

## Laparoscopic resection of colon cancer – recommendations

Adam Dziki, Jan Kulig, Grzegorz Wallner, Piotr Richter, Wiesław J. Kruszewski, Marek Szczepkowski, Maciej Michalik

### Based on:

The EAES Clinical Practice Guidelines on Laparoscopic Resection of Colon Cancer 2004, modified in 2006

R. Veldkamp, M. Golghesaei, H. Jaap Bonjer, Dirk W. Meijer, M. Buunen, J. Jeekel, B. Anderberg, M.A. Cuesta, Alfred Cuschieri, Abe Fingerhut, J.W. Fleschman, P.J. Guillou, E. Haglund, J. Himpens, Christoph A. Jacobi, J.J. Jakimowicz, Ferdinand Koeckerling, Antonio M. Lacy, Emilio Lezoche, John R.T. Monson, Mario Morino, Edmund A.M. Neugebauer, S.D. Wexner, R.L. Whelan

Videosurgery and other miniinvasive techniques 2009; 4 (Suppl 1): S9–S12

1. Pre-operative visual examinations, determining tumour location, size and extent of local progression are recommended (evidence level D).
2. Age is not a contraindication for laparoscopic colon resection (evidence level 2A).
3. Obligatory invasive blood pressure and saturation monitoring is recommended in ASA III-IV patients (evidence level A; dissenting opinion of 9% of panellists); intra-abdominal pressure below 12 mm Hg is also recommended in these patients (evidence level B).
4. Obesity is not an absolute contraindication for laparoscopic treatment. In patients with body mass index (BMI) > 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> higher risk for complications and conversion is noted (evidence level 2C; dissenting opinion of 7% of panellists).
5. Patients with T4 tumours should undergo open-technique radical resection (power of evidence 5, evidence level D; dissenting opinion of 17% of experts).
6. Intra-abdominal adhesions are not a contraindication for laparoscopic surgery (power of evidence 4).
7. Trocar positioning should depend on the experience and individual preferences of the surgeon (power: 5).
8. A high-definition video camera is highly recommended (power of evidence 5, level D).
9. Correct operative technique reduces risk of port-site metastasis (power: 5).
10. Tattooing of small tumours of the colon is recommended to ease their intra-operative localization. Intra-operative colonoscopy, ultrasound or pre-operative clip placement on the tumour are recommended as useful alternatives (evidence level D).
11. Dissection of the mesocolon from the midline to the sides is preferred during laparoscopy (power: 5, level D).
12. Laparoscopic resection of the colon poses 14% (0-42%) risk of conversion to open procedure. The most frequent cause of conversion is local

### Address for correspondence

Dr Maciej Michalik, Department of General and Vascular Surgery, F. Ceynowa Specialized Hospital, 10 Jagalskiego St, 84-200 Wejherowo, Poland, phone +48 58 572 74 20, fax +48 58 572 74 24, e-mail: mich1@wp.pl

- tumour progression. Large tumour size, intra-abdominal adhesions and technical difficulties are other reasons to convert (power: 3A).
13. Laparoscopic colon resection takes more time than open resection (power: 2A).
  14. The extent of laparoscopic bowel resection and lymphadenectomy is similar to that achieved with classic technique (power: 2B).
  15. No difference was found in occurrence of postoperative complication rates after laparoscopic and classic procedures in colon cancer (power: 2B).
  16. Mortality after laparoscopic surgery is comparable to classic open colectomy (power: 2B).
  17. Length of hospital stay after laparoscopic colon resection is shorter than after classic resection (power: 1A).
  18. Post-operative pain after a laparoscopic procedure is less than after open surgery (power: 2A).
  19. Patients after laparoscopic bowel resection require less analgesics when compared to patients after open surgery (power: 1B).
  20. Normal gastrointestinal tract function recovery is faster in patients after laparoscopy than after open surgery (power: 2B).
  21. Postoperative impairment of respiratory tract function is less in patients operated on with laparoscopic than with classic technique (power: 1B).
  22. Survival of patients treated with laparoscopic colon resection is at least as good as that of patients operated on with classic techniques (power: 2A).
  23. The percentage of port-site metastases in patients after laparoscopic large bowel tumour resection is less than 1% (power: 2C).
  24. The cost of laparoscopic resection of a large bowel tumour exceeds that of open surgery. This is attributable to longer duration of the procedure and more expensive instrumentarium (power: 3B).
  25. Body stress response is limited after laparoscopic resection when compared to classic procedures (power: 1B).

The editors' opinion is that laparoscopic surgery of the colon can be performed after intensive, practical training in a centre experienced in this kind of procedure. We believe they should only be performed in centres exceeding 20 procedures a year. Particular thanks to Michał Orłowski, Roman Budziński and Agata Frask for their efforts in the preparation of this consensus.

(Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine)  
**Levels of evidence**

1A	Systematic review of RCTs (randomized controlled trials) with consistent results from individual (homogeneous) studies.
1B	Randomized controlled trials of good quality.
2A	Systematic review of cohort or case-control studies with consistent results from individual (homogeneous) studies.
2B	Randomized controlled trials of poorer quality or cohort or case-control studies.
2C	Outcome studies, descriptive studies.
3	Cohort or case-control studies of low quality.
4	Expert opinion, generally accepted treatments.

**Grades of recommendation**

A	Supported by systematic review and/or at least 2 RCTs of good quality. Level of evidence 1A, 1B.
B	Supported by good cohort studies and/or case control studies. Level of evidence 2A, 2B.
C	Supported by case series, cohort studies of low quality and/or 'outcomes' research. Level of evidence 2C, 3.
D	Expert opinion, consensus committee. Level of evidence 4.

## References

1. Hilliard G, Ramming K, Thompson J Jr, Passaro E Jr. The elusive colonic malignancy. A need for definitive preoperative localization. *Am Surg* 1990; 56: 742-744.
2. Ott DJ. Accuracy of double-contrast barium enema in diagnosing colorectal polyps and cancer. *Semin Roentgenol* 2000; 35: 333-341.
3. Pijl ME, Chaoui AS, Wahl RL, van Oostayen JA. Radiology of colorectal cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 2002; 38: 887-898.
4. Bond JH. Colorectal cancer screening: the potential role of virtual colonoscopy. *J Gastroenterol* 2002; 37 (Suppl 13): 92-96.
5. Delgado S, Lacy AM, García Valdecasas JC, et al. Could age be an indication for laparoscopic colectomy in colorectal cancer? *Surg Endosc* 2000; 14: 22-26.
6. Schwandner O, Schiedeck TH, Bruch HP. Advanced age – indication or contraindication for laparoscopic colorectal surgery? *Dis Colon Rectum* 1999; 42: 356-362.
7. Lo CM, Lai EC, Fan ST, et al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis in the elderly. *World J Surg* 1996; 20: 983-986; discussion 987.
8. Pessaux P, Tuech JJ, Derouet N, et al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the elderly: a prospective study. *Surg Endosc* 2000; 14: 1067-1069.
9. Neudecker J, Sauerland S, Neugebauer E, et al. The European Association of Endoscopic Surgery clinical practice guideline on the pneumoperitoneum for laparoscopic surgery. *Surg Endosc* 2002; 16: 1121-1143.
10. Sprung J, Whalley DG, Falcone T, et al. The impact of morbid obesity, pneumoperitoneum, and posture on respiratory system mechanics and oxygenation during laparoscopy. *Anesth Analg* 2002; 94: 1345-1350.
11. Pandya S, Murray JJ, Collier JA, Rusin LC. Laparoscopic colectomy: indications for conversion to laparotomy. *Arch Surg* 1999; 134: 471-475.
12. Pikarsky AJ, Saida Y, Yamaguchi T, et al. Is obesity a high risk factor for laparoscopic colorectal surgery? *Surg Endosc* 2002; 16: 855-858.
13. Angrisani L, Lorenzo M, De Palma G, et al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in obese patients compared with nonobese patients. *Surg Laparosc Endosc* 1995; 5: 197-201.
14. Collet D, Edye M, Magne E, Perissat J. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the obese patient. *Surg Endosc* 1992; 6: 186-188.
15. Miles RH, Carballo RE, Prinz RA, et al. Laparoscopy: the preferred method of cholecystectomy in the morbidly obese. *Surgery* 1992; 112: 818-823; discussion 822-823.
16. Phillips EH, Carroll BJ, Fallas MJ, Pearlstein AR. Comparison of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in obese and non-obese patients. *Am Surg* 1994; 60: 316-321.
17. Schirmer BD, Dix J, Edge SB, et al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the obese patient. *Ann Surg* 1992; 216: 146-152.
18. Unger SW, Scott JS, Unger HM, Edelman DS. Laparoscopic approach to gallstones in the morbidly obese patient. *Surg Endosc* 199; 15: 116-117.
19. Nelson H, Petrelli N, Carlin A, et al.; Cancer Institute Expert Panel. Guidelines 2000 for colon and rectal cancer surgery. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2001; 93: 583-596.
20. Hamel CT, Pikarsky AJ, Weiss E, et al. Do prior abdominal operations after the outcome of laparoscopically assisted right hemicolectomy? *Surg Endosc* 2000; 14: 853-857.
21. Boulanger A, Hardy JF. Intestinal distention during elective abdominal surgery: should nitrous oxide be banished? *Can J Anaesth* 1987; 34: 346-350.
22. Taylor E, Feinstein R, White PF, Soper N. Anesthesia for laparoscopic cholecystectomy: is nitrous oxide contraindicated? *Anesthesiology* 1992; 76: 541-543.
23. Zmora O, Weiss EG. Trocar site recurrence in laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer: myth or real concern? *Surg Oncol Clin N Am* 2001; 10: 625-638.
24. Bouvy ND, Marquet RL, Jeekel H, Bonjer HJ. Impact of gas (less) laparoscopy and laparotomy on peritoneal tumor growth and abdominal wall metastases. *Ann Surg* 1996; 224: 694-700; discussion 700-701.
25. Montorsi M, Fumagalli U, Rosati R, et al. Early parietal recurrence of adenocarcinoma of the colon after laparoscopic colectomy. *Br J Surg* 1995; 82: 1036-1037.
26. Watson DJ, Mathew G, Ellis T, et al. Gasless laparoscopy may reduce the risk of port-site metastases following laparoscopic tumor surgery. *Arch Surg* 1997; 132: 166-168; discussion 169.
27. Gutt CN, Riemer V, Kim ZG, et al. Impact of laparoscopic colonic resection on tumour growth and spread in an experimental model. *Br J Surg* 1999; 86: 1180-1184.
28. Iwanaka T, Arya G, Ziegler MM. Mechanism and prevention of port-site tumor recurrence after laparoscopy in a murine model. *J Pediatr Surg* 1998; 33: 457-461.
29. Wittich P, Steyerberg EW, Simons SH, et al. Intraperitoneal tumor growth is influenced by pressure of carbon dioxide pneumoperitoneum. *Surg Endosc* 2000; 14: 817-819.
30. Gutt CN, Heinz P, Kaps W, Paolucci V. The phagocytosis activity during conventional and laparoscopic operations in the rat: a preliminary study. *Surg Endosc* 1997; 11: 899-901.
31. West MA, Baker J, Bellingham J. Kinetics of decreased LPS-stimulated cytokine release by macrophages exposed to CO<sub>2</sub>. *J Surg Res* 1996; 63: 269-274.
32. Hajri A, Mutter D, Wack S, et al. Dual effect of laparoscopy on cell-mediated immunity. *Eur Surg Res* 2000; 32: 261-266.
33. Jacobi CA, Sterzel A, Braumann C, et al. The impact of conventional and laparoscopic colon resection (CO<sub>2</sub> or helium) on intraperitoneal adhesion formation in a rat peritonitis model. *Surg Endosc* 2001; 15: 380-386.
34. Nagelschmidt M, Gerbecks D, Minor T. The impact of gas laparoscopy on abdominal plasminogen activator activity. *Surg Endosc* 2001; 15: 585-588.
35. Neuhaus SJ, Ellis T, Rofe AM, et al. Tumor implantation following laparoscopy using different insufflations gases. *Surg Endosc* 1998; 12: 1300-1302.
36. Jacobi CA, Wildbrett P, Volk T, Müller JM. Influence of different gases and intraperitoneal instillation of antiadherent or cytotoxic agents on peritoneal tumor cell growth and implantation with laparoscopic surgery in a rat model. *Surg Endosc* 1999; 13: 1021-1025.

37. Bouvy ND, Giuffrida MC, Tseng LN, et al. Effects of carbon dioxide pneumoperitoneum, air pneumoperitoneum, and gasless laparoscopy on body weight and tumor growth. *Arch Surg* 1998; 133: 652-656.
38. Wu JS, Guo LW, Ruiz MB, et al. Excision of trocar sites reduces tumor implantation in an animal model. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1998; 41: 1107-1111.
39. Watson DI, et al. Excision of laparoscopic port sites increases the likelihood of wound metastases in an experimental model. 8<sup>th</sup> World Congress of Endoscopic Surgery; 2002 New York, NY, USA, BS 01 (final program): 77.
40. Neuhaus SJ, Watson DI, Ellis T, et al. Influence of cytotoxic agents on intraperitoneal tumor implantation after laparoscopy. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1999; 42: 10-15.
41. Lee SW, Gleason NR, Bessler M, Whelan RL. Peritoneal irrigation with povidone-iodine solution after laparoscopic-assisted splenectomy significantly decreases port-tumor recurrence in a murine model. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1999; 42: 319-326.
42. Neuhaus SJ, Ellis T, Jamieson GG, Watson DI. Experimental study of the effect of intraperitoneal heparin on tumour implantation following laparoscopy. *Br J Surg* 1999; 86: 400-404.
43. Braumann C, Ordemann J, Wildbrett P, Jacobi CA. Influence of intraperitoneal and systemic application of taurolidine and taurolidine/heparin during laparoscopy on intraperitoneal and subcutaneous tumour growth in rats. *Clin Exp Metastasis* 2000; 18: 547-552.
44. Jacobi CA, Peter FJ, Wenger FA, et al. New therapeutic strategies to avoid intra and extraperitoneal metastases during laparoscopy: results of a tumor model in the rat. *Dig Surg* 1999; 16: 393-399.
45. Eshraghi N, Swanstrom LL, Bax T, et al. Topical treatments of laparoscopic port sites can decrease the incidence of incision metastasis. *Surg Endosc* 1999; 13: 1121-1124.
46. Tseng LN, Berends FJ, Wittich P, et al. Port-site metastases: impact of local tissue trauma and gas leakage. *Surg Endosc* 1998; 12: 1377-1380.
47. Wittich P, Marquet RL, Kazemier G, Bonjer HJ. Port-site metastases after CO<sub>2</sub> laparoscopy: is aerosolization of tumor cells a pivotal factor? *Surg Endosc* 2000; 14: 189-192.
48. Whelan RL, Sellers GJ, Allendorf JD, et al. Trocar site recurrence is unlikely to result from aerosolization of tumor cells. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1996; 39 (10 Suppl): S7-S13.
49. Turnbull RB Jr, Kyle K, Watson FR, Spratt J. Cancer of the colon: the influence of the no-touch isolation technique on survival rates. *Ann Surg* 1967; 166: 420-427.
50. Wiggers T, Jeekel J, Arends JW, et al. No-touch isolation technique in colon cancer: a controlled prospective trial. *Br J Surg* 1988; 75: 409-415.
51. Gertsch P, Baer HU, Kraft R, et al. Malignant cells are collected on circular staplers. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1992; 35: 238-241.
52. Fermor B, Umpleby HC, Lever JV, et al. Proliferative and metastatic potential of exfoliated colorectal cancer cells. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1986; 76: 347-349.
53. Umpleby HC, Fermor B, Symes MO, Williamson RC. Viability of exfoliated colorectal carcinoma cells. *Br J Surg* 1984; 71: 659-663.
54. Jenner DC, de Boer WB, Clarke G, Levitt MD. Rectal washout eliminates exfoliated malignant cells. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1998; 41: 1432-1434.
55. McDermott JP, Devereaux DA, Caushaj PF. Pitfall of laparoscopic colectomy: an unrecognized synchronous cancer. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1994; 37: 602-603.
56. Larach SW, Patankar SK, Ferrara A, et al. Complications of laparoscopic colorectal surgery: analysis and comparison of early vs. later experience. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1997; 40: 592-596.
57. Lacy AM, García-Valdecasas JC, Taurá P, et al. Is laparoscopic colectomy a safe procedure in synchronous colorectal carcinoma? Report of a case. *Surg Laparosc Endosc* 1995; 5: 75-76.
58. Tabibian N, Michaletz PA, Schwartz JT, et al. Use of an endoscopically placed clip can avoid diagnostic errors in colonoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1998; 34: 262-264.
59. Ohdaira T, Konishi F, Nagai H, et al. Intraoperative localization of colorectal tumors in the early stages using a marking clip detector system. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1999; 42: 1353-1355.
60. Hammond DC, Lane FR, Welk RA, et al. Endoscopic tattooing of the colon. An experimental study. *Am Surg* 1989; 55: 457-461.
61. Botoman VA, Pietro M, Thirlby RC. Localization of colonic lesions with endoscopic tattoo. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1994; 37: 775-776.
62. Waye JD. Mucosal marking of the colon, or india ink tattoo of the colon: advanced therapeutic endoscopy. Raven Press, New York 1992; 209-214.
63. Coman E, Brandt LJ, Brenner S, et al. Fat necrosis and inflammatory pseudotumor due to endoscopic tattooing of the colon with india ink. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1991; 37: 65-68.
64. Montorsi M, Opocher E, Santambrogio R, et al. Original technique for small colorectal tumor localization during laparoscopic surgery. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1999; 42: 819-822.
65. Cohen JL, Forde KA. Intraoperative colonoscopy. *Ann Surg* 1988; 207: 231-233.
66. Fu KI, Fujii T, Kato S, et al. A new endoscopic tattooing technique for identifying the location of colonic lesions during laparoscopic surgery: a comparison with the conventional technique. *Endoscopy* 2001; 33: 687-691.