

**HHS PUBLIC ACCESS**

Author manuscript

Inorg Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 August 07.

Published in final edited form as:

Inorg Chem. 2019 March 18; 58(6): 3606–3615. doi:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.8b02160.**Preparation, Characterization, and Electrochemical Activation of a Model [Cp*Rh] Hydride****Emily A. Boyd, Davide Lionetti, Wade C. Henke, Victor W. Day, James D. Blakemore**

Department of Chemistry, University of Kansas, 1251 Wescoe Hall Drive, Lawrence, KS 66045, USA.

Abstract

Monomeric half-sandwich rhodium hydride complexes are often proposed as intermediates in catalytic cycles, but relatively few such compounds have been isolated and studied, limiting understanding of their properties. Here, we report preparation and isolation of a monomeric rhodium(III) hydride complex bearing the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl (Cp*) and bis(diphenylphosphino)benzene (dppb) ligands. The hydride complex is formed rapidly upon addition of weak acid to a reduced precursor complex, Cp*Rh(dppb). Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data for the [Cp*Rh] hydride, which were previously unavailable for this class of compounds, provide evidence of the direct Rh–H interaction. Complementary infrared spectra show the Rh–H stretching frequency at 1986 cm⁻¹. In contrast to results with other [Cp*Rh] complexes bearing diimine ligands, treatment of the isolated hydride with strong acid does not result in H₂ evolution. Electrochemical studies reveal that the hydride complex can be reduced only at very negative potentials (ca. -2.5 V vs. ferrocenium/ferrocene), resulting in Rh–H bond cleavage and H₂ generation. These results are discussed in the context of catalytic H₂ generation, and development of design rules for improved catalysts bearing the [Cp*] ligand.

Graphical Abstract


blakemore@ku.edu.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

The following files are available free of charge.

Spectroscopic characterization, electrochemical data, and crystallographic data (PDF)

Cartesian coordinates from crystallography data (XYZ)



Reduction and reactivity of a [Cp*Rh] hydride

Introduction

Metal hydride complexes are important species in organometallic chemistry.¹ They are key reaction intermediates in many transformations, including hydrogenation of organic molecules, olefin polymerization, and the water-gas shift reaction.² They also attract attention in the area of sustainable catalysis, as they are often involved in metal-mediated production of H₂ from water and reduction of CO₂ to more useful chemicals.³ In these catalytic cycles, however, metal hydrides are often not isolated or even detected directly—rather, their proposed involvement as intermediates is inferred from the observed reactivity.

One such catalytic transformation that has recently attracted significant attention is the chemical or electrochemical generation of H₂ from proton sources that is mediated by [Cp*Rh] complexes (Cp* = pentamethylcyclopentadienyl) supported by bidentate chelating ligands such as 2,2'-bipyridyl and its substituted derivatives. Originally described by Grätzel and K lle in 1987, this catalyst system is notable for both its ease of preparation from common ligands and readily accessible metal precursors and the stability of the isolated rhodium(III) precatalysts.⁴ In cases where catalysis is driven electrochemically, two-electron reduction of the rhodium(III) species in the presence of suitably strong acids (e.g., anilinium triflate, p*K*_a = 10.6 in CH₃CN⁵) results in quantitative formation of H₂ and regeneration of the rhodium(III) complex.⁶ Because of its high efficiency and stability, this catalyst has been deployed in a variety of applications, including attachment to electrode surfaces.^{7,8,9}

The mechanism of H₂ generation with this catalyst system was originally proposed to involve two-electron reduction of the precatalyst to generate a rhodium(I) complex that is subsequently protonated to form a [Cp*Rh] hydride species. This hydride complex would then undergo protonolysis, thereby generating H₂.¹⁰ The same hydride intermediate has also been proposed to be involved in generation of NADH from NAD⁺ in related work on electrochemically-driven enzymes.^{11,12} Signals consistent with the presence of a hydride under stringent reaction conditions (large excess of sodium formate, Na⁺[HCOO]⁻, as hydride source) have been observed by proton nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H NMR) in the case of a catalyst supported by 6,6'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridyl.¹³ The analogous [Cp*Ir]

hydride is well known, and has even been crystallographically characterized, lending credence to the possibility of the involvement of an analogous species in the chemistry of [Cp*Rh] complexes.¹⁴ Nonetheless, despite the proposed involvement of this hydride complex in a number of catalytic mechanisms, neither isolation nor complete *in situ* characterization of this compound has been reported; thus, the involvement of alternate formulations of this protonated species in catalysis cannot be ruled out.

Interest in the [Cp*Rh] systems has recently been refreshed by reports of the generation of η^4 -pentamethylcyclopentadiene (Cp*H) complexes under several conditions relevant to H₂ evolution catalysis. Miller and coworkers reported that addition of strong acid (HCl, pK_a < 10.30 in CH₃CN¹⁵) to Cp*Rh(bpy) results in generation of bound [Cp*H];¹⁶ we have found that the weak acid triethylammonium (pK_a = 18.8 in CH₃CN⁵) can be exploited to cleanly generate complexes bearing [Cp*H] that can subsequently be isolated and stored in pure form on preparative scale (Scheme 1).^{17,18} Because of the exclusively *endo* disposition (inward, toward the metal center) of the special ring proton of the [Cp*H] ligand, involvement of Rh in the protonation of the [Cp*] ligand (e.g. as the initial site of protonation) is likely, especially in light of Miller's observation of hydride formation at low temperature followed by [Cp*H] formation upon warming.¹⁶ Hydrogen is quantitatively evolved from this formally rhodium(I) complex bearing [Cp*H] by addition of strong acid, leading to rearomatization of the [Cp*] motif, and suggesting that the protonated ligand complex is not an off-cycle intermediate but rather a species that could be active for catalysis. This notion is supported by a recent computational study, which highlighted the possible protonation pathways in this chemistry and indicated the [Cp*H] species as a lower energy form of the protonated compound vs. the [Rh-H] complex.¹⁹

As complexes bearing cyclopentadienyl-type ligands are useful in a variety of catalytic applications,²⁰ the observation of this reversible [Cp*]-centered protonation is of interest for the development of new reactivity manifolds.^{21,22} For example, Peters and co-workers have observed ligand-centered protonation of decamethylcobaltocene under conditions relevant to N₂ reduction.²³ The protonated [Cp*] complexes formed in these systems are tautomers of more conventional metal hydrides. Thus, study of isolable [Cp*Rh] hydrides could provide further insights into the chemistry of complexes in this broad family.

Upon investigating this line of research, we were encouraged by literature reports showing that [Cp*Rh] hydride complexes can be stabilized by use of phosphine ligands. Early work from Klingert and Werner showed that treatment of Cp*Rh(PMe₃)₂ with NH₄PF₆ affords [Cp*Rh(PMe₃)₂H]⁺.²⁴ Faller and co-workers later found that an analogous monohydride is sufficiently stable for purification by column chromatography.²⁵ This finding is complemented by reports of crystallographic characterization of rhodium hydride compounds stabilized by phosphine ligands but not ligated by [Cp*],²⁶ as well as a more recent report of a crystallographically characterized [Cp*Fe^{II}] complex stabilized by phosphine ligands.²⁷ In another key study of interest here, Faraone and co-workers demonstrated preparation of a diphosphine-ligated [CpRh] hydride, although no structural data were collected and the hydride ligand could not be detected by ¹H NMR.²⁸ Other work from Klingert and Werner includes studies of Rh complexes with bridging PMe₃ ligands.^{29,30} Jones has studied the properties of dihydride monophosphine [Cp*Rh] complexes.^{31,32}

Lluch, Lledós, and Heinekey investigated a related [Cp*Ir] dihydride with a chelating phosphine ligand, and showed that one [H] ligand was sufficiently hydridic to evolve H₂ by reaction with trace water.³³ However, we are unaware of prior studies of the electrochemical properties of [Cp*Rh] hydride complexes. Such work would complement studies examining reduction of analogous diphosphine [Cp*Rh] complexes without hydride ligands,¹⁰ work with analogous half-sandwich cobalt complexes,³⁴ and quite recent work from Collomb and co-workers that examined electrochemical H₂ generation pathways in rhodium poly(bipyridyl) complexes.³⁵

Here, we describe the isolation and study of a [Cp*Rh] monohydride supported by the bidentate chelate ligand bis(diphenyl)phosphino benzene (dppb). The new complex Cp*Rh(dppb) (**2**) reacts with one or more equivalents of acid to cleanly afford the hydride complex [Cp*Rh(dppb)H]⁺OTf⁻ (**3**). Electrochemical studies show that this compound is reduced at -2.32 V versus the ferrocenium/ferrocene couple (denoted hereafter Fc⁺⁰), giving rise to H₂. Treatment with excess strong acid, in the form of protonated dimethylformamide ([DMFH]⁺, pK_a = 6.1 in CH₃CN⁵), does not result in hydrogen evolution, even though evolution of hydrogen would be exothermic by 17 kcal/mol based on the electrochemical work. These results are discussed in the context of developing design rules for new catalysts built on the [Cp*Rh] platform.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of complexes

In order to prepare and study the properties of a monomeric [Cp*Rh] hydride, we selected the bidentate bis(diphenylphosphino)benzene ligand (dppb). This ligand is attractive because it typically binds to a single metal center, forming a relatively stable five-membered chelate ring. [Cp*Rh] complexes bearing bidentate chelating ligands are readily accessible from the versatile, dimeric [Cp*RhCl₂]₂ precursor.³⁶ Here, addition of 2 equiv. of dppb followed by 2 equiv. of AgPF₆ to 1 equiv. of [Cp*RhCl₂]₂ results in formation of the rhodium(III) complex **1** in high yield, along with precipitation of AgCl (Scheme 2).³⁷

Vapor diffusion of ether into a concentrated THF solution of **1** yielded orange crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD) studies. The geometry at the formally rhodium(III) center in **1** is pseudo-octahedral, with a first coordination sphere around the metal center containing [Cp*], the expected κ²-dppb, and a single bound chloride anion (Figure 1). The dppb is forced downward—in the structure of **1**, the angle between the plane containing the [Cp*] ligand and the plane containing Rh, P1, and P2 is 66°; in the analogous [Cp*Rh(bpy)Cl]⁺ complex,³⁸ the angle between the [Cp*] plane and the plane containing Rh and the bound nitrogen atoms is 59°. This suggests that the steric bulk of the dppb framework has a substantial effect on the geometry of the interaction between the bidentate ligand and the [Cp*Rh] motif. We therefore turned to electrochemical methods to establish whether this influence extends to the electronic properties of this species.

The first cathodic sweep of a cyclic voltammogram (CV) of **1** beginning near -0.3 V displays an initial reduction event centered at -0.85 V vs. Fc⁺⁰ (Figure 2, upper panel). The peak-to-peak separation of the cathodic and anodic waves is significant (ca. 300 mV),

suggesting a chemical reaction occurs upon reduction. Furthermore, synthetic work (vide infra) and comparison of peak areas in a solution containing a 1:2 mixture of **1** and ferrocene suggests that the reduction of **1** involves transfer of two electrons (Figure S17). This agrees well with prior work on [Cp*Rh] diimine complexes, which typically undergo $2e^-$ reduction near -1.0 V vs. $\text{Fc}^{+/0}$.^{6,10,39} Indeed, the reduction event for **1** displays the typical profile of an ECE mechanism, in which an initial electrochemical reduction is followed by a chemical reaction (here, a structural rearrangement upon loss of bound chloride) that generates a complex with a more positive reduction potential (i.e., $[\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}^{\text{II}}(\text{dppb})]^+$). Therefore, a second electron is immediately transferred following the first. A similar profile for this $2e^-$ reduction is observed in voltammetry across a range of scan rates (see Figure S18 in SI), suggesting that the coupled chemical reactions are reasonably fast on the timescale of voltammetry. The observed peak currents for both the reduction and oxidation events vary linearly with the square root of scan rate, confirming both the oxidized and reduced forms of **1** are soluble and freely diffusing (Figure S18). Notably, we observed no further reductions with **1** within the limit of our conditions, down to potentials around -2.5 V (Figure S19, lower panel, in SI).

In the second and subsequent cycles of voltammetry, a new reductive feature appears at slightly more positive potential than the dominant reduction wave (See Figures S18 and S19 in the SI). Specifically, a small new reductive wave appears with $E_{p,c} = -0.82$ V. The appearance of this wave upon multiple cycling is consistent with initial reduction of **1** (virtually all chloride-bound when dissolved in the electrolyte solution) by two electrons to form **2** (Scheme 2). Subsequent re-oxidation and ligand coordination can result in two possible products, chloride-bound **1** or the analogous solvento complex, $[\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}(\text{dppb})(\text{NCMe})]^{2+}$. The small, additional reductive wave thus corresponds to two-electron reduction of $[\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}(\text{dppb})(\text{NCMe})]^{2+}$ to form **2**. The shift in reduction potential for the solvento species is consistent with analogous observations in bpy-supported Co, Rh, and Ir systems.⁴⁰

This electrochemical response is reminiscent of that of [Cp*Rh] complexes bearing bpy or related 4,4'-disubstituted 2,2'-bipyridyl ligands.^{6,41} In the case of the parent bpy system, a single $2e^-$, ECE-type reduction event gives rise to a five-coordinate $18e^-$ species.⁴ The five-coordinate complex $\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}(\text{bpy})$ has been chemically prepared and structurally characterized, confirming its identity as the product of reduction of the rhodium(III) starting material. Notably, this formally rhodium(I) complex displays significant delocalization of electron density into the π -system of the bpy ligand, as judged by single-crystal XRD and UV-visible spectroscopy.⁴²

In accord with the two-electron reduction of **1** observed by cyclic voltammetry, treatment of a THF suspension of **1** with sodium amalgam ($E^\circ \approx -2.4$ V⁴³, 10 equiv.) results in generation of **2**. Over the course of the reaction, the solution darkens considerably in color. Following work-up and extraction with hexanes, diamagnetic **2** can be isolated in pure form. Characterization of **2** by ^1H NMR (Figure S6) indicates retention of both the [Cp*] and dppb ligands, as the 15 equivalent protons of the freely rotating [Cp*] ring show coupling to the two equivalent bound phosphorus atoms ($^3J_{\text{H,P}} = 1.8$ Hz), similar to data collected for **1** ($^3J_{\text{H,P}} = 3.4$ Hz). $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra support assignment of reduction of the rhodium metal center; $^1J_{\text{P,Rh}}$ increases from 131 Hz in **1** to 220 Hz in **2**, in accord with formation of a

more electron-rich rhodium center (Figure 3) which can engage in backdonation to the π -acidic phosphine ligands. The phosphine resonances also shift downfield (ca. 9 ppm) upon reduction. Crystals of this complex suitable for XRD studies could not be obtained due to the high solubility of this species in most organic solvents. However, as the ^1H NMR does not indicate the presence of additional ligands bound to the Rh center, we conclude that this complex, similar to $\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}(\text{bpy})$, is a five-coordinate, $18e^-$ complex.

Cyclic voltammetry data obtained with isolated **2** confirm that this compound is the product of electrochemical reduction of **1** (Figure 2, lower panel). Starting the initial anodic sweep from reducing potentials, we observe a sole oxidation wave ($E_{p,a} = -0.73$ V) coupled to a sole reduction wave ($E_{p,c} = -0.82$ V). This two-electron event is centered at -0.78 V vs. $\text{Fc}^{+/0}$, slightly more positive than the rhodium(III/I) couple measured for the chloride-containing starting material **1** (Figure 2, upper panel). This is consistent with generation of the more oxidizing $[\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}(\text{dppb})(\text{NCMe})]^{2+}$ upon $2e^-$ oxidation of **2**. Similarly, the reduction wave measured for this solvento complex generated from **2** *in situ* (Figure S18) is shifted positive from the reduction wave measured for chloride-bound **1**. Scan rate-dependent studies confirm that **2**, and its oxidation product $[\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}(\text{dppb})(\text{NCMe})]^{2+}$, are freely diffusing, soluble species (Figure S20).

With **2** in hand, we explored the possibility of generating a hydride species by treatment of **2** with acid. For the analogous $\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}(\text{bpy})$ system, treatment with triethylammonium bromide ($pK_a = 18.8$ in CH_3CN^5) results in generation of the isolable $(\text{Cp}^*\text{H})\text{Rh}(\text{bpy})\text{Br}$ complex.¹⁸ However, as **2** has a more positive reduction potential than $\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}(\text{bpy})$, we targeted the stronger acid anilinium triflate ($pK_a = 10.6$ in MeCN^5) for generation of a singly-protonated complex.⁴⁴ Indeed, treatment of **2** in MeCN with 1.0 equiv. of anilinium triflate results in a visible lightening of the solution without formation of a visible precipitate. Following work up, ^1H NMR reveals a distinctive new signal at -11.8 ppm consistent with formation of a metal hydride species (**3**; see Figure S10). This signal appears as a quartet (rather than the expected doublet of triplets or triplet of doublets) due to an apparent coincidental similarity in the H,Rh and H,P coupling constants (i.e., $^1J_{\text{H,Rh}} \approx ^2J_{\text{H,P}} \approx 29$ Hz). Importantly, whereas proton transfer to $[\text{Cp}^*]$ in $[(\text{Cp}^*\text{H})\text{Rh}(\text{bpy})]^+$ results in three inequivalent methyl resonances in its ^1H NMR spectrum (a doublet and two singlets are observed),^{16,17} a single resonance for the $[\text{Cp}^*]$ protons is present in data for **3** (Figure S10), excluding generation of any $[\text{Cp}^*\text{H}]$ species or free pentamethylcyclopentadiene. $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ spectra of **3** (see Figures 3 and S13) confirm formation of a new complex—a lone doublet at 67.9 ppm ($^1J_{\text{P,Rh}} = 138$ Hz) is observed, consistent with a rhodium(III) species. (See SI for additional NMR spectra related to characterization of **3**.) In accord with all these data, the infrared spectrum of **3** shows a distinctive $[\text{Rh}-\text{H}]$ stretch at 1986 cm^{-1} (see Figure S28 in SI).

Vapor diffusion of diethyl ether into a concentrated solution of **3** in THF yielded small light-yellow crystals suitable for XRD studies. The geometry at the formally rhodium(III) metal center is again pseudo-octahedral, with dppb retained in the κ^2 mode and $[\text{Cp}^*]$ bound in the typical η^5 mode. (Figure 4). $[\text{Cp}^*]$ is planar, indicating that it retains its aromatic nature and no $[\text{Cp}^*\text{H}]$ material has formed. Gratifyingly, the hydride ligand (H17) was located in the Fourier difference map, and its position was freely refined. H17 is bound to the rhodium center, confirming the generation of a metal hydride moiety.⁴⁵

Chemical Properties of the [Cp*Rh] Hydride

As discussed above, treatment of the isolated protonated species [(Cp*H)Rh(bpy)]⁺ with strong acid ([DMFH]⁺[OTf]⁻) results in rapid generation of H₂ concurrent with a color change indicative of consumption of the Rh(I) species and generation of [Cp*Rh(bpy)]²⁺.¹⁸ In the case of **3**, however, addition of [DMFH]⁺[OTf]⁻ does not lead to any detectable reactivity over 48 h as determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (Figure 5). Heating such a sample at 50°C overnight also does not lead to reactivity. The resistance of **3** to protonolysis (H₂ evolution by direct protonation) even by strong H⁺ sources highlights stability of this protonated species as compared to that of [(Cp*H)Rh(bpy)]⁺. Storage of the first H⁺ equivalent on the [Cp*] ring, evidently enabled by bpy but disfavored by dppb, appears to promote further reaction with H⁺ to generate H₂.

The stability of **3** towards H⁺ sources is reminiscent of that of analogous [Rh-CH₃] complexes supported by [Cp*] and bpy that we have recently studied.³⁹ These rhodium-methyl species can be dissolved in neat methanol (MeOH) without detectable protonolysis of the CH₃ ligand. However, these complexes are susceptible to reaction with stronger H⁺ sources (e.g., [DMFH]⁺[OTf]⁻) leading to generation of CH₄.⁴⁰ It has been noted that Rh-C bonds can be quite strong,⁴⁶ but prior observations suggest that M-H bonds tend to be stronger than their M-C analogues.⁴⁷

Calculation of the difference between the estimated thermodynamic potential required for H₂ evolution with [DMFH]⁺[OTf]⁻ ($E^{\circ'}(\text{H}^+/\text{H}_2) = -0.389 \text{ V}$)⁴³ and the reducing power of the Cp*Rh(dppb) complex ($E_{1/2}(\text{Rh}^{\text{III}}/\text{Rh}^{\text{I}}) = -0.78 \text{ V}$) reveals that H₂ evolution would be substantially exergonic, with a driving force of ca. 17 kcal mol⁻¹.^{44,48,49} Therefore, the inability of the dppb-supported system to generate H₂ even in the presence of excess [DMFH]⁺[OTf]⁻ could provide insight into the mechanism of H₂ evolution by other [Cp*Rh] species. Complexes containing diimine ligands are competent electrocatalysts for H₂ evolution; we have recently reported that introduction of substituents on the bpy framework affects the catalytic performance but leads to observation of [Cp*H] species as the primary product of protonation of the respective Rh(I) complexes, analogously to the parent bpy system.⁶

In terms of the potential required for charging of the catalyst with the required reducing equivalents, the Cp*Rh(diimine) complexes in our previous studies⁶ are more potent reducing agents ($E_{1/2}(\text{Rh}^{\text{III}}/\text{Rh}^{\text{I}}) = -0.97 \text{ V}$) than **2** ($E_{1/2}(\text{Rh}^{\text{III}}/\text{Rh}^{\text{I}}) = -0.78 \text{ V}$). Although this factor may contribute to the lack of catalysis with **2**, it should be noted that the overpotential for the H₂ evolution from **2** with [DMFH]⁺ as acid is essentially equivalent ($\eta \approx 0.38 \text{ V}$) to that for H₂ evolution from Cp*Rh(bpy) with anilinium as proton source. This observation suggests that the differences in the observed catalytic performance of these two systems could arise from changes in their chemical reactivity (e.g., protonation at the metal vs. on the Cp* ligand), rather than simply from their differing reducing power.

However, we have recently observed partial formation of Rh-H species with diimine-based complexes by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Specifically, we observe minor hydride signals *in situ* when we treat Rh(I) starting materials (e.g., Cp*Rh(^Rbpy), ^Rbpy = 4,4'-R₂-bpy, R = ^tBu, H, CF₃) with [C₆H₅NH₃]⁺ (anilinium, pK_a = 10.6 in MeCN⁵) as proton source; upfield

resonances around -9 ppm (see Figure S15 in SI) are indicative of Rh–H moieties; these signals are similar to those in prior reports of a hydride detected at low temperature¹⁶ and another under aqueous conditions.¹³ Observation of hydride species in the presence of anilinium/aniline suggests that an external proton transfer mediator may lower a kinetic barrier for interconversion of the two tautomers (i.e., $[(\text{Cp}^*\text{H})\text{Rh}^{\text{I}}]$ and $[\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}^{\text{III}}\text{H}]$). Our prior computational study found a low-lying transition state to transfer [H] from Rh to the Cp* ring (the $[\text{Cp}^*\text{H}]$ complex being the lower energy structure in comparison to the analogous $[\text{Rh}^{\text{III}}\text{H}]$), although involvement of H-bond donors and acceptors in metal-to-ring or ring-to-metal [H] transfer remains to be further explored.¹⁹ In the case of experiments involving anilinium/aniline, the presence of additional protons on the conjugate base (cf., Et_3N in prior modeling studies¹⁹) could facilitate simultaneous proton transfer to and from the base, complicating study of equilibria with this stronger acid. Further discernment of the thermochemical parameters and kinetic barriers involved in evolution of H_2 from $[\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}]$ complexes bearing diimine ligands thus remains of interest.

Comparisons between the speciation profile and subsequent reactivity of the $\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}(\text{R}^{\text{bpy}})$ systems and of the present dppb-supported complex may shed light upon the possible mechanisms of H_2 evolution in this family of catalysts. Upon protonation of Rh(I) complexes supported by $^{\text{tBu}}\text{bpy}$ and $^{\text{H}}\text{bpy}$, both competent H_2 evolution catalysts at their respective Rh(III/I) couples, hydride signals are observed in the presence of aniline/anilinium (Figure S15). On the other hand, protonation of the Rh(I) complex supported by CF_3bpy does not give rise to any detectable hydride species; this system is also a poor catalyst at the potential of its Rh(III/I) couple, and only displays appreciable catalysis upon further reduction.⁶ In the present system supported by the dppb ligand, hydride complex **3** is the only product of protonation of the corresponding Rh(I) species **2** (i.e., no (Cp^*H) -containing species are observed) and the system is not a catalyst for H_2 evolution. Notably, the two sets of complexes that display a single product upon protonation also display attenuated (or completely absent) catalysis at their respective Rh(III/I) couples. These observations are in good agreement with the results of computational studies on the mechanism of proton transfer in these systems, which implicated acid-dependent mechanisms of H_2 evolution via intermediates in which the first H^+ equivalent delivered “bridges” between the metal center and the $[\text{Cp}^*]$ ring.¹⁹


Within this paradigm, the ability of the protonated species to access “bridging” states between the $[(\text{Cp}^*\text{H})\text{Rh}]$ and $[\text{Rh}\text{H}]$ extremes appears to be key to promoting catalytic behavior. Excessive stabilization of either motif ($[(\text{Cp}^*\text{H})\text{Rh}]$ by CF_3bpy , $[\text{Rh}\text{H}]$ by dppb) introduces a barrier to further reactivity that cannot be overcome under the conditions studied. Thus, by tuning the relative (differential) basicity of the two sites that can be involved in proton transfer (the Rh center and the $[\text{Cp}^*]$ ring), the supporting bidentate ligands (bpy, dppb) play a key role in directing reactivity along distinct manifolds. The stark contrast between the robust catalysis of select $\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}(\text{diimine})$ complexes and the inertness of $\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}(\text{dppb})$ toward H_2 evolution suggests that a design rule for catalysis of small-molecule transformations with $[\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}]$ complexes could be a requirement for enabling access to both $[\text{Cp}^*\text{H}]$ intermediates and Rh–H complexes rather than strong stabilization of one of these forms over the other.

Electrochemical Properties of the [Cp*Rh] Hydride

Having observed that **3** does not react with even strong acids, we were interested in the electrochemical properties of this apparently stable compound. Initially, we moved to confirm that there is no electrocatalytic generation of H₂ driven by formation of the Rh^{III}-H species (**3**). For example, addition of [C₆H₅NH₃][OTf] to an electrochemical cell containing **1** results in loss of reversibility for the 2e⁻ wave observed by cyclic voltammetry (see SI, Figure S21). This is consistent with generation of the hydride species **3** in a chemical reaction between electrochemically generated **2** and H⁺. However, there is no catalytic enhancement above the electrode background in these studies at relevant potentials, even in the presence of excess acid (see SI for details). This result contrasts with our prior work on Cp*Rh(diimine) complexes under similar conditions.⁶

However, interrogation of isolated **3** suggests that further reduction of the hydride complex is possible. In the CV of isolated **3**, a cathodic wave is observed at -2.34 V vs. Fc⁺⁰, near the limit of polarization for our conditions (Figure 6). A small corresponding anodic wave is observed at -2.24 V, suggesting that the electrochemical reduction of **3** is followed by a chemical reaction (in an EC-type process). In accord with this theory, increasing the voltammetric scan rate (see SI, Figure S22) results in improved electrochemical reversibility, and suggests an approximate midpoint potential for 1e⁻ reduction of **3** near -2.29 V. The peak current for the reduction event varies linearly with the square root of scan rate, in accord with the soluble and freely diffusing nature of **3**. A new anodic wave, absent during the initial scan, appears at E_{p,c} = -0.73 V after these cathodic scans (Figure 6 and S19). The potential for this new process is virtually identical to that for oxidation of Rh(I) complex **2** to the corresponding solvento Rh(III) species (Figure 2, lower panel); this observation suggests that reduction of **3** leads to partial loss of the hydride ligand and concomitant regeneration of the non-protonated species **2**.

These results are analogous to the observation of a 1e⁻ reduction event (E_{p,c} = -1.45 V) in the CV of [(Cp*H)Rh(CF₃bpy)]²⁺ (CF₃bpy = 4,4'-di(trifluoromethyl)-2,2'-bipyridine).⁶ Reduction of this species can lead to generation of H₂ (0.5 equiv per Rh complex) and formation of Cp*Rh(CF₃bpy), consistent with net formal loss of a hydrogen atom (H•). An analogous reduction was observed in [(Cp*H)Rh(bpy)Br] (E_{p,c} = -1.73 V) and leads to a similar H₂ evolution pathway; chemical reactivity and bulk electrolysis experiments confirmed generation of Cp*Rh(bpy) and H₂ upon 1e⁻ reduction of [(Cp*H)Rh(bpy)Br].¹⁸ Thus, we propose that reduction of **3** leads to release of H₂ and regeneration of Rh(I) complex **2**, according to the following equation (eq. 1):



To test this hypothesis, we targeted both bulk electrolysis and chemical reduction experiments to determine the products of reduction of **3**. Controlled potential electrolysis of **3** was carried out at -2.23 V vs. Fc⁺⁰ (Figure S23) for 1.1 h; headspace analysis by gas chromatography (GC) revealed the presence of H₂ with a 58% Faradaic yield. The primary metal-containing product of the electrolysis was identified as **2** via UV-visible spectroscopy (see Figure S25 and further experimental information in SI for details). Similarly, treatment

of **3** with 1 equiv of sodium naphthalenide ($\text{Na}[\text{C}_8\text{H}_{10}]$, $E^\circ = -3.10 \text{ V}$)⁴² results in a color change from light yellow to dark red in seconds, suggesting generation of **2**. Headspace analysis by GC confirms generation of H_2 upon chemical reduction. Consistent with the electrochemical work, the primary metal-containing product of the reduction was identified as **2** via $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectroscopy (Figure S16).

Thus, despite the resistance of Rh–H complex **3** to protonolysis, generation of H_2 from this complex can be achieved via $1e^-$ reduction (Figure 7). This process is analogous to the catalytic H_2 evolution that can be induced by reduction of $[\text{Cp}^*\text{H}]$ complexes supported by bpy¹⁸ and CF_3bpy ,⁶ as well as H_2 evolution mechanisms that have been demonstrated for cobaloximes,⁵⁰ $[\text{Cp}^*\text{Ir}(\text{bpy})\text{H}]^+$,⁵¹ and poly(bipyridyl) rhodium hydrides.³⁵ However, we note that the modest Faradaic yield of H_2 measured following electrolysis of **3** contrasts with the near unity yield encountered in our work with the bpy and CF_3bpy complexes. We ascribe this diminished efficiency to side reactions that can occur in the case of chemical and electrochemical reduction of **3**.

The reductions of the rhodium complexes bearing $[\text{Cp}^*\text{H}]$ are centered on the bpy ligands, due to the low-lying, delocalized bpy π^* orbitals. As a result, these processes occur at substantially more positive potentials (-1.73 and -1.45 V , respectively) than the reduction measured for **3** (-2.34 V).⁵² Thus, we propose that the reduction of **3** is likely to be metal-centered, leading to generation of a reactive (transient) $\text{Rh}^{\text{II}}\text{--H}$ complex that could undergo Rh–H bond homolysis or protonolysis by an exogenous H^+ source. The notion of a transient Rh^{II} complex is consistent with the appearance of a small anodic wave ($E_{\text{p,a}} = -2.24 \text{ V}$) coupled to reduction of **3** (see Figure 6). For comparison, the free dppb ligand is reduced at a more negative potential,⁵³ while other metal complexes of dppb do not manifest ligand-centered reductions at relevant potentials.^{54,55} Our proposal is also consistent with electrochemical studies of the related $[\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}(\text{bpy})\text{Me}]^+$ complex which undergoes both quasi-reversible diimine-centered reduction ($E_{1/2} = -1.78 \text{ V}$) and metal-centered reduction ($E_{\text{p,c}} = -2.22 \text{ V}$), as demonstrated by chemical and spectroscopic studies.⁴⁰ And, although we have not investigated the mechanism of H_2 generation from this system further, we note that a bimolecular pathway leading to H_2 generation with **3** via bond homolysis of a transient $[\text{Rh}^{\text{II}}\text{--H}]$ species would be reminiscent of Wayland's generation of $[\text{Rh}^{\text{III}}\text{--H}]_2$ species by treatment of bimetallic $[\text{Rh}^{\text{II}}_2]$ complexes with H_2 .⁵⁶

Conclusions

The rhodium half-sandwich complex $\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}(\text{dppb})$ reacts with acid to form an isolable monohydride, $[\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}(\text{dppb})\text{H}]^+\text{OTf}^-$. There is no evidence of generation of coordinated pentamethylcyclopentadiene, $[\text{Cp}^*\text{H}]$, or free Cp^*H , under these conditions, in accord with formation of a Rh–H bond. This interaction is apparently quite stable, as the hydride complex is not protonated by the strong acid DMFH^+ to yield H_2 over 48 h of monitoring by NMR. However, the isolated hydride undergoes reduction at -2.34 V , leading to generation of H_2 and formation of $\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}(\text{dppb})$. Taken together, these studies suggest that $[\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}^{\text{III}}]$ hydride complexes can be remarkably stable species. Because of the observed formation of $[\text{Cp}^*\text{H}]$ upon protonation of analogous $[\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}]$ diimine complexes, a possible design rule for improved catalysis involving proton-driven transformations may be use of ligands that

enable ligand-centered protonation. Our ongoing work is examining use of this strategy to promote new reactivity with these robust and useful compounds.

Experimental Section

General Considerations

All manipulations were carried out in dry N₂-filled gloveboxes (Vacuum Atmospheres Co., Hawthorne, CA) or under N₂ atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques unless otherwise noted. All solvents were of commercial grade and dried over activated alumina using a PPT Glass Contour (Nashua, NH) solvent purification system prior to use, and were stored over molecular sieves. All chemicals were from major commercial suppliers and used as received after extensive drying. [Cp**RhCl*]₂ was prepared according to the literature procedure.³⁶ Deuterated NMR solvents were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories; CD₃CN was dried over CaH₂ and C₆D₆ was dried over sodium/benzophenone. ¹H, ¹³C, ¹⁹F, and ³¹P NMR spectra were collected on 400 or 500 MHz Bruker spectrometers and referenced to the residual protio-solvent signal⁵⁷ in the case of ¹H and ¹³C. Heteronuclear NMR spectra were referenced to the appropriate external standard following the recommended scale based on ratios of absolute frequencies (Ξ).^{58,59} ¹⁹F NMR spectra are reported relative to CCl₃F, and ³¹P NMR spectra are reported relative to H₃PO₄. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in units of ppm and coupling constants (*J*) are reported in Hz. Electronic absorption spectra were collected with an Ocean Optics Flame spectrometer, in a 1-cm pathlength quartz cuvette. Elemental analyses were performed by Midwest Microlab, Inc. (Indianapolis, IN).

Electrochemistry

Electrochemical experiments were carried out in a nitrogen-filled glovebox. 0.10 M tetra(n-butylammonium) hexafluorophosphate (Sigma-Aldrich; electrochemical grade) in acetonitrile served as the supporting electrolyte. Measurements were made with a Gamry Reference 600 Plus Potentiostat/Galvanostat using a standard three-electrode configuration. The working electrode was the basal plane of highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) (GraphiteStore.com, Buffalo Grove, Ill.; surface area: 0.09 cm²), the counter electrode was a platinum wire (Kurt J. Lesker, Jefferson Hills, PA; 99.99%, 0.5 mm diameter), and a silver wire immersed in electrolyte served as a pseudo-reference electrode (CH Instruments). The reference was separated from the working solution by a Vycor frit (Bioanalytical Systems, Inc.). Ferrocene (Sigma Aldrich; twice-sublimed) was added to the electrolyte solution at the conclusion of each experiment (~1 mM); the midpoint potential of the ferrocenium/ferrocene couple (denoted as Fc⁺⁰) served as an external standard for comparison of the recorded potentials. Concentrations of analyte for cyclic voltammetry were typically 1 mM.

Synthetic Procedures

Synthesis of 1.—To a suspension of [Cp**RhCl*]₂ in CH₂Cl₂ (0.0678 g, 0.109 mmol) were added dppb (0.1004 g, 0.224 mmol, 2.05 equiv) and AgPF₆ (0.0552 g, 0.219 mmol, 2 equiv) as CH₂Cl₂ solutions. The color of the reaction mixture rapidly changed from brick-red to orange, and a colorless precipitate formed. After 15 min, the suspension was filtered to remove the AgCl byproduct, and the volume of the filtrate was reduced to ~1 mL.

Addition of Et₂O (~20 mL) caused precipitation of a yellow solid, which was collected by filtration. Pure material was obtained via crystallization by vapor diffusion of Et₂O into a concentrated THF solution of the title compound (0.149 g, 78%). The same strategy was employed to obtain single-crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 7.82 (m, 4H, *H8*), 7.77 (m, 2H, *H12*), 7.72 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H, *H10*), 7.64 (m, 2H, *H13*), 7.61 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 4H, *H9*), 7.53 (t, *J* = 7.57 Hz, 2H, *H6*), 7.29 (td, *J* = 8.0, 1.8 Hz, 4H, *H5*), 6.91 (ddt, *J* = 9.5, 6.2, 1.4 Hz, 4H, *H4*), 1.56 (t, *J* = 3.4 Hz, 15H, *H1*) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 134.27 (t, *J* = 5.31 Hz, *C8*), 132.52 (t, *J* = 5.8 Hz, *C4*), 131.67 (m), 131.48 (m), 131.2 (m), 128.07 (t, *J* = 5.7 Hz, *C5*), 127.73 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, *C9*), 104.39 (dt, *J* = 5.6, 2.7 Hz, *C2*), 7.91 (m, *C1*) ppm. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CD₃CN) δ -73.77 (d, ¹*J*_{F,P} = 706 Hz) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 58.32 (d, ¹*J*_{P,Rh} = 129.9 Hz, dppb), -147.65 (sept, ¹*J*_{P,F} = 706 Hz) ppm. (See Figure S1 in SI showing the labeling scheme for the carbon and hydrogen atoms.) Electronic absorption spectrum (THF): 362 (7,500 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). Anal. Calcd. for C₄₀H₃₉ClF₆P₃Rh: C, 55.54; H, 4.55. Found: C, 54.69; H, 4.59. The low carbon value is attributed to association of water, which is consistent with the presence of water in the ¹H NMR spectrum of **1**.

Synthesis of 2.—A suspension of **1** in THF (0.1022 g, 0.118 mmol) was stirred over freshly prepared sodium-mercury amalgam (1% Na in Hg; 0.0273 g Na⁰, 1.18 mmol, 10 equiv) for 24 hours, during which time the yellow suspension became a dark red homogeneous solution. The mixture was filtered and the volatiles removed *in vacuo*. Extraction with Et₂O and removal of the volatiles *in vacuo* provides the title compound as a dark red solid (0.0690 g, 85%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 7.81 (m, 8H), 7.54 (m, 2H), 7.14 (t, *J* = 7.39 Hz, 8H, overlaps with solvent residual), 7.06 (t, *J* = 6.61 Hz, 4H), 6.82 (m, 2H), 1.79 (t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 15H, Cp*) ppm. ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 149.63 (td, *J* = 46.78, 3.46 Hz), 138.75 (t, *J* = 18.67 Hz), 133.37 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz), 130.27 (t, *J* = 9.1 Hz), 129.10, 128.75, 127.52 (obscured by solvent peak), 96.06 (m), 10.84 ppm. ³¹P NMR (162 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 76.63 (d, ¹*J*_{P,Rh} = 219.5 Hz) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 76.63 (d, ¹*J*_{P,Rh} = 220.0 Hz) ppm. Electronic absorption spectrum (THF): 393 (4,800), 478 (2,500 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹).

Synthesis of 3.—A solution of anilinium triflate in thawing MeCN (0.0272 g, 0.112 mmol, 1 equiv) was added dropwise to a solution of **2** in THF (0.0765 g, 0.112 mmol). The solution became light yellow immediately. Volatiles were removed *in vacuo*. Washing with hexanes, Et₂O, and toluene followed by extraction with THF and removal of volatiles yielded the title compound as a light-yellow solid (0.0812 g, 87%). Single-crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were obtained by vapor diffusion of Et₂O into a THF solution of the title compound. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 7.71–7.57 (m, 12H), 7.54–7.48 (m, 4H), 7.38 (td, *J* = 7.9, 1.9 Hz, 4H), 7.15 (ddt, *J* = 11.8, 8.0, 1.4 Hz, 4H), 1.58 (td, *J* = 2.9, 1.1 Hz, 15H), -11.78 (q, *J* = 29.2 Hz, 1H, hydride) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 132.38 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz), 131.98 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz), 131.18 (m), 130.99 (m), 130.77 (m), 130.48 (t, *J* = 9.2 Hz), 128.30 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 128.00 (t, *J* = 5.7 Hz), 101.57 (dt, *J* = 4.6, 2.4 Hz, Rh–C5(CH₃)₅), 8.16 (s, Rh–C5(CH₃)₅) ppm. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CD₃CN) δ -80.21 (s) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 67.12 (d, ¹*J*_{P,Rh} = 137.8 Hz). Electronic absorption spectrum (THF): 305 (15,900 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). Anal. Calcd. for C₄₁H₄₀O₃F₃P₂SRh: C, 59.00; H,

4.84. Found: C, 59.70; H, 4.94. The high carbon value is attributed to association of THF, which is consistent with the presence of THF in the ^1H NMR spectrum of **3**.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Justin Douglas and Sarah Neuenswander for assistance with NMR spectroscopy. This work was supported by the University of Kansas. E.A.B. acknowledges the Center for Undergraduate Research at the University of Kansas for support in the form of an Undergraduate Research Award. Support for NMR instrumentation was provided by NIH Shared Instrumentation Grants S10OD016360 and S10RR024664, and by an NSF MRI Grant CHE-1625923.

References

1. Kaesz HD; Saillant RB Hydride Complexes of the Transition Metals. *Chem. Rev* 1972, 72, 231–281.
2. Jordan AJ; Lalic G; Sadighi JP Coinage Metal Hydrides: Synthesis, Characterization, and Reactivity. *Chem. Rev* 2016, 116, 8318–8372. [PubMed: 27454444]
3. Rakowski Dubois M; Dubois DL Development of Molecular Electrocatalysts for CO_2 Reduction and H_2 Production/Oxidation. *Acc. Chem. Res* 2009, 42, 1974–1982. [PubMed: 19645445]
4. Kölle U; Grätzel M Rhodium(III) Complexes as Homogeneous Catalysts for the Photoreduction of Protons to Hydrogen. *Angew. Chem* 1987, 99, 572–574.
5. Muckerman JT; Skone JH; Ning M; Wasada-Tsutsui Y Toward the Accurate Calculation of pK_a Values in Water and Acetonitrile. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerg* 2013, 1827, 882–891.
6. Henke WC; Lionetti D; Moore WNG; Hopkins JA; Day VW; Blakemore JD Ligand Substituents Govern the Efficiency and Mechanistic Path of Hydrogen Production with $[\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}]$ Catalysts. *ChemSusChem* 2017, 10, 4589–4598. [PubMed: 29024563]
7. Cosnier S; Deronzier A; Vlachopoulos N Carbon/poly[pyrrole- $[(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{III})(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]^+$] Modified Electrodes; a Molecularly-Based Material for Hydrogen Evolution ($\text{bpy} = 2,2'$ -bipyridine) *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun* 1989, 1259–1261.
8. Caix C; Chardon-Noblat S; Deronzier A; Moutet J-C; Tingry S (Pentamethylcyclopentadienyl) (polypyridyl)rhodium and Iridium Complexes as Electrocatalysts for the Reduction of Protons to Dihydrogen and the Hydrogenation of Organics. *J. Organomet. Chem* 1997, 540, 105–111.
9. Blakemore JD; Gupta A; Warren JJ; Brunschwig BS; Gray HB Noncovalent Immobilization of Electrocatalysts on Carbon Electrodes for Fuel Production. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2013, 135, 18288–18291. [PubMed: 24245686]
10. Kölle U; Kang BS; Infelta P; Comte P; Grätzel M Electrochemical and Pulse-Radiolytic Reduction of (Pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)(polypyridyl)rhodium Complexes. *Chem. Ber* 1989, 122, 1869–1880.
11. Ruppert R; Herrmann S; Steckhan E Efficient Indirect Electrochemical in situ Regeneration of NADH: Electrochemically Driven Enzymatic Reduction of Pyruvate Catalyzed by D-LDH. *Tet. Lett* 1987, 28, 6583–6586.
12. Lo HC; Leiva C; Buriez O; Kerr JB; Olmstead MM; Fish RH Regioselective Reduction of NAD^+ Models, 1-Benzylnicotinamide Triflate and β -Nicotinamide Ribose-5'-methyl Phosphate, with in Situ Generated $[\text{CpRh}(\text{Bpy})\text{H}]^+$: Structure-Activity Relationships, Kinetics, and Mechanistic Aspects in the Formation of the 1,4-NADH Derivatives. *Inorg. Chem* 2001, 40, 6705–6716. [PubMed: 11735482]
13. Steckhan E; Herrmann S; Ruppert R; Dietz E; Frede M; Spika E Analytical Study of a Series of Substituted (2,2'-bipyridyl)(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)rhodium and -iridium Complexes with Regard to their Effectiveness as Redox Catalysts for the Indirect Electrochemical and Chemical Reduction of NAD(P)^+ . *Organometallics* 1991, 10, 1568–1577.

14. Abura T; Ogo S; Watanabe Y; Fukuzumi S Isolation and Crystal Structure of a Water-Soluble Iridium Hydride: A Robust and Highly Active Catalyst for Acid-Catalyzed Transfer Hydrogenations of Carbonyl Compounds in Acidic Media. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2003, 125, 4149–4154. [PubMed: 12670237]
15. Kütt S; Rodima T; Saame J; Raamat E; Mäemets V; Kaljurand I; Koppel IA; Garlyauskayte RY; Yagupolskii YL; Yagupolskii LM; Bernhardt E; Willner H; Leito IJ Equilibrium Acidities of Superacids. *Org. Chem* 2011, 76, 391–395.
16. Pitman CL; Finster ONL; Miller AJM Cyclopentadiene-Mediated Hydride Transfer from Rhodium Complexes. *Chem. Commun* 2016, 52, 9105–9108.
17. Quintana LMA; Johnson SI; Corona SL; Villatoro W; Goddard WA; Takase MK; VanderVelde DG; Winkler JR; Gray HB; Blakemore JD Proton-Hydride Tautomerism in Hydrogen Evolution Catalysis. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 2016, 113, 6409–6414.
18. Peng Y; Ramos-Garcés MV; Lionetti D; Blakemore JD Structural and Electrochemical Consequences of [Cp*] Ligand Protonation. *Inorg. Chem* 2017, 56, 10824–10831. [PubMed: 28832122]
19. Johnson SI; Gray HB; Blakemore JD; Goddard WA Role of Ligand Protonation in Dihydrogen Evolution from a Pentamethylcyclopentadienyl Rhodium Catalyst. *Inorg. Chem* 2017, 56, 11375–11386. [PubMed: 28862433]
20. Brintzinger H; Bercaw JE Bis(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)titanium(II). Isolation and Reactions with Hydrogen, Nitrogen, and Carbon Monoxide. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1971, 93, 2045–2046.
21. O WWN; Lough AJ; Morris RH Bifunctional Mechanism with Unconventional Intermediates for the Hydrogenation of Ketones Catalyzed by an Iridium(III) Complex Containing an N-heterocyclic Carbene with a Primary Amine Donor. *Organometallics* 2012, 31, 2152–2165.
22. Kefalidis CE; Perrin L; Burns CJ; Berg DJ; Maron L; Andersen RA Can a Pentamethylcyclopentadienyl Ligand Act as a Proton-Relay in F-Element Chemistry? Insights from a Joint Experimental/Theoretical Study. *Dalton Trans.* 2015, 44, 2575–2587. [PubMed: 25340677]
- 23 (a). Chalkley MJ; Del Castillo TJ; Matson BD; Roddy JP; Peters JC Catalytic N₂-to-NH₃ Conversion by Fe at Lower Driving Force: A Proposed Role for Metallocene-Mediated PCET. *ACS Cent. Sci* 2017, 3, 217–223. [PubMed: 28386599] (b) Chalkley MJ; Del Castillo TJ; Matson BD; Peters JC Fe-Mediated Nitrogen Fixation with a Metallocene Mediator: Exploring pK_a Effects and Demonstrating Electrocatalysis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2018, 140, 6122–6129. [PubMed: 29669205]
24. Klingert B; Werner H Basic Metals. XLII. Metal Basicity of the Complexes C₅Me₅Rh(PMe₃)₂, C₅Me₅Rh(C₂H₄)PMe₃, and C₅Me₅Rh(C₂H₄)P₂Me₄: New Pentamethylcyclopentadienyl rhodium(I) and -rhodium(III) Compounds. *Chem. Ber* 1983, 116, 1450–1462.
25. Faller JW; D'Alliessi DG Tunable Stereoselective Hydrosilylation of PhC≡CH Catalyzed by Cp*Rh Complexes. *Organometallics* 2002, 21, 1743–1746.
26. Chow P; Zargarian D; Taylor NJ; Marder TB Synthesis of Hydrido-bis(acetylide) Complexes of Rhodium and the Molecular Structures of Mer-trans-[Rh(PMe₃)₃(H)(C≡CPh)₂] and Mer-trans-[[Rh(dmpe)(H)(C≡CSiMe₃)₂]₂(μ-dmpe)] (dmpe = Me₂PCH₂CH₂PMe₂). *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun* 1989, 1545–1547.
27. Zhang F; Jia J; Dong S; Wang W; Tung C-H. *Organometallics* 2016, 35, 1151–1159.
28. Faraone F; Bruno G; Schiavo SL; Tresoldi G; Bombieri G η⁵-Cyclopentadienylrhodium(I) complexes containing diphosphines and their reactions with the electrophiles H⁺ and Me⁺. Crystal and molecular structure of [Rh(η⁵-C₅H₅)(CO)(Ph₂PCH₂PPh₂)], a complex with a unidentate bis(diphenylphosphino)methane ligand. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans* 1983, 433–438.
29. Klingert B; Werner H Synthesis and Reactivity of [C₅Me₅Rh(μ-PMe₂)₂]: Addition and Insertion Reactions of Oxygen and its Homologs to the Rh₂P₂ Unit. *J. Organomet. Chem* 1983, 252, C47–C52.
30. Klingert B; Werner H Metal Complexes with Bridging Dimethylphosphido Ligands. VIII. Protonation of Doubly Bridged Pentamethylcyclopentadienylrhodium(II) Complexes and Subsequent Reactions of the μ-hydridodirhodium Cation [(C₅Me₅Rh)₂(μ-PMe₂)₂(μ-H)]⁺. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1987, 333, 119–128.

31. Jones WD; Kuykendall VL; Selmecky AD Ring Migration Reactions of (C₅Me₅) Rh(PMe₃)H₂. Evidence for η³ Slippage and Metal-to-Ring Hydride Migration. *Organometallics* 1991, 10, 1577–1586.
32. Edelbach BL; Jones WD Mechanism of Carbon-Fluorine Bond Activation by (C₅Me₅) Rh(PMe₃)H₂. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1997, 119, 7734–7742.
33. Gelabert R; Moreno M; Lluch JM; Lledós A; Pons V; Heinekey DM Synthesis and Properties of Compressed Dihydride Complexes of Iridium: Theoretical and Spectroscopic Investigations. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2004, 126, 8813–8822. [PubMed: 15250735]
- 34 (a). Roy S; Sharma B; Pécaut J; Simon P; Fontecave M; Tran PD; Derat E; Artero V Molecular Cobalt Complexes with Pendant Amines for Selective Electrocatalytic Reduction of Carbon Dioxide to Formic Acid. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2017, 139, 3685–3696. [PubMed: 28206761]
(b) Elgrishi N; Kurtz DA; Dempsey JL Reaction Parameters Influencing Cobalt Hydride Formation Kinetics: Implications for Benchmarking H₂-Evolution Catalysts. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2017, 139, 239–244 [PubMed: 27997157]
35. Castillo CE; Stoll T; Sandroni M; Gueret R; Fortage J; Kayanuma M; Daniel C; Odobel F; Deronzier A; Collomb M-N, *Inorg. Chem* 2018, 57, 11225–11239. [PubMed: 30129361]
36. White C; Yates A; Maitlis PM (η⁵-Pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)rhodium and -iridium Compounds. *Inorg. Synth* 1992, 29, 228–234.
37. Nutton A; Bailey PM; Maitlis PM Pentamethylcyclopentadienylrhodium and -iridium Complexes. Part 29. Syntheses and X-ray Structure Determinations of Tri-μ-hydroxybis[(η-pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)rhodium] Hydroxide Undecahydrate and -iridium Acetate Tetradecahydrate and Related Complexes. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.: Inorg. Chem* 1981, 1997–2002.
38. Dadci L; Elias H; Frey U; Hoernig A; Koelle U; Merbach AE; Paulus H; Schneider JS π-Arene Aqua Complexes of Cobalt, Rhodium, Iridium, and Ruthenium: Preparation, Structure, and Kinetics of Water Exchange and Water Substitution. *Inorg. Chem* 1995, 34, 306–315.
39. Reinhardt R; Kaim W Complexes of α-diimines with Pentamethylcyclopentadienylrhodium in Different Oxidation States. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem* 1993, 619, 1998–2005.
40. Kaim W; Reinhardt R; Waldhoer E; Fiedler J Electron Transfer and Chloride Ligand Dissociation in Complexes [(C₅Me₅)CIM(bpy)]⁺/[(C₅Me₅)M(bpy)]ⁿ (M = Co, Rh, Ir; n = 2+, +, 0, -): A Combined Electrochemical and Spectroscopic Investigation. *J. Organomet. Chem* 1996, 524, 195–202.
41. Lionetti D; Day VW; Blakemore JD Synthesis and Electrochemical Properties of Half-Sandwich Rhodium and Iridium Methyl Complexes. *Organometallics* 2017, 36, 1897–1905.
42. Blakemore JD; Hernandez ES; Sattler W; Hunter BM; Henling LM; Brunshwig BS; Gray HB Pentamethylcyclopentadienyl Rhodium Complexes. *Polyhedron* 2014, 84, 14–18.
43. Connelly NG; Geiger WE Chemical Redox Agents for Organometallic Chemistry. *Chem. Rev* 1996, 96, 877–910. [PubMed: 11848774]
44. Appel AM; Helm ML Determining the Overpotential for a Molecular Electrocatalyst. *ACS Catalysis* 2013, 4, 630–633.
- 45 (a). The data provide an estimated Rh–H17 bond distance of 1.76(7) Å. However, the large amount of electron density associated with the rhodium center could lead to underestimation of this bond distance. For more information, see the following reference. Schmidtman M; Coster P; Henry PF; Ting VP; Weller MT; Wilson CC Determining hydrogen positions in crystal engineered organic molecular complexes by joint neutron powder and single crystal X-ray diffraction. *CrystEngComm* 2014, 16, 1232–1236.
46. Wayland BB; Ba S; Sherry AE Activation of Methane and Toluene by Rhodium(II) Porphyrin Complexes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1991, 113, 5305–5311.
47. Simoes JAM; Beauchamp JL Transition Metal-Hydrogen and Metal-Carbon Bond Strengths: the Keys to Catalysis. *Chem. Rev* 1990, 90, 629–688.
48. Warren JJ; Tronic TA; Mayer JM Thermochemistry of Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer Reagents and its Implications. *Chem. Rev* 2010, 110, 6961–7001. [PubMed: 20925411]
49. Waldie KM; Ostericher AL; Reineke MH; Sasayama AF; Kubiak CP Hydricity of Transition-Metal Hydrides: Thermodynamic Considerations for CO₂ Reduction. *ACS Catal.* 2018, 8, 1313–1324.

50. Dempsey JL; Winkler JR; Gray HB Mechanism of H₂ Evolution from a Photogenerated Hydridocobaloxime. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2010, 132, 16774–16776. [PubMed: 21067158]
51. Chambers MB; Kurtz DA; Pitman CL; Brennaman MK; Miller AJM Efficient Photochemical Dihydrogen Generation Initiated by a Bimetallic Self-Quenching Mechanism. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2016, 138, 13509–13512. [PubMed: 27673375]
52. Creutz C Bipyridine Radical Ions. *Comments Inorg. Chem* 1982, 1, 293–311.
53. Bock H; Lechner-Knoblauch U; Hänel P Radical Ions. 70. The Effect of Phosphorus Substituents on the Cyclic Voltammetric Reduction of Aromatic π -systems. *Chem. Ber* 1986, 119, 3749–3765.
54. Price AJ; Ciancanelli R; Noll BC; Curtis CJ; DuBois DL; DuBois MR HRh(dppb)₂, a Powerful Hydride Donor. *Organometallics* 2002, 21, 4833–4839.
55. Liao JL; Devereux Leon R; Fox MA; Yang CC; Chiang YC; Chang CH; Lee GH; Chi Y Role of the Diphosphine Chelate in Emissive, Charge-Neutral Iridium(III) Complexes. *Chem. – Eur. J* 2017, 24, 624–635. [PubMed: 29027287]
56. Zhang X-X; Wayland BB Rhodium(II) Porphyrin Bimetallo-radical Complexes: Preparation and Enhanced Reactivity with CH₄ and H₂. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1994, 116, 7897–7898.
57. Fulmer GR; Miller AJM; Sherden NH; Gottlieb HE; Nudelman A; Stoltz BM; Bercaw JE; Goldberg KI NMR Chemical Shifts of Trace Impurities: Common Laboratory Solvents, Organics, and Gases in Deuterated Solvents Relevant to the Organometallic Chemist. *Organometallics* 2010, 29, 2176–2179.
58. Harris RK; Becker ED; Cabral De Menezes SM; Goodfellow R; Granger P NMR Nomenclature. Nuclear Spin Properties and Conventions for Chemical Shifts (IUPAC Recommendations 2001). *Pure Appl. Chem* 2001, 73, 1795–1818.
59. Harris RK; Becker ED; Cabral De Menezes SM; Granger P; Hoffman RE; Zilm KW Further Conventions for NMR Shielding and Chemical Shifts (IUPAC Recommendations 2008). *Pure Appl. Chem* 2008, 80, 59–84.

Synopsis

Electrochemical reduction of a [Cp*Rh] hydride leads to H₂ evolution, whereas direct protonolysis does not, providing new insight into the redox-promoted reactivity of half-sandwich rhodium complexes.




Figure 1. Crystal structure of **1**. H atoms, PF₆ counteranion, and terminal phenyl groups omitted for clarity.




Figure 2. Cyclic voltammetry of **1** (upper panel, 1 mM) and **2** (lower panel, 1 mM). Electrolyte: 0.1 M TBAPF₆ in MeCN, scan rate: 100 mV/s, electrode: highly oriented pyrolytic graphite. The initial potential of the voltammogram of **1** (upper panel) is at ca. -0.30 V, and the initial potential of the voltammogram of **2** (lower panel) is at ca. -1.2 V.




Figure 3. $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (162 MHz) of **1** (top, CD_3CN , $^1J_{\text{P,Rh}} = 131$ Hz), **2** (middle, C_6D_6 , $^1J_{\text{P,Rh}} = 220$ Hz), and **3** (bottom, CD_3CN , $^1J_{\text{P,Rh}} = 138$ Hz).




Figure 4. Crystal structure of **3**. All H atoms not bound to Rh, outer-sphere triflate counteranion, and terminal phenyl groups omitted for clarity.




Figure 5. Partial ^1H NMR spectra of a 1:1 mixture of **3** and $[\text{DMFH}][\text{OTf}]$ in CD_3CN after 15 min (lower panel), 24 hrs (middle), and 48 hrs (upper).




Figure 6.

Red: Cyclic voltammetry of **2** (red, 1 mM), **3** (blue, 1 mM), and a blank (dashed).

Electrolyte: 0.1 M TBAPF₆ in MeCN, scan rate: 100 mV/s, electrode: highly oriented pyrolytic graphite. The initial potentials of the voltammograms are as follows: for **2**, -1.2 V; for **3**, -0.30 V; for the blank, 0 V.






Figure 7. H₂ evolution chemistry with the Cp*Rh(dppb) platform. Dashed arrows indicate an inactive pathway for H₂ production involving protonolysis of hydride **3**.

**Scheme 1.**

H_2 evolution catalyzed by $\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}(\text{bpy})$. L = halide or coordinated solvent (e.g., MeCN).



Scheme 2.
Preparation of Cp*Rh complexes.