

UMass Chan Medical School

eScholarship@UMassChan

---

COVID-19 Publications by UMMS Authors

---

2020-10-15

## RE: How the Coronavirus Disease-2019 May Improve Care: Rethinking Cervical Cancer Prevention

Cheryl R. Clark  
*Harvard Medical School*

*Et al.*

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: <https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/covid19>



Part of the [Community Health and Preventive Medicine Commons](#), [Female Urogenital Diseases and Pregnancy Complications Commons](#), [Health Services Administration Commons](#), [Infectious Disease Commons](#), [Neoplasms Commons](#), [Oncology Commons](#), [Preventive Medicine Commons](#), and the [Virus Diseases Commons](#)

---

### Repository Citation

Clark CR, Haas JS, Lemon SC, Freund KM, White KB, Marotta C, Wint AJ, LeClair AM, Lloyd-Travaglini C, Xiao V, Casanova N, Battaglia TA. (2020). RE: How the Coronavirus Disease-2019 May Improve Care: Rethinking Cervical Cancer Prevention. COVID-19 Publications by UMMS Authors. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djaa152>. Retrieved from <https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/covid19/138>

This material is brought to you by eScholarship@UMassChan. It has been accepted for inclusion in COVID-19 Publications by UMMS Authors by an authorized administrator of eScholarship@UMassChan. For more information, please contact [Lisa.Palmer@umassmed.edu](mailto:Lisa.Palmer@umassmed.edu).

## **RE: How the Coronavirus Disease-2019 May Improve Care: Rethinking Cervical Cancer Prevention**

Cheryl R. Clark, MD, ScD<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Jennifer S. Haas, MD, MSc.<sup>3,4</sup>, Stephenie C. Lemon, PhD<sup>5</sup>, Karen M. Freund, MD MPH<sup>6</sup>, Karen Burns White, MSc<sup>7</sup>, Caylin Marotta, MPH<sup>4</sup>, Amy J. Wint, MSc<sup>4</sup>, Amy M. LeClair, PhD, MPhil<sup>6</sup>, Christine Lloyd-Travaglini, MPH<sup>8</sup>, Victoria Xiao, BS<sup>9</sup>, Nicole Casanova, BA<sup>9</sup>, Tracy A. Battaglia, MD MPH<sup>9,10,11</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of General Internal Medicine and Primary Care, Brigham & Women's Hospital, 1620 Tremont St., 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Boston, MA, USA; <sup>2</sup>Center for Community Health and Health Equity, Brigham & Women's Hospital, 41 Avenue Louis Pasteur, Boston, MA USA; <sup>3</sup>Harvard Medical School, 25 Shattuck St., Boston, MA USA; <sup>4</sup>Division of General Internal Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, 100 Cambridge St, Suite 1600, Boston, MA USA;

<sup>5</sup>Division of Preventive and Behavioral Medicine, Department of Population and Quantitative Health Sciences, University of Massachusetts Medical School, 368 Plantation St., Worcester, MA USA; <sup>6</sup>Institute for Clinical Research and Health Policy Studies and the Department of Medicine, Tufts Medical Center, 800 Washington Street, Boston, MA USA

<sup>7</sup>Initiative to Eliminate Cancer Disparities, Dana-Farber/Harvard Cancer Center, 450 Brookline Avenue, Boston, MA, USA; <sup>8</sup>Biostatistics and Epidemiology Data Analytics Center, Boston University School of Public Health, 85 East Newton Street, Room 957A, Boston, MA USA

<sup>9</sup>Women's Health Unit, Section of General Internal Medicine, Boston Medical Center, 801 Massachusetts Avenue, Boston, MA USA; <sup>10</sup>Boston University School of Medicine, 72 East

Concord St., Boston, MA USA; <sup>11</sup>Evans Department of Medicine, Boston Medical Center, 88  
East Newton St., Boston, MA USA

Corresponding Author: Cheryl R. Clark M.D. Sc.D, Brigham & Women's Hospital, 1620  
Tremont St., 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Boston, MA 02120, 617-525-8441, [crclark@bwh.harvard.edu](mailto:crclark@bwh.harvard.edu)

Feldman and Haas have written a timely piece on the potential to enhance cancer prevention and cancer care delivery in the COVID-19 era.<sup>1</sup> Using cervical cancer prevention as a use case, the commentary describes clinical care provided via virtual platforms and in nontraditional settings, such as the patient's home, as areas needing creative approaches to ensure care is provided safely and efficiently. As we consider factors that are relevant to delivering effective cancer prevention and cancer care post-COVID, we suggest that addressing social determinants of health, an often-forgotten dimension of lived experience, should be prioritized as a strategy to enhance the equity of care provision.<sup>2</sup> Social determinants of health, including food and housing insecurity have been shown to impact outcomes of patients with cancer, through a number of mechanisms including delays and incomplete care.<sup>3</sup>

Successful approaches exist for addressing social determinants of health as a part of cancer care and prevention.<sup>3</sup> As an example, through the Translating Research into Practice (TRIP) study, we are working with oncology practices to implement a best-practice model of patient navigation among racially/ethnically diverse and low-income patients newly diagnosed with breast cancer, which includes screening patients for social needs and connecting them with services to promote timely breast cancer care.<sup>4</sup> Since the program began in 2018, we have observed that social needs in our breast cancer patients are profound and common: 43% of our patients have at least one social need at the time of diagnosis (Table 1). Patients' needs for assistance finding food (26%), paying for utilities (23%), and difficulties with unemployment (24%) were the most frequently identified. Securing housing, paying for treatment, and obtaining legal assistance were also identified as common concerns. Addressing foundational social needs is essential to providing equitable care so that all patients can receive care, in virtual or traditional settings.

Reframing cancer prevention and care delivery to address social determinants of health has policy implications, including implications for care payment models.<sup>5</sup> In the ways that the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) has broadened reimbursement for telemedicine and other virtual care, it is necessary to consider payment models and strategies to better fund navigators as critical resources to address social determinants of health that will increasingly become barriers and facilitators to receiving care in the COVID-19 era.<sup>5</sup> As we implement the TRIP navigation model, we have observed that 24% of patients in our eligible population have not received social needs screening, despite integration of the model within oncology programs. Performing screening is time-intensive and requires appropriate staffing and training. Allocating appropriate resources for implementing workflows for social care is a critical part of navigation to identify and address social needs.<sup>6</sup> Therefore, in addition to considerations raised by Feldman and colleagues, we also suggest cancer care guidelines be expanded to include formal recommendations for addressing social determinants of health, including appropriate staffing and funding for models of patient navigation to address social needs, as strategies to prioritize equity in the transformation of cancer care and prevention.<sup>5</sup>

## **Funding**

PI, UO1TR002070 NIH/NCATS “Translating Research into Practice” a multisite patient navigation intervention study.

## **Notes**

**Role of the funder:** The funder had no role in the design of the study; the collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data; the writing of the manuscript; and the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

**Disclosures:** Karen M Freund: Policy Task Force Chair – National Navigation Roundtable (no honorarium, travel to meetings support by the American Cancer Society); Consultant and travel to a national meeting, support by the National Minority Quality Forum; Tracy A Battaglia: Chair, National Navigation Roundtable (no honorarium, travel to meetings support by the American Cancer Society); Executive Committee Member, Boston Breast Cancer Equity Coalition (volunteer, no honorarium); The other authors have no competing interests to declare.

## References

- <sup>1</sup> Feldman S, Haas JS. How the Coronavirus Disease-2019 May Improve Care: Rethinking Cervical Cancer Prevention. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djaa089>.
- <sup>2</sup> Clark CR, Baril N, Kunicki M, et al. Addressing social determinants of health to improve access to early breast cancer detection: results of the Boston REACH 2010 Breast and Cervical Cancer Coalition Women's Health Demonstration Project. *J Womens Health (Larchmt).* 2009;18(5), 677-690. doi:10.1089/jwh.2008.0972.
- <sup>3</sup> Rodday, AM, Parsons, SK, Snyder, F, et al. (2015). Impact of patient navigation in eliminating economic disparities in cancer care. *Cancer*, 121(22), 4025–4034. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.29612>.
- <sup>4</sup> Battaglia, TA, Freund, KM, Haas, JS, et al. (2020). Translating research into practice: Protocol for a community-engaged, stepped wedge randomized trial to reduce disparities in breast cancer

treatment through a regional patient navigation collaborative. *Contemporary Clinical Trials*, 93,106007. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cct.2020.106007>.

<sup>5</sup>Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2020, August 14). *List of Telehealth Services* <https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-General-Information/Telehealth/Telehealth-Codes>

<sup>6</sup>Alcaraz, KI, Wiedt, TL, Daniels, EC, Yabroff, KR, Guerra, CE, & Wender, RC. Understanding and addressing social determinants to advance cancer health equity in the United States: A blueprint for practice, research, and policy. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* 2020; 70(1):31–46. <https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21586>.

Table 1. Demographics and social needs among breast cancer patients in the Translating Research into Practice (TRIP) Study

Characteristic	Total eligible TRIP patients, No. (%) (N=161)
Age, y	
<40	15 (9.3)
40-54	46 (28.6)
55-64	49 (30.4)
65 and older	51 (31.7)
Race/Ethnicity	
Hispanic	41 (25.5)
Non-Hispanic White	17 (10.6)
Non-Hispanic Black	86 (53.3)
Non-Hispanic Asian	17 (10.6)
Preferred language	
English Speaking	85 (52.8)
Non-English Speaking	76 (47.2)
Insurance <sup>a</sup>	
Private/Commercial	40 (24.8)
Medicare (including private/Medicare advantage)	29 (18.0)
Medicaid (including dual Medicare/Medicaid) or Uninsured	91 (56.5)
No. of Social Needs	
No needs reported	52 (32.3)
1	16 (9.9)
2	19 (11.8)
3+	35 (21.7)
Missing <sup>b</sup>	39 (24.3)

<sup>a</sup>Insurance status type could not be determined for 1 patient

<sup>b</sup>Missing responses includes patients who have not received social needs screening