Jane and Leonard Korman **Respiratory Institute**





OBJECTIVES

- To define the frequency of incidental findings on low-dose CT (LDCT) scans among patients undergoing lung cancer screening.
- To determine the current reporting methods for incidental findings and measure the frequency of clinical follow-up.

BACKGROUND

- The US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommends adults aged 55-80 with ≥30 pack-year smoking history undergo annual screening for lung cancer with a LDCT of the chest.
- The American College of Radiology (ACR) reports incidental detection of liver, kidney, adrenal gland, and thyroid lesions at incidences ranging from 3-40%. Among lung cancer screening patients, 41-94 % have incidental findings (IFs) on LDCT, and coronary artery calcifications (CAC) are the most common IF. CAC is an independent predictor of cardiovascular events in post-hoc analyses of lung cancer screening cohorts.
- To our knowledge, there is no standardized approach to radiologic reporting or management of IFs on LDCT at Jefferson. Appropriate follow-up of IFs has important implications on patient health outcomes, healthcare spending, and resource allocation.

METHODS

- The Jefferson Lung Cancer Screening Program (LCSP) is a centralized screening program for patients at high risk for lung cancer based on CMS, USPSTF, and NCCN criteria.
- Data from patients screened between Jan 2018 and February 2020 were collected prospectively (IRB control# 17D.150).
- Patients with ≥ 1 IF reported on LDCT were identified, and radiology reports and other clinical data were retrospectively reviewed.
 - For patients with liver, kidney, thyroid, and adrenal gland lesions, LDCT reports were reviewed for presence of IF and follow-up recommendations and these were compared with ACR guidelines.
 - A subset of patients with CAC, screened between Jan 2018 and April 2019, were reviewed for history of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), cardiac medications, cardiovascular workup, and presence of cardiology evaluation.



Incidental Findings on LDCT for Lung Cancer Screening: Prevalence and Clinical Management Chris McGrath MD, Mrinalini Venkata Subramani MD, Brandon Menachem MD, Amry Majeed, Brooke Ruane CRNP,

Christine Shusted MPH, and Julie Barta MD Jane and Leonard Korman Respiratory Institute, Thomas Jefferson University

Figure 1. CONSORT Diagram. Clinical data for patients undergoing LDCT for lung cancer screening were reviewed

> 427 patients had CAC detected on LDCT between Jan 2018 - April 2019 Clinical data for **40 patients** with severe CAC were reviewed

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of LCSP participants		Table 2. Frequency of incidental findings on LDCT		
	Total Cohort	Finding	n (%)	
	(n = 1,168)	Coronary artery	876 (75.0%)	
Age, years, mean ± SD	64.37 ± 5.81		101 (11 10/)	
Gender, n (%)			$\frac{404}{204} (41.4\%)$	
Male	492 (42.1%)	Moderate	294 (25.2%)	
Fomalo	676 (57 0%)	Severe	98 (8.4%)	
remate	070 (37.9%)	Emphysema	675 (57.8%)	
Race, n (%)		Atherosclerosis	415 (35.5%)	
White	635 (54.4%)	Liver findings*	141 (12.1%)	
Black or African American	468 (40.1%)	Kidney findings*	108 (9.3%)	
Asian	59 (5.1%)	Thyroid findings*	86 (7.4%)	
Other races	6 (0.5%)	Adrenal gland lesions*	49 (4.2%)	
BMI, mean ± SD	29.29 ± 6.81	*Liver findings included: nodules, cysts, calcifications, bemangiomas, steatosis, and		
Smoking status, n (%)		cirrhosis. Kidney findings inc	luded: cysts	
Former	527 (45.1%)	and calculi. Thyroid findings included:		
Current	641 (54.9%)	findings included: adenomas	nent. Adrenal and	
Smoking pack-years, mean ± SD	53.68 ± 24.58	calcifications.		

Table 3. Incidental findings and radiologic rec Liver cysts or Kidr nodules n= 107 n (%) Stable or benign radiographic 48 (44.9%) features noted in report Follow-up recommendations 2 (1.9%) provided in report No recommendation reported, but follow-up indicated by 6 (5.6%) ACR guidelines

Table 4. Baseline characteristics of patients with severe CAC detected on LDCT (n=40, Jan 2018-April 2019 cohort)

	Patients seen by a cardiologist, n= 23	Patients not seen* by a cardiologist, n= 17	p-value
Age, y ± SD	67.8 ± 5.6	66.4 ± 3.6	0.318
Sex			0.088
Male, n(%)	10 (43.5%)	12 (70.6%)	
Female, n(%)	13 (56.5%)	5 (29.4%)	
Race			0.085
White, n(%)	13 (56.5%)	14 (82.4%)	
Black, n(%)	10 (43.5%)	3 (17.6%)	
Smoking status			0.131
Current smoker, n(%)	15 (65.2%)	7 (41.2%)	
Former smoker, n(%)	8 (34.8%)	10 (58.8%)	
Cardiovascular history			
Known ASCVD [#] , n(%)	19 (82.6%)	9 (52.9%)	0.043
Patients on statin therapy at baseline, n(%)	21 (91.3%)	14 (82.4%)	0.634
Prior coronary stent or CABG, n(%)	11 (47.8%)	4 (23.5%)	0.117
Patients with a cardiologist prior to LDCT, n(%)	18 (78.3%)	5 (29.4%)	0.002
*within up to 6 months of follow-up time after LDC	T		

[#]Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) includes: coronary heart disease (angina, MI, HF); cerebrovascular disease (CVA/TIA); peripheral artery disease; aortic atherosclerosis or aortic aneurysm

RESULTS

ommendations for follow-up					
ey =	y cysts 99	Thyroid nodules n= 73		Adrenal gland lesions n= 49	
n	(%)	n	(%)	n	(%)
7	(27.3%)	21	(28.8%)	36	(73.5%)
5	(5.1%)	13	(17.8%)	1	(2.0%)
-	-	3	(4.1%)	4	(8.2%)



Figure 2. Management, subsequent testing and cardiac events among patients with severe CAC detected on LDCT (n= 40, Jan 2018-April 2019 cohort). Patients seen by a cardiologist received medication changes and underwent additional testing more frequently than those who were not seen by a cardiologist within 6 months of CAC identification on LDCT.

- reported (Table 3).
- seen by a cardiologist (p<0.001) (Figure 2).

CONCLUSIONS and FUTURE DIRECTIONS

- opportunity for intervention.

•	The National Lung Screening Research Team. I Med 2011;365:395-409.
•	de Koning HJ, van der Aalst CM, de Jong PA, e J Med 2020;382:503-13.
•	Mazzone PJ, Silvestri GA, Patel S, et al. Scree
•	Ruane BM, Majeed A, Shusted CS, et al. Chara Screening. <i>C73</i> . <i>THORACIC ONCOLOGY</i> : <i>OPTIM</i> 2020:A5945.
•	Mayo-Smith WW, Song JH, Boland GL, et al. M Committee. <i>J Am Coll Radiol</i> . 2017;14(8):1038
•	Gore RM, Pickhardt PJ, Mortele KJ, et al. Man Committee. J Am Coll Radiol. 2017;14(11):142
•	Hoang JK, Langer JE, Middleton WD, et al. Ma

Management and outcomes among patients with severe CAC



DISCUSSION

The occurrence of incidental findings on LDCT for lung cancer screening was common, with 75% of screened patients demonstrating some degree of CAC (Table 2). Extrathoracic IFs included liver, kidney, thyroid, and adrenal glad lesions. • Among LDCT scans with extrathoracic IFs, follow-up recommendations were included in radiology reports in a minority of cases (1.9-17.8%). Specifically, 13 incidental findings warranted follow-up by ACR guidelines, but no recommendations were

Patients with severe CAC detected on LDCT for lung cancer screening have a high incidence of previously known ASCVD (Table 4). Patients referred to Cardiology undergo subsequent procedures at a significantly higher rate than patients with severe CAC not

• Our data demonstrate variability in radiologic reporting of IFs detected on screening LDCTs and in clinical follow-up of IFs. Inconsistent communication of incidental findings and their clinical relevance may lead to increased healthcare costs, unnecessary radiation exposure and procedures, and/or missed

Future directions include distribution of standardized guidelines for workup of IFs to accompany LDCT reporting to primary care providers, followed by reassessment of IF management.

REFERENCES

Reduced Lung-Cancer Mortality with Low-Dose Computed Tomographic Screening. N Engl J

et al. Reduced Lung-Cancer Mortality with Volume CT Screening in a Randomized Trial. N Engl ening for Lung Cancer: CHEST Guideline and Expert Panel Report. Chest 2018;153:954-85.

acterizing Patients with Severe Coronary Artery Calcifications Detected Through Lung Cancer MIZING LUNG CANCER SCREENING, DIAGNOSIS, AND SURGERY: American Thoracic Society;

Nanagement of Incidental Adrenal Masses: A White Paper of the ACR Incidental Findings 8-1044. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2017.05.001 agement of Incidental Liver Lesions on CT: A White Paper of the ACR Incidental Findings

29-1437. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2017.07.018 anaging incidental thyroid nodules detected on imaging: white paper of the ACR Incidental Thyroid Findings Committee. J Am Coll Radiol. 2015;12(2):143-150. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2014.09.038