

## Journal of International Women's Studies

Volume 21 | Issue 6

Article 10

August 2020

# Rural Women in Kwara State (Nigeria) and their Contributions to the Welfare of their Households

Abraham Falola University of Ilorin

Segun B. Fakayode University of Oye

Ajoke O. Kayode University of Ilorin

Mujidat A. Amusa

Follow this and additional works at: https://vc.bridgew.edu/jiws

Part of the Women's Studies Commons

## **Recommended Citation**

Falola, Abraham; Fakayode, Segun B.; Kayode, Ajoke O.; and Amusa, Mujidat A. (2020). Rural Women in Kwara State (Nigeria) and their Contributions to the Welfare of their Households. *Journal of International Women's Studies*, 21(6), 167-180.

Available at: https://vc.bridgew.edu/jiws/vol21/iss6/10

This item is available as part of Virtual Commons, the open-access institutional repository of Bridgewater State University, Bridgewater, Massachusetts.

This journal and its contents may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. ©2020 Journal of International Women's Studies.

## Rural Women in Kwara State (Nigeria) and their Contributions to the Welfare of their Households

By Abraham Falola<sup>1</sup>, Segun Bamidele Fakayode<sup>2</sup>, Ajoke Oluwatoyin Kayode<sup>3</sup>, Mujidat Adeola Amusa

#### Abstract

The high incidence of poverty in the rural households calls for a concerted effort by all members of the household, including the women. Meanwhile, the discussion on the economic contribution of rural women in many developing countries has largely focused on national and regional levels with little or no concentration on their impact at their immediate household level. Therefore, this study examines the contribution of rural women to household welfare in Kwara State, Nigeria. Data were collected from 160 women in the rural area of the state using structured interview schedule. The data were analyzed with descriptive statistics, index ranking and regression model. Results showed that the mean age of the women was 41.2 years, 61.3% were married, less educated and had an average household size of six persons. Their main source of income was agro-processing (54.4%), though they were pluriactive in nature. The mean income earned by the women was  $\mathbb{N}_{15,344.65}$  (\$42.62) per month. The major areas of contribution of the women to household expenditure were food (47.73%), clothing (12.13%) and children's education (9.12%). The results further revealed that the significant factors influencing women's contribution to households' welfare in the study area were age, level of education, savings, remittances, training on enterpreneurship and access to credit. Therefore, measures that will increase the income generated by rural women should be put in place so as to boost their contribution to the welfare of their households.

Keywords: Women, Contribution, Household welfare, Household expenditure, Factors

### Introduction

Poverty is one of the major challenges facing many African countries. It exists when a group of people cannot attain a minimum level of well-being (World Bank, 1990). Nigeria is not exempted from this menace. According to reports, although poverty exists in both rural and urban societies of Nigeria, yet it is more prevalent in the rural areas (World Bank, 1996; Fields, 2000; Adebo & Ajiboye, 2014; Ojogho & Ojo, 2017). Meanwhile, over 90% of the country's local food production comes from these rural areas (Adejobi, 2004; Olawepo, 2010; Adebo &

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Abraham Falola is a Senior Lecturer of the Department of Agricultural Economics and Farm Management of University of Ilorin P.M.B. 1515, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria. He holds a Ph.D. in Agricultural Economics. His research interests include Production Economics, impact assessment, poverty and issues on livelihoods. E-mail address: falolaabraham@yahoo.com; falola.a@unilorin.edu.ng.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Segun Bamidele Fakayode is an Associate Professor of University of Oye, Nigeria. He holds a Ph.D. in Agricultural Economics. He specializes in Production and Development Economics.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ajoke Oluwatoyin Kayode is a lecturer in the Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development of the University of Ilorin, Nigeria P.M.B. 1515, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria. She holds a Ph.D. in Agricultural Extension and Rural Development. Her research interest is on gender issues in the rural areas.

Ajiboye, 2014). Therefore, there is the need for an improved welfare of this part of the Nigerian society.

Welfare can be measured from two perspectives, income and expenditure. However, it is usually advised that measuring welfare in less developed countries is better carried out based on expenditure (Ahmed & Mefsin, 2017). This is because household's income is hard to measure in such countries, as much of it comes from self-employment. Besides, income fluctuates in the course of one's lifetime, whereas consumption is relatively less erratic, hence easier to estimate (Haughton & Khandker, 2009). Besides, expenditure data have additional information because consumption decisions are related with other household decisions such as nutrition and health (Atkinson, 1992; Meyer & Sullivan, 2003). Moreover, reports of household income are likely to be understated compared to consumption expenditures (Getahun & Villanger, 2015).

In many countries, women are prevented from working for socio-cultural reasons. According to the World Bank, there are 104 economies with labour laws that restrict the types of jobs women can undertake, as well as when and where they are permitted to work (World Economic Forum, 2018). It further estimates that this affects the employment choices of 2.7 billion women. The report further revealed that 18 countries' husbands have the legal right to prevent their wives from working. Similarly, reports by the International Labour Organization (ILO) reveals that 14% of the women in Africa are domestic workers, and women represent an estimated 83% of domestic workers worldwide (ILO, 2013). In 2018, the labour force participation rate of women stood at 48%, compared with that of men which was 75% in the same year (ILO, 2019). In Nigeria, this scenario is more common in rural communities, where over 50% of the Nigerian women live (Abdullahi, Ghani & Dalhatu, 2015). However, the trend is changing these days, with rural women engaging in different jobs in the rural areas.

Many studies have focused on the analysis of poverty in rural areas and reported a high incidence of poverty in those areas (Azeez & Abang, 2015; Igbalajobi, Fatuase & Ajibefun, 2013; Awotide, Awoyemi & Oluwatayo, 2015; Falola, Jonathan, Olowogbon & Jimoh, 2016). This calls for a concerted effort by all members of the household, including the women. Meanwhile, studies on the economic contribution of rural women to development have largely focused on national, regional or local economies, with little concentration on their immediate households. For instance, Šikić-Mićanović (2009) noted that rural women in Croatia aid in maintaining the social fabric of their communities and revitalizing the economy. More explicitly, Saman, Hiruni and Predeepuluwadugu (2013) posited that rural women contribute to community development by constituting a reasonable part of the workforce in wage work, enterprises, government and private sectors and in agriculture. Similarly, Abdullahi et al. (2015) reported that rural women make significant contribution to community development through their participation in different forms of economic and income generating activities. Recently, Marwah (2019) while examining the role of women of the South Coast of Java in politics and rural development observed that women contribute substantially to development of rural economy in several sectors, though their representation in rural administration is low. These studies mainly focus on the role of women in the macroeconomic aspect of rural life, thereby creating a research gap on their contributions to household's welfare. Therefore, this study intends to provide answers to the following research questions:

- i. What are the socio-economic characteristics of the rural women?
- ii. Why do rural women engage in income-generating activities?
- iii. In what areas do rural women contribute to household welfare?

The broad objective of this study is, therefore, to assess the contribution of rural women to household welfare in Kwara State, Nigeria. The specific objectives are to:

- i. describe the socio-economic charcteristics of women in the study area,
- ii. determine why rural women engage in income generating activities, and
- iii. explore areas of contribution of rural women to household welfare.

The null hypothesis was that no factor influences the contribution of rural women to household welfare.

#### **Literature Review**

Recognizing the role of women in the welfare of their households is paramount for economic development. Yusuf, Nuhu, Shuaibu, Yusuf and Yusuf (2015) described women as the world's most powerful untapped 'natural resources' with economic potentials that are often hidden, silent or not appreciated. This is because most of the livelihood strategies that women engage in are not often defined as economically active employment in the national account systems, though they are crucial to the wellbeing of household members {Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO), 2010}. This is also not untrue about the women in the rural areas, who live in a population that is disproportionately affected by poverty compared to the urban population (Ojogho & Ojo, 2017). This calls for the need for an improved welfare of the rural population through income generating activities.

Income generating activities are important for promoting the welfare of women and the household in general. Washa (2002) observed that income generating activities are important for employment creation, income generation, production of needed commodities, improving food security status and poverty alleviation. In a similar study, the University of Dar Es Salaam Entrepreneurs Centre (UDEC) (2002) reported that women who engage in income-generating activities have more chances of becoming visible workers, perceiving and identifying themselves as economic beings and becoming more organized. In a recent study, Mouhammad (2018) observed that an important obejective of income generating activities is to support rural households to have access to additional revenues in order to sthrengthen their food security and livelihoods. The income generated from such activities may be small, but it plays a significant role in buying clothing for children, paying for school fees, health care and other household expenditures (Stokes, Lauff1, Eldridge, Ortbal, Nassar & Mehta, 2015). For example, a study conducted in Taiwan revealed that after holding per capita household sudget share of staples and education (FAO, 2005).

Participation of women in income-generating activities differs in many ways based on their socio-economic characteristics. According to Klugman (2015), their participation varies according to age, religion, ethnicity, education level, literacy, marital status and socio-economic position. These differences according to Mutagandura (2005) are responsible for a consideration of variation in nature, scope and magnitude of women's income-generating activities. In spite of this variation, women participate in those activities which they feel will bring increased income, which they could use to supplement whatever is available or brought in by their spouses. In some cases, however, the women are the breadwinners of the family (Wambura et al., 2009; Zulu, 2011).

Women engage in income-generating activities of different kinds. However, the activities usually have similar characteristics. First, the majority of them are traditional, with low capital input and are labour intensive while the returns accruing to them by comparison tend to be low (Terjesen & Elam, 2012). According to ILO (2000), women tend to concentrate in the least rewarding income generating activities that usually covers a fairly narrow range of consumer goods. Moreover, they tend to keep business close to home to minimize conflict between their diverse roles as wage earners, mothers and home makers. For example, a cross regional studies of women in the informal sector in Zimbabwe found that about 64% of women run their business close to their home {United Nations Children's Fund, (UNICEF), 2006}.

Women's participation in income-generating activities is empowering. According to the International Centre for Research on Women (ICRW) (2012), it boosts women's self-esteem and bargaining power within the household and gives them more mobility and exposes them to new ideas and knowledge. Most of the activities are usually in the informal sector such as working as day labourers on farms or construction sites, domestic servants or petty traders. Such informal jobs are more common in developing and emerging economies, especially in the rural area (ICRW, 2012). The factors contributing to this situation include high rate of illiteracy, inadequate credit facilities, smallness of their undertakings and their preoccupation in predominantly subsistence level activities (Chant, 2008; Kayunze & Twamala, 2000; Stokes et al., 2015).

From the foregoing, this article attempts to understand the role of rural women in Kwara State (Nigeria) and their contribution to their households' welfare. It describes the socioeconomic charcteristics of the women and highlights the sources of income available to them. It goes on to investigate why the rural women engaged in income generating activites, their contribution to household's welfare as well as the factors that determine their contribution to their households' welfare.

#### Methodology

The study was carried out in Kwara State, Nigeria. The state is located in the Northcentral geopolitical zone of Nigeria. It lies between longitudes 2°30'E and 6°25'E and latitudes 7°45'N and 9°30'N and has a total landmass of 32,500 Km<sup>2</sup>. The state shares local boundaries with Ekiti, Oyo, Osun, Kogi, Niger states and international boundary with the Republic of Benin. Kwara State is made up of sixteen (16) Local Government Areas (LGAs) with Ilorin as its capital.

The population for this study consisted of all rural women in Kwara State, Nigeria. A three-stage random sampling procedure was used for the study. The first stage was the random selection of 25% of the LGAs in the state, to give four LGAs. The second stage involved the purposive selection of four (4) rural communities from each of the selected LGAs. The justification for the selection of the communities was based on their high level of rurality. The last stage was the random selection of 10 women from the rural communities selected. A total sample size of 160 was used for the study.

The instrument for data collection was a structured interview schedule. The interview schedule was used to elicit information on the socio-economic characteristics of the respondents. It was also used to generate responses on the various sources of income of the rural women,

income from those sources, reasons for engaging in income-generating activities and their areas of contribution to households' welfare, among others. To estimate the household consumption expenditure, which was used as a proxy for household's welfare, questions were asked on aggregate expenditure on both food and non-food items such as clothing, housing, education, rent and medical care (See Hagos & Mamo, 2014; Abro, Alemu & Hanjra, 2014; Bezu, Barrett & Holden, 2012; Alem & Söderbom, 2012).

The data obtained were analyzed with descriptive statistics, index ranking and regression model. Descriptive statistics was used to describe the socio-economic chatracteristics of the rural women in the study area and to amalyze the various sources of income used by the women as well as their contribution to household expenditure. Index ranking was used to identify why the women engaed in income-generating activities. Responses for this component were rated by using a five-point scale with the scoring order 5, 4, 3, 2 and 1 as strongly agree, agree, indifferent, disagree and strongly disagree respectively. A weighted average index (WAI) was then obtained using the formular:

WAI 
$$= \frac{\sum FiWi}{\sum Fi} = \frac{WI}{\sum Fi}$$
 .....(i)

where: F = frequency; W = weight of each scale; i = weight; WI = weighted index (Falola & Achem, 2017).

Regression analysis was used to test the hypothesis on whether there were factors influencing the contribution of rural women to household's welfare in the study area. It is expressed implicitly as:

$$Y = f(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7, u) \dots (ii)$$

Where,

Y = Women's contribution to household's welfare in naira (Note: 1 Naira = 0.0028 US Dollar); X<sub>1</sub> = Age (years), X<sub>2</sub> = household size, X<sub>3</sub> = Level of education, X<sub>4</sub> = Remittances (naira), X<sub>5</sub> = savings (naira), X<sub>6</sub> = Trainig on enterpreneurship, X<sub>7</sub> = Access to credit (naira).

Since economic theory does not specify a particular function relating women's contribution to household welfare to its determinants, four different functional forms namely: linear, exponential, double log and semi-log functions were fitted. Then, the lead function was chosen based on econometric criteria.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Table 1 shows the socio-economic characteristics of the respondents. The modal age range of the respondents was 41 - 50, accounting for 26.3% of the respondents. Further analysis revealed that the mean age of the respondents was 41.2 years. This indicates that the women in the study area were still in their active age. The majority of the women were married, amounting to 61.3% of the respondents. The household size ranged from less than five people to 15 people, with a modal class of 6 -10 persons and a mean of six persons. A high proportion (56.3%) of the

respondents had no formal education while just 3.1% of them had tertiary education. About 57% of the women were members of social organizations while 23% were not. However, only 24.4% of the respondents had access to credit facilities. Similarly, only 28.1% of the respondents had ever attended training on entrepreneurship.

Table 1: Socio-economic Characteristics of the Respondents					
Variables	Category	Frequency	Percentage		
Age (years)	$\leq 20$	7	4.4		
	21-30	21	13.1		
	31-40	29	18.1		
	41-50	42	26.3		
	51-60	31	19.4		
	Above 60	30	18.8		
Marital status	Single	19	11.9		
	Married	98	61.3		
	Divorced	18	11.3		
	Widowed	25	15.6		
Household size	1-5	41	25.6		
	6-10	81	50.6		
	11-15	38	23.8		
Level of education	No formal education	90	56.3		
	Primary education	43	26.9		
	Secondary education	22	13.8		
	Tertiary education	5	3.1		
Membership in social organization	Yes	91	56.9		
	No	69	43.1		
Access to credit	Have access	39	24.4		
	Do not have access	121	75.6		
Training on entrepreneurship	Have ever attended	45	28.1		
	Have never attended	115	71.9		

Source: Field Survey, 2017

Table 2 shows the various sources of income engaged in by the women in the study area. The table shows that the major activity undertaken by the women is agro-processing. This is in line with previous studies, that men are usually involved in agricultural production while the female folks engage more in processing (Doss, 2013; Chekene & Kashim, 2018). Other major sources of income by the rural women were trading (mainly agricultural marketing) and crop production which account for 35.6% and 21.9% respectively. Those who engaged in waged labour (mainly civil service and private sectors) were only 9.4%.

Further 2 further shows that some of the women have more than one source of income. This could be in an attempt to increase their earnings and/or guide against the risks that may arise from being monoactive (Daud, Awotide, Omotayo, Omotosho & Adeniyi, 2018).

Sources of income	*Frequency	Percentage	
Crop production	35	21.9	
Livestock production	16	10.0	
Agro-processing	87	54.4	
Trading	57	35.6	
Artisanship	21	13.1	
Waged labour	15	9.4	

Table 2: Sources of income engaged in by the women (N = 160)

Note: \* Multiple responses allowed

Table 3 shows why the women engaged in income-generating activities. The table shows that the important reasons the women engaged in income-generating ventures were: to improve their standard of living, provide for the family, become financially independent, personal interest, to earn a living, and to increase their self-esteem, in order of decreasing importance. Table 3 further shows that the women least agreed that they were helping the economy by engaging in income-generating activities.

Reasons	Strongly				Strongly	Weighted	Mean
	agree	Agree	Indifferent	Disagree	disagree	score	score
Personal		0		0	0		
interest	50	52	29	19	10	593	3.71
To earn a							
living	45	52	31	12	20	570	3.56
To improve							
my							
standard of							
living	78	76	2	4	0	708	4.43
Not to							
become							
lazy and a							
busybody	12	35	4	87	22	408	2.55
Help the							
economy	9	5	4	50	92	269	1.68
To become							
financially							
independent	35	88	1	34	2	600	3.75
To increase							
self-esteem	35	65	13	23	24	544	3.40

Table 3: Reasons for engaging in income-generating activities by the women

Source: Field survey 2018							
savings	23	21	8	65	43	396	2.48
To have							
family	67	78	12	3	0	689	4.31
for the							
To provide							
model	23	17	35	35	50	408	2.55
To be a role							
influence	14	13	60	55	18	430	2.69
Peer's							
recognition	6	24	6	76	48	344	2.15
To get							

Source: Field survey, 2018

Table 4 shows the distribution of the women by monthly income. The table shows that about half (49.38%) of the women in the study area earned between N10,001 - N20,000 per month. Further analysis revealed that the average monthly income earned by a typical woman in the study area was \$15,344.65. This is less than the minimum wage of \$18,000 (\$50) per month earned by a typical civil servant in Nigeria as at the time of data collection by about 14.76%.

14510 41	Distribution of the respon	actus by monthly meonic			
Monthly income ( <del>N</del> )	Frequency	Percentage			
≤ 10,000	14	8.75			
10,001 - 20,000	79	49.38			
20,001 - 30,000	31	19.38			
30,001 - 40,000	18	11.25			
40,001 - 50,000	11	6.88			
> 50,000	7	4.38			
Source: Field survey 2018					

### Table 4: Distribution of the respondents by monthly income

## Source: Field survey, 2018

Table 5 shows the contribution of the women to household expenditure. The table shows that 92.33% of the women's income is spent on consumption expenditure while 6.67% is saved for personal use. The table further shows that the highest area of contribution was food, which accounted for 47.73% of their total contribution to household expenditure. Areas of contribution by the women in terms of non-food expenditure were clothing, children's education, investments, transportation, health and medicare, and house-rent, in order of decreasing importance. Other non-food expenses incurred by the women were electricity bills, fuel and taxes. These account for 3.54% of their contribution to household expenditure. These findings indicate that women make a significant contribution to the economic welfare of rural households.

Expenditure category	Contribution (N/month)	% Contribution			
Food	7324.74	47.73			
Non-food					
Clothing	1860.90	12.13			
Transportation	968.66	6.31			
Health and medicare	786.45	5.13			
Children's education	1400.00	9.12			
Rent	450.00	2.93			
Investments	987.00	6.43			
Other expenses	543.00	3.54			
Savings	1023.90	6.67			
Total	15,344.65	100.0			
Source: Field survey 2018					

Table 5: Contribution of the women to household expenditure	Table 5:	Contribution (	of the women	to household	expenditure
---	----------	----------------	--------------	--------------	-------------

Source: Field survey, 2018

Table 6 shows the results of the four regression analyses used to test the hypothesis on whether there were factors determining the contribution of women to household's welfare in the study area. The double-log function was chosen as the lead equation. The choice of this function was based on the value of the coefficient of multiple determination  $(R^2)$ , F-statistics, number of significant variables and the signs of the coefficients of the regression in line with a priori expectation. The coefficient of multiple determination  $(R^2)$  was 0.716, indicating that the independent variables in the model explained 71.6% of the total variation in the contribution of the women to household expenditure. The result shows that six variables were significant in influencing women's contribution to household expenditure in the study area. The variables were age, level of education, savings, remittances, training on enterpreneurship and access to credit. The age of the women was significant and had a negative relationship with their contribution to household's expenditure. This suggests that the youger women are likely to contribute more to household expenditure than their older counterparts. This is logical, as the younger ones are likely to be more energetic and active in engaging in various sources of income that will increase their contribution to their households. Similarly, the amount of savings has a negative effect on the contribution of the women to household welfare. This is in line with apriori expectation.

	beter minants of wo	men s contribution	to nouschold's we	
Variables	Linear	+Double-log	Semi-log	Exponential
Constant	73.611 (3.996)	1.918 (4.857)	-1.673 (-0.146)	1.229 (0.554)
Age	-1.177 (-0.906)	-0.069 (-	-1.054 (-1.186)*	-0.230 (-1.342)
-		2.486)**		
Household size	-0.325 (-0.725)	0.031 (0.025)	-0.498 (-0.202)	-0.212 (-0.466)
Level of	0.025 (0.916)	0.220 (2.130)**	1.035 (0.953)	0.197 (0.938)
education			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Remittances	0.116 (2.004)**	0.421 (2.351)**	0.256 (1.987)**	0.017 (1.933)*
Savings	4.254 (0.564)	-0.059 (-	-0.395 (-0.388)	-0.032 (-0.163)
C		2.067)**		
Training on	0.364 (0.929)	0.115 (2.838)***	0.869 (1.492)	0.160 (1.421)
enterpreneurship	× ,		× /	× /
Access to credit	0.004 (1.914)*	0.245 (3.260)***	0.273 (3.530)***	0.342 (2.753)***
R square	0.405	0.716	0.414	0.383
Adjusted R	0.289	0.624	0.232	0.152
square				
Fvalue	1.431	2.93***	2.044**	1.656*
N. 4	4 4 1 0/ ¥¥ C' '	C	C' + 100/371	

**Note:** \*\*\* Significant at 1%, \*\* Significant at 5%, \* Significant at 10%. Values in brackets are t-ratios; + Lead equation

The level of education of the women was positively significant, implying that women with higher level of education contribute more to household expenditure than those with low level of education. This could be as a result of the fact that education promotes innovation (Alemayehu, 2014). Thus, more educated women are likely to be more innovative and enterpreneurial, thus contributing more to households' consumption expenditure than less educated ones.

Table 6 further shows that remmitances and credit also had a positive influence on women's contribution to household welfare. Women who have access to these variables may either use them to improve their income-generating activities threby earning more income to improve their households and/or spend them directly on households' welfare. This could be responsible for this finding. The table also shows that those who had access to training on entrepreneurship make more contribution to household welfare than those who do not. Enterpreneurship is a means of generating employment (Akiri, Onoja & Kunanzang, 2016). Thus, women who have training on entrepreneurship are likely to generate more income which in turn may be used to support their households.

#### Conclusion

Most of the studies in the literature have largely focused on the contribution of rural women to economic development from national or regional perspective with little emphasis on their immediate households. This study bridges the gap by assessing the contributions of rural women to the economic life of their immediate households. This study reveals that women play a significant role in the economic welfare of their households. The areas of contribution include both food and non-food expenses. It can also be inferred from this study that many women in the rural area are pluriactive in nature in order to play this role effectively. This study further reveals

that the major reasons why women in the rural areas engage in income-generating ventures are: to improve their standard of living, provide for the family, become financially independent, personal interest, earn a living, and increase their self-esteem. This study also reveals that the major factors influencing women's contribution to household expenditure were age, level of education, remittances, training on enterpreneurship and access to credit.

Based on these findings, it is recommended that much support should be given by relevant agencies and ministries such as the Ministry of Women Affairs, Ministry of Agriculture, and so on, to women in the rural areas to boost their contributions to the economic welfare of their households. Areas of support should include training on enterpreneurship and provision of credit to women in the rural area. These will help the women in expanding their economic opportunities. Besides, measures that will improve the educational level of the rural women should be put in place. In this vein, the Ministry of Education could implement adult literacy programmes and scholarships for the rural women.

## References

- Abdullahi, F. G., Ghani, N. A., & Dalhatu, S. (2015). Rural women's economic contribution towards community development in rural communities of Kano State, Nigeria. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 6(6), 250-259.
- Abro, Z. A., Alemu, B. A, & Hanjra, M. A. (2014). Policies for agricultural productivity growth and poverty reduction in rural Ethiopia. *World Development*, 59, 461–474.
- Adebo G. M., & Ajiboye, A. (2014). Comparative analysis of poverty level among rural and urban farmers in Ekiti and Ondo States. *Developing Country Studies*, 4(20), 23-27.
- Adejobi, A. O. (2004). Rural households' food production and demand in Kebbi State Unpublished Ph. D Thesis University of Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Ahmed, M. H., & Mefsin, H. S. (2017). The impact of agricultural cooperatives membership on the wellbeing of smallholder farmers: empirical evidence from eastern Ethiopia. *Agricultural and Food Economics*, *5*(6), 1-20.
- Akiri, A. S., Onoja, M., & Kunanzang (2016). Entrepreneurship and job creation in Nigeria. *International Journal of Economics and Business Management*, 2(3), 61-67.
- Alem, Y., & Söderbom, M. (2012). Household-level consumption in urban Ethiopia: the effects of a large food price shock. *World Development*, 40(1), 146–162.
- Alemayehu, B. (2014). The impact of education on rural women's participation in politics and economic activities. *International Journal of Educational Administration and Policy Studies*, *6*(2), 23-31.
- Atkinson, A. B. (1992). Measuring poverty and differences in family composition. *Economica*, 59, 1–16.
- Awotide, B. A, Awoyemi, T. T., & Oluwatayo, I. B. (2015). Gender analysis of income inequality and poverty among rural households in Nigeria: Evidence from Akinyele Local Government Area, Oyo State. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, 5(3), 20 – 28.
- Azeez, A. A., & Abang, S. O. (2015). Analysis of poverty status of rural farm families in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. *Global Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 14, 45-50.
- Bezu, S., Barrett, C. B., & Holden, S. T. (2012). Does the nonfarm economy offer pathways for upward mobility? Evidence from a panel data study in Ethiopia. World Development, 40(8), 1634–1646.
- Chant, S. (2008). Dangerous equations? How female-headed households became the poorest of the poor: Causes, consequences and cautions. In Momsen & Janet (Eds.), *Gender and Development: Critical Concepts in Development Studies*. London: Routledge.
- Chekene, M. B., & Kashim, I. U. (2018). Gender equality: Women in Agriculture or Gender in Agriculture. *Agricultural Research and Technology*, 18(5), 1-4.
- Daud, A. S., Awotide, B.A., Omotayo, A. O., Omotosho, A. T., & Adeniyi, A. B. (2018). Effect of income diversification on household's income in rural Oyo State, Nigeria. Acta Oeconomica, 14(1), 155-167.
- Doss, C. (2013). Data needs for gender analysis in agriculture. International Food Policy Research Institute Discussion Paper 01261. Washington, USA, p. 22.
- Falola, A., & Achem, B. A. (2017). Perceptions on climate change and adaptation strategies among sweet potato farming households in Kwara State, Northcentral Nigeria. *Ceylon Journal of Science*, 46(3), 55-63.

- Falola, A., Jonathan, A., Olowogbon, S., & Jimoh, J. O. (2016). Comparing income poverty status of value adders and nonvalue adders: A case study of cassava farming households in Kwara State, Nigeria. *Tropical Agriculture*, 93(1), 78 - 86.
- Fields, G. S. (2000). The dynamics of poverty, inequality and economic well-being: African economic growth in comparative perspective. *Journal of African Economies*, 9(1), 45-78.
- Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO) (2005). Women and food security. Retrieved from http://www.fao. org/ FOCUS/E/ Women/ extense.htm.
- Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO) (2010). Women in infrastructure works: Boosting gender equality and rural development. Gender and Rural Employment Policy Brief.
- Getahun, T. D., & Villanger, E. (2015). Labor-intensive jobs for women and development: intrahousehold welfare effects and its transmission channels (No. 15). CMI (Chr. Michelsen Institute), Bergen. Retrieved from https://www.cmi.no/publications/file/5686labor-intensive-jobs-for-women-and-development.pdf.
- Hagos, F., & Mamo, K. (2014). Financial viability of groundwater irrigation and its impact on livelihoods of smallholder farmers: The case of eastern Ethiopia. *Water Resource Economics*, 7, 55–65.
- Haughton, J. H. & Khandker, S. R. (2009). Handbook on poverty and inequality. The World Bank.
- ICRW (2012). International Centre for Research on Women. Retrieved from http://www. icrw.org/what we-do/economic empowerment.
- Igbalajobi, O., Fatuase, A. I., & Ajibefun, I. (2013). Determinants of poverty incidence among rural farmers in Ondo State, Nigeria. *American Journal of Rural Development*, 1(5), 131-137.
- International Labour Organization (2000). State of the art review of the Tanzanian Informal Sector. Retrieved from

http://www.ilo.org/dyn/empent/docs/F745439070/ILO%20WED%20study%20Tanzania-oct%2002.pdf.

- International Labour Organization (2013). Domestic workers across the world: Global and regional statistics and the extent of legal protection.
- International Labour Organization (ILO) (2019). World Employment Social Outlook: Trends 2019. Retrieved from https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---dgreports/---dcomm/- publ/documents/publication/wcms\_670542.pdf.
- Kayunze, K. A. & Twamala, S. (2000). Does credit have any negative impact in poverty alleviation among the very poor? In M. Senkondo (Ed): *Proceedings of 4th Scientific Conference of the Agricultural Economics of Tanzania*, held between 18th-20th February 2004, Morogoro, Tanzania, 126-137pp.
- Klugman, J. (2015). Gender at work in Africa: Legal constraints and opportunities for reform. Oxford Human Right Hub, Working Paper No. 3. Retrieved from https://wappp.hks.harvard.edu/files/wappp/files/oxhrh-working-paper-no-3-klugman.pdf.
- Marwah, S. (2019). Women of the South Coast of Java in Politics and Rural Development. Journal of International Women's Studies, 20(7), 57-71.
- Meyer, B. D., & Sullivan, J. X. (2003). Measuring the well-being of the poor using income and consumption (No. w9760). National Bureau of Economic Research. Retrieved from http://www.nber.org/papers/w9760.pdf.

Mouhammad, B. (2018). Income generating activities. Retrieved from https://fscluster.org/sites/default/files/documents/igas\_presentation\_fssm\_16\_01\_18\_0.pd f.

- Ojogho, O., & Ojo, M. P. (2017). Impact of food prices on the welfare of rural households in Southeastern Nigeria. *Applied Tropical Agriculture*, 22(1), 142-148.
- Olawepo, R. A. (2010). Determining rural farmers' income: A rural Nigeria experience. *Journal* of African Studies and Development, 2(2), 15-26.
- Saman, H., Hiruni, R., & Predeepuluwadugu, R. (2013). Women's economic participation in rural development. *International Journal of Education and Research*, 8, 2-16.
- Šikić-Mićanović, L. (2009). Women's Contribution to Rural Development in Croatia: Roles, Participation and Obstacles. Eastern European Countryside, 15, 75-90.
- Stokes, E., Lauff1, C., Eldridge, E., Ortbal, K., Nassar, A., & Mehta, K. (2015). Income generating activities of rural Kenyan women. *Journal of Sustainable Development*, 8(8), 42-55.
- Terjesen, S., & Elam, A. (2012). Women entrepreneurship: A force for growth. *International Trade Forum*, *2*, 16-17.
- United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) (2006). The state of the world children: Women and children. The double dividend of gender equality, 37-50pp.
- University of Dar Es Salaam Entrepreneurs Centre (UDEC) (2002). Women entrepreneurs in Tanzania. Retrieved from http://216.239.59.104/search?q=cache:dzl114hg2tyj:www,ilo.org/dyn/empent/docs/f745 439070/.
- Wambura, R. M., Ndunguru, C. P., & Biswalo, P. L. (2009). Empowerment of women groups poverty reduction in Tanzania: A case of small women group organisations in Tarime District. *Uongozi Journal of Management and Development Dynamics*, 20(1/2), 50-59.
- Washa, F. L. (2002). Assessment of on-farm and off-farm income generating activities in Kisarawe District. Dissertation for Award of MSc Degree at Sokoine University of Agriculture, Morogoro Tanzania. 55pp.
- World Bank (1990). World Development Report 1990: Poverty. Washington DC.
- World Bank (1996): Nigeria-Poverty in the midst of plenty: The challenge of growth with inclusion. A World Bank poverty assessment Report No.14733, UNI.
- World Economic Forum (2018). 104 countries have laws that prevent women from working in some jobs. Retrieved from https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2018/08/104-countries-have-laws-that-prevent-women-from-working-in-some-jobs/.
- Yusuf, H. A., Nuhu, K. J., Shuaibu, H., Yusuf, H. O., & Yusuf, O. (2015). Factors affecting the involvement of women in income generating activities in Sabon-Gari Local Government Area of Kaduna State, Nigeria. American Journal of Experimental Agriculture, 5(1), 54-59.
- Zulu, E. (2011). Overview of migration, poverty and health dynamics in Nairobi cities slum settlements. *Journal of Urban Health*, 88, 185. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11524-011-9595-0.