUT NOT LIMITED TO RENFORCING STEEL,

 IDENTIFCATION, WELDING
ANY STEEL CONNECTONS
4. GEOTECHNICAL DATA. NO GEOTECHNICAL REPORT WAS PROVIDED FOR THIS SOLLS REPORT PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION TO VERIFY THE ASSUMPTION OF SOASSIFYNG THIS STE OF HAVMG A SOISTEE CIASSD.

D STEEL NOTES

1. THE TOP OF STEEL ELEVATIONS ARE TO BE DETTERMINED BY THE CONTRACTOR
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(E) CONCRETE NOTES
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A DESIGN CRITERIA
DESIGN CRITERA: $\quad{ }_{7-16}^{2019}$ CALIFORNIA BULLDING CODE, TTILE 24, PART 2 (CBC), ASC
 ROOF LIVE LOAD: ISK CATEGORY: wind data: ${ }^{2011}$ PS
ULTIMATE WIND SPEED IN MPH: 99
WIND EXPOSURE CATECORY:C
 STANDARDS
EARTHOUAKE DATA:
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 SMMF) ANALYII PROCEDURE USED: EQUIVALENT LATERAL FORCE MAXIMUM ANTICIPATED STORY DRIFT $=0.015 \times$ HEIGHT
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B GENERAL NOTES

1. BULLING DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE FOR GENERAL REEERENCE ONLY SEE


2. DETALLS NOT FULY OR SPECIIICALLY SHOWN SHALL BE OF SAME NATURE AS
3. VERIF WEIGHTS AND LOCATIONS OF MECHANICAL UNITTS WITH THE MECHANICAL
AND STRUCTUPAL ENGGEER RRIOR TO PLACING THEM.
4. STRUCTURAL OBSERVATION IS REQUIRED FOR REENFORCING IN CONCRETE OVER
METAL DECK AND STEEL RAMMNG. PLEASE CONTACT RADS CONSTRUCTION, LLC PRIOR TO CONDUCTEGG THESE TAKKS.
5. FOR ANY STRUCTURAL INFORMATION THAT IS UNCLEAR, IMMEDATELY NOTIFY THE
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| тop | ${ }_{300}$ | setb | ${ }^{236}$ |
| Other | 3000 | atalib | ssab |
| тop | 1000 | sobe | 6ise |
| orute | 4000 | 3st | 4780 |
| Top | 500 | asid | ssab |



(2) ELEVATOR PIT REBAR SPECIFICATIONS

| No. | Description | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


| TYPICAL DETAILS |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Project number | 20352 |  |
| Date | April 25,2020 | S 10 |
| Drawn by | SAS |  |
| Checked by | RS |  |



(1) STL COL ON TOP OF REINF. CONCRETE COL CONNECTION


| No. | Description | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


| GRAVITY FORCE RESISTING SYSTEM DETAILS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project number | 20352 | $\text { S. } 11.2$ |
| Date | April 25, 2020 |  |
| Drawn by | SAS |  |


(6) 4-WAY SCBF TO WF BM CONNECTION

(7) SCBF TO WF COL AND BM CONNECTION

(8) SCBF TO FOUNDATION CONNECTION

4) SMF TO WF COL AND BM CONNECTION
(1) SCBF - SMF ELEVATION VIEW

(5) SPECIAL REINFORCED CONCRETE SHEAR WALL SECTION


Santa Clara University
SCU's Faculty \& Staff Housing Development 1200 Campbell Avenue San Jose, CA 95126

| No. | Description | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


| LATERAL FORCE RESISTING SYSTEM DETAILS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project number | 20352 | $\text { S. } 12$ |
| Date | April 25, 2020 |  |
| Drawn by | SAS |  |
| Checked by | RS |  |

## Appendix C:

## Structural Calculations Package



# SCU Faculty and Staff Housing Development Structural Calculations 

San Jose, CA

## Structural Design Package

April 16, 2020

Prepared For:
Santa Clara University
500 El Camino Real, Santa Clara, CA 95053

Prepared By:
Spencer A. Saito, Designer
Santa Clara, California

## General Nomenclature

| Symbol | Name | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Cross-sectional area | in2 |
| $\mathrm{A}_{\text {c }}$ | Area of concrete | in2 |
| $A_{\text {e }}$ | Effective net area | in2 |
| $A_{f}$ | Flange area | in2 |
| $\mathrm{A}_{g}$ | Gross cross-sectional area of the shear plate | in2 |
| Agt | Gross area subject to tension | in2 |
| Agv | Gross area subject to shear | in2 |
| Ant | Net area subject to tension | in2 |
| Anv | Net area subject to shear | in2 |
| Aw | Area of web | in2 |
| Awei | Effective weld area | in2 |
| Cb | Lateral-torsional buckling modification factor for nonuniform moment diagrams when both ends of the segment are braced |  |
| Cw | Warping constant | in6 |
| F | Available stress in main member | ksi |
| For | Critical stress | ksi |
| Fexx | Filler metal classification strength | ksi |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{nt}}$ | Nominal Tensile Strength from AISC Specification Table J3.2 | ksi |
| Fnv | Nominal Shear Strength from AISC Specification Table J3.2 | ksi |
| $\mathrm{F}_{u}$ | Specified minimum tensile strength | ksi |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | Specified minimum yield strength | ksi |
| G | Ratio of the total column stiffess framing into a joint to that of the stiffening members framing into the same joint |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | Moment of inertia about the x-axis | in4 |
| 1 y | Moment of inertia about the y -axis | in4 |
| $J$ | Torsional constant | in4 |
| K | Effective length factor |  |
| K dep | Fillet depth | in |
| Lb | Length between points that are either braced against lateral displacement of compression flange or braced against twist of the cross section | in |
| Lc | Effective length of member | in |
| Lox | Effective length of member for buckling about x -axis | in |
| Lcy | Effective length of member for buckling about $y$-axis | in |
| Loz | Effective length of member for buckling about longitudinal axis | in |
| $L_{p}$ | Limiting laterally unbraced length for the limit state of yielding | in |
| Mpx | Plastic bending moment about the x -axis | kip-ft |
| Mr | Required flexural strength | kip-in |
| M ${ }_{\text {x }}$ | Required flexural strength about $x$-axis | kip-in |
| Mry | Required flexural strength about y-axis | kip-in |
| Mu | Required flexural strength using LRDF load combinations | kip-in or kip-ft, as indicated |
| My | Flexural yield moment | kip-in |
| $S_{x}$ | Mimimum elastic section modulus taken about the x-axis | in3 |
| Sy | Mimimum elastic section modulus taken about the y -axis | in3 |
| T | Distance between web toes of fillets at top and at bottom of web | in |
| T | Tension force due to service loads | kip |
| T | Required strength | kip |
| T | Thickness of flat circular washer or mean thickness of square or rectangular beveled washer | in |
| T | Width of element | in |
| U | Shear lag coefficient |  |
| V | Maximum vertical shear for any condition of symmetrical loading | kip |

V Vertical component of the required force kip
V Vertical shear kip

V' Horizontal shear strength at the steel-concrete interface kip
$V_{c} \quad$ Required shear force on the gusset-to-column connection kip
$V_{c} \quad$ Available shear strength kip
$V_{n x} \quad$ Nominal strong-axis shear strength kip
$V_{r}$ Required shear strength kip
Vu Required shear strength using LRFD load combinations kip
$Z_{x} \quad$ Plastic section modulus about the $x$-axis in3
$\mathrm{Z}_{y} \quad$ Plastic section modulus about the $y$-axis in3
beff Effective width in
$\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{f}} \quad$ Width of flange in
$b_{f} \quad$ Connection element width in
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{b}} \quad$ Nominal bolt diameter in
$\mathrm{dn}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad$ Hole diameter in
ho Distance between flange centroids in
k Plate buckling coefficient for beams coped at top flange only
k Distance from outer face of flane to the web toe of fillet in
$r_{\text {ts }}$ Effective radius of gyration in
$r_{x} \quad$ Radius of gyration about x-axis in
$r_{y} \quad$ Radius of gyration about $y$-axis in
tf Thickness of flange in
tw Web thickness in
$\Delta \quad$ Deformation in

Distance from the face of the beam flange to the centroid of the gusset-tocolumn connection for uniform force method
in
$\Phi \quad$ Resistance factor given by the AISC Specification for a particular limit state
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## Structural Narrative:

The following support calculations are for a new seven (7) story mixed-use building, consisting of two floors of above ground parking \& commerical space and five floors of residential units. This project is located at 1200 Campbell Avenue, San Jose California. The first two (2) floors are approximately 91,320 square feet and the upper five (5) floors are approximately 68,880 square feet, with a total of about 606,685 square feet. A 9-inch thick foundation slab was assumed to be adequate enough to counteract the pressure along with the dead load from the structural steel, concrete, and metal decking. The gravity system is concrete diaphragm supported by circular concrete columns and concrete over metal deck supported by steel framing, and the lateral system is composed of steel special concentric brace frames, special reinforced concrete shear walls, and special steel moment frames with moment frame connections.

Due to constraints resulting from the university's transition to no face-to-face meetings and online classes, the project scope was modified to omit the following design items:

- Foundation
- Parking garage ramps
- Connection details
- Non load bearing structural components
- Elevated concrete slab gravity load for the parking garage
- Steel Braced Frames


## Flat Weights (psf)

## PARKING GARAGE

CBC Live Load Category
Garages: Passenger vehicles only
(Table 4.3-1)
Slope
:12
Is there a Balcony?
No

| Material | Sloped? | Weight |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cement Finish | Yes | 30.0 |
| Topping (Concrete) | Yes | 0.0 |
| 5" Lightweight Concrete Floor Fill (Slabs) | Yes | 40.0 |
| Lighting | No | 0.8 |
| Insulation | No | 0.0 |
| M.E.P. |  | 4.0 |
| Ceiling |  | 0.0 |
| Sprinklers |  | 1.5 |
| Concrete Beams |  | 10.0 |
| Concrete Girders |  | 10.0 |
| Columns |  | 10.0 |
| Miscellaneous |  | 1.5 |
| Dead Load |  | 108.0 |
| Dead Load - Horizontal Projection | No | 108.0 |
| Partitions |  | 6.0 |
| Live Load | R2 | 1.00 |
| Live Load - Reduced |  | 60.0 |
| Total Load (psf) | 168.0 |  |


| CBC Live Load Category | Stores: Retail 1st FLR | (Table 4.3-1) |
| ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Slope | $: 12$ |  |
| Is there a Balcony? | No |  |


| Material | Sloped? | Weight |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cement Finish | Yes | 30.0 |
| Topping (Concrete) | Yes | 0.0 |
| 4" Lightweight Concrete Floor Fill (Slabs) | Yes | 32.0 |
| Lighting | No | 0.8 |
| Insulation | No | 0.0 |
| M.E.P. |  | 4.0 |
| Ceiling |  | 0.0 |
| Sprinklers |  | 1.5 |
| Concrete Beams |  | 10.0 |
| Concrete Girders |  | 10.0 |
| Columns |  | 10.0 |
| Miscellaneous (5\% of Total) | No | 4.9 |
| Dead Load |  | 104.0 |
| Dead Load - Horizontal Projection |  | 100.0 |
| Partitions |  | 1.00 |
| Live Load |  | $\mathbf{2 0 4 . 0}$ |
| Live Load - Reduced |  |  |
| Total Load (psf) |  |  |


| CBC Live Load Category | Residential: Other |
| ---: | :--- |
| Slope | $: 12$ |
| Is there a Balcony? 4.3-1) | No |


| Material | Sloped? | Weight |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 3" Concrete Over Metal Deck | No | 24.0 |
| Carpet/Linoleum | No | 2.0 |
| Metal Decking, 18 Gauge | No | 3.0 |
| Solar/Other | Yes | 0.0 |
| Insulation | Yes | 0.0 |
| M.E.P. + Sprinklers |  | 5.5 |
| Ceiling (Drywall) |  | 2.0 |
| Beams (Assumption) |  | 10.0 |
| Girders (Assumption) |  | 10.0 |
| Columns |  | 10.0 |
| Miscellaneous (5\% of Total) |  | 3.3 |
| Dead Load |  | 70.0 |
| Dead Load - Horizontal Projection | No | 70.0 |
| Partitions |  | 40.0 |
| Live Load |  | 40.0 |
| Live Load - Reduced | 1.00 | 40.0 |
| Total Load (psf) |  | 110.0 |

TYPICAL RESIDENTIAL FLOOR - CORRIDOR
CBC Live Load Category Walkways
(Table 4.3-1)
Slope :12
Is there a Balcony? No

| Material | Sloped? | Weight |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 3" Concrete Over Metal Deck | No | 24.0 |
| Carpet/Linoleum | No | 2.0 |
| Metal Decking, 18 Gauge | No | 3.0 |
| Solar/Other | Yes | 0.0 |
| Insulation | Yes | 0.0 |
| M.E.P. + Sprinklers |  | 5.5 |
| Ceiling (Drywall) |  | 2.0 |
| Beams (Assumption) |  | 10.0 |
| Girders (Assumption) |  | 10.0 |
| Columns |  | 10.0 |
| Miscellaneous (5\% of Total) |  | 3.3 |
| Dead Load |  | 70.0 |
| Dead Load - Horizontal Projection | No | 70.0 |
| Partitions |  | 60.0 |
| Live Load | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=$ | 1.00 |
| Live Load - Reduced |  | 60.0 |
| Total Load (psf) | 130.0 |  |

Is there a Balcony? No

| Material | Sloped? | Weight |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Solar/Other | Yes | 3.0 |
| Waterproofing Bituminous, Smooth Surfac | Yes | 1.5 |
| Sheathing/Decking | Yes | 3.0 |
| Metal Deck | Yes | 2.8 |
| Rigid Insulation | Yes | 1.5 |
| M.E.P. |  | 5.0 |
| Ceiling |  | 0.0 |
| Sprinklers |  | 1.5 |
| Beams (20 plf @ 8'-0" oc) |  | 10.0 |
| Girders (30 plf @ 20'-0" oc) |  | 10.0 |
| Columns |  | 10.0 |
| Miscellaneous (5\% of Total) |  | 2.4 |
| Dead Load |  | 51.0 |
| Dead Load - Horizontal Projection | No | 51.0 |
| Partitions |  | 20.0 |
| Live Load | R2 | 1.00 |
| Live Load - Reduced |  | 20.0 |
| Total Load (psf) | 71.0 |  |

## TOTAL GRAVITY LOADS:

Intended Use $\quad$ Area (ft^2) Dead Loads (psf) Dead Loads (kips) Live Load (psf) Live Load (kips)

| Ground Floor | Parking Garage/Open Space | 91318.94 | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2nd Floor | Parking Garage | 66404.75 | 108.0 | 7172 | 60.0 | 3984 |
| 2nd Floor | Residential Units | 24914.19 | 70.0 | 1744 | 40.0 | 997 |
| 3rd Floor | Residential units ( $\sim 65$ units) | 68882.61 | 70.0 | 4822 | 40.0 | 2755 |
| 4th Floor | Residential units | 68882.61 | 70.0 | 4822 | 40.0 | 2755 |
| 5th Floor | Residential units | 68882.61 | 70.0 | 4822 | 40.0 | 2755 |
| 6th Floor | Residential units | 68882.61 | 70.0 | 4822 | 40.0 | 2755 |
| 7th Floor | Residential units |  | 74815.6 | 70.0 | 5237 | 40.0 |
| Roof | - | 73701.02 | 51.0 | 3759 | 40.0 | 2993 |
|  |  | Total: | 606685 | 579 | 37199 | 340 |

## SCU Faculty \& Staff Housing Development

## 1200 Campbell Ave, San Jose, CA 95126, USA

Latitude, Longitude: 37.3488651, -121.93011160000003


## Wind Load Analysis - Main Wind-Force Resisting System

Design Per ASCE 7-16 Code for Enclosed Buildings

Input Data:

| Wind Speed, $\mathrm{V}=$ | 99 | mph (ATC Hazards by Location) |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Bldg. Classification $=$ | III |  |
| Exposure Category $=$ | C |  |
| Ridge Height, $\mathrm{hr}=$ | 95 | ft. |
| Eave Height, $\mathrm{he}=$ | 83 | ft. |
| Building Width $=$ | 309 | ft. |
| Building Length $=$ | 463 | ft. |
| Roof Type $=$ | Monoslope | (Gable or Monoslope) |
| Topographic Factor, Kzt $=$ | 1 |  |
| Directionality Factor, $\mathrm{Kd}=$ | 0.85 |  |
| Enclosed? $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ | Y |  |
| Hurrican Region? | N |  |
| Component Name $=$ | Wall |  |
| Effective Area, Ae $=$ | 900 | ft ² (Area Tributary to C\&C) |

## Parameters and Coefficients:

```
            Roof Angle, q = 2.22 deg.
            Mean Roof Ht., h = 83 ft. (h=(hr+he)/2, for roof angle >10 deg.)
            Wall External Pressure Coefficients, GCp:
            GCp Zone 4 Pos. = 0.60
            GCp Zone 5 Pos. = 0.60
            GCp Zone 4 Neg. = -0.70
            GCp Zone 5 Neg. = -1.00
            Positive & Negative Internal Pressure Coefficients, GCpi:
                    +GCpi Coef. = 0.18 (positive internal pressure)
                    -GCpi Coef. = -0.18 (negative internal pressure)
            If z<= 15 then: Kz = 2.01*(15/zg)^(2/a), If z > 15 then: Kz = 2.01* (z/zg)^(2/a) (Table 30.3-1)
            Wind Shear Exponent, a = 9.5
Terrain Exposure Constant, zg = 900
    Velocity Pressure Coeff., Kz = 1.217 (Kh = Kz)
Velocity Pressure: qz = 0.00256*Kz*KZt*Kd*``^2(Sect. 30.3.2, Eq. 30.3-1)
    qz = 26.0 psf qh = 0.00256*Kh*Kzt*Kd*V^2(qz evaluated at z = h)
Design Net External Wind Pressures (Sect. 30.4 \& 30.6):
For \(\mathrm{h}<=60 \mathrm{ft}\) : \(\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{qh}{ }^{*}((\mathrm{GCp})-(+/-\mathrm{GCpi}))(\mathrm{psf})\)
For \(h>60\) ft.:p \(=q^{*}(G C p)-q^{*}(+/-G C p i)(p s f)\)
where: \(q=q z\) for windward walls, \(q=q h\) for leeward walls and side walls
\(q i=q h\) for all walls (conservatively assumed per Sect. 30.6)
```

| Wind Load Tabulation for Wall Components \& Cladding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Component | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{z} \\ (\mathrm{ft} .) \end{gathered}$ | Kz | $\begin{gathered} \text { qh } \\ (\mathrm{psf}) \end{gathered}$ | $p$ = Net Design Pressures (psf) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Zone 4 (+) | Zone 4 (-) | Zone 5 (+) | Zone 5 (-) |
| Wall | 0 | 0.85 | 18.128 | 15.55 | -4.80 | 15.55 | -4.85 |
|  | 15 | 0.85 | 18.128 | 15.55 | -4.80 | 15.55 | -4.85 |
|  | 20 | 0.902 | 19.234 | 16.21 | -4.80 | 16.21 | -4.85 |
|  | 25 | 0.945 | 20.160 | 16.77 | -4.80 | 16.77 | -4.85 |
|  | 30 | 0.982 | 20.948 | 17.24 | -4.80 | 17.24 | -4.85 |
|  | 35 | 1.015 | 21.639 | 17.66 | -4.80 | 17.66 | -4.85 |
|  | 40 | 1.044 | 22.256 | 18.03 | -4.80 | 18.03 | -4.85 |
|  | 45 | 1.070 | 22.815 | 18.36 | -4.80 | 18.36 | -4.85 |
|  | 50 | 1.094 | 23.327 | 18.67 | -4.80 | 18.67 | -4.85 |
|  | 55 | 1.116 | 23.800 | 18.95 | -4.80 | 18.95 | -4.85 |
|  | 60 | 1.137 | 24.240 | 19.22 | -4.80 | 19.22 | -4.85 |
|  | 65 | 1.156 | 24.652 | 19.46 | -4.80 | 19.46 | -4.85 |
|  | 70 | 1.174 | 25.039 | 19.70 | -4.80 | 19.70 | -4.85 |
|  | 75 | 1.191 | 25.406 | 19.91 | -4.80 | 19.91 | -4.85 |
|  | 80 | 1.208 | 25.753 | 20.12 | -4.80 | 20.12 | -4.85 |
|  | 85 | 1.223 | 26.084 | 20.32 | -4.80 | 20.32 | -4.85 |
|  | 90 | 1.238 | 26.400 | 20.51 | -4.80 | 20.51 | -4.85 |
| For $z=h r$ : <br> For $z=h e:$ | 95 | 1.252 | 26.702 | 20.69 | -4.80 | 20.69 | -4.85 |
|  | 83 | 1.217 | 25.954 | 20.24 | -4.67 | 20.24 | -30.63 |
| For $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{h}$ : | 83 | 1.217 | 25.954 | 20.24 | -4.67 | 20.24 | -30.63 |

Notes: 1. (+) and (-) signs signify wind pressures acting toward \& away from respective surfaces.
2. Width of Zone 5 (end zones), ' $\alpha$ ' =
ft .
3. Per Code Section 30.2.2, the minimum wind load for $\mathrm{C} \& \mathrm{C}$ shall not be less than 16 psf .

## LATERAL DESIGN

## Equivalent Lateral Force - Special Reinforced Concrete Shear Wall

Design Per ASCE 7-16 Code

Building Classification

| Structure Type = | All othe | tructures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soil Site Class = | D | (Assumption) |
| Building Risk Category = | III |  |
| Response Spectral Acc. ( 0.2 sec ) $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ | 1.5 | g |
| Response Spectral Acc. $(1.0 \mathrm{sec}) \mathrm{S}_{1}=$ | 0.6 | g |
| Site Coefficient, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ | 1.0 |  |
| Site Coefficient, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{v}}=$ | 1.5 |  |
| SDS $=$ | 1.0 |  |
| $S_{\text {D } 1}=$ | 0.6 |  |
| Long Period $=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L}}=$ | 12 | sec |
| Importance Factor $=l_{\mathrm{e}}=$ | 1.25 | (ASCE 7 Table 1.5-2) |
| Response Modification Coefficient $=\mathrm{R}=$ | 5 | for Special Reinforced Concrete Shear Wall |
| Deflection Amplification Factor $=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}=$ | 5 | (ASCE 7 Table 12.2-1) |
| Story Heigh Below Level $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{sx}}=$ | 15 | ft |

## Approximate Period

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{t} & =0.03 \\
x & =0.75
\end{aligned}
$$

Height to the Top of the Structure $=h_{n}=77 \mathrm{ft}$
Approximate Period $=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=0.78$ secs
(ASCE 7 Table 12.8-2, "Steel eccentrically braced frames")
(ASCE 7 Table 12.8-2, "Steel eccentrically braced frames")
(ASCE 7 12.8-7)

## Seismic Response Coeffecient



## Base Shear

| Total Weight $=\mathrm{W}=$ | 37199 | kips |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Shear $=\mathrm{V}=$ | 7155 | kips |

Lateral Force at Each Level

| Level | Weight (kips) | Height (ft) | $W_{*} h^{\wedge}{ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{k}$ | Cux | Fx |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roof | 6707 | 77 | 948279 | 0.225 | 1610 |
| 6 | 8230 | 67 | 992973 | 0.236 | 1686 |
| 5 | 7577 | 57 | 760385 | 0.180 | 1291 |
| 4 | 7577 | 47 | 610289 | 0.145 | 1036 |
| 3 | 7577 | 37 | 464626 | 0.110 | 789 |
| 2 | 7577 | 27 | 324430 | 0.077 | 551 |
| 1 | 5159 | 15 | 113028 | 0.027 | 192 |
|  |  |  | 4214011 | 1.0 | 7155 |

## Overturning Moment

$$
\mathrm{OTM}=406189 \mathrm{kft}
$$

## Story Shears

| $V_{1}=$ | 7155 | kip |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $V_{2}$ | $=6963$ | kip |
| $V_{3}$ | $=6413$ | kip |
| $V_{4}$ | $=5624$ | kip |
| $V_{5}$ | $=4587$ | kip |
| $V_{6}$ | $=3296$ | kip |
| $V_{\text {Roof }}$ | $=1610$ | kip |

## Allowable Story Drift

| Allowable Story Drift $=\Delta_{\mathrm{a}}=$ | 0.27 | in |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Story Drift $=\Delta_{\mathrm{s}}=$ | 0.068 | in |

## Shear Modulus

$$
\text { Weight of Material }=W=145 \quad \text { pcf }
$$

$$
\text { Compressive Strength }=\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}=4000 \mathrm{psi}
$$

$$
\text { Poisson's Ratio }=v=0.3
$$

Vodulus of Elasticity of the Material $=E=3.64 \mathrm{E}+06 \mathrm{psi}$ Shear Modulus $=\mathbf{G}=1.40 \mathrm{E}+06 \mathrm{psi}$

## Required Wall Thickness

Total Length of Shear Walls in x-direction 242.9 ft
Total Length of Shear Walls in y-direction 125.6 ft
Lateral Force in $x$-direction $=L_{x}=0.034 \quad$ klf
Lateral Force in y -direction $=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}=0.0176$ klf
Thickness of Walls in x-direction $=0.0054$ in
Thickness of Walls in $y$-direction $=0.00278$ in

Therefore by ACI 318, Use: 8 in

## Special Reinforced Concrete Shear Wall Design

Design Per ASCE 7-16 Code, ACI 318, \& SEAOC Bluebook

## Building Geometry

| Soil Site Class = | D |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk Category = | III |  |
| Response Spectral Acc. (0.2 sec) Ss = | 1.5 |  |
| Response Spectral Acc. (1.0 sec) S1 = | 0.6 |  |
| Redundancy Factor $=\rho=$ | 1.0 | (ASCE 7 12.3.4.2) |
| Seismic Importance Factor $=\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{e}}=$ | 1.0 |  |
| Concrete Strength = f'c = | 4000 | psi |
| Steel Yield Strength $=$ fy $=$ | 60 | ksi |
| Number of Stories $=\mathrm{n}=$ | 7 | stories |
| Load Combinations for Design |  |  |
| $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.0 \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{L}=$ | 8046 | psf Governs |
| $0.9 \mathrm{D}+1.0 \mathrm{E}=$ | 7613 | psf |
| Actions at Base of Wall |  |  |
| Governing Axial Force at Base of Wall = | 3593 | kips |
| Governing Moment at Base of Wall = | 37357 | kip-ft |
| Governing Shear at Base of Wall = | 870 | kips |
| Preliminary Sizing of Wall |  |  |
| Wall Length $=1$ w | 343 | in |
| Wall Thickness $=\mathbf{b}=$ | 14 | in |



## Lab Splice Length (ACI 318 Section 25.5)

| $\Psi_{t}=$ | 1.0 | (Vertical Bars) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Psi_{\mathrm{e}}=$ | 1.0 | (Uncoated Reinforcement) |
| $\Psi_{\text {s }}=$ | 1.0 | (\#7 Bars or Larger) |
| $\lambda=$ | 1.0 | (Normal Weight Concrete) |
| Diameter of Rebar $=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{b}}=$ | 1.0 | in |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{tr}}=$ | 0 | (No transverse reinforcement that "croses the potential plane of splitting") |
| Cover Measured From Center of Bar $=\mathrm{Cb}_{\mathrm{b}}=$ | 2.00 | in (With 1.5" Cover) |
| Length of Splice $=l_{\text {d }}=$ | 35.6 | in |
| Required Length for Class B Lap Splice = | 3.85 | ft |

## Splices in Plastic-Hinge Regions

Equivalent Plastic-Hinge Length $=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=8.7 \mathrm{ft}$

## Shear Strength of Wall (SEAOC Blue Book)

$\alpha_{\mathrm{c}}=1.0$
Shear Demand $=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}=870 \quad$ kips
Shear Amplification Factor $=\omega_{v}=1.53$
Magnified Shear Demand $=\mathrm{Vu}^{*}=2215$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{cv}}=4802 \mathrm{in}$ ^
Required Horizontal Reinforcement $=\rho_{\mathrm{t}}=0.000009$

Required Horizontal Reinforcement $=\rho_{\mathrm{t}}=00857142857 \quad$ OK

$$
\text { Shear Capacity }=\Phi V_{n}=1664 \quad \text { kips }
$$

## Shear Friction (Sliding Shear) Strength of Wall

| Shear-Transfer Reinforcement $=\mathrm{Avf}_{\mathrm{vf}}=$ | 34.8 | $\mathrm{in}^{\wedge} 2$ |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Coefficient of Friction $=\mu=$ | 1.0 |  |  |
| (Construction joint at the 1st story with the surface roughened) |  |  |  |
| Permanent Net Compression $=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ | 5397 | kips No Good | (ACl 318 Eq 22.9..4.2) |

## Requirement for Special Boundary Elements

Design Displacement $=\delta_{u}=1.89 \quad$ in (Assumption Based on ASCE 7 Requirements)
$\delta_{u} / h_{w}=0.0105 \quad$ Does Not Govern
Special Boundary Elements Check "c" = 36.3 in Special Boundary Elements are NOT REQUIRED

## Concrete Diaphragm Design

## Design Per ASCE 7-16 Code \& ACI 318

## Building Classification

| Seismic Design Category | $=$ | D |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Soil Site Class | $=$ | D |$\quad$ (Assumption)

## Diaphragm Design Forces

| Level | Wpx (kip) | Sum Wpx (kip) | Fx (kip) | Sum Fx (kip) | Sum Fx/Sum Wpx | Fpx (kip) | Fpx/Fx |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roof | 6707 | 6707 | 1610 | 1610 | 0.240 | 1610 | 1.00 |
| 6 | 8230 | 14937 | 1686 | 3296 | 0.221 | 1816 | 1.08 |
| 5 | 7577 | 22514 | 1291 | 4587 | 0.204 | 1544 | 1.20 |
| 4 | 7577 | 30091 | 1036 | 5624 | 0.200 | 1515 | 1.46 |
| 3 | 7577 | 37668 | 789 | 6413 | 0.200 | 1515 | 1.92 |
| 2 | 7577 | 45245 | 551 | 6963 | 0.200 | 1515 | 2.75 |
|  | 45245 |  | 6963 |  |  |  |  |


| Height of First Floor $=$ floor1 $=$ | 15 |
| ---: | ---: |
| Height of First 2 Floors $=$ floor2 $=$ | 27 |

N-S Direction

| Wall | Length (in) | Width (in) | Moment of Inertia (ft^3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E-F | 343 | 14 | 27245 |
| E-F.8_14.3 | 582 | 14 | 133098 |
| E-F.8_15 | 582 | 14 | 133098 |
| J - K. 5 | 294 | 14 | 17157 |


| Stifness (k1) for | Stiffness (k2) for | 2nd Floor | 3rd Floor Force |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Floor | First 2 Floors | Force (kips) | (kips) |
| 14.27 | 4.40 | 1326 | 1221 |
| 24.21 | 7.47 | 2250 | 2072 |
| 24.21 | 7.47 | 2250 | 2072 |
| 12.23 | 3.77 | 1137 | 1047 |
| 74.9 | 23.1 | 6963 | 6413 |

## E-W Direction

| Wall | Length (in) | Width (in) | $\frac{\text { Moment of }}{\text { Inertia }\left(\mathrm{ft}^{\wedge} 3\right)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6-7.4 | 398 | 14 | 42565 |
| 8-9.1 | 324 | 14 | 22964 |
| 12.3-14 | 679 | 14 | 211355 |
| 7.1-8_D | 378 | 14 | 36465 |
| 11.1-12.3_D | 378 | 14 | 36465 |
| 7.1-8_K | 378 | 14 | 36465 |
| 11.1-12.3_K | 378 | 14 | 36465 |


| Stiffness (k1) for | Stiffness (k2) for | 2nd Floor | 3rd Floor Force |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Floor | First 2 Floors | Force (kips) | (kips) |
| 16.6 | 5.11 | 951 | 876 |
| 13.5 | 4.16 | 774 | 713 |
| 28.2 | 8.72 | 1623 | 1495 |
| 15.7 | 4.85 | 904 | 832 |
| 15.7 | 4.85 | 904 | 832 |
| 15.7 | 4.85 | 904 | 832 |
| 15.7 | 4.85 | 904 | 832 |
| 121 | 37.4 | 6963 | 6413 |

Slab Shear

| Max. Shear $=$ | 2250 | kip |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Width of Slab $=$ | 331 | ft |
| Shear Demand $=$ Vud $=$ | 6.81 | $\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Strength Reduction Factor $=\Phi=$ | 0.75 | $(\mathrm{ACI} 318$, Section 9.3.2) |
| Thickness of Slab $=$ | 6.25 | in |

Note: Ignore Steel (Concrete Only)

| Shear Capacity $=\Phi V \mathrm{n}=$ | 7.12 | $\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$ | OK | (ACI 318, Section 21.11.9) |
| ---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Chords

| Moment $=\mathrm{Mu}=$ | 33755 | k-ft |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Depth $=\mathrm{D}=$ | 202 | ft |
| Tension Demand $=\mathrm{Tu}=$ | 167 | kip |

Chord Reinforcing

| Strength Reduction Factor $=\Phi=$ | 0.9 |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area of Steel Required $=$ Asreq'd | $=$ | 3.10 |
| Use Rebar | $=$ | $\# 7$ |
| in^2 |  |  |
| \# of Bars | $=$ | 6 | OK

## Collectors

| Max Diaphragm Load Transferred to Wall = | 490 | kip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diaphragm Width = | 331 | ft |
| Load Along Diaphragm = | 1.48 | k/ft |
| Load Collected Before Wall = | 39.2 | kip |
| Rho = | 2.5 |  |
| Rho*Fpx = | 83.4 | kip |
| Tension Collector Reinforcing |  |  |
| Strength Reduction Factor $=\Phi=$ | 0.9 |  |
| Area of Steel Required = Asreq'd = | 1.54 | in^2 |
| Use: | \#5 |  |
|  | 5 | bars |
| Total Area of Steel $=$ As $=$ | 1.6 | in^2 |


| Seismic Force-Resisting System | ASCE 7 Section Where Detailing Requirements Are Specified | Response Modification Coefficient, $\boldsymbol{R}^{a}$ | Overstrength Factor, $\Omega_{0}{ }^{b}$ | Deflection Amplification Factor, $C_{d}{ }^{c}$ | Structural System Limitations Including Structural Height, $\boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ (ft) Limits ${ }^{d}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Seismic Design Category |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | C | $D^{\text {e }}$ | $\mathrm{E}^{e}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{\text {f }}$ |
| A. BEARING WALL SYSTEMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Special reinforced concrete shear walls ${ }^{\text {g,h }}$ | 14.2 | 5 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | 5 | NL | NL | 160 | 160 | 100 |
| 2. Ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls ${ }^{g}$ | 14.2 | 4 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | 4 | NL | NL | NP | NP | NP |
| 3. Detailed plain concrete shear walls ${ }^{g}$ | 14.2 | 2 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | 2 | NL | NP | NP | NP | NP |
| 4. Ordinary plain concrete shear walls ${ }^{g}$ | 14.2 | $11 / 2$ | $2^{1 / 2}$ | $11 / 2$ | NL | NP | NP | NP | NP |
| 5. Intermediate precast shear walls ${ }^{g}$ | 14.2 | 4 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | 4 | NL | NL | $40^{i}$ | $40^{i}$ | $40^{i}$ |
| 6. Ordinary precast shear walls ${ }^{g}$ | 14.2 | 3 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | 3 | NL | NP | NP | NP | NP |
| 7. Special reinforced masonry shear walls | 14.4 | 5 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | $31 / 2$ | NL | NL | 160 | 160 | 100 |
| 8. Intermediate reinforced masonry shear walls | 14.4 | $31 / 2$ | $2^{1 / 2}$ | $2^{1 / 4}$ | NL | NL | NP | NP | NP |
| 9. Ordinary reinforced masonry shear walls | 14.4 | 2 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | $13 / 4$ | NL | 160 | NP | NP | NP |
| 10. Detailed plain masonry shear walls | 14.4 | 2 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | $13 / 4$ | NL | NP | NP | NP | NP |
| 11. Ordinary plain masonry shear walls | 14.4 | $11 / 2$ | $2^{1 / 2}$ | $11 / 4$ | NL | NP | NP | NP | NP |
| 12. Prestressed masonry shear walls | 14.4 | $11 / 2$ | $2^{1 / 2}$ | $13 / 4$ | NL | NP | NP | NP | NP |
| 13. Ordinary reinforced AAC masonry shear walls | 14.4 | 2 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | 2 | NL | 35 | NP | NP | NP |
| 14. Ordinary plain AAC masonry shear walls | 14.4 | $11 / 2$ | $2^{1 / 2}$ | $11 / 2$ | NL | NP | NP | NP | NP |
| 15. Light-frame (wood) walls sheathed with wood structural panels rated for shear resistance | 14.5 | $61 / 2$ | 3 | 4 | NL | NL | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| 16. Light-frame (cold-formed steel) walls sheathed with wood structural panels rated for shear resistance or steel sheets | 14.1 | 61/2 | 3 | 4 | NL | NL | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| 17. Light-frame walls with shear panels of all other materials | 14.1 and 14.5 | 2 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | 2 | NL | NL | 35 | NP | NP |
| 18. Light-frame (cold-formed steel) wall systems using flat strap bracing | 14.1 | 4 | 2 | $31 / 2$ | NL | NL | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| B. BUILDING FRAME SYSTEMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Steel eccentrically braced frames | 14.1 | 8 | 2 | 4 | NL | NL | 160 | 160 | 100 |
| 2. Steel special concentrically braced frames | 14.1 | 6 | 2 | 5 | NL | NL | 160 | 160 | 100 |
| 3. Steel ordinary concentrically braced frames | 14.1 | $31 / 4$ | 2 | $31 / 4$ | NL | NL | $35^{i}$ | $35^{i}$ | $\mathrm{NP}^{j}$ |
| 4. Special reinforced concrete shear walls ${ }^{\text {g,h }}$ | 14.2 | 6 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | 5 | NL | NL | 160 | 160 | 100 |
| 5. Ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls ${ }^{g}$ | 14.2 | 5 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | $41 / 2$ | NL | NL | NP | NP | NP |
| 6. Detailed plain concrete shear walls ${ }^{g}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.2 \text { and } \\ & 14.2 .2 .7 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | 2 | NL | NP | NP | NP | NP |
| 7. Ordinary plain concrete shear walls ${ }^{g}$ | 14.2 | $11 / 2$ | $2^{1 / 2}$ | $11 / 2$ | NL | NP | NP | NP | NP |
| 8. Intermediate precast shear walls ${ }^{g}$ | 14.2 | 5 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | $41 / 2$ | NL | NL | $40^{i}$ | $40^{i}$ | $40^{i}$ |
| 9. Ordinary precast shear walls ${ }^{g}$ | 14.2 | 4 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | 4 | NL | NP | NP | NP | NP |
| 10. Steel and concrete composite eccentrically braced frames | 14.3 | 8 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | 4 | NL | NL | 160 | 160 | 100 |
| 11. Steel and concrete composite special concentrically braced frames | 14.3 | 5 | 2 | $41 / 2$ | NL | NL | 160 | 160 | 100 |
| 12. Steel and concrete composite ordinary braced frames | 14.3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | NL | NL | NP | NP | NP |
| 13. Steel and concrete composite plate shear walls | 14.3 | $61 / 2$ | $2^{1 / 2}$ | 51/2 | NL | NL | 160 | 160 | 100 |
| 14. Steel and concrete composite special shear walls | 14.3 | 6 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | 5 | NL | NL | 160 | 160 | 100 |
| 15. Steel and concrete composite ordinary shear walls | 14.3 | 5 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | $41 / 2$ | NL | NL | NP | NP | NP |
| 16. Special reinforced masonry shear walls | 14.4 | 51/2 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | 4 | NL | NL | 160 | 160 | 100 |
| 17. Intermediate reinforced masonry shear walls | 14.4 | 4 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | 4 | NL | NL | NP | NP | NP |

## Equivalent Lateral Force - Steel Special Moment Frame

Design Per ASCE 7-16 Code

| Structure Type = | All oth | uctures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soil Site Class = | D | (Assumption) |
| Building Risk Category = | III |  |
| Response Spectral Acc. ( 0.2 sec ) $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ | 1.5 | g |
| Response Spectral Acc. (1.0 sec) $\mathrm{S}_{1}=$ | 0.6 | g |
| Site Coefficient, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ | 1.0 |  |
| Site Coefficient, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{v}}=$ | 1.5 |  |
| $\mathrm{SbS}^{\text {a }}$ | 1.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{SD}_{\mathrm{D}}=$ | 0.6 |  |
| Long Period $=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L}}=$ | 12 | sec |
| Importance Factor $=\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{e}}=$ | 1.25 | (ASCE 7 Table 1.5-2) |
| Response Modification Coefficient $=\mathrm{R}=$ | 8 | for Steel Special Moment Frame |
| Deflection Amplification Factor $=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}=$ | 5.5 | (ASCE 7 Table 12.2-1) |

## Approximate Period

| $C_{t}=$ | 0.028 | (ASCE 7 Table 12.8-2, "Steel eccentrically braced frames") |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $x=$ | 0.8 | (ASCE 7 Table 12.8-2, "Steel eccentrically braced frames") |

Height to the Top of the Structure $=h_{n}=77 \mathrm{ft}$
Approximate Period $=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=0.90$ secs $\quad$ (ASCE 7 12.8-7)

Seismic Response Coeffecient

| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {s }}=$ | 0.156 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {s_ } \text { max }}=$ | 0.104 | for $T<T L$ | (ASCE 7 12.8-3) | Governs |
| $\mathrm{Cs}_{\text {s min1 }}=$ | 0.055 |  | (ASCE 7 12.8-5) |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {s_min2 }}=$ | 0.047 |  | (ASCE 7 12.8-6) |  |
| $\mathrm{Cs}_{\text {_governs }}=$ | 0.104 |  |  |  |

Base Shear

| Total Weight $=\mathrm{W}=$ | 28283 | kips |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Shear $=\mathrm{V}=$ | 2932 | kips |

Lateral Force at Each Level

| Level | Weight (kips) | Height (ft) | $W_{x h}{ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{k}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{vx}}$ | Fx |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roof | 6707 | 77 | 1242895 | 0.230 | 675 |
| 6 | 8230 | 67 | 1290248 | 0.239 | 700 |
| 5 | 7577 | 57 | 978131 | 0.181 | 531 |
| 4 | 7577 | 47 | 775677 | 0.144 | 421 |
| 3 | 7577 | 37 | 581806 | 0.108 | 316 |
| 2 | 7577 | 27 | 398357 | 0.074 | 216 |
| 1 | 5159 | 15 | 133794 | 0.025 | 73 |
|  |  |  | 5400908 | 1.0 | 2932 |

$$
\text { where: } \quad k=1.20
$$

## Overturning Moment

$$
\text { OTM }=167550 \quad \text { kft }
$$

## Story Shears

| $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ | 2932 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ | 2859 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ | 2643 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{4}=$ | 2327 |
| $V_{5}=$ | 1906 |
| $V_{6}=$ | 1375 |
| $V_{\text {Roof }}=$ | 675 |

## Steel Special Moment Frame Design

Design Per ASCE 7-16 Code

## Building Classification

| Risk Category | $=$ | III |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Importance Factor $=\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{e}}$ | $=$ | 1.25 | (Table 1.5-2) |
| Structure Type | $=$ | All other structures |  |
| Response Modification Coefficient $=\mathrm{R}=$ | 8 |  | (Table 12.2-1) |
| Deflection Amplification Factor $=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}=$ | 5.5 |  | (Table 12.2-1) |
| Story Heigh Below Level $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{sx}}=$ | 12 | ft |  |
| Modulus of Elasticity $=\mathrm{E}=$ | 29000 | ksi |  |

## Allowable Story Drift

| Allowable Story Drift $=\Delta_{a}=$ | 2.16 | in |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Design Story Drift $=\Delta_{s}=$ | 0.49 | in |

## Column Design (E-W direction) - Along Gridline A

Number of Moment Frames $=15$
Number of Lines $=3$
Number of Frames Per Line $=\quad 5$
Force in y-direction $=$ Fy $=\quad 117 \quad$ kips
Required Moment of Inertia $=\mathrm{Ix}=1537 \quad$ in^4
Use Column = W18X97
Moment of Intertia of Column $=1750$ in^4 OK

## Beam Design (E-W direction) - Along Grideline A

| Span Length $=\mathrm{L}=$ | 29.8 | ft |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Tributary width $=$ | 29.1 | ft |
| Load $=\mathrm{w}=$ | 4.0 | klf |
| Required Moment $=\mathrm{M}=$ | 448 | $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ |
| Use Beam $=$ | $\mathbf{W 1 8 X 6 0}$ |  |
| Moment $=$ | 461 | $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ |

## Column Design (E-W direction) - Along Gridline D \& K

Number of Moment Frames = 15
Number of Lines $=3$
Number of Frames Per Line $=\quad 4$ Force in y-direction $=F y=147 \quad$ kips
Required Moment of Inertia $=\mathrm{Ix}=1922 \quad \mathrm{in}^{\wedge} 4$
Use Column = W18X119
Moment of Intertia $=2190 \quad$ in^4 OK

## Beam Design (E-W direction) - Along Gridline D \& K

| Span Length $=\mathrm{L}=$ | 31.5 | ft |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Tributary width $=$ | 30 | ft |  |
| Load $=\mathrm{w}=$ | 4.9 | klf |  |
| Required Moment $=\mathrm{M}=$ | 606 | $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ |  |
| Use Beam $=$ | $\mathbf{W} 18 \mathbf{X 7 6}$ |  |  |
| Moment $=$ | 611 | $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ | OK |

## Column Design (E-W direction) - Along Gridline M <br> Number of Moment Frames $=15$ <br> Number of Lines $=3$ <br> Number of Frames Per Line $=6$ <br> Force in y-direction $=$ Fy $=\quad 98$ kips <br> Required Moment of Inertia $=\mathrm{Ix}=1281 \quad \mathrm{in}^{\wedge} 4$ <br> Use Column = W18X76 <br> Moment of Intertia $=1330 \quad$ in^4 OK

## Beam Design (E-W direction) - Along Gridline M

| Span Length $=\mathrm{L}=$ | 36.5 | ft |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Tributary width $=$ | 20.1 | ft |
| Load $=\mathrm{w}=$ | 4.9 | klf |
| Required Moment $=\mathrm{M}=$ | 809 | $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ |
| Use Beam $=$ | W21X93 |  |
| Moment $=$ | 829 | $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ |

OK

## Column Design (N-S direction) - Along Gridline 1, 2.2 \& 2.9

Number of Moment Frames in y-direction = 15
Number of Lines = 3
Number of Frames Per Line $=5$
Force in x-direction $=F x=117 \quad$ kips
Required Moment of Inertia $=1 \mathrm{x}=1537 \quad \mathrm{in}^{\wedge} 4$
Use Column = W18X97
Moment of Intertia $=1750 \quad$ in^4 OK

Beam Design (N-S direction) - Along Gridline 1, 2.2 \& 2.9

| Span Length $=\mathrm{L}=$ | 40.8 | ft |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Tributary width $=$ | 26.0 | ft |
| Load $=\mathrm{w}=$ | 4.5 | klf |
| Required Moment $=\mathrm{M}=$ | 936 | $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ |
| Use Beam $=$ | $\mathbf{W 2 1 X 1 0 1}$ |  |
| Moment $=$ | 949 | $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ |

OK

## Column Design (N-S direction) - Along Gridline 3.3, 8.9 \& 14

```
Number of Moment Frames in y-direction = 15
    Number of Lines = 3
    Number of Frames Per Line = 5
    Force in x-direction = Fx = 117 kips
Required Moment of Inertia = Ix = 1537 in^4
    Use Column = W18X97
    Moment of Intertia = 1750 in^4 OK
```


## Beam Design (N-S direction) - Along Gridline 3.3, 8.9 \& 14

| Span Length $=\mathrm{L}=$ | 29.5 | ft |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Tributary width $=$ | 20.4 | ft |  |
| Load $=\mathrm{w}=$ | 5.7 | klf |  |
| Required Moment $=\mathrm{M}=$ | 625 | $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ |  |
| Use Beam $=$ | $\mathbf{W} 21 \times 73$ |  |  |
| Moment $=$ | 645 | $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ | OK |

Column Design (N-S direction) - Along Gridline 14.3 \& 15
Number of Moment Frames in y-direction = 15
Number of Lines $=3$
Number of Frames Per Line $=5$
Force in x-direction $=$ Fx $=117$ kips
Required Moment of Inertia $=1 x=1537$ in^4
Use Column = W18X97
Moment of Intertia $=1750 \quad$ in^4 OK

Beam Design (N-S direction) - Along Gridline 14.3 \& 15

| Span Length $=\mathrm{L}=$ | 24.5 | ft |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Tributary width $=$ | 20.0 | ft |  |
| Load $=\mathrm{w}=$ | 5.9 | klf |  |
| Required Moment $=\mathrm{M}=$ | 440 | $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ |  |
| Use Beam $=$ | W18X60 |  |  |
| Moment $=$ | 461 | $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ | OK |

18. Ordinary reinforced masonry shear walls
19. Detailed plain masonry shear walls
20. Ordinary plain masonry shear walls
21. Prestressed masonry shear walls
22. Light-frame (wood) walls sheathed with wood structural panels rated for shear resistance
23. Light-frame (cold-formed steel) walls sheathed with wood structural panels rated for shear resistance or steel sheets
24. Light-frame walls with shear panels of all other materials
25. Steel buckling-restrained braced frames
26. Steel special plate shear walls

## C. MOMENT-RESISTING FRAME SYSTEMS

1. Steel special moment frames
2. Steel special truss moment frames
3. Steel intermediate moment frames
4. Steel ordinary moment frames
5. Special reinforced concrete moment frames ${ }^{m}$
6. Intermediate reinforced concrete moment frames
7. Ordinary reinforced concrete moment frames
8. Steel and concrete composite special moment frames
9. Steel and concrete composite intermediate moment frames
10. Steel and concrete composite partially restrained moment frames
11. Steel and concrete composite ordinary moment frames
12. Cold-formed steel—special bolted moment frame ${ }^{n}$

## D. DUAL SYSTEMS WITH SPECIAL MOMENT FRAMES CAPABLE

## OF RESISTING AT LEAST 25\% OF PRESCRIBED SEISMIC FORCES

## 1. Steel eccentrically braced frames

2. Steel special concentrically braced frames
3. Special reinforced concrete shear walls ${ }^{g, h}$
4. Ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls ${ }^{g}$
5. Steel and concrete composite eccentrically braced frames
6. Steel and concrete composite special concentrically braced frames
7. Steel and concrete composite plate shear walls
8. Steel and concrete composite special shear walls
9. Steel and concrete composite ordinary shear walls
10. Special reinforced masonry shear walls
11. Intermediate reinforced masonry shear walls
12. Steel buckling-restrained braced frames
13. Steel special plate shear walls
E. DUAL SYSTEMS WITH INTERMEDIATE MOMENT FRAMES

## CAPABLE OF RESISTING AT LEAST 25\% OF PRESCRIBED

## SEISMIC FORCES

1. Steel special concentrically braced frames ${ }^{p}$
2. Special reinforced concrete shear walls ${ }^{g, h}$
3. Ordinary reinforced masonry shear walls
4. Intermediate reinforced masonry shear walls

| 14.4 | 2 | 21/2 | 2 | NL | 160 | NP | NP | NP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14.4 | 2 | $21 / 2$ | 2 | NL | NP | NP | NP | NP |
| 14.4 | $11 / 2$ | $2^{1 / 2}$ | $11 / 4$ | NL | NP | NP | NP | NP |
| 14.4 | $11 / 2$ | $2^{1 / 2}$ | $13 / 4$ | NL | NP | NP | NP | NP |
| 14.5 | 7 | $21 / 2$ | $41 / 2$ | NL | NL | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| 14.1 | 7 | $21 / 2$ | $41 / 2$ | NL | NL | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| 14.1 and 14.5 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | $2^{1 / 2}$ | $2^{1 / 2}$ | NL | NL | 35 | NP | NP |
| 14.1 | 8 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | 5 | NL | NL | 160 | 160 | 100 |
| 14.1 | 7 | 2 | 6 | NL | NL | 160 | 160 | 100 |


| 14.1 and 12.2 .5 .5 | 8 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14.1 | 7 | 3 |
| 12.2.5.7 and 14.1 | $41 / 2$ | 3 |
| 12.2.5.6 and 14.1 | $31 / 2$ | 3 |
| 12.2.5.5 and 14.2 | 8 | 3 |
| 14.2 | 5 | 3 |
| 14.2 | 3 | 3 |
| 12.2 .5 .5 and 14.3 | 8 | 3 |
| 14.3 | 5 | 3 |
| 14.3 | 6 | 3 |
| 14.3 | 3 | 3 |
| 14.1 | $3^{1 / 2}$ |  |


| $51 / 2$ | NL | NL | NL | NL | NL |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $51 / 2$ | NL | NL | 160 | 100 | NP |
| 4 | NL | NL | $35^{k}$ | $\mathrm{NP}^{k}$ | $\mathrm{NP}^{k}$ |
| 3 | NL | NL | $\mathrm{NP}^{l}$ | $\mathrm{NP}^{l}$ | $\mathrm{NP}^{l}$ |
| $51 / 2$ | NL | NL | NL | NL | NL |
| $41 / 2$ | NL | NL | NP | NP | NP |
| $21 / 2$ | NL | NP | NP | NP | NP |
| $51 / 2$ | NL | NL | NL | NL | NL |
| $41 / 2$ | NL | NL | NP | NP | NP |
| $51 / 2$ | 160 | 160 | 100 | NP | NP |
| $2^{1 / 2}$ | NL | NP | NP | NP | NP |
| $31 / 2$ | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |

12.2.5.1

| $21 / 2$ | 4 | $N L$ | NL | NL | NL | NL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $21 / 2$ | $51 / 2$ | NL | NL | NL | NL | NL |
| $21 / 2$ | $51 / 2$ | NL | NL | NL | NL | NL |
| $21 / 2$ | 5 | NL | NL | NP | NP | NP |
| $21 / 2$ | 4 | NL | NL | NL | NL | NL |
| $21 / 2$ | 5 | NL | NL | NL | NL | NL |
| $21 / 2$ | 6 | NL | NL | NL | NL | NL |
| $21 / 2$ | 6 | NL | NL | NL | NL | NL |
| $21 / 2$ | 5 | NL | NL | NP | NP | NP |
| 3 | 5 | NL | NL | NL | NL | NL |
| 3 | $31 / 2$ | NL | NL | NP | NP | NP |
| $21 / 2$ | 5 | NL | NL | NL | NL | NL |
| $21 / 2$ | $61 / 2$ | NL | NL | NL | NL | NL |

## GRAVITY DESIGN

Gravity Column Schedule

| Gravity Columns | Largest Trib. Area (in^2) | Largest Trib. Area (ft^2) | Dead (psf) | Live (psf) | Roof Live (psf) | Dead (kips) | Live (kips) | Roof Live (kips) | Sizes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1-2 | 155543 | 1080 | 471 | 240 | 20 | 509 | 260 | 22 | W12X106 |
| B1-2 | 133296 | 926 | 471 | 240 | 20 | 436 | 223 | 19 | W12X96 |
| C1-2 | 79373 | 551 | 471 | 240 | 20 | 260 | 133 | 12 | W12X65 |
| D1-2 | 169807 | 1179 | 509 | 260 | 20 | 601 | 307 | 24 | Conc. COL |
| A3-4 | 155543 | 1080 | 331 | 160 | 20 | 358 | 173 | 22 | W12X72 |
| B3-4 | 133296 | 926 | 331 | 160 | 20 | 307 | 149 | 19 | W12X65 |
| C3-4 | 79373 | 551 | 331 | 160 | 20 | 183 | 89 | 12 | W12X45 |
| D3-4 | 169807 | 1179 | 331 | 160 | 20 | 391 | 189 | 24 | W12X79 |
| D3-4 (Corridor)) | 169807 | 1179 | 331 | 240 | 20 | 391 | 284 | 24 | W12X96 |
| A5-6 | 155543 | 1080 | 191 | 80 | 20 | 207 | 87 | 22 | W12X50 |
| B5-6 | 133296 | 926 | 191 | 80 | 20 | 177 | 75 | 19 | W12X45 |
| C5-6 | 79373 | 551 | 191 | 80 | 20 | 106 | 45 | 12 | W12X40 |
| D5-6 | 169807 | 1179 | 191 | 80 | 20 | 226 | 95 | 24 | W12X53 |
| D5-6 (Corridor) | 169807 | 1179 | 191 | 120 | 20 | 226 | 142 | 24 | W12X58 |
| A7-Roof | 155543 | 1080 | 121 | 40 | 20 | 131 | 44 | 22 | W12X40 |
| B7-Roof | 133296 | 926 | 121 | 40 | 20 | 113 | 38 | 19 | W12X40 |
| C7-Roof | 79373 | 551 | 121 | 40 | 20 | 67 | 23 | 12 | W10X22 |
| D7-Roof | 169807 | 1179 | 121 | 40 | 20 | 143 | 48 | 24 | W10X33 |
| D7-Roof (Corrrdior) | 169807 | 1179 | 121 | 60 | 20 | 143 | 71 | 24 | W10X39 |
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## Code References

Calculations per AISC 360-10, IBC 2015, CBC 2016, ASCE 7-10
Load Combinations Used : ASCE 7-16

## General Information



## Load Combination Results
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## DESCRIPTION: A3-4 STL COLS



Extreme Reactions

| Item | Extreme Value | Axial Reaction <br> @ Base | X-X Axis <br> @ Base | eaction <br> @ Top | k | Y-Y Axis <br> @ Base | Reaction <br> @ Top | Mx - End <br> @ Base | ents <br> @ Top | $k-f t$ | My - En <br> @ Base | oments <br> @ Top |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Axial @ Base | Maximum | 531.720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | Minimum | 22.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reaction, X-X Axis Base | Maximum | 358.720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | Minimum | 358.720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reaction, Y-Y Axis Base | Maximum | 358.720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ") | Minimum | 358.720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reaction, X-X Axis Top | Maximum | 358.720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Minimum | 358.720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |
| Reaction, Y-Y Axis Top | Maximum | $358.720$ $358.720$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moment, X-X Axis Base | Maximum | 358.720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| " ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Minimum | 358.720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moment, Y-Y Axis Base | Maximum | 358.720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | Minimum | 358.720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moment, X-X Axis Top | Maximum | 358.720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | Minimum | 358.720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moment, Y-Y Axis Top | Maximum | 358.720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | Minimum | 358.720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum Deflections for Load Combinations
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DESCRIPTION: A5-6 STL COLS

## Code References

Calculations per AISC 360-10, IBC 2015, CBC 2016, ASCE 7-10
Load Combinations Used : ASCE 7-16

## General Information



## Load Combination Results

| Load Combination | Maximum Axial + Bending Stress Ratios |  |  | Cbx | Cby | KxLx/Rx | KyLy/Ry | Maximum Shear Ratios |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stress Ratio | Status | Location |  |  |  |  | Stress Ratio | Status | Location |
| +1.40D | 0.582 | PASS | 0.00 ft | 1.00 | 1.00 | 61.22 | 23.17 | 0.000 | PASS | 0.00 ft |
| +1.20D+0.50Lr+1.60L | 0.799 | PASS | 0.00 ft | 1.00 | 1.00 | 61.22 | 23.17 | 0.000 | PASS | 0.00 ft |
| +1.20D+1.60L | 0.777 | PASS | 0.00 ft | 1.00 | 1.00 | 61.22 | 23.17 | 0.000 | PASS | 0.00 ft |
| +1.20D+1.60Lr+L | 0.743 | PASS | 0.00 ft | 1.00 | 1.00 | 61.22 | 23.17 | 0.000 | PASS | 0.00 ft |
| +1.20D+1.60Lr | 0.569 | PASS | 0.00 ft | 1.00 | 1.00 | 61.22 | 23.17 | 0.000 | PASS | 0.00 ft |
| +1.20D+L | 0.673 | PASS | 0.00 ft | 1.00 | 1.00 | 61.22 | 23.17 | 0.000 | PASS | 0.00 ft |
| +1.20D | 0.498 | PASS | 0.00 ft | 1.00 | 1.00 | 61.22 | 23.17 | 0.000 | PASS | 0.00 ft |
| +1.20D+0.50Lr+L | 0.695 | PASS | 0.00 ft | 1.00 | 1.00 | 61.22 | 23.17 | 0.000 | PASS | 0.00 ft |
| +0.90D | 0.374 | PASS | 0.00 ft | 1.00 | 1.00 | 61.22 | 23.17 | 0.000 | PASS | 0.00 ft |
| +1.40D+L | 0.756 | PASS | 0.00 ft | 1.00 | 1.00 | 61.22 | 23.17 | 0.000 | PASS | 0.00 ft |
| +0.70D | 0.291 | PASS | 0.00 ft | 1.00 | 1.00 | 61.22 | 23.17 | 0.000 | PASS | 0.00 ft |

## Maximum Reactions

|  | Axial Reaction | X-X Axis | action | k | Y-Y Axis | Reaction | Mx - End | ments | k-ft | My - En | oments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Load Combination | @ Base | @ Base | @ Top |  | @ Base | @ Top | @ Base | @ Top |  | @ Base | @ Top |
| D Only | 207.500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| +D+L | 294.500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| +D+Lr | 229.500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| +D+0.750Lr+0.750L | 289.250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Code References
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Load Combinations Used : ASCE 7-16
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## DESCRIPTION: B1-2 STL COLS

## Code References

Calculations per AISC 360-10, IBC 2015, CBC 2016, ASCE 7-10
Load Combinations Used : ASCE 7-16

## General Information

| Steel Section Name : | W12x96 | Overall Column Height 15.0 ft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Method: | Load Resistance Factor | Top \& Bottom Fixity Top Pinned, Bottom Fixed |
| Steel Stress Grade |  | Brace condition for deflection (buckling) along columns : |
| Fy : Steel Yield | 50.0 ksi | X-X (width) axis : |
| E : Elastic Bending Modulus | 29,000.0 ksi | Unbraced Length for buckling ABOUT Y-Y Axis $=15.0 \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{K}=0.80$ |
|  |  | Y-Y (depth) axis : <br> Unbraced Length for buckling ABOUT X-X Axis $=15.0 \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{K}=0.80$ |

## Applied Loads

Service loads entered. Load Factors will be applied for calculatior
Column self weight included : 1,440.0 lbs * Dead Load Factor
AXIALLOADS Residential \& Above: Axial Load at $15.0 \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{D}=437.0, \mathrm{LR}=19.0, \mathrm{~L}=223.0 \mathrm{k}$

## DESIGN SUMMARY



Load Combination Results
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## Code References

Calculations per AISC 360-10, IBC 2015, CBC 2016, ASCE 7-10
Load Combinations Used : ASCE 7-16

## General Information

| Steel Section Name : | W12x65 | Overall Column Height 15.0 ft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Method: | Load Resistance Factor | Top \& Bottom Fixity Top Pinned, Bottom Fixed |
| Steel Stress Grade |  | Brace condition for deflection (buckling) along columns : |
| Fy : Steel Yield | 50.0 ksi | X-X (width) axis : |
| E : Elastic Bending Modulus | 29,000.0 ksi | Unbraced Length for buckling ABOUT Y-Y Axis $=15.0 \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{K}=0.80$ |
|  |  | Y-Y (depth) axis : <br> Unbraced Length for buckling ABOUT X-X Axis $=15.0 \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{K}=0.80$ |

## Applied Loads

Service loads entered. Load Factors will be applied for calculatior
Column self weight included : 975.0 lbs * Dead Load Factor
AXIAL LOADS Residential \& Above: Axial Load at $15.0 \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{D}=260.0, \mathrm{LR}=29.0, \mathrm{~L}=133.0 \mathrm{~K}$

## DESIGN SUMMARY
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## DESCRIPTION: D1-2 CONC COLS

## Code References

Calculations per ACI 318-14, IBC 2015, CBC 2016, ASCE 7-10
Load Combinations Used : ASCE 7-16

## General Information

| f'c : Concrete 28 day strength | $=$ | 4.0 ksi |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{E}=$ | $=$ | $3,644.15 \mathrm{ksi}$ |
| Density | $=$ | 145.0 pcf |
| $\beta$ | $=$ | 0.850 |
| fy - Main Rebar | $=$ | 60.0 ksi |
| E - Main Rebar | $=$ | $29,000.0 \mathrm{ksi}$ |
| Allow. Reinforcing Limits |  | ASTM A615 Bars Used |
| Min. Reinf. | $=$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| Max. Reinf. | $=$ | $8.0 \%$ |

Overall Column Height $=\quad 15.0 \mathrm{ft}$
End Fixity Top Fixed, Bottom Fixed
Brace condition for deflection (buckling) along columns :
X-X (width) axis :
Unbraced Length for buckling ABOUT Y-Y Axis $=15.0 \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{K}=1.0$
Y-Y (depth) axis :
Unbraced Length for buckling ABOUT X-X Axis $=15.0 \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{K}=1.0$


Applied Loads
Entered loads are factored per load combinations specified by ust
Column self weight included : 6,832.96 Ibs * Dead Load Factor
AXIAL LOADS...
Parking Garage Flat Weights \& Above: Axial Load at 15.0 ft above base, $\mathrm{D}=601.0, \mathrm{LR}=24.0, \mathrm{~L}=307.0 \mathrm{~K}$
DESIGN SUMMARY

| Load Combination | $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+0.50 \mathrm{Lr}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}$ | Maximum SERVICE Load Reactions .. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location of max.above base | 14.899 ft | Top along Y-Y | 0.0 k | Bottom along Y-Y | 0.0 k |  |  |
| Maximum Stress Ratio | $\mathbf{0 . 8 9 3 : 1}$ | Top along X-X | 0.0 k | Bottom along X-X | 0.0 k |  |  |


| Ratio $=\left(\mathrm{Pu}^{\wedge} 2+\mathrm{Mu} \mathrm{u}^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} .5 /\left(\mathrm{PhiPn}^{\wedge} 2+\mathrm{PhiMn}^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} .5$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pu}=$ | 1,232.60 k | $\varphi$ * $\mathrm{Pn}=$ | 1,379.63k |
| $\mathrm{Mu}-\mathrm{x}=$ | 0.0 k-ft | $\varphi$ * Mn-x $=$ | 0.0 k -ft |
| $\mathrm{Mu}-\mathrm{y}=$ | 0.0 k-ft | $\varphi * \mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{y}=$ | $0.0 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ |
| Mu Angle $=$ | 0.0 deg |  |  |
| Mu at Angle $=$ | 0.0 k-ft | $\varphi \mathrm{Mn}$ at Angle $=$ | 0.0 k-ft |

Pn \& Mn values located at Pu-Mu vector intersection with capacity curve

## Column Capacities ...

Pnmax : Nominal Max. Compressive Axial Capacity $2,164.12$ k
Pnmin : Nominal Min. Tension Axial Capacity
$\varphi$ Pn, max : Usable Compressive Axial Capacity $\quad 1,379.63 \mathrm{k}$
$\varphi$ Pn, min : Usable Tension Axial Capacity

Maximum SERVICE Load Deflections $\ldots$
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Along Y-Y } \\ & \text { for load combination : } \\ & \\ & \text { Along } X \text { in at } \\ & \text { for load combination: }\end{aligned} 0.0 \mathrm{in}$ at above base
General Section Information $\varphi=0.750 \quad \beta=0.850 \quad \theta=0.850$ $\rho: \%$ Reinforcing $\quad 2.445 \%$ Rebar \% Ok Reinforcing Area $\quad 11.060 \mathrm{in}$ ^2 Concrete Area 452.389 in^2

## Governing Load Combination Results
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Governing Load Combination Results

| Governing Factored Load Combination | Moment |  | Dist. from Axial Load |  |  | Bending Analysis $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Utilization |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | X-X | Y-Y | base | ft Pu | $\varphi{ }^{*} \mathrm{Pn}$ | $\delta^{x}$ | $\delta{ }^{\text {x }}$ Mux | $\delta^{\text {y }}$ | Sy * Muy | Alpha (deg) | $\delta \mathrm{Mu}$ | $\varphi \mathrm{Mn}$ | Ratio |
| +1.20D+1.60Lr+L |  |  | 14.90 | 1,074.80 | 1,379.63 |  |  |  |  | 0.000 |  |  | 0.779 |
| +1.20D+1.60Lr |  |  | 14.90 | 767.80 | 1,379.63 |  |  |  |  | 0.000 |  |  | 0.557 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 14.90 | 1,036.40 | 1,379.63 |  |  |  |  | 0.000 |  |  | 0.751 |
| +1.20D |  |  | 14.90 | 729.40 | 1,379.63 |  |  |  |  | 0.000 |  |  | 0.529 |
| +1.20D+0.50Lr+L |  |  | 14.90 | 1,048.40 | 1,379.63 |  |  |  |  | 0.000 |  |  | 0.760 |
| +0.70D |  |  | 14.90 | 425.48 | 1,379.63 |  |  |  |  | 0.000 |  |  | 0.308 |
| +1.40D+L |  |  | 14.90 | 1,157.97 | 1,379.63 |  |  |  |  | 0.000 |  |  | 0.839 |
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DESCRIPTION: D3-4 STL COLS

## Code References

Calculations per AISC 360-10, IBC 2015, CBC 2016, ASCE 7-10
Load Combinations Used : ASCE 7-16

## General Information



## Load Combination Results
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Extreme Reactions

| Item | Extreme Value | Axial Reaction <br> @ Base | X-X Axi <br> @ Base | eaction <br> @ Top | k | Y-Y Axis <br> @ Base | eaction <br> @ Top | Mx - End <br> @ Base | ents <br> @ Top | $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ | My - En <br> @ Base | oments <br> @ Top |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Axial @ Base | Maximum | 580.790 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | Minimum | 24.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reaction, X-X Axis Base | Maximum | 391.790 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | Minimum | 391.790 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reaction, Y-Y Axis Base | Maximum | 391.790 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Minimum | 391.790 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reaction, X-X Axis Top | Maximum Minimum | $\begin{aligned} & 391.790 \\ & 391.790 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reaction, Y-Y Axis Top | Maximum | 391.790 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | Minimum | 391.790 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moment, X-X Axis Base | Maximum | 391.790 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | Minimum | 391.790 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moment, Y-Y Axis Base | Maximum | 391.790 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | Minimum | 391.790 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moment, X-X Axis Top | Maximum | 391.790 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | Minimum | 391.790 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moment, Y-Y Axis Top | Maximum | 391.790 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | Minimum | 391.790 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum Deflections for Load Combinations
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## Code References
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Load Combinations Used : ASCE 7-16
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 Commercial Use Not AllowedGravity Beam Schedule

| Steel Gravity Beams | $\begin{gathered} \text { Largest Trib. } \\ \text { Width (ft) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Dead (ksf) | Live (ksf) | Dead (klf) | Live (kif) | Sizes | Camber (in) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential - 11 ft and below | 15.1 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 1.06 | 0.604 | W8X13 |  |
| Residential - 20 ft to 30 ft spans | 30.2 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 2.11 | 1.00 | W16X100 |  |
| Residential - 30 to 37 ft spans | 30.2 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 2.11 | 1.00 | W18X97 | 3.25 |
| Residential - 40 to 45 ft spans | 18.0 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 1.26 | 0.720 | W18X130 | 3.25 |
| Residential (corridor) - 25.5 ft \& below spans | 20.0 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 1.40 | 1.20 | W14X68 |  |
| Residential (corridor) - 30 to 37 ft spans | 20.0 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 1.40 | 1.20 | W18X119 |  |
| Residential (corridor) - 40 to 48 ft spans | 20.8 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 1.45 | 1.245 | W21X122 | 4.0 |
| Residential (Roof) - 11 ft and below | 15.1 | 0.051 | 0.02 | 0.77 | 0.302 | W6X16 |  |
| Residential (Roof) - 20 ft to 30 ft spans | 30.2 | 0.051 | 0.02 | 1.54 | 1.00 | W16X67 |  |
| Residential (Roof) - 30 to 37 ft spans | 30.2 | 0.051 | 0.02 | 1.54 | 1.00 | W18X106 |  |
| Residential (Roof) - 40 to 45 ft spans | 18.0 | 0.051 | 0.02 | 0.918 | 0.360 | W18X119 |  |


| Concrete Gravity Beams | Largest Trib. Width (ft) | Dead (ksf) | Live (ksf) | Dead (klf) | Live (klf) | Total Height (in) | Width (in) | Layer 1 |  | Layer 2 |  | Layer 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \# of Bars | Bar Size \# | \# of Bars | Bar Size \# | \# of Bars | Bar Size \# |
| Parking Garage - 18'3" and below spans | 24.0 | 0.108 | 0.06 | 2.59 | 1.44 | 16.0 | 18.0 | 7 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Parking Garage - 19 to 29.5 ft spans | 31.0 | 0.108 | 0.06 | 3.35 | 1.86 | 22.0 | 24.0 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 10 |  |  |
| Parking Garage - 30 ' to $45^{\prime} 3$ " spans | 28.3 | 0.108 | 0.06 | 3.06 | 1.698 | 26.0 | 28.0 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 |
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DESCRIPTION: Residential -11 ft and below spans

## CODE REFERENCES

Calculations per AISC 360-10, IBC 2015, CBC 2016, ASCE 7-10
Load Combination Set : ASCE 7-16

## Material Properties

| Analysis Method: Load Resistance Factor Design | Fy: Steel Yield : | 50.0 ksi |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Beam Bracing: | Beam is Fully Braced against lateral-torsional buckling | E: Modulus : |
| Bending Axis: | Major Axis Bending |  |



## Applied Loads

Service loads entered. Load Factors will be applied for calculations.
Beam self weight calculated and added to loading Uniform Load: D $=0.070, \mathrm{~L}=0.040 \mathrm{ksf}$, Tributary Width $=15.10 \mathrm{ft}$, (Typical Residential Floor)

| DESIGN SUMMARY |  |  |  | Design OK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Bending Stress Ratio = | 0.796:1 |  | um Shear Stress Ratio = | 0.225 : 1 |
| Section used for this span | W8x13 |  | Section used for this span | W8x13 |
| Mu: Applied | $34.037 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ |  | Vu: Applied | 12.377 k |
| Mn * Phi : Allowable | $42.750 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ |  | Vn * Phi : Allowable | 55.131 k |
| Load Combination | 20D+1.60L |  | Load Combination | +1.20D +1.60 L |
| Location of maximum on span | 5.500ft |  | Location of maximum on span | 0.000 ft |
| Span \# where maximum occurs | Span\# 1 |  | Span \# where maximum occurs | Span \# 1 |
| Maximum Deflection |  |  |  |  |
| Max Downward Transient Deflection | 0.174 in R |  | $758>=360$. |  |
| Max Upward Transient Deflection | 0.000 in |  | $0<360.0$ |  |
| Max Downward Total Deflection | 0.482 in R |  | $274>=240$. |  |
| Max Upward Total Deflection | 0.000 in |  | $0<240.0$ |  |

Maximum Forces \& Stresses for Load Combinations

| Load Combination |  | Max Stre | R Ratios |  |  | mmary of | ent Valu |  |  |  | Sum | ary of She | Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Length | Span\# | M | V | max Mu + | max Mu - | Mu Max | Mnx | Phi*Mnx | Cb | Rm | VuMax | Vnx | Phi*Vnx |
| +1.40D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 11.00 ft | 1 | 0.530 | 0.149 | 22.66 |  | 22.66 | 47.50 | 42.75 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 8.24 | 55.13 | 55.13 |
| +1.20D+1.60L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 11.00 ft | 1 | 0.796 | 0.225 | 34.04 |  | 34.04 | 47.50 | 42.75 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 12.38 | 55.13 | 55.13 |
| +1.20D+L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 11:00 ft |  | 0.668 | 0.188 | 28.56 |  | 28.56 | 47.50 | 42.75 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 10.38 | 55.13 | 55.13 |
| +1.20D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. $\mathrm{L}=11.00 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.454 | 0.128 | 19.42 |  | 19.42 | 47.50 | 42.75 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 7.06 | 55.13 | 55.13 |
| +0.90D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 11.00 ft | 1 | 0.341 | 0.096 | 14.57 |  | 14.57 | 47.50 | 42.75 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 5.30 | 55.13 | 55.13 |
| +1.40D+L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 11.00 ft | 1 | 0.744 | 0.210 | 31.79 |  | 31.79 | 47.50 | 42.75 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 11.56 | 55.13 | 55.13 |
| +0.70 D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 11.00 ft | 1 | 0.265 | 0.075 | 11.33 |  | 11.33 | 47.50 | 42.75 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 4.12 | 55.13 | 55.13 |
| Overall Maxim | Defle | tions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Load Combination |  | Span | Max. "-" Defl | Locatio | in Span | Load Co | ation |  |  |  | "+" Defl | Location | Span |
| +D+L |  | 1 | 0.4824 |  | 5.531 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0000 |  |  |
| Vertical Reac |  |  |  |  | Suppor | otation : Fa | is \#1 |  |  | Values | KIPS |  |  |
| Load Combination |  | Support 1 | Support 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Overall MAXimum |  | 9.207 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Overall MINimum |  | 3.322 | 3.322 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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DESCRIPTION: Residential - 20 to 30 ft spans

## CODE REFERENCES

Calculations per AISC 360-10, IBC 2015, CBC 2016, ASCE 7-10
Load Combination Set : ASCE 7-16

## Material Properties

| Analysis Method: Load Resistance Factor Design | Fy: Steel Yield : | 50.0 ksi |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Beam Bracing: | Beam bracing is defined as a set spacing over all spans | E: Modulus : |
| Bending Axis: | Major Axis Bending |  |

## Unbraced Lengths

First Brace starts at 10.0 ft from Left-Most support
Regular spacing of lateral supports on length of beam $=10.0 \mathrm{ft}$


## Applied Loads

Service loads entered. Load Factors will be applied for calculations.
Beam self weight calculated and added to loading
Uniform Load: D $=0.070, \mathrm{~L}=0.040 \mathrm{ksf}$, Tributary Width $=30.20 \mathrm{ft}$, (Typical Residential Floor)


## Maximum Forces \& Stresses for Load Combinations

| Load Combination Segment Length | Span \# | Max Stress Ratios |  | Summary of Moment Values |  |  |  |  |  |  | Summary of Shear Values |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | V | max Mu + | max Mu - | Mu Max | Mnx | Phi*Mnx | Cb | Rm | VuMax | Vnx | Phi*Vnx |
| +1.40D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L $=9.94 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.416 | 0.156 | 309.07 |  | 309.07 | 825.00 | 742.50 | 1.46 | 1.00 | 46.49 | 298.35 | 298.35 |
| Dsgn. $L=10.03 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.472 | 0.053 | 348.71 | 309.07 | 348.71 | 820.18 | 738.16 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 15.68 | 298.35 | 298.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.418 | 0.156 | 310.40 |  | 310.40 | 825.00 | 742.50 | 1.45 | 1.00 | 46.49 | 298.35 | 298.35 |
| +1.20D+1.60L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.616 | 0.231 | 457.64 |  | 457.64 | 825.00 | 742.50 | 1.46 | 1.00 | 68.84 | 298.35 | 298.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.699 | 0.078 | 516.33 | 457.64 | 516.33 | 820.18 | 738.16 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 23.21 | 298.35 | 298.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.619 | 0.231 | 459.61 |  | 459.61 | 825.00 | 742.50 | 1.45 | 1.00 | 68.84 | 298.35 | 298.35 |
| +1.20D+L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.519 | 0.194 | 385.37 |  | 385.37 | 825.00 | 742.50 | 1.46 | 1.00 | 57.97 | 298.35 | 298.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.589 | 0.066 | 434.79 | 385.37 | 434.79 | 820.18 | 738.16 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 19.54 | 298.35 | 298.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.521 | 0.194 | 387.03 |  | 387.03 | 825.00 | 742.50 | 1.45 | 1.00 | 57.97 | 298.35 | 298.35 |
| +1.20D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.357 | 0.134 | 264.92 |  | 264.92 | 825.00 | 742.50 | 1.46 | 1.00 | 39.85 | 298.35 | 298.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.405 | 0.045 | 298.89 | 264.92 | 298.89 | 820.18 | 738.16 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 13.44 | 298.35 | 298.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.358 | 0.134 | 266.06 |  | 266.06 | 825.00 | 742.50 | 1.45 | 1.00 | 39.85 | 298.35 | 298.35 |
| +0.90D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L $=9.94 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.268 | 0.100 | 198.69 |  | 198.69 | 825.00 | 742.50 | 1.46 | 1.00 | 29.89 | 298.35 | 298.35 |
| Dsgn. L $=10.03 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.304 | 0.034 | 224.17 | 198.69 | 224.17 | 820.18 | 738.16 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 10.08 | 298.35 | 298.35 |
| Dsgn. $L=10.03 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.269 | 0.100 | 199.54 |  | 199.54 | 825.00 | 742.50 | 1.45 | 1.00 | 29.89 | 298.35 | 298.35 |
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| Load Combination |  | ax Str | atios |  |  | mary of | nt Val |  |  |  | Sum | ry of Sh | Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Length | Span \# | M | V | max Mu + | max Mu - | Mu Max | Mnx | Phi*Mnx | Cb | Rm | VuMax | Vnx | Phi*Vnx |
| +1.40D+L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.578 | 0.217 | 429.52 |  | 429.52 | 825.00 | 742.50 | 1.46 | 1.00 | 64.61 | 298.35 | 298.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.657 | 0.073 | 484.61 | 429.52 | 484.61 | 820.18 | 738.16 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 21.78 | 298.35 | 298.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.581 | 0.217 | 431.37 |  | 431.37 | 825.00 | 742.50 | 1.45 | 1.00 | 64.61 | 298.35 | 298.35 |
| +0.70D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.208 | 0.078 | 154.53 |  | 154.53 | 825.00 | 742.50 | 1.46 | 1.00 | 23.25 | 298.35 | 298.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.236 | 0.026 | 174.35 | 154.53 | 174.35 | 820.18 | 738.16 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 7.84 | 298.35 | 298.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.209 | 0.078 | 155.20 |  | 155.20 | 825.00 | 742.50 | 1.45 | 1.00 | 23.25 | 298.35 | 298.35 |

## Overall Maximum Deflections

| Load Combination | Span | Max. "-" Defl | Location in Span | Load Combination | Max. "+" Defl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $+D+L$ | 1 | 1.4499 | 15.086 | 0.0000 | 0.000 |

Vertical Reactions


Support notation: Far left is \#1
Values in KIPS
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DESCRIPTION: Residential -30 to 37 ft spans

## CODE REFERENCES

Calculations per AISC 360-10, IBC 2015, CBC 2016, ASCE 7-10
Load Combination Set : ASCE 7-16

## Material Properties

| Analysis Method: Load Resistance Factor Design | Fy : Steel Yield : | 50.0 ksi |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Beam Bracing: Beam bracing is defined as a set spacing over all spans | E: Modulus : | $29,000.0 \mathrm{ksi}$ |
| Bending Axis : Major Axis Bending |  |  |
| Unbraced Lengths |  |  |

First Brace starts at 10.0 ft from Left-Most support
Regular spacing of lateral supports on length of beam $=10.0 \mathrm{ft}$


## Applied Loads

Beam self weight calculated and added to loading Uniform Load: $D=0.070, L=0.040 \mathrm{ksf}$, Tributary Width $=30.20 \mathrm{ft}$, (Typical Residential Floor)

| DESIGN SUMMARY |  |  | Design N.G. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Bending Stress Ratio = | 0.992: 1 M | Maximum Shear Stress Ratio = | 0.284 : 1 |
| Section used for this span | W18x97 | Section used for this span | W18x97 |
| - Mu: Applied | 784.779 k -ft | Vu : Applied | 84.841 k |
| Mn * Phi : Allowable | $791.250 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ | Vn * Phi : Allowable | 298.530 k |
| Load Combination | +1.20D+1.60L | Load Combination | +1.20D+1.60L |
| Location of maximum on span | 18.500ft | Location of maximum on span | 0.000 ft |
| Span \# where maximum occurs | Span \# 1 | Span \# where maximum occurs | Span \# 1 |
| Maximum Deflection |  |  |  |
| Max Downward Transient Deflection | 1.007 in Ratio $=$ | $=440>=360$. |  |
| Max Upward Transient Deflection | 0.000 in Ratio $=$ | $=0<360.0$ |  |
| Max Downward Total Deflection | 2.854 in Ratio $=$ | $=156<240.0$ |  |
| Max Upward Total Deflection | 0.000 in Ratio $=$ | $=0<240.0$ |  |

## Maximum Forces \& Stresses for Load Combinations

| Load Combination |  | Max Str | Ratios |  |  | mmary of | ment Valu |  |  |  | Sumn | ary of Sh | Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Length | Span \# | M | V | max Mu + | max Mu- | Mu Max | Mnx | Phi*Mnx | Cb | Rm | VuMax | Vnx | Phi*Vnx |
| +1.40D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L $=9.94 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.526 | 0.192 | 416.22 |  | 416.22 | 879.17 | 791.25 | 1.52 | 1.00 | 57.26 | 298.53 | 298.53 |
| Dsgn. L= 10.04 ft | 1 | 0.669 | 0.089 | 529.70 | 416.22 | 529.70 | 879.17 | 791.25 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 26.51 | 298.53 | 298.53 |
| Dsgn. L $=9.94 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.665 | 0.118 | 526.31 | 327.96 | 526.31 | 879.17 | 791.25 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 35.34 | 298.53 | 298.53 |
| Dsgn. L $=7.08 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.414 | 0.192 | 327.96 |  | 327.96 | 879.17 | 791.25 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 57.26 | 298.53 | 298.53 |
| +1.20D+1.60L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.779 | 0.284 | 616.65 |  | 616.65 | 879.17 | 791.25 | 1.52 | 1.00 | 84.84 | 298.53 | 298.53 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.04 ft | 1 | 0.992 | 0.132 | 784.78 | 616.65 | 784.78 | 879.17 | 791.25 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 39.27 | 298.53 | 298.53 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.985 | 0.175 | 779.76 | 485.88 | 779.76 | 879.17 | 791.25 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 52.36 | 298.53 | 298.53 |
| Dsgn. L = 7.08 ft | 1 | 0.614 | 0.284 | 485.88 |  | 485.88 | 879.17 | 791.25 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 84.84 | 298.53 | 298.53 |
| +1.20D+L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.656 | 0.239 | 519.19 |  | 519.19 | 879.17 | 791.25 | 1.52 | 1.00 | 71.43 | 298.53 | 298.53 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.04 ft | 1 | 0.835 | 0.111 | 660.75 | 519.19 | 660.75 | 879.17 | 791.25 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 33.06 | 298.53 | 298.53 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.830 | 0.148 | 656.52 | 409.09 | 656.52 | 879.17 | 791.25 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 44.08 | 298.53 | 298.53 |
| Dsgn. L = 7.08 ft | 1 | 0.517 | 0.239 | 409.09 |  | 409.09 | 879.17 | 791.25 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 71.43 | 298.53 | 298.53 |
| +1.20D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L $=9.94 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.451 | 0.164 | 356.76 |  | 356.76 | 879.17 | 791.25 | 1.52 | 1.00 | 49.08 | 298.53 | 298.53 |
| Dsgn. L $=10.04 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.574 | 0.076 | 454.03 | 356.76 | 454.03 | 879.17 | 791.25 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 22.72 | 298.53 | 298.53 |
| Dsgn. L $=9.94 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.570 | 0.101 | 451.12 | 281.11 | 451.12 | 879.17 | 791.25 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 30.29 | 298.53 | 298.53 |
| Dsgn. L = 7.08 ft | 1 | 0.355 | 0.164 | 281.11 |  | 281.11 | 879.17 | 791.25 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 49.08 | 298.53 | 298.53 |
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## Pre-Composite Camber Beam Design (Residential - $\mathbf{3 0}$ to $\mathbf{3 7} \mathbf{f t ~ s p a n s ) ~}$

Design Per AISC 360-16

| Material Properties |  |  | Section Properties |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{G}=$ | 11200 | ksi | Designation $=$ | W18X97 |  |
| $E=$ | 29000 | ksi | $\mathrm{Beam}_{\text {weight }}=$ | 97 | plf |
| Fy = | 50 | ksi | Area $=$ | 28.5 | in^2 |
| $\varphi \mathrm{b}=$ | 0.9 |  | Depth $=$ | 18.6 | in |
| $\varphi \vee=$ | 0.9 |  | $\mathrm{bf}=$ | 11.1 | in |
| $\mathrm{Cb}=$ | 1 |  | $\mathrm{tw}=$ | 0.535 | in |
| $\mathrm{C}=$ | 1 |  | tw/2= | 5/16 | in |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{tf}=$ | 0.87 | in |
| Stud Properties |  |  | $\mathrm{k}=$ | 1.27 | in |
| $\mathrm{Fu}=$ | 60 | ksi | $\mathrm{bf} / 2 \mathrm{tf}=$ | 6.41 |  |
|  |  |  | h/tw= | 30 |  |
| Type of Construction |  |  | $1 \mathrm{x}=$ | 1750 | in^4 |
| Type $=$ | IIIA | (Assumption: Ordinary) | Zx = | 211 | in^3 |
| Fire Rating = | 1 | hour | Sx = | 188 | in^3 |
| Type of Concrete = | NWC |  | rx = | 7.82 | in |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{ly}=$ | 201 | in^4 |
| Beam Data |  |  | $Z y=$ | 55.3 | in^3 |
| Trib. Width $=$ | 30.2 | ft | Sy = | 36.1 | in^3 |
| Beam Length = | 37 | ft | ry = | 2.65 | in |
| Unbraced Length = | 10 | ft | $J=$ | 5.86 | in^4 |
| $\mathrm{Fcr}=$ | 207 | ksi | $\mathrm{Cw}=$ | 15800 | in^6 |
|  |  |  | rts $=$ | 3.08 | in |
| Total Dead Load |  |  | ho = | 17.7 | in |
| Typical Residential Floor = |  | 70.0 psf |  |  |  |
| Concrete \& Metal Deck Gage $20=$ |  | 62.5 psf |  |  |  |
| Beam Self-Weight = |  | 3.2 psf |  |  |  |
|  |  | 135.7 psf |  |  |  |

Total Live Load
Typical Residential Floor $=\begin{array}{ll}40.0 & \text { psf } \\ 40.0 & \text { psf }\end{array}$

## Deflection

$$
\Delta \mathrm{D}=\quad 3.41 \quad \text { in }
$$

Round Camber Down to Nearest 1/4"
Use:
3.25 in
(Req'd Pre-Camber)

Return to TABLE OF CONTENTS

### 2.4 3WxH－36 Composite Deck

$61 / 2^{11}$ Total Slab Depth steel Deck Normal Weight Concrete（145 pcf）
Concrete Volume $1.543 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / 100 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$
1 Hour Fire Rating


| Maximum Unshored Span | Gage | Single | Double | Triple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 22 | $8^{\prime}-11^{\prime \prime}$ | $9^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-1^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | 21 | $9^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | 20 | $10^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime}$ | $11^{\prime \prime}-1^{\prime \prime}$ | $11^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime}$ |

3WxH－36 6 1／2＂Slab Depth， 145 pcf NWC

| Gage | Single | Double | Triple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | $11^{\prime}-3^{*}$ | $12^{\prime}-4^{*}$ | $12^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 18 | $11^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ | $13^{\prime}-5^{*}$ | $13^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 16 | $12^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$ | $15^{\prime}-0^{*}$ | $14^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime}$ |


| Gage | Vertical Load Span（ft－in） | 8＇－0＂ | 8＇－6＂ | $9^{\prime}-0^{*}$ | $9^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | 10－6 | － | 11－6 | 12. | 12－6 | 13－0 | 13－6 | －－ | $14^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | ASD \＆LRFD－Available Superimposed Load Capacity，W（psf） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ASD，W／$\Omega$ | 516 | 452 | 398 | 352 | 313 | 280 | 251 | 226 | 203 | 184 | 166 | 151 | 137 | 125 | 113 |
|  | LRFD，$\phi$ W | 691 | 603 | 530 | 468 | 415 | 370 | 330 | 296 | 265 | 239 | 215 | 194 | 175 | 158 | 143 |
|  | L／360 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
|  | LRFD－Available Diaphragm Shear Capacity，$\phi \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$（plf／ft） $36 / 4$ Attachment Pattern |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Arc Spot Weld 1／2＂Effective Dia | 3839 | 3813 | 3790 | 3781 | 3762 | 3745 | 3729 | 3715 | 3702 | 3698 | 3687 | 3677 | 3667 | 3658 | 3649 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq 2.25^{\circ}$ | 3649 | 3635 | 3622 | 3621 | 3610 | 3600 | 3592 | 3583 | 3576 | 3577 | 3571 | 3564 | 3559 | 3553 | 3548 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq 0.125^{\circ}$ | 3634 | 3621 | 3609 | 3609 | 3598 | 3589 | 3581 | 3573 | 3566 | 3568 | 3561 | 3556 | 3550 | 3545 | 3541 |
|  | \＃12 Screw Base Steel $\geq .0385^{*}$ | 3621 | 3608 | 3597 | 3597 | 3587 | 3579 | 3571 | 3564 | 3557 | 3559 | 3553 | 3548 | 3542 | 3538 | 3533 |
|  | Concrete＋Deck＝ | 62.2 |  |  |  | 78.7 |  | ASD |  | $\mathrm{M}_{n} / \Omega=$ | 48.0 | kip－in／ft |  | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}} / \Omega=$ | 4.14 | kip／ft |
|  | $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\text {et }}+\mathrm{L}_{4}\right) / 2=$ | 154.9 |  |  |  | 231.1 |  | LRFD |  | ¢ $\mathrm{M}_{20}=$ | 73.5 | kip－in／ft |  | $\phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ | 6.01 | kip／ft |


| Gage | Vertical Load Span（ft－in） | $8^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | 8＇－6＂ | $9^{\prime 2}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $9^{\prime} \cdot 6^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | 10－6 | 11－0 | 11＇－6＂ | 12－0 | 12＇－6＂ | 13＇－0 | 133－6＂ | 14－ |  | $15^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| －ASD \＆LRFD－Available Superimposed Load Capacity，W（psf） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | ASD，W／$\Omega$ | 569 | 498 | 439 | 390 | 347 | 310 | 279 | 251 | 227 | 205 | 186 | 169 | 154 | 140 | 128 |
|  | LRFD，$\phi$ W | 762 | 666 | 586 | 519 | 461 | 411 | 368 | 330 | 297 | 268 | 242 | 219 | 198 | 0 | 163 |
|  | L／360 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | ． | ． | ． |
|  | LRFD－Available Diaphragm Shear Capacity，$\phi \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$（plf／ft） $36 / 4$ Attachment Pattern |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Arc Spot Weld 1／2＂Effective Dia | 3902 | 3872 | 3846 | 3836 | 3815 | 3795 | 3777 | 3761 | 3746 | 3742 | 3729 | 3717 | 3706 | 5 | 3685 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq .25^{\circ}$ | 3684 | 3667 | 3652 | 3653 | 3640 | 3629 | 3619 | 3609 | 3600 | 3603 | 3595 | 3588 | 3581 | 3575 | 3569 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq 0.125^{\circ}$ \＃12 Screw Base Steel $\geq .0385^{\circ}$ | 3667 | 3651 | 3638 | 3639 | 3627 | 3616 | 3606 | 3597 | 3589 | 3592 | 3585 | 3578 | 3572 | 3566 | 3560 |
|  |  | 3652 | 3638 | 3624 | 3626 | 3615 | 3605 | 3596 | 3587 | 3579 | 3583 | 3576 | 3569 | 3563 | 3558 | 3552 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Concrete }+ \text { Deck }=62.4 \mathrm{psf} \\ &\left(\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{et}}+\mathrm{L}_{1}\right) / 2=159.4 \mathrm{in}^{4} / \mathrm{ft} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 84.9 |  | ASD |  | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n} d} / \Omega=$ | 52.5 | kip－in／ft |  | $\mathrm{V}_{0} / \Omega=$ | 4.80 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{L}_{1}=$ | 233.8 |  | LRFD |  | $\mathrm{b}^{(1)}=$ | 80.3 | kip－in／ft |  | $\phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ | 6.91 | kip／ft |


| Gage | Vertical Load Span（ft－in） | $8^{\prime \prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | 8＇－6＂ | 9＇－0＂ | $9^{\prime \prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | －6 | 11－0＇ | 11＇－6＂ | 12．0 | 12＇－6＂ | $13^{-}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $13^{\prime}-6^{\circ}$ | $14^{1-0}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | ＇－6＂ | $15^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | ASD \＆LRFD－Available Superimposed Load Capacity，W（psf） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ASD，W／$\Omega$ | 618 | 542 | 478 | 424 | 378 | 339 | 305 | 275 | 249 | 225 | 205 | 187 | 170 | 155 | 142 |
|  | LRFD，$\phi$ W | 829 | 726 | 639 | 566 | 504 | 450 | 403 | 362 | 327 | 295 | 267 | 242 | 220 | 200 | 182 |
|  | L／360 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | － | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | － | $\checkmark$ | － | － | － | － | － |
|  | LRFD－Available Diaphragm Shear Capacity，$\phi \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$（plf／ft） $36 / 4$ Attachment Pattern |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Arc Spot Weld 1／2＂Effective Dia | 3949 | 3916 | 3887 | 3878 | 3854 | 3832 | 3812 | 3794 | 3778 | 3775 | 3760 | 3747 | 3735 | 3723 | 3712 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq .25^{\circ}$ | 3710 | 3691 | 3675 | 3677 | 3663 | 3650 | 3639 | 3628 | 3619 | 3622 | 3614 | 3606 | 3598 | 3591 | 3585 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq 0.125^{\circ}$ | 3692 | 3674 | 3659 | 3662 | 3648 | 3636 | 3626 | 3616 | 3607 | 3611 | 3602 | 3595 | 3588 | 3581 | 3575 |
|  | \＃12 Screw Base Steel $\geq$ ． $03885^{*}$ | 3676 | 3660 | 3645 | 3649 | 3636 | 3625 | 3614 | 3605 | 3596 | 3601 | 3593 | 3586 | 3579 | 3573 | 3567 |
|  | Concrete + Deck $=$ | 62.5 |  |  | $\mathrm{I}_{6}=$ | 90.6 |  | ASD |  | $\mathrm{M}_{n} / \Omega=$ | 56.7 | kip－in／ft |  | $\mathrm{V}_{2} / \Omega=$ | 5.38 | kip／ft |
|  | $\left(l_{e}+l_{4}\right) / 2=$ | 163.5 |  |  |  | 236.4 |  | LRFD |  | ¢ $\mathrm{M}_{10}=$ | 86.8 | kip－in／ft |  | $\phi V_{n}=$ | 7.71 | kip／ft |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿷⿹\zh26灬力 } \\ & \text { \% } \\ & \frac{1}{\ll} \end{aligned}$ | LRFD－Available Diaphragm Shear Capacity，$\phi \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$（plf／／ft）for all vertical load spans，WWF Size or Area of Steel per foot width |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3／4＊Welded Shear Studs | $A_{s}=0.028 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{lt}$ | $A_{5}=0.058 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{lt}$ | $A_{s}=0.080 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{lt}$ | $A_{3}=0.120 \mathrm{in}^{-} / \mathrm{Ht}$ | $A_{s}=0.180 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{tt}$ |
|  | 12 in o．c． | n／a | 6030 | 7020 | 8820 | 11520 |
|  | 24 in o．c． | n／a | 6030 | 7020 | 7750 | 7750 |
|  | 36 in o．c． | n／a | 5170 | 5170 | 5170 | 5170 |
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Steel Beam

DESCRIPTION: Residential - 40 to 45 ft spans

## CODE REFERENCES

Calculations per AISC 360-10, IBC 2015, CBC 2016, ASCE 7-10
Load Combination Set : ASCE 7-16

## Material Properties

| Analysis Method: Load Resistance Factor Design | Fy: Steel Yield : | 50.0 ksi |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Beam Bracing: $\quad$ Beam bracing is defined as a set spacing over all spans | E: Modulus : | $29,000.0 \mathrm{ksi}$ |
| Bending Axis: $\quad$ Major Axis Bending |  |  |
| Unbraced Lengths |  |  |

First Brace starts at 10.0 ft from Left-Most support
Regular spacing of lateral supports on length of beam $=10.0 \mathrm{ft}$


## Applied Loads

Beam self weight calculated and added to loading Uniform Load: $D=0.070, L=0.040 \mathrm{ksf}$, Tributary Width $=18.0 \mathrm{ft}$, (Typical Residential Floor)

| DESIGN SUMMARY |  |  | Design N.G. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Bending Stress Ratio = | 0.662:1 Maxir | Maximum Shear Stress Ratio = | 0.164 : 1 |
| Section used for this span | W18x130 | Section used for this span | W18x130 |
| Mu: Applied | 720.172 k -ft | Vu: Applied | 63.732 k |
| Mn * Phi : Allowable | 1,087.500 k-ft | Vn * Phi : Allowable | 387.930 k |
| Load Combination | +1.20D +1.60 L | Load Combination | +1.20D+1.60L |
| Location of maximum on span | 22.600 ft | Location of maximum on span | 0.000 ft |
| Span \# where maximum occurs | Span \# 1 | Span \# where maximum occurs | Span \# 1 |
| Maximum Deflection |  |  |  |
| Max Downward Transient Deflection | 0.952 in Ratio $=$ | $=569>=360$. |  |
| Max Upward Transient Deflection | 0.000 in Ratio $=$ | $=0<360.0$ |  |
| Max Downward Total Deflection | 2.790 in Ratio $=$ | $=194<240.0$ |  |
| Max Upward Total Deflection | 0.000 in Ratio $=$ | $=0<240.0$ |  |

Maximum Forces \& Stresses for Load Combinations
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DESCRIPTION: Residential - 40 to 45 ft spans


## Overall Maximum Deflections



## Pre-Composite Camber Beam Design (Residential - 40 to 45.2 ft spans)

Design Per AISC 360-16

| Material Properties |  |  | Section Properties |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{G}=$ | 11200 | ksi | Designation = | W18X130 |  |
| $\mathrm{E}=$ | 29000 | ksi | Beam $_{\text {weight }}=$ | 130 | plf |
| $F y=$ | 50 | ksi | Area $=$ | 38.3 | in^2 |
| $\varphi \mathrm{b}=$ | 0.9 |  | Depth $=$ | 19.3 | in |
| $\varphi \vee=$ | 0.9 |  | $\mathrm{bf}=$ | 11.2 | in |
| $\mathrm{Cb}=$ | 1 |  | tw $=$ | 0.67 | in |
| $\mathrm{C}=$ | 1 |  | tw/2= | 3/8 | in |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{ff}=$ | 1.2 | in |
| Stud Properties |  |  | $\mathrm{k}=$ | 1.6 | in |
| $\mathrm{Fu}=$ | 60 | ksi | $\mathrm{bf} / 2 \mathrm{tf}=$ | 4.65 |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{tw}=$ | 23.9 |  |
| Type of Construction |  |  | $1 \mathrm{x}=$ | 2460 | in^4 |
| Type = | IIIA | (Assumption: Ordinary) | $\mathrm{Zx}=$ | 290 | in^3 |
| Fire Rating = | 1 | hour | Sx $=$ | 256 | in^3 |
| Type of Concrete $=$ | NWC |  | rx = | 8.03 | in |
|  |  |  | $l y=$ | 278 | in^4 |
| Beam Data |  |  | Zy = | 76.7 | in^3 |
| Trib. Width = | 18.0 | ft | Sy = | 49.9 | in^3 |
| Beam Length = | 45.2 | ft | ry = | 2.7 | in |
| Unbraced Length = | 10.0 | ft | $J=$ | 14.5 | in^4 |
| $\mathrm{Fcr}=$ | 227 | ksi | $\mathrm{Cw}=$ | 22700 | in^6 |
|  |  |  | rts $=$ | 3.13 | in |
| Total Dead Load |  |  | ho = | 18.1 | in |
| Typical Residential Floor = |  | 70.0 psf |  |  |  |
| Concrete \& Metal Deck Gage 20 = |  | 62.5 psf |  |  |  |
| Beam Self-Weight = |  | 7.2 psf |  |  |  |
|  |  | 139.7 psf |  |  |  |

## Total Live Load

Typical Residential Floor $=\begin{array}{ll}40.0 & \text { psf } \\ 40.0 & \text { psf }\end{array}$

## Deflection

$$
\Delta \mathrm{D}=\quad 3.31 \quad \text { in }
$$

Round Camber Down to Nearest 1/4"
Use:
3.25 in
(Req'd Pre-Camber)

Return to TABLE OF CONTENTS

### 2.4 3WxH－36 Composite Deck

$61 / 2^{11}$ Total Slab Depth steel Deck Normal Weight Concrete（145 pcf）
Concrete Volume $1.543 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / 100 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$
1 Hour Fire Rating


| Maximum Unshored Span | Gage | Single | Double | Triple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 22 | $8^{\prime}-11^{\prime \prime}$ | $9^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-1^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | 21 | $9^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | 20 | $10^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime}$ | $11^{\prime \prime}-1^{\prime \prime}$ | $11^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime}$ |

3WxH－36 6 1／2＂Slab Depth， 145 pcf NWC

| Gage | Single | Double | Triple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | $11^{\prime}-3^{*}$ | $12^{\prime}-4^{*}$ | $12^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 18 | $11^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ | $13^{\prime}-5^{*}$ | $13^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 16 | $12^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$ | $15^{\prime}-0^{*}$ | $14^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime}$ |


| Gage | Vertical Load Span（ft－in） | 8＇－0＂ | 8＇－6＂ | $9^{\prime}-0^{*}$ | $9^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | 10－6 | － | 11－6 | 12. | 12－6 | 13－0 | 13－6 | －－ | $14^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | ASD \＆LRFD－Available Superimposed Load Capacity，W（psf） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ASD，W／$\Omega$ | 516 | 452 | 398 | 352 | 313 | 280 | 251 | 226 | 203 | 184 | 166 | 151 | 137 | 125 | 113 |
|  | LRFD，$\phi$ W | 691 | 603 | 530 | 468 | 415 | 370 | 330 | 296 | 265 | 239 | 215 | 194 | 175 | 158 | 143 |
|  | L／360 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
|  | LRFD－Available Diaphragm Shear Capacity，$\phi \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$（plf／ft） $36 / 4$ Attachment Pattern |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Arc Spot Weld 1／2＂Effective Dia | 3839 | 3813 | 3790 | 3781 | 3762 | 3745 | 3729 | 3715 | 3702 | 3698 | 3687 | 3677 | 3667 | 3658 | 3649 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq 2.25^{\circ}$ | 3649 | 3635 | 3622 | 3621 | 3610 | 3600 | 3592 | 3583 | 3576 | 3577 | 3571 | 3564 | 3559 | 3553 | 3548 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq 0.125^{\circ}$ | 3634 | 3621 | 3609 | 3609 | 3598 | 3589 | 3581 | 3573 | 3566 | 3568 | 3561 | 3556 | 3550 | 3545 | 3541 |
|  | \＃12 Screw Base Steel $\geq .0385^{*}$ | 3621 | 3608 | 3597 | 3597 | 3587 | 3579 | 3571 | 3564 | 3557 | 3559 | 3553 | 3548 | 3542 | 3538 | 3533 |
|  | Concrete＋Deck＝ | 62.2 |  |  |  | 78.7 |  | ASD |  | $\mathrm{M}_{n} / \Omega=$ | 48.0 | kip－in／ft |  | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}} / \Omega=$ | 4.14 | kip／ft |
|  | $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\text {et }}+\mathrm{L}_{4}\right) / 2=$ | 154.9 |  |  |  | 231.1 |  | LRFD |  | ¢ $\mathrm{M}_{20}=$ | 73.5 | kip－in／ft |  | $\phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ | 6.01 | kip／ft |


| Gage | Vertical Load Span（ft－in） | $8^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | 8＇－6＂ | $9^{\prime 2}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $9^{\prime} \cdot 6^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | 10－6 | 11－0 | 11＇－6＂ | 12－0 | 12＇－6＂ | 13＇－0 | 133－6＂ | 14－ |  | $15^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| －ASD \＆LRFD－Available Superimposed Load Capacity，W（psf） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | ASD，W／$\Omega$ | 569 | 498 | 439 | 390 | 347 | 310 | 279 | 251 | 227 | 205 | 186 | 169 | 154 | 140 | 128 |
|  | LRFD，$\phi$ W | 762 | 666 | 586 | 519 | 461 | 411 | 368 | 330 | 297 | 268 | 242 | 219 | 198 | 0 | 163 |
|  | L／360 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | ． | ． | ． |
|  | LRFD－Available Diaphragm Shear Capacity，$\phi \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$（plf／ft） $36 / 4$ Attachment Pattern |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Arc Spot Weld 1／2＂Effective Dia | 3902 | 3872 | 3846 | 3836 | 3815 | 3795 | 3777 | 3761 | 3746 | 3742 | 3729 | 3717 | 3706 | 5 | 3685 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq .25^{\circ}$ | 3684 | 3667 | 3652 | 3653 | 3640 | 3629 | 3619 | 3609 | 3600 | 3603 | 3595 | 3588 | 3581 | 3575 | 3569 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq 0.125^{\circ}$ \＃12 Screw Base Steel $\geq .0385^{\circ}$ | 3667 | 3651 | 3638 | 3639 | 3627 | 3616 | 3606 | 3597 | 3589 | 3592 | 3585 | 3578 | 3572 | 3566 | 3560 |
|  |  | 3652 | 3638 | 3624 | 3626 | 3615 | 3605 | 3596 | 3587 | 3579 | 3583 | 3576 | 3569 | 3563 | 3558 | 3552 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Concrete }+ \text { Deck }=62.4 \mathrm{psf} \\ &\left(\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{et}}+\mathrm{L}_{1}\right) / 2=159.4 \mathrm{in}^{4} / \mathrm{ft} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 84.9 |  | ASD |  | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n} d} / \Omega=$ | 52.5 | kip－in／ft |  | $\mathrm{V}_{0} / \Omega=$ | 4.80 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{L}_{1}=$ | 233.8 |  | LRFD |  | $\mathrm{b}^{(1)}=$ | 80.3 | kip－in／ft |  | $\phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ | 6.91 | kip／ft |


| Gage | Vertical Load Span（ft－in） | $8^{\prime \prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | 8＇－6＂ | 9＇－0＂ | $9^{\prime \prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | －6 | 11－0＇ | 11＇－6＂ | 12．0 | 12＇－6＂ | $13^{-}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $13^{\prime}-6^{\circ}$ | $14^{1-0}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | ＇－6＂ | $15^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | ASD \＆LRFD－Available Superimposed Load Capacity，W（psf） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ASD，W／$\Omega$ | 618 | 542 | 478 | 424 | 378 | 339 | 305 | 275 | 249 | 225 | 205 | 187 | 170 | 155 | 142 |
|  | LRFD，$\phi$ W | 829 | 726 | 639 | 566 | 504 | 450 | 403 | 362 | 327 | 295 | 267 | 242 | 220 | 200 | 182 |
|  | L／360 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | － | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | － | $\checkmark$ | － | － | － | － | － |
|  | LRFD－Available Diaphragm Shear Capacity，$\phi \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$（plf／ft） $36 / 4$ Attachment Pattern |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Arc Spot Weld 1／2＂Effective Dia | 3949 | 3916 | 3887 | 3878 | 3854 | 3832 | 3812 | 3794 | 3778 | 3775 | 3760 | 3747 | 3735 | 3723 | 3712 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq .25^{\circ}$ | 3710 | 3691 | 3675 | 3677 | 3663 | 3650 | 3639 | 3628 | 3619 | 3622 | 3614 | 3606 | 3598 | 3591 | 3585 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq 0.125^{\circ}$ | 3692 | 3674 | 3659 | 3662 | 3648 | 3636 | 3626 | 3616 | 3607 | 3611 | 3602 | 3595 | 3588 | 3581 | 3575 |
|  | \＃12 Screw Base Steel $\geq$ ． $03885^{*}$ | 3676 | 3660 | 3645 | 3649 | 3636 | 3625 | 3614 | 3605 | 3596 | 3601 | 3593 | 3586 | 3579 | 3573 | 3567 |
|  | Concrete + Deck $=$ | 62.5 |  |  | $\mathrm{I}_{6}=$ | 90.6 |  | ASD |  | $\mathrm{M}_{n} / \Omega=$ | 56.7 | kip－in／ft |  | $\mathrm{V}_{2} / \Omega=$ | 5.38 | kip／ft |
|  | $\left(l_{e}+l_{4}\right) / 2=$ | 163.5 |  |  |  | 236.4 |  | LRFD |  | ¢ $\mathrm{M}_{10}=$ | 86.8 | kip－in／ft |  | $\phi V_{n}=$ | 7.71 | kip／ft |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿷⿹\zh26灬力 } \\ & \text { \% } \\ & \frac{1}{\ll} \end{aligned}$ | LRFD－Available Diaphragm Shear Capacity，$\phi \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$（plf／／ft）for all vertical load spans，WWF Size or Area of Steel per foot width |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3／4＊Welded Shear Studs | $A_{s}=0.028 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{lt}$ | $A_{5}=0.058 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{lt}$ | $A_{s}=0.080 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{lt}$ | $A_{3}=0.120 \mathrm{in}^{-} / \mathrm{Ht}$ | $A_{s}=0.180 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{tt}$ |
|  | 12 in o．c． | n／a | 6030 | 7020 | 8820 | 11520 |
|  | 24 in o．c． | n／a | 6030 | 7020 | 7750 | 7750 |
|  | 36 in o．c． | n／a | 5170 | 5170 | 5170 | 5170 |

68 V2．0－Composite and Non－Composite Deck Catalog
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Project Title:
Engineer:
Project ID:
Project Descr:

Steel Beam
DESCRIPTION: Residential (corridor) - 25.5 ft \& below spans

## CODE REFERENCES

Calculations per AISC 360-10, IBC 2015, CBC 2016, ASCE 7-10
Load Combination Set : ASCE 7-16

## Material Properties

| Analysis Method: Load Resistance Factor Design | Fy: Steel Yield : | 50.0 ksi |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Beam Bracing: | Beam bracing is defined as a set spacing over all spans | E: Modulus : |
| Bending Axis: $\quad$ Major Axis Bending |  | $29,000.0 \mathrm{ksi}$ |

## Unbraced Lengths

First Brace starts at 10.0 ft from Left-Most support
Regular spacing of lateral supports on length of beam $=10.0 \mathrm{ft}$


Applied Loads
Service loads entered. Load Factors will be applied for calculations.
Beam self weight calculated and added to loading
Uniform Load : $D=0.070, L=0.060$ ksf, Tributary Width = 20.0 ft, (Typical Residential Floor (Corridor))


## Maximum Forces \& Stresses for Load Combinations

| Load Combination |  | Max Stre | atios |  |  | mmary o | ent Va |  |  |  | Sum | ry of Sh | Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Length | Span \# | M | V | max Mu + | max Mu - | Mu Max | Mnx | Phi*Mnx | Cb | Rm | VuMax | Vnx | Phi*Vnx |
| +1.40D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L $=9.98 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.369 | 0.150 | 159.17 |  | 159.17 | 479.17 | 431.25 | 1.42 | 1.00 | 26.20 | 174.30 | 174.30 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.98 ft | 1 | 0.387 | 0.085 | 167.05 | 113.59 | 167.05 | 479.17 | 431.25 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 14.82 | 174.30 | 174.30 |
| Dsgn. L = 5.54 ft | 1 | 0.263 | 0.150 | 113.59 |  | 113.59 | 479.17 | 431.25 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 26.20 | 174.30 | 174.30 |
| +1.20D+1.60L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.98 ft | 1 | 0.661 | 0.269 | 285.14 |  | 285.14 | 479.17 | 431.25 | 1.42 | 1.00 | 46.94 | 174.30 | 174.30 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.98 ft | 1 | 0.694 | 0.152 | 299.25 | 203.48 | 299.25 | 479.17 | 431.25 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 26.55 | 174.30 | 174.30 |
| Dsgn. L = 5.54 ft | 1 | 0.472 | 0.269 | 203.48 |  | 203.48 | 479.17 | 431.25 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 46.94 | 174.30 | 174.30 |
| +1.20D+L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.98 ft | 1 | 0.532 | 0.217 | 229.37 |  | 229.37 | 479.17 | 431.25 | 1.42 | 1.00 | 37.76 | 174.30 | 174.30 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.98 ft | 1 | 0.558 | 0.123 | 240.72 | 163.68 | 240.72 | 479.17 | 431.25 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 21.36 | 174.30 | 174.30 |
| Dsgn. L = 5.54 ft | 1 | 0.380 | 0.217 | 163.68 |  | 163.68 | 479.17 | 431.25 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 37.76 | 174.30 | 174.30 |
| +1.20D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.98 ft | 1 | 0.316 | 0.129 | 136.43 |  | 136.43 | 479.17 | 431.25 | 1.42 | 1.00 | 22.46 | 174.30 | 174.30 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.98 ft | 1 | 0.332 | 0.073 | 143.19 | 97.36 | 143.19 | 479.17 | 431.25 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 12.71 | 174.30 | 174.30 |
| Dsgn. L = 5.54 ft | 1 | 0.226 | 0.129 | 97.36 |  | 97.36 | 479.17 | 431.25 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 22.46 | 174.30 | 174.30 |
| +0.90D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L $=9.98 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.237 | 0.097 | 102.33 |  | 102.33 | 479.17 | 431.25 | 1.42 | 1.00 | 16.85 | 174.30 | 174.30 |
| Dsgn. L $=9.98 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.249 | 0.055 | 107.39 | 73.02 | 107.39 | 479.17 | 431.25 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 9.53 | 174.30 | 174.30 |
| Dsgn. L = 5.54 ft | 1 | 0.169 | 0.097 | 73.02 |  | 73.02 | 479.17 | 431.25 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 16.85 | 174.30 | 174.30 |
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Project Title:
Engineer:
Project ID:
Project Descr:

Steel Beam
File $=$ C:IUserslOwnerlDesktoplSCU Faculty Staff Housing Development.ec6 .
Software copyright ENERCALC, INC. 1983-2020, Build:12.20.2.24
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DESCRIPTION: Residential (corridor) $-25.5 \mathrm{ft} \&$ below spans


## Overall Maximum Deflections

| Load Combination | Span | Max. "-" Defl | Location in Span | Load Combination | Max. "+" Defl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $+D+L$ | 1 | 1.2178 | 12.823 | 0.0000 | 0.000 |

Vertical Reactions
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Steel Beam
DESCRIPTION: Residential (corridor) - 30 to 37 ft spans

## CODE REFERENCES

Calculations per AISC 360-10, IBC 2015, CBC 2016, ASCE 7-10
Load Combination Set : ASCE 7-16

## Material Properties

| Analysis Method: Load Resistance Factor Design | Fy: Steel Yield : | 50.0 ksi |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Beam Bracing: | Beam bracing is defined as a set spacing over all spans | E: Modulus : |
| Bending Axis: | Major Axis Bending |  |

## Unbraced Lengths

First Brace starts at 10.0 ft from Left-Most support
Regular spacing of lateral supports on length of beam $=10.0 \mathrm{ft}$


## Applied Loads

Service loads entered. Load Factors will be applied for calculations.
Beam self weight calculated and added to loading
Uniform Load: $D=0.070, L=0.060 \mathrm{ksf}$, Tributary Width $=20.0 \mathrm{ft}$, (Typical Residential Floor (Corridor))

| DESIGN SUMMARY |  |  | Design OK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Bending Stress Ratio = | 0.652:1 M | Maximum Shear Stress Ratio = | 0.185:1 |
| Section used for this span | W18x119 | Section used for this span | W18x119 |
| Mu: Applied | 640.487 k -ft | Vu: Applied | 69.242 k |
| Mn * Phi : Allowable | $982.500 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ | Vn * Phi : Allowable | 373.350 k |
| Load Combination | $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}$ | Load Combination | +1.20D+1.60L |
| Location of maximum on span | 18.500ft | Location of maximum on span | 0.000 ft |
| Span \# where maximum occurs | Span \# 1 | Span \# where maximum occurs | Span \# 1 |
| Maximum Deflection |  |  |  |
| Max Downward Transient Deflection | 0.800 in Ratio $=$ | $=554>=360$. |  |
| Max Upward Transient Deflection | 0.000 in Ratio $=$ | $=0<360.0$ |  |
| Max Downward Total Deflection | 1.814 in Ratio $=$ | $=245>=240$. |  |
| Max Upward Total Deflection | 0.000 in Ratio $=$ | $=0<240.0$ |  |

## Maximum Forces \& Stresses for Load Combinations

| Load Combination Segment Length | Span \# | Max Stress Ratios |  | Summary of Moment Values |  |  |  |  |  |  | Summary of Shear Values |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | V | max Mu + | max Mu- | Mu Max | Mnx | Phi*Mnx | Cb | Rm | VuMax | Vnx | Phi*Vnx |
| +1.40D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.291 | 0.105 | 285.95 |  | 285.95 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.52 | 1.00 | 39.34 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. $L=10.04 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.370 | 0.049 | 363.91 | 285.95 | 363.91 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 18.21 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.368 | 0.065 | 361.59 | 225.31 | 361.59 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 24.28 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L $=7.08 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.229 | 0.105 | 225.31 |  | 225.31 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 39.34 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| +1.20D+1.60L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.512 | 0.185 | 503.27 |  | 503.27 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.52 | 1.00 | 69.24 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.04 ft | 1 | 0.652 | 0.086 | 640.49 | 503.27 | 640.49 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 32.05 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. $L=9.94 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.648 | 0.114 | 636.39 | 396.55 | 636.39 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 42.73 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 7.08 ft | 1 | 0.404 | 0.185 | 396.55 |  | 396.55 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 69.24 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| +1.20D+L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.414 | 0.150 | 406.46 |  | 406.46 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.52 | 1.00 | 55.92 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.04 ft | 1 | 0.526 | 0.069 | 517.28 | 406.46 | 517.28 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 25.88 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. $L=9.94 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.523 | 0.092 | 513.97 | 320.26 | 513.97 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 34.51 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 7.08 ft | 1 | 0.326 | 0.150 | 320.26 |  | 320.26 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 55.92 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| +1.20D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. $\mathrm{L}=9.94 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.249 | 0.090 | 245.10 |  | 245.10 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.52 | 1.00 | 33.72 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. $L=10.04 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.317 | 0.042 | 311.93 | 245.10 | 311.93 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 15.61 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. $\mathrm{L}=9.94 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.315 | 0.056 | 309.93 | 193.12 | 309.93 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 20.81 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. $L=7.08 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.197 | 0.090 | 193.12 |  | 193.12 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 33.72 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
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DESCRIPTION: Residential (corridor) - 30 to 37 ft spans


| Vertical Reactions |  |  | Support notation : Far left is \#1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Load Combination | Support 1 | Support 2 |  |
| Overall MAXimum in KIPS | 50.302 | 50.302 |  |
| Overall MINimum | 16.861 | 16.861 |  |
| D Only | 28.102 | 28.102 |  |
| +D+L | 50.302 | 50.302 |  |
| +D+0.750L | 44.752 | 44.752 |  |
| +0.60D | 16.861 | 16.861 |  |
| L Only | 22.200 | 22.200 |  | $\square$ QOBOQ
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Steel Beam
DESCRIPTION: Residential (corridor)- 40 to 48 ft spans

## CODE REFERENCES

Calculations per AISC 360-10, IBC 2015, CBC 2016, ASCE 7-10
Load Combination Set : ASCE 7-16

## Material Properties

| Analysis Method: Load Resistance Factor Design | Fy: Steel Yield : | 50.0 ksi |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Beam Bracing : Beam bracing is defined as a set spacing over all spans | E: Modulus : | $29,000.0 \mathrm{ksi}$ |
| Bending Axis : Major Axis Bending |  |  |
| Unbraced Lengths |  |  |

## First Brace starts at 10.0 ft from Left-Most support

Regular spacing of lateral supports on length of beam $=10.0 \mathrm{ft}$


## Applied Loads

Beam self weight calculated and added to loading
Uniform Load : $\mathrm{D}=0.070, \mathrm{~L}=0.060 \mathrm{ksf}$, Tributary Width $=20.750 \mathrm{ft}$, (Typical Residential Floor (Corridor))

| DESIGN SUMMARY |  |  | Design N.G. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Bending Stress Ratio = | 0.971:1 Maxir | Maximum Shear Stress Ratio = | 0.238:1 |
| Section used for this span | W21x122 | Section used for this span | W21x122 |
| Mu: Applied | $1,117.843 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ | Vu: Applied | 93.154 k |
| Mn * Phi : Allowable | 1,151.250 k-ft | Vn * Phi : Allowable | 390.60 k |
| Load Combination | +1.20D +1.60 L | Load Combination | +1.20D +1.60 L |
| Location of maximum on span | 24.000 ft | Location of maximum on span | 0.000 ft |
| Span \# where maximum occurs | Span \# 1 | Span \# where maximum occurs | Span \# 1 |
| Maximum Deflection 1740 in Ratio $=330$ |  |  |  |
| Max Downward Transient Deflection | 1.740 in Ratio $=$ | $=330<360.0$ |  |
| Max Upward Transient Deflection | 0.000 in Ratio $=$ | $=0<360.0$ |  |
| Max Downward Total Deflection | 3.941 in Ratio $=$ | $=146<240.0$ |  |
| Max Upward Total Deflection | 0.000 in Ratio $=$ | $=0<240.0$ |  |

## Maximum Forces \& Stresses for Load Combinations
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DESCRIPTION: Residential (corridor)- 40 to 48 ft spans

| Load Combination Segment Length | Span \# | Max Stress Ratios |  | $\max \mathrm{Mu}+$ | Summary of Moment Values |  |  |  | Summary of Shear Values |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | V |  | max Mu - | Mu Max | Mnx | Phi*Mnx | Cb | Rm | VuMax | Vnx | Phi*Vnx |
| Dsgn. L $=10.01 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.459 | 0.068 | 528.16 | 355.65 | 528.16 | 1,279.17 | 1,151.25 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 26.69 | 390.60 | 390.60 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.01 ft | 1 | 0.473 | 0.029 | 544.15 | 511.29 | 544.15 | 1,279.17 | 1,151.25 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 11.14 | 390.60 | 390.60 |
| Dsgn. $\mathrm{L}=10.01 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.444 | 0.077 | 511.29 | 305.06 | 511.29 | 1,279.17 | 1,151.25 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 30.06 | 390.60 | 390.60 |
| Dsgn. L = 8.09 ft | 1 | 0.265 | 0.116 | 305.06 |  | 305.06 | 1,279.17 | 1,151.25 | 1.56 | 1.00 | 45.35 | 390.60 | 390.60 |
| +0.90D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.87 ft | 1 | 0.232 | 0.087 | 266.73 |  | 266.73 | 1,279.17 | 1,151.25 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 34.01 | 390.60 | 390.60 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.01 ft | 1 | 0.344 | 0.051 | 396.12 | 266.73 | 396.12 | 1,279.17 | 1,151.25 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 20.02 | 390.60 | 390.60 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.01 ft | 1 | 0.354 | 0.021 | 408.11 | 383.47 | 408.11 | 1,279.17 | 1,151.25 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 8.36 | 390.60 | 390.60 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.01 ft | 1 | 0.333 | 0.058 | 383.47 | 228.80 | 383.47 | 1,279.17 | 1,151.25 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 22.54 | 390.60 | 390.60 |
| Dsgn. L = 8.09 ft | 1 | 0.199 | 0.087 | 228.80 |  | 228.80 | 1,279.17 | 1,151.25 | 1.56 | 1.00 | 34.01 | 390.60 | 390.60 |
| +1.40D+L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.87 ft | 1 | 0.564 | 0.212 | 649.27 |  | 649.27 | 1,279.17 | 1,151.25 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 82.78 | 390.60 | 390.60 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.01 ft | 1 | 0.838 | 0.125 | 964.20 | 649.27 | 964.20 | 1,279.17 | 1,151.25 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 48.72 | 390.60 | 390.60 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.01 ft | 1 | 0.863 | 0.052 | 993.40 | 933.42 | 993.40 | 1,279.17 | 1,151.25 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 20.34 | 390.60 | 390.60 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.01 ft | 1 | 0.811 | 0.140 | 933.42 | 556.92 | 933.42 | 1,279.17 | 1,151.25 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 54.87 | 390.60 | 390.60 |
| Dsgn. L $=8.09 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.484 | 0.212 | 556.92 |  | 556.92 | 1,279.17 | 1,151.25 | 1.56 | 1.00 | 82.78 | 390.60 | 390.60 |
| $+0.70 \mathrm{Dsgn} \mathrm{L}=9.87 \mathrm{ft}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 20746 | 127917 |  |  | $1.00$ |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. $\mathrm{L}=9.87 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.180 | 0.068 | 207.46 |  | 207.46 308.09 | 1,279.17 | 1,151.25 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 26.45 | 390.60 390 | 390.60 |
| Dsgn. L $=10.01 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.268 | 0.040 | 308.09 | 207.46 | 308.09 | 1,279.17 | 1,151.25 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 15.57 | 390.60 | 390.60 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.01 ft | 1 | 0.259 | 0.045 | 298.25 | 177.95 | 298.25 | 1,279.17 | 1,151.25 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 17.53 | 390.60 | 390.60 |
| Dsgn. L = 8.09 ft | 1 | 0.155 | 0.068 | 177.95 |  | 177.95 | 1,279.17 | 1,151.25 | 1.56 | 1.00 | 26.45 | 390.60 | 390.60 |

## Overall Maximum Deflections



## Pre-Composite Camber Beam Design (Residential (corridor) - 40 to 48 ft spans)

Design Per AISC 360-16

| Material Properties |  |  | Section Properties |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{G}=$ | 11200 | ksi | Designation $=$ | W21X122 |  |
| E = | 29000 | ksi | Beamweight $^{\text {a }}$ | 122 | plf |
| Fy $=$ | 50 | ksi | Area $=$ | 35.9 | in^2 |
| $\varphi \mathrm{b}=$ | 0.9 |  | Depth $=$ | 21.7 | in |
| $\varphi v=$ | 0.9 |  | $\mathrm{bf}=$ | 12.4 | in |
| $\mathrm{Cb}=$ | 1 |  | tw $=$ | 0.6 | in |
| $\mathrm{C}=$ | 1 |  | tw/2= | 5/16 | in |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{tf}=$ | 0.96 | in |
| Stud Properties |  |  | k = | 1.46 | in |
| $\mathrm{Fu}=$ | 60 | ksi | bf/2tf= | 6.45 |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{tw}=$ | 31.3 |  |
| Type of Construction |  |  | $1 \mathrm{x}=$ | 2960 | in^4 |
| Type $=$ | IIIA | (Assumption: Ordinary) | $\mathrm{Zx}=$ | 307 | in^3 |
| Fire Rating = | 1 | hour | Sx = | 273 | in^3 |
| Type of Concrete $=$ | NWC |  | rx $=$ | 9.09 | in |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{ly}=$ | 305 | in^4 |
| Beam Data |  |  | Zy = | 75.6 | in^3 |
| Trib. Width = | 20.8 | ft | Sy = | 49.2 | in^3 |
| Beam Length = | 48.0 | ft | ry = | 2.92 | in |
| Unbraced Length $=$ | 10.0 | ft | $\mathrm{J}=$ | 8.98 | in^4 |
| $\mathrm{Fcr}=$ | 247 | ksi | $\mathrm{Cw}=$ | 32700 | in^6 |
|  |  |  | rts = | 3.4 | in |
| Total Dead Load |  |  | ho = | 20.7 | in |
| Typical Residential Floor = |  | 70.0 psf |  |  |  |
| Concrete \& Metal Deck Gage $20=$ |  | 62.5 psf |  |  |  |
| Beam Self-Weight $=$ |  | 5.9 prf |  |  |  |
|  |  | 138.4 psf |  |  |  |

## Total Live Load

Typical Residential Floor $=\begin{array}{ll}40.0 & \text { psf } \\ 40.0 & \text { psf }\end{array}$

## Deflection

$$
\Delta \mathrm{D}=\quad 4.00 \quad \text { in }
$$

Round Camber Down to Nearest 1/4"
Use:
4.0
in
(Req'd Pre-Camber)

Return to TABLE OF CONTENTS

### 2.4 3WxH－36 Composite Deck

$61 / 2^{11}$ Total Slab Depth steel Deck Normal Weight Concrete（145 pcf）
Concrete Volume $1.543 \mathrm{yd}^{3} / 100 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$
1 Hour Fire Rating


| Maximum Unshored Span | Gage | Single | Double | Triple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 22 | $8^{\prime}-11^{\prime \prime}$ | $9^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-1^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | 21 | $9^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | 20 | $10^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime}$ | $11^{\prime \prime}-1^{\prime \prime}$ | $11^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime}$ |

3WxH－36 6 1／2＂Slab Depth， 145 pcf NWC

| Gage | Single | Double | Triple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | $11^{\prime}-3^{*}$ | $12^{\prime}-4^{*}$ | $12^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 18 | $11^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ | $13^{\prime}-5^{*}$ | $13^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 16 | $12^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$ | $15^{\prime}-0^{*}$ | $14^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime}$ |


| Gage | Vertical Load Span（ft－in） | 8＇－0＂ | 8＇－6＂ | $9^{\prime}-0^{*}$ | $9^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | 10－6 | － | 11－6 | 12. | 12－6 | 13－0 | 13－6 | －－ | $14^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | ASD \＆LRFD－Available Superimposed Load Capacity，W（psf） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ASD，W／$\Omega$ | 516 | 452 | 398 | 352 | 313 | 280 | 251 | 226 | 203 | 184 | 166 | 151 | 137 | 125 | 113 |
|  | LRFD，$\phi$ W | 691 | 603 | 530 | 468 | 415 | 370 | 330 | 296 | 265 | 239 | 215 | 194 | 175 | 158 | 143 |
|  | L／360 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
|  | LRFD－Available Diaphragm Shear Capacity，$\phi \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$（plf／ft） $36 / 4$ Attachment Pattern |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Arc Spot Weld 1／2＂Effective Dia | 3839 | 3813 | 3790 | 3781 | 3762 | 3745 | 3729 | 3715 | 3702 | 3698 | 3687 | 3677 | 3667 | 3658 | 3649 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq 2.25^{\circ}$ | 3649 | 3635 | 3622 | 3621 | 3610 | 3600 | 3592 | 3583 | 3576 | 3577 | 3571 | 3564 | 3559 | 3553 | 3548 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq 0.125^{\circ}$ | 3634 | 3621 | 3609 | 3609 | 3598 | 3589 | 3581 | 3573 | 3566 | 3568 | 3561 | 3556 | 3550 | 3545 | 3541 |
|  | \＃12 Screw Base Steel $\geq .0385^{*}$ | 3621 | 3608 | 3597 | 3597 | 3587 | 3579 | 3571 | 3564 | 3557 | 3559 | 3553 | 3548 | 3542 | 3538 | 3533 |
|  | Concrete＋Deck＝ | 62.2 |  |  |  | 78.7 |  | ASD |  | $\mathrm{M}_{n} / \Omega=$ | 48.0 | kip－in／ft |  | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}} / \Omega=$ | 4.14 | kip／ft |
|  | $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\text {et }}+\mathrm{L}_{4}\right) / 2=$ | 154.9 |  |  |  | 231.1 |  | LRFD |  | ¢ $\mathrm{M}_{20}=$ | 73.5 | kip－in／ft |  | $\phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ | 6.01 | kip／ft |


| Gage | Vertical Load Span（ft－in） | $8^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | 8＇－6＂ | $9^{\prime 2}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $9^{\prime} \cdot 6^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | 10－6 | 11－0 | 11＇－6＂ | 12－0 | 12＇－6＂ | 13＇－0 | 133－6＂ | 14－ |  | $15^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| －ASD \＆LRFD－Available Superimposed Load Capacity，W（psf） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | ASD，W／$\Omega$ | 569 | 498 | 439 | 390 | 347 | 310 | 279 | 251 | 227 | 205 | 186 | 169 | 154 | 140 | 128 |
|  | LRFD，$\phi$ W | 762 | 666 | 586 | 519 | 461 | 411 | 368 | 330 | 297 | 268 | 242 | 219 | 198 | 0 | 163 |
|  | L／360 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | ． | ． | ． |
|  | LRFD－Available Diaphragm Shear Capacity，$\phi \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$（plf／ft） $36 / 4$ Attachment Pattern |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Arc Spot Weld 1／2＂Effective Dia | 3902 | 3872 | 3846 | 3836 | 3815 | 3795 | 3777 | 3761 | 3746 | 3742 | 3729 | 3717 | 3706 | 5 | 3685 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq .25^{\circ}$ | 3684 | 3667 | 3652 | 3653 | 3640 | 3629 | 3619 | 3609 | 3600 | 3603 | 3595 | 3588 | 3581 | 3575 | 3569 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq 0.125^{\circ}$ \＃12 Screw Base Steel $\geq .0385^{\circ}$ | 3667 | 3651 | 3638 | 3639 | 3627 | 3616 | 3606 | 3597 | 3589 | 3592 | 3585 | 3578 | 3572 | 3566 | 3560 |
|  |  | 3652 | 3638 | 3624 | 3626 | 3615 | 3605 | 3596 | 3587 | 3579 | 3583 | 3576 | 3569 | 3563 | 3558 | 3552 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Concrete }+ \text { Deck }=62.4 \mathrm{psf} \\ &\left(\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{et}}+\mathrm{L}_{1}\right) / 2=159.4 \mathrm{in}^{4} / \mathrm{ft} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 84.9 |  | ASD |  | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n} d} / \Omega=$ | 52.5 | kip－in／ft |  | $\mathrm{V}_{0} / \Omega=$ | 4.80 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{L}_{1}=$ | 233.8 |  | LRFD |  | $\mathrm{b}^{(1)}=$ | 80.3 | kip－in／ft |  | $\phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ | 6.91 | kip／ft |


| Gage | Vertical Load Span（ft－in） | $8^{\prime \prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | 8＇－6＂ | 9＇－0＂ | $9^{\prime \prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | －6 | 11－0＇ | 11＇－6＂ | 12．0 | 12＇－6＂ | $13^{-}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $13^{\prime}-6^{\circ}$ | $14^{1-0}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | ＇－6＂ | $15^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | ASD \＆LRFD－Available Superimposed Load Capacity，W（psf） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ASD，W／$\Omega$ | 618 | 542 | 478 | 424 | 378 | 339 | 305 | 275 | 249 | 225 | 205 | 187 | 170 | 155 | 142 |
|  | LRFD，$\phi$ W | 829 | 726 | 639 | 566 | 504 | 450 | 403 | 362 | 327 | 295 | 267 | 242 | 220 | 200 | 182 |
|  | L／360 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | － | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | － | $\checkmark$ | － | － | － | － | － |
|  | LRFD－Available Diaphragm Shear Capacity，$\phi \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$（plf／ft） $36 / 4$ Attachment Pattern |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Arc Spot Weld 1／2＂Effective Dia | 3949 | 3916 | 3887 | 3878 | 3854 | 3832 | 3812 | 3794 | 3778 | 3775 | 3760 | 3747 | 3735 | 3723 | 3712 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq .25^{\circ}$ | 3710 | 3691 | 3675 | 3677 | 3663 | 3650 | 3639 | 3628 | 3619 | 3622 | 3614 | 3606 | 3598 | 3591 | 3585 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq 0.125^{\circ}$ | 3692 | 3674 | 3659 | 3662 | 3648 | 3636 | 3626 | 3616 | 3607 | 3611 | 3602 | 3595 | 3588 | 3581 | 3575 |
|  | \＃12 Screw Base Steel $\geq$ ． $03885^{*}$ | 3676 | 3660 | 3645 | 3649 | 3636 | 3625 | 3614 | 3605 | 3596 | 3601 | 3593 | 3586 | 3579 | 3573 | 3567 |
|  | Concrete + Deck $=$ | 62.5 |  |  | $\mathrm{I}_{6}=$ | 90.6 |  | ASD |  | $\mathrm{M}_{n} / \Omega=$ | 56.7 | kip－in／ft |  | $\mathrm{V}_{2} / \Omega=$ | 5.38 | kip／ft |
|  | $\left(l_{e}+l_{4}\right) / 2=$ | 163.5 |  |  |  | 236.4 |  | LRFD |  | ¢ $\mathrm{M}_{10}=$ | 86.8 | kip－in／ft |  | $\phi V_{n}=$ | 7.71 | kip／ft |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿷⿹\zh26灬力 } \\ & \text { \% } \\ & \frac{1}{\ll} \end{aligned}$ | LRFD－Available Diaphragm Shear Capacity，$\phi \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$（plf／／ft）for all vertical load spans，WWF Size or Area of Steel per foot width |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3／4＊Welded Shear Studs | $A_{s}=0.028 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{lt}$ | $A_{5}=0.058 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{lt}$ | $A_{s}=0.080 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{lt}$ | $A_{3}=0.120 \mathrm{in}^{-} / \mathrm{Ht}$ | $A_{s}=0.180 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{tt}$ |
|  | 12 in o．c． | n／a | 6030 | 7020 | 8820 | 11520 |
|  | 24 in o．c． | n／a | 6030 | 7020 | 7750 | 7750 |
|  | 36 in o．c． | n／a | 5170 | 5170 | 5170 | 5170 |

68 V2．0－Composite and Non－Composite Deck Catalog
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Steel Beam

DESCRIPTION: Residential (Roof) - 11 ft and below spans

## CODE REFERENCES

Calculations per AISC 360-10, IBC 2015, CBC 2016, ASCE 7-10
Load Combination Set : ASCE 7-16

## Material Properties

| Analysis Method: Load Resistance Factor Design | Fy: Steel Yield : | 50.0 ksi |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Beam Bracing: | Beam is Fully Braced against lateral-torsional buckling | E: Modulus : |
| Bending Axis: | Major Axis Bending |  |



## Applied Loads

Service loads entered. Load Factors will be applied for calculatior
Beam self weight calculated and added to loading
Uniform Load: D $=0.0510, \mathrm{~L}=0.020 \mathrm{ksf}$, Tributary Width $=15.10 \mathrm{ft}$, (Typical Roof)


## Maximum Forces \& Stresses for Load Combinations

| Load Combination |  | Max Stress Ratios |  | Summary of Moment Values |  |  |  |  |  |  | Summary of Shear Values |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Length | Span \# | M | V | max Mu + | max Mu- | Mu Max | Mnx | Phi*Mnx | Cb | Rm | VuMax | Vnx | Phi*Vnx |
| +1.40D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. $\mathrm{L}=11.00 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.379 | 0.124 | 16.65 |  | 16.65 | 48.75 | 43.88 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 6.05 | 48.98 | 48.98 |
| +1.20D+1.60L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L $=11.00 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.492 | 0.160 | 21.58 |  | 21.58 | 48.75 | 43.88 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 7.85 | 48.98 | 48.98 |
| +1.20D+L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. $L=11.00 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.429 | 0.140 | 18.84 |  | 18.84 | 48.75 | 43.88 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 6.85 | 48.98 | 48.98 |
| $+\frac{1.20 \mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{Dsgn} .} \mathrm{L}=11.00 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.32 | 0.106 | 14.27 |  | $14.27$ | 48.75 | 43.88 | 1.00 | $1.00$ | 5.19 | 48.98 | 48.98 |
| +0.90D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. $\mathrm{L}=11.00 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.244 | 0.079 | 10.70 |  | 10.70 | 48.75 | 43.88 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 3.89 | 48.98 | 48.98 |
| +1.40D+L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 11.00 ft | 1 | 0.483 | 0.157 | 21.21 |  | 21.21 | 48.75 | 43.88 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 7.71 | 48.98 | 48.98 |
| +0.70D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. $\mathrm{L}=11.00 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.190 | 0.062 | 8.32 |  | 8.32 | 48.75 | 43.88 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 3.03 | 48.98 | 48.98 |

## Overall Maximum Deflections

| Load Combination | Span | Max. "-" Defl | Location in Span | Load Combination | Max. "+" Defl | Location in Span |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $+\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{L}$ | 1 | 0.3868 | 5.531 | 0.0000 |  |  |
| Vertical Reactions |  |  | Support notation : Far left is \#1 |  |  |  |
| Load Combination |  | Support 1 | Support 2 |  |  |  |
| Overall MAXimum |  | 5.985 | 5.985 | 1.661 |  |  |
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DESCRIPTION: Residential (Roof) - 11 ft and below spans
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Project Title:
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Project ID:
Project Descr:

DESCRIPTION: Residential (Roof) - 20 to 30 ft spans

## CODE REFERENCES

Calculations per AISC 360-10, IBC 2015, CBC 2016, ASCE 7-10
Load Combination Set : ASCE 7-16

## Material Properties

| Analysis Method: Load Resistance Factor Design | Fy: Steel Yield: | 50.0 ksi |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Beam Bracing: | Beam bracing is defined as a set spacing over all spans | E: Modulus : |
| Bending Axis: $\quad$ Major Axis Bending |  |  |

## Unbraced Lengths

First Brace starts at 10.0 ft from Left-Most support
Regular spacing of lateral supports on length of beam $=10.0 \mathrm{ft}$


## Applied Loads

Service loads entered. Load Factors will be applied for calculatior
Beam self weight calculated and added to loading Uniform Load: D $=0.0510$, L $=0.020$ ksf, Tributary Width $=30.20 \mathrm{ft}$, (Typical Roof)

| DESIGN SUMMARY |  |  | Design OK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Bending Stress Ratio = | 0.679 : 1 Maxir | Maximum Shear Stress Ratio = | 0.225:1 |
| Section used for this span | W16x67 | Section used for this span | W16x67 |
| Mu : Applied | 325.692 k -ft | Vu: Applied | 43.426 k |
| Mn * Phi : Allowable | 479.756 k -ft | Vn * Phi : Allowable | 193.155 k |
| Load Combination | +1.20D +1.60 L | Load Combination | +1.20D+1.60L |
| Location of maximum on span | 15.000ft | Location of maximum on span | 0.000 ft |
| Span \# where maximum occurs | Span \# 1 | Span \# where maximum occurs | Span \# 1 |
| Maximum Deflection |  |  |  |
| Max Downward Transient Deflection | 0.400 in Ratio $=$ | $=900>=360$. |  |
| Max Upward Transient Deflection | 0.000 in Ratio $=$ | $=0<360.0$ |  |
| Max Downward Total Deflection | 1.463 in Ratio $=$ | $=246>=240$. |  |
| Max Upward Total Deflection | 0.000 in Ratio $=$ | $=0<240.0$ |  |

## Maximum Forces \& Stresses for Load Combinations

| Load Combination |  | Max Stre | atios |  |  | ummary of | ment Val |  |  |  | Summ | ary of Shear | Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Length | Span \# | M | V | max Mu + | max Mu - | Mu Max | Mnx | Phi*Mnx | Cb | Rm | VuMax | Vnx | Phi*Vnx |
| +1.40D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.460 | 0.175 | 224.36 |  | 224.36 | 541.67 | 487.50 | 1.46 | 1.00 | 33.75 | 193.16 | 193.16 |
| Dsgn. $L=10.03 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.528 | 0.059 | 253.13 | 224.36 | 253.13 | 533.06 | 479.76 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 11.38 | 193.16 | 193.16 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.462 | 0.175 | 225.33 |  | 225.33 | 541.67 | 487.50 | 1.45 | 1.00 | 33.75 | 193.16 | 193.16 |
| +1.20D+1.60L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.592 | 0.225 | 288.67 |  | 288.67 | 541.67 | 487.50 | 1.46 | 1.00 | 43.43 | 193.16 | 193.16 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.679 | 0.076 | 325.69 | 288.67 | 325.69 | 533.06 | 479.76 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 14.64 | 193.16 | 193.16 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.595 | 0.225 | 289.92 |  | 289.92 | 541.67 | 487.50 | 1.45 | 1.00 | 43.43 | 193.16 | 193.16 |
| +1.20D+L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.518 | 0.197 | 252.54 |  | 252.54 | 541.67 | 487.50 | 1.46 | 1.00 | 37.99 | 193.16 | 193.16 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.594 | 0.066 | 284.92 | 252.54 | 284.92 | 533.06 | 479.76 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 12.81 | 193.16 | 193.16 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.520 | 0.197 | 253.62 |  | 253.62 | 541.67 | 487.50 | 1.45 | 1.00 | 37.99 | 193.16 | 193.16 |
| +1.20D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.394 | 0.150 | 192.31 |  | 192.31 | 541.67 | 487.50 | 1.46 | 1.00 | 28.93 | 193.16 | 193.16 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.452 | 0.050 | 216.97 | 192.31 | 216.97 | 533.06 | 479.76 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 9.75 | 193.16 | 193.16 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.396 | 0.150 | 193.14 |  | 193.14 | 541.67 | 487.50 | 1.45 | 1.00 | 28.93 | 193.16 | 193.16 |
| +0.90D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L $=9.94 \mathrm{ft}$ |  | 0.296 | 0.112 | 144.23 |  | 144.23 | 541.67 | 487.50 | 1.46 | 1.00 | 21.70 | 193.16 | 193.16 |
| Dsgn. L $=10.03 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.339 | 0.038 | 162.73 | 144.23 | 162.73 | 533.06 | 479.76 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 7.32 | 193.16 | 193.16 |
| Dsgn. $\mathrm{L}=10.03 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.297 | 0.112 | 144.85 |  | 144.85 | 541.67 | 487.50 | 1.45 | 1.00 | 21.70 | 193.16 | 193.16 |
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Steel Beam
File = C:IUsersIOwnerIDesktopISCU Faculty Staff Housing Development.ec6 Software copyright ENERCALC, INC. 1983-2020, Build:12.20.2.24
Lic. \# : KW-06090157 - Educational Version
Licensed User : SANTA CLARA UNIVERSITY, CIVIL ENGINEERINc
DESCRIPTION: Residential (Roof) - 20 to 30 ft spans

| Load Combination |  | $x$ Stre | atios |  |  | ry | nt |  |  |  | Sum | $y$ of S | Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Length | Span \# | M | V | max Mu + | max Mu- | Mu Max | Mnx | Phi*Mnx | Cb | Rm | VuMax | Vnx | Phi*Vnx |
| +1.40D+L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Dsgn. L $=9.94 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.584 | 0.222 | 284.59 |  | 284.59 | 541.67 | 487.50 | 1.46 | 1.00 | 42.81 | 193.16 | 193.16 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.669 | 0.075 | 321.08 | 284.59 | 321.08 | 533.06 | 479.76 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 14.43 | 193.16 | 193.16 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.586 | 0.222 | 285.81 |  | 285.81 | 541.67 | 487.50 | 1.45 | 1.00 | 42.81 | 193.16 | 193.16 |
| +0.70D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.230 | 0.087 | 112.18 |  | 112.18 | 541.67 | 487.50 | 1.46 | 1.00 | 16.88 | 193.16 | 193.16 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.264 | 0.029 | 126.57 | 112.18 | 126.57 | 533.06 | 479.76 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 5.69 | 193.16 | 193.16 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.03 ft | 1 | 0.231 | 0.087 | 112.66 |  | 112.66 | 541.67 | 487.50 | 1.45 | 1.00 | 16.88 | 193.16 | 193.16 |

## Overall Maximum Deflections

| Load Combination | Span | Max. "-" Defl | Location in Span | Load Combination | Max. "+" Defl | Location in Span |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $+D+L$ | 1 | 1.4633 | 15.086 | 0.0000 | 0.000 |  |
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Project Title:
Engineer:
Project ID:
Project Descr:

Steel Beam
DESCRIPTION: Residential (Roof) - 30 to 37 ft spans

## CODE REFERENCES

Calculations per AISC 360-10, IBC 2015, CBC 2016, ASCE 7-10
Load Combination Set : ASCE 7-16

## Material Properties

| Analysis Method: Load Resistance Factor Design | Fy: Steel Yield : | 50.0 ksi |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Beam Bracing : Beam bracing is defined as a set spacing over all spans | E: Modulus : | $29,000.0 \mathrm{ksi}$ |
| Bending Axis : Major Axis Bending |  |  |
| Unbraced Lengths |  |  |

## Unbraced Lengths

First Brace starts at 10.0 ft from Left-Most support
Regular spacing of lateral supports on length of beam $=10.0 \mathrm{ft}$


## Applied Loads

Beam self weight calculated and added to loading Uniform Load : D $=0.0510, L=0.020 \mathrm{ksf}$, Tributary Width $=30.20 \mathrm{ft}$, (Typical Roof)

| DESIGN SUMMARY |  |  | Design OK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Bending Stress Ratio = | 0.584:1 Maxir | Maximum Shear Stress Ratio = | 0.164 : 1 |
| Section used for this span | W18x106 | Section used for this span | W18x106 |
| Mu : Applied | 503.422 k -ft | Vu: Applied | 54.424 k |
| Mn * Phi : Allowable | 862.500 k -ft | Vn * Phi : Allowable | 330.990 k |
| Load Combination | $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}$ | Load Combination | +1.20D+1.60L |
| Location of maximum on span | 18.500 ft | Location of maximum on span | 0.000 ft |
| Span \# where maximum occurs | Span \# 1 | Span \# where maximum occurs | Span \# 1 |
| Maximum Deflection |  |  |  |
| Max Downward Transient Deflection | 0.462 in Ratio $=$ | $=961>=360$. |  |
| Max Upward Transient Deflection | 0.000 in Ratio $=$ | $=0<360.0$ |  |
| Max Downward Total Deflection | 1.721 in Ratio $=$ | $=258>=240$. |  |
| Max Upward Total Deflection | 0.000 in Ratio $=$ | $=0<240.0$ |  |

## Maximum Forces \& Stresses for Load Combinations

| Load Combination |  | Max Stress Ratios |  | Summary of Moment Values |  |  |  |  |  |  | Summary of Shear Values |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Length | Span \# | M | V | max Mu + | max Mu- | Mu Max | Mnx | Phi*Mnx | Cb | Rm | VuMax | Vnx | Phi*Vnx |
| +1.40D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.359 | 0.129 | 309.90 |  | 309.90 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.52 | 1.00 | 42.64 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Dsgn. $L=10.04 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.457 | 0.060 | 394.39 | 309.90 | 394.39 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 19.73 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.454 | 0.079 | 391.86 | 244.18 | 391.86 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 26.31 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Dsgn. L= 7.08 ft | 1 | 0.283 | 0.129 | 244.18 |  | 244.18 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 42.64 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| +1.20D+1.60L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.459 | 0.164 | 395.57 |  | 395.57 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.52 | 1.00 | 54.42 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.04 ft | 1 | 0.584 | 0.076 | 503.42 | 395.57 | 503.42 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 25.19 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.580 | 0.101 | 500.20 | 311.69 | 500.20 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 33.59 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Dsgn. L $=7.08 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.361 | 0.164 | 311.69 |  | 311.69 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 54.42 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| +1.20D+L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.402 | 0.144 | 346.84 |  | 346.84 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.52 | 1.00 | 47.72 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.04 ft | 1 | 0.512 | 0.067 | 441.41 | 346.84 | 441.41 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 22.09 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.509 | 0.089 | 438.58 | 273.29 | 438.58 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 29.45 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Dsgn. L = 7.08 ft | 1 | 0.317 | 0.144 | 273.29 |  | 273.29 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 47.72 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| +1.20D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L $=9.94 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.308 | 0.110 | 265.63 |  | 265.63 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.52 | 1.00 | 36.55 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Dsgn. $\mathrm{L}=10.04 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.392 | 0.051 | 338.05 | 265.63 | 338.05 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 16.92 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Dsgn. L $=9.94 \mathrm{ft}$ |  | 0.389 | 0.068 | 335.88 | 209.30 | 335.88 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 22.55 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Dsgn. L $=7.08 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.243 | 0.110 | 209.30 |  | 209.30 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 36.55 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
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Lic. \# : KW-06090157 - Educational Version
Licensed User : SANTA CLARA UNIVERSITY, CIVIL ENGINEERINc
DESCRIPTION: Residential (Roof) - 30 to 37 ft spans

| Load Combination | Span \# | Max Stress Ratios |  | Summary of Moment Values |  |  |  |  |  |  | Summary of Shear Values |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Length |  | M | $V$ m | max Mu + | max Mu - | Mu Max | Mnx | Phi*Mnx | Cb | Rm | VuMax | Vnx | Phi*Vnx |
| $+0.90 \mathrm{D}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.231 | 0.083 | 199.22 |  | 199.22 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.52 | 1.00 | 27.41 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.04 ft | 1 | 0.294 | 0.038 | 253.54 | 199.22 | 253.54 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 12.69 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.292 | 0.051 | 251.91 | 156.97 | 251.91 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 16.92 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Dsgn. L = 7.08 ft | 1 | 0.182 | 0.083 | 156.97 |  | 156.97 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 27.41 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| +1.40D+L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.453 | 0.163 | 391.11 |  | 391.11 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.52 | 1.00 | 53.81 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.04 ft | 1 | 0.577 | 0.075 | 497.75 | 391.11 | 497.75 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 24.91 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.573 | 0.100 | 494.56 | 308.17 | 494.56 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 33.21 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Dsgn. L = 7.08 ft | 1 | 0.357 | 0.163 | 308.17 |  | 308.17 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 53.81 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| +0.70D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.180 | 0.064 | 154.95 |  | 154.95 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.52 | 1.00 | 21.32 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Dsgn. L $=10.04 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.229 | 0.030 | 197.19 | 154.95 | 197.19 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 9.87 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.227 | 0.040 | 195.93 | 122.09 | 195.93 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 13.16 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Dsgn. L = 7.08 ft | 1 | 0.142 | 0.064 | 122.09 |  | 122.09 | 958.33 | 862.50 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 21.32 | 330.99 | 330.99 |
| Overall Maximum Deflections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Load Combination |  | Span | Max. "-" Defl | Locatio | in Span | Load Com | nation |  |  | M | Defl | Location | Span |
| +D+L |  | 1 | 1.7209 |  | 8.606 |  |  |  |  |  | 000 |  |  |


| Vertical Reactions |  |  | Support notation : Far left is \#1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Load Combination | Support 1 | Support 2 |  |
| Overall MAXimum KIPS |  |  |  |
| Overall MINimum | 41.629 | 41.629 |  |
| D Only | 11.174 | 11.174 |  |
| +D+L | 30.455 | 30.455 |  |
| +D+0.750L | 41.629 | 41.629 |  |
| +0.60D | 38.835 | 38.835 |  |
| L Only | 18.273 | 18.273 |  |
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Project Title:
Engineer:
Project ID:
Project Descr:

Steel Beam
DESCRIPTION: Residential (Roof) - 40 to 45 ft spans

## CODE REFERENCES

Calculations per AISC 360-10, IBC 2015, CBC 2016, ASCE 7-10
Load Combination Set : ASCE 7-16

## Material Properties

| Analysis Method: Load Resistance Factor Design | Fy : Steel Yield : | 50.0 ksi |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Beam Bracing: Beam bracing is defined as a set spacing over all spans | E: Modulus : | $29,000.0 \mathrm{ksi}$ |
| Bending Axis : Major Axis Bending |  |  |
| Unbraced Lengths |  |  |

First Brace starts at 10.0 ft from Left-Most support
Regular spacing of lateral supports on length of beam $=10.0 \mathrm{ft}$


## Applied Loads

Beam self weight calculated and added to loading Uniform Load: D $=0.0510, L=0.020 \mathrm{ksf}$, Tributary Width $=18.0 \mathrm{ft}$, (Typical Roof)

| DESIGN SUMMARY |  |  | Design OK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Bending Stress Ratio = | 0.473: 1 Ma | Maximum Shear Stress Ratio = | 0.110:1 |
| Section used for this span | W18x119 | Section used for this span | W18x119 |
| Mu: Applied | 464.894 k-ft | Vu: Applied | 41.141 k |
| Mn * Phi : Allowable | $982.500 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ | Vn * Phi : Allowable | 373.350 k |
| Load Combination | +1.20D +1.60 L | Load Combination | +1.20D+1.60L |
| Location of maximum on span | 22.600 ft | Location of maximum on span | 0.000 ft |
| Span \# where maximum occurs | Span \# 1 | Span \# where maximum occurs | Span \# 1 |
| Maximum Deflection |  |  |  |
| Max Downward Transient Deflection | 0.535 in Ratio $=$ | $=1,014>=360$. |  |
| Max Upward Transient Deflection | 0.000 in Ratio $=$ | $=0<360.0$ |  |
| Max Downward Total Deflection | 2.075 in Ratio $=$ | $=261>=240$. |  |
| Max Upward Total Deflection | 0.000 in Ratio $=$ | $=0<240.0$ |  |

Maximum Forces \& Stresses for Load Combinations

| Load Combination Segment Length | Span \# | Max Stress Ratios |  | Summary of Moment Values |  |  |  |  |  |  | Summary of Shear Values |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | V | max Mu + | max Mu - | Mu Max | Mnx | Phi*Mnx | Cb | Rm | VuMax | Vnx | Phi*Vnx |
| +1.40D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. $\mathrm{L}=9.94 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.259 | 0.088 | 254.49 |  | 254.49 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.56 | 1.00 | 32.81 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.372 | 0.049 | 365.42 | 254.49 | 365.42 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.10 | 1.00 | 18.37 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.07 ft | 1 | 0.377 | 0.029 | 370.76 | 331.43 | 370.76 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 10.69 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. $\mathrm{L}=9.94 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.337 | 0.067 | 331.43 | 153.38 | 331.43 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.21 | 1.00 | 25.12 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 5.29 ft | 1 | 0.156 | 0.088 | 153.38 |  | 153.38 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.58 | 1.00 | 32.81 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| +1.20D+1.60L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.325 | 0.110 | 319.10 |  | 319.10 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.56 | 1.00 | 41.14 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.466 | 0.062 | 458.20 | 319.10 | 458.20 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.10 | 1.00 | 23.04 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.07 ft | 1 | 0.473 | 0.036 | 464.89 | 415.57 | 464.89 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 13.40 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.423 | 0.084 | 415.57 | 192.32 | 415.57 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.21 | 1.00 | 31.50 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 5.29 ft | 1 | 0.196 | 0.110 | 192.32 |  | 192.32 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.58 | 1.00 | 41.14 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| +1.20D+L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.286 | 0.097 | 281.24 |  | 281.24 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.56 | 1.00 | 36.26 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.411 | 0.054 | 403.83 | 281.24 | 403.83 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.10 | 1.00 | 20.31 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.07 ft | 1 | 0.417 | 0.032 | 409.73 | 366.26 | 409.73 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 11.81 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. $\mathrm{L}=9.94 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.373 | 0.074 | 366.26 | 169.50 | 366.26 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.21 | 1.00 | 27.76 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 5.29 ft |  | 0.173 | -0.097 | 169.50 |  | 169.50 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 |  | 1.00 | 36.26 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| $\begin{aligned} & +1.20 \mathrm{D} \\ & \text { Dsgn. } \mathrm{L}=9.94 \mathrm{ft} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $0.222$ | 0.075 | 218.13 |  | $218.13$ | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.56 | 1.00 | 28.12 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
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File = C:IUserslOwnerlDesktoplSCU Faculty Staff Housing Development.ec6 Software copyright ENERCALC, INC. 1983-0020, Build:12.20.2.24
Lic. \# : KW-06090157 - Educational Version
Licensed User : SANTA CLARA UNIVERSITY, CIVIL ENGINEERINc
DESCRIPTION: Residential (Roof) - 40 to 45 ft spans

| Load Combination | Max Stress Ratios |  |  | Summary of Moment Values |  |  |  |  |  |  | Summary of Shear Values |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Length | Span \# | M | V | max Mu + | max Mu - | Mu Max | Mnx | Phi*Mnx | Cb | Rm | VuMax | Vnx | Phi*Vnx |
| Dsgn. $\mathrm{L}=9.94 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.319 | 0.042 | 313.22 | 218.13 | 313.22 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.10 | 1.00 | 15.75 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.07 ft | 1 | 0.323 | 0.025 | 317.79 | 284.08 | 317.79 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 9.16 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.289 | 0.058 | 284.08 | 131.47 | 284.08 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.21 | 1.00 | 21.53 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 5.29 ft | 1 | 0.134 | 0.075 | 131.47 |  | 131.47 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.58 | 1.00 | 28.12 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| +0.90D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L $=9.94 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.167 | 0.056 | 163.60 |  | 163.60 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.56 | 1.00 | 21.09 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. $\mathrm{L}=9.94 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.239 | 0.032 | 234.91 | 163.60 | 234.91 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.10 | 1.00 | 11.81 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.07 ft | 1 | 0.243 | 0.018 | 238.35 | 213.06 | 238.35 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 6.87 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.217 | 0.043 | 213.06 | 98.60 | 213.06 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.21 | 1.00 | 16.15 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 5.29 ft | 1 | 0.100 | 0.056 | 98.60 |  | 98.60 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.58 | 1.00 | 21.09 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| +1.40D+L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.323 | 0.110 | 317.60 |  | 317.60 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.56 | 1.00 | 40.95 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.464 | 0.061 | 456.03 | 317.60 | 456.03 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.10 | 1.00 | 22.93 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.07 ft | 1 | 0.471 | 0.036 | 462.70 | 413.61 | 462.70 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 13.34 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.421 | 0.084 | 413.61 | 191.41 | 413.61 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.21 | 1.00 | 31.35 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L $=5.29 \mathrm{ft}$ |  | 0.195 | 0.110 | 191.41 |  | 191.41 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.58 | 1.00 | 40.95 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| $+0.70 \mathrm{D}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.130 | 0.044 | 127.25 |  | 127.25 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.56 | 1.00 | 16.41 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 9.94 ft | 1 | 0.186 | 0.025 | 182.71 | 127.25 | 182.71 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.10 | 1.00 | 9.19 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 10.07 ft | 1 | 0.189 | 0.014 | 185.38 | 165.71 | 185.38 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 5.34 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. $\mathrm{L}=9.94 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1 | 0.169 | 0.034 | 165.71 | 76.69 | 165.71 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.21 | 1.00 | 12.56 | 373.35 | 373.35 |
| Dsgn. L = 5.29 ft | 1 | 0.078 | 0.044 | 76.69 |  | 76.69 | 1,091.67 | 982.50 | 1.58 | 1.00 | 16.41 | 373.35 | 373.35 |

## Overall Maximum Deflections
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Lic. \# : KW-06090157 - Educational Version
DESCRIPTION: Parking Garage - 18'3" and below spans

## CODE REFERENCES

Calculations per ACI 318-14, IBC 2015, CBC 2016, ASCE 7-10
Load Combination Set : ASCE 7-16

## Material Properties



## Cross Section \& Reinforcing Details

Rectangular Section, Width $=18.0$ in, Height $=16.0$ in
Span \#1 Reinforcing...
7-\#9 at 3.0 in from Bottom, from 0.0 to 18.250 ft in this span

Beam self weight calculated and added to loads
Load for Span Number 1
Uniform Load: $D=0.1080, L=0.060 \mathrm{ksf}$, Tributary Width $=24.0 \mathrm{ft}$, (Parking Garage)
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Concrete Beam
DESCRIPTION: Parking Garage - 18 ' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ " and below spans
Vertical Reactions Support notation : Far left is \#1

| Load Combination | Support 1 | Support 2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $+\mathrm{D}+0.750 \mathrm{~L}+0.750 \mathrm{~S}+0.5250 \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{H}$ | 36.153 | 36.153 |
| $+0.60 \mathrm{D}+0.70 \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{H}$ | 15.779 | 15.779 |
| D Only | 26.298 | 26.298 |
| Lr Only | 13.140 | 13.14 |
| L Only |  |  |
| S Only |  |  |
| W Only |  |  |
| E Only |  |  |
| H Only |  |  |

Detailed Shear Information

| Load Combination | Span <br> Number | Distance <br> (ft) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 'd' } \\ & \text { (in) } \end{aligned}$ | Vu <br> Actual | (k) Design | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Mu} \\ (\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{d}^{*} \mathrm{~V}$ / $/ \mathrm{Mu}$ | Phi*Vc <br> (k) | Comment | Phi*Vs <br> (k) | Phi*Vn <br> (k) | Spacin <br> Req'd | uggest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 0.00 | 13.00 | 52.58 | 52.58 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 34.21 | PhiVc < Vu | 18.368 | 55.7 | 4.7 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 0.20 | 13.00 | 51.43 | 51.43 | 10.37 | 1.00 | 34.21 | PhiVc < Vu | 17.218 | 55.7 | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 0.40 | 13.00 | 50.28 | 50.28 | 20.52 | 1.00 | 34.21 | PhiVc < Vu | 16.069 | 55.7 | 5.3 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 0.60 | 13.00 | 49.13 | 49.13 | 30.43 | 1.00 | 34.21 | PhiVc < Vu | 14.920 | 55.7 | 5.8 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 0.80 | 13.00 | 47.98 | 47.98 | 40.12 | 1.00 | 34.21 | PhiVc < Vu | 13.770 | 55.7 | 6.2 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 1.00 | 13.00 | 46.84 | 46.84 | 49.57 | 1.00 | 34.21 | PhiVc < Vu | 12.621 | 55.7 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 1.20 | 13.00 | 45.69 | 45.69 | 58.80 | 0.84 | 32.14 | PhiVc < Vu | 13.549 | 53.6 | 6.3 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 1.40 | 13.00 | 44.54 | 44.54 | 67.80 | 0.71 | 30.43 | PhiVc < Vu | 14.107 | 51.9 | 6.1 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D $+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 1.60 | 13.00 | 43.39 | 43.39 | 76.57 | 0.61 | 29.15 | PhiVc < Vu | 14.241 | 50.6 | 6.0 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 1.80 | 13.00 | 42.24 | 42.24 | 85.10 | 0.54 | 28.15 | PhiVc < Vu | 14.092 | 49.6 | 6.1 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 1.99 | 13.00 | 41.09 | 41.09 | 93.41 | 0.48 | 27.34 | PhiVc < Vu | 13.745 | 48.8 | 6.2 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 2.19 | 13.00 | 39.94 | 39.94 | 101.50 | 0.43 | 26.68 | PhiVc < Vu | 13.255 | 48.1 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 2.39 | 13.00 | 38.79 | 38.79 | 109.35 | 0.38 | 26.13 | PhiVc < Vu | 12.657 | 47.6 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D $+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 2.59 | 13.00 | 37.64 | 37.64 | 116.97 | 0.35 | 25.66 | PhiVc < Vu | 11.976 | 47.1 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 2.79 | 13.00 | 36.49 | 36.49 | 124.36 | 0.32 | 25.26 | PhiVc < Vu | 11.230 | 46.7 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ |  | 2.99 | 13.00 | 35.34 | 35.34 | 131.53 | 0.29 | 24.91 | PhiVc < Vu | 10.432 | 46.4 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ |  | 3.19 | 13.00 | 34.19 | 34.19 | 138.46 | 0.27 | 24.60 | PhiVc < Vu | 9.592 | 46.1 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 3.39 | 13.00 | 33.04 | 33.04 | 145.17 | 0.25 | 24.33 | PhiVc < Vu | 8.717 | 45.8 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 3.59 | 13.00 | 31.89 | 31.89 | 151.64 | 0.23 | 24.08 | PhiVc < Vu | 7.814 | 45.5 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 3.79 | 13.00 | 30.74 | 30.74 | 157.89 | 0.21 | 23.86 | PhiVc < Vu | 6.887 | 45.3 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 3.99 | 13.00 | 29.60 | 29.60 | 163.91 | 0.20 | 23.66 | PhiVc < Vu | 5.939 | 45.1 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 4.19 | 13.00 | 28.45 | 28.45 | 169.69 | 0.18 | 23.47 | PhiVc < Vu | 4.973 | 44.9 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 4.39 | 13.00 | 27.30 | 27.30 | 175.25 | 0.17 | 23.30 | PhiVc < Vu | 3.993 | 44.8 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 4.59 | 13.00 | 26.15 | 26.15 | 180.58 | 0.16 | 23.15 | PhiVc < Vu | 2.999 | 44.6 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 4.79 | 13.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 185.68 | 0.15 | 23.00 | PhiVc < Vu | 1.994 | 44.5 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 4.99 | 13.00 | 23.85 | 23.85 | 190.55 | 0.14 | 22.87 | PhiVc < Vu | 0.9799 | 44.3 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 5.19 | 13.00 | 22.70 | 22.70 | 195.20 | 0.13 | 22.74 | PhiVc/2 < Vu <= | Min 9.6.3.1 | 37.0 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| +1.20D $+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 5.39 | 13.00 | 21.55 | 21.55 | 199.61 | 0.12 | 22.62 | PhiVc/2 < Vu <= | Min 9.6.3.1 | 36.9 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ |  | 5.58 | 13.00 | 20.40 | 20.40 | 203.79 | 0.11 | 22.51 | PhiVc/2 < Vu < | Min 9.6.3.1 | 36.8 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| +1.20D $+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 5.78 | 13.00 | 19.25 | 19.25 | 207.75 | 0.10 | 22.41 | PhiVc/2 < Vu <= | Min 9.6.3.1 | 36.7 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 5.98 | 13.00 | 18.10 | 18.10 | 211.47 | 0.09 | 22.31 | PhiVc/2 < Vu <= | Min 9.6.3.1 | 36.6 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 6.18 | 13.00 | 16.95 | 16.95 | 214.97 | 0.09 | 22.21 | PhiVc/2 < Vu <= | Min 9.6.3.1 | 36.5 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 6.38 | 13.00 | 15.80 | 15.80 | 218.23 | 0.08 | 22.12 | PhiVc/2 < Vu <= | Min 9.6.3.1 | 36.4 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 6.58 | 13.00 | 14.65 | 14.65 | 221.27 | 0.07 | 22.03 | PhiVc/2 < Vu <= | Min 9.6.3.1 | 36.3 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 6.78 | 13.00 | 13.50 | 13.50 | 224.08 | 0.07 | 21.95 | PhiVc/2 < Vu <= | Min 9.6.3.1 | 36.2 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 6.98 | 13.00 | 12.36 | 12.36 | 226.66 | 0.06 | 21.86 | PhiVc/2 < Vu <= | Min 9.6.3.1 | 36.2 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 7.18 | 13.00 | 11.21 | 11.21 | 229.01 | 0.05 | 21.78 | PhiVc/2 < Vu <= | Min 9.6.3.1 | 36.1 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 7.38 | 13.00 | 10.06 | 10.06 | 231.13 | 0.05 | 21.71 | Vu < PhiVc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 21.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 7.58 | 13.00 | 8.91 | 8.91 | 233.02 | 0.04 | 21.63 | Vu< PhiVc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 21.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 7.78 | 13.00 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 234.68 | 0.04 | 21.56 | Vu < PhiVc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 21.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 7.98 | 13.00 | 6.61 | 6.61 | 236.12 | 0.03 | 21.49 | Vu < PhiVc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 21.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ |  | 8.18 | 13.00 | 5.46 | 5.46 | 237.32 | 0.02 | 21.42 | Vu<PhiVc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 21.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| +1.20D $+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ |  |  | 13.00 |  | 4.31 | 238.29 | 0.02 | 21.35 | Vu<PhiVc/2 | Iot Reqd 9.6. |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
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Project Title:
Engineer:
Project ID:
Project Descr:

Concrete Beam
DESCRIPTION: Parking Garage - 18'3" and below spans
Detailed Shear Information

| Load Combination | Span Number | Distance <br> (ft) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 'd' } \\ & \text { (in) } \end{aligned}$ | Actual | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (k) } \\ & \text { Design } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Mu} \\ (\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $d^{*} V u / M u$ | Phi*Vc (k) | Comment | Phi*Vs <br> (k) | $P h i{ }^{*} V n$ (k) | Spacin Req'd |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 8.58 | 13.00 | 3.16 | 3.16 | 239.04 | 0.01 | 21.28 | Vu < PhiVc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 21.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 8.78 | 13.00 | 2.01 | 2.01 | 239.55 | 0.01 | 21.21 | Vu < Phivc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 21.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 8.98 | 13.00 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 239.84 | 0.00 | 21.14 | Vu < Phivc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 21.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 9.17 | 13.00 | -0.29 | 0.29 | 239.90 | 0.00 | 21.11 | Vu < Phivc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 21.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 9.37 | 13.00 | -1.44 | 1.44 | 239.73 | 0.01 | 21.17 | Vu<PhiVc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 21.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 9.57 | 13.00 | -2.59 | 2.59 | 239.32 | 0.01 | 21.24 | Vu < Phivc/2 | lot Regd 9.6. | 21.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 9.77 | 13.00 | -3.74 | 3.74 | 238.69 | 0.02 | 21.31 | Vu < Phivc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 21.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 9.97 | 13.00 | -4.88 | 4.88 | 237.83 | 0.02 | 21.38 | Vu < Phivc/2 | lot Regd 9.6. | 21.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 10.17 | 13.00 | -6.03 | 6.03 | 236.75 | 0.03 | 21.45 | Vu<PhiVc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 21.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 10.37 | 13.00 | -7.18 | 7.18 | 235.43 | 0.03 | 21.52 | Vu < Phivc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 21.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 10.57 | 13.00 | -8.33 | 8.33 | 233.88 | 0.04 | 21.60 | Vu < Phivcl2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 21.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 10.77 | 13.00 | -9.48 | 9.48 | 232.10 | 0.04 | 21.67 | Vu < Phivc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 21.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 10.97 | 13.00 | -10.63 | 10.63 | 230.10 | 0.05 | 21.75 | Vu < Phivcl2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 21.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 11.17 | 13.00 | -11.78 | 11.78 | 227.86 | 0.06 | 21.82 | Phivc/2<Vu<= | Min 9.6.3.1 | 36.1 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 11.37 | 13.00 | -12.93 | 12.93 | 225.40 | 0.06 | 21.90 | Phivc/2<Vu<= | Min 9.6.3.1 | 36.2 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 11.57 | 13.00 | -14.08 | 14.08 | 222.70 | 0.07 | 21.99 | Phivc/2<Vu<= | Min 9.6.3.1 | 36.3 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 11.77 | 13.00 | -15.23 | 15.23 | 219.78 | 0.08 | 22.07 | Phivc/2<Vu<= | Min 9.6.3.1 | 36.4 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 11.97 | 13.00 | -16.38 | 16.38 | 216.63 | 0.08 | 22.16 | Phivc/2<Vu<= | Min 9.6.3.1 | 36.5 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 12.17 | 13.00 | -17.53 | 17.53 | 213.25 | 0.09 | 22.26 | Phivc/2<Vu<= | Min 9.6.3.1 | 36.6 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 12.37 | 13.00 | -18.68 | 18.68 | 209.64 | 0.10 | 22.36 | Phivc/2<Vu<= | Min 9.6.3.1 | 36.7 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 12.57 | 13.00 | -19.83 | 19.83 | 205.80 | 0.10 | 22.46 | Phivc/2<Vu<= | Min 9.6.3.1 | 36.8 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 12.77 | 13.00 | -20.98 | 20.98 | 201.73 | 0.11 | 22.57 | Phivc/2<Vu<= | Min 9.6.3.1 | 36.9 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 12.96 | 13.00 | -22.12 | 22.12 | 197.43 | 0.12 | 22.68 | Phivc/2<Vu<= | Min 9.6.3.1 | 37.0 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 13.16 | 13.00 | -23.27 | 23.27 | 192.90 | 0.13 | 22.80 | PhiVc < Vu | 0.4692 | 44.3 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 13.36 | 13.00 | -24.42 | 24.42 | 188.15 | 0.14 | 22.93 | PhiVc < Vu | 1.488 | 44.4 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 13.56 | 13.00 | -25.57 | 25.57 | 183.16 | 0.15 | 23.07 | PhiVc < Vu | 2.498 | 44.5 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 13.76 | 13.00 | -26.72 | 26.72 | 177.95 | 0.16 | 23.22 | Phivg < Vu | 3.498 | 44.7 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 13.96 | 13.00 | -27.87 | 27.87 | 172.50 | 0.18 | 23.39 | Phivc < Vu | 4.485 | 44.8 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 14.16 | 13.00 | -29.02 | 29.02 | 166.83 | 0.19 | 23.56 | PhiVc < Vu | 5.458 | 45.0 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 14.36 | 13.00 | -30.17 | 30.17 | 160.93 | 0.20 | 23.75 | PhiVc < Vu | 6.415 | 45.2 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 14.56 | 13.00 | -31.32 | 31.32 | 154.79 | 0.22 | 23.97 | PhiVc < Vu | 7.353 | 45.4 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 14.76 | 13.00 | -32.47 | 32.47 | 148.43 | 0.24 | 24.20 | PhiVc < Vu | 8.269 | 45.6 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 14.96 | 13.00 | -33.62 | 33.62 | 141.84 | 0.26 | 24.46 | PhiVc < Vu | 9.159 | 45.9 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 15.16 | 13.00 | -34.77 | 34.77 | 135.02 | 0.28 | 24.75 | Phivc < Vu | 10.017 | 46.2 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 15.36 | 13.00 | -35.92 | 35.92 | 127.97 | 0.30 | 25.08 | PhiVc < Vu | 10.837 | 46.5 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 15.56 | 13.00 | -37.07 | 37.07 | 120.69 | 0.33 | 25.46 | PhiVc < Vu | 11.610 | 46.9 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 15.76 | 13.00 | -38.22 | 38.22 | 113.19 | 0.37 | 25.89 | PhiVc < Vu | 12.325 | 47.3 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 15.96 | 13.00 | -39.36 | 39.36 | 105.45 | 0.40 | 26.40 | PhiVc < Vu | 12.967 | 47.8 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 16.16 | 13.00 | -40.51 | 40.51 | 97.48 | 0.45 | 27.00 | Phivc < Vu | 13.515 | 48.4 | 6.3 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 16.36 | 13.00 | -41.66 | 41.66 | 89.29 | 0.51 | 27.72 | PhiVc < Vu | 13.939 | 49.2 | 6.2 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 16.55 | 13.00 | -42.81 | 42.81 | 80.86 | 0.57 | 28.62 | Phivc < Vu | 14.195 | 50.1 | 6.0 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 16.75 | 13.00 | -43.96 | 43.96 | 72.21 | 0.66 | 29.75 | PhiVc < Vu | 14.216 | 51.2 | 6.0 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 16.95 | 13.00 | -45.11 | 45.11 | 63.33 | 0.77 | 31.22 | Phivc < Vu | 13.893 | 52.7 | 6.2 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 17.15 | 13.00 | -46.26 | 46.26 | 54.21 | 0.92 | 33.22 | PhiVc < Vu | 13.039 | 54.7 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 17.35 | 13.00 | -47.41 | 47.41 | 44.87 | 1.00 | 34.21 | PhiVc < Vu | 13.196 | 55.7 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 17.55 | 13.00 | -48.56 | 48.56 | 35.30 | 1.00 | 34.21 | Phivc < Vu | 14.345 | 55.7 | 6.0 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 17.75 | 13.00 | -49.71 | 49.71 | 25.50 | 1.00 | 34.21 | Phivc < Vu | 15.494 | 55.7 | 5.5 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 17.95 | 13.00 | -50.86 | 50.86 | 15.47 | 1.00 | 34.21 | PhiVc < Vu | 16.644 | 55.7 | 5.2 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 18.15 | 13.00 | -52.01 | 52.01 | 5.22 | 1.00 | 34.21 | PhiVc < Vu | 17.793 | 55.7 | 4.8 | 4.0 |
| Maximum Forces \& Stresses for Load Combinations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Load CombinationSegmentMAXimum BENDING Envelope |  |  |  |  |  | cation (ft) |  | Bend | ding Stress Results | (k-ft) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Span \# along Beam |  |  |  | Mu:Max Phi*Mnx |  | Stress Ratio |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $O_{1}$ |  | 18.250 |  | 239.90 | $9 \bigcirc 294.7$ | $7 \bigcirc 0.8$ | . 81 |  |  |
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Title Block Line 6
Project Title:
Engineer:
Project ID:
Project Descr:

DESCRIPTION: Parking Garage - 18'3" and below spans


| Load Combination | Span | Max. "-" Defl (in) | Location in Span (ft) | Load Combination | Max. "+" Defl (in) | Location in Span (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $+\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 0.7381 | 9.125 | 0.0000 | 0.000 |  |

Title Block Line 1
Project Title:
You can change this area using the "Settings" menu item and then using the "Printing \&

Engineer:
Project ID:

Title Block" selection.
Title Block Line 6
Concrete Beam
DESCRIPTION: Parking Garage - 19 to 29.5 ft spans

## CODE REFERENCES

Calculations per ACI 318-14, IBC 2015, CBC 2016, ASCE 7-10
Load Combination Set : ASCE 7-16

## Material Properties



## Cross Section \& Reinforcing Details

Rectangular Section, Width $=24.0$ in, Height $=22.0$ in
Span \#1 Reinforcing...
$8-\# 10$ at 2.0 in from Bottom, from 0.0 to 29.50 ft in this span $8-\# 10$ at 3.0 in from Bottom, from 0.0 to 29.50 ft in this span

Beam self weight calculated and added to loads
Load for Span Number 1
Uniform Load : D $=0.1080, L=0.060 \mathrm{ksf}$, Tributary Width $=31.0 \mathrm{ft}$, (Parking Garage)


Title Block Line 1

## You can change this area

using the "Settings" menu item
and then using the "Printing \&
Title Block" selection.
Title Block Line 6
Project Title:
Engineer:
Project ID:
Project Descr:

Concrete Beam
Lic. \#: KW-06090157 - Educational Version

DESCRIPTION: Parking Garage - 19 to 29.5 ft spans
Vertical Reactions $\quad$ Support notation : Far left is \#1


Detailed Shear Information

| Load Combination | Span Number | Distance <br> (ft) | 'd' (in) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Vu} \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | (k) Design | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Mu} \\ (k-\mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{d}^{*} \mathrm{~V}$ //Mu | Phi*Vc <br> (k) | Comment | $\mathrm{Phi}^{*} \mathrm{Vs}$ <br> (k) | Phi*Vn <br> (k) | Spacing (in) Req'd Sug | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (in) } \\ & \text { iggest } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +1.20D $+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 112.57 | 112.57 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 79.69 | PhiVc < Vu | 32.877 | 112.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 0.32 | 20.00 | 110.11 | 110.11 | 35.90 | 1.00 | 79.69 | PhiVc $<\mathrm{Vu}$ | 30.416 | 112.7 | 4.3 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 0.64 | 20.00 | 107.65 | 107.65 | 71.00 | 1.00 | 79.69 | Phivc < Vu | 27.956 | 112.7 | 4.7 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 0.97 | 20.00 | 105.18 | 105.18 | 105.31 | 1.00 | 79.69 | Phivc < Vu | 25.495 | 112.7 | 5.2 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 1.29 | 20.00 | 102.72 | 102.72 | 138.82 | 1.00 | 79.69 | PhiVc < Vu | 23.035 | 112.7 | 5.7 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 1.61 | 20.00 | 100.26 | 100.26 | 171.54 | 0.97 | 79.69 | Phivc < Vu | 20.574 | 112.7 | 6.4 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 1.93 | 20.00 | 97.80 | 97.80 | 203.47 | 0.80 | 73.78 | PhiVc < Vu | 24.021 | 106.8 | 5.5 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 2.26 | 20.00 | 95.34 | 95.34 | 234.61 | 0.68 | 69.07 | PhiVc < Vu | 26.277 | 102.1 | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 2.58 | 20.00 | 92.88 | 92.88 | 264.95 | 0.58 | 65.52 | PhiVc < Vu | 27.362 | 98.5 | 4.8 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 2.90 | 20.00 | 90.42 | 90.42 | 294.50 | 0.51 | 62.76 | PhiVc < Vu | 27.665 | 95.8 | 4.8 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 3.22 | 20.00 | 87.96 | 87.96 | 323.25 | 0.45 | 60.54 | PhiVc < Vu | 27.422 | 93.5 | 4.8 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 3.55 | 20.00 | 85.50 | 85.50 | 351.22 | 0.41 | 58.72 | PhiVc < Vu | 26.783 | 91.7 | 4.9 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 3.87 | 20.00 | 83.04 | 83.04 | 378.39 | 0.37 | 57.20 | PhiVc < Vu | 25.845 | 90.2 | 5.1 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 4.19 | 20.00 | 80.58 | 80.58 | 404.76 | 0.33 | 55.90 | PhiVc < Vu | 24.679 | 88.9 | 5.3 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 4.51 | 20.00 | 78.12 | 78.12 | 430.35 | 0.30 | 54.79 | Phivc < Vu | 23.333 | 87.8 | 5.7 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 4.84 | 20.00 | 75.66 | 75.66 | 455.13 | 0.28 | 53.82 | Phive < Vu | 21.843 | 86.8 | 6.0 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 5.16 | 20.00 | 73.20 | 73.20 | 479.13 | 0.25 | 52.96 | Phivc $<\mathrm{Vu}$ | 20.238 | 86.0 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 5.48 | 20.00 | 70.74 | 70.74 | 502.33 | 0.23 | 52.20 | PhiVc < Vu | 18.536 | 85.2 | 7.1 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 5.80 | 20.00 | 68.28 | 68.28 | 524.74 | 0.22 | 51.52 | PhiVc < Vu | 16.755 | 84.5 | 7.7 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 6.13 | 20.00 | 65.82 | 65.82 | 546.36 | 0.20 | 50.91 | PhiVc < Vu | 14.908 | 83.9 | 7.7 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 6.45 | 20.00 | 63.36 | 63.36 | 567.18 | 0.19 | 50.35 | PhiVc < Vu | 13.004 | 83.4 | 7.7 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 6.77 | 20.00 | 60.90 | 60.90 | 587.21 | 0.17 | 49.85 | PhiVc < Vu | 11.051 | 82.8 | 7.7 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S +1.60 H | 1 | 7.09 | 20.00 | 58.44 | 58.44 | 606.45 | 0.16 | 49.38 | PhiVc < Vu | 9.057 | 82.4 | 7.7 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 7.42 | 20.00 | 55.98 | 55.98 | 624.89 | 0.15 | 48.95 | PhiVc < Vu | 7.027 | 81.9 | 7.7 | 4.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 7.74 | 20.00 | 53.52 | 53.52 | 642.54 | 0.14 | 48.55 | PhiVc < Vu | 4.966 | 81.5 | 7.7 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 8.06 | 20.00 | 51.05 | 51.05 | 659.40 | 0.13 | 48.18 | PhiVc < Vu | 2.878 | 81.2 | 7.7 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 8.38 | 20.00 | 48.59 | 48.59 | 675.46 | 0.12 | 47.83 | PhiVc < Vu | 0.7658 | 80.8 | 7.7 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 8.70 | 20.00 | 46.13 | 46.13 | 690.73 | 0.11 | 47.50 | PhiVc/2 < Vu <= | Min 11.5.6.3 | 66.4 | 7.3 | 7.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 9.03 | 20.00 | 43.67 | 43.67 | 705.21 | 0.10 | 47.19 | PhiVc/2 $2 \mathrm{~V} u<=$ | Min 11.5.6.3 | 66.0 | 7.3 | 7.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S +1.60 H | 1 | 9.35 | 20.00 | 41.21 | 41.21 | 718.89 | 0.10 | 46.90 | PhiVc/2 < Vu < $=$ | Min 11.5.6.3 | 65.8 | 7.3 | 7.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 9.67 | 20.00 | 38.75 | 38.75 | 731.79 | 0.09 | 46.62 | PhiVc/2 < Vu <= | Min 11.5.6.3 | 65.5 | 7.3 | 7.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 9.99 | 20.00 | 36.29 | 36.29 | 743.88 | 0.08 | 46.36 | PhiVc/2 < Vu <= | Min 11.5.6.3 | 65.2 | 7.3 | 7.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 10.32 | 20.00 | 33.83 | 33.83 | 755.19 | 0.07 | 46.10 | PhiVc/2 < Vu <= | Min 11.5.6.3 | 65.0 | 7.3 | 7.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 10.64 | 20.00 | 31.37 | 31.37 | 765.70 | 0.07 | 45.86 | PhiVc/2 < Vu <= | Min 11.5.6.3 | 64.7 | 7.3 | 7.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 10.96 | 20.00 | 28.91 | 28.91 | 775.42 | 0.06 | 45.63 | PhiVc/2 < Vu <= | Min 11.5.6.3 | 64.5 | 7.3 | 7.0 |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S+1.60H | 1 | 11.28 | 20.00 | 26.45 | 26.45 | 784.34 | 0.06 | 45.40 | PhiVc/2 < Vu <= | Min 11.5.6.3 | 64.3 | 7.3 | 7.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 11.61 | 20.00 | 23.99 | 23.99 | 792.47 | 0.05 | 45.18 | PhiVc/2 < Vu <= | Min 11.5.6.3 | 64.0 | 7.3 | 7.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 11.93 | 20.00 | 21.53 | 21.53 | 799.81 | 0.04 | 44.97 | Vu < Phivc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 45.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 12.25 | 20.00 | 19.07 | 19.07 | 806.35 | 0.04 | 44.76 | Vu < PhiVc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 44.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 12.57 | 20.00 | 16.61 | 16.61 | 812.10 | 0.03 | 44.56 | Vu < PhiVc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 44.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 12.90 | 20.00 | 14.15 | 14.15 | 817.06 | 0.03 | 44.36 | Vu < Phivcl2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 44.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 13.22 | 20.00 | 11.69 | 11.69 | 821.23 | 0.02 | 44.16 | Vu<Phivc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 44.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 13.54 | 20.00 | 9.23 | 9.23 | 824.60 | 0.02 | 43.97 | Vu<Phivc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 44.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
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Title Block Line 6
Project Title:
Engineer:
Project ID:
Project Descr:

Concrete Beam
Lic. \#: KW-06090157-Educational Version
DESCRIPTION: Parking Garage - 19 to 29.5 ft spans
Detailed Shear Information


Title Block Line 1
You can change this area using the "Settings" menu item and then using the "Printing \&
Title Block" selection.
Title Block Line 6
Project Title:
Engineer:
Project ID:
Project Descr:

Concrete Beam
File = C:IUserslOwnerlDesktoplSCU Faculty Staff Housing Development.ec6
Software copyright ENERCALC, INC. 1983-2020, Build:12.20.2.24
Lic. \#: KW-06090157 - Educational Version
Licensed User : SANTA CLARA UNIVERSITY, CIVIL ENGINEERING
DESCRIPTION: Parking Garage - 19 to 29.5 ft spans


| Load Combination | Span | Max. "-" Defl (in) | Location in Span (ft) | Load Combination | Max. "+" Defl (in) | Location in Span (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $+\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 1.2002 | 14.750 | 0.0000 | 0.000 |  |

Title Block Line 1
Project Title:
You can change this area using the "Settings" menu item and then using the "Printing \&

Engineer:
Project ID:

Title Block" selection.
Title Block Line 6
Concrete Beam
DESCRIPTION: Parking Garage - 30 ' to 45 '3" spans

## CODE REFERENCES

Calculations per ACI 318-14, IBC 2015, CBC 2016, ASCE 7-10
Load Combination Set : ASCE 7-16

## Material Properties



## Cross Section \& Reinforcing Details

Rectangular Section, Width $=28.0$ in, Height $=26.0$ in
Span \#1 Reinforcing...
10-\#10 at 1.50 in from Bottom, from 0.0 to 41.50 ft in this span
10-\#10 at 2.50 in from Bottom, from 0.0 to 41.50 ft in this span
$10-\# 9$ at 3.50 in from Bottom, from 0.0 to 41.50 ft in this span

## Beam self weight calculated and added to loads

## Load for Span Number 1

Uniform Load : D $=0.1080, \mathrm{~L}=0.060 \mathrm{ksf}$, Tributary Width $=28.30 \mathrm{ft}$, (Parking Garage)


Title Block Line 1 You can change this area using the "Settings" menu item and then using the "Printing \&
Title Block" selection.
Title Block Line 6
Project Title:
Engineer:
Project ID:
Project Descr:

Concrete Beam
Lic. \#: KW-06090157 - Educational Version
DESCRIPTION: Parking Garage - 30 ' to 45'3" spans


Detailed Shear Information

| Load Combination | Span Number | Distance <br> (ft) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 'd } \\ & \text { (in) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Vu} \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{(k)}{{ }_{\text {Design }}}$ | $\underset{(k-f t)}{(k)}$ | $\mathrm{d}^{*} \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{M}$ | Phi*Vc $(\mathrm{k})$ | Comment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phi*Vs } \\ & \text { (k) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Phi*Vn } \\ (\mathrm{k}) \end{gathered}$ | Spacing Req'd S | ggest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +1.20D+1.60L+0.50S +1.60 H | , | 0.00 | 24.50 | 150.73 | 150.73 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 113.89 | Phivc < Vu | 36.842 | 154.3 | 4.4 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 0.45 | 24.50 | 147.44 | 147.44 | 67.62 | 1.00 | 13.89 | Phivc < Vu | 33.54 | 154.3 | 4.8 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 0.91 | 24.50 | 144.14 | 144.14 | 133.74 | 1.00 | 113.89 | Phivc < Vu | 30.25 | 154.3 | 5.3 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 1.36 | 4.50 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 8.37 | 1.0 | 13.89 | Phivc < Vu | 26.958 | 154.3 | 6.0 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 1.81 | 24.50 | 7.55 | 137.55 | 1.50 | 1.00 | 3.89 | Phivc<vi | 23.663 | 154.3 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 2.27 | 24.50 | 34.26 | 134.26 | 323.14 | 0.85 | 13.89 | ivc < | 20.36 | 154.3 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 2.72 | 24.50 | 130.96 | 130.96 | 33.29 | 0.70 | 108.13 | ivc < Vu | 2.83 | 148.6 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 3.17 | 24.50 | 127.67 | 127.67 | 441.94 | 0.59 | 100.97 | fivc < Vu | 26.695 | 41.4 | 6.1 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 3.63 | 24.50 | 124.37 | 124.37 | 499.10 | 0.51 | 95.60 | Phivc < Vu | 28.778 | 36.0 | 5.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 4.08 | 24.50 | 121.08 | 121.08 | 554.76 | 0.45 | 1.40 | Phivc < Vu | 29.676 | 31.8 | 5.4 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 4.54 | 24.50 | 117.78 | 117.78 | 608.93 | 0.39 | 38.04 | Phivc < Vu | 29.746 | 28.5 | 5.4 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 4.99 | 24.50 | 114.49 | 114.49 | 661 | 0.35 | 85.28 | Phivc < Vu | 9.213 | 125.7 | 5.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 5.44 | 24.50 | 111.20 | 111.20 | 712.7 | 0.32 | 82.97 | Phivc < Vu | 28.229 | 123.4 | 5.7 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 5.90 | 24.50 | 107.90 | 107.90 | 762.47 | 0.29 | 81.00 | Phivc < Vu | 26.89 | 121.4 | 6.0 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 6.35 | 24.50 | 104.61 | 104.61 | 810.66 | 0.26 | 79.31 | Phivc < Vu | 25.29 | 19.7 | 6.4 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 6.80 | 24.50 | 101.31 | 101.31 | 857.36 | 24 | 84 | ivc < Vu | 23 | 118.3 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 7.26 | 24.50 | 98.02 | 98.02 | 902.56 | 0.22 | 54 | hivc < Vu | 21.474 | 117 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 7.71 | 24.50 | 94.72 | 94.72 | 946.27 | 0.20 | 39 | Vc< $<$ Vu | 19.331 | 115.8 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 8.16 | 24.5 | 91.43 | 91.43 | 988.48 | 0.19 | 74.36 | Vc $<$ Vu | 17.067 | 114.8 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 8.62 | 24.5 | 88.13 | 88.13 | 1,029 | 0.17 | 73.43 | $\mathrm{Vc}<\mathrm{vu}$ | 14.702 | 113.9 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 9.07 | 24.50 | . 84 | 84.84 | 1,068 | 0.16 | 72.59 | Vivc < Vu | 12.25 | 113.0 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 9.52 | 24.50 | 54 | . 54 | 1,106 | . 15 | 71.82 | Vivc < Vu | 9.727 | 21.2 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 98 | 24.50 | . 25 | . 25 | 1,142 | . 14 | 71.11 | ,ivc | 7.141 | 11.5 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 10.43 | 24.50 | . 95 | . 95 | 1,177 | 0.13 | 70.45 | fivc | 499 | 10.9 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 10.89 | 24.50 | 71.66 | 1.66 | 1,210.39 | 0.12 | 69.85 | Phivc < | 1.810 | 10.3 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 11.34 | 24.50 | 68.36 | 68.36 | 1,242.14 | 0.11 | 69.28 | Phivc/2 < Vu < $<$ | Min 11.5.6.3 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 11.79 | 24.50 | 65.07 | 65.07 | 1,272.40 | 0.10 | 68.76 | Phivc/2 < Vu $<=$ | Min 11.5.6.3 | 95.7 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 12.25 | 24.50 | 61.78 | 61.78 | 1,301.16 | 0.10 | 68.26 | Phivc/2 < V $u<=$ | Min 11.5.6.3 | 95.2 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 12.70 | 24.50 | 58.48 | 58.48 | 1,328.44 | 0.09 | 67.79 | Phivc/ $2<\mathrm{Vu}<=$ | Min 11.5.6.3 | 94.7 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 13.15 | 24.50 | 55.19 | 55.19 | 1,354.21 | 0.08 | 67.35 | Phivc/2 < Vu < $=$ | Min 11.5.6.3 | 94.3 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ |  | 13.61 | 24.50 | 51.89 | 51.89 | 1,378.49 | 0.08 | 66.93 | Phivc/2 < Vu < $<$ | Min 11.5.6.3 | 93.9 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 14.06 | 24.50 | 48.60 | 48.60 | 1,401.28 | 0.07 | 66.53 | Phivc/2 < Vu < $=$ | Min 11.5.6.3 | 93.5 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 14.51 | 24.50 | 45.30 | 45.30 | 1,422.58 | 0.07 | 66.14 | Phivc/2 < Vu <= | Min 11.5.6.3 | 93.1 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 14.97 | 24.50 | 42.01 | 42.01 | 1,442.38 | 0.06 | 65.77 | Phivc/2 < Vu $<=$ | Min 11.5.6.3 | 92.7 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 15.42 | 24.50 | 38.71 | 38.71 | 1,460.68 | 0.05 | 65.42 | Phivc/2<Vu $<=$ | Min 11.5.6.3 | 92.4 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 15.87 | 24.50 | 35.42 | 35.42 | 1,477.49 | 0.05 | 65.07 | Phivc/2<Vu $<=$ | Min 11.5.6.3 | 92.0 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 16.33 | 24.50 | 32.12 | 32.12 | 1,492.81 | 0.04 | 64.74 | Vu < Phivc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 64.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 16.78 | 24.50 | 28.83 | 28.83 | 1,506.63 | 0.04 | 64.42 | Vu < Phivc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 64.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 17.23 | 24.50 | 25.53 | 25.53 | 1,518.96 | 0.03 | 64.10 | Vu < Phivc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 64.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 17.69 | 24.50 | 22.24 | 22.24 | 1,529.79 | 0.03 | 63.80 | Vu<Phivc/2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 63.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 18.14 | 24.50 | 18.94 | 18.94 | 1,539.13 | 0.03 | 63.49 | Vu<Phivd/2 | Iot Regd 9.6. | 63.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 18.60 | 24.50 | 15.65 | 15.65 | 1,546.98 | 0.02 | 63.20 | Vu<Phivd2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 63.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
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Concrete Beam
DESCRIPTION：Parking Garage－ 30 ＇to 45＇3＂spans
Detailed Shear Information

| Load Combination | Span Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Distance } \\ (\mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 'd' } \\ & \text { (in) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Va} \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | （k） Design | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Mu} \\ (k-f t) \end{gathered}$ | $d^{*} V u / M u$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Phi*vc } \\ (k) \end{gathered}$ | Comment | $\begin{gathered} \text { Phitvs }_{\text {(k) }} \\ \text { (k) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ph}_{(\mathrm{k})} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{V}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spacing } \\ & \text { Req'd Su } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (in) } \\ & \text { uggest } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ＋1．20D＋1．60L＋0．50S＋1．60H | 1 | 19.05 | 24.50 | 12.36 | 12.36 | 1，553．33 | 0.02 | 62.90 | Vu＜Phivc／2 | lot Reqd 9．6． | 62.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 19.50 | 24.50 | 9.06 | 9.06 | 1，558．18 | 0.01 | 62.61 | Vu＜Phivcl2 | lot Reqd 9．6． | 62.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 19.96 | 24.50 | 5.77 | 5.77 | 1，561．55 | 0.01 | 62.33 | Vu＜Phivc／2 | lot Reqd 9．6． | 62.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 20.41 | 24.50 | 2.47 | 2.47 | 1，563．41 | 0.00 | 62.04 | Vu＜Phivcl2 | lot Reqd 9．6． | 62.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 20.86 | 24.50 | －0．82 | 0.82 | 1，563．79 | 0.00 | 61.90 | Vu＜Phivc／2 | lot Reqd 9．6． | 61.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 21.32 | 24.50 | －4．12 | 4.12 | 1，562 | 0.01 | 62.18 | Vu＜Phivc／2 | lot Reqd 9．6． | 62.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 21.77 | 24 | －7．41 | 7.41 | 1，560 | 0.01 | 62.47 | V ＜$<\mathrm{Phiva}^{2}$ | lot Reqd 9．6． | 62.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 22.22 | 24.50 | －10．71 | 10.71 | 1，555 | 0.01 | 62.76 | Vu＜Phivcir | lot Reqd 9．6． | 62.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 22.68 | 24.50 | －14．00 | 14.00 | 1，550．4 | 0.02 | 63.05 | Vu＜Phivc／2 | lot Reqd 9．6． | 63.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 23.13 | 24.50 | －17．3 | 17.30 | 1，543．24 | 0.02 | 63.34 | u＜Phivg／2 | lot Reqd 9．6． | 63.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ＋1．20D＋1．60L＋0．50S +1.60 H | 1 | 23.58 | 24.50 | －20．59 | 20.59 | 1，534．65 | 0.03 | 63.64 | Vu＜Phivcl2 | lot Reqd 9．6． | 63.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ＋1．200＋1．60L＋0．50S＋1．60H | 1 | 24.04 | 24.50 | －23．89 | 23.89 | 1.524 .56 | 0.03 | 63.95 | Vu＜Phivc／2 | lot Reqd 9．6． | 63.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 24.49 | 24.50 | －27．18 | 27.18 | 1，512．98 | 0.04 | 64.26 | Vu＜Phivc／2 | lot Reqd 9．6． | 64.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 24.95 | 24.50 | －30．48 | 30.48 | 1，499．91 | 0.04 | 64.58 | Vu＜Phivg／2 | lot Reqd 9.6. | 64.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 25.40 | 24.50 | －33．77 | 33.77 | 1，485．34 | 0.05 | 64.91 | Phivc／ $2<\mathrm{V}$＜$<=$ | Min 11．5．6．3 | 91.9 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 25.85 | 24.50 | －37．07 | 37.07 | 1，469．27 | 0.05 | 65.24 | Phivcl $2<\mathrm{V}$＜$<=$ | Min 11．5．6．3 | 92.2 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 26.31 | 24.50 | －40．36 | 40.36 | 1，451．72 | 0.06 | 65.59 | Phivcl $2<\mathrm{V}$＜$<=$ | Min 11．5．6．3 | 92.5 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | ． 76 | 24.50 | －43．65 | ． 65 | 1，432．66 | 0.06 | 65.95 | Phivc12＜Vu＜ | 11.56 | 92.9 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 27.21 | 50 | 6.95 | 46.95 | 1，412．12 | 0.07 | 66.33 | PhiVc／2＜Vu＜ | Min 11．5．6．3 | 93.3 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 27.67 | 50 | 24 | 50.24 | 08 | 0.07 | 66.72 | Phivc12＜Vu | Min 11．5．6．3 | 93.7 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 28.12 | 50 | 54 | 53.54 | 1，366．54 | 0.08 | 67.13 | Phivc／ $2<V^{\text {V }}$ | Min 11．5．6．3 | 94.1 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 28.57 | 㖪 | 83 | 56.83 | 1，341．51 | 0.09 | 67.57 | Phivc／ $2<\mathrm{V}^{\text {c }}$ | Min 11．5．6．3 | 94.5 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 29.03 | 㖪 | －60．13 | 60.13 | 1，31 | 0.09 | 68.02 | Phivc／ $2<\mathrm{V}^{\text {a }}$ | Min 11．5．6．3 | 95.0 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 29.48 | 㖪 | －63．42 | 3.42 | 1，286 | 0.10 | 68.50 | Phivc／2＜ | Min 11.5 | 95.5 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 93 | 24.50 | －66．72 | 66.72 | 1，257．46 | 0.11 | 69.02 | Phivc／2＜Vu＜ | Min 11．5．6．3 | 96.0 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 30.39 | 24.50 | －70．01 | 70.01 | 1，226．45 | 0.12 | 69.56 | Phivc＜Vu | 0.4501 | 110.0 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 30.84 | 24.50 | －73．31 | 73.31 | 1，193．95 | 0.13 | 70.15 | Phivc＜vu | 3.160 | 110.6 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 31.30 | 24.50 | －76．60 | 76.60 | 1，159．95 | 0.13 | 70.77 | Phivc＜Vu | 5.826 | 111.2 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 31.75 | 24.50 | －79．90 | 79.90 | 1，124．46 | 0.15 | 71.45 | Phivc＜Vu | 8.441 | 11.9 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 32.20 | 24.50 | －83．19 | 3.19 | 1，087．48 | 0.16 | 72.19 | Phivc＜Vu | 10.998 | 112.6 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | ． 66 | 24.50 | －86．49 | 86.49 | 1，049．00 | 0.17 | 73.00 | Phivc＜Vu | 13.487 | 113.4 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | ． 11 | 24.50 | －89．78 | 9.78 | 1，009．03 | 0.18 | 73.88 | Phivc＜Vu | 15.896 | 114.3 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 33.56 | 24.50 | －93．07 | 3.07 | ${ }^{967.56}$ | 0.20 | 74.86 | Phivc＜Vu | 18.213 | 115.3 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 34.02 | 24.50 | －96．37 | 96.37 | 924.60 | 0.21 | 75.95 | Phivc＜Vu | 20.419 | 116.4 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 34.47 | 24.50 | －99．66 | 99.66 | 880.14 | 0.23 | 77.17 | Phivc＜Vu | 22.493 | 117.6 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 34.92 | 24.50 | －102．96 | 102.96 | 834.19 | 0.25 | 78.55 | Phivc＜Vu | 24.407 | 119.0 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 35.38 | 24.50 | －106．25 | 106.25 | 786.75 | 0.28 | 80.13 | Phivc＜Vu | 26.126 | 120.6 | 6.2 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 35.83 | 24.50 | －109．55 | 109.55 | 737.81 | 0.30 | 81.95 | Phivc＜Vu | 27.601 | 122.4 | 5.9 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 36.28 | 24.50 | －112．84 | 112.84 | 687.38 | 0.34 | 84.07 | Phivc＜vu | 28.770 | 124.5 | 5.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 36.74 | 24.50 | －116．14 | 116.14 | 635.45 | 0.37 | 86.59 | Phivc＜vu | 29.544 | 127.0 | 5.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 37.19 | 24.50 | －119．43 | 119．43 | 582.03 | 0.42 | 89.63 | Phivc＜Vu | 29.798 | 130.1 | 5.4 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 37.64 | 24.50 | －122．73 | 122.73 | 527.12 | 0.48 | 93.38 | Phivc＜Vu | 29.349 | 133.8 | 5.5 | 4.0 |
| ＋1．200＋1．60L＋0．50S＋1．60 H | 1 | 38.10 | 24.50 | －126．02 | 126.02 | 470.71 | 0.55 | 98.11 | Phivc＜Vu | 27.914 | 138.5 | 5.8 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 38.55 | 24.50 | －129．32 | 129.32 | 412.80 | 0.64 | 104.28 | Phivc＜Vu | 25.038 | 144.7 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 39.01 | 24.50 | －132．61 | 132.61 | 353.40 | 0.77 | 112.68 | Phivc＜Vu | 19.934 | 153.1 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| ＋1．200＋1．60L＋0．50S＋1．60 H | 1 | 39.46 | 24.50 | －135．91 | 135.91 | 292.51 | 0.95 | 113.89 | Phivc＜Vu | 22.016 | 154.3 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 39.91 | 24.50 | －139．20 | 139.20 | 230.12 | 1.00 | 113.89 | Phivc＜Vu | 25.310 | 154.3 | 6.4 | 4.0 |
| $+1.20 \mathrm{D}+1.60 \mathrm{~L}+0.50 \mathrm{~S}+1.60 \mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 40.37 | 24.50 | －142．49 | 142.49 | 166.24 | 1.00 | 113.89 | Phivc＜Vu | 28.605 | 154.3 | 5.7 |  |
| ＋1．20D＋1．60L＋0．50S＋1．60 | 1 | 40.82 | 24.50 | －145．79 | 145.79 | 100.87 | 1.00 | 113.89 | Phivc＜Vu | 31.90 | 154.3 | 5.1 |  |
| ＋1．20D＋1．60L＋0．50S +1.60 H | 1 | 41.27 | 24.50 | －149．08 | 149.08 | 34.00 | 1.00 | 113.89 | Phivc＜Vu | 35.194 | 154.3 | 4.6 | 4.0 |

## Maximum Forces \＆Stresses for Load Combinations

Load Combination
Segment
MAXimum BENDING Envelope


Title Block Line 1
You can change this area using the "Settings" menu item and then using the "Printing \&
Title Block" selection.
Title Block Line 6
Project Title:
Engineer:
Project ID:
Project Descr:

Concrete Beam
Lic. \# : KW-06090157 - Educational Version
Licensed User : SANTA CLARA UNIVERSITY, CIVIL ENGINEERING
DESCRIPTION: Parking Garage - 30 ' to 45'3" spans


## Overall Maximum Deflections

| Load Combination | Span | Max. "-" Defl (in) | Location in Span (ft) | Load Combination | Max. "+" Defl (in) | Location in Span (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $+\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{H}$ | 1 | 1.9467 | 20.750 | 0.0000 |  |  |

## Metal Decking

From ASC Steel Deck Catalog: www.ascsd.com

Residential Units

## Return to TABLE OF CONTENTS

### 2.4 3WxH-36 Composite Deck

$61 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ Total Slab Depth
() ASC Light Weight Concrete (110 pcf)
Concrete Volume 1.466 yd $^{3} / 100 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$
2 Hour Fire Rating


| Maximum Unshored Span | Gage | Single | Double | Triple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 22 | $10^{\prime}-1^{*}$ | $10^{\prime}-11^{*}$ | $11^{\prime}-4^{*}$ |
|  | 21 | $11^{\prime}-0^{*}$ | $11^{\prime}-9^{*}$ | $12^{\prime}-1^{*}$ |
|  | 20 | $11^{\prime}-9^{*}$ | $12^{\prime}-5^{*}$ | $12^{\prime}-10^{*}$ |

3WxH-36 6 1/4 " Slab Depth, 110 pcf LWC

| Gage | Vertical Load Span (ft-in) | $8^{2}-0^{\circ}$ | $8^{\prime} \cdot 6^{\prime \prime}$ | 9.-0" | 9'-6" | $10^{\prime 2}-0^{*}$ | $10^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | $11^{1}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | 11'-6 | $12^{\prime}-0^{*}$ | 12'-6" | $13^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | 13-6" | 14.0 | $14{ }^{\prime}-6$ | $15^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASD \& LRFD - Available Superimposed Load Capacity, W (psf) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | ASD, W/ $\Omega$ | 474 | 416 | 368 | 327 | 291 | 261 | 235 | 212 | 192 | 174 | 159 | 145 | 132 | 121 | 111 |
|  | LRFD, $\phi$ W | 637 | 558 | 492 | 436 | 388 | 347 | 311 | 280 | 253 | 229 | 208 | 188 | 171 | 156 | 142 |
|  | L/360 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | . | - | - | - | . |
|  | LRFD - Available Diaphragm Shear Capacity, $\phi \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (plf/ft) $36 / 4$ Attachment Pattern |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Arc Spot Weld 1/2" Effective Dia | 2522 | 2496 | 2473 | 2464 | 2445 | 2428 | 2412 | 2398 | 2385 | 2381 | 2370 | 2360 | 2350 | 2341 | 2332 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq 25^{\circ}$ | 2332 | 2318 | 2305 | 2304 | 2293 | 2283 | 2275 | 2266 | 2259 | 2260 | 2254 | 2247 | 2242 | 2236 | 2231 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq 0.125^{\prime \prime}$ | 2317 | 2304 | 2292 | 2292 | 2281 | 2272 | 2264 | 2256 | 2249 | 2251 | 2244 | 2239 | 2233 | 2228 | 2224 |
|  | \#12 Screw Base Steel $\geq$. $0385^{\circ}$ | 2304 | 2291 | 2280 | 2280 | 2270 | 2262 | 2254 | 2247 | 2240 | 2242 | 2236 | 2231 | 2225 | 2221 | 2216 |
|  | Concrete + Deck $=$ | 45.3 |  |  | $\mathrm{I}_{0}=$ | 97.0 |  | ASD |  | $\mathrm{Mm}_{0} /{ }^{\text {a }}=$ | 43.4 | kip-inft |  | $\mathrm{V}_{d} / \Omega=$ | 3.34 | kip/t |
|  | $\left(\mathrm{l}_{2}+\mathrm{l}_{1}\right) / 2=$ | 157.6 |  |  |  | 218.1 |  | LRFD |  | ¢M ${ }_{\sim}=$ | 66.4 | kip-inft |  | $\phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ | 4.82 | kip/ft |


| Gage | Vertical Load Span (ft-in) | 8-0 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $8^{\prime} .6^{\prime \prime}$ | 9'-0' | 9'.6" | $10^{\prime}-0^{*}$ | $10^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | 11'-0' |  | 12'-0* | 12-6" | 13-0. | 13-6. |  |  | 152-0' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | ASD \& LRFD - Available Superimposed Load Capacity, W (psf) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ASD, W/ $\Omega$ | 521 | 458 | 404 | 359 | 321 | 288 | 259 | 234 | 213 | 193 | 176 | 161 | 147 | 135 | 124 |
|  | LRFD, $\phi$ W | 700 | 614 | 542 | 481 | 428 | 384 | 345 | 311 | 281 | 255 | 231 | 210 | 192 | 175 | 160 |
|  | L/360 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | LRFD - Available Diaphragm Shear Capacity, $\phi \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (plf / ft) $36 / 4$ Attachment Pattern |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Arc Spot Weld 1/2" Effective Dia | 2586 | 2557 | 2530 | 2521 | 2499 | 2479 | 2461 | 2445 | 2430 | 2427 | 2413 | 2401 | 2390 | 2379 | 2370 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq 25^{\circ}$ | 2368 | 2352 | 2337 | 2337 | 2325 | 2313 | 2303 | 2293 | 2285 | 2287 | 2279 | 2272 | 2265 | 2259 | 2253 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq 0.125^{\prime \prime}$ | 2351 | 2336 | 2322 | 2323 | 2311 | 2300 | 2291 | 2282 | 2274 | 2276 | 2269 | 2262 | 2256 | 2250 | 2245 |
|  | \#12 Screw Base Steel $\geq$. $0385^{\circ}$ | 2337 | 2322 | 2309 | 2311 | 2299 | 2289 | 2280 | 2271 | 2264 | 2267 | 2260 | 2254 | 2247 | 2242 | 2237 |
|  | Concrete + Deck = | 45.6 | psf |  | $\mathrm{l}_{0}=$ | 104.2 | $\mathrm{in}^{4} / \mathrm{ft}$ | ASD |  | $\mathrm{M} / \Omega^{\prime}=$ | 47.4 | kip-inft |  | $\mathrm{V}_{1} / \Omega=$ | 3.89 | kip/t |
|  | $\left(l_{2}+l_{1}\right) / 2=$ | 163 | in ${ }^{4} / \mathrm{t}$ |  | $\mathrm{l}_{4}=$ | 221.7 |  | LRFD |  | ¢M ${ }_{0}=$ | 72.5 | kip-inft |  | ¢ $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ | 5.71 | kip/ft |


| Gage | Vertical Load Span (ft-i | $8^{-0} 0^{+}$ | $8^{\prime \prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ | 9.-0" | 9'-6" | 10-0* | 10'-6" | 1'-0" | 11'-6 | $12^{\prime}-0^{*}$ | $12^{2}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |  | $14^{\prime 2}-0$ | 14.6 | 15-0* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | ASD \& LRFD - Available Superimposed Load Capacity, W (psf) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ASD, W/ $\Omega$ | 564 | 496 | 439 | 390 | 349 | 313 | 282 | 255 | 232 | 211 | 192 | 176 | 161 | 148 | 136 |
|  | LRFD, $\phi$ W | 759 | 666 | 588 | 522 | 466 | 418 | 376 | 339 | 307 | 279 | 254 | 231 | 211 | 193 | 177 |
|  | L/360 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | LRFD - Available Diaphragm Shear Capacity, $\phi \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (plf/ /ft) 36/4 Attachment Pattern |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Arc Spot Weld $1 / 2^{*}$ Effective Dia | 2634 | 2601 | 2573 | 2563 | 2539 | 2517 | 2498 | 2480 | 2463 | 2460 | 2446 | 2432 | 2420 | 2408 | 2397 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq 25^{\circ}$ | 2395 | 2377 | 2360 | 2362 | 2348 | 2336 | 2324 | 2314 | 2304 | 2308 | 2299 | 2291 | 2283 | 2277 | 2270 |
|  | PAF Base Steel $\geq 0.125^{\prime \prime}$ | 2377 | 2360 | 2344 | 2347 | 2334 | 2322 | 2311 | 2301 | 2292 | 2296 | 2288 | 2280 | 2273 | 2267 | 2260 |
|  | \#12 Screw Base Steel $\geq$. $0385^{\circ}$ | 2362 | 2345 | 2331 | 2334 | 2321 | 2310 | 2300 | 2290 | 2282 | 2286 | 2278 | 2271 | 2264 | 2258 | 2252 |
|  | Concrete + Deck = | 45.6 |  |  | $\mathrm{l}_{\alpha}=$ | 110.8 |  | ASD |  | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{\Omega}} /{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 51.1 | kip-inft |  | $\mathrm{V}_{6} / \mathbf{}$ = | 3.89 | kip/t |
|  | $\left(\mathrm{l}_{+}+\mathrm{l}_{4}\right) / 2=$ | 167.9 |  |  |  | 225.1 | in ${ }^{4} / \mathrm{ft}$ | LRFD |  | $\mathrm{OM}_{4}=$ | 78.1 | kip-inft |  | ¢ $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ | 6.51 | kip/t |


|  | LRFD - Available Diaphragm Shear Capacity, $\phi \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (plf/ /ft) for all vertical load spans, WWF Size or Area of Steel per foot width |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3/4" Welded Shear Studs | $6 \times 6$ W1.4xW1.4 | $6 \times 6$ W2.9xW2.9 | $6 \times 6$ W4.0xW4.0 | 4x4 W4xW4 | 4x4 W6xW6 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{A}_{3}=0.028 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{tt}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{3}=0.058 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{ft}$ | $A_{3}=0.080 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{tt}$ | $A_{3}=0.120 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{ft}$ | $A_{8}=0.180 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{tt}$ |
|  | 12 in o.c. | n/a | 4990 | 5980 | 7780 | 10480 |
|  | 24 in o.c. | N/a | 4990 | 5980 | 7750 | 7750 |
|  | 36 in o.c. | n/a | 4990 | 5170 | 5170 | 5170 |

Roof
Return to TABLE OF CONTENTS
2.2 DGBF-36 \& BF-36

ASC StEELDECK

## Attachment Patterns



Panel Properties

| Gage | Weight | Base Metal Thickness | Yield Strength | Tensile Strength | Gross Section Properties |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area | Moment of Inertia | Distance to N.A. from Bottom | Section Modulus | Radius of Gyration |
|  | $\begin{gathered} w \\ \text { psf } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{t} \\ \text { in } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ysi}} \\ & \mathrm{k} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}} \\ & \mathrm{ksi} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A}_{9} \\ \mathrm{in}^{2} \mathrm{ft} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{l}_{3} / \mathrm{tan} \\ \mathrm{in}^{2} / 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y_{0} \\ & \text { in } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S}_{9} \\ \mathrm{in}^{\prime} / \mathrm{t} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{r} \\ \text { in } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 20/20 | 3.54 | 0.0359/0.036 | 40 | 55 | 1.047 | 0.460 | 0.58 | 0.462 | 0.663 |
| 20/18 | 4.01 | 0.0359/0.047 | 40 | 55 | 1.190 | 0.503 | 0.52 | 0.472 | 0.650 |
| 20/16 | 4.68 | 0.0359/0.059 | 40 | 55 | 1.330 | 0.535 | 0.48 | 0.479 | 0.634 |
| 18/20 | 4.35 | 0.0478/0.036 | 40 | 55 | 1.231 | 0.564 | 0.65 | 0.601 | 0.677 |
| 18/18 | 4.83 | 0.0478/0.047 | 40 | 55 | 1.370 | 0.614 | 0.59 | 0.613 | 0.670 |
| 18/16 | 5.35 | 0.0478/0.059 | 40 | 55 | 1.521 | 0.661 | 0.55 | 0.624 | 0.659 |
| 16/20 | 5.03 | 0.0598/0.036 | 40 | 55 | 1.423 | 0.661 | 0.70 | 0.736 | 0.682 |
| 16/18 | 5.51 | 0.0598/0.047 | 40 | 55 | 1.562 | 0.721 | 0.65 | 0.752 | 0.679 |
| 16/16 | 6.03 | 0.0598/0.059 | 40 | 55 | 1.713 | 0.777 | 0.60 | 0.767 | 0.674 |


| Gage | Effective Section Modulus for Bending at $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}$ |  |  |  |  | Effective Moment of Inertia for Deflection at Service Load |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area | Section Modulus | Distance to N.A. from Bottom | Section Modulus | Distance to N.A. from Bottom | Moment of Inertia | Moment of Inertia | Uniform Load Only |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{l}_{4}=\left(21 \mathrm{e}+\mathrm{l}_{4}\right) / 3$ |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{A}_{0}+ \\ & \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{tt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Sor} \\ & \text { in'/ft } \\ & \hline \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y_{8} \\ & \text { in } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{S}_{r^{3}} \\ \mathrm{in}^{3} / \mathrm{tt} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline y_{6} \\ & \text { in } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{lo}^{+} \\ & \mathrm{in}^{\prime} / \mathrm{ft} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | inerft | $\begin{gathered} \text { It } \\ \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{ft} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{f} \\ \text { in } \mathrm{ft} \end{gathered}$ |
| 20/20 | 0.691 | 0.288 | 0.44 | 0.442 | 0.71 | 0.370 | 0.402 | 0.401 | 0.421 |
| 20/18 | 0.797 | 0.294 | 0.39 | 0.456 | 0.63 | 0.401 | 0.462 | 0.435 | 0.475 |
| 20/16 | 0.914 | 0.299 | 0.36 | 0.468 | 0.55 | 0.423 | 0.517 | 0.461 | 0.523 |
| 18/20 | 0.906 | 0.433 | 0.54 | 0.573 | 0.76 | 0.508 | 0.496 | 0.526 | 0.519 |
| 18/18 | 1.016 | 0.443 | 0.50 | 0.590 | 0.70 | 0.550 | 0.560 | 0.572 | 0.578 |
| 18/16 | 1.141 | 0.451 | 0.46 | 0.608 | 0.63 | 0.590 | 0.632 | 0.613 | 0.642 |
| 16/20 | 1.141 | 0.596 | 0.63 | 0.701 | 0.80 | 0.639 | 0.592 | 0.646 | 0.615 |
| 16/18 | 1.252 | 0.610 | 0.58 | 0.723 | 0.74 | 0.695 | 0.660 | 0.704 | 0.681 |
| 16/16 | 1.377 | 0.622 | 0.54 | 0.744 | 0.68 | 0.749 | 0.741 | 0.758 | 0.753 |

Reactions at Supports (plf) Based on Web Crippling

| Gage | Condition | Bearing Length of Webs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Allowable ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}} / \mathrm{\Omega}$ ) |  |  |  | Factored ( $¢ \mathrm{R}_{\text {a }}$ ) |  |  |  |
|  |  | $1{ }^{\text {² }}$ | 1.5" | $2^{\prime \prime}$ | $3^{\prime \prime}$ | $1{ }^{\text {² }}$ | 1.5* | $2^{-1}$ | $3^{-1}$ |
| 22 | End | 586 | 664 | 730 | 840 | 897 | 1016 | 1117 | 1285 |
|  | Interior | 934 | 1038 | 1126 | 1273 | 1390 | 1544 | 1675 | 1894 |
| 20 | End | 822 | 927 | 1016 | 1164 | 1258 | 1418 | 1554 | 1781 |
|  | Interior | 1320 | 1461 | 1579 | 1778 | 1964 | 2173 | 2349 | 2644 |
| 18 | End | 1393 | 1561 | 1701 | 1938 | 2132 | 2388 | 2603 | 2965 |
|  | Interior | 2268 | 2491 | 2679 | 2994 | 3374 | 3705 | 3985 | 4454 |
| 16 | End | 2106 | 2345 | 2547 | 2885 | 3222 | 3588 | 3897 | 4415 |
|  | Interior | 3462 | 3781 | 4050 | 4501 | 5150 | 5624 | 6065 | 6696 |

## Appendix D:

Water Resources Calculations Package

Table D-1: Baseline potable water demand in the residential space using GBI's Green Globes consumption calculator.


Table D-2: Reduced potable water demand in the residential space using GBI's Green Globes consumption calculator.



Calculation Successful.
Figure D-1: Screen capture of the calculations performed in Bentley FlowMaster for the sanitary sewer pipes.

## Appendix E:

## Stormwater Management Calculations Package

## Inlet A

Licensed for Academic Use Only

| Project Description | Manning <br> Formula |
| :--- | :---: |
| Friction Method | Normal Depth |
| Solve For |  |
| Input Data | 0.013 |
| Roughness Coefficient | $0.010 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Channel Slope | 6.0 in |
| Diameter | 0.21 cfs |
| Discharge |  |
| Results | 2.6 in |
| Normal Depth | $0.1 \mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$ |
| Flow Area | 0.7 ft |
| Wetted Perimeter | 1.3 in |
| Hydraulic Radius | 0.49 ft |
| Top Width | 2.8 in |
| Critical Depth | $42.6 \%$ |
| Percent Full | $0.008 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $2.66 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity | 0.11 ft |
| Velocity Head | 0.32 ft |
| Specific Energy | 1.167 |
| Froude Number | 0.60 cfs |
| Maximum Discharge | 0.56 cfs |
| Discharge Full | $0.001 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Slope Full | Supercritical |
| Flow Type |  |


| GVF Input Data |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Length | 0.0 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |
| GVF Output Data |  |
| Upstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Profile Description | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | $0.0 \%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | $42.6 \%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Upstream Velocity | Infinity $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Normal Depth | 2.6 in |
| Critical Depth | 2.8 in |
| Channel Slope | $0.010 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $0.008 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |


|  | Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution | Center |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| FlowMaster |  |  |
| Senior Design.fm8 | [10.02.00.01] |  |
| $4 / 21 / 2020$ | 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W | Page 1 of 1 |
|  | Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 |  |
| Licensed for Academic Use Only |  |  |

## Inlet B

Licensed for Academic Use Only

| Project Description | Manning <br> Formula <br> Normal Depth |
| :--- | :---: |
| Friction Method |  |
| Solve For |  |
| Input Data | 0.013 |
| Roughness Coefficient | $0.010 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Channel Slope | 24.0 in |
| Diameter | 10.23 cfs |
| Discharge |  |
| Results | 11.3 in |
| Normal Depth | $1.5 \mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$ |
| Flow Area | 3.0 ft |
| Wetted Perimeter | 5.8 in |
| Hydraulic Radius | 2.00 ft |
| Top Width | 13.7 in |
| Critical Depth | $47.1 \mathrm{\%}$ |
| Percent Full | $0.005 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $7.02 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity | 0.77 ft |
| Velocity Head | 1.71 ft |
| Specific Energy | 1.449 |
| Froude Number | 24.33 cfs |
| Maximum Discharge | 22.62 cfs |
| Discharge Full | $0.002 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Slope Full | Supercritical |
| Flow Type |  |


| GVF Input Data |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Length | 0.0 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |
| GVF Output Data |  |
| Upstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Profile Description | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | $0.0 \%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | $47.1 \%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity ft/s |
| Upstream Velocity | Infinity $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Normal Depth | 11.3 in |
| Critical Depth | 13.7 in |
| Channel Slope | $0.010 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $0.005 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |


|  | Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution | Center |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| FlowMaster |  |  |
| Senior Design.fm8 | [10.02.00.01] |  |
| $4 / 21 / 2020$ | 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W | Page 1 of 1 |
|  | Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 |  |
| Licensed for Academic Use Only |  |  |

## Inlet C

Licensed for Academic Use Only

| Project Description | Manning <br> Formula <br> Normal Depth |
| :--- | :---: |
| Friction Method |  |
| Solve For |  |
| Input Data | 0.013 |
| Roughness Coefficient | $0.010 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Channel Slope | 24.0 in |
| Diameter | 9.65 cfs |
| Discharge |  |
| Results | 10.9 in |
| Normal Depth | $1.4 \mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$ |
| Flow Area | 3.0 ft |
| Wetted Perimeter | 5.6 in |
| Hydraulic Radius | 1.99 ft |
| Top Width | 13.3 in |
| Critical Depth | $45.6 \%$ |
| Percent Full | $0.005 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $6.91 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity | 0.74 ft |
| Velocity Head | 1.66 ft |
| Specific Energy | 1.456 |
| Froude Number | 24.33 cfs |
| Maximum Discharge | 22.62 cfs |
| Discharge Full | $0.002 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Slope Full | Supercritical |
| Flow Type |  |


| GVF Input Data |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Length | 0.0 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |
| GVF Output Data |  |
| Upstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Profile Description | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | $0.0 \%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | $45.6 \%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity ft/s |
| Upstream Velocity | Infinity $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Normal Depth | 10.9 in |
| Critical Depth | 13.3 in |
| Channel Slope | $0.010 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $0.005 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |


|  | Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution | Center |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| FlowMaster |  |  |
| Senior Design.fm8 | [10.02.00.01] |  |
| $4 / 30 / 2020$ | 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W | Page 1 of 1 |
|  | Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 |  |
| Licensed for Academic Use Only |  |  |

## Inlet E

Licensed for Academic Use Only

| Project Description | Manning <br> Formula |
| :--- | :---: |
| Friction Method | Normal Depth |
| Solve For |  |
| Input Data | 0.013 |
| Roughness Coefficient | $0.010 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Channel Slope | 8.0 in |
| Diameter | 0.46 cfs |
| Discharge |  |
|  |  |
| Results | 3.4 in |
| Normal Depth | $0.1 \mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$ |
| Flow Area | 1.0 ft |
| Wetted Perimeter | 1.8 in |
| Hydraulic Radius | 0.66 ft |
| Top Width | 3.8 in |
| Critical Depth | $42.9 \%$ |
| Percent Full | $0.007 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $3.23 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity | 0.16 ft |
| Velocity Head | 0.45 ft |
| Specific Energy | 1.224 |
| Froude Number | 1.30 cfs |
| Maximum Discharge | 1.21 cfs |
| Discharge Full | $0.001 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Slope Full | Supercritical |
| Flow Type |  |


| GVF Input Data |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Length | 0.0 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |
| GVF Output Data |  |
| Upstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Profile Description | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | $0.0 \%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | $42.9 \%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity ft/s |
| Upstream Velocity | Infinity $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Normal Depth | 3.4 in |
| Critical Depth | 3.8 in |
| Channel Slope | $0.010 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $0.007 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |


|  | Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution | Center |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| FlowMaster |  |  |
| $4 / 21 / 2020$ | 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W | Page 1 of 1 |
|  | Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 |  |
| Licensed for Academic Use Only |  |  |

## Inlet G

Licensed for Academic Use Only

| Project Description | Manning <br> Formula <br> Normal Depth |
| :--- | :---: |
| Friction Method |  |
| Solve For |  |
| Input Data | 0.013 |
| Roughness Coefficient | $0.010 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Channel Slope | 24.0 in |
| Diameter | 11.88 cfs |
| Discharge |  |
| Results | 12.4 in |
| Normal Depth | $1.6 \mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$ |
| Flow Area | 3.2 ft |
| Wetted Perimeter | 6.1 in |
| Hydraulic Radius | 2.00 ft |
| Top Width | 14.9 in |
| Critical Depth | $51.5 \%$ |
| Percent Full | $0.006 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $7.29 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity | 0.83 ft |
| Velocity Head | 1.85 ft |
| Specific Energy | 1.423 |
| Froude Number | 24.33 cfs |
| Maximum Discharge | 22.62 cfs |
| Discharge Full | $0.003 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Slope Full | Supercritical |
| Flow Type |  |


| GVF Input Data |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Length | 0.0 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |
| GVF Output Data |  |
| Upstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Profile Description | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | $0.0 \%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | $51.5 \%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity ft/s |
| Upstream Velocity | Infinity $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Normal Depth | 12.4 in |
| Critical Depth | 14.9 in |
| Channel Slope | $0.010 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $0.006 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |


|  | Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution | Center |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| FlowMaster |  |  |
| Senior Design.fm8 | [10.02.00.01] |  |
| $4 / 21 / 2020$ | 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W | Page 1 of 1 |
|  | Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 |  |
| Licensed for Academic Use Only |  |  |

## Inlet I

Licensed for Academic Use Only

| Project Description | Manning <br> Formula |
| :--- | :---: |
| Friction Method | Normal Depth |
| Solve For |  |
| Input Data | 0.013 |
| Roughness Coefficient | $0.010 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Channel Slope | 8.0 in |
| Diameter | 0.66 cfs |
| Discharge |  |
| Results | 4.2 in |
| Normal Depth | $0.2 \mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$ |
| Flow Area | 1.1 ft |
| Wetted Perimeter | 2.1 in |
| Hydraulic Radius | 0.67 ft |
| Top Width | 4.6 in |
| Critical Depth | $52.6 \%$ |
| Percent Full | $0.008 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $3.54 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity | 0.19 ft |
| Velocity Head | 0.54 ft |
| Specific Energy | 1.179 |
| Froude Number | 1.30 cfs |
| Maximum Discharge | 1.21 cfs |
| Discharge Full | $0.003 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Slope Full | Supercritical |
| Flow Type |  |


| GVF Input Data |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Length | 0.0 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |
| GVF Output Data |  |
| Upstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Profile Description | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | $0.0 \%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | $52.6 \%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity ft/s |
| Upstream Velocity | Infinity $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Normal Depth | 4.2 in |
| Critical Depth | 4.6 in |
| Channel Slope | $0.010 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $0.008 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |


|  | Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution | Center |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| FlowMaster |  |  |
| Senior Design.fm8 | [10.02.00.01] |  |
| $4 / 21 / 2020$ | 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W | Page 1 of 1 |
|  | Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 |  |
| Licensed for Academic Use Only |  |  |

## Inlet J

Licensed for Academic Use Only

| Project Description | Manning <br> Formula |
| :--- | :---: |
| Friction Method | Normal Depth |
| Solve For |  |
| Input Data | 0.013 |
| Roughness Coefficient | $0.010 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Channel Slope | 5.0 in |
| Diameter | 0.16 cfs |
| Discharge |  |
| Results | 2.4 in |
| Normal Depth | $0.1 \mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$ |
| Flow Area | 0.6 ft |
| Wetted Perimeter | 1.2 in |
| Hydraulic Radius | 0.42 ft |
| Top Width | 2.5 in |
| Critical Depth | $47.2 \%$ |
| Percent Full | $0.008 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $2.47 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity | 0.09 ft |
| Velocity Head | 0.29 ft |
| Specific Energy | 1.116 |
| Froude Number | 0.37 cfs |
| Maximum Discharge | 0.35 cfs |
| Discharge Full | $0.002 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Slope Full | Supercritical |
| Flow Type |  |


| GVF Input Data |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Length | 0.0 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |
| GVF Output Data |  |
| Upstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Profile Description | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | $0.0 \%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | $47.2 \%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity ft/s |
| Upstream Velocity | Infinity $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Normal Depth | 2.4 in |
| Critical Depth | 2.5 in |
| Channel Slope | $0.010 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $0.008 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |


|  | Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution | Center |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| FlowMaster |  |  |
| $4 / 21 / 2020$ | 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W | Page 1 of 1 |
|  | Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 |  |
| Licensed for Academic Use Only |  |  |

Inlet L
Licensed for Academic Use Only

| Project Description | Manning <br> Formula <br> Normal Depth |
| :--- | :---: |
| Friction Method |  |
| Solve For |  |
| Input Data | 0.013 |
| Roughness Coefficient | $0.010 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Channel Slope | 20.0 in |
| Diameter | 8.52 cfs |
| Discharge |  |
| Results | 11.3 in |
| Normal Depth | $1.3 \mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$ |
| Flow Area | 2.8 ft |
| Wetted Perimeter | 5.4 in |
| Hydraulic Radius | 1.65 ft |
| Top Width | 13.2 in |
| Critical Depth | $56.6 \%$ |
| Percent Full | $0.006 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $6.70 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity | 0.70 ft |
| Velocity Head | 1.64 ft |
| Specific Energy | 1.345 |
| Froude Number | 14.96 cfs |
| Maximum Discharge | 13.91 cfs |
| Discharge Full | $0.004 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Slope Full | Supercritical |
| Flow Type |  |


| GVF Input Data |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Length | 0.0 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |
| GVF Output Data |  |
| Upstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Profile Description | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | $0.0 \%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | $56.6 \%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity ft/s |
| Upstream Velocity | Infinity $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Normal Depth | 11.3 in |
| Critical Depth | 13.2 in |
| Channel Slope | $0.010 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $0.006 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |


|  | Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution | Center |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| FlowMaster |  |  |
| Senior Design.fm8 | [10.02.00.01] |  |
| $4 / 21 / 2020$ | 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W | Page 1 of 1 |
|  | Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 |  |
| Licensed for Academic Use Only |  |  |

## Inlet K

Licensed for Academic Use Only

| Project Description | Manning <br> Formula |
| :--- | :---: |
| Friction Method | Normal Depth |
| Solve For |  |
| Input Data | 0.013 |
| Roughness Coefficient | $0.010 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Channel Slope | 20.0 in |
| Diameter | 8.42 cfs |
| Discharge |  |
| Results | 11.2 in |
| Normal Depth | $1.3 \mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$ |
| Flow Area | 2.8 ft |
| Wetted Perimeter | 5.4 in |
| Hydraulic Radius | 1.65 ft |
| Top Width | 13.1 in |
| Critical Depth | $56.1 \mathrm{\%}$ |
| Percent Full | $0.006 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $6.68 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity | 0.69 ft |
| Velocity Head | 1.63 ft |
| Specific Energy | 1.348 |
| Froude Number | 14.96 cfs |
| Maximum Discharge | 13.91 cfs |
| Discharge Full | $0.004 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Slope Full |  |
| Flow Type | Supercritical |


| GVF Input Data |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Length | 0.0 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |
| GVF Output Data |  |
| Upstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Profile Description | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | $0.0 \%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | $56.1 \%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Upstream Velocity | Infinity $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Normal Depth | 11.2 in |
| Critical Depth | 13.1 in |
| Channel Slope | $0.010 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $0.006 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |


|  | Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution | Center |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| FlowMaster |  |  |
| Senior Design.fm8 | [10.02.00.01] |  |
| $4 / 21 / 2020$ | 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W | Page 1 of 1 |
|  | Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 |  |
| Licensed for Academic Use Only |  |  |

## North Underdrain

Licensed for Academic Use Only

| Project Description | Manning <br> Formula |
| :--- | :---: |
| Friction Method | Normal Depth |
| Solve For |  |
| Input Data | 0.013 |
| Roughness Coefficient | $0.005 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Channel Slope | 6.0 in |
| Diameter | 0.37 cfs |
| Discharge |  |
| Results | 4.5 in |
| Normal Depth | $0.2 \mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$ |
| Flow Area | 1.1 ft |
| Wetted Perimeter | 1.8 in |
| Hydraulic Radius | 0.43 ft |
| Top Width | 3.7 in |
| Critical Depth | $75.6 \%$ |
| Percent Full | $0.009 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $2.29 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity | 0.08 ft |
| Velocity Head | 0.46 ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.663 |
| Froude Number | 0.43 cfs |
| Maximum Discharge | 0.40 cfs |
| Discharge Full | $0.004 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Slope Full |  |
| Flow Type | Subcritical |


| GVF Input Data |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Length | 0.0 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |
| GVF Output Data |  |
| Upstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Profile Description | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | $0.0 \%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | $56.1 \%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity ft/s |
| Upstream Velocity | Infinity ft/s |
| Normal Depth | 4.5 in |
| Critical Depth | 3.7 in |
| Channel Slope | $0.005 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $0.009 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |


|  | Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution | Center |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Fenior Design.fm8 | [10.02.00.01] |  |
| $4 / 21 / 2020$ | 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W | Page 1 of 1 |
|  | Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 |  |
| Licensed for Academic Use Only |  |  |

West Underdrain
Licensed for Academic Use Only

| Project Description | Manning <br> Formula |
| :--- | :---: |
| Friction Method | Normal Depth |
| Solve For |  |
| Input Data | 0.013 |
| Roughness Coefficient | $0.005 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Channel Slope | 4.0 in |
| Diameter | 0.07 cfs |
| Discharge |  |
| Results | 2.0 in |
| Normal Depth | 0.0 ft 2 |
| Flow Area | 0.5 ft |
| Wetted Perimeter | 1.0 in |
| Hydraulic Radius | 0.33 ft |
| Top Width | 1.7 in |
| Critical Depth | $49.4 \%$ |
| Percent Full | $0.008 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $1.54 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity | 0.04 ft |
| Velocity Head | 0.20 ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.754 |
| Froude Number | 0.14 cfs |
| Maximum Discharge | 0.13 cfs |
| Discharge Full | $0.001 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Slope Full | Subcritical |
| Flow Type |  |
|  |  |


| GVF Input Data |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Length | 0.0 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |
| GVF Output Data |  |
| Upstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Profile Description | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | $0.0 \%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | $56.1 \%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity ft/s |
| Upstream Velocity | Infinity ft/s |
| Normal Depth | 2.0 in |
| Critical Depth | 1.7 in |
| Channel Slope | $0.005 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $0.008 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |


|  | Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution | Center |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| FlowMaster |  |  |
| Senior Design.fm8 | [10.02.00.01] |  |
| $4 / 21 / 2020$ | 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W | Page 1 of 1 |
|  | Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 |  |
| Licensed for Academic Use Only |  |  |

## North Bioretention Outflow Pipe <br> Licensed for Academic Use Only

| Project Description |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |
| Input Data |  |
| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |
| Channel Slope | $0.005 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 8.0 in |
| Discharge | 0.50 cfs |
| Results |  |
| Normal Depth | 4.4 in |
| Flow Area | $0.2 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter | 1.1 ft |
| Hydraulic Radius | 2.1 in |
| Top Width | 0.66 ft |
| Critical Depth | 4.0 in |
| Percent Full | 54.7 \% |
| Critical Slope | $0.007 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity | $2.54 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity Head | 0.10 ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.46 ft |
| Froude Number | 0.825 |
| Maximum Discharge | 0.92 cfs |
| Discharge Full | 0.85 cfs |
| Slope Full | $0.002 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Flow Type | Subcritical |
| GVF Input Data |  |
| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Length | 0.0 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |
| GVF Output Data |  |
| Upstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Profile Description | N/A |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.0 \% |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 45.6 \% |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity ft/s |
| Upstream Velocity | Infinity ft/s |
| Normal Depth | 4.4 in |
| Critical Depth | 4.0 in |
| Channel Slope | $0.005 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $0.007 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |

Senior Design.fm8
$5 / 12 / 2020$

## West Bioretention Outflow Pipe

## Licensed for Academic Use Only

| Project Description |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |
| Input Data |  |
| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |
| Channel Slope | $0.005 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 4.0 in |
| Discharge | 0.09 cfs |
| Results |  |
| Normal Depth | 2.3 in |
| Flow Area | $0.1 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter | 0.6 ft |
| Hydraulic Radius | 1.1 in |
| Top Width | 0.33 ft |
| Critical Depth | 2.0 in |
| Percent Full | 58.5 \% |
| Critical Slope | $0.009 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity | $1.64 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity Head | 0.04 ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.24 ft |
| Froude Number | 0.719 |
| Maximum Discharge | 0.14 cfs |
| Discharge Full | 0.13 cfs |
| Slope Full | $0.002 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Flow Type | Subcritical |
| GVF Input Data |  |
| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Length | 0.0 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |
| GVF Output Data |  |
| Upstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| Profile Description | N/A |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.0 \% |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 45.6 \% |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity ft/s |
| Upstream Velocity | Infinity ft/s |
| Normal Depth | 2.3 in |
| Critical Depth | 2.0 in |
| Channel Slope | $0.005 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Critical Slope | $0.009 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |

Senior Design.fm8
$5 / 12 / 2020$


Santa Clara University
SCU's Faculty \& Staff Housing Development 1200 Campbell Avenue San Jose, CA 95126

| No. | Description | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


| FINAL BIORETENTION LAYOUT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project number | 20352 |  |
| Date | April 25, 2020 | 14 |
| Drawn by | RH |  |



| No. | Description | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


| NORTH BIORETENTION CROSS-SECTION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project number | 20352 |  |
| Date | April 25, 2020 |  |
| Drawn by | RH |  |
| Checked by | LD |  |



| No. | Description | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


| WEST BIORETENTION CROSS-SECTION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project number | 20352 |  |
| Date | April 25, 2020 | E. |
| Drawn by | RH |  |
| Checked by | LD |  |

SQUARE GRATE
ELEVATION OF
GRATE 67.61'

DESIGN PONDING ELEVATION 67.61'

WALL
PENETRATION

STORM DRAIN PIPE WITH DIRECT DISCHARGE TO STREET STORM DRAIN SYSTEM, 8" DIA PVC


| No. | Description | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

SQUARE GRATE


Santa Clara University
SCU's Faculty \& Staff Housing Development 1200 Campbell Avenue San Jose, CA 95126


Appendix F:
Construction Management Package





| Project: 050720r1Construction Date: Wed 6/3/20 | Critical Activity | $\square$ | Summay | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | Inactive Summary |  | Manual Summary | $\Gamma$ | Exteral Milestone | * | Progress |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Task |  | Project Summary | $\stackrel{\square}{1}$ | Manual Task | $\square$ | Start-only | [ | Deadine | $\downarrow$ | Manual Progress |  |
|  | Split | "..." | Inactive Task |  | Duration-only | $\square$ | Finish-only | 〕 | Critical |  |  |  |
|  | Miestone | * | Inactive Milestone | * | Manual Summary Rollup |  | Exteral Tasks |  | Critical Spit |  |  |  |


| Project Estimate Sheet |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Location: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Start Year |  | 2021 |  |  |  |
| RSMeans Cost Data Year |  | 2019 |  |  |  |
| Division | Scope | Amount | City Index | Adjusted Amount [1] |  |
| 1 | General Requirements | \$19,205,605.77 | 1 | \$19,205,605.77 | 0.199 |
| 2 | Existing Conditions | \$92,750.00 | 1.219 | \$113,062.25 | 0.001 |
| 3 | Concrete | \$3,977,645.71 | 1.219 | \$5,044,639.62 | 0.052 |
| 5 | Metals | \$8,102,951.86 | 1.219 | \$9,877,498.32 | 0.103 |
| 7 | Thermal \& Moisture Protection | \$16,054.19 | 1.219 | \$19,570.06 | 0.0002 |
| 8 | Openings | \$5,195,182.63 | 1.219 | \$6,332,927.62 | 0.066 |
| 9 | Finishes | \$9,644,781.95 | 1.219 | \$11,756,989.20 | 0.122 |
| 11 | Equipment | \$863,209.88 | 1.219 | \$1,052,252.84 | 0.011 |
| 12 | Furnishings | \$6,656,940.54 | 1.219 | \$8,114,810.52 | 0.084 |
| 14 | Conveying Equipment | \$1,266,000.00 | 1.219 | \$1,543,254.00 | 0.016 |
| 21 | Fire Suppression | \$2,770,463.40 | 1.219 | \$3,377,194.88 | 0.035 |
| 22 | Plumbing | \$3,862,469.92 | 1.219 | \$4,708,350.84 | 0.049 |
| 23 | Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning | \$12,560,056.68 | 1.219 | \$15,310,709.09 | 0.159 |
| 26 | Electrical | \$5,550,701.08 | 1.219 | \$6,766,304.61 | 0.070 |
| 27 | Communications | \$925,988.78 | 1.219 | \$1,128,780.32 | 0.012 |
| 31 | Earthwork | \$404,215.55 | 1.219 | \$492,738.76 | 0.005 |
| 32 | Exterior Improvements | \$1,095,145.59 | 1.219 | \$1,334,982.47 | 0.014 |
| 33 | Utilities | \$93,709.96 | 1.219 | \$114,232.44 | 0.001 |
|  | TOTAL Project Value |  |  | \$96,293,903.60 | 1.000 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19205605.77 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [2] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 1 | General Contractor Fee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2 | 10\% Overhead |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76822423.1 | 7682242.31 |
| 3 | 5\% Profit |  | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76822423.1 | 3841121.155 |
| 4 | Design Fee 10\% |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76822423.1 | 7682242.31 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 92750 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [3] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 1 | Demolition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2 | Site Preparation (36000 SF) |  | 2 | SF | G.2040-1100 |  |  |  |  |  | 37100 | 46375 | 92750 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,977,645.71 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | Self- <br> Performed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |  |
|  | Concrete Mat Slab 4 ft | Yes | 14751 | CY | 03-31-13-2950 | 81 | C-20 | 400 | 9.29 | 13.05 |  |  |
| 1 | Slab on Grade 9.25 in | Yes | 2756 | CY | 03-31-13-4650 | 81 | C-20 | 185 | 20.1 | 28.5 | \$55,395.60 | 14.8972973 |
| 2 | Level 2 Concrete/Metal Deck | Yes | 24914 | SF | 03-30-53-3250 | 77 | C-8 | 2685 | 2.37 | 3.01 | \$59,046.18 | 9.278957169 |
| 3 | Level 2 6.25in Concrete Slab | Yes | 66405 | SF | 03-30-53-3200 | 77 | C-8 | 2585 | 3.82 | 4.59 | \$253,667.10 | 25.68858801 |
|  | Parking Ramp | Yes | 2180 | SF | 03-30-53-3200 | 77 | C-8 | 2585 | 3.82 | 4.59 | \$8,327.60 | 0.8433268859 |
|  | Level 3 Concrete/Metal Deck |  | 24489 | SF | 03-30-53-3250 | 77 | C-8 | 2685 | 2.37 | 3.01 | \$73,711.89 | 9.120670391 |
| 4 | Level 3 Concrete | Yes | 68350 | SF | 03-30-53-3200 | 77 | C-8 | 2585 | 3.82 | 4.59 | \$261,097.00 | 26.4410058 |
| 5 | Level 4 Concrete | Yes | 74816 | SF | 03-30-53-3250 | 77 | C-8 | 2685 | 2.37 | 3.01 | \$177,313.92 | 27.86443203 |
| 6 | Level 5 Concrete | Yes | 74816 | SF | 03-30-53-3250 | 77 | C-8 | 2685 | 2.37 | 3.01 | \$177,313.92 | 27.86443203 |
| 7 | Level 6 Concrete | Yes | 74816 | SF | 03-30-53-3250 | 77 | C-8 | 2685 | 2.37 | 3.01 | \$177,313.92 | 27.86443203 |
| 8 | Level 7 Concrete | Yes | 74816 | SF | 03-30-53-3250 | 77 | C-8 | 2685 | 2.37 | 3.01 | \$177,313.92 | 27.86443203 |
| 9 | Roof Concrete | Yes | 73701 | SF | 03-30-53-3250 | 77 | C-8 | 2685 | 2.37 | 3.01 | \$174,671.37 | 27.44916201 |
| 10 | Top of Parapet Concrete | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
|  | Concrete Beams |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| 12 | Level $216 \times 18$ | Yes | 17.77 | CY | 03-31-13.70-0600 | 80 | C-20 | 90 | 41.45 | 58 | \$736.57 | 0.1974444444 |
| 13 | Level $316 \times 18$ | Yes | 16.75 |  | 03-31-13-0601 | 80 | C-21 | 90 | 41.45 | 58 | \$694.29 | 0.1861111111 |
| 14 | Level $222 \times 24$ | Yes | 157.54 |  | 03-31-13-0800 | 80 | C-20 | 92 | 40.25 | 57 | \$6,340.99 | 1.712391304 |
| 15 | Level $322 \times 24$ | Yes | 157.54 |  | 03-31-13-0801 | 80 | C-21 | 92 | 40.25 | 57 | \$6,340.99 | 1.712391304 |
| 16 | Level $226 \times 28$ | Yes | 314.48 |  | 03-31-13-1000 | 80 | C-20 | 140 | 26.4 | 37.5 | \$8,302.27 | 2.246285714 |
| 17 | Level $226 \times 28$ | Yes | 314.48 |  | 03-31-13-1001 | 80 | C-21 | 140 | 26.4 | 37.5 | \$8,302.27 | 2.246285714 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 19 | Concrete Columns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
|  | Level 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
|  | 30" Concrete Columns | Yes | 252 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| 20 | Level 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 21 | 12X18 | Yes | 24 | CY | 03-31-13.70-0800 |  |  | 92 | 40.25 | 57 | \$966.00 | 0.2608695652 |
| 22 | 24" | Yes | 222 | CY | 03-31-13.70-0800 |  |  | 92 | 40.25 | 57 | \$8,935.50 | 2.413043478 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 24 | Level 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 25 | 12x18 | Yes | 32 | CY | 03-31-13.70-0600 | 80 | C-20 | 90 | 41.45 | 58 | \$1,326.40 | 0.3555555556 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/O! |
| 27 | Concrete Slab Formwork (4 Uses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/O! |
| 28 | Level 2 Elevated Slab (SF*1.10) |  | 73045.5 | SF | 03-11-13.35-7000 | 55 |  | 500 | 3.37 | 5 | \$365,227.50 | 146.091 |
| 29 | Level 3 Elevated Slab (SF*1.10) |  | 75185 | SF | 03-11-13.35-7000 | 55 |  | 500 | 3.37 | 5 | \$375,925.00 | 150.37 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 31 | Concrete Beam Formwork |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/o! |
| 32 | Accounted for in Concrete Slab Formwork |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 34 | Concrete Column Formwork |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,977,645.71 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |  |
| 35 | Level1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 36 | 12X18 | Yes | 2970 | SFCA | 03-11-13.25-6000 |  |  | 180 | 11.13 | 15.7 | \$33,056.10 | 16.5 |
| 37 | 24" |  | 3942 | SFCA | 03-11-13.25-1800 |  | C-1 | 130 | 22.2 | 29.5 | \$116,289.00 | 30.32307692 |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 39 | Level 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 40 | 12x18 |  | 135 | SFCA | 03-11-13.25-6000 |  |  | 2.63 | 11.13 | 15.7 | \$2,119.50 | 51.33079848 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
|  | Concrete Shear Walls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
|  | Concrete Shear Walls 14" |  | 227 | CY | 03-30-53.40-4300 | 78 | C-140 | 80.02 | 302.85 | 385 | \$87,395.00 | 2.836790802 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
|  | Concrete Shear Walls Formwork |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
|  | Level 1 to Level 2 |  | 10470 | SF | 03-11-19-0150 |  |  | 992 | 6.54 | 7.85 | \$82,189.50 | 10.55443548 |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 42 | Concrete Slab Rebar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 43 | 9" Concrete Slab |  | 104 | TON | 03-21-11.60-0600 |  |  | 2.3 | 1790 | 2275 | \$236,600.00 | 45.2173913 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 47 | Shear Walls Rebar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 48 | Tension Reinforcing |  | 5.676 | Ton |  |  |  | 95 | 64.1 | 84 | \$476.78 | 0.05974736842 |
| 49 | Shear Reinforcing |  | 9.9 | Ton |  |  |  | 130 | 22.95 | 30.5 | \$301.95 | 0.07615384615 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 50 | Roof Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 50 | Roof Structure | No | 73701 | SF | M. 020 Apt,4-7 | 81 |  |  | 1.76 | 2.2 | \$162,142.20 | \#DIV/o! |
| 50 | Roof Covering | No | 73701 | SF | M. 020 Apt,4-7 | 81 |  |  | 1.39 | 1.7375 | \$128,055.49 | \#DIV/o! |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/o! |
| 50 | Stair Construction | No | 56 | Flights | C2010 Stair Const | 414 |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 50 | NW Stair |  | 14 |  | C2010 Stair Const | 414 |  |  | 10725 | 13406.25 | \$187,687.50 | \#DIV/O! |
| 50 | NE Stair |  | 14 |  | C2010 Stair Const | 414 |  |  | 10725 | 13406.25 | \$187,687.50 | \#DIV/0! |
| 50 | SW Stair |  | 14 |  | C2010 Stair Const | 414 |  |  | 10725 | 13406.25 | \$187,687.50 | \#DIV/0! |
| 50 | SE Stair |  | 14 |  | C2010 Stair Const | 414 |  |  | 10725 | 13406.25 | \$187,687.50 | \#DIV/o! |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/o! |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,977,645.71 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 |


| 760 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8102951.86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [4] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 1 | L1 2" Deep Metal Deck |  | 24914 | SF | 05-31-13-5200 | 2019 | 141 | E-4 | 3860 |  | 2.54 | 3.04 | 75738.56 |
| 2 | L2 2" Deep Metal Deck |  | 24489 |  | 05-31-13-5200 | 2019 | 141 | E-4 | 3860 |  | 2.54 | 3.04 | 74446.56 |
| 3 | L3 2" Deep Metal Deck |  | 74816 |  | 05-31-13-5200 | 2019 | 141 | E-4 | 3860 |  | 2.54 | 3.04 | 227440.64 |
| 4 | L4 2" Deep Metal Deck |  | 74816 |  | 05-31-13-5200 | 2019 | 141 | E-4 | 3860 |  | 2.54 | 3.04 | 227440.64 |
| 5 | L5 2" Deep Metal Deck |  | 74816 |  | 05-31-13-5200 | 2019 | 141 | E-4 | 3860 |  | 2.54 | 3.04 | 227440.64 |
| 6 | L6 2" Deep Metal Deck |  | 74816 |  | 05-31-13-5200 | 2019 | 141 | E-4 | 3860 |  | 2.54 | 3.04 | 227440.64 |
| 7 | L7 2" Deep Metal Deck |  | 74816 |  | 05-31-13-5200 | 2019 | 141 | E-4 | 3860 |  | 2.54 | 3.04 | 227440.64 |
| 8 | ROOF 2" Deep Metal Deck |  | 73701 |  | 05-31-13-5200 | 2019 | 141 | E-4 | 3860 |  | 2.54 | 3.04 | 224051.04 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 10 | Level 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 11 | W10X33 |  | 1 | LF | 05-12-23.75-0740 |  | 131 | E-2 | 550 | LF | 56.74 | 65.5 | 65.5 |
| 12 | W12X65 |  | 330 | LF | 05-12-23.75-1580 |  | 132 | E-2 | 750 | LF | 90.91 | 102 | 33660 |
| 13 | W12X72 |  | 81 | LF | 05-12-23.75-1700 |  | 132 | E-2 | 640 | LF | 112.51 | 126 | 10206 |
| 14 | 12X106 |  | 345 | LF | 05-12-23.75-1740 |  | 132 | E-2 | 640 | LF | 133.51 | 150 | 51750 |
| 15 | W18X76 |  | 90 | LF | 3940 |  | 132 | E-2 | 900 | LF | 116.96 | 132 | 11880 |
| 16 | W18X97 |  | 195 | LF | 3960 |  | 132 | E-2 | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 28860 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 20 | Level 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 21 | W16x26 |  | 129 | LF | 05-12-23-2700 |  | 133 | E-2 | 1000 | LF | 42.81 | 48.5 | 6256.5 |
| 22 | W8X13 |  | 269 | LF | 300 |  | 131 | E-2 | 600 | LF | 22.58 | 27.5 | 7397.5 |
| 23 | W16X100 |  | 254 | LF | 3140 |  | 132 | E-2 | 760 | LF | 103.82 | 116 | 29464 |
| 24 | W18X60 |  | 46 | LF | 3920 |  | 132 |  | 900 | LF | 101.46 | 114 | 5244 |
| 25 | W18X97 |  | 1529 | LF | 3960 |  |  | E-2 | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 226292 |
| 26 | W21X73 |  | 58 | LF | 4700 |  |  |  | 1036 | LF | 105.04 | 118 | 6844 |
| 27 | W21X93 |  | 187 | LF | 4740 |  | 132 |  | 1000 | LF | 141.26 | 158 | 29546 |
| 28 | W21×101 |  | 126 | LF | 4760 |  |  |  | 1000 | LF | 153.26 | 171 | 21546 |
| 29 | W21X132 |  | 120 | LF | 4780 |  | 132 |  | 1000 | LF | 183.26 | 204 | 24480 |
| 30 |  |  |  | LF |  |  |  |  |  | LF |  |  | 0 |
| 31 | Level 3 |  |  | LF |  |  |  |  |  | LF |  |  | 0 |
| 32 | W8X13 |  | 315 | LF | 300 |  | 131 | E-2 | 600 | LF | 22.58 | 27.5 | 8662.5 |
| 33 | W14x68 |  | 271 | LF | 2360 |  | 132 |  | 760 | LF | 114.32 | 127 | 34417 |
| 34 | W16X100 |  | 417 | LF | 3140 |  | 132 | E-2 | 760 | LF | 103.82 | 116 | 48372 |
| 35 | W18X60 |  | 29 | LF | 3920 |  | 132 |  | 900 | LF | 101.46 | 114 | 3306 |
| 36 | W18X97 |  | 1413 | LF | 3960 |  |  | E-2 | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 209124 |
| 37 | W18x119 |  | 164 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 24272 |
| 38 | W18x130 |  | 79 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 11692 |
| 39 | W18X143 |  | 64 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 9472 |
| 40 | W21X73 |  | 58 | LF | 4700 |  |  |  | 1036 | LF | 105.04 | 118 | 6844 |
| 41 | W21×93 |  | 186 | LF | 4740 |  | 132 |  | 1000 | LF | 141.26 | 158 | 29388 |
| 42 | W21X101 |  | 132 | LF | 4760 |  |  |  | 1000 | LF | 153.26 | 171 | 22572 |


| 760 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8102951.86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [4] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 43 | W21X122 |  | 39 | LF | 4780 |  |  |  | 1000 | LF | 183.26 | 204 | 7956 |
| 44 |  |  |  | LF |  |  |  |  |  | LF |  |  | 0 |
| 45 | Level 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 46 | W8X13 |  | 332 | LF | 300 |  | 131 | E-2 | 600 | LF | 22.58 | 27.5 | 9130 |
| 47 | W14x68 |  | 547 | LF | 2360 |  | 132 |  | 760 | LF | 114.32 | 127 | 69469 |
| 48 | W16X67 |  | 106 | LF | 3140 |  |  |  | 760 | LF | 103.82 | 116 | 12296 |
| 49 | W16X100 |  | 1114 | LF | 3140 |  | 132 | E-2 | 760 | LF | 103.82 | 116 | 129224 |
| 50 | W18X60 |  | 75 | LF | 3920 |  | 132 |  | 900 | LF | 101.46 | 114 | 8550 |
| 51 | W18X76 |  | 109 |  | 3940 |  |  |  | 900 |  | 116.96 | 132 | 14388 |
| 52 | W18X97 |  | 2753 | LF | 3960 |  |  | E-2 | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 407444 |
| 53 | W18x119 |  | 817 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 120916 |
| 54 | W18x130 |  | 171 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 25308 |
| 55 | W18X143 |  | 64 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 9472 |
| 56 | W21X73 |  | 145 | LF | 4700 |  |  |  | 1036 | LF | 105.04 | 118 | 17110 |
| 57 | W21X93 |  | 186 | LF | 4740 |  | 132 |  | 1000 | LF | 141.26 | 158 | 29388 |
| 58 | W21X101 |  | 132 | LF | 4760 |  |  |  | 1000 | LF | 153.26 | 171 | 22572 |
| 59 | W21×122 |  | 352 | LF | 4780 |  |  |  | 1000 | LF | 183.26 | 204 | 71808 |
| 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 61 | Level 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 62 | W8X13 |  | 309 | LF | 300 |  | 131 | E-2 | 600 | LF | 22.58 | 27.5 | 8497.5 |
| 63 | W14x68 |  | 531 | LF | 2360 |  | 132 |  | 760 | LF | 114.32 | 127 | 67437 |
| 64 | W16X67 |  | 132 | LF | 3140 |  |  |  | 760 | LF | 103.82 | 116 | 15312 |
| 65 | W16X100 |  | 1013 | LF | 3140 |  | 132 | E-2 | 760 | LF | 103.82 | 116 | 117508 |
| 66 | W18X60 |  | 120 | LF | 3920 |  | 132 |  | 900 | LF | 101.46 | 114 | 13680 |
| 67 | W18x76 |  | 109 |  | 3940 |  |  |  | 900 |  | 116.96 | 132 | 14388 |
| 68 | W18X97 |  | 2647 | LF | 3960 |  |  | E-2 | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 391756 |
| 69 | W18x119 |  | 912 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 134976 |
| 70 | W18x130 |  | 171 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 25308 |
| 71 | W18X143 |  | 64 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 9472 |
| 72 | W21X73 |  | 145 | LF | 4700 |  |  |  | 1036 | LF | 105.04 | 118 | 17110 |
| 73 | W21X93 |  | 186 | LF | 4740 |  | 132 |  | 1000 | LF | 141.26 | 158 | 29388 |
| 74 | W21X101 |  | 132 | LF | 4760 |  |  |  | 1000 | LF | 153.26 | 171 | 22572 |
| 75 | W21X122 |  | 477 | LF | 4780 |  |  |  | 1000 | LF | 183.26 | 204 | 97308 |
| 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 77 | Level 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 78 | W8X13 |  | 324 | LF | 300 |  | 131 | E-2 | 600 | LF | 22.58 | 27.5 | 8910 |
| 79 | W14x68 |  | 540 | LF | 2360 |  | 132 |  | 760 | LF | 114.32 | 127 | 68580 |
| 80 | W16X67 |  | 132 | LF | 3140 |  |  |  | 760 | LF | 103.82 | 116 | 15312 |
| 81 | W16X100 |  | 1048 | LF | 3140 |  | 132 | E-2 | 760 | LF | 103.82 | 116 | 121568 |
| 82 | W18X60 |  | 120 | LF | 3920 |  | 132 |  | 900 | LF | 101.46 | 114 | 13680 |


| 760 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8102951.86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [4] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 83 | W18x76 |  | 109 |  | 3940 |  |  |  | 900 |  | 116.96 | 132 | 14388 |
| 84 | W18X97 |  | 2618 | LF | 3960 |  |  | E-2 | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 387464 |
| 85 | W18x119 |  | 946 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 140008 |
| 86 | W18x130 |  | 171 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 25308 |
| 87 | W18X143 |  | 65 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 9620 |
| 88 | W21X73 |  | 145 | LF | 4700 |  |  |  | 1036 | LF | 105.04 | 118 | 17110 |
| 89 | W21X93 |  | 186 | LF | 4740 |  | 132 |  | 1000 | LF | 141.26 | 158 | 29388 |
| 90 | W21X101 |  | 132 | LF | 4760 |  |  |  | 1000 | LF | 153.26 | 171 | 22572 |
| 91 | W21X122 |  | 385 | LF | 4780 |  |  |  | 1000 | LF | 183.26 | 204 | 78540 |
| 92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 93 | Level 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 94 | W8X13 |  | 324 | LF | 300 |  | 131 | E-2 | 600 | LF | 22.58 | 27.5 | 8910 |
| 95 | W14x68 |  | 564 | LF | 2360 |  | 132 |  | 760 | LF | 114.32 | 127 | 71628 |
| 96 | W16X67 |  | 132 | LF | 3140 |  |  |  | 760 | LF | 103.82 | 116 | 15312 |
| 97 | W16X100 |  | 1008 | LF | 3140 |  | 132 | E-2 | 760 | LF | 103.82 | 116 | 116928 |
| 98 | W18X60 |  | 120 | LF | 3920 |  | 132 |  | 900 | LF | 101.46 | 114 | 13680 |
| 99 | W18x76 |  | 109 |  | 3940 |  |  |  | 900 |  | 116.96 | 132 | 14388 |
| 100 | W18X97 |  | 2535 | LF | 3960 |  |  | E-2 | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 375180 |
| 101 | W18x119 |  | 935 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 138380 |
| 102 | W18x130 |  | 259 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 38332 |
| 103 | W18X143 |  | 65 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 9620 |
| 104 | W21X73 |  | 145 | LF | 4700 |  |  |  | 1036 | LF | 105.04 | 118 | 17110 |
| 105 | W21X93 |  | 186 | LF | 4740 |  | 132 |  | 1000 | LF | 141.26 | 158 | 29388 |
| 106 | W21X101 |  | 132 | LF | 4760 |  |  |  | 1000 | LF | 153.26 | 171 | 22572 |
| 107 | W21×122 |  | 432 | LF | 4780 |  |  |  | 1000 | LF | 183.26 | 204 | 88128 |
| 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 109 | Roof |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 110 | W16x26 |  | 23 | LF | 05-12-23-2700 |  | 133 | E-2 | 1000 | LF | 42.81 | 48.5 | 1115.5 |
| 111 | W6X16 |  | 363 |  | 120 |  | 131 |  | 600 |  | 30.03 | 35.5 | 12886.5 |
| 112 | W8X13 |  | 145 | LF | 300 |  | 131 | E-2 | 600 | LF | 22.58 | 27.5 | 3987.5 |
| 113 | W14x68 |  | 58 | LF | 2360 |  | 132 |  | 760 | LF | 114.32 | 127 | 7366 |
| 114 | W16X67 |  | 1448 | LF | 3140 |  |  |  | 760 | LF | 103.82 | 116 | 167968 |
| 115 | W16X100 |  | 192 | LF | 3140 |  | 132 | E-2 | 760 | LF | 103.82 | 116 | 22272 |
| 116 | W18X60 |  | 120 | LF | 3920 |  | 132 |  | 900 | LF | 101.46 | 114 | 13680 |
| 117 | W18x76 |  | 109 |  | 3940 |  |  |  | 900 |  | 116.96 | 132 | 14388 |
| 118 | W18X97 |  | 234 | LF | 3960 |  |  | E-2 | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 34632 |
| 119 | W18x106 |  | 2353 |  |  |  |  |  | 900 |  | 160.96 | 180 | 423540 |
| 120 | W18x119 |  | 902 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 133496 |
| 121 | W18x130 |  | 45 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 6660 |
| 123 | W21X73 |  | 100 | LF | 4700 |  |  |  | 1036 | LF | 105.04 | 118 | 11800 |


| 760 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8102951.86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [4] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 124 | W21X93 |  | 186 | LF | 4740 |  | 132 |  | 1000 | LF | 141.26 | 158 | 29388 |
| 125 | W21X101 |  | 132 | LF | 4760 |  |  |  | 1000 | LF | 153.26 | 171 | 22572 |
| 126 | W21X122 |  | 128 | LF | 4780 |  |  |  | 1000 | LF | 183.26 | 204 | 26112 |
| 127 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 128 | Level Parapet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 129 | W6X16 |  | 99 |  | 120 |  | 131 |  | 600 |  | 30.03 | 35.5 | 3514.5 |
| 130 | W8X13 |  | 97 | LF | 300 |  | 131 | E-2 | 600 | LF | 22.58 | 27.5 | 2667.5 |
| 131 | W16X67 |  | 163 | LF | 3140 |  |  |  | 760 | LF | 103.82 | 116 | 18908 |
| 132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 133 | Level 1 Columns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 134 | W $16 \times 26$ |  | 419 | LF | 05-12-23-2700 |  | 133 | E-2 | 1000 | LF | 42.81 | 48.5 | 20321.5 |
| 135 | W $18 \times 97$ |  | 77 | LF | 3960 |  |  | E-2 | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 11396 |
| 136 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 137 | Level 2 Columns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 138 | W12x45 | No | 264 | LF | 05-12-23.75-1560 | 2019 | 132 | E-2 | 750 | LF | 78.91 | 89 | 23496 |
| 139 | W12X65 | No | 108 | LF | 05-12-23.75-1580 | 2019 | 132 | E-2 | 750 | LF | 90.91 | 102 | 11016 |
| 140 | 12X106 | No | 144 | LF | 05-12-23.75-1740 | 2019 | 132 | E-2 | 640 | LF | 133.51 | 150 | 21600 |
| 141 | W18X76 | No | 108 | LF | 05-12-23.75-3940 | 2019 | 132 | E-2 | 900 | LF | 116.96 | 132 | 14256 |
| 142 | W18X97 | No | 156 | LF | 05-12-23.75-3960 | 2019 | 132 | E-2 | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 23088 |
| 143 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 144 | Level 3 Columns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 145 | W12X45 | No | 250 | LF | 1560 |  |  |  | 750 | LF | 78.91 | 89 | 22250 |
| 146 | W12X65 | No | 160 | LF | 05-12-23.75-1580 |  |  |  | 750 | LF | 90.91 | 102 | 16320 |
| 147 | W12X72 | No | 40 |  | 05-12-23.75-1700 |  |  |  | 640 | LF | 112.51 | 126 | 5040 |
| 148 | W12X79 | No | 660 | LF | 05-12-23.75-1700 |  |  |  | 640 | LF | 112.51 | 126 | 83160 |
| 149 | W18X76 | No | 100 | LF | 3940 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 116.96 | 132 | 13200 |
| 150 | W18X97 | No | 230 | LF | 3960 |  |  | E-2 | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 34040 |
| 151 | W18x119 | No | 80 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 11840 |
| 152 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 153 | Level 4 Columns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 154 | W12X40 |  | 220 | LF | 1560 |  |  |  | 750 | LF | 78.91 | 89 | 19580 |
| 155 | W12X45 |  | 170 | LF | 1560 |  |  |  | 750 | LF | 78.91 | 89 | 15130 |
| 156 | W12X50 |  | 30 | LF | 1560 |  |  |  | 750 | LF | 78.91 | 89 | 2670 |
| 157 | W12X53 |  | 640 | LF | 1560 |  |  |  | 750 | LF | 78.91 | 89 | 56960 |
| 158 | W12X65 |  | 20 | LF | 05-12-23.75-1580 |  |  |  | 750 | LF | 90.91 | 102 | 2040 |
| 159 | W12X79 |  | 10 | LF | 05-12-23.75-1700 |  |  |  | 640 | LF | 112.51 | 126 | 1260 |
| 160 | W18X76 |  | 100 | LF | 3940 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 116.96 | 132 | 13200 |
| 161 | W18X97 |  | 240 | LF | 3960 |  |  | E-2 | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 35520 |
| 162 | W18x119 |  | 80 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 11840 |
| 163 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


| 760 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8102951.86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | Self- <br> Performed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RSMeans } \\ & \text { page } \end{aligned}$ | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [4] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Total Item } \\ \text { Cost } \end{array}$ |
| 164 | Level 5 Columns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 165 | W12X40 |  | 230 | LF | 1560 |  |  |  | 750 | LF | 78.91 | 89 | 20470 |
| 166 | W12X45 |  | 180 | LF | 1560 |  |  |  | 750 | LF | 78.91 | 89 | 16020 |
| 167 | W12X50 |  | 40 | LF | 1560 |  |  |  | 750 | LF | 78.91 | 89 | 3560 |
| 168 | W12X53 |  | 640 | LF | 1560 |  |  |  | 750 | LF | 78.91 | 89 | 56960 |
| 169 | W12X79 |  | 10 | LF | 05-12-23.75-1700 |  |  |  | 640 | LF | 112.51 | 126 | 1260 |
| 170 | W18X76 |  | 100 | LF | 3940 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 116.96 | 132 | 13200 |
| 171 | W18X97 |  | 240 | LF | 3960 |  |  | E-2 | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 35520 |
| 172 | W18x119 |  | 80 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 11840 |
| 173 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 174 | Level 6 Columns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 175 | W10x22 |  | 220 | LF | 05-12-23.75-0700 |  |  |  | 600 | LF | 40.03 | 46.5 | 10230 |
| 176 | W10X33 |  | 650 | LF | 05-12-23.75-0740 |  |  |  | 550 | LF | 56.74 | 65.5 | 42575 |
| 177 | W12X40 |  | 190 | LF | 1560 |  |  |  | 750 | LF | 78.91 | 89 | 16910 |
| 178 | W12X45 |  | 30 | LF | 1560 |  |  |  | 750 | LF | 78.91 | 89 | 2670 |
| 179 | W12X79 |  | 10 | LF | 05-12-23.75-1700 |  |  |  | 640 | LF | 112.51 | 126 | 1260 |
| 180 | W18X76 |  | 100 | LF | 3940 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 116.96 | 132 | 13200 |
| 181 | W18X97 |  | 240 | LF | 3960 |  |  | E-2 | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 35520 |
| 182 | W18x119 |  | 80 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 11840 |
| 183 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 184 | Level 7 Columns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 185 | W10x22 |  | 230 | LF | 05-12-23.75-0700 |  |  |  | 600 | LF | 40.03 | 46.5 | 10695 |
| 186 | W10×33 |  | 630 | LF | 05-12-23.75-0740 |  |  |  | 550 | LF | 56.74 | 65.5 | 41265 |
| 187 | W12X40 |  | 220 | LF | 1560 |  |  |  | 750 | LF | 78.91 | 89 | 19580 |
| 188 | W12X79 |  | 10 | LF | 05-12-23.75-1700 |  |  |  | 640 | LF | 112.51 | 126 | 1260 |
| 189 | W18X76 |  | 100 | LF | 3940 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 116.96 | 132 | 13200 |
| 190 | W18X97 |  | 240 | LF | 3960 |  |  | E-2 | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 35520 |
| 191 | W18x119 |  | 80 | LF | 3960 |  |  |  | 900 | LF | 131.96 | 148 | 11840 |
| 192 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 193 | Roof Columns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 194 | W10X22 |  | 120 | LF | 05-12-23.75-0700 |  |  |  | 600 | LF | 40.03 | 46.5 | 5580 |
| 195 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 196 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 197 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 198 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 199 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 201 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 203 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16054.189 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RSMeans } \\ & \text { page } \end{aligned}$ | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [5] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 1 | Bioretention |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2 | EPDM 45 mil Thick |  | 4338.97 | SF | 07-13-53-10.0090 |  | 225 |  | 580 |  | 2.72 | 3.7 | 16054.189 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 侕 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5195182.625 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | Self- <br> Performed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RSMeans } \\ & \text { page } \end{aligned}$ | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [6] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Item } \\ & \text { Cost } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1 | Exterior Walls (Curtain Wall) | No |  | SF |  |  | 81 |  |  |  | 20.82 |  | 0 | \#DIV/0! |
| 2 | North Wall 3-7 | No | 20405 | SF | M.020-2020 |  |  |  |  | SF | 20.82 | 26.025 | 531040.125 | \#DIV/0! |
| 3 | East Wall 3-7 |  | 13970 | SF | M.020-2020 |  |  |  |  | SF | 20.82 | 26.025 | 363569.25 | \#DIV/0! |
| 4 | South Wall 3-7 | No | 21615 | SF | M.020-2020 |  |  |  |  | SF | 20.82 | 26.025 | 562530.375 | \#DIV/0! |
| 5 | West Wall 3-7 | No | 13915 | SF | M.020-2020 |  |  |  |  | SF | 20.82 | 26.025 | 362137.875 | \#DIV/0! |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | \#DIV/0! |
| 7 | Interior Doors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | \#DIV/0! |
| 8 | Level 1 |  | 1 | Each | M.020-1020 |  |  |  | 12 | Each | 1244 | 1555 | 1555 | 0.08333333333 |
| 9 | Level 2 |  | 106 |  | M.020-1020 |  |  |  | 12 |  | 1244 | 1555 | 164830 | 8.833333333 |
| 10 | Level 3 |  | 395 |  | M.020-1020 |  |  |  | 12 |  | 1244 | 1555 | 614225 | 32.91666667 |
| 11 | Level 4 |  | 410 |  | M.020-1020 |  |  |  | 12 |  | 1244 | 1555 | 637550 | 34.16666667 |
| 12 | Level 5 |  | 410 |  | M.020-1020 |  |  |  | 12 |  | 1244 | 1555 | 637550 | 34.16666667 |
| 13 | Level 6 |  | 429 |  | M.020-1020 |  |  |  | 12 |  | 1244 | 1555 | 667095 | 35.75 |
| 14 | Level 7 |  | 420 |  | M.020-1020 |  |  |  | 12 |  | 1244 | 1555 | 653100 | 35 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | \#DIV/0! |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | \#DIV/0! |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | \#DIV/0! |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | \#DIV/0! |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | \#DIV/0! |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | \#DIV/0! |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | \#DIV/0! |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | \#DIV/0! |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | \#DIV/0! |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | \#DIV/0! |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | \#DIV/0! |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | \#DIV/0! |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5195182.625 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Self- } \\ \text { Performed? } \end{array}$ | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RSMeans } \\ & \text { page } \end{aligned}$ | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [6] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |  |  |  |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9644781.95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [7] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item <br> Cost |
| 1 | Glazed/Prefab Metal Panels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Level 1 |  | 15480 | SF | M0.20-2020 |  | 81 |  |  |  | 20.82 | 26.025 | 402867 |
| 3 | Level 2 |  | 7979 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.82 | 26.025 | 207653.475 |
| 4 | Level 3 |  | 25815 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.82 | 26.025 | 671835.375 |
| 5 | Level 4 |  | 25989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.82 | 26.025 | 676363.725 |
| 6 | Level 5 |  | 25120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.82 | 26.025 | 653748 |
| 7 | Level 6 |  | 26923 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.82 | 26.025 | 700671.075 |
| 8 | Level 7 |  | 2692 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.82 | 26.025 | 70059.3 |
| 9 |  |  | 26929 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.82 | 26.025 | 700827.225 |
| 10 | Interior Walls |  |  |  | M.020-1010 |  | 81 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Level 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.17 | 11.4625 | 0 |
| 12 | Level 2 |  | 24515 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.17 | 11.4625 | 281003.1875 |
| 13 | Level 3 |  | 88532 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.17 | 11.4625 | 1014798.05 |
| 14 | Level 4 |  | 94355 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.17 | 11.4625 | 1081544.188 |
| 15 | Level 5 |  | 90544 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.17 | 11.4625 | 1037860.6 |
| 16 | Level 6 |  | 94293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.17 | 11.4625 | 1080833.513 |
| 17 | Level 7 |  | 92887 | 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.17 | 11.4625 | 1064717.238 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | Parapet Wall |  | 12219 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9644781.95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | Self- <br> Performed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [7] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 863209.875 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RSMeans } \\ & \text { page } \end{aligned}$ | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [8] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 1 | Residential Gas Ranges, Dis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.65 | 2.0625 | 0 |
| 2 | Level 1 Mixed Use |  | 24914 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.65 | 2.0625 | 51385.125 |
| 3 | L2 Res |  | 24914 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.65 | 2.0625 | 51385.125 |
| 4 | L3 Res |  | 69434 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.65 | 2.0625 | 143207.625 |
| 5 | L4 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.65 | 2.0625 | 154308 |
| 6 | L5 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.65 | 2.0625 | 154308 |
| 7 | L6 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.65 | 2.0625 | 154308 |
| 8 | L7 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.65 | 2.0625 | 154308 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6656940.54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [9] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 1 | Residential Fittings | No |  | SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2 | Level 2 Residential | No | 24914 | SF | M.020-1030 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.98 | 6.225 | 155089.65 |
| 3 | Level 3 Residential | No | 69434 |  | M.020-1030 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.98 | 6.225 | 432226.65 |
| 4 | Level 4 Residential |  | 74816 |  | M.020-1030 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.98 | 6.225 | 465729.6 |
| 5 | Level 5 Residential |  | 74816 |  | M.020-1030 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.98 | 6.225 | 465729.6 |
| 6 | Level 6 Residential |  | 74816 |  | M.020-1030 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.98 | 6.225 | 465729.6 |
| 7 | Level 7 Residential |  | 74816 |  | M.020-1030 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.98 | 6.225 | 465729.6 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Floor Finishes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Level 1 Mixed Use |  | 24914 |  | M.020-3020 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.32 | 6.65 | 165678.1 |
| 11 | L2 Res |  | 24914 |  | M.020-3020 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.32 | 6.65 | 165678.1 |
| 12 | L3 Res |  | 69434 |  | M.020-3020 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.32 | 6.65 | 461736.1 |
| 13 | L4 Res |  | 74816 |  | M.020-3020 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.32 | 6.65 | 497526.4 |
| 14 | L5 Res |  | 74816 |  | M.020-3020 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.32 | 6.65 | 497526.4 |
| 15 | L6 Res |  | 74816 |  | M.020-3020 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.32 | 6.65 | 497526.4 |
| 16 | L7 Res |  | 74816 |  | M.020-3020 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 18 | Ceiling Finishes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 19 | Level 1 Mixed Use |  | 24914 |  | M.020-3030 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.59 | 4.59 | 114355.26 |
| 20 | L2 Res |  | 24914 |  | M.020-3030 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.59 | 4.59 | 114355.26 |
| 21 | L3 Res |  | 69434 |  | M.020-3030 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.59 | 4.59 | 318702.06 |
| 22 | L4 Res |  | 74816 |  | M.020-3030 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.59 | 4.59 | 343405.44 |
| 23 | L5 Res |  | 74816 |  | M.020-3030 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.59 | 4.59 | 343405.44 |
| 24 | L6 Res |  | 74816 |  | M.020-3030 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.59 | 4.59 | 343405.44 |
| 25 | L7 Res |  | 74816 |  | M.020-3030 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.59 | 4.59 | 343405.44 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6656940.54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | Self- <br> Performed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [9] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1266000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [10] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 1 | Elevators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | NW Elevators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | NE Elevator |  | 2 | Each | M.020-1010 |  | 81 |  |  | Each | 253200 | 316500 | 633000 |
| 4 | SW Elevator |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | SE Elevator |  | 2 |  |  |  | 811 |  |  | Each | 253200 | 316500 | 633000 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1266000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [10] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2770463.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [11] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 1 | Sprinkler Residential | No |  |  |  |  | 81 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | L2 Res |  | 24914 |  |  |  | 81 |  |  |  | 3.03 | 3.7875 | 94361.775 |
| 3 | L3 Res |  | 69434 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.03 | 3.7875 | 262981.275 |
| 4 | L4 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.03 | 3.7875 | 283365.6 |
| 5 | L5 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.03 | 3.7875 | 283365.6 |
| 6 | L6 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.03 | 3.7875 | 283365.6 |
| 7 | L7 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.03 | 3.7875 | 283365.6 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Standpipes Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | L2 Res |  | 24914 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.99 | 1.2375 | 30831.075 |
| 11 | L3 Res |  | 69434 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.99 | 1.2375 | 85924.575 |
| 12 | L4 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.99 | 1.2375 | 92584.8 |
| 13 | L5 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.99 | 1.2375 | 92584.8 |
| 14 | L6 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.99 | 1.2375 | 92584.8 |
| 15 | L7 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.99 | 1.2375 | 92584.8 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Sprinkler Garage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | Level 1 |  | 66405 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.03 | 3.7875 | 251508.9375 |
| 19 | Level 2 |  | 66405 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.03 | 3.7875 | 251508.9375 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | Standpipes Garage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | Level 1 |  | 66405 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.99 | 1.2375 | 82176.1875 |
| 23 | Level 2 |  | 66405 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.99 | 1.2375 | 82176.1875 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | Sprinkler for Offices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | Level 1 Mixed Use |  | 24914 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.03 | 3.7875 | 94361.775 |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | Standpipes Offices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | Level 1 Mixed Use |  | 24914 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.99 | 1.2375 | 30831.075 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2770463.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | Self <br> Performed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [11] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3862469.924 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [12] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 1 | Plumbing Fixtures (1 fixture/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Level 1 Mixed Use |  | 87.41754386 | each | M.020-2010 |  | 81 |  |  | each | 1942 | 2427.5 | 212206.0877 |
| 3 | Level 2 Residential |  | 87.41754386 | each | M.020-2010 |  |  |  |  | each | 1942 | 2427.5 | 212206.0877 |
| 4 | Level 3 Residential |  | 243.6280702 | each | M.020-2010 |  |  |  |  | each | 1942 | 2427.5 | 591407.1404 |
| 5 | Level 4 Residential |  | 262.5122807 | each | M.020-2010 |  |  |  |  | each | 1942 | 2427.5 | 637248.5614 |
| 6 | Level 5 Residential |  | 262.5122807 | each | M.020-2010 |  |  |  |  | each | 1942 | 2427.5 | 637248.5614 |
| 7 | Level 6 Residential |  | 262.5122807 | each | M.020-2010 |  |  |  |  | each | 1942 | 2427.5 | 637248.5614 |
| 8 | Level 7 Residential |  | 262.5122807 | each | M.020-2010 |  |  |  |  | each | 1942 | 2427.5 | 637248.5614 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Domestic Water Distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Level 1 Mixed Use |  | 24914 | SF | M.020-2020 |  |  |  |  | SF | 8.7 | 10.875 | 270939.75 |
| 12 | Level 2 Residential |  | 24914 | SF | M.020-2020 |  |  |  |  | SF |  | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Level 3 Residential |  | 69434 | SF | M.020-2020 |  |  |  |  | SF |  | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Level 4 Residential |  | 74816 | SF | M.020-2020 |  |  |  |  | SF |  | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | Level 5 Residential |  | 74816 | SF | M.020-2020 |  |  |  |  | SF |  | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Level 6 Residential |  | 74816 | SF | M.020-2020 |  |  |  |  | SF |  | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Level 7 Residential |  | 74816 | SF | M.020-2020 |  |  |  |  | SF |  | 0 | 0 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | Roof Drains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | Roof Drain |  | 73701 | SF |  |  |  |  |  | SF | 0.29 | 0.3625 | 26716.6125 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3862469.924 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | Self- <br> Performed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [12] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12560056.68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [13] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 1 | Energy Supply for residential area | No |  | S.F. Floor | M.020-3010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | L2 Res |  | 24914 | SF/floor |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.42 | 10.525 | 262219.85 |
| 3 | L3 Res |  | 69434 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.65 | 12.0625 | 837547.625 |
| , | L4 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.42 | 10.525 | 787438.4 |
| 5 | L5 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.42 | 10.525 | 787438.4 |
| 6 | L6 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.42 | 10.525 | 787438.4 |
| 7 | L7 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.42 | 10.525 | 787438.4 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Cooling Generating Systems for resid |  |  |  | M.020-3030 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | L2 Res |  | 24914 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.65 | 12.0625 | 300525.125 |
| 11 | L3 Res |  | 69434 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.65 | 12.0625 | 837547.625 |
| 12 | L4 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.65 | 12.0625 | 902468 |
| 13 | L5 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.65 | 12.0625 | 902468 |
| 14 | L6 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.65 | 12.0625 | 902468 |
| 15 | L7 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.65 | 12.0625 | 902468 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Energy Supply for garage |  |  |  | M.020-3010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | Level 1 |  | 66405 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.42 | 10.525 | 698912.625 |
| 19 | Level 2 |  | 66405 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.42 | 10.525 | 698912.625 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | Cooling for garage |  |  |  | M.020-3030 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | Level 1 |  | 66405 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.65 | 12.0625 | 801010.3125 |
| 23 | Level 2 |  | 66405 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.65 | 12.0625 | 801010.3125 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | Energy supply for offices |  |  |  | M.020-3010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | Level 1 Mixed Use |  | 24914 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.42 | 10.525 | 262219.85 |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | Cooling supply for offices |  |  |  | M.020-3030 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | Level 1 Mixed Use |  | 24914 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.65 | 12.0625 | 300525.125 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12560056.68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [13] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 45 | Cooling Generating Systems for residen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 46 | Energy Supply for garage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 47 | Cooling for garage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 48 | Energy supply for offices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 49 | Cooling supply for offices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5550701.075 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [14] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 1 | Electrical Service Distributio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Level 1 Mixed Use |  | 24914 |  |  |  | 81 |  |  |  | 2.82 | 3.525 | 87821.85 |
| 3 | L2 Res |  | 24914 |  |  |  | 81 |  |  |  | 2.82 | 3.525 | 87821.85 |
| 4 | L3 Res |  | 69434 |  |  |  | 81 |  |  |  | 2.82 | 3.525 | 244754.85 |
| 5 | L4 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  | 81 |  |  |  | 2.82 | 3.525 | 263726.4 |
| 6 | L5 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  | 81 |  |  |  | 2.82 | 3.525 | 263726.4 |
| 7 | L6 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  | 81 |  |  |  | 2.82 | 3.525 | 263726.4 |
| 8 | L7 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  | 81 |  |  |  | 2.82 | 3.525 | 263726.4 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Lighting/Branch Wiring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Level 1 Mixed Use |  | 24914 |  |  |  | 81 |  |  |  | 7.79 | 9.7375 | 242600.075 |
| 12 | L2 Res |  | 24914 |  |  |  | 81 |  |  |  | 7.79 | 9.7375 | 242600.075 |
| 13 | L3 Res |  | 69434 |  |  |  | 81 |  |  |  | 7.79 | 9.7375 | 676113.575 |
| 14 | L4 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  | 81 |  |  |  | 7.79 | 9.7375 | 728520.8 |
| 15 | L5 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  | 81 |  |  |  | 7.79 | 9.7375 | 728520.8 |
| 16 | L6 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  | 81 |  |  |  | 7.79 | 9.7375 | 728520.8 |
| 17 | L7 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  | 81 |  |  |  | 7.79 | 9.7375 | 728520.8 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5550701.075 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [14] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 925988.775 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [15] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 1 | Communications and Securi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.77 | 2.2125 | 0 |
| 2 | Level 1 Mixed Use |  | 24914 |  |  |  | 81 |  |  |  | 1.77 | 2.2125 | 55122.225 |
| 3 | L2 Res |  | 24914 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.77 | 2.2125 | 55122.225 |
| 4 | L3 Res |  | 69434 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.77 | 2.2125 | 153622.725 |
| 5 | L4 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.77 | 2.2125 | 165530.4 |
| 6 | L5 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.77 | 2.2125 | 165530.4 |
| 7 | L6 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.77 | 2.2125 | 165530.4 |
| 8 | L7 Res |  | 74816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.77 | 2.2125 | 165530.4 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 925988.775 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | Self Performed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [15] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 404215.55 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RSMeans } \\ & \text { page } \end{aligned}$ | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [16] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 1 | Building Excavation and Backfi |  | 99194 | SF | A2010.6940 | 2019 | 309 |  |  |  | 3.26 | 4.075 | 404215.55 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  | , | - |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1095145.59 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Self- } \\ \text { Performed? } \end{array}$ | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RSMeans } \\ & \text { page } \end{aligned}$ | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [17] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |
| 1 | Bioretention |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2 | Biorentention Package |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 78855.84 | 91645.59 | 91645.59 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 5 | Pavement (36000 SF) |  | 2 | SF | G.2040-1104 |  |  |  |  |  | 239000 | 298750 | 597500 |
| 6 | Sidewalks (36000 SF) |  | 2 | SF | G.2040-1108 |  |  |  |  |  | 23800 | 29750 | 59500 |
| 7 | Lighting (36000 SF) |  | 2 | SF | G.2040-1110 |  |  |  |  |  | 55800 | 69750 | 139500 |
| 8 | Landscaping (36000 SF) |  | 2 | SF | G.2040-1112 |  |  |  |  |  | 82800 | 103500 | 207000 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93709.955 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item Description | SelfPerformed? | Quantity | Unit | RSMeans Code | RSMeans Year | RSMeans page | Crew | Daily Output | Unit [18] | Total Bare Cost | Total Incl O\&P | Total Item Cost |  |
| 1 | Utility Connection Identificat |  |  | Each | 33-05-97.05 |  | 677 | B-14 | 1 | Each | 5445 | 6825 | 0 |  |
| 2 | Water Utility |  | 1 |  | 33-05-97.05-0020 |  |  |  |  |  | 5445 | 6825 | 6825 |  |
| 3 | Sanitary Utility |  | 1 |  | 33-05-97.05 |  |  |  |  |  | 5445 | 6825 | 6825 |  |
| 4 | Stormwater Utility |  | 1 |  | 33-05-97.05 |  |  |  |  |  | 5445 | 6825 | 6825 |  |
| 5 | Gas Utility |  | 1 |  | 33-05-97.05 |  |  |  |  |  | 5445 | 6825 | 6825 |  |
| 6 | Telecommunication |  | 1 |  | 33-05-97.05-0030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 8 | Potable Water Main Piping 14" |  | 839 | LF | 33-14-13.25-3010 |  | 679 | B-20A | 213 | LF | 21.3 | 26.5 | 22233.5 |  |
|  | Hydrant Lateral 8" |  | 187 | LF | 33-14-13.15-2060 |  | 678 | B-21A | 133.33 | LF | 71.59 | 84.5 | 15801.5 |  |
|  | Hydrant Lateral 4" |  | 52 | LF | 33-14-13.25-2160 |  | 679 | B-20 | 430 | LF | 4.18 | 5.65 | 293.8 |  |
| 9 | Sanitary Sewage Piping 8" |  | 364 | LF | 33-31-11-2080 |  | 682 | B-21 | 335 | LF | 21.19 | 25.5 | 9282 |  |
| 10 | Stormwater Gravity Piping 8" |  | 956.7 | LF | 33-42-11.40-2040 |  | 684 | B-14 | 330 | LF | 15.52 | 19.65 | 18799.155 | 148 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 14.3 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 155.5 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 17.7 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 8.3 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 132.5 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 129.5 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 14.8 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 124.7 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 127.2 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 40 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 13.8 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 14.8 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 15.6 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |



## [1] Adjusting for location and inflation

[2] Make sure this unit matches your quantity take off unit
[3] Make sure this unit matches your quantity take off unit
[4] Make sure this unit matches your quantity take off unit
[5] Make sure this unit matches your quantity take off unit
[6] Make sure this unit matches your quantity take off unit
[7] Make sure this unit matches your quantity take off unit
[8] Make sure this unit matches your quantity take off unit
[9] Make sure this unit matches your quantity take off unit
[10] Make sure this unit matches your quantity take off unit
[11] Make sure this unit matches your quantity take off unit
[12] Make sure this unit matches your quantity take off unit
[13] Make sure this unit matches your quantity take off unit [14] Make sure this unit matches your quantity take off unit [15] Make sure this unit matches your quantity take off unit [16] Make sure this unit matches your quantity take off unit
[17] Make sure this unit matches your quantity take off unit [18] Make sure this unit matches your quantity take off unit


Link to Synchro Pro Animation
https://drive.google.com/file/d/1F3Gc96dHH3IYk9YWuuKRWI8Lg-j LWda4/view?usp=sharing

