

## Association between mandibular second molars calcification stages in the panoramic images and cervical vertebral maturity in the lateral cephalometric images

Mohammad-Hossein Toodehzaeim <sup>1</sup>, Elahe Rafiei <sup>2</sup>, Seyyed-Hadi Hosseini <sup>3</sup>, Alireza Haerian <sup>2</sup>, Milad Hazeri-Baqdad-Abad <sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor, Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Orthodontics, School of Dentistry, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

<sup>3</sup> Dental Student, School of Dentistry, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

<sup>4</sup> Assistant, Department of Orthodontics Dentistry, Dental School, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

Correspondence:  
Faculty of Dentistry, DaheyeFajrBouly  
Imam Ave, Yazd, Iran  
[hazeri.milad@gmail.com](mailto:hazeri.milad@gmail.com)

Received: 05/10/2019  
Accepted: 04/11/2019

Toodehzaeim MH, Rafiei E, Hosseini SH, Haerian A, Hazeri-Baqdad-Abad M. Association between mandibular second molars calcification stages in the panoramic images and cervical vertebral maturity in the lateral cephalometric images. J Clin Exp Dent. 2020;12(2):e148-53.  
<http://www.medicinaoral.com/odo/volumenes/v12i2/jcedv12i2p148.pdf>

Article Number: 56402 <http://www.medicinaoral.com/odo/indice.htm>  
© Medicina Oral S. L. C.I.F. B 96689336 - eISSN: 1989-5488  
eMail: [jced@jced.es](mailto:jced@jced.es)  
Indexed in:  
Pubmed  
Pubmed Central® (PMC)  
Scopus  
DOI® System

### Abstract

**Background:** Determination of maturation and evaluation of growth potential is extremely important in clinical orthodontics. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the diagnostic performance of the mandibular second molar calcification stages for identification of growth phases.

**Material and Methods:** In this cross-sectional descriptive study, samples were derived from panoramic radiographs and lateral cephalograms of 125 subjects (61 males and 64 females) with age ranging from 8 to 17 years and estimates of dental maturity (Demirjian Index [DI]) and skeletal maturity (Cervical vertebral maturation indicators CVMI) were made. Correlations between DI and CVMI were shown by Spearman's correlation. The diagnostic performance of the DI for the identification of the growth phase were evaluated using positive likelihood ratios (LHRs), with a threshold of  $\geq 10$  for satisfactory performance.

**Results:** Correlations between second molar calcification and growth phase were 0.819 for females and 0.805 for males ( $P$ -value < 0.0001). LHR  $\geq 10$  was only observed for the identification of the post-pubertal growth phase for the H stage of the second molar.

**Conclusions:** In spite of the high correlation coefficients between mandibular second molar calcification stages and skeletal maturity, these stages are reliable only for the identification of the post pubertal growth phase.

**Key words:** Skeletal maturation, demirjian Index, mandibular second molar.

## Introduction

Determining the stage of development and examining growth, plays an important role in clinical orthodontics in recognizing the appropriate and effective time period for treatment of various malocclusions (1,2).

The chronological age isn't very precise in determining the stage of development in different children and is not always reliable and this leads to the development of the biologic age concept (3). Biologic age can be estimated in accordance to morphologic age (based on height), dental age, sexual age and skeletal age. Corresponding relationships between skeletal, body and sexual maturity have been identified in numerous studies (4,5).

Skeletal maturity is a reflection of the individual's physiologic development (6). The best index for examining skeletal development is an x-ray of the wrist. However, the changes in size and shape of cervical vertebrae observed in a lateral cephalometric radiograph in growing individuals has been considered as an index for determining the stage of development to prevent additional exposures for wrist radiographs (5). The efficiency of predicting growth spurt by examining cervical vertebrae (instead of wrist radiographs) has been displayed in the Hassel and Farman or Baccetti *et al.* methods (7).

Analysing dental development based on radiographs is closely correlated to skeletal development (8) The stage of dental development can be determined by analysing the stage of tooth eruption; however, examining the tooth formation stage has been recommended as a more suitable method for determining the stage of development (6).

Numerous studies have pointed out a relationship between the calcification phase of the upper second molar and maturation of cervical vertebrae (3,9,10).

Even though many studies have reported a correlation between the calcification process of the second mandibular molar and the growth and development process, few studies are available that investigated the diagnostic function of this correlation. The aim of this study is to investigate the diagnostic ability of using the calcification process of the mandibular second molar to identify the growth stage in a population of Iranian boys and girls.

## Material and Methods

This was a comprehensive-analytic cross-sectional study carried out on 125 panoramic radiographs and lateral cephalometric radiographs (each gender made up for approximately half of the samples) which were obtained from patients who had visited the orthodontics department in Yazd school of dentistry. The patient files were from the year 2011 to 2015, such that 25 files were chosen randomly from each year.

The following were the criteria for entering the study:

- 1- Aged between 8-17 years old
- 2- No history of systemic disease and in complete health

3- The panoramic and lateral cephalometric radiographs meet good diagnostic quality and both be available in the patient's file.

4- The second molar should not be missing

All of the radiographs were analysed on a negatoscope by a calibrated dental student and in order to check the coefficient of correlation an experienced orthodontist was consulted, such that 40 panoramic and lateral cephalometric radiographs were chosen randomly from all of the samples and analysed by an experienced orthodontist.

The skeletal development was analysed using the rectified cervical vertebrae maturation method (CVM) proposed by Baccetti (cs1-cs6) on a lateral cephalometric radiograph using the C2 to C4 cervical vertebrae (11).

Cervical stage 1 (cs1): The inferior borders of all three vertebrae (C2-C4) are flat. The body of the C3 and C4 vertebrae are trapezoid shape (the superior border of the vertebrae are tapered from the posterior to anterior). The growth spurt of the mandible usually occurs two years after this stage.

Cervical stage (cs2): The inferior border of the C2 vertebra is concave. The body of C3 and C4 is still trapezoid. The growth spurt of the mandible usually occurs 1 year after this stage.

Cervical stage 3 (cs3): There are concavities on the inferior border of C2 and C3. The body of C3 and C4 can be trapezoid or a horizontal rectangle. The growth spurt of the mandible occurs in this year after this stage.

Cervical stage 4 (cs4): The inferior border of C2, C3 and C4 is concave. The body of C3 and C4 is shaped as a horizontal rectangle. The growth spurt of the mandible occurs between 1 to 2 years before this.

Cervical stage 5 (cs5): The inferior border of C2, C3 and C4 is concave. At least one of the C3 or C4 vertebrae are square shaped. The body of other cervical vertebrae are a horizontal rectangle if not square shaped. The growth spurt of the mandible occurs 1 year before this.

Cervical stage 6 (cs6): The inferior border of C2, C3 and C4 is still concave. The body of at least one of the C3 or C4 vertebrae is a vertical rectangle. The body of the rest of the other cervical vertebrae are square if not a vertical rectangle. The mandibular growth spurt has ended two years before this stage.

Different growth stages are divided as follows:

- 1) CS1 and CS2 are pre pubertal growth stages.
- 2) CS3 and CS4 are pubertal growth stages.
- 3) CS5 and CS6 are post pubertal growth stages.

The calcification stages of the left second mandibular molar were analysed using panoramic radiographs based on the Demirjian method.

The eight stages of dental mineralisation according to Demirjian method are as follows (12):

Stage A: Initial crown calcification, different calcifications are not attached to each other

Stage B: Different calcification are attached to each other

Stage C: Enamel formation is complete in the occlusal area and dentin formation has begun. The pulp chamber is lunar shaped and pulp horns are not visible.

Stage D: Crown is formed up to the CEJ. Root formation and differentiation of pulp horns has begun.

Stage E: Root length is shorter than crown length. Pulp horns are more developed than the previous stage. Calcification of the root furcation in molars has begun.

Stage F: Root length is equal to or greater than crown length. The furcation in molars has developed to the stage where the root shape is differentiable.

Stage G: Apex of the root is relatively open. In molars, only the distal root is open.

Stage H: The root apex is completely closed (distal root in molars).

After data collection it was evaluated using SPSS version 17 software. Statistical analysis was carried out using Spearman correlation test. To calculate the diagnostic ability of each stage of calcification of the second molar in identifying the correct stage of development, the positive likelihood ratio(sensitivity/1-specificity) with a confidence interval of 95% was used. A positive

likelihood ratio of  $10 \leq$  was considered as a significance level of diagnostic ability.

**Results**

The Kappa coefficient for the second molar and CVM between the student and orthodontist was 0.97 and 0.99 respectively which was high and acceptable value.

Table 1 shows the frequency of cervical vertebrae maturity according to chronologic age in each age group. As can be observed in each age groups, females were in a higher CVM stage, except for the first and second age group. It is shown that in the last age group (16-17 years), most males are in CVM stage 5 (63.3%) whilst most female are in CV stage 6 (64.3%).

As shown in table 2, most of the second molars before the growth spurt were in stage E. During the growth spurt the maturity of most of the second molars lies in stage G for males and stage F for females. After the growth spurt has ended the apex of the majority of teeth is closed (stage H) whereas in females the majority of the apexes are open (stage G).

The Spearman correlation coefficient between the second molar calcification stage and maturity stage in males is  $r=0.805$  and  $r=0.908$  in females with a  $p$  value

**Table 1:** Frequency distribution of skeletal puberty stages according to chronological age.

Gender	Age group (years)	CS						Total
		CS1	CS2	CS3	CS4	CS5	CS6	
Male	No. 8-9	10	1	0	0	0	0	11
	Percent 8-9	90.9	9.1	0	0	0	0	100
	No. 10-11	6	4	0	1	0	0	11
	Percent 10-11	54.5	36.4	0	9.1	0	0	100
	No. 12-13	5	1	5	3	0	0	14
	Percent 12-13	35.7	7.1	35.7	21.4	0	0	100
	No. 14-15	1	0	1	6	5	0	13
Percent 14-15	7.7	0	7.7	46.2	15.8	10.5	100	
Female	No. 16-17	0	0	0	0	7	5	12
	Percent 16-17	9.1	0	0	0	63.6	38.5	100
	Total	22	6	6	10	12	5	61
		36.1	9.8	9.8	16.4	19.7	8.2	100
	No. 8-9	9	2	0	0	0	0	11
	Percent 8-9	81.8	18.2	0	0	0	0	100
	No. 10-11	5	1	5	1	0	0	12
Percent 10-11	41.7	8.3	41.7	8.3	0	0	100	
No. 12-13	0	4	1	3	3	2	13	
Percent 12-13	0	30.8	7.7	23.1	23.1	15.4	100	
No. 14-15	0	0	0	2	9	3	14	
Percent 14-15	0	0	0	14.3	64.3	21.4	100	
No. 16-17	1	0	0	0	4	9	14	
Percent 16-17	7.1	0	0	0	28.6	64.3	100	
Total	15	7	6	6	22	12	64	
	23.4	10.9	9.4	9.4	25	21.9	100	

**Table 2:** Frequency distribution of second molar calcification stages according to growth stages.

Gender	Dental calcification stage	Growth stages			Total
		Pre-puberty	puberty	Post- puberty	
Male	No. D	7	0	0	7
	Percent	25	0	0	11.5
	No. E	14	0	0	14
	Percent	50	0	0	23
	No. F	5	3	2	10
	Percent	17.8	18.8	11.8	16.4
Female	No. G	1	13	5	19
	Percent	3.6	81.2	29.4	31.1
	No. H	1	0	10	11
	Percent	3.6	0	58.8	18
	Total	28	16	17	61
		100	100	100	100
Female	No. D	7	0	0	7
	Percent	31.8	0	0	11
	No. E	11	3	1	15
	Percent	50	25	3.3	23.4
	No. F	3	5	1	9
	Percent	13.6	41.7	3.3	14
Female	No. G	1	4	18	23
	Percent	4.5	33.3	60	36
	No. H	0	0	10	10
	Percent	0	0	33.4	15.6
	Total	22	12	30	64
		100	100	100	100

of  $p < 0.0001$  which represents a positive and significant correlation.

Table 3 shows the positive likelihood for each stage of calcification of the second molar. A LHR value of  $\geq 10$  can only be seen in stage H (32/76) in identifying the growth period after the pubertal growth spurt. Also the likelihood ratio of the calcification stage E is 9.43 for the pre-pubertal growth stage, which is relatively high but still below 10.

### Discussion

Many researchers have observed during their studies that the best time for functional orthodontic treatments is the pubertal growth spurt period (6,13). The growth spurt can be analysed based on indices such as height, skeletal maturity of the wrist and maturity of cervical vertebrae (14-19).

Considering that these racial differences affect dental and skeletal maturity (9) and few studies exist in this

**Table 3:** Positive likelihood ratio for each of the calcification stages of the mandibular second molar in determining the growth stages.

Tooth	Calsification stages	Growth stages		
		Pre-puberty	puberty	Post- puberty
Second molar	D	-	-	-
	E	9.43(9.02-9.84)	0.40(0.01-0.07)	0.05(0.01-0.09)
	F	1.08(0.54-1.62)	2.53(1.77-3.19)	0.31(0.23-0.39)
	G	0.07(0.03-0.11)	2.35(1.61-3.09)	2.00(1.30-2.70)
	H	0.07(0.03-0.11)	-	32.76(24.5-40.98)*

The values are reported with a confidence interval of 95% LHR value more than or equal 10 are shown with (\*)

field on the Iranian population, carrying out a study to investigate this population can be useful.

Using a panoramic radiograph to evaluate skeletal maturity by stages of mandibular second molar is preferred over the traditional hand-wrist technique. Panoramic radiographs are a routine diagnostic radiograph, therefore patient radiation is limited (3).

In this research Demirjian method was used to examine the dental development stages. In this method the shape of the crown and the ratio of root length to crown length is used and no digit measurement is used to rule out the possibility of foreshortening and elongation caused by radiographic errors. Also in this method the amount of tooth formed is considered instead of the eruption stages of the tooth. The eruption phases of the tooth are affected by environmental factors and dietary habits, systemic disease and its validity is questionable (20).

In this study, instead of eruption, we used calcification stages as the indicator for dental maturation, which is regarded as more reliable in studies (3).

The apex of the second molar forms completely around 16-years, which is the latest among other mandibular teeth except the third molar. Therefore, this tooth was chosen to analyse the skeletal maturity. Although the third molar's apex closes during a longer period of time (closure at the age of 22 years) due to a relatively high chance of third molar missing it was not used in this study (21). The maxillary second molar is not used due to possible super impositions caused by anatomic structures. The present study was taken up to evaluate the reliableness of utilizing the formative phases of mandibular second molar as a marker for development. This tooth offers predilection over other teeth since its advancement will in general proceed over a more drawn out period and until a later old age.

The modified Cervical Vertebrae Maturity method (CVM) proposed by Baccetti (cs1-cs6) was used in this study to analyse the cervical vertebrae maturity on lateral cephalometric radiographs using the C2 to C4 cervical vertebrae. Compared to the wrist radiograph method, this approach is more reliable and eliminates the necessity for exposing the wrist to additional x-rays (11). This was also reported in Imani Moghaddam *et al.* (22), Pancherz *et al.* (23) and Grave *et al.*'s (24) studies. Only one stage of skeletal maturity is observed in each lateral cephalometric radiograph. In this study it was shown that for each similar growth stage, boys have a larger frequency distribution in one stage of advanced dental development. This finding is similar to the studies carried out by Krailassiri (25), Uysal (10), Chertkow (26) and Basaran (1). They showed that tooth calcification compared to wrist and hand maturity follows a more advanced pattern in boys. In other words, in the same maturity stage of cervical vertebrae, boys present a higher distribution of frequency in one stage of advanced dental maturity.

In this study a statistically significant correlation was observed between the calcification stages of the second molar and the stages of skeletal maturity which is similar to the results of the studies carried out by Basaran (1), Chen (6), Cossellu (9), Uysal (10) and Kumar (3). In a study carried out by Valizadeh *et al.* same result was reported in a population of Iranian girls.

Kumar *et al.* showed that in CS3 and CS4 almost all second molars in both males and females are in stages G and F and suggested that the stages G and F, signify pubertal growth spurt (3). Some studies have also indicated that the G (25) and F (26) calcification stages of the mandibular canine have a high correlation with the pubertal growth spurt. Nevertheless, none of these studies calculated the diagnostic performance of dental maturity phases in analysing the pubertal growth stages and their reports were based on the analysis of distribution of frequency for dental maturity based on skeletal maturity stages.

Positive likelihood ratio (LHR) is the most important characteristic for a diagnostic test (calcification phases for teeth) that can be used to determine the presence or lack of a disease (skeletal maturity stages) in an individual.

The more the result of a positive likelihood ratio for a test is bigger than 1, the higher the probability of the disease (for example any of the skeletal maturity stages) for the individuals with positive test results (for example any of the dental calcification stages). Usually tests with a positive likelihood bigger than 10, are more suitable for clinical application. The positive likelihood ratio considers both sensitivity and specificity (27-31).

Perinetti *et al.* calculated LHR and reported that stages D and E for the second mandibular molar are more reliable indexes to identify the pre-pubertal growth stage (32). In the current study, even though stage E has a high diagnostic ability for pre-pubertal growth stages, but this diagnostic ability is not statistically significant.

In another study by Perinetti *et al.*, the LHR was calculated and the H stage for the mandibular second molar was reported to be a more reliable index for identifying the post-pubertal growth stage (33) which correlates with the results of the current study.

A limitation of this study is the fact that, the pre-pubertal and post-pubertal growth stages are the only stages that the mandibular second molar calcification may be helpful. So, exact information about the time of growth spurt cannot be obtained by dental calcification. Another limitation is the sample size which, can affect the result and increasing it may provide more reliable outcomes.

## Conclusions

Even though there is a high correlation between the calcification stages of the mandibular second molar and the growth stages, the calcification stages of this tooth only possess diagnostic performance for post-pubertal growth-



th stages. Therefore, using the calcification stages of the mandibular second molar to estimate the appropriate time for treatments to be carried out during the post-pubertal growth phase can be a useful index however, it is not recommended for treatments that need to be carried out during pubertal and pre-pubertal growth stages.

## References

1. Basaran G, Ozer T, Hamamci N. Cervical vertebral and dental maturity in Turkish subjects. American journal of orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics : official publication of the American Association of Orthodontists, its constituent societies, and the American Board of Orthodontics. 2007;131:447.e13-20.
2. Fishman L. Maturational development and facial form relative to treatment timing. Early orthodontic treatment: Quintessence, Chicago; 2000. p. 265-85.
3. Kumar S, Singla A, Sharma R, Viridi MS, Anupam A, Mittal B. Skeletal maturation evaluation using mandibular second molar calcification stages. The Angle orthodontist. 2012;82:501-6.
4. Björk A. Variations in the growth pattern of the human mandible: longitudinal radiographic study by the implant method. Journal of Dental Research. 1963;42:400-11.
5. Rozylo-Kalinowska I, Kolasa-Raczka A, Kalinowski P. Relationship between dental age according to Demirjian and cervical vertebrae maturity in Polish children. European journal of orthodontics. 2011;33:75-83.
6. Chen J, Hu H, Guo J, Liu Z, Liu R, Li F, et al. Correlation between dental maturity and cervical vertebral maturity. Oral surgery, oral medicine, oral pathology, oral radiology, and endodontics. 2010;110:777-83.
7. Cericato GO, Bittencourt M, Paranhos L. Validity of the assessment method of skeletal maturation by cervical vertebrae: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Dentomaxillofacial Radiology. 2015;44:20140270.
8. Perinetti G, Westphalen GH, Biasotto M, Salgarello S, Contardo L. The diagnostic performance of dental maturity for identification of the circumpubertal growth phases: a meta-analysis. Progress in orthodontics. 2013;14:8.
9. Cossellu G, Biagi R, Pisani L, Barbieri V, Farronato G. Relationship between mandibular second molar calcification stages and cervical vertebrae maturity in Italian children and young adults. Eur J Paediatr Dent. 2014;15:355-9.
10. Uysal T, Sari Z, Ramoglu SI, Basciftci FA. Relationships between dental and skeletal maturity in Turkish subjects. The Angle orthodontist. 2004;74:657-64.
11. Baccetti T, Franchi L, McNamara JA, editors. The cervical vertebral maturation (CVM) method for the assessment of optimal treatment timing in dentofacial orthopedics. Seminars in Orthodontics. 2005;11:119-29.
12. Demirjian A, Goldstein H, Tanner J. A new system of dental age assessment. Human biology. 1973;211-27.
13. McNamara JA, Bookstein FL, Shaughnessy TG. Skeletal and dental changes following functional regulator therapy on Class II patients. American journal of orthodontics. 1985;88:91-110.
14. Baccetti T, Franchi L, McNamara JA. The cervical vertebral maturation method: some need for clarification. American Journal of Orthodontics & Dentofacial Orthopedics. 2003;1:19A-20A.
15. Hassel B, Farman AG. Skeletal maturation evaluation using cervical vertebrae. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics. 1995;107:58-66.
16. Hunter CJ. The correlation of facial growth with body height and skeletal maturation at adolescence. The Angle orthodontist. 1966;36:44-54.
17. Mito T, Sato K, Mitani H. Predicting mandibular growth potential with cervical vertebral bone age. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2003;124:173-7.
18. Nanda RS. The rates of growth of several facial components mea-

sured from serial cephalometric roentgenograms. American Journal of Orthodontics. 1955;41:658-73.

19. San Román P, Palma JC, Oteo MD, Nevado E. Skeletal maturation determined by cervical vertebrae development. The European Journal of Orthodontics. 2002;24:303-11.
20. Valizadeh S, Eil N, Ehsani S, Bakhshandeh H. Correlation between dental and cervical vertebral maturation in Iranian females. Iranian Journal of Radiology. 2013;10:1.
21. Proffit WR, FH, Sarver DM. Contemporary orthodontics. 4th ed. Canada: ELSEVIER. 2007.p.94-104.
22. Imanimoghdam M HF, Khalaji M, Esmaily H. Evaluation of the Correlation of Different Methods in Determining Skeletal Maturation Utilizing Cervical Vertebrae in Lateral Cephalogram. Journal of Mashhad Dental School. 2008;32:95-102.
23. Pancherz H, Szyska M. Analyse der Halswirbelkörper statt der Handknochen zur Bestimmung der skelettalen und somatischen Reife. Informationen aus Orthodontie & Kieferorthopädie. 2000;32:151-61.
24. Grave K, Townsend G. Cervical vertebral maturation as a predictor of the adolescent growth spurt. Australian orthodontic journal. 2003;19:25.
25. Krailassiri S, Anuwongnukroh N, Dechkunakorn S. Relationships between dental calcification stages and skeletal maturity indicators in Thai individuals. The Angle orthodontist. 2002;72:155-66.
26. Chertkow S, Fatti P. The relationship between tooth mineralization and early radiographic evidence of the ulnar sesamoid. The Angle orthodontist. 1979;49:282-8.
27. Baratloo A, Hosseini M, Negida A, El Ashal G. Part 1: simple definition and calculation of accuracy, sensitivity and specificity. EMERGENCY-An Academic Emergency Medicine Journal. 2015;3:48-9.
28. Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Diagnostic tests 4: likelihood ratios. Bmj. 2004;329:168-9.
29. Grimes DA, Schulz KF. Refining clinical diagnosis with likelihood ratios. The Lancet. 2005;365:1500-5.
30. McGee S. Simplifying likelihood ratios. Journal of general internal medicine. 2002;17:647-50.
31. Safari S, Baratloo A, Elfil M, Negida A. Evidence Based Emergency Medicine Part 2: Positive and negative predictive values of diagnostic tests. Emergency. 2015;3:87-8.
32. Perinetti G, Contardo L, Gabrieli P, Baccetti T, Di Lenarda R. Diagnostic performance of dental maturity for identification of skeletal maturation phase. European journal of orthodontics. 2012;34:487-92.
33. Perinetti G, Di Lenarda R, Contardo L. Diagnostic performance of combined canine and second molar maturity for identification of growth phase. Prog Orthod. 2013;14:1.

## Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the Vice Chancellor of Research of Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

## Ethics approval and Consent to participate

This study has been reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences in Yazd. The identifier for this study is IR.SSU.REC.1395.166.

## List of abbreviations

Demirjian Index (DI)  
Cervical vertebral maturation indicators (CVMI)  
likelihood ratios (LHRs)  
cervical vertebrae maturation method (CVM)  
Cervical stage (CS)

## Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exist.