Florida Public Health Review

Florida Public Health Review

Volume 17

Article 5

6-21-2020

Structural and Social Determinants of Opioid Abuse Among Florida-Based Hospitals

Donald R. Haley unf, rhaley@unf.edu

Hanadi Hamadi UNF, h.hamadi@unf.edu

Jing Xu UNF, jasper.xu@unf.edu

Mei Zhao UNF, mzhao@unf.edu

Anh Viet Tran Nguyen UNF, anh.nguyen-tran@unf.edu Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unf.edu/fphr

Part of the Community Health and Preventive Medicine Commons, Health Services Administration See next page for additional authors Commons, Health Services Research Commons, and the Social and Behavioral Sciences Commons

Recommended Citation

Haley, Donald R.; Hamadi, Hanadi; Xu, Jing; Zhao, Mei; Viet Tran Nguyen, Anh; and Martinez, Dayana (2020) "Structural and Social Determinants of Opioid Abuse Among Florida-Based Hospitals," *Florida Public Health Review*: Vol. 17, Article 5.

Available at: https://digitalcommons.unf.edu/fphr/vol17/iss1/5

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Brooks College of Health at UNF Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Florida Public Health Review by an authorized administrator of UNF Digital Commons. For more information, please contact Digital Projects.

© 6-21-2020 Protected by original copyright, with some rights reserved.

Footer logo

Structural and Social Determinants of Opioid Abuse Among Florida-Based Hospitals

Authors

Donald R. Haley, Hanadi Hamadi, Jing Xu, Mei Zhao, Anh Viet Tran Nguyen, and Dayana Martinez

STRUCTURAL AND SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF OPIOID ABUSE AMONG FLORIDA-BASED HOSPITALS

D. Rob Haley, PhD Hanadi Hamadi, PhD Jing Xu, PhD Mei Zhao, PhD Anh Viet Tran Nguyen, MHA Dayana Martinez, MHA

Florida Public Health Review Volume 17 Page: 35-43 Published June 21, 2020

Background: With over two million people suffering from opioid abuse disorders, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services has identified opioid abuse as a key priority. Florida is one of eight states labeled as a high-burden opioid abuse and is an "epicenter" for opioid use and misuse. **Purpose:** The purpose of this study was to discover potential predictors of opioid abuse in Florida by exploring specific healthcare delivery, geographic, and patient demographic factors. Methods: A retrospective longitudinal study design was used to examine four years (2014-2017) of Florida inpatient administrative discharge data across 173 hospitals of opioid abuse rate. Main measures included, opioid abuse counts (n=12,804) defined using both ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM systems. Negative binomial regression was used to estimate the association between hospital factors, county factor, and opioid abuse hospital rates. Results: We found a statistically significant association between hospital opioid abuse count and hospital size, location, teaching status, patients' average age, gender, and race. The estimated probability of opioid abuse for a patient if treated in a large hospital is 0.23 (about 23%), significantly higher than small (8%) and medium (17%) size hospitals. The estimated probability of opioid abuse for a patient if treated in a rural hospital is 0.12 (about 12%), while in an urban hospital is higher at 0.17 (about 17%). The risk ratio is 0.71, which means the risk decreased by two-thirds when treated in rural hospitals. We also found that hospitals with a younger patient population, a higher percent of males and a higher proportion of Caucasian patients, are at a higher risk for an increase in opioid abuse counts. **Discussion:** These findings provide policymakers with crucial insight into Florida's opioid crisis and the identification of predictive factors that contribute to opioid abuse.

Background | The Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) identified opioid abuse as a critical priority for 2019 and is expected to continue as a priority over the next five years.¹ Opioid abuse is characterized as the "intentional, nontherapeutic use of a drug or substance for the purpose of achieving a psychological or physiological effect."² Strong evidence shows that opioid abuse is causing a significant economic burden by directly impacting costs of care, utilization, and societal financial burden.³ Studies have attempted to identify risk factors that could predict opioid overdose, often for patients with specified morbidity or focused on specific highrisk populations.^{4,5} A medical claims data study of over 42,000 Colorado patients taking chronic opioid therapy, found that mental health diagnoses, substance use disorders, long-acting and extended-release opioid formulations, and tobacco use were significant opioid overdose predictors.4

However, there is very little research on hospital structural characteristics and their potential influence on opioid abuse. Most studies explore the impact of hospital structure on opioid related adverse events, for example, one study was identified that researched hospitals in 32 states participating in the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP). This study found that preventable opioid related adverse events were higher in urban teaching hospitals as well as private, not-for-profit hospitals.⁶ However, since the community and its health system are connected,⁷ there may be an influence of hospital structural characteristics and opioid abuse. This influence should be further examined due to the absence of outpatient factors in consideration.

Florida is one of eight states that is labeled a highburden opioid abuse. Florida suffers from one of the highest concentrations of fentanyl-related overdoses and is considered an "epicenter" for opioid use.^{8,9} In Florida, synthetic opioids are responsible for the sharp increase in abuse, characterized by high overdose deaths. In 2018, the overdose death rate in Florida was 15.8 per 100,000. This was higher than the national average of 14.6 per 100,000.¹⁰ In 2017, Fentanyl and Fentanyl analogs contributed to around 28,400 deaths, the sharpest increase in overdose deaths.¹⁰ While Florida's opioid-related emergency department visit rates have drastically increased by 32.3% from 2009 to 2014,¹¹ prescription rates have decreased by as much as 80%.¹² This decrease is possibly a result of Florida's prescription drug monitoring programs and "pill mill" laws.⁹ However, despite the decrease, inpatient stays due to opioid utilization in Florida are far higher than the national average.¹³ Thus, this study explored the potential predictive relationship between patient characteristics, hospital structural characteristics and county characteristics and their predictive impact on opioid abuse.

Methods | **Data Sources** The 2014-2017 Florida Inpatient Discharge (FID) data was obtained from the Agency for Health Care Administration's Center for Health Information and Transparency and linked to the 2017 American Hospital Association (AHA) annual survey using Medicare Provider Numbers. Also, we used the 2014-2017 US Census Bureau Current Population Survey (CPS) and linked it using county Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS). The FID data contained over 13 million discharges for all hospitals in Florida and recorded information regarding the patients, diagnoses, procedures, costs and attending physicians. Specifically, the data recorded 30 diagnosis codes and procedure codes, making it a comprehensive dataset for diagnosis selection. The AHA annual survey collects information about all U.S. hospitals regarding organizational structure, financial well-being, workforce, and services. The CPS collects information on household adults 18 years of age or older and provides 1- and 5-year estimates of population characteristics.

Variables and Measures *Outcome variable.* Our primary outcome variable was a hospital's total number of opioid abuse related stays during our study period (2014 to 2017). Opioid abuse stays were identified using principle and secondary ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM codes presented in Table 1. The codes were extracted from prior research and the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP).^{14,15}

 Table 1: ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM Opioid-Abuse Diagnosis Codes

| ICD-9-CM | ICD-10-CM | Description |
|----------|-----------|---|
| Code | Code | Description |
| 305.50 | | Opioid abuse, unspecified |
| 305.51 | | Opioid abuse, continuous |
| 305.52 | | Opioid abuse, episodic |
| | F11.10 | Opioid abuse, uncomplicated |
| | F11.120 | Opioid abuse with intoxication, uncomplicated |
| | F11.121 | Opioid abuse with intoxication delirium |
| | F11.122 | Opioid abuse with intoxication with perceptual disturbance |
| | F11.129 | Opioid abuse with intoxication, unspecified |
| | F11.14 | Opioid abuse with opioid-induced mood disorder |
| | F11.150 | Opioid abuse with opioid-induced psychotic disorder with delusions |
| | F11.151 | Opioid abuse with opioid-induced psychotic disorder with hallucinations |
| | F11.159 | Opioid abuse with opioid-induced psychotic disorder, unspecified |
| | F11.181 | Opioid abuse with opioid-induced sexual dysfunction |
| | F11.182 | Opioid abuse with opioid-induced sleep disorder |
| | F11.188 | Opioid abuse with other opioid-induced disorder |
| | F11.19 | Opioid abuse with unspecified opioid-induced disorder |

Demographics of Patient Population. We identified key factors that have previously been identified as critical to determining opioid use, which included patient age, gender, patient priority level, and ethnicity.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ Patient age was reported as a hospital's median patient age for the study year. Gender was reported as the percent of female patients at the hospital. Insurance status was reported as the percent of patients with commercial health insurance. Patient priority level was reported as hospitals' percent of non-elective admissions. Non-elective admissions. Lastly, we included patient ethnicity, and it was

reported as hospitals' percent of patients who identify as African Americans or Blacks and hospitals' percent of patients who identify as Latino/Hispanic.

Hospital Characteristics. We identified vital hospital factors that have previously been found to impact patient utilization and community health. Hospital ownership was reported as a categorical variable (not-for-profit, for-profit, and government-owned). An indication of the health of the community and services available are indicative of hospital ownership status.¹⁹ Hospital location was reported as a categorical variable (rural and urban) and indicates hospital

resources, and state or federal funding support as urban hospitals have been found to be better funded and more efficient than rural hospitals.²⁰ Hospital size was reported as a categorical variable of the number of staffed hospital beds (small <100, medium<400, and large 400+) and is an indication of hospital quality and market power.²¹ Teaching status was reported as a categorical variable (major, minor, non-teaching) and is an indication of hospital safety and quality.²² Finally, we estimated an average hospitals' market competition using the Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI). A hospital HHI is a ratio of the total inpatient days and county's total inpatient days (all hospitals in the county). A HHI of 0 is an indication of a competitive market, while an HHI of 1 is an indication of a monopolistic market.^{23,24}

County Characteristics. At the county level, we examined community diversity and poverty for the study period. These two variables provide insight into the county's health disparity and health.²⁵ We used an inequality index score that examines the amount of diversity and population evenness within a county, ²⁶ which we estimated by calculating the sum of the log proportions of each of the six racial/ethnic groups present in the US. These groups are African Americans. American Indians or Alaskan Natives, Asians, Native Hawaiians or other Pacific Islanders, Latino/Hispanics, and non-Latino/Hispanic Whites. The closer the score to 1.79, the more diverse (i.e., even) the population.²⁷ County poverty was reported as the percent of the population below the federal poverty. These characteristics are necessary to evaluate the potential relationship between demographic factors and opioid misuse and abuse.

Approach We chose to analyze the counts for opioid abuse counts at the hospital level due to the extremely low incidence at the individual patient level (0.56% overall). A retrospective longitudinal study design was used to examine four years (2014-2017) of Florida inpatient medical discharge data across 173 unique hospitals (a total of 12,804 opioid abuse incidents). The opioid abuse counts were analyzed using a generalized linear mixed model with a negative binomial distribution and a log-link function. The

model included the hospital characteristics (ownership type, location, size, teaching affiliation), patient demographics at hospital level (average age, percent of female patients, percent of African American patients, percent of Latino/Hispanic patients, and percent of cases admitted through emergency/urgent county-level care/trauma) and characteristics (diversity index, HHI index and poverty percent) as fixed covariates. A random intercept was added for each provider to account for the random variation. The year was also included as another fixed covariate to examine the trend over time. The model also accounted for the difference in hospital sizes by using an offset variable (log of the total number of patients for each year); therefore, a fair comparison on the negative binomial rate (the probability of having an opioid abuse case for an individual patient) can be made. Generalized Chi-Square over degrees of freedom and residual plots were used to assess the goodness of fit of the model. The Kenward, Roger ²⁸ method was used for the calculation of the denominator degrees of freedom.²⁹ All analyses were performed using SAS software, version 9.4.30 In accordance with the policy of the University, the Institutional Review Board (IRB) categorized the research as exempt since the study analyzed secondary data that is publicly available.

Results | Figure 1 shows the distribution of opioid abuse counts in Florida hospitals for each year from 2014 to 2017. The general patterns are similar from year to year, with a gradual increase in the right tails, which indicates the number of hospitals with a relatively high number of opioid abuse counts has increased.

Table 2 displays the descriptive statistics of the opioid abuse counts at the hospital level from 2014 to 2017 in Florida. The mean and median number of opioid abuse reports steadily increased from 2014 to 2017. In addition, more hospitals reported a higher number of opioid abuse events during 2014 to 2017. For example, 2 hospitals reported more than 100 opioid abuse events in 2014 and 2015, compared with 7 hospitals in 2016 and 2017. The standard deviations from table 2 also confirm the spread of distribution from 2014 to 2017.





Table 2: Summary of Florida Hospital Opioid Abuse Counts by Year

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------|------|
| Hospital, N | 170 | 168 | 170 | 168 |
| Opioid Abuse | | | | |
| Mean | 12.5 | 16.2 | 22.2 | 24.9 |
| STD | 17.4 | 23.9 | 31.4 | 33.6 |
| Median | 6.0 | 8.0 | 12.0 | 13.5 |
| Min | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Max | 117 | 203 | 230 | 220 |
| Note: N: number of | hospitals with record | ds; STD: standard dev | iation | |

Table 3 displays the parameter estimate results for all key variables in the model, except for the ownership type, which was not significant; all the other variables characteristics hospital were statistically of significant. All variables of patient demographics were statistically significant. The diversity index was the only variable that was statistically significant at the county level. Compared with 2014, the opioid abuse rate was significantly increased in 2016 and 2017 (2015 was not statistically significantly different from in 2014). Furthermore, we may estimate the relationship between each of the variables with the risk (probability) of a patient encountering opioid abuse at a hospital. For example, hospitals with a younger patient mix, a higher percent of male patients, and a higher proportion of Caucasian patients more likely to report a higher incidence of opioid abuse. Patients treated in hospitals located in a county with a higher diversity index tend to have a higher risk of opioid abuse. The estimated coefficients also show the magnitude of impact for each variable. For example, the variable 'Mean Age' has a coefficient of -0.053, which means that for each one-year increase on average age in the patient population, the expected log of the negative binomial rate decreases by 0.05. On the other hand, the variable 'Diversity Index' has a coefficient of 1.01, which means that for each one-unit increase on the diversity index score, the expected log of the negative binomial rate increases by 1.01.

Table 3: Negative Binomial Regression Parameter Estimates

| Variable | Coefficient |
|---|-------------|
| Year (referent: 2014) | |
| 2015 | 0.11* |
| 2016 | 0.42** |
| 2017 | 0.54** |
| Hospital Characteristics | |
| Ownership Status (Referent: Not-for-profit) | |
| For-profit | -0.05 |
| Government | -0.004 |
| Location (Referent: Urban) | |
| Rural | -0.37 |
| Size (Referent: Small) | |
| Large | 1.09** |
| Medium | 0.77** |
| Teaching Status (Referent: Non-Teaching) | |
| Major | 0.15 |
| Minor | 0.24** |
| Patient Characteristics | |
| Mean Age | -0.05** |
| Percent Female | -0.05** |
| Percent Emergency, Urgent and Trauma Admissions | 0.02** |
| Percent African American | -0.01* |
| Percent Latino/Hispanic | -0.02** |
| County Characteristics | |
| Diversity Index | 1.01** |
| Herfindahl-Hirschman Index | 0.23 |
| Percent Poverty | 0.01 |
| Note: * significant at 0.05; ** significant at 0.01 | |

Table 4 displays the estimate of the opioid abuse rate (risk/probability of a patient being opioid abused) for all the categorical variables in the model. The risk ratios were calculated from the estimates. The risk ratio in this context is the risk of opioid abuse when a patient is treated in each condition versus the reference level. Using hospital location, for example, the estimated probability of opioid abuse for a patient if treated in a rural hospital is 0.12 (about 12%), while in an urban hospital is 0.17 (about 17%). The risk ratio is 0.71, which means the risk of opioid abuse is decreased by 30% when a patient is treated in rural hospitals. No significant difference is discovered in the hospital ownership type, with the risk at 14%. For

hospital size, the risks of a patient to be opioid abused is 22%, 17%, and 8% when treated in large, medium, and small hospitals, respectively. The risk ratios are 2.96 (large vs. small) and 2.16 (medium vs. small), which indicates the risk is significantly higher at large or medium hospitals compared with small hospitals. There is no significant difference in the risk between major and minor teaching hospitals. However, the risk at both major and minor teaching hospitals is higher than the non-teaching hospitals. Lastly, the increasing trend of opioid abuse rates is observed starting from 2016. The increase in the risk of opioid abuse over time may need more attention from the policymakers in Florida.

| Main Effect | LS Mean | Lower CL | Upper CL | Risk Ratio |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------|----------|-------------------|
| Location | | | | |
| Rural | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.71 |
| Urban | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.20 | |
| Ownership status | | | | |
| For-profit | 0.14 | 0.1 | 0.18 | 0.95 |
| Government | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.21 | 0.95 |
| Not-for-profit | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.19 | |
| Size | | | | |
| Large | 0.23 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 2.96 |
| Medium | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.22 | 2.16 |
| Small | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.11 | |
| Teaching status | | | | |
| Major | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.21 | 1.16 |
| Minor | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.21 | 1.27 |
| Non-Teaching | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.16 | |
| Year | | | | |
| 2015 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 1.03 |
| 2016 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.22 | 1.40 |
| 2017 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 1.47 |
| 2014 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.14 | |
| Note: LS: least squares; C | <i>CL: confident level</i> | | | |

Table 4: Negative Binomial Regression Opioid Abuse Rate Estimates

Discussion | This study examined potential predictors of opioid abuse in Florida through specific healthcare delivery, geographic, and patient demographic factors. The results from this study suggest that hospitals in Florida are continuously reporting higher counts of opioid abuse over the years. Our binomial regression analysis indicated a statistically significant increase in opioid abuse in Florida. A probable explanation for these results is a decrease in the uninsured population and an increase in the awareness of opioid misuse leading to more accurate reporting.

For example, the passing of the 2010 Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA) provided a reduction of financial barriers for the treatment of opioid use disorders.³¹ Changes under the ACA increased access to hospitals by removing the administrative barriers that impeded the timely payment for clinician reimbursement allowing hospitals to be more prone to addressing this issue ³². This change allowed for an increase in treatment access and utilization, which may explain the increased reporting. In addition, in 2015 the US began using the ICD-10-CM, which increased codes from 14,000 to 68,000 codes, which may have contributed to more accurate documentation of patients with opioid abuse disorders and a 14.1% increase of inpatient stays with opioid-related diagnoses.¹⁴

Furthermore, our study found a significant relationship between hospital size and volume increase of opioid

abuse. Large and medium-sized hospitals were found to experience higher counts of patients with opioid abuse disorders compared to small-sized hospitals even when we adjust for other factors. This may be attributed to any number of factors, including the possibility of larger hospitals to have more resources compared to their small and medium counterparts. Our results showed that out of a total of 183 hospitals, 170 reported they had encountered patients with opioid abuse disorder with 3% from the total sample that did not report. Perhaps larger hospitals have the resources to better identify patients with opioid abuse disorder.

Our findings also indicated that the majority of Florida hospitals with a higher risk for opioid abuse are located in urban areas. A possible explanation for this finding would be that urban populations are more susceptible to abuse due to better access to prescription opioids. While one study ³³ showed that residents living in rural counties are more likely to take opioids for pain relief compared to those living in urban areas, another study³⁴ showed that individuals in urban areas are more likely to engage in misuse because of their higher exposure to other substances and access to care. A study by Sears, Edmonds, & Fulton-Kehoe³⁵ found a higher prevalence of prescribing physicians and pharmacists in urban areas. Trends in studies show that abundant supplies of opioids are positively correlated to abuse in urban areas with individuals in urban counties having

increased access to pain management physicians and pharmacies.^{33,36,37}

Another study from Cerdá et al.³⁸ supports this sentiment by finding a correlation between changes in pharmacy density and opioid abuse discharge rates in urban areas, particularly prescription-opioid poisoning rates. As prescription opioids are more readily available in urban settings, the risk of abuse in such areas increases consequently. Individuals in rural areas would have less access to treatment programs and would likely have to travel to urban areas in order to get access to treatment.³⁹ Another study found that treatment services are more readily available to urban residents than their rural counterparts.⁴⁰ In addition, one study found a correlation between concentrated homelessness in urban settings and higher risks of opioid abuse with homeless individuals having a disproportionately higher risk of opioid abuse disorders compared to individuals of lower-income households.41

Regarding the characteristics of patients who are of higher risk, our study found that among the 2014-2017 Florida patient population, those who were younger, male, and Caucasian were found to be more at risk of being identified with an opioid abuse disorder. This is an important finding because it supports other research that as a person ages, they have a decreased risk of opioid abuse.^{42,43} Furthermore, our findings support studies that males are more likely to abuse opioids compared to females.^{43,44} This is also consistent with literature related to risk factors for opioid abuse among veterans and younger adult males having a higher prevalence of opioid abuse disorder.⁴⁴ This study uses data from multiple databases to provide valuable insight into our understanding of potential predictors for opioid abuse disorder. However, the data is focused on Florida hospitals and the patient population within Florida. Therefore, the results are not generalizable to other states.

References |

1. Giroir BP, Brandt K. Testimony from Brett P. Giroir & Kimberly Brandt on Tracking Opioid and Substance Use Disorders in Medicare Medicaid, and Human Services Programs before Committee on Finance. [HHS Online Database]. 2018; <u>https://www.hhs.gov/about/agencies/asl/testimony/</u>2018-04/tracking-opioid-and-substance-usedisorders-medicare-medicaid-hhs-programs.html. Accessed June 6, 2018.

2. Cheatle MD. Prescription Opioid Misuse, Abuse, Morbidity, and Mortality: Balancing Effective Pain Management and Safety. *Pain Med.* 2015;16 Suppl 1:S3-8. **Policy Implications** | Despite its limitations, this study provides important insight into Florida's opioid crisis and the identification of predictive factors that contribute to opioid abuse. The results of our study indicate that there is a statistically significant association between opioid abuse rate and hospital size, location, and teaching status. These are important findings because it provides valuable insight for policymakers to direct limited resources in an effort to reduce the prevalence of opioid misuse and abuse. To more effectively utilize the limited resources associated to combat opioid abuse, our research indicates that policymakers should target policies at larger hospitals, in urban locations, and those designated as teaching hospitals. It is important for policymakers to understand these predictive patient characteristics because this type of demographic data is readily available. The understanding of patient characteristics and their association to opioid misuse and abuse can help policymakers more effectively and more efficiently target limited resources, like opioid cessation education programs, to specific populations. Finally, the findings presented in our study are especially important to providers and hospital administrators who are increasingly experiencing legislative interventions to reduce unnecessary and excessive opioid prescribing patterns. Therefore, it is important for hospital administrators and other providers to use this data to proactively identify solutions to improve population health by measurably reducing practices that contribute to the misuse and abuse of opioids.

Funding/Support | The Florida Agency for Health Care Administration approved the research use of inpatient discharge administrative claims data.

Conflicts of Interest | The authors declare that they do not have a conflict of interest.

Disclaimers | The views expressed here do not necessarily reflect the views of the University of North Florida or the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration.

- 3. Reinhart M, Scarpati LM, Kirson NY, Patton C, Shak N, Erensen JG. The Economic Burden of Abuse of Prescription Opioids: A Systematic Literature Review from 2012 to 2017. *Appl Health Econ Health Policy*. 2018;16(5):609-632.
- 4. Glanz JM, Narwaney KJ, Mueller SR, et al. Prediction Model for Two-Year Risk of Opioid Overdose Among Patients Prescribed Chronic Opioid Therapy. J Gen Intern Med. 2018;33(10):1646-1653.
- 5. Rhon DI, Snodgrass SJ, Cleland JA, Sissel CD, Cook CE. Predictors of chronic prescription opioid

use after orthopedic surgery: derivation of a clinical prediction rule. *Perioper Med (Lond)*. 2018;7:25.

- 6. Weiss AJ, Elixhauser A. Characteristics of Adverse Drug Events Originating During the Hospital Stay, 2011: Statistical Brief#164. In: *Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) Statistical Briefs*. Rockville (MD)2006.
- 7. Sarrami-Foroushani P, Travaglia J, Debono D, Braithwaite J. Implementing strategies in consumer and community engagement in health care: results of a large-scale, scoping meta-review. *BMC Health Services Research*. 2014;14(1):402.
- 8. Ostling PS, Davidson KS, Anyama BO, Helander EM, Wyche MQ, Kaye AD. America's Opioid Epidemic: a Comprehensive Review and Look into the Rising Crisis. In. Vol 222018.
- 9. Chang HY, Murimi I, Faul M, Rutkow L, Alexander GC. Impact of Florida's prescription drug monitoring program and pill mill law on high-risk patients: A comparative interrupted time series analysis. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* 2018;27(4):422-429.
- 10. National Institute on Drug Abuse. Florida Opioid Summary - Drug Overdose Deaths. 2020; <u>https://www.drugabuse.gov/drugs-</u> <u>abuse/opioids/opioid-summaries-by-state/floridaopioid-summary</u>.
- 11. Weiss AJ, Elixhauser A, Barrett ML, Steiner CA, Bailey MK, O'Malley L. Opioid-related inpatient stays and emergency department visits by state, 2009-2014: statistical brief# 219. *HCUP Statistical Brief.* 2016.
- 12. State Successes. 2019. https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/policy/successe s.html. Accessed September 13, 2019.
- 13. Opioid & Health Indicators Database. amFAR; 2018. <u>https://opioid.amfar.org/</u>.
- 14. Heslin KC, Owens PL, Karaca Z, Barrett ML, Moore BJ, Elixhauser A. Trends in Opioid-related Inpatient Stays Shifted After the US Transitioned to ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Coding in 2015. *Med Care*. 2017;55(11):918-923.
- 15. Moore BJ, Barrett ML. Case Study: Exploring How Opioid-Related Diagnosis Codes Translate From ICD-9-CM to ICD-10-CM. *Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.* 2017.
- 16. Rigg KK, Ibañez GE. Motivations for non-medical prescription drug use: A mixed methods analysis. *Journal of substance abuse treatment*. 2010;39(3):236-247.
- 17. McCabe SE, Morales M, Cranford JA, Delva J, McPherson MD, Boyd CJ. Race/ethnicity and gender differences in drug use and abuse among college students. *Journal of ethnicity in substance abuse*. 2007;6(2):75-95.
- 18. Chen P, Jacobson KC. Developmental trajectories of substance use from early adolescence to young

adulthood: Gender and racial/ethnic differences. *Journal of adolescent health.* 2012;50(2):154-163.

- 19. Hamadi H, Apatu E, Spaulding A. Does hospital ownership influence hospital referral region health rankings in the United States. *The International journal of health planning and management*. 2018;33(1):e168-e180.
- 20. Garcia-Lacalle J, Martin E. Rural vs urban hospital performance in a 'competitive' public health service. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2010;71(6):1131-1140.
- 21. Foster D, Zrull L. 100 Top Hospitals: Hospital Performance Differences by Size and Teaching Status. *Truven Health Analytics* 2013.
- 22. Chen AS, Revere L, Ratanatawan A, Beck CL, Allo JA. A Comparative Analysis of Academic and Nonacademic Hospitals on Outcome Measures and Patient Satisfaction. *Am J Med Qual.* 2018:1062860618800586.
- 23. Wong HS, Zhan C, Mutter R. Do different measures of hospital competition matter in empirical investigations of hospital behavior. *Review of Industrial Organization*. 2005;26(1):27-60.
- 24. Hamadi H, Spaulding A, Haley DR, Zhao M, Tafili A, Zakari N. Does value-based purchasing affect US hospital utilization pattern: A comparative study. *International Journal of Healthcare Management*. 2017:1-7.
- 25. Wright ER, Kooreman HE, Greene MS, Chambers RA, Banerjee A, Wilson J. The iatrogenic epidemic of prescription drug abuse: county-level determinants of opioid availability and abuse. *Drug and alcohol dependence*. 2014;138:209-215.
- 26. Iceland J. The multigroup entropy index (also known as Theil's H or the information theory index). *US Census Bureau Retrieved July*. 2004;31:2006.
- 27. Parisi D, Lichter DT, Taquino MC. The buffering hypothesis: Growing diversity and declining black-white segregation in America's cities, suburbs, and small towns? *Sociological Science*. 2015;2:125.
- 28. Kenward MG, Roger JH. Small sample inference for fixed effects from restricted maximum likelihood. *Biometrics*. 1997;53(3):983-997.
- 29. Keselman HJ, Algina J, Kowalchuk RK, Wolfinger RD. A comparison of two approaches for selecting covariance structures in the analysis of repeated measurements. *Communications in Statistics-Simulation and computation*. 1998;27(3):591-604.
- 30. SAS Institute. *SAS 9.4 Graph Template Language: User's Guide.* Sas Institute; 2014.
- 31. Feder KA, Mojtabai R, Krawczyk N, et al. Trends in insurance coverage and treatment among persons with opioid use disorders following the Affordable Care Act. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2017;179:271-274.
- 32. Saloner B, Bandara S, Bachhuber M, Barry CL. Insurance Coverage and Treatment Use Under the Affordable Care Act Among Adults With Mental

and Substance Use Disorders. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2017;68(6):542-548.

- 33. Eaton LH, Langford DJ, Meins AR, Rue T, Tauben DJ, Doorenbos AZ. Use of Self-management Interventions for Chronic Pain Management: A Comparison between Rural and Nonrural Residents. *Pain Manag Nurs.* 2018;19(1):8-13.
- 34. Rigg KK, Monnat SM. Urban vs. rural differences in prescription opioid misuse among adults in the United States: Informing region specific drug policies and interventions. *International Journal of Drug Policy*. 2015;26(5):484-491.
- 35. Sears JM, Edmonds AT, Fulton-Kehoe D. Tracking Opioid Prescribing Metrics in Washington State (2012-2017): Differences by County-Level Urban-Rural and Economic Distress Classifications. The Journal Of Rural Health: Official Journal Of The American Rural Health Association And The National Rural Health Care Association. 2019.
- 36. Monnat SM. The contributions of socioeconomic and opioid supply factors to U.S. drug mortality rates: Urban-rural and within-rural differences. *Journal of Rural Studies*. 2019;68:319-335.
- 37. Look KA, Kile M, Morgan K, Roberts A. Community pharmacies as access points for addiction treatment. *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy*. 2019;15(4):404-409.
- 38. Cerdá M, Gaidus A, Keyes KM, et al. Prescription opioid poisoning across urban and rural areas: identifying vulnerable groups and geographic areas. *Addiction.* 2017;112(1):103-112.

- 39. Joudrey PJ, Edelman EJ, Wang EA. Drive Times to Opioid Treatment Programs in Urban and Rural Counties in 5 US States. *JAMA*. 2019;322(13):1310-1312.
- 40. Young AM, Havens JR, Leukefeld CG. A Comparison of Rural and Urban Nonmedical Prescription Opioid Users' Lifetime and Recent Drug Use. *American Journal of Drug & Alcohol Abuse*. 2012;38(3):220-227.
- 41. Yamamoto A, Needleman J, Gelberg L, Kominski G, Shoptaw S, Tsugawa Y. Association between homelessness and opioid overdose and opioid-related hospital admissions/emergency department visits. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2019;242.
- 42. Alzeer AH, Jones J, Bair MJ. Review of Factors, Methods, and Outcome Definition in Designing Opioid Abuse Predictive Models. *Pain Med.* 2018;19(5):997-1009.
- 43. Rudd RA, Aleshire N, Zibbell JE, Gladden RM. Increases in Drug and Opioid Overdose Deaths--United States, 2000-2014. *MMWR Morbidity And Mortality Weekly Report*. 2016;64(50-51):1378-1382.
- 44. Edlund MJ, Steffick D, Hudson T, Harris KM, Sullivan M. Risk factors for clinically recognized opioid abuse and dependence among veterans using opioids for chronic non-cancer pain. *Pain*. 2007;129(3):355-362.

D. Rob Haley, PhD, Department of Health Administration, University of North Florida, Jacksonville, FL. Email at: <u>rhaley@unf.edu</u>. Hanadi Hamadi, PhD, Department of Health Administration, University of North Florida, Jacksonville, FL. Email at: h.hamadi@unf.edu. Jing Xu, PhD, Department of Health Administration, University of North Florida, Jacksonville, FL. Email at: jasper.xu@unf.edu. Mei Zhao, PhD, Department of Health Administration, University of North Florida, Jacksonville, FL. Email at: mzhao@unf.edu. Anh Viet Tran Nguyen, MHA, Department of Health Administration, University of North Florida, Jacksonville, FL. Email at: anh.nguyentran@unf.edu. Davana Martinez, MHA, Department of Health Administration, University of North Florida, Jacksonville, FL. Email at: dayanamartinez@live.com. Copyright 2020 by the Florida Public Health Review.