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Pell Grant Recipients


Retention and
Graduation
2-yr retention: 88\%
4-yr grad: 12\%
6-yr grad: 51\%

Fall 2015 Freshmen Data

$$
n=3,005
$$

# Early Start Requirements for Incoming Freshmen 

## 54\% Early Start Math (ESM) <br> 51\% Early Start English (ESE)

## 69\% ESM or ESE

38\% ESM and ESE

## Average Years to Degree by Year of First Math 110 Attempt



MATH 110 Pass rate and GPA by term taken


## 4-Year Graduation Rates

17\% without Developmental Math Required First Fall
7\% with Developmental Math Required First Fall

## CSUSB's Developmental Math Historical Background

Intensive<br>Mathematics<br>Program

Computer Mediated<br>Math Instruction and<br>Tutoring (COMMIT)

Early Start<br>Program

| Extended | Coyote |
| :---: | :---: |
| Early Start | First STEP |
| Pilot |  |

Coyote
First STEP


## Outcomes of the Intensive Mathematics Program

|  | Retention <br> to $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{Yr}$ | Retention to <br> $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{Yr}$ | Retention to <br> $4^{\text {th }} \mathrm{Yr}$ | $4-$ Year <br> Graduation | $6-\mathrm{Year}$ <br> Graduation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IMP (\%) | 91 | 83 | 75 | 12 | 46 |
| GE Math <br> Ready (\%) | 90 | 79 | 72 | 12 | 57 |

## CSUSB Developmental Math Rates Over Time



## CSUSB Pathway to Math Readiness



## What predicts college success?

Lotkowski, Robbins and Noeth (2004) found that only two of the twelve most common categories of reasons for dropping out of college were strictly academic.

## Psychosocial and Socioeconomic Factors Affecting College Success

See handout.
Lotkowski, V. A., Robbins, S. B., \& Noeth, R. J. (2004). The role of academic and non-academic factors in improving college retention. ACT Policy Report. ACT.
http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED485476.pdf


## Coyote First STEP Components



## Assessment



## Math Outcomes

| CFS Course | Count | Pass | Did not <br> Pass | $\%$ <br> Pass | $\%$ <br> No Pass |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ESM075A | 68 | 61 | 7 | $90 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| ESM075B | 375 | 343 | 32 | $91 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| ESM081 | 1217 | 1181 | 36 | $97 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| ESM091 | 1120 | 999 | 121 | $89 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Total | 2780 | 2584 | 196 | $93 \%$ | $7 \%$ |



I feel connected to...
1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neither Agree nor
Disagree, 4 = Agree, $5=$ Strongly Agree


Outcomes


## Do you feel as though CFS was beneficial to you? How so?



## Would you recommend CFS to future students? Explain.

\section*{Engagement <br> frimesiblofefisis Connectedness <br> Gun Mporeciation <br> 

# Questions and Comments? 

Thank you!

## The Exceptions Process

|  | Incomplete Exceptions Request, Attended CFS |  | Approved Full Exemption from CFS |  | Excused From Living On Campus, Attended CFS |  | Denied CFS <br> Residential <br> Exemption, <br> Attended CFS |  | Denied CFS <br> Residential <br> Exemption, Did <br> Not Enroll in Fall |  | Total Requests |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 20\% | 8 | 13\% | 1 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 10 | 10\% |
| Black | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 20\% | 5 | 8\% | 3 | 13\% | 0 | 0\% | 9 | 10\% |
| Hispanic | 2 | 100\% | 1 | 20\% | 37 | 62\% | 15 | 63\% | 3 | 60\% | 58 | 60\% |
| Two or More | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 20\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% |
| Unknown | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 20\% | 2 | 3\% | 2 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 5\% |
| White | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 8 | 13\% | 3 | 13\% | 2 | 40\% | 13 | 14\% |
| Pell Eligible | 1 | 50\% | 3 | 60\% | 43 | 72\% | 12 | 50\% | 1 | 20\% | 59 | 61\% |
| Male | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 40\% | 16 | 27\% | 9 | 38\% | 3 | 60\% | 27 | 30\% |
| Female | 2 | 100\% | 3 | 60\% | 44 | 73\% | 15 | 63\% | 2 | 40\% | 64 | 70\% |
| Total Students | 2 |  | 5 |  | 60 |  | 24 |  | 5 |  | 96 |  |

