

The characteristic polynomial of some anti-tridiagonal 2-Hankel matrices of even order

João Lita da Silva¹

Department of Mathematics and GeoBioTec
 Faculty of Sciences and Technology
 NOVA University of Lisbon
 Quinta da Torre, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal

Abstract

In this paper we derive the characteristic polynomial for a family of anti-tridiagonal 2-Hankel matrices of even order in terms of Chebyshev polynomials giving also a representation of its eigenvectors. An orthogonal diagonalization for these type of matrices having null northeast-to-southwest diagonal is also provided using prescribed eigenvalues.

Keywords: Anti-tridiagonal 2-Hankel matrix, eigenvalue, eigenvector, Chebyshev polynomials

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A18, 42C05

1 Introduction

The concept of an r -Toeplitz matrix was introduced by Gover and Barnett in the eighties (see Gover & Barnett, 1985) which established also many of its properties (see Gover & Barnett, 1985; Gover, 1989). They defined an r -Toeplitz matrix as an $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{A}_n such that $[\mathbf{A}_n]_{k+r, \ell+r} = [\mathbf{A}_n]_{k, \ell}$ for all $k, \ell = 1, 2, \dots, n-r$. Following this idea, we say that an $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{B}_n is an r -Hankel matrix if $[\mathbf{B}_n]_{k+r, \ell-r} = [\mathbf{B}_n]_{k, \ell}$ for every $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-r$ and $\ell = r+1, \dots, n$. Note that, when $r = 1$, the matrix \mathbf{B}_n becomes a Hankel matrix.

Let us point out that Hankel matrices appear not only in engineering problems of system and control theory (see Olshevsky & Stewart, 2001 and the references therein) but also in computational mathematics (see Bultheel & Van Barel, 1997, among others).

In this note, we shall consider a particular type of anti-tridiagonal 2-Hankel matrices of even order, concretely, real $2n \times 2n$ matrices of the form

$$\mathbf{H}_{2n} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & b_1 & c \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & a_2 & d & a_1 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & c & b_2 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_2 & d & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ b_1 & c & b_2 & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ d & a_1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.1)$$

with $cd = 0$. It is our goal to obtain an explicit expression for the characteristic polynomial of \mathbf{H}_{2n} as well

as a representation of its eigenvectors for eigenvalues given *a priori*. As a consequence, sufficient conditions are announced to get an orthogonal diagonalization of anti-tridiagonal 2-Hankel matrices of even order having null northeast-to-southwest diagonal. We emphasize that, in general, \mathbf{H}_{2n} is not a persymmetric matrix which makes some recent approaches concerning this issue unfeasible (see Akbulak, da Fonseca & Yilmaz, 2013 or Wu, 2010). Therefore, our results emerge as a complement for these and other papers about spectral properties of anti-tridiagonal matrices.

2 Main results

For any integer $p \geq -1$, we shall denote by $U_p(x)$ the p th degree Chebyshev polynomial of second kind

$$U_p(x) = \frac{\sin[(p+1) \arccos x]}{\sin(\arccos x)}, \quad -1 < x < 1,$$

with $U_p(\pm 1) = (\pm 1)^p (p+1)$ (see Mason & Handscomb, 2003). This expression as a sum of powers of x can, of course, be evaluated for any x . The symbols $[x]$ and \otimes will be used to indicate the largest integer not greater than x and the Kronecker product, respectively. The Euclidean norm will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|$.

Let ξ, b_1, b_2 be real numbers such that $b_1 b_2 \neq 0$. Throughout, we shall consider the sequence of polynomials $\{Q_k(x, \xi)\}_{k \geq 0}$ defined by

¹E-mail address: jfls@fct.unl.pt; joao.lita@gmail.com

$$\mathbf{K}_n := \left[\frac{1-(-1)^k}{2} \delta_{k,\ell} \right]_{k,\ell}$$

$$Q_k(x, \xi) := \begin{cases} x(b_1 b_2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} U_{\frac{k-1}{2}} \left(\frac{x^2 - b_1^2 - b_2^2}{2b_1 b_2} \right), & k \text{ odd} \\ (b_1 b_2)^{\frac{k}{2}} U_{\frac{k}{2}} \left(\frac{x^2 - b_1^2 - b_2^2}{2b_1 b_2} \right) + \\ \xi^2 (b_1 b_2)^{\frac{k}{2}-1} U_{\frac{k}{2}-1} \left(\frac{x^2 - b_1^2 - b_2^2}{2b_1 b_2} \right), & k \text{ even} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

as well as the $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{Q}_n \left[b_{\frac{3+(-1)^n}{2}} \right]$ whose (k, ℓ) -entry is

$$\begin{cases} -b \frac{\lfloor \frac{\ell-k}{2} \rfloor}{3-(-1)^k} b \frac{\lfloor \frac{\ell-k+1}{2} \rfloor}{3+(-1)^k} \frac{Q_{k-1}(\lambda, b_2) Q_{n-\ell} \left[\lambda, b_{\frac{3+(-1)^n}{2}} \right]}{Q_n(\lambda, b_2)}, & k \leq \ell \\ -b \frac{\lfloor \frac{k-\ell}{2} \rfloor}{3-(-1)^\ell} b \frac{\lfloor \frac{k-\ell+1}{2} \rfloor}{3+(-1)^\ell} \frac{Q_{\ell-1}(\lambda, b_2) Q_{n-k} \left[\lambda, b_{\frac{3+(-1)^n}{2}} \right]}{Q_n(\lambda, b_2)}, & k > \ell \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

and the $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{S}_n \left[x, b_{\frac{3+(-1)^n}{2}}, b_2 \right]$ given by

$$\mathbf{Q}_n \left[b_{\frac{3+(-1)^n}{2}} \right] - \frac{b_{\frac{3+(-1)^n}{2}} Q_n(x, b_2)}{Q_n(x, b_2) - b_{\frac{3+(-1)^n}{2}} Q_{n-1}(x, b_2)} \cdot \mathbf{q}_n \left[b_{\frac{3+(-1)^n}{2}} \right] \mathbf{q}_n \left[b_{\frac{3+(-1)^n}{2}} \right]^\top \quad (2.3)$$

with $\mathbf{q}_n \left[b_{\frac{3+(-1)^n}{2}} \right]$ the last column of $\mathbf{Q}_n \left[b_{\frac{3+(-1)^n}{2}} \right]$. Further, we shall suppose the $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{T}_n(x, y)$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ x & 0 & y & 0 & & & \vdots \\ 0 & y & 0 & x & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & 0 & x & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & x \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & x & y \end{bmatrix}, & n \text{ even} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ x & 0 & y & 0 & & & \vdots \\ 0 & y & 0 & x & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & 0 & x & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & y \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & y & x \end{bmatrix}, & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Set

$$\mathbf{J}_n := [\delta_{k+\ell, n+1}]_{k,\ell},$$

$$\mathbf{E}_n := \left[\frac{1+(-1)^k}{2} \delta_{k,\ell} \right]_{k,\ell},$$

where δ is the Kronecker delta. For $ab \neq 0$, let $\mathbf{u}_n(x, a, b)$ be the n -dimensional vector whose the k th component is

$$\begin{cases} U_{\frac{k-1}{2}} \left(\frac{x^2 - a^2 - b^2}{2ab} \right) + \frac{b}{a} U_{\frac{k-3}{2}} \left(\frac{x^2 - a^2 - b^2}{2ab} \right), & k \text{ odd} \\ \frac{x}{a} U_{\frac{k}{2}-1} \left(\frac{x^2 - a^2 - b^2}{2ab} \right), & k \text{ even} \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

In what follows, we shall assume the anti-tridiagonal 2-Hankel matrix \mathbf{H}_{2n} defined in (1.1) with $d = 0$. Notwithstanding, similar results hold for any real number d and $c = 0$, *mutatis mutandis*.

Theorem 1 *Let n be a positive integer, c a real number, $\{Q_k(x, \xi)\}_{k \geq 0}$ the sequence of polynomials (2.1) and $\mathbf{T}_n(a_1, a_2)$, $\mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2)$ the matrices defined by (2.4) for nonzero reals a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 .*

(a) *If n is even, then the eigenvalues of \mathbf{H}_{2n} in (1.1) are precisely the zeros of*

$$f(x) = (a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot \left[U_{\frac{n}{2}} \left(\frac{x^2 - a_1^2 - a_2^2}{2a_1 a_2} \right) + \frac{a_2 - x}{a_1} U_{\frac{n}{2}-1} \left(\frac{x^2 - a_1^2 - a_2^2}{2a_1 a_2} \right) \right] \cdot \left[U_{\frac{n}{2}} \left(\frac{x^2 - b_1^2 - b_2^2}{2b_1 b_2} \right) + \frac{b_2 - x}{b_1} U_{\frac{n}{2}-1} \left(\frac{x^2 - b_1^2 - b_2^2}{2b_1 b_2} \right) \right] \quad (2.6)$$

Moreover, if λ is an eigenvalue of $\mathbf{T}_n(a_1, a_2)$, μ is an eigenvalue of $\mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2)$, $Q_n(\lambda, b_2) \neq b_2 Q_{n-1}(\lambda, b_2)$ and $\det[\mathbf{I}_n \otimes \mathbf{T}_n(a_1, a_2) - \mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2) \otimes \mathbf{I}_n] \neq 0$, then

$$\mathbf{P}_{2n}^\top \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_n(\lambda, a_1, a_2) \\ -c \mathbf{S}_n(\lambda, b_2, b_2) \mathbf{u}_n(\lambda, a_1, a_2) \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\mathbf{P}_{2n}^\top \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{u}_n(\mu, b_1, b_2) \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.8)$$

are eigenvectors of \mathbf{H}_{2n} associated to λ and μ , respectively, where \mathbf{P}_{2n} is the $2n \times 2n$ permutation matrix

$$\mathbf{P}_{2n} := \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{E}_n & \mathbf{J}_n \mathbf{E}_n \\ \hline \mathbf{K}_n & \mathbf{J}_n \mathbf{K}_n \end{array} \right] \quad (2.9)$$

$\mathbf{u}_n(\lambda, a_1, a_2)$, $\mathbf{u}_n(\mu, b_1, b_2)$ are the n -dimensional vectors defined by (2.5) and $\mathbf{S}_n(\lambda, b_2, b_2)$ is the $n \times n$ matrix given in (2.3).

(b) *If n is odd, then the eigenvalues of \mathbf{H}_{2n} in (1.1) are precisely the zeros of*

$$f(x) = (a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot \left[(x - a_1) U_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left(\frac{x^2 - a_1^2 - a_2^2}{2a_1 a_2} \right) - a_2 U_{\frac{n-3}{2}} \left(\frac{x^2 - a_1^2 - a_2^2}{2a_1 a_2} \right) \right] \cdot \left[(x - b_1) U_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left(\frac{x^2 - b_1^2 - b_2^2}{2b_1 b_2} \right) - b_2 U_{\frac{n-3}{2}} \left(\frac{x^2 - b_1^2 - b_2^2}{2b_1 b_2} \right) \right] \quad (2.10)$$

Furthermore, if λ is an eigenvalue of $\mathbf{T}_n(a_1, a_2)$, μ is an eigenvalue of $\mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2)$, $Q_n(\lambda, b_2) \neq b_1 Q_{n-1}(\lambda, b_2)$ and $\det[\mathbf{I}_n \otimes \mathbf{T}_n(a_1, a_2) - \mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2) \otimes \mathbf{I}_n] \neq 0$, then

$$\mathbf{P}_{2n}^\top \begin{bmatrix} -c \mathbf{S}_n(\lambda, b_1, b_2) \mathbf{u}_n(\lambda, a_1, a_2) \\ \mathbf{u}_n(\lambda, a_1, a_2) \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\mathbf{P}_{2n}^\top \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_n(\mu, b_1, b_2) \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.12)$$

are eigenvectors of \mathbf{H}_{2n} associated to λ and μ , respectively, where \mathbf{P}_{2n} is the $2n \times 2n$ permutation matrix

$$\mathbf{P}_{2n} := \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{K}_n & \mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{J}_n \\ \hline \mathbf{E}_n & \mathbf{K}_n \mathbf{J}_n \end{array} \right] \quad (2.13)$$

$\mathbf{u}_n(\lambda, a_1, a_2)$, $\mathbf{u}_n(\mu, b_1, b_2)$ are the n -dimensional vectors defined by (2.5) and $\mathbf{S}_n(\lambda, b_1, b_2)$ is the $n \times n$ matrix given in (2.3).

Remark It is worthy to note that by taking $c = 0$ and $a_2 = b_1$, $a_1 = b_2$ in (2.6) or (2.10), we recover the expressions obtained in section 4 of da Fonseca, 2018 for the matrices of even order analysed therein.

The previous result leads us to an orthogonal diagonalization for anti-tridiagonal 2-Hankel matrices (1.1) with null northeast-to-southwest diagonal, i.e. for matrices of the form

$$\mathbf{H}_{2n}^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & b_1 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & a_2 & 0 & a_1 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & b_2 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ b_1 & 0 & b_2 & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & a_1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.14)$$

Put

$$\mathbf{V}_n := \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{\mathbf{u}_n(\lambda_1, a_1, a_2)}{\|\mathbf{u}_n(\lambda_1, a_1, a_2)\|} \quad \dots \quad \frac{\mathbf{u}_n(\lambda_n, a_1, a_2)}{\|\mathbf{u}_n(\lambda_n, a_1, a_2)\|} \\ \mathbf{u}_n(\mu_1, b_1, b_2) \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{u}_n(\mu_n, b_1, b_2) \end{array} \right] \quad (2.15)$$

where $\mathbf{u}_n(\lambda_k, a_1, a_2)$ and $\mathbf{u}_n(\mu_k, b_1, b_2)$ are the n -dimensional vectors whose the k th components are defined by (2.5).

Corollary 1 Let n be a positive integer, a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 nonzero real numbers, \mathbf{H}_{2n}^* the $2n \times 2n$ matrix (2.14), $\mathbf{T}_n(a_1, a_2)$ and $\mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2)$ matrices defined by (2.4) having eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and μ_1, \dots, μ_n , respectively. Suppose that $\det[\mathbf{I}_n \otimes \mathbf{T}_n(a_1, a_2) - \mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2) \otimes \mathbf{I}_n] \neq 0$ and the sequence of polynomials $\{Q_k(x, \xi)\}_{k \geq 0}$ given by (2.1) satisfies $Q_n(\lambda_k, b_2) \neq b_{\frac{3+(-1)^n}{2}} Q_{n-1}(\lambda_k, b_2)$ for each $k = 1, \dots, n$.

(a) If n is even, then

$$\mathbf{H}_{2n}^* = \mathbf{U}_{2n} \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \mathbf{U}_{2n}^\top, \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$\mathbf{U}_{2n} = \mathbf{P}_{2n}^\top \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{V}_n & \mathbf{O} \\ \hline \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{W}_n \end{array} \right], \quad (2.17)$$

\mathbf{P}_{2n} is the permutation matrix (2.6) and $\mathbf{V}_n, \mathbf{W}_n$ are the $n \times n$ matrices in (2.15).

(b) If n is odd, then

$$\mathbf{H}_{2n}^* = \mathbf{U}_{2n} \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \mathbf{U}_{2n}^\top \quad (2.18)$$

where

$$\mathbf{U}_{2n} = \mathbf{P}_{2n}^\top \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{W}_n \\ \hline \mathbf{V}_n & \mathbf{O} \end{array} \right],$$

\mathbf{P}_{2n} is the permutation matrix (2.13) and $\mathbf{V}_n, \mathbf{W}_n$ are the $n \times n$ matrices in (2.15).

Remark More generally, Theorem 1 also leads to an eigendecomposition for \mathbf{H}_{2n} in (1.1) with $d = 0$, taking eigenvector matrices formed by the column vectors (2.7), (2.8) or (2.11), (2.12) according as n is even or odd, respectively.

3 Lemmata and proofs

In order to prove Theorem 1, we will need some auxiliary results. The first one is well-known in the literature (see Akbulak, da Fonseca & Yilmaz, 2013) and locates the eigenvalues of tridiagonal matrices having the form (2.4). Indeed, the characteristic polynomial of $\mathbf{T}_n(a, b)$ is

$$(ab)^{\frac{n}{2}} \left[U_{\frac{n}{2}} \left(\frac{x^2 - a^2 - b^2}{2ab} \right) + \frac{b-x}{a} U_{\frac{n}{2}-1} \left(\frac{x^2 - a^2 - b^2}{2ab} \right) \right]$$

when n is even and

$$(ab)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left[(x-a) U_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left(\frac{x^2 - a^2 - b^2}{2ab} \right) - b U_{\frac{n-3}{2}} \left(\frac{x^2 - a^2 - b^2}{2ab} \right) \right]$$

whenever n is odd. Next, we shall provide a representation of its eigenvectors.

Lemma 1 Let n be a positive integer and $\mathbf{T}_n(a, b)$ the $n \times n$ matrix (2.4) with a, b nonzero reals. If λ is an eigenvalue of $\mathbf{T}_n(a, b)$, then $\mathbf{u}_n(\lambda, a, b)$ given in (2.5) is an eigenvector of $\mathbf{T}_n(a, b)$ associated to λ .

Proof. Suppose a positive integer n and reals a, b such that $a \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$. Consider the three-term recurrence relation,

$$\begin{cases} P_{-1}(x) \equiv 0, \\ P_0(x) \equiv 1, \\ P_k(x) = \frac{x - \beta_k}{\alpha_k} P_{k-1}(x) - \frac{\gamma_{k-1}}{\alpha_k} P_{k-2}(x), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n \end{cases}$$

with $\gamma_0 = \alpha_n = 1$,

$$\alpha_k = \gamma_k = \begin{cases} a, & k \text{ odd} \\ b, & k \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\beta_k = \begin{cases} 0, & k < n \\ b, & k = n \text{ and } n \text{ even} \\ a, & k = n \text{ and } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, $P_k(x)$ is expressed by

$$\begin{cases} U_{\frac{k}{2}} \left(\frac{x^2 - a^2 - b^2}{2ab} \right) + \frac{b}{a} U_{\frac{k}{2}-1} \left(\frac{x^2 - a^2 - b^2}{2ab} \right), & k \text{ even} \\ \frac{x}{a} U_{\frac{k-1}{2}} \left(\frac{x^2 - a^2 - b^2}{2ab} \right), & k \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

for each $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $[P_0(\lambda), P_1(\lambda), \dots, P_{n-1}(\lambda)]^\top$ is an eigenvector of $\mathbf{T}_n(a, b)$ associated to the eigenvalue λ (see da Fonseca, 2005). The thesis is established. \square

The following auxiliary statement is an explicit formula for the inverse of a sort of perturbed tridiagonal 2-Toeplitz matrices.

Lemma 2 *Let n be a positive integer, λ a real number, $\{Q_k(x, \xi)\}_{k \geq 0}$ the sequence of polynomials defined by (2.1) and $\mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2)$ the $n \times n$ matrix defined by (2.4) with nonzero reals b_1, b_2 . If $Q_n(\lambda, b_2) \neq b_{\frac{3+(-1)^n}{2}} Q_{n-1}(\lambda, b_2)$, then*

$$[\mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2) - \lambda \mathbf{I}_n]^{-1} = \mathbf{S}_n \left[\lambda, b_{\frac{3+(-1)^n}{2}}, b_2 \right] \quad (3.1)$$

where $\mathbf{S}_n \left[\lambda, b_{\frac{3+(-1)^n}{2}}, b_2 \right]$ is the $n \times n$ matrix given by (2.3).

Proof. Suppose a positive integer n and real numbers λ, b_1, b_2 such that $b_1 \neq 0, b_2 \neq 0$. Employing the Second Principle of Mathematical Induction on the variable n we can state that $\det[\mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2)] = (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} b_1^n$ which ensures the nonsingularity of $\mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2)$. Denoting by \mathbf{e}_n the n -dimensional vector $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$, the inverse of $\mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2) - \lambda \mathbf{I}_n - b_{\frac{3+(-1)^n}{2}} \mathbf{e}_n$ is the matrix $\mathbf{Q}_n \left[b_{\frac{3+(-1)^n}{2}} \right]$ in (2.2) (see Theorem 4.1 of da Fonseca & Petronilho, 2001) and the thesis is a direct consequence of the well-known Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Since both assertions can be proven in the same way, we only prove (a). Let n be an even positive integer. It is straightforward to see that

$$\mathbf{P}_{2n} \mathbf{H}_{2n} \mathbf{P}_{2n}^\top = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{T}_n(a_1, a_2) & \mathbf{O} \\ \hline c \mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2) \end{array} \right] \quad (3.2)$$

where \mathbf{P}_{2n} is the permutation matrix (2.9). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(t \mathbf{I}_{2n} - \mathbf{H}_{2n}) &= \\ \det[t \mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{T}_n(a_1, a_2)] \det[t \mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2)] \end{aligned}$$

and from Lemma 1 we obtain (2.6). Let λ be an eigenvalue of $\mathbf{T}_n(a_1, a_2)$. According to (3.3) we can rewrite the relation $(\mathbf{H}_{2n} - \lambda \mathbf{I}_{2n}) \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ as

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{T}_n(a_1, a_2) - \lambda \mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{O} \\ \hline c \mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2) - \lambda \mathbf{I}_n \end{array} \right] \mathbf{P}_{2n} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0},$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} &[\mathbf{T}_n(a_1, a_2) - \lambda \mathbf{I}_n] \mathbf{y}^{(1)} = \mathbf{0}, \\ &c \mathbf{y}^{(1)} + [\mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2) - \lambda \mathbf{I}_n] \mathbf{y}^{(2)} = \mathbf{0}, \\ &\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}^{(1)} \\ \mathbf{y}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{P}_{2n} \mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Since $\det[\mathbf{I}_n \otimes \mathbf{T}_n(a_1, a_2) - \mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2) \otimes \mathbf{I}_n] \neq 0$, the matrices $\mathbf{T}_n(a_1, a_2)$ and $\mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2)$ have no eigenvalues in common (see Laub, 2005, page 145) which implies $\det[\mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2) - \lambda \mathbf{I}_n] \neq 0$ and Lemma 1 ensures that the solution of (3.4) is

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{P}_{2n}^\top \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_n(\lambda, a_1, a_2) \\ -c [\mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2) - \lambda \mathbf{I}_n]^{-1} \mathbf{u}_n(\lambda, a_1, a_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{u}_n(\lambda, a_1, a_2)$ is given by (2.5). From Lemma 2,

$$[\mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2) - \lambda \mathbf{I}_n]^{-1} = \mathbf{S}_n(\lambda, b_2, b_2)$$

and (2.7) is an eigenvector of \mathbf{H}_{2n} associated to the eigenvalue λ . On the other hand, suppose that μ is an eigenvalue of $\mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2)$. Since $\mathbf{H}_{2n} \mathbf{x} = \mu \mathbf{x}$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &[\mathbf{T}_n(a_1, a_2) - \mu \mathbf{I}_n] \mathbf{y}^{(1)} = \mathbf{0}, \\ &c \mathbf{y}^{(1)} + [\mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2) - \mu \mathbf{I}_n] \mathbf{y}^{(2)} = \mathbf{0}, \\ &\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}^{(1)} \\ \mathbf{y}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{P}_{2n} \mathbf{x}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\det[\mathbf{T}_n(a_1, a_2) - \mu \mathbf{I}_n] \neq 0$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{P}_{2n}^\top \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{u}_n(\mu, b_1, b_2) \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{u}_n(\mu, b_1, b_2)$ is defined in (2.5). Therefore, (2.8) is an eigenvector of \mathbf{H}_{2n} associated to the eigenvalue μ . \square

Proof of Corollary 1. Consider an even positive integer n . From Lemma 1 and

$$\det[\mathbf{I}_n \otimes \mathbf{T}_n(a_1, a_2) - \mathbf{T}_n(b_1, b_2) \otimes \mathbf{I}_n] \neq 0$$

we can guarantee that all eigenvalues of \mathbf{H}_{2n}^* are distinct. Setting

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_n(\lambda_k) &:= \mathbf{u}_n(\lambda_k, a_1, a_2), \\ \mathbf{w}_n(\mu_k) &:= \mathbf{u}_n(\mu_k, b_1, b_2) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_n(\lambda_k) := \mathbf{P}_{2n}^\top \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_n(\lambda_k) \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_n(\mu_k) := \mathbf{P}_{2n}^\top \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{w}_n(\mu_k) \end{bmatrix}$$

it follows that

$$\left\{ \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_n(\lambda_1)}{\|\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_n(\lambda_1)\|}, \dots, \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_n(\lambda_n)}{\|\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_n(\lambda_n)\|}, \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_n(\mu_1)}{\|\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_n(\mu_1)\|}, \dots, \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_n(\mu_n)}{\|\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_n(\mu_n)\|} \right\} \quad (3.4)$$

is a complete set of orthogonal eigenvectors according to Theorem 1. Hence,

$$\mathbf{H}_{2n}^* = \mathbf{U}_{2n} \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \mathbf{U}_{2n}^{-1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}_{2n} &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_n(\lambda_1)}{\|\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_n(\lambda_1)\|} & \dots & \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_n(\lambda_n)}{\|\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_n(\lambda_n)\|} & \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_n(\mu_1)}{\|\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_n(\mu_1)\|} & \dots & \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_n(\mu_n)}{\|\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_n(\mu_n)\|} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{P}_{2n}^\top \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{v}_n(\lambda_1)}{\|\mathbf{v}_n(\lambda_1)\|} & \dots & \frac{\mathbf{v}_n(\lambda_n)}{\|\mathbf{v}_n(\lambda_n)\|} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} & \frac{\mathbf{w}_n(\mu_1)}{\|\mathbf{w}_n(\mu_1)\|} & \dots & \frac{\mathbf{w}_n(\mu_n)}{\|\mathbf{w}_n(\mu_n)\|} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

provided that \mathbf{P}_{2n}^\top is an orthogonal matrix. Since (3.4) is an orthonormal set, \mathbf{U}_{2n} is an orthogonal matrix and (2.16) is established. The proof of (b) is analogous and so will be omitted. \square

Acknowledgements

This work is a contribution to the Project UID/GEO/04035/2013, funded by FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, Portugal.

References

- Akbulak, M., da Fonseca, C.M. & Yilmaz, F. (2013)** The eigenvalues of a family of persymmetric anti-tridiagonal 2-Hankel matrices. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **225**:352–357.
- Bultheel, A. & Van Barel, M. (1997)** Linear algebra: rational approximation and orthogonal polynomials. *Studies in Computational Mathematics* 6, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- da Fonseca, C.M. & Petronilho, J. (2001)** Explicit inverses of some tridiagonal matrices. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, **325**:7–21.
- da Fonseca, C.M. (2005)** On the location of the eigenvalues of Jacobi matrices. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **19**: 1168–1174.
- da Fonseca, C.M. (2018)** The eigenvalues of some anti-tridiagonal Hankel matrices. *Kuwait Journal of Sciences*, **45**:1–6.
- Gover, M.J.C. & Barnett, S. (1985)** Inversion of Toeplitz matrices which are not strongly non-singular. *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*, **5**:101–110.
- Gover, M.J.C. & Barnett, S. (1985)** Characterisation and properties of r -Toeplitz matrices. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **123**:297–305.
- Gover, M.J.C. (1989)** The determination of companion matrices characterizing Toeplitz and r -Toeplitz matrices. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, **117**:81–92.
- Laub, A.J. (2005)** Matrix analysis for scientists & engineers. SIAM, Philadelphia.
- Mason, J.C. & Handscomb, D. (2003)** Chebyshev polynomials. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton.
- Olshevsky, V. & Stewart, M. (2001)** Stable factorization for Hankel and Hankel-like matrices. *Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications*, **8**:401–434.
- Wu, H. (2010)** On computing of arbitrary positive powers for one type of anti-tridiagonal matrices of even order. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **217**:2750–2756.