

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Virtual Community of Researchers and Prevention Experts: Approximating Drug Research and Practice Fields

Dear Editor:

This paper presents the key findings of a virtual community of addiction experts set up to share evidence-based programmes of prevention on novel psychoactive substance (NPS) use in Portugal. Using a theoretical framework that combines contributions from a virtual community of practice and learning in a virtual environment, with prevention science, this paper traces how members interact in order to improve their prevention intervention domains and approaches, and consider the political and practical implications.

Evidence-based preventive interventions in diverse contexts (family-, school-, work-, community-, environmental- and media-based) have reported considerable progress in the past four decades (Leadbeater, et al., 2018; Ostaszewski et al., 2018). It is now possible to identify prevention programs that ‘work’ and ‘how’ to conduct drug prevention (Leadbeater, et al., 2018; UNODC, 2015; EMCDDA, 2011). Programs have become more effective. Leadbeater et al. (2018, p. 853) notes that “prevention science researchers and practitioners are increasingly engaged in a wide range of activities and roles to promote evidence-based prevention practices in the community.”

The concept ‘community of practice’ is relatively new. According to Wenger-Trayner, et al. (2014) com-

munities of practice are formed by people who engage in a process of collective learning in a shared domain of human endeavour over time. Their nature is informal and they all have the following three elements (Wenger-Trayner, et al. 2014):

Domain: As membership implies a commitment and therefore a shared competence that distinguishes members from others.

Community: In pursuing their interest in their domain, members engage in joint activities and discussions, help each other, and share information. They build relationships that enable them to learn from each other. Although members of a community of practice do not necessarily work together on a daily basis, these interactions are essential though they often work alone.

Practice: members of a community of practice are practitioners. They develop a shared repertoire of resources: experiences, stories, tools, and ways of addressing recurring problems. This takes time and sustained interaction and results in a shared practice.

Members of communities of practice may not share a specific location. They can collaborate online. In such a setting they form a “virtual community of practice”. Virtual communities of practice use technology and virtual environments to develop their activities that can be as diverse as problem solving, sharing information and experience, reusing assets, coordination and strategy, building an argument, growing confidence, discussing options and developments, mapping knowledge and identifying gaps (Wenger-Trayner, et al. 2014).

Within contemporary societies, professionals involved in preventative interventions face increasing demands and challenges based on knowledge, networking and technology. The lack of specialized personnel working in drug prevention programmes has been observed by recent developments as well as a shift in healthcare toward involving interdisciplinary practices. In Portugal, where this study was conducted, Portuguese

experts working in drug prevention programmes also come from several scientific fields (such as psychology, sociology, social work, education, community nursing) but yet have little specific training in prevention science (Henriques, Silva & Hsu, 2018). As a consequence, there is a distinct need for opportunity for these professionals to share spaces for knowledge, experiences, doubts, achievements, and good practices with each other.

In the scope of a transnational and interdisciplinary EU funded research project, targeting new psychoactive substances (NPS) undertaken by researchers from different scientific disciplines in six EU countries – Germany, Hungary, Ireland, the Netherlands, Poland and Portugal, there were expert consultations in each country and training sessions provided to those who work directly or indirectly with drug and addiction programmes in prevention, treatment or harm reduction (Benschop, et al. 2017). The umbrella term NPS describes the multiple compounds marketed as legally ambiguous alternatives to conventional illicit drugs (Van Hout et al., 2018).

Within this project, and in response to the identified need by Portuguese experts to support shared learning, we designed an online workshop based on the sharing of information and prevention practices targeting the use of NPS. The workshop shared the project deliverables and arising new evidence about user demographic characteristics, prevalence and patterns of use of illicit drugs, prevalence and patterns of NPS use, and reported health and social problems associated with use of NPS (Benschop et al., 2017; Van Hout et al. 2018), and was also initiated to develop a virtual community of practice (Wenger-Trayner, et al., 2014).

The EMCDDA recognises that “Professional training is vital for the successful introduction of prevention approaches” (EMCDDA, 2018, p. 61). In Europe – and in Portugal – the opportunities for formal and informal training in prevention science for those working in the field, however, are limited. Given the timely nature of the NPS phenomenon, it is vitally important for professionals to share experiences and prevention tactics.

Due to these difficulties, the Portuguese team decided to offer the training workshop for Portuguese prevention experts online and it was undertaken within the remit of Universidade Aberta (UAb).

Established in 1988, Universidade Aberta is the single public distance education university in Portugal. Due to its mission, UAb uses comprehensively the most advanced technologies and methods of distance learning in its teaching activities. It delivers higher education for all, without geographical borders or physical barriers, awarding special attention to widening the outreach of the Portuguese language and culture throughout the Lusophony space (migrant communities and Portuguese speaking countries). In this context, UAb delivers all its programs in e-learning mode. UAb was one of the first fully online universities and became a European institution of reference in the area of advanced e-learning and online learning through the recognition of its exclusive Virtual Pedagogical Model®.

This is an interactive and collaboration-based model which supports the emergence of virtual communities of learning and practice (Moreira, Henriques, Goulão & Barros, 2017). Based on this innovation, the analysis of this initiative was focused on the hosting of an evidence-based discussion portal, with an online training workshop for Portuguese drug prevention experts who were interested or who had experience in NPS. The training sessions were hosted for those who work directly or indirectly with drug and addiction programmes in prevention, treatment or harm reduction and with law enforcement professionals. The online workshop integrated 16 Portuguese experts with the defined professional profile and spread by the national territory, continental and islands – Madeira and Azores (which make it impossible to design a face-to-face session).

Evaluation was conducted using a mixed-method approach which combined qualitative analysis of interactions and evaluation of the workshop with qualitative content analysis of feedback. Within this approach we quantified the amount of interactions between each par-

ticipant of the workshop and the answers to the evaluation questionnaire filled in by the participants. We also evaluated the initiative qualitatively by documenting the most relevant questions for the prevention experts; how they related with each other; at what level and when they shared their own experiences and best practices around NPS and the complexities in prevention of NPS use, and related health and social harms.

Participants have a background of a variety of disciplinary fields, notably, clinical psychology, social work and nursing. Recognition of the value of experiential knowledge and skills opened up opportunities to enter the workforce and build careers in service provision. In this workshop, the chosen participants matched “experiential experts” in the phenomenon; those who had a particular in-depth knowledge about it and who could maximize the information we intended to collect. These participants, as previously outlined, were the professionals and experts working directly or indirectly with drug or addiction programmes in prevention, treatment or harm reduction.

Interactions between participants and the research team were not as intense. Researchers were most active at the beginning of the workshop and less present as the programme was developing and participants began to interact and share experiences with each other. Not all of the selected prevention experts or experiential experts had active interactions. Low interaction levels in online learning environments can have several causes. Some studies stress it can be a pitfall when taking for granted that participants will socially interact simply because the online asynchronous environment makes it possible. The initiative emphasizes that we should consider the social (psychological) dimension of the desired social interaction. In this workshop, while participants were invited to participate and had no financial costs associated with their participation, they were also unfamiliar with such virtual collaboration, and in general did not know each other.

Despite the relatively low level of participation, we observed among those who did participate some very rich discussion while sharing experiences and problematizing practices. In this way, the initiative brings together the autonomous regions' specific realities about NPS and drug prevention or harm reduction practices. Some of the questions discussed within the workshop by participants were inspired by the expert consultations in each country (and Portugal) in the NPS-transnational project. These were as follows: What are best practices in NPS prevention regarding supply reduction and demand reduction? What role does the legality or illegality of NPS play in procurement and use? (e.g. deterrence) Do users apply strategies to avoid detection or arrest?

At the end of the online workshop, the participants were invited to fill in an evaluation form. The first four questions used a five point Likert scale for the participants to locate their opinion about the relevance of data and how understandable was its presentation: Did you learn something new about NPS use among different groups of NPS users in your country during this training? Were the data presented in a clear manner? Only 5 participants completed the evaluation form, but the results were located in the most positive extreme of the scale; between 4 and 5 for all items.

The evaluation also had two open questions; one asking about the adequacy of the online workshop format and dynamics, and the last one asking for suggestions or further comments. Although most of the respondents considered the workshop design to be suitable and useful, there were some others who highlighted the fragilities in terms of weak interaction and active participation in the debates. One of the participants suggested evaluating the debates in order to increase the participation in the discussion and the consistency of the online presence of participants. We consider this proposal more suitable for a training course than for a workshop such as this one in analysis.

The participants' perspectives and feedback were the basis of this evaluation following a model used in

other studies. In all these studies, the analytical results strongly support the appropriateness of the proposed model in evaluating the virtual environment through participants' satisfaction. Most of these evaluation models are addressed to courses with learning and teaching purposes. It was not the nature of the online workshop in analysis, which aimed to be a response to the identified need by Portuguese experts, to support shared learning and experience.

Interaction between participants and customization of the workshop's virtual environment are two strong aspects of the learning design, as pointed out in several studies. Much of this strength results from the UAb's platform and pedagogical model.

Within the workshop about NPS and best practices on prevention, the group of experts identified a series of features that are useful to the drug prevention community to further develop its' approach and prevention practice targeting NPS. Their shared interests in addictions and prevention, underpin the domain and the practice, although they did not form a community due to low engagement in discussions based on sharing knowledge and experiences.

Wenger-Trayner, et al. (2014) highlights key success factors for communities of practice. Some of these are important where prevention practitioners are concerned: continuity, relevance to practice, leadership and support.

In conclusion, it is difficult to measure the impact of virtual communities of practice, especially in this case, as the online workshop constitutes a first approach to development of such a community within the realm of NPS prevention. The study yielded some encouraging signs of how such a community could operate to support each other and share knowledge, and is illustrative of the need for continued development of a virtual community of practice with prevention experts. This specific experiment evidences and underscores the lack of specialised personnel in the healthcare field which connect interdisciplinary practice and cooperation for drug addiction

prevention, treatment and harm reduction, particularly in NPS.

This approach has received little critical attention with respect to the professionals working in the prevention field, notwithstanding that the needs of professional training are emphasised at a Europe-wide level (EMCDDA, 2018), as the conclusions of European research (van-Hout et al. 2018) or in country-specific drug strategies.

Communities of practice offer an innovative layer in the implementation of evidence-based prevention programmes, supported by the establishment of registries, training initiatives and quality standards.

*Professor Susana Henriques
University Institute of Lisbon (ISCTE-IUL)
Centro de Investigação e Estudos de Sociologia (CIES-IUL) and Universidade Aberta
Lisbon, Portugal.
Email: Susana.Henriques@uab.pt*

*Professor Marie Claire Van Hout
Public Health Institute
Liverpool John Moore's University
Liverpool, United Kingdom
Email: m.c.vanhout@ljmu.ac.uk*

&

*Professor António Teixeira
Centre of Philosophy and
University of Lisbon
Universidade Aberta, Lisbon, Portugal
Email: Antonio.Teixeira@uab.pt*

REFERENCES

- Benschop, A., Bujalski, M., Dabrowska, K., Demetrovics, Z., Egger, D., Felinczi, K., Henriques, S., Kalo, Z., Kamphausen, G., Korf, D.J., Nabben, T., Silva, J. P., Van-Hout, M. C., Werse, B., Wells, J., Wiczorek, L., Wouters, M. (2017). *New Psychoactive Substances: transnational project on different user groups, user characteristics, extent and patterns of use, market dynamics, and best practices in prevention (NPS-transnational Project; HOME/2014/JDRU/AG/DRUG/7077). Final Report*. Amsterdam: Bongor Institute of Criminology, University of Amsterdam
- EMCDDA – European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (2018). *European Drug Report 2018: trends and developments*, Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
- EMCDDA – European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (2011). *European drug prevention quality standards*. Spain: EMCDDA
- Henriques, S., Silva, J. & Hsu, K. (2018). Overview on new psychoactive substances in Portugal, *CIES e-WP n° 217/2018*, Lisboa: CIES-IUL (ISSN: 1647-0893)
- Leadbeater, B. J., Dishion, T., Sandler, I., Bradshaw, C. P., Dodge, K., Gottfredson, D., Graham, P., Lindstrom Johnson, S., Malodonado-Molina, M., Mauricio, A., M., Phillips Smith, E. (2018). Ethical challenges in promoting the implementation of preventive interventions: Report of the SPR Task Force. *Prevention Science*, 19, 853-865. doi:10.1007/s11121-018-0912-7
- Moreira, J. A., Henriques, S., Goulão, M. F. & Barros, D. (2017). Digital Learning in Higher Education: A Training Course for Teaching Online – Universidade Aberta, Portugal, *Open Praxis*, 9(2), 253-263
- Ostaszewski, K., Feric, M., Foxcroft, D. R., Kosir, M., Kranzelic, V., Mihic, J., Novak, M., Pisarska,

A., Talic, S. (2018). European Prevention Workforce Competences and Training Needs: an Exploratory Study. *Adiktologie*, 18(1), 07–15

UNODC – United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (2015). *International standards on drug use prevention*. Vienna: UNODC

Van Hout, M. C., Benschop, A., Bujalski, M., Demetrovics, Z., Dąbrowska, K., Felvinczi, K., Hearne, E., Henriques, S., Kaló, Z., Kamphausen, G., Korf, D., Nabbe, T., Silva, J., Wiczorek, L., Werse, B. (2018). Health and social problems associated with recent Novel Psychoactive Substance (NPS) use amongst marginalised, nightlife and online users in six European countries. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. 16(2), pp480-495.

Wenger-Trayner, E., O’Creevy, M. F., Hutchinson, S., Kubiak, C., Wenger, B. (2014). *Learning in landscapes of practice*. United Kingdom: Routledge

Werse, B., Benschop, A., Kamphausen, G., van Hout, M. C., Henriques, S., Silva, J. P., Dąbrowska, K., Wiczorek, L., Bujalski, M., Felvinczi, K., Korf, D. (2018). Sharing, Group-Buying, Social Supply, Offline and Online Dealers: how Users in a Sample from Six European Countries Procure New Psychoactive Substances (NPS). *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. doi:10.1007/s11469-018-0043-1

Copyright of Journal of Alcohol & Drug Education is the property of American Alcohol & Drug Information Foundation and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.