

Thomas Jefferson University Jefferson Digital Commons

Department of Surgery Faculty Papers

Department of Surgery

5-20-2020

Global Kidney Exchange Should Expand Wisely.

Alvin E Roth Stanford University

Ignazio R. Marino Thomas Jefferson University

Obi Ekwenna *University of Toledo*

Ty B Dunn University of Pennsylvania

Follow this and additional works at: https://jdc.jefferson.edu/surgeryfp

់ទ្រែវម្ភាស្ត្រឲ្យដូច្នេក្សារ៉ូនៃក្រុម្រាស្ត្រក្រសួន Medical Center

Let us know how access to this document benefits you

See next page for additional authors

Recommended Citation

Roth, Alvin E; Marino, Ignazio R.; Ekwenna, Obi; Dunn, Ty B; Paloyo, Siegfredo R; Tan, Miguel; Correa-Rotter, Ricardo; Kuhr, Christian S; Marsh, Christopher L; Ortiz, Jorge; Testa, Giuliano; Sindhwani, Puneet; Segev, Dorry L; Rogers, Jeffrey; Punch, Jeffrey D; Forbes, Rachel C; Zimmerman, Michael A; Ellis, Matthew J; Rege, Aparna; Basagoitia, Laura; Krawiec, Kimberly D; and Rees, Michael A, "Global Kidney Exchange Should Expand Wisely." (2020). *Department of Surgery Faculty Papers*. Paper 187.

https://jdc.jefferson.edu/surgeryfp/187

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Surgery Faculty Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Authors Alvin E Roth, Ignazio R. Marino, Obi Ekwenna, Ty B Dunn, Siegfredo R Paloyo, Miguel Tan, Ricardo Correa-Rotter, Christian S Kuhr, Christopher L Marsh, Jorge Ortiz, Giuliano Testa, Puneet Sindhwani, Dorry L Segev, Jeffrey Rogers, Jeffrey D Punch, Rachel C Forbes, Michael A Zimmerman, Matthew J Ellis, Aparna Rege, Laura Basagoitia, Kimberly D Krawiec, and Michael A Rees

DR. MICHAEL REES (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-0345-7810)

Article type : Invited Commentary

Global Kidney Exchange Should Expand Wisely

Alvin E. Roth¹, Ignazio R. Marino², Obi Ekwenna³, Ty B. Dunn⁴, Siegfredo R. Paloyo^{5,6}, Miguel Tan⁷, Ricardo Correa-Rotter⁸, Christian S. Kuhr⁹, Christopher L. Marsh¹⁰, Jorge Ortiz³, Giuliano Testa¹¹, Puneet Sindhwani³, Dorry L. Segev¹², Jeffrey Rogers¹³, Jeffrey D. Punch¹⁴, Rachel C. Forbes¹⁵, Michael A. Zimmerman¹⁶, Matthew J. Ellis¹⁷, Aparna Rege¹⁷, Laura Basagoitia¹⁸, Kimberly D. Krawiec¹⁹, and Michael A. Rees^{3,20}

- 1. Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA
- 2. Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA
- 3. University of Toledo, Toledo, OH
- 4. University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA
- 5. Philippine General Hospital, University of the Philippines, Manila, Philippines
- 6. St. Luke's Medical Center, Global City, Manila, Philippines
- 7. Piedmont Hospital, Atlanta, GA
- 8. Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, Mexico City, MX

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> 10.1111/TRI.13656

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

- 9. Virginia Mason Medical Center, Seattle, WA
- 10. Scripps Green Hospital, San Diego, CA
- 11. Baylor University Medical Center, Dallas, TX
- 12. Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD
- 13. Wake Forest Baptist Medical Center, Winston-Salem, NC
- 14. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI
- 15. Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN
- 16. Froedert Hospital-Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI
- 17. Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC
- 18. General Regional Hospital No 1, Dr. Carlos Macgregor Sánchez Navarro, Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social, Mexico City, MX
- 19. Duke University Law School, Durham, NC
- 20. Alliance for Paired Kidney Donation, Perrysburg, OH

Conflict of Interest: Michael Rees is the unpaid CEO of the Alliance for Paired Kidney Donation. Michael Rees and Alvin Roth have an ownership interest in Rejuvenate Healthcare, LLC that consults on care for patients with end stage renal disease. The other authors have no conflicts of interest.

We read with great interest and appreciation the careful consideration and analysis by Ambagtsheer et al. of the most critical ethical objections to Global Kidney Exchange (GKE). Ambagtsheer et al. conclude that implementation of GKE is a means to increase access to transplantation ethically and effectively. These conclusions by their European Society of Transplantation (ESOT) committee on Ethical, Legal and Psychological Aspects of Transplantation (ELPAT) represent a step forward toward a greater understanding and an open, honest debate about GKE. Taken together with the strong endorsement of GKE by Minerva et al. in Lancet³ and the positive position statement of the American Society of Transplant Surgeons (ASTS)⁴, Ambagtsheer et al. successfully dispel previously raised doubts⁵⁻¹³ to which we have previously responded. ^{2,14-16}

One previous argument against GKE that Ambagtsheer et al (and Minerva et al³) reject is that the general populations of some involved countries are not in support of this construct. We have recently published new data to refute this argument as well. In surveys in Germany, Spain, United States (U.S.) and Philippines asking whether GKE should be legal, following a detailed description of GKE, 79%, 74%, 87%, and 85% answered "legal" to the question "should this exchange be legal or illegal?" ²⁰

Ambagtsheer et al. posed several questions to which we respond below.

As of February 2020, 16 international donors and recipients from the Philippines, Mexico, and Denmark participated in GKE and all enthusiastically support the concept. For these exchanges, international participating centers were chosen because the U.S.-based team had established relationships with trusted, well-trained transplant physicians at those centers. Participating U.S. centers evaluated and approved the financial and ethical aspects of the proposed exchanges. Six of the eight GKE recipients were identified by local physicians and presented to the Alliance for Paired Kidney Donation (APKD) for potential participation in GKE. Two patients directly contacted APKD. Five of the eight international patients transplanted through GKE were highly sensitized and had waited years in their respective countries without a match; however, they were matched within months by GKE which offered a different genetic diversity of HLA. We believe that any pair that wishes to participate in GKE should be able to if a match is found and the resultant exchanges and transplants are possible, considering medical suitability, logistics, and finances.

Pre-transplant evaluation was initially performed at the international transplant center and then confirmed at the center actually performing the transplant, seven in the U.S., and one in Antigua. In three instances, the expenses caused by longer stays in the U.S. than originally intended were financed by the APKD. The first three to six weeks of post-operative care were provided by the healthcare providers in the country where the transplant took place, after which all post-transplant care was managed by the healthcare partners in the patients' country.

The APKD managed escrow funds in the U.S. and works with international physician partners to distribute the funds as needed. These physician partners purchased medications or paid for local hospital/laboratory/professional services and submit receipts to APKD accountants. International accounts were replenished in \$5,000 increments as necessary. As an example, we reserved \$50,000 for our first patient in the Philippines in January 2015, and for the first five years post-transplantation he and his wife (his paired

donor) required less than \$5,000/year of APKD financial support. Escrow funds were never dispersed directly to participating patients or donors. Our escrow accounts were modeled to pay for post-transplant medications and aftercare indefinitely, expecting an average graft survival of ten years with some patients requiring support for longer and some patients losing their kidney prior to ten years. Reserved funds for GKE aftercare were designed to provide transplant-specific healthcare; yet including comprehensive healthcare coverage would be even better if financially achievable. Nevertheless, as noted in Bozek et al., we believe that GKE will increase transplant activity in low and middle income countries (LMIC) by a net flow of financial resources from high income countries (HIC) to LMIC to pay for pre-transplant evaluation and post-transplant aftercare for patients who would not otherwise have had access to this resource.

Six of the eight GKE recipients required financial support (two full and four partial) to pay for evaluation, travel, transplantation, complication risk, follow-up medications, and aftercare for donor and recipient. Two participating pairs (one Danish and one Mexican) had private funds for the transplantation and government support to pay for aftercare. Thus, our actuarial analysis did not require APKD to reserve escrow funds for Mexican and Danish patients, in contrast to the three patients (all from the Philippines) where government support was absent. Even when working with patients in Denmark, where a single healthcare payer provides universal access to in-country healthcare for all citizens, financial barriers prevented access to transplantation through GKE.

GKE has made possible transplantation for patients who face a variety of immunological, regulatory, and financial barriers, to the benefit of all participants. Interestingly enough, some critics, who raise no objections when GKE is used to help highly sensitized patients in LMIC with sufficient financial resources to use GKE without financial subsidy, object when similar mechanisms are deployed to benefit poor patients who require subsidization.⁶ We are glad that Ambagtsheer et al. agree that GKE should also be carefully constructed to help patients from LMIC overcome financial barriers that just as surely prevent access to transplantation.

The 1,000 kidney exchange transplants accomplished per year in the U.S. are due in part to continued exploration of matching strategies, including non-simultaneous chains.²¹⁻²⁹ GKE can be expected to benefit from continued exploration and refinement as well.

Ambagtsheer et al. suggest international regulation and supervision, perhaps by the World Health Organization (WHO). Once a variety of GKE options have been explored so that standard practices begin to

develop, we would welcome the establishment of international standards that would allow GKE to be widely and wisely adopted. It is worth noting that we initially proposed WHO oversight⁵, and Italy's WHO Executive Board representative formally introduced such a motion.³⁰ However, the WHO also produced statements condemning GKE.¹² And, as Ambagtsheer et al. note, the WHO's longstanding policy that each country must develop self-sufficiency in transplantation has, unfortunately, the side effect of severely limiting the availability of transplants in LMICs. Since one of the main motivations of GKE is to make transplantation more available in LMICs, WHO regulation and supervision of GKE would require that the organization revisit the ethics of GKE, ideally with an open discussion involving representatives of all the WHO countries interested in this procedure. In other words, we strongly wish for WHO involvement exactly for the values used by the WHO to define itself: "These values are inspired by the WHO vision of a world in which all peoples attain the highest possible level of health, and our mission to promote health, keep the world safe and serve the vulnerable, with measurable impact for people at country level. We are individually and collectively committed to put these values into practice."³¹

References

- 1. Ambagtsheer F, Haase-Kromwijk B, Dor F, et al. Global Kidney Exchange: Opportunity or Exploitation? An ELPAT/ESOT Appraisal. Transplant International 2020;In Press.
- 2. Rees MA, Dunn TB, Kuhr CS, et al. Kidney Exchange to Overcome Financial Barriers to Kidney Transplantation. Am J Transplant 2017;17:782-90.
- 3. Minerva F, Savulescu J, Singer P. The ethics of the Global Kidney Exchange programme. Lancet 2019;394:1775-8.
- 4. ASTS position statement on global kidney exchange. American Society of Transplant Surgeons, 2017. (Accessed April 26, 2020, at https://asts.org/about-asts/position-statements#.XqILytNKjAY.)
- 5. Delmonico FL, Ascher NL. Opposition to irresponsible global kidney exchange. Am J Transplant 2017;17:2745-6.
- 6. Statement of the declaration of istanbul custodian group concerning ethical objections to the proposed global kidney exchange program. Declaration of Istanbul Custodial Group, 2017. (Accessed April 26, 2020, at https://www.declarationofistanbul.org/resources/policy-documents/795-statement-of-the-declaration-of-istanbul-custodian-group-concerning-ethical-objections-to-the-proposed-global-kidney-exchange-program.)
- 7. CD-P-TO position statement on global kidney exchange. Council of Europe European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines and Healthcare, 2018. (Accessed April 26, 2020, at https://www.edqm.eu/sites/default/files/statement-transplantation-global-kidney-exchange-conceptapril2018.pdf.)
- 8. Statement on a proposed concept of global kidney exchange. European Union National Competent Authorities on Organ Donation and Transplantation, 2018. (Accessed April 26, 2020, at https://ec.europa.eu/health/sites/health/files/blood_tissues_organs/docs/nca_statement_gke_adopted_en. pdf.)
- 9. Summary of ethical objections to global kidney exchange. International Society of Nephrology, 2018. (Accessed April 26, 2020, at https://www.theisn.org/images/ISN_DICG_Summary_Final.pdf.)
- 10. Posicionamiento de la RCIDT frente al proyecto global kidney exchange. Red/Consejo Iberoamericano de Donación y Trasplantes 2017. (Accessed April 26, 2020, at http://www.ont.es/publicaciones/Documents/NEWSLETTER%20IBEROAMERICA-2017 baja.pdf.)

- 11. Carta Ministros Salud GKE Project. Sociedad de Trasplantes de América Latina y el Caribe, 2017. (Accessed April 26, 2020, at https://www.stalyc.net/utilidades/biblioteca/bioetica/category/29-global-kidney-exchange-declaraciones.html.)
- 12. Position statement on the proposal for a global kidney exchange. WHO Transplantation Task Force on Donation and Transplantation of Human Organs and Tissues, 2018. (Accessed April 26, 2020, at https://www.who.int/transplantation/donation/GKE-statement.pdf?ua=1.)
- 13. Wiseman AC, Gill JS. Financial Incompatibility and Paired Kidney Exchange: Walking a Tightrope or Blazing a Trail? Am J Transplant 2017;17:597-8.
- 14. Bozek DN, Dunn TB, Kuhr CS, et al. Complete Chain of the First Global Kidney Exchange Transplant and 3-yr Follow-up. Eur Urol Focus 2018;4:190-7.
- 15. Marino IR, Roth AE, Rees MA, Doria C. Open dialogue between professionals with different opinions builds the best policy. Am J Transplant 2017;17:2749.
- 16. Rees MA, Paloyo SR, Roth AE, et al. Global kidney exchange: Financially incompatible pairs are not transplantable compatible pairs. Am J Transplant 2017;17:2743-4.
- 17. Roth AE, Krawiec KD, Paloyo S, et al. People should not be banned from transplantation only because of their country of origin. Am J Transplant 2017;17:2747-8.
- 18. Carrillo I. Un puente de vida (English Translation: A bridge of life). Newsweek en Español 2017 April 14, 2017:16-25.
- 19. A bridge of life: Global kidney exchange between Mexico and the U.S. . (Accessed 8/23/2017, at http://marketdesigner.blogspot.com/2017/04/a-bridge-of-life-global-kidney-exchange.html.)
- 20. Roth AE, Wang SW. Controversial Markets: How is Popular Repugnance Related to Legal and Regulatory Bans? Proceedings of the National Academy of Science 2020; In Press.
- 21. Bingaman AW, Wright FH, Murphey CL. Kidney paired donation in live-donor kidney transplantation. N Engl J Med 2010;363:1091-2.
- 22. Flechner SM, Thomas AG, Ronin M, et al. The first 9 years of kidney paired donation through the National Kidney Registry: Characteristics of donors and recipients compared with National Live Donor Transplant Registries. Am J Transplant 2018;18:2730-8.
- 23. Fumo DE, Kapoor V, Reece LJ, et al. Historical Matching Strategies in Kidney Paired Donation: The 7-Year Evolution of a Web-Based Virtual Matching System. Am J Transplant 2015;15:2646-54.

- 24. Hanto RL, Reitsma W, Delmonico FL. The development of a successful multiregional kidney paired donation program. Transplantation 2008;86:1744-8.
- 25. Melcher ML, Blosser CD, Baxter-Lowe LA, et al. Dynamic challenges inhibiting optimal adoption of kidney paired donation: findings of a consensus conference. Am J Transplant 2013;13:851-60.
- 26. Montgomery RA, Zachary AA, Ratner LE, et al. Clinical results from transplanting incompatible live kidney donor/recipient pairs using kidney paired donation. JAMA 2005;294:1655-63.
- 27. Rees MA, Kopke JE, Pelletier RP, et al. A nonsimultaneous, extended, altruistic-donor chain. N Engl J Med 2009;360:1096-101.
- 28. Segev DL, Gentry SE, Warren DS, Reeb B, Montgomery RA. Kidney paired donation and optimizing the use of live donor organs. JAMA 2005;293:1883-90.
- 29. Segev DL, Veale JL, Berger JC, et al. Transporting live donor kidneys for kidney paired donation: initial national results. Am J Transplant 2011;11:356-60.
- 30. Statements submitted by Member States and other participants of the 142th session of the Executive Board (to see the Statement from Italy on the website, scroll to section 3.1 and click on Italy). World Health Organization, 2018. (Accessed April 28, 2020, at

https://apps.who.int/gb/statements/eb142/e/Monday22.html.)

31. WHO: Our Values. WHO, 2020. (Accessed 05/10/2020, at https://www.who.int/about/who-we-are/our-values.)

Corresponding author

Michael A. Rees

University of Toledo, Toledo, OH

Alliance for Paired Kidney Donation, Perrysburg, OH

michael.rees2@utoledo.edu