Marquette University [e-Publications@Marquette](https://epublications.marquette.edu/)

[Biological Sciences Faculty Research and](https://epublications.marquette.edu/bio_fac)

Biological Sciences, Department of

5-2020

First Report of Sex Chromosomes in Night Lizards (Scincoidea: Xantusiidae)

Stuart V. Nielsen Marquette University

Brendan John Pinto Marquette University

Irán Andira Guzmán-Méndez University of Minnesota

Tony Gamble Marquette University, anthony.gamble@marquette.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [https://epublications.marquette.edu/bio_fac](https://epublications.marquette.edu/bio_fac?utm_source=epublications.marquette.edu%2Fbio_fac%2F796&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Biology Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/41?utm_source=epublications.marquette.edu%2Fbio_fac%2F796&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Nielsen, Stuart V.; Pinto, Brendan John; Guzmán-Méndez, Irán Andira; and Gamble, Tony, "First Report of Sex Chromosomes in Night Lizards (Scincoidea: Xantusiidae)" (2020). Biological Sciences Faculty Research and Publications. 796.

[https://epublications.marquette.edu/bio_fac/796](https://epublications.marquette.edu/bio_fac/796?utm_source=epublications.marquette.edu%2Fbio_fac%2F796&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Marquette University

e-Publications@Marquette

Biological Sciences Faculty Research and Publications/College of Arts and Sciences

This paper is NOT THE PUBLISHED VERSION; **but the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript.** The published version may be accessed by following the link in the citation below.

Journal of Heredity, Vol. 111, No. 3 (May 2020): 307-313. [DOI.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esaa007) This article is © The American Genetic Association and permission has been granted for this version to appear in [e-Publications@Marquette.](http://epublications.marquette.edu/) The American Genetic Association does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from The American Genetic Association.

First Report of Sex Chromosomes in Night Lizards (Scincoidea: Xantusiidae)

Stuart V Nielsen

Florida Museum of Natural History, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL Department of Biological Sciences, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI

Brendan J Pinto

Department of Biological Sciences, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI Milwaukee Public Museum, Milwaukee, WI

Irán Andira Guzmán-Méndez

Bell Museum of Natural History, University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, MN

Tony Gamble

Department of Biological Sciences, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI Bell Museum of Natural History, University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, MN Milwaukee Public Museum, Milwaukee, WI

Abstract

Squamate reptiles (lizards, snakes, and amphibians) are an outstanding group for studying sex chromosome evolution—they are old, speciose, geographically widespread, and exhibit myriad sexdetermining modes. Yet, the vast majority of squamate species lack heteromorphic sex chromosomes. Cataloging the sex chromosome systems of species lacking easily identifiable, heteromorphic sex chromosomes, therefore, is essential before we are to fully understand the evolution of vertebrate sex chromosomes. Here, we use restriction site-associated DNA sequencing (RADseq) to classify the sex chromosome system of the granite night lizard, *Xantusia henshawi*. RADseq is an effective alternative to traditional cytogenetic methods for determining a species' sex chromosome system (i.e., XX/XY or ZZ/ZW), particularly in taxa with non-differentiated sex chromosomes. Although many xantusiid lineages have been karyotyped, none possess heteromorphic sex chromosomes. We identified a ZZ/ZW sex chromosome system in *X. henshawi*—the first such data for this family. Furthermore, we report that the *X. henshawi* sex chromosome contains fragments of genes found on *Gallus gallus* chromosomes 7, 12, and 18 (which are homologous to *Anolis carolinensis* chromosome 2), the first vertebrate sex chromosomes to utilize this linkage group.

Keywords

karyotype evolution, RADseq, reptile, sex chromosome evolution, Squamata

Sex chromosomes have evolved repeatedly and independently in various animal lineages. Species, where males are the heterogametic sex, are said to have an XX/XY sex chromosome system, and the inverse, female heterogamety, is called ZZ/ZW (Bull [1983;](javascript:;) [Graves](javascript:;) 2008). The majority of what we know about sex chromosomes is chiefly based on a few extraordinary taxa that exhibit heteromorphic sex chromosomes (such as mammals and *Drosophila* [XX/XY] or birds and lepidopterans [ZZ/ZW]). Yet most animal species possess morphologically similar, or homomorphic, sex chromosomes, or lack sex chromosomes altogether (Devlin and [Nagahama](javascript:;) 2002; [Matsubara](javascript:;) et al. 2006; [Stöck](javascript:;) et al. [2011;](javascript:;) Gamble and [Zarkower](javascript:;) 2014; Otto [2014\)](javascript:;). Because traditional cytogenetic techniques fail to identify instances of homomorphic sex chromosomes, sex chromosome systems across much of the tree of life remain largely unknown [\(Charlesworth](javascript:;) and Mank 2010). Recently, improved cytogenetic and sequencing technologies have permitted the identification of sex chromosome systems in taxa with homomorphic sex chromosomes, generating a renewed interest in the discovery and classification of sex chromosome systems across previously intractable vertebrate taxa.

Squamates (>10 000 species of lizards, snakes, and amphisbaenians; Uetz et al. [2017\)](javascript:;) are an exceptional clade for studying sex chromosome evolution. They exhibit myriad sex-determining modes, including temperature-dependent (TSD) and genetic (GSD) sex determination, with both male and female heterogamety, and many independent transitions among them (Bull [1980;](javascript:;) [Wapstra](javascript:;) et al. [2007;](javascript:;) Ezaz et al. [2009;](javascript:;) Pokorná and [Kratochvíl](javascript:;) 2009; [Gamble](javascript:;) 2010; [Gamble](javascript:;) et al. 2015). Unfortunately, even at the family level, we still lack this basic information for the vast majority of squamate lineages (Pokorná and [Kratochvíl](javascript:;) 2009; [Gamble](javascript:;) et al. 2015). For example, within the Scincomorpha, a clade comprised of skinks, cordylids, plated lizards, and night lizards, we only know the sex chromosome systems in a handful of species, all within a single family, Scincidae (skinks; ~1660 sp.). Yet within this

clade, both male and female heterogamety occur (albeit only one instance of the latter; see [Patawang](javascript:;) et al. [2018\)](javascript:;), as well as a few accounts of TSD in at least 2 families (see references in [Pokorná](javascript:;) and [Kratochvíl](javascript:;) 2009; [Gamble](javascript:;) et al. 2015), although it is possible that these findings may need reevaluation given that extreme temperatures can override an underlying genetic sex-determining mechanism in some squamate species [\(Sarre](javascript:;) et al. 2004; [Radder](javascript:;) et al. 2008; [Holleley](javascript:;) et al. 2015). We still lack any data for the Cordylidae (girdled lizards; 68 sp.), Gerrhosauridae (plated lizards; 37 sp.) or–the focus of the present study–Xantusiidae (night lizards; 35 sp.), and this paucity of data limits our ability to study macro-evolutionary patterns of sex chromosome evolution both across this clade, and in squamates as a whole. Consequently, a concerted effort to categorize sex chromosome systems in these and other data deficient clades is essential.

Xantusiidae is composed of 3 genera endemic to the New World: the monotypic and biogeographically enigmatic *Cricosaura*, restricted to southwestern Cuba; *Lepidophyma* (20 spp.) broadly distributed throughout Middle America; and *Xantusia* (14 spp.) equally broadly distributed (but entirely nonoverlapping with *Lepidophyma*) in the southwestern United States and northwestern Mexico [\(Noonan](javascript:;) et al. 2013). Published karyotype data across Xantusiidae has identified that diploid chromosome complements vary from 2n = 24 to 2n = 40, although within *Xantusia* the karyotypic formula is highly conserved with all assessed species displaying the latter (as a side note, there was a single report of 2n = 42 in *X. henshawi* [\[Matthey](javascript:;) 1931], yet Bezy [\[1972\]](javascript:;) suggests this was very likely an error and all subsequent work, albeit limited, has only recovered 2n = 40 karyotypic formulas in the genus [Bezy and [Villela](javascript:;) 1999]). Within *Lepidophyma* there are also 2, independently derived, allfemale—and presumably parthenogenetic—lineages, *L. reticulatum*, and *L. flavimaculatum*. Unlike other parthenogenetic, "asexual" lizards, these lineages do not appear to be of hybrid origin [\(Bezy](javascript:;) and Sites Jr. [1987;](javascript:;) [Sinclair](javascript:;) et al. 2010; [Noonan](javascript:;) et al. 2013) and Bezy [\(1972\)](javascript:;) reported a karyotype of 3n = 57 in one all-female population of *L. flavimaculatum*. There is no evidence of heteromorphic sex chromosomes within the family (Bezy [1972;](javascript:;) Bull [1980;](javascript:;) Janzen and [Paukstis](javascript:;) 1991).

Here, we employ restriction site-associated DNA sequencing (RADseq) to identify the sex chromosome system in *Xantusia henshawi*. RADseq is useful to identify sex chromosome systems in a variety of taxa, particularly for species that lack cytogenetically distinct sex chromosomes—for example, most squamate lizards (Ezaz et al. [2009;](javascript:;) [Baxter](javascript:;) et al. 2011; [Gamble](javascript:;) et al. 2015, [2017;](javascript:;) [Gamble](javascript:;) 2016). This methodology involves generating RADseq data from multiple males and females, then isolating sexspecific RAD markers found in only one of the 2 sexes [\(Willing](javascript:;) et al. 2011; Gamble and [Zarkower](javascript:;) 2014). Logically, such sex-specific RAD markers must be on sex-specific regions of the genome (i.e., the Y or W chromosomes) and taxa exhibiting a disproportionate number of male-specific markers are presumed to have an XX/XY system, and vice versa for species with a ZZ/ZW system [\(Gamble](javascript:;) et al. [2015,](javascript:;) [2017;](javascript:;) [Nielsen](javascript:;) et al. 2018). We here identify a ZZ/ZW sex chromosome system in *X. henshawi* the first such data for any xantusiid lizard—which reveals a previously unknown transition in sex chromosome systems within Scincomorpha. We also discuss homology with other vertebrate sex chromosomes.

Materials and Methods

We extracted genomic DNA using the Qiagen® DNeasy Blood and Tissue extraction kit from tail clips, or liver, from 10 adult male and 9 adult female *X. henshawi* collected from Imperial and San Diego

counties in southern California [\(Figure](javascript:;) 1, [Supplementary](https://oup.silverchair-cdn.com/oup/backfile/Content_public/Journal/jhered/111/3/10.1093_jhered_esaa007/2/esaa007_suppl_supplementary_material.docx?Expires=1605543852&Signature=cwc6C32-atOvNomx2M4bHdNe~WTEtpeChH5G1nnD4WTmSyHDbI8W~zQrO4Q~ghduWcmyvhjALORKcMRmf17kWn1rOjyItkcQpXwqsHVPEXfeqVXCcnKDQCDfdYMfXCVxT2Rc~AD5YhpwyGW8ynpIjY79OJ2ly4GB9ep5FAX~yOI-lS8-uk8KpxbdLw7~FklsDxmvRX6oYylmtSdt1TAwqN2NpmdqTiuoML6qQXT1GgY3jQe7x8eB0~83TInpHdxo9b2LlCuUyHJtbNcpX3TuJrmAxFeB7QrC~NWCBT~11LitumZ5bTO7xvOK3DQjMWg2M4SQWCrE8NKc8ZJuT30gBw__&Key-Pair-Id=APKAIE5G5CRDK6RD3PGA) Table S1). RADseq libraries were constructed following a modified protocol from Etter et al. [\(2011\),](javascript:;) as described in [Gamble](javascript:;) et al. (2015). Genomic DNA was digested using high-fidelity *SbfI* restriction enzyme (New England Biolabs). Individually barcoded P1 adapters were ligated to the *SbfI* cut site for each sample. We pooled samples into multiple libraries, sonicated, and size selected into 200- to 500-bp fragments using magnetic beads in a PEG/NaCl buffer [\(Rohland](javascript:;) and Reich 2012). Libraries were blunt-end repaired and dA-tailed before ligating P2 adapters containing unique Illumina[®] barcodes to each pooled library. We amplified libraries via polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (16 cycles) with Q5 high-fidelity DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs[®]) and cleaned/size selected a second time using the Qiagen[®] GeneRead Size Selection Kit. Libraries were pooled and sequenced using paired-end 150 bp reads on an Illumina HiSeqX at the Novogene Corporation (Davis, CA).

We demultiplexed, trimmed, and filtered raw Illumina reads using the process radtags function in STACKS [v2.2] [\(Catchen](javascript:;) et al. 2011). We used RADtools [v1.2.4] [\(Baxter](javascript:;) et al. 2011) to generate candidate alleles for each individual and candidate loci across all individuals from the forward reads using previously described parameters [\(Gamble](javascript:;) et al. 2015, [2017\)](javascript:;). From these reads, we identified putative sex-specific markers from the RADtools output using a custom python script [\(Gamble](javascript:;) et al. [2015;](javascript:;) [Nielsen](javascript:;) et al. 2019b). This script also produced a second list of "confirmed" sex-specific RAD markers, which are a subset of the initial list of sex-specific RAD markers that excludes any sex-specific marker that also appears in the original raw reads files from the opposite sex from further consideration (Gamble and [Zarkower](javascript:;) 2014; [Gamble](javascript:;) et al. 2015). We assembled forward and reverse reads from the confirmed sex-specific RAD markers into sex-specific RAD contigs using Geneious[®] v10 [\(Kearse](javascript:;) et al. 2012). We then used these confirmed RAD contigs to design sex-specific PCR primers, also in Geneious® v10, and validated the sex specificity of a subset of confirmed female-specific markers using PCR [\(Supplementary](https://oup.silverchair-cdn.com/oup/backfile/Content_public/Journal/jhered/111/3/10.1093_jhered_esaa007/2/esaa007_suppl_supplementary_material.docx?Expires=1605543852&Signature=cwc6C32-atOvNomx2M4bHdNe~WTEtpeChH5G1nnD4WTmSyHDbI8W~zQrO4Q~ghduWcmyvhjALORKcMRmf17kWn1rOjyItkcQpXwqsHVPEXfeqVXCcnKDQCDfdYMfXCVxT2Rc~AD5YhpwyGW8ynpIjY79OJ2ly4GB9ep5FAX~yOI-lS8-uk8KpxbdLw7~FklsDxmvRX6oYylmtSdt1TAwqN2NpmdqTiuoML6qQXT1GgY3jQe7x8eB0~83TInpHdxo9b2LlCuUyHJtbNcpX3TuJrmAxFeB7QrC~NWCBT~11LitumZ5bTO7xvOK3DQjMWg2M4SQWCrE8NKc8ZJuT30gBw__&Key-Pair-Id=APKAIE5G5CRDK6RD3PGA) Table S2). We performed a touchdown (TCHDN) PCR where the initial annealing temperature was 67 °C, then decreased by 0.4 °C per cycle for 15 cycles, followed by 20 additional cycles at 61 °C. All other PCR conditions followed the standard GoTaq[®] Green master mix protocol (Promega® Corporation).

Due to differences in sex-specific PCR amplification between localities, we performed additional population demographic analyses using STACKS. We split the individuals into 2 "populations" representing the 2 collection localities in Imperial and San Diego counties (a straight-line distance of approx. 70 km; see [Figure](javascript:;) 1, [Supplementary](https://oup.silverchair-cdn.com/oup/backfile/Content_public/Journal/jhered/111/3/10.1093_jhered_esaa007/2/esaa007_suppl_supplementary_material.docx?Expires=1605543852&Signature=cwc6C32-atOvNomx2M4bHdNe~WTEtpeChH5G1nnD4WTmSyHDbI8W~zQrO4Q~ghduWcmyvhjALORKcMRmf17kWn1rOjyItkcQpXwqsHVPEXfeqVXCcnKDQCDfdYMfXCVxT2Rc~AD5YhpwyGW8ynpIjY79OJ2ly4GB9ep5FAX~yOI-lS8-uk8KpxbdLw7~FklsDxmvRX6oYylmtSdt1TAwqN2NpmdqTiuoML6qQXT1GgY3jQe7x8eB0~83TInpHdxo9b2LlCuUyHJtbNcpX3TuJrmAxFeB7QrC~NWCBT~11LitumZ5bTO7xvOK3DQjMWg2M4SQWCrE8NKc8ZJuT30gBw__&Key-Pair-Id=APKAIE5G5CRDK6RD3PGA) Table S1) and estimated F_{ST}, Φ _{ST}, and FIS for each population in order to approximate the divergence and allelic diversity within and between populations. To confirm that these populations were genetically distinct sub-populations, and not artifacts of binning-by-locality, we de novo assembled RAD markers under a stringent set of assembly criteria using ipyrad [v0.7.29] (Eaton and [Overcast](javascript:;) 2016; [https://github.com/dereneaton/ipyrad\)](https://github.com/dereneaton/ipyrad) and conducted an unbiased population genetic assessment using STRUCTURE [v2.3.4] [\(Falush](javascript:;) et al. 2007) to confirm whether allelic populations were strictly subdivided by locality. We tested values of K (from 1 to 4) repeating 3 independent MCMC chains of 150 000 replicates, each with a 10% burn-in.

We attempted to assess synteny between the newly identified sex-specific RAD markers in *X. henshawi* with chicken (*Gallus gallus*) and anole (*Anolis carolinensis*) chromosomes. These genomes are well-annotated and widely used as references for comparative genomics among amniotes [\(Hillier](javascript:;)

et al. [2004;](javascript:;) [Alföldi](javascript:;) et al. 2011; [Pokorná](javascript:;) et al. 2011; [O'Meally](javascript:;) et al. 2012). We performed BLAST [\(Altschul](javascript:;) et al. 1990) of the assembled female-specific RAD contigs to chicken CDSs (using Ensembl; [Zerbino](javascript:;) et al. 2017), implemented in Geneious[®] [v10] [\(Kearse](javascript:;) et al. 2012) with a maximum Evalue cutoff of 1e⁻⁵⁰ and word size of 15 bp.

Results

Output from the RADtools analysis recovered 133 388 RAD markers with 2 or fewer alleles, including 0 male-specific and 296 female-specific RAD markers. Of these, we identified 0 confirmed male-specific RAD markers and 267 confirmed female-specific RAD markers. "Confirmed" sex-specific markers, as described above, are a subset of the total number of sex-specific RAD markers that excludes RAD markers that occurred in the raw reads files of the opposite sex. From this pool of confirmed, femalespecific RAD contigs, we designed 15 primer pairs, only one of which amplified in a sex-specific manner across all samples [\(Figure](javascript:;) 2). One additional primer pair (Xan96) amplified in a sex-specific manner, but only in individuals from the San Diego Co. population.

Figure 1. A digital elevation map showing the distribution of *Xantusia henshawi* in southern California (shaded portion in inset) and the 2 sampling localities mentioned in the text (black stars). Please see the online version for full colors.

Table 1. Results from BLAST of the female-specific *Xantusia henshawi* RAD contigs against chicken (*Gallus gallus*) and anole (*Anolis carolinensis*) genes demonstrating synteny with anole chromosome 2 and avian chromosomes 7, 12, and 18

Differences in PCR amplification between populations led us to postulate about the degree of divergence between "San Diego" and "Imperial" populations ([Supplementary](https://oup.silverchair-cdn.com/oup/backfile/Content_public/Journal/jhered/111/3/10.1093_jhered_esaa007/2/esaa007_suppl_supplementary_material.docx?Expires=1605543852&Signature=cwc6C32-atOvNomx2M4bHdNe~WTEtpeChH5G1nnD4WTmSyHDbI8W~zQrO4Q~ghduWcmyvhjALORKcMRmf17kWn1rOjyItkcQpXwqsHVPEXfeqVXCcnKDQCDfdYMfXCVxT2Rc~AD5YhpwyGW8ynpIjY79OJ2ly4GB9ep5FAX~yOI-lS8-uk8KpxbdLw7~FklsDxmvRX6oYylmtSdt1TAwqN2NpmdqTiuoML6qQXT1GgY3jQe7x8eB0~83TInpHdxo9b2LlCuUyHJtbNcpX3TuJrmAxFeB7QrC~NWCBT~11LitumZ5bTO7xvOK3DQjMWg2M4SQWCrE8NKc8ZJuT30gBw__&Key-Pair-Id=APKAIE5G5CRDK6RD3PGA) Table S1). First, we analyzed these 2 populations as distinct entities. Using STACKS software, we estimated the mean F_{ST} and Φ_{ST} between populations as 0.38 and 0.57, respectively, indicating that these populations are highly divergent. Additionally, the inbreeding coefficient (*FIS*) approached 0 for each population (at 0.01 and −0.05, respectively), indicating that each is close to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. To confirm that our sampling was concordant with these population demographic inferences, we analyzed the population genetic sub-structure under 3 alternative hypotheses using STRUCTURE. Indeed, the mostlikely value was *K* = 2 and enforcing higher values of K (3 and 4) yielded no additional allelic populations [\(Supplementary](https://oup.silverchair-cdn.com/oup/backfile/Content_public/Journal/jhered/111/3/10.1093_jhered_esaa007/2/esaa007_suppl_supplementary_material.docx?Expires=1605543852&Signature=cwc6C32-atOvNomx2M4bHdNe~WTEtpeChH5G1nnD4WTmSyHDbI8W~zQrO4Q~ghduWcmyvhjALORKcMRmf17kWn1rOjyItkcQpXwqsHVPEXfeqVXCcnKDQCDfdYMfXCVxT2Rc~AD5YhpwyGW8ynpIjY79OJ2ly4GB9ep5FAX~yOI-lS8-uk8KpxbdLw7~FklsDxmvRX6oYylmtSdt1TAwqN2NpmdqTiuoML6qQXT1GgY3jQe7x8eB0~83TInpHdxo9b2LlCuUyHJtbNcpX3TuJrmAxFeB7QrC~NWCBT~11LitumZ5bTO7xvOK3DQjMWg2M4SQWCrE8NKc8ZJuT30gBw__&Key-Pair-Id=APKAIE5G5CRDK6RD3PGA) Figure S1). These metrics of population structure provide support that these sampled *Xantusia* populations are divergent, and under Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, confirming this divergence as a plausible explanation for the population-biased, sex specificity in PCR amplification.

BLAST queries of the 267 female-specific RAD contigs against chicken genes resulted in 4 hits, matching genes on chicken chromosomes 7, 12, and 18 [\(Table](javascript:;) 1). BLAST queries against anole genes resulted in 16 hits, with half matching genes on chromosome 2 (homologous to chicken 12 and 18), and additional singletons matching genes on chromosomes 1, 3, and unmapped scaffolds [\(Table](javascript:;) 1). The 4 hits in chicken were a subset of the anole matches and matched a chicken homolog in anole, that is, anole chromosome 2.

Discussion

The combined results–an excess of female-specific RAD markers and PCR amplification only in females—are indicative of a ZZ/ZW sex chromosome system in *X. henshawi* [\(Figure](javascript:;) 2). This is the first evidence of sex chromosomes in the genus *Xantusia*, and family Xantusiidae, increasing our scant knowledge concerning the phylogenetic distribution of sex chromosomes within Scincomorpha [\(Figure](javascript:;) [3\)](javascript:;). Though recent work has noted that a few, particularly speciose squamate lineages possess highly conserved sex chromosomes [\(Vicoso](javascript:;) et al. 2013; [Gamble](javascript:;) et al. 2014; [Rovatsos](javascript:;) et al. [2014,](javascript:;) [2015,](javascript:;) [2016,](javascript:;) [2019\)](javascript:;), many other squamate clades show a high incidence of turnover among sexdetermining mechanisms [\(Sarre](javascript:;) et al. 2004; Ezaz et al. [2009;](javascript:;) [Gamble](javascript:;) et al. 2015; [Rovatsos](javascript:;) et al. [2016;](javascript:;) [Gamble](javascript:;) et al. 2017; [Nielsen](javascript:;) et al. 2018, [2019b\)](javascript:;), particularly when compared to mammals and birds—clades that possess highly conserved, heteromorphic sex chromosome systems (e.g., [Shetty](javascript:;) et al. [1999;](javascript:;) [Graves](javascript:;) 2006; [Ellegren](javascript:;) 2010). The discovery of ZZ/ZW sex chromosomes in Xantusiidae implies that at least 2 transitions between XX/XY and ZZ/ZW systems have occurred within the Scincomorpha, implicating this group as another that may possess high incidence of sex chromosome turnover.

Figure 2. PCR validation of 2 female-specific RAD markers in *Xantusia henshawi*. Marker Xan233 amplified in a female-specific manner in both populations, generating a strong, single (W-specific) band in females. Marker Xan96 in San Diego individuals had a weak, presumably Z-specific, band in most individuals and a strong, W-specific band in females, but failed to amplify in females from Imperial Co ([Table](javascript:;) 1). Specimen ID numbers are listed below each lane. NC = negative control. Please see the online version for full colors.

Figure 3. A time-calibrated phylogeny of the Scincomorpha (skink lizards and their allies), modified from [Tonini](javascript:;) et al. [\(2016\)](javascript:;). Sex chromosome systems, if known, are indicated by colored circles to the left of taxon names (pie segments indicate presence not frequency). Series of numbers under taxon names indicate diploid (2n) chromosomal complement (when known), the subset that have been karyotyped, and the number that exhibit heteromorphic sex chromosomes (*indicates the peculiar formula, 3n = 57, observed in a parthenogenetic lineage of *Lepidophyma*). Data from: Bezy [1972](javascript:;); Bezy and [Villela](javascript:;) 1999; Olmo and [Signorino](javascript:;) 2005; [Hass](javascript:;) and [Hedges](javascript:;) 2006; Bezy et al. [2008](javascript:;); Pokorná and [Kratochvíl](javascript:;) 2009; [Gamble](javascript:;) et al. 2015; [Patawang](javascript:;) et al. [2018](javascript:;). Note that the TSD reported in some skink species may be coincident with sex chromosomes and, upon closer examination, may represent temperature-influenced sex reversal ([Valenzuela](javascript:;) et al. 2003; [Pokorná](javascript:;) and [Kratochvíl](javascript:;) 2009; [Gamble](javascript:;) et al. 2015). Arrows indicate additional taxa that were evaluated using the sexspecific loci we developed in this study (see text). Please see the online version for full colors.

It is worth noting that several male samples exhibit secondary or "ghost" bands on the gel that are the same size as female-specific RAD markers [\(Figure](javascript:;) 2; e.g., RAD marker Xan233; males TG3514, TG3520, and TG3522). These weakly amplified, secondary products have been observed in the PCR of sexspecific RAD markers in other species [\(Gamble](javascript:;) et al. 2015; [Nielsen](javascript:;) et al. 2019a) and are not altogether unexpected. While the PCR primers were designed using W-specific sequences, these secondary bands likely result from sequence similarities in the primer binding sites on the Z and W chromosomes (Fowler and [Buonaccorsi](javascript:;) 2016; [Gamble](javascript:;) 2016; [Gamble](javascript:;) et al. 2018). Sequence similarities are expected given that the Z and W evolved from a single autosomal pair and may share considerable sequence similarity, particularly in young, newly evolved sex chromosomes. Thus, PCR primers designed to amplify W-specific regions share sequence homology with Z-linked regions and, in the absence of their preferential binding sites on the W chromosome, may bind degenerately to these Z regions and produce low-quality amplicons. Nevertheless, clear differences in band intensity on the gel make it easy to distinguish male and female samples.

The RADseq methodology used herein has been pivotal in discovering previously unknown sex chromosome systems across vertebrates (Gamble and [Zarkower](javascript:;) 2014; [Gamble](javascript:;) et al. 2015; [Fowler](javascript:;) and [Buonaccorsi](javascript:;) 2016; [Gamble](javascript:;) et al. 2017, [2018;](javascript:;) [Nielsen](javascript:;) et al. 2018, [2019a\)](javascript:;). One of the desired byproducts of the RADseq methodology is a species- and sex-specific PCR assay [\(Gamble](javascript:;) 2016). Yet, in this study, the population genetic structure of *X. henshawi* reduced the efficiency of developing a PCR-based molecular marker that works across sampled populations [\(Figure](javascript:;) 2). Population-specific changes can accumulate quickly on the non-recombining region of the Y or W chromosome due to their smaller

effective population size (N_e) and lack of gene flow, increasing the strength and effects of drift [\(Bachtrog](javascript:;) et al. 2011; [Gamble](javascript:;) et al. 2015; [Wilson](javascript:;) 2018). Sampling across populations has the potential to produce molecular markers that target more conserved regions of the Y or W chromosome, and these conserved regions are more likely to amplify in a sex-specific pattern across closely related species. Thus, by sampling across populations, at the cost of the total number of RAD loci, one can potentially develop PCR primers that have a higher probability of amplifying sex-specifically in more divergent populations, and even other species (Gamble and [Zarkower](javascript:;) 2014; Fowler and [Buonaccorsi](javascript:;) [2016;](javascript:;) [Hundt](javascript:;) et al. 2019). However, as we show here, success is difficult to predict.

The lack of karyotypic diversity within *Xantusia* (as opposed to across all xantusiids) is remarkable, as all species with known karyotypes possess $2n = 40$ [\(Figure](javascript:;) 3). Based on similar patterns in other vertebrate clades (e.g., birds; **[Ellegren](javascript:;) 2010**), this may be indicative of a conserved sex chromosome system. However, when we attempted to use the sex-specific loci we developed in this study on a limited number of samples of known sex for 2 additional *Xantusia* species (*X. vigilis* and *X. riversiana*; taxa with arrows in **[Figure](javascript:;) 3**) that span the diversity of the genus, results were inconclusive; the markers either failed to amplify, or there was no difference in amplification between sexes (Xan96 and Xan233, respectively; results not shown). Although this outcome unfortunately highlights one of the shortcomings of this and other molecular marker generating methodologies—that is, occasionally, sexspecific loci only amplify in the species for which they were developed—it suggests that the rapid evolution of Y (and W) chromosomes [\(Wilson](javascript:;) 2018) and perhaps the presence of multiple substitutions/indels in primer binding sites [\(Gamble](javascript:;) et al. 2018), cumulatively lower the success of interspecific PCR. However, such results are perhaps anticipated given the overly conservative nature of the PCR validation step [\(Gamble](javascript:;) et al. 2015; [Gamble](javascript:;) 2016). With the addition of a modest genome assembly, we suspect these markers could be refined to work across multiple related taxa [\(Gamble](javascript:;) et al. [2018\)](javascript:;). Such data would substantially improve our ability to test whether karyotype stability is disassociated with rates and patterns of speciation in this clade.

The *X*. *henshawi* Z chromosome is composed of genes syntenic to chicken chromosomes 7, 12, and 18 (which in turn are syntenic with anole chromosome 2; [Deakin](javascript:;) et al. 2016). To our knowledge, this is the first time this combination of chicken chromosomes have been reported to have a role in sex determination [\(Nielsen](javascript:;) et al. 2019a). Although some research suggests that certain linkage groups might be more likely to be recruited into a sex-determining role (supported by the homology of gene content and arrangement across divergent lineages; Graves and [Peichel](javascript:;) 2010; [O'Meally](javascript:;) et al. 2012), limited empirical work suggests that any linkage group can be recruited into a sex-determining role, and thus any chromosome could become a sex chromosome [\(Hodgkin](javascript:;) 2002). Distinguishing between these hypotheses is, at present, difficult given our scant knowledge of sex chromosome identity across amniotes [\(Graves](javascript:;) 2008; [Deakin](javascript:;) and Ezaz 2019). Although there is no known master sex-determining genes in squamate reptiles, *Sox9*, a gene crucial for testis differentiation (Da Silva et al. [1996\)](javascript:;), is located on chicken chromosome 18 and most likely occurs on anole chromosome 2 [\(Srikulnath](javascript:;) et al. [2015;](javascript:;) [Deakin](javascript:;) et al. 2016; [Zerbino](javascript:;) et al. 2017). Future work ascertaining the possible role of *Sox9* in *Xantusia* sex determination could be illuminating. Although we are just scratching the surface as to whether some chromosomes may be "better" at being sex chromosomes than others, the current results continue to build the groundwork to ask further questions about the nature of sex chromosome evolution.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary material is available at *Journal of Heredity* online.

Table S1. Samples used in this study.

Table S2. PCR primers used to validate female-specific RAD markers in *Xantusia henshawi*. PCR followed a touchdown (TCHDN) protocol where initial annealing temp was set at 67°C but then decreased 0.2°C per cycle for 35 cycles (see Methods for more details). (*successfully amplified only in females from San Diego Co.)

Fig. S1. Distruct plots from K=1–4 showing the clear population subdivision between *Xantusia* populations from San Diego and Imperial counties in California.

Funding

This study was supported by National Science Foundation (NSF-DEB1657662) and Marquette University startup funds to T.G., and I.A.G.M. was supported by Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología/National Council for Science and Technology (CONACYT) 2018-000022-01EXTV-00437.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the state of California–Department of Fish and Wildlife for granting the necessary scientific collecting permit (SC-13842) and local landowners for access to their property. All experiments were carried out in accordance with animal use protocols at Marquette University (AR288). This manuscript was improved by the helpful comments of 2 reviewers, Dan Jeffries and Michail Rovatsos, and the editorial guidance of Melissa Wilson, to whom we wish to express our gratitude.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

Data Availability

Sequencing reads are deposited with NCBI's SRA under the BioProject PRJNA527120.

References

- Alföldi J, Di Palma F, Grabherr M, Williams C, Kong L, Mauceli E, Russell P, Lowe CB, Glor RE, Jaffe JD, *et al*. 2011. The genome of the green anole lizard and a comparative analysis with birds and mammals. *Nature*. 477:587–591.
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol*. 215:403–410.
- Bachtrog D, Kirkpatrick M, Mank JE, McDaniel SF, Pires JC, Rice WR, Valenzuela N. 2011. Are all sex chromosomes created equal? *Trends Genet*. 27:350–357.
- Baxter SW, Davey JW, Johnston JS, Shelton AM, Heckel DG, Jiggins CD, Blaxter ML. 2011. Linkage mapping and comparative genomics using next-generation RAD sequencing of a non-model organism (ed PK Ingvarsson). *PLoS One*. 6:e19315.
- Bezy RL. 1972. Karyotypic variation and evolution of the lizards in the family Xantusidae. *Los Angeles County Museum Contributions in Science*. 227:1–31.
- Bezy RL, Bezy KB, Bolles K. 2008. Two new species of night lizards (*Xantusia*) from Mexico. *J Herpetol*. 42:680.
- Bezy RL, Sites Jr JW. 1987. A preliminary study of allozyme evolution in the lizard family Xantusiidae. *Herpetologica*. 43:280–292.
- Bezy RL, Villela OF. 1999. A new species of *Xantusia* (Squamata: Xantusiidae) from Zacatecas, Mexico. *Herpetologica*. 55:174–184.
- Bull JJ. 1980. Sex determination in reptiles. *Q Rev Biol*. 55:3–21.
- Bull JJ. 1983. *Evolution of sex determining mechanisms*. Menlo Park, CA: Benjamin Cummings Publishing Company, Inc.
- Catchen JM, Amores A, Hohenlohe P, Cresko W, Postlethwait JH. 2011. Stacks: building and genotyping loci de novo from short-read sequences (ed D-J De Koning). *G3 Genes Genomes Genet*. 1:171– 182.
- Charlesworth D, Mank JE. 2010. The birds and the bees and the flowers and the trees: lessons from genetic mapping of sex determination in plants and animals. *Genetics*. 186:9–31.
- Da Silva SM, Hacker A, Harley V, Goodfellow P, Swain A, Lovell-Badge R. 1996. Sox9 expression during gonadal development implies a conserved role for the gene in testis differentiation in mammals and birds. *Nat Genet*. 14:62.
- Deakin JE, Edwards MJ, Patel H, O'Meally D, Lian J, Stenhouse R, Ryan S, Livernois AM, Azad B, Holleley CE, *et al*. 2016. Anchoring genome sequence to chromosomes of the central bearded dragon (*Pogona vitticeps*) enables reconstruction of ancestral squamate macrochromosomes and identifies sequence content of the Z chromosome. *BMC Genomics* 17:447.
- Deakin JE, Ezaz T. 2019. Understanding the evolution of reptile chromosomes through applications of combined cytogenetics and genomics approaches. *Cytogenet Genome Res*. 157:7–20.
- Devlin RH, Nagahama Y. 2002. Sex determination and sex differentiation in fish: an overview of genetic, physiological, and environmental influences. *Aquaculture*. 208:191–364.
- Eaton DA, Overcast I. 2016. ipyrad: Interactive assembly and analysis of RADseq datasets. *Bioinformatics*.
- Ellegren H. 2010. Evolutionary stasis: the stable chromosomes of birds. *Trends Ecol Evol*. 25:283–291.
- Etter PD, Bassham S, Hohenlohe PA, Johnson EA, Cresko WA. 2011. *Molecular methods for evolutionary genetics*, Orgogozo V, Rockman MV, editors. Totowa (NJ): Humana Press.
- Ezaz T, Sarre SD, O'Meally D, Marshall Graves JA, Georges A. 2009. Sex chromosome evolution in lizards: independent origins and rapid transitions. *Cytogenet Genome Res*. 127:249–260.
- Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard JK. 2007. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data: dominant markers and null alleles. *Mol Ecol Notes*. 7:574–578.
- Fowler B, Buonaccorsi V. 2016. Genomic characterization of sex-identification markers in *Sebastes carnatus* and *Sebastes chrysomelas* rockfishes. *Mol Ecol*. 25:2165–2175.
- Gamble T. 2010. A review of sex determining mechanisms in geckos (Gekkota: Squamata). *Sexual Development*. 4:88–103.
- Gamble T. 2016. Using RAD-seq to recognize sex-specific markers and sex chromosome systems. *Mol Ecol*. 25:2114–2116.
- Gamble T, Castoe TA, Nielsen SV, Banks JL, Card DC, Schield DR, Schuett GW, Booth W. 2017. The discovery of XY sex chromosomes in a *Boa* and *Python*. *Curr Biol*. 27:2148–2153.
- Gamble T, Coryell J, Ezaz T, Lynch J, Scantlebury DP, Zarkower D. 2015. Restriction site-associated DNA sequencing (RAD-seq) reveals an extraordinary number of transitions among gecko sexdetermining systems. *Mol Biol Evol*. 32:1296–1309.
- Gamble T, Geneva AJ, Glor RE, Zarkower D. 2014. *Anolis* sex chromosomes are derived from a single ancestral pair. *Evolution*. 68:1027–1041.
- Gamble T, Mckenna E, Meyer W, Nielsen SV, Pinto BJ, Daniel P, Higham TE. 2018. XX/XY sex chromosomes in the South American dwarf gecko (*Gonatodes humeralis*). *J Hered*. 109:462– 468.
- Gamble T, Zarkower D. 2014. Identification of sex-specific molecular markers using restriction siteassociated DNA sequencing. *Mol Ecol Resour*. 14:902–913.
- Graves JAM. 2006. Sex chromosome specialization and degeneration in mammals. *Cell*. 124:901–914.
- Graves JAM. 2008. Weird animal genomes and the evolution of vertebrate sex and sex chromosomes. *Annu Rev Genet*. 42:565–586.
- Graves JAM, Peichel CL. 2010. Are homologies in vertebrate sex determination due to shared ancestry or to limited options? *Genome Biol*. 11:205.
- Hass CA, Hedges SB. 2006. Karyotype of the cuban lizard *Cricosaura typica* and its implications for Xantusiid phylogeny. *Copeia*. 1992:563.
- Hillier LW, Miller W, Birney E, Warren W, Hardison RC, Ponting CP, Bork P, Burt DW, Groenen MAM, Delany ME, *et al*. 2004. Sequence and comparative analysis of the chicken genome provide unique perspectives on vertebrate evolution. *Nature*. 432:695–716.
- Hodgkin J. 2002. Exploring the envelope: systematic alteration in the sexdetermination system of the nematode *Caeraorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics*. 162:767–780.
- Holleley CE, O'Meally D, Sarre SD, Marshall Graves JA, Ezaz T, Matsubara K, Azad B, Zhang X, Georges A. 2015. Sex reversal triggers the rapid transition from genetic to temperature-dependent sex. *Nature*. 523:79–82.
- Hundt P, Liddle E, Nielsen S, Pinto B, Gamble T. 2019. Sex chromosomes and sex-specific molecular markers in Indo-Pacific combtooth blennies (Blenniidae, *Istiblennius*). *Mar Ecol Prog Ser*. 627:195–200.
- Janzen FJ, Paukstis GL. 1991. Environmental sex determination in reptiles: ecology, evolution, and experimental design. *Q Rev Biol*. 66:149–179.
- Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Buxton S, Cooper A, Markowitz S, Duran C, *et al*. 2012. Geneious basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics*. 28:1647–1649.
- Matsubara K, Tarui H, Toriba M, Yamada K, Nishida-Umehara C, Agata K, Matsuda Y. 2006. Evidence for different origin of sex chromosomes in snakes, birds, and mammals and step-wise differentiation of snake sex chromosomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 103:18190–18195.
- Matthey R. 1931. Chromosomes de Reptiles, Sauriens, Ophidiens, Cheloniens. L'evolution de la formule chromosomiale chez les Sauriens. *Rev Suisse Zool*. 38:117–186.
- Nielsen SV, Banks JL, Diaz RE, Trainor PA, Gamble T. 2018. Dynamic sex chromosomes in Old World chameleons (Squamata: Chamaeleonidae). *J Evol Biol*. 3:484–490.
- Nielsen SV, Daza JD, Pinto BJ, Gamble T. 2019a. ZZ/ZW sex chromosomes in the endemic Puerto Rican leaf-toed gecko (*Phyllodactylus wirshingi*). *Cytogenet Genome Res*. 157:89–97.
- Nielsen SV, Guzmán-Méndez IA, Gamble T, Blumer M, Pinto BJ, Kratochvíl L, Rovatsos M. 2019b. Escaping the evolutionary trap? Sex chromosome turnover in basilisks and related lizards (Corytophanidae: Squamata). *Biol Lett*. 15:20190498.
- Noonan BP, Pramuk JB, Bezy RL, Sinclair EA, de Queiroz K, Sites JW. 2013. Phylogenetic relationships within the lizard clade Xantusiidae: Using trees and divergence times to address evolutionary questions at multiple levels. *Mol Phylogenet Evol*. 69:109–122.
- O'Meally D, Ezaz T, Georges A, Sarre SD, Graves JAM. 2012. Are some chromosomes particularly good at sex? Insights from amniotes. *Chromosome Res*. 20:7–19.
- Olmo E, Signorino GG. 2005. Chromorep: a reptile chromosomes data base. Available from: http://chromorep.univpm.it/
- Otto SP. 2014. Selective maintenance of recombination between the sex chromosomes. *J Evol Biol*. 27:1431–1442.
- Patawang I, Chuaynkern Y, Supanuam P, Maneechot N, Pinthong K, Tanomtong A. 2018. Cytogenetics of the skinks (Reptilia, Scincidae) from Thailand; IV: newly investigated karyotypic features of *Lygosoma quadrupes* and *Scincella melanosticta*. *Caryologia*. 71:29–34.
- Pokorná M, Giovannotti M, Kratochvíl L, Kasai F, Trifonov VA, O'Brien PCM, Caputo V, Olmo E, Ferguson-Smith MA, Rens W. 2011. Strong conservation of the bird Z chromosome in reptilian genomes is revealed by comparative painting despite 275 million years divergence. *Chromosoma*. 120:455–468.
- Pokorná M, Kratochvíl L. 2009. Phylogeny of sex-determining mechanisms in squamate reptiles: are sex chromosomes an evolutionary trap? *Zool J Linn Soc*. 156:168–183.
- Radder RS, Quinn AE, Georges A, Sarre SD, Shine R. 2008. Genetic evidence for co-occurrence of chromosomal and thermal sex-determining systems in a lizard. *Biol Lett*. 4:176–178.
- Rohland N, Reich D. 2012. Cost-effective, high-throughput DNA sequencing libraries for multiplexed target capture. *Genome Res*. 22:939–946.
- Rovatsos M, Altmanová M, Pokorná M, Kratochvíl L. 2014. Conserved sex chromosomes across adaptively radiated anolis lizards. *Evolution*. 68:2079–2085.
- Rovatsos M, Rehak I, Velensky P, Kratochvıl L. 2019. Shared ancient sex chromosomes in varanids, beaded lizards, and alligator lizards. *Mol Biol Evol*. 36:1113–1120.
- Rovatsos M, Vukić J, Altmanová M, Pokorná MJ, Moravec J, Kratochvíl L. 2016. Conservation of sex chromosomes in lacertid lizards. *Mol Ecol*. 25:3120–3126.
- Rovatsos M, Vukić J, Lymberakis P, Kratochvíl L. 2015. Evolutionary stability of sex chromosomes in snakes. *Proc Royal Soc B Biol Sci*. 282: 20151992.
- Sarre SD, Georges A, Quinn A. 2004. The ends of a continuum: genetic and temperature-dependent sex determination in reptiles. *BioEssays*. 26:639–645.
- Shetty S, Griffin DK, Graves JAM. 1999. Comparative painting reveals strong chromosome homology over 80 million years of bird evolution. *Chromosome Res*. 7:289–295.
- Sinclair EA, Pramuk JB, Bezy RL, Crandall KA, Sites JW. 2010. DNA evidence for nonhybrid origins of parthenogenesis in natural populations of vertebrates. *Evolution*. 64:1346–1357.
- Srikulnath K, Uno Y, Nishida C, Ota H, Matsuda Y. 2015. Karyotype reorganization in the Hokou Gecko (*Gekko hokouensis*, Gekkonidae): the process of microchromosome disappearance in Gekkota. *PLoS One*. 10:1–19.
- Stöck M, Horn A, Grossen C, Lindtke D, Sermier R, Betto-Colliard C, Dufresnes C, Bonjour E, Dumas Z, Luquet E, *et al*. 2011. Ever-young sex chromosomes in European tree frogs. *PLoS Biol*. 9:e1001062.
- Tonini JFR, Beard KH, Ferreira RB, Jetz W, Pyron RA. 2016. Fully-sampled phylogenies of squamates reveal evolutionary patterns in threat status. *Biol Conserv*. 204:23–31.
- Uetz P, Freed P, Hošek J. 2017. The reptile database. Available from: http://www.reptile-database.org
- Valenzuela N, Adams DC, Janzen FJ. 2003. Pattern does not equal process: exactly when is sex environmentally determined? *Am Nat*. 161:676–683.
- Vicoso B, Emerson JJ, Zektser Y, Mahajan S, Bachtrog D. 2013. Comparative sex chromosome genomics in snakes: differentiation, evolutionary strata, and lack of global dosage compensation. *PLoS Biol*. 11:e1001643.
- Wapstra E, Uller T, Pen I, Komdeur J, Olsson M, Shine R. 2007. Disentangling the complexities of vertebrate sex allocation: a role for squamate reptiles? *Oikos*. 116:1051–1057.
- Willing EM, Hoffmann M, Klein JD, Weigel D, Dreyer C. 2011. Paired-end RAD-seq for de novo assembly and marker design without available reference. *Bioinformatics*. 27:2187–2193.
- Wilson MA. 2018. Genetic diversity on the sex chromosomes. *Genome Biology and Evolution*. 10:1064– 1078.
- Zerbino DR, Achuthan P, Akanni W, Amode MR, Barrell D, Bhai J, Billis K, Cummins C, Gall A, Girón CG, *et al*. 2017. Ensembl 2018. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 46:754–761.