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#### Abstract

The problem of computing a bi-Lipschitz embedding of a graphical metric into the line with minimum distortion has received a lot of attention. The best-known approximation algorithm computes an embedding with distortion $O\left(c^{2}\right)$, where $c$ denotes the optimal distortion [Bădoiu et al. 2005]. We present a bi-criteria approximation algorithm that extends the above results to the setting of outliers.

Specifically, we say that a metric space $(X, \rho)$ admits a $(k, c)$-embedding if there exists $K \subset X$, with $|K|=k$, such that $(X \backslash K, \rho)$ admits an embedding into the line with distortion at most $c$. Given $k \geq 0$, and a metric space that admits a $(k, c)$-embedding, for some $c \geq 1$, our algorithm computes a $(\operatorname{poly}(k, c, \log n)$, poly $(c))$-embedding in polynomial time. This is the first algorithmic result for outlier bi-Lipschitz embeddings. Prior to our work, comparable outlier embeddings where known only for the case of additive distortion.
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## 1 Introduction

The theory of metric embeddings provides an extensive toolbox that has found applications in several geometric data-analytic tasks. At the high level, an embedding of a metric space $\mathcal{M}=(X, \rho)$ into some metric space $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}=\left(X^{\prime}, \rho\right)$ is a mapping $f: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ that preserves certain interesting geometric properties of $\mathcal{M}$. In most cases, it is desirable to obtain embeddings that minimize some notion of distortion.

Despite the success of metric embeddings methods in several application domains, one significant limitation of most existing methods is that they are not robust to noise in the form of outlier points in the input. This setting is of particular interest in the case where the data does not perfectly fit the underlying geometric model, or when some points are corrupted due to measurement errors. The outlier model also has connections to the setting of adversarial machine learning [13]. More specifically, in the setting of poisoning attacks, it is often assumed that a small subset of the training data set is corrupted adversarially. For example, in a classification application, some of the training samples can be modified arbitrarily. Therefore, it is important to design data-analytic primitives that are robust against this type of adversarial input perturbation.

Our aim is to bypass the limitations of current metric embedding methods by designing approximation algorithms that given some input space $\mathcal{M}$, they compute a small subset of points to delete, and an embedding of the residual space into some desired host space.
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### 1.1 Our contribution

We now formally define outlier embeddings and state our main result. Let $\mathcal{M}=(X, \rho)$, $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}=\left(X^{\prime}, \rho^{\prime}\right)$ be metric spaces. An injection $f: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is called an embedding. Given an embedding $f$, its distortion is defined as

$$
\operatorname{distortion}(f)=\sup _{x \neq y \in X} \frac{\rho^{\prime}(f(x), f(y))}{\rho(x, y)} \cdot \sup _{x^{\prime} \neq y^{\prime} \in X} \frac{\rho\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)}{\rho^{\prime}\left(f\left(x^{\prime}\right), f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)} .
$$

We also refer to this notion of distortion as multiplicative distortion. An embedding is $b i$-Lipschitz if its distortion is bounded. When $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}=\left(\mathbb{R}, \ell_{2}\right)$ then we say that $\mathcal{M}$ admits an embedding into the line. If distortion $(f) \leq c$, then we say that $f$ is a $c$-embedding. We use the following definition for outlier embeddings (see also [19]).

A metric space $\mathcal{M}=(X, \rho)$ admits a $(k, c)$-embedding into another metric space $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}=$ $\left(X^{\prime}, \rho^{\prime}\right)$ for some $c \geq 1, k \geq 0$ if there exists $K \subseteq X$, with $|K| \leq k$, and $f: X \backslash K \rightarrow X^{\prime}$, with distortion $(f) \leq c$. We say that such $K \subseteq X$ is an outlier set (w.r.t. $f$ ).

In the present work, we focus on the case where the input metric space is the shortestpath metric of an unweighted graph, and the host space is the real line. This setting, but without outliers, has been studied extensively in the literature (see Section 1.2 for a more detailed discussion). The shortest-path metrics of unweighted graphs arise naturally in applications, for example, when considering the $k$-NN graph of a point set; that is, by taking the set of vertices to be a set of samples from some unknown manifold, and the edge set to be all pairs $\{u, v\}$, where $u$ is one of the $k$ nearest neighbors of $v$. Moreover, the case of embedding into the real line is a prototypical mathematical model for the problem of discovering 1-dimensional structure in a metrical data set.

The following summarizes the main result of this paper.

- Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a graph, $k \geq 0, c \geq 1$. There exists a polynomial-time algorithm which given $G, k$, and $c$, terminates with exactly one of the following outcomes:
(1) Correctly decides that $G$ does not admit a $(k, c)$-embedding into the line.
(2) Computes a $\left(O\left(c^{6} k \log ^{5 / 2} n\right), O\left(c^{13}\right)\right)$-embedding of $G$ into the line.


### 1.2 Related work

Low-distortion metric embeddings have been studied extensively within mathematics and computer science. We refer the reader to [14] for a detailed exposition of the work that is of main interest for computer science. Here, we discuss some results relevant to our work.

Approximation algorithms. The problem of computing an embedding of some input metric space $\mathcal{M}$ into some host space $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ with approximately minimum distortion has received a lot of attention. Most positive results are concerned with the case where $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ is the line, or, more generally, some 1-dimensional space. Specifically, Bădoiu et al. [6] obtained an algorithm which given an unweighted graph that admits a $c$-embedding into the line, computes a $O\left(c^{3}\right)$-embedding into the line. Approximation algorithms have also been obtained by Bădoiu et al. [5] for the case where the input is a weighted tree, and by Nayyeri and Raichel [17] for the case where the input is a general metric space.

Approximation algorithms for embedding into more general 1-dimensional spaces have also been considered. Bădoiu et al. [3] consider the case where the host space is a tree, Chepoi et al. [8] consider the case where the host space is an outerplanar graph, and Nayyeri and Raichel [18] generalize this to the case where the host space is a graph of bounded treewidth. Carpenter et al. [7] obtain an approximation algorithm for embedding unweighted graphs into subdivisions of any fixed "pattern" graph $H$ (embedding into the line corresponds to $H$ being a single edge, while embedding into a cycle is the case where $H$ is a triangle).

The case of higher-dimensional host spaces appears to be significantly more challenging. The only positive results are an approximation algorithm for embedding finite subsets of the 2 -sphere into $\mathbb{R}^{2}[6]$, and approximation algorithms for embedding ultrametrics into $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ $[4,10]$. On the negative side, it is shown that for any $d \geq 1$, the problem of embedding into $d$-dimensional Euclidean space with minimum distortion is hard to approximate within a factor of $n^{\alpha / d}$, for some constant $\alpha>0$ (the case $d=1$ is due to [5] and $d \geq 2$ is due to [16]).

FPT algorithms. The problem of computing an embedding into the line parameterized by the optimal distortion has also been considered. Fellows et al. [12] gave an FPT algorithm for embedding unweighted graphs into the line. A nearly-matching lower bound on the running time (assuming ETH) was obtained by Lokshtanov et al. [15]. FTP algorithms for embedding unweighted graphs into subdivisions of an arbitrary fixed pattern graph $H$ have also been obtained by Carpenter et al. [7].

Outlier embeddings. The problem of computing outlier embeddings was introduced by Sidiropoulos et al. [19]. They considered the case of embedding into d-dimensional Euclidean space, and into trees. The main difference with our work is that [19] deals with the case of additive distortion, while we are concerned with multiplicative distortion. As a result, the results in [19] are incomparable to ours. We remark, however, that the case of mutliplicative distortion is known to be significantly more challenging. To the best of our knowledge, our result is the first non-trivial upper bound for computing outlier embeddings minimizing the multiplicative distortion.

### 1.3 High-level overview of the algorithm

We now give an informal description of our algorithm, highlighting the main technical challenges. The input consists of an undirected graph $G$ and some $k \geq 0, c \geq 1$. The algorithm either correctly decides that there exists no $(k, c)$-embedding of $G$ into the line, or outputs a $\left(k^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)$-embedding of $G$ into the line, for some $k^{\prime}=\operatorname{poly}(k, c, \log n), c^{\prime}=\operatorname{poly}(c)$.

The crux of the algorithm is to identify and remove three "obsrtuctions" for low-distortion embeddability into the line. These three obstructions are regions of high density, large metrical cycles and large metrical tripods. We next discuss the steps used to handle each one of these obstructions, and describe how all the steps are combined in the final algorithm.

Obstruction 1: Reducing the density. The density of a graph is defined to be

$$
\Delta(G)=\max _{v \in V(G), R \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\left|\operatorname{Ball}_{G}(v, R)\right|-1}{2 R}
$$

It is known that the density of any graph that admits a $c$-embedding into the line is $O(c)[6]$. Therefore, if $G$ admits a $(k, c)$-embedding, then there must exist some set of at most $k$ vertices, whose deletion leaves a graph with density $O(c)$. We observe that the density of a graph is a hereditary property, meaning that for any $H \subseteq G$, we have $\Delta(H) \leq \Delta(G)$. This leads to a following recursive procedure: if the density is higher than $O(c)$, we compute a balanced vertex separator $X \subseteq V(G)$, and recurse on $G \backslash X$. We set

$$
K_{\text {density }}:=\bigcup_{\text {all separators } X} X
$$

Let us also denote $G \backslash K_{\text {density }}$ as $G^{\prime}$. It is immediate that $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)=O(c)$, and we show that $\left|K_{\text {density }}\right|=\operatorname{poly}(k, c, \log n)$.

Obstruction 2: Eliminating large metrical cycles. It is known that any embedding of the $n$-cycle into the line must incur distortion $\Omega(n)[6]$. More generally, it is possible to define an obstruction, which we refer to as a metrical cycle, and which contains cycles as a special case, but allows for more general shortest-path distances (see Figure 1). We show how to delete a small number of vertices so that the resulting graph does not contain any large metrical cycles, and then we find a low-distortion embedding into some forest.


Figure 1 Example of a large metrical cycle.

We now briefly describe the procedure for eliminating large metrical cycles. We start by computing a poly $(c)$-net $N$ in $G^{\prime}$. We then find a Voronoi partition $\mathcal{P}$ centered at $N$ : for any vertex $v \in G^{\prime}$, we assign $v$ to a cluster centered at its nearest neighbour $y \in N$ (we break ties to ensure connectivity). Let $H$ be the minor of $G$ obtained by contracting each cluster to its center $y \in N$. We compute an approximate minimum feedback vertex set $Y$ in $H$. We set

$$
K_{\text {forest }}:=\bigcup_{x \in Y} \mathcal{P}(x),
$$

and $G^{\prime \prime}=G^{\prime} \backslash K_{\text {forest }}$. Note that the low density of $G^{\prime}$ ensures that $\left|K_{\text {forest }}\right|$ is small. Furthermore, we show that $G^{\prime \prime}$ admits a low-distortion embedding into a forest.


Figure 2 Elimination of large metrical cycles. From left to right: the graph $G^{\prime}$, the minor $H$, the forest $H \backslash Y$, and the graph $G^{\prime \prime}$.

Obstruction 3: Eliminating large metrical tripods. A tripod is a tree consisting of the union of three paths with a common endpoint; we say that a tripod is $R$-large if the length of each of the three paths is at least $R$. Any embedding of a $R$-large tripod into the line must incur distortion $\Omega(R)$. We show how to delete a small number of vertices so that the resulting graph does not have any subgraphs with a shortest-path metric that resembles that of a $\Omega($ poly $(c))$-large tripod. More specifically, via a reduction to the Minimum Set Cover problem, we compute some $Z \subseteq V(H \backslash Y)$, so that the forest $H \backslash(Y \cup Z)$ does not contain any $\Omega($ poly $(c))$-large tripods (see Figure 3 ). We set

$$
K_{\text {tripod }}:=\bigcup_{w \in(H \backslash Y) \backslash Z} \mathcal{P}(w) .
$$

and $G^{\prime \prime}=G^{\prime} \backslash K_{\text {tripod }}$. Since the forest $H \backslash(Y \cup Z)$ does not contain any large tripods, we can show that it admits a low-distortion embedding into the line. Furthermore, we can use this embedding to also embed $G^{\prime \prime}$ into the line.


Figure 3 Elimination of a large tripod. A yellow vertex removes the red tripod and the yellow dotted tripod simultaneously.

Putting everything together. The final algorithm combines the above procedures for eliminating the three obstructions that we have identified. At each obstruction elimination step, we remove a small set of vertices. One additional complication is that, because $c$ embeddability into the line is not a hereditary property, this can produce a graph that does not admit a low-distortion embedding into the line. We show that this issue can be avoided by deleting a slightly larger superset of vertices, which eliminates the obstruction at hand, while maintaining the existence of a low-distortion embedding.

### 1.4 Organization

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We introduce necessary notation and definitions in Section 2. In Section 3, we present our main algorithm and we state the main technical results needed. In Section 3.2 we prove a technical lemma which will be applied throughout the paper. Sections A, B, C, D elaborate on the subroutines executed by the main algorithm.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Graphs

Given a graph $G$, we refer to its vertex set as $V(G)$ and to its edge set as $E(G)$. For any $C \subseteq V(G)$, we denote by $G[C]$ the subgraph of $G$ induced on $C$. Let $d_{G}$ denote the shortest-path distance of $G$; unless otherwise noted, we assume that all edges in $G$ are undirected and have unit length.

- Definition 2 (Local density). For any $v \in V(G)$ and $R \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$
\Delta_{G}(v, R)=\frac{\left|\mathrm{Ball}_{G}(v, R)\right|-1}{2 R}
$$

The local density of the graph $G$ is defined to be

$$
\Delta(G)=\max _{v \in V(G), R \in \mathbb{N}} \Delta_{G}(v, R)
$$

- Definition 3 (Tripod). Let $G$ be a graph, $R \geq 1$, $v, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3} \in V(G)$, and let $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ be paths in $G$, where for all $i \in[3], P_{i}$ is a path with endpoints $v$ and $v_{i}$. Suppose that for all $i \neq j \in[3]$, and for all $u \in P_{j}$, we have $d_{G}\left(v_{i}, u\right) \geq R$. In other words, each endpoint $v_{i}$ is at distance at least $R$ from every vertex in the other two paths. Then we say that the tree $P_{1} \cup P_{2} \cup P_{3}$ is a $R$-tripod with root $v$ (in $G$ ).


Figure 4 A tripod rooted at $v$ with leaves $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$.

### 2.2 Some useful approximation results

For a graph $G$, a feedback vertex set is some $X \subseteq V(G)$, such that $G \backslash X$ is acyclic. In the Minimum Feedback Vertex Set problem we are given a graph $G$ and the goal is to find a feedback vertex set in $G$ of minimum cardinality. We recall the following result on approximating the Minimum Feedback Vertex Set problem.

- Theorem 4 (Bafna et al. [1]). There exists a polynomial-time 2-approximation algorithm for the Minimum Feedback Vertex Set problem.

Given a graph $G$ and some $\alpha \in[0,1)$, we say that some $X \subseteq V(G)$ is a $\alpha$-balanced vertex separator (of $G$ ) if every connected component of $G \backslash X$ has at most $\alpha \cdot|V(G)|$ vertices. We recall the following algorithmic result on computing balanced vertex separators.

- Theorem 5 (Feige et al. [11]). There exists a polynomial-time algorithm which given a graph that admits a 2/3-balanced vertex separator of size s, outputs a 3/4-balanced vertex separator of size at most $O(\sqrt{\log n} \cdot s)$.

Recall that an instance to the Minimum Set Cover problem consists of some set $U$ (the universe), and a set $\mathcal{C}$ of subsets of $U$. The goal is to find a subset of $\mathcal{C}$ of minimum cardinality that covers $U$.

- Theorem 6 (Chvátal [9]). There exists a polynomial-time $O(\log n)$-approximation algorithm for the Minimum Set Cover problem.


### 2.3 Voronoi minors

For some metric space $\mathcal{M}=(X, \rho)$, and some $R>0$, we say that some $N \subseteq X$ is a $R$-net of $M$ if for any $p, q \in N, \rho(p, q)>R$, and $X \subseteq \bigcup_{p \in N} \operatorname{Ball}_{\mathcal{M}}(p, R)$. For a graph $G$, we say that some $N \subseteq V(G)$ is a $R$-net of $G$ if $N$ is a $R$-net of the shortest-path metric of $G$.

- Definition 7 (Graphical Voronoi partition). Let $G$ be a graph, and let $Y \subseteq V(G)$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a partition of $V(G)$ satisfying the following conditions:
(1) Every cluster in $\mathcal{P}$ contains exactly one vertex in $Y$.
(2) For any $v \in V(G)$, the cluster containing $v, \mathcal{P}(v)$, also contains some nearest neighbor of $v$ in $Y$.
(3) For any cluster $C \in \mathcal{P}$, we have that $G[C]$ is connected.

We say that $\mathcal{P}$ is a Voronoi partition of $G$ centered at $Y$.
We note the following easy fact.

- Lemma 8. For any graph $G$, and $Y \subseteq V(G)$, there exists a Voronoi partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $G$ centered at $Y$.


Figure 5 A Voronoi partition centred at 3-net $N=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right\}$ and a corresponding 3-minor.

Proof. Construct $\mathcal{P}$ by assigning each $v \in V(G)$ to the cluster containing its nearest neighbor in $Y$. In order to ensure that each cluster $C$ induces connected subgraph $G[C]$ it suffices to ensure that shortest-paths in $G$ are unique. This can be achieved by breaking ties between different paths lexicographically (viewing paths as sequences of vertices with unique integer labels) (see also [6]).

- Definition 9 ( $R$-Minor). Let $G$ be a graph, $R>0$, and let $N$ be a $R$-net of $G$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a Voronoi partition of $G$ centered at $N$. Let $H$ be the minor of $G$ obtained by contracting each cluster in $C$ in $\mathcal{P}$ into the unique net point in $C$. Then we say that $\mathcal{P}$ is a $R$-partition and $H$ is a $R$-minor of $G$ induced by $\mathcal{P}$ (see Figure 5 for an example).


## 3 The Main Algorithm

In this section we present and analyze the main algorithm of the paper. For the clarity, we first state some key technical ingredients used by the algorithm. We then present the main algorithm and its analysis. The proofs of the technical ingredients appear in latter Sections.

### 3.1 Technical ingredients used by the main algorithm

Density reduction. The first technical ingredient used by the main algorithm is a procedure for reducing the local density of the input graph. This is summarized in Lemma 10. Its proof is given in Section A.

- Lemma 10 (Density Reduction). There exists a polynomial-time algorithm given given a graph $G, k \geq 0, c \geq 1$, terminates with exactly one of the following outcomes:
(1) Correctly decides that $G$ does not admit a $(k, c)$-embedding into the line.
(2) Outputs some $Y \subseteq V(G)$ such that $\Delta(G \backslash Y) \leq c$, with $|Y|=O\left(c k \log ^{3 / 2} n\right)$. In particular, if $\Delta(G) \leq c$, then the algorithm outputs $\emptyset$.

Eliminating large metrical cycles. The next technical ingredient is a procedure for eliminating large metrical cycles. This is summarized in Lemma 11, whose proof is given in Section B.

- Lemma 11 (Embedding into a forest). There exists a polynomial-time algorithm which given a graph $G, c \geq 1$, and $k \geq 0$, terminates with exactly one of the following outcomes:
(1) Correctly decides that $G$ does not admit a $(k, c)$-embedding into the line.
(2) Outputs a c-net $N$ of $G$, a c-partition $\mathcal{P}$ centered at $N$, a c-minor $H$ induced by $\mathcal{P}$, and some feedback vertex set $X$ of $H$, with $|X| \leq 2 k$.


Figure 6 A $3 \times n$ grid $G$ can be embedded into the line with distortion $O(1)$; one could follow the red dotted path on the grid an embed the vertices consequently. A yellow line depicts $U$. Now, if we delete a yellow vertex from $G \backslash U$, the resulting graph will be just a path.

Eliminating large metrical tripods. The next obstruction that the main algorithm needs to remove is large metrical tripods. This is done using Lemmas 12 and 13. Their proofs appear in Section C.
$\rightarrow$ Lemma 12 (Tripods as obstructions to embeddability). Let $G$ be a graph, $R \geq 1$, and let $J$ be a $R$-tripod in $G$. Then for any c-embedding of $G$ into the line we have $c \geq 2 R$.

- Lemma 13 (Tripod elimination). There exists a polynomial-time algorithm which given a forest $F, R \geq 1, k \geq 0$, terminates with exactly one of the following outcomes:
(1) Correctly decides that there exists no $X^{\prime} \subseteq V(F)$, with $\left|X^{\prime}\right| \leq k$, such that $F \backslash X^{\prime}$ does not contain any $R$-tripod as a subgraph.
(2) Outputs some $X^{\prime} \subseteq V(F)$, with $\left|X^{\prime}\right|=O(k \log n)$, such that $F \backslash X^{\prime}$ does not contain any $R$-tripod as a subgraph.

Embedding a tree with no large tripods into the line. Once all the obstructions have been removed, the problem is reduced to computing an embedding of a tree with no large tripods into the line. This is done using Lemma 14, whose proof appears in Section D.

- Lemma 14. Let $R \geq 1$, and let $T$ be a tree that does not contain any $R$-tripod as a subgraph. Then $T$ admits a $O(\Delta(T) \cdot R)$-embedding into the line. Moreover, this embedding can be computed in polynomial time.


### 3.2 The Repairing Lemma

The main algorithm proceeds in several steps. At each step, it uses some of the procedures described above to delete small subsets of vertices. However, because $c$-embeddability into the line is not a hereditary property, it is possible that the deletion of some small set of vertices destroys some candidate solution. As an illustrative example, let $G$ be the $3 \times(n / 3)$ grid. Note that $G$ admits a $O(1)$-embedding into the line (i.e. without outliers). This embedding can be realized by consecutively traversing the columns of the grid. Let $U$ be the set of vertices that do not lie on the outer boundary cycle of $G$. Then, $G \backslash U$ is the $(2 n / 3+2)$-cycle, and therefore any embedding of $G \backslash U$ into the line has distortion $\Omega(n)$. However, by removing one additional vertex from $G \backslash U$ we obtain a path, which admits a 1 -embedding into the line (see Figure 6). We show that the above "repairing" process can be performed for arbitrary $U$. First, we prove two auxiliary statements.

- Lemma 15. Let $G$ be a graph, $k>0, c>1$. Assume that $G$ admits a $(k, c)$-embedding into a line. Suppose $G$ admits a $(k, c)$-embedding into the line realized by $f: G \backslash K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then, there exists a $(k, c)$-embedding $f^{\prime}$ of $G$ into a line such that if $j>i$ then for any $v \in G_{i}, w \in G_{j}$ we have $f^{\prime}(w)>f^{\prime}(v)$.
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Proof. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1}=\underset{v \in V(G) \backslash K}{\arg \min }\{f(v)\} \\
& v_{2}=\underset{v \in V(G) \backslash K}{\arg \max }\{f(v)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and let $M=f\left(v_{2}\right)-f\left(v_{1}\right)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $f\left(v_{1}\right)=0$ and $f\left(v_{2}\right)=M$ by setting $f(v):=f(v)-f\left(v_{1}\right)$. For each $v \in G_{i}$ we define $f^{\prime}(v)=f(v)+2 i \cdot M$. We claim that $f^{\prime}$ and $f$ have the same distortion. If $v, w \in G_{i}$ then we have

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(w)-f^{\prime}(v)\right|=|(f(w)+2 i \cdot M)-(f(w)+2 i \cdot M)|=|f(w)-f(v)| .
$$

If $v \in G_{i}$ and $w \in G_{j}$ for $i \neq j$ then the distance between them in the embedding does not contribute to the distortion.

It remains to show that $f^{\prime}(w)>f^{\prime}(v)$ for all $w \in G_{j}, v \in G_{i}$ with $j>i$. We have

$$
f^{\prime}(w)-f^{\prime}(v)=f(w)-f(v)+2(j-i) M>-M+2 M>0
$$

and the claim follows by induction.
Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ be a graph and let $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Consider $Z=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\} \subseteq V(G)$ such that $f\left(v_{1}\right)<f\left(v_{2}\right)<\cdots<f\left(v_{m}\right)$. Then $Z$ is consecutive with respect to $f$ if for all $w \in V(G) \backslash U$ either $f(w)<f\left(v_{1}\right)$ or $f\left(v_{m}\right)<f(w)$.

- Lemma 16. Let $G$ be a graph, $c>0$. Assume that $G$ admits $a(0, c)$-embedding into the line realized by $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $Z=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right\} \subseteq V$ be consecutive with respect to $f$. Suppose that $f\left(v_{m}\right)-f\left(v_{1}\right) \geq c$; then $Z$ is a vertex separator in $G$.

Proof. We claim that

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & =\left\{x \in V(G) \mid f(x)<f\left(v_{1}\right)\right\} \\
Y & =\left\{y \in V(G) \mid f\left(v_{k}\right)<f(y)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

are disconnected in $G \backslash Z$. Assume otherwise; then there exists $\{x, y\} \in E(G)$ with $x \in X, y \in Y$. Thus

$$
|f(y)-f(x)|=f(y)-f\left(v_{k}\right)+f\left(v_{k}\right)-f\left(v_{1}\right)+f\left(v_{1}\right)-f(x) \geq c+1>c \cdot d_{G}(x, y)
$$

which contradicts the distortion assumption.
We can now prove the Repairing Lemma:

- Lemma 17 (Repairing Lemma). Let $G$ be a graph, $U \subset V(G), k \geq 0, c \geq 1$. Suppose that $G$ admits a $(k, c)$-embedding into a line. Then, $G \backslash U$ admits a $\left((2 c+1)|U|+k, 4 c^{3}+c\right)$-embedding into a line.

Proof. Let $f$ be a $(K, c)$-embedding of $G$ into the line, with $|K|=k$. Let $U^{\prime}=U \cap K$, and $U^{\prime \prime}=U \backslash K$. For any $v \in U \backslash K$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\text {inner }}(v) & =\operatorname{Ball}_{\mathbb{R}}(f(v), c), \\
I_{\text {outer }}(v) & =\operatorname{Bal}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(f(v), 2 c^{2}\right) \backslash I_{\text {inner }}(v), \\
V_{\text {inner }}(v) & =\left\{u \in V(G) \backslash K: f(u) \in I_{\text {inner }}(v)\right\} \\
V_{\text {outer }}(v) & =\left\{u \in V(G) \backslash K: f(u) \in I_{\text {outer }}(v)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 7 Inner, outer, safe and exposed vertices with respect to $v$ for $c=2$.

Let also

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\text {inner }} & =\bigcup_{v \in U \backslash K} I_{\text {inner }}(v) \\
I_{\text {outer }} & =\left(\bigcup_{v \in U \backslash K} I_{\text {outer }}(v)\right) \backslash I_{\text {inner }} \\
V_{\text {inner }} & =\left\{u \in V(G) \backslash K: f(u) \in I_{\text {inner }}\right\}, \\
V_{\text {outer }} & =\left\{u \in V(G) \backslash K: f(u) \in I_{\text {outer }}\right\}, \\
V_{\text {exposed }} & =V_{\text {inner }} \cup V_{\text {outer }}, \\
V_{\text {safe }} & =V(G) \backslash V_{\text {exposed }} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can now define

$$
K^{\prime}=K \cup V_{\text {inner }} .
$$

Since the minimum distance in $G$ is one, and $f$ is non-contracting, it follows that

$$
\left|K^{\prime}\right| \leq|K|+(2 c+1)|U| .
$$

Let $c^{\prime}=\left(4 c^{3}+c\right)$. It remains to construct any $\left(K^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)$-embedding $f^{\prime}$. By lemma 15 it is enough to construct a $c^{\prime}$-embedding for each connected component of $G \backslash K^{\prime}$

We may thus focus on any connected component $C$ of $G \backslash K^{\prime}$. Let $f^{\prime}=\left.\left(4 c^{2}+1\right) \cdot f\right|_{C}$ (that is, $f^{\prime}$ is the restriction of $f$ on $C$ scaled by a factor of $4 c^{2}+1$ ). It suffices to show that $f^{\prime}$ is a $\left(4 c^{3}+c\right)$-embedding of $C$.

If there exist $v \in U \backslash K$, and $u \in C$ such that $f(v)<f(u)$, then we set

$$
z_{L}=\underset{v \in U \backslash K: \forall u \in C, f\left(z_{L}\right)<f(u)}{\arg \max }\{f(v)\},
$$

Similarly, if there exist $v \in U \backslash K$, and $u \in C$ such that $f(v)>f(u)$, then we set

$$
z_{R}=\underset{v \in U \backslash K: \forall u \in C, f\left(z_{R}\right)>f(u)}{\arg \min }\{f(v)\} .
$$

Let $u, v \in V(C)$. We first bound the expansion of $f^{\prime}$. Since $K \subset K^{\prime}$, it follows what $d_{G \backslash K}(u, v) \leq d_{G \backslash K^{\prime}}(u, v)$, and thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|f^{\prime}(u)-f^{\prime}(v)\right|=\left(4 c^{2}+1\right) \cdot|f(u)-f(v)| & \leq\left(4 c^{3}+c\right) \cdot d_{G \backslash K}(u, v) \\
& \leq\left(4 c^{3}+c\right) \cdot d_{G \backslash K^{\prime}}(u, v) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

It remains to show that $f^{\prime}$ is non-contractive. Let $P$ be the shortest path between $u$ and $v$ in $G \backslash K$. Let us first assume that $u, v \in V_{\text {safe }}$; we will consider the general case later. If $z_{L}$ is defined and $P \cap V_{\text {outer }}\left(z_{L}\right)$, we first construct a new path $P^{\prime}$ that avoids $V_{\text {outer }}\left(z_{L}\right)$, as
follows. When traversing $P$ starting from $u$, let $u_{1}$ be the last vertex before visiting $V_{\text {outer }}\left(z_{L}\right)$ for the first time; let also $u_{2}$ be the first vertex visited immediately after leaving $V_{\text {outer }}\left(z_{L}\right)$ for the last time.

Since the expansion of $f$ is at most $c$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(u_{1}\right) \in\left(f\left(z_{L}\right)+2 c^{2}, f\left(z_{L}\right)+2 c^{2}+c\right] \\
& f\left(u_{2}\right) \in\left(f\left(z_{L}\right)+2 c^{2}, f\left(z_{L}\right)+2 c^{2}+c\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{G \backslash K}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \leq\left|f\left(u_{1}\right)-f\left(u_{2}\right)\right| \leq c . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $W$ be the shortest path between $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ in $G \backslash K$. Since every edge of $W$ is stretched by at most a factor of $c$ in $f$, it follows by (2) that $W$ cannot enter $V_{\text {inner }}\left(z_{L}\right)$, and thus $W \subseteq G \backslash K^{\prime}$. Therefore $d_{G \backslash K^{\prime}}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=d_{G \backslash K}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \leq c$. We can replace $P$ be the path $P^{\prime}:=P\left[u, u_{1}\right] \circ W \circ P\left[u_{2}, v\right]$, which does not intersect $v_{\text {outer }}\left(z_{L}\right)$. We obtain that length $\left(P^{\prime}\right)=$ length $\left(P\left[u, u_{1}\right]\right)+$ length $(W)+$ length $\left(P\left[u_{2}, v\right]\right) \leq c+$ length $(P) \leq c+d_{G \backslash K}(u, v)$.

Next, if $z_{R}$ exists and $P^{\prime} \cap V_{\text {outer }}\left(z_{R}\right) \neq \emptyset$, then via a symmetric process we can replace $P^{\prime}$ by a new path $P^{\prime \prime}$ between $u$ and $v$ in $G \backslash K$ avoids $V_{\text {outer }}\left(z_{R}\right) \cup V_{\text {outer }}\left(z_{L}\right)$, with

$$
\text { length }\left(P^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq \text { length }\left(P^{\prime}\right)+c \leq \text { length }(P)+2 c
$$

This implies that $P^{\prime} \subseteq G \backslash K^{\prime}$.
We therefore obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|f^{\prime}(u)-f^{\prime}(v)\right| & =\left(4 c^{2}+1\right) \cdot|f(u)-f(v)| \geq\left(4 c^{2}+1\right) \cdot d_{G \backslash K}(u, v) \\
& \geq\left(4 c^{2}+1\right) \cdot\left(d_{G \backslash K^{\prime}}(u, v)-2 c\right)>d_{G \backslash K^{\prime}}(u, v) . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

By (1) and (3) we obtain that $f$ is a $\left(4 c^{3}+c\right)$-embedding of $G \backslash K^{\prime}$, as required.
It remains to consider the case where either $u \in V_{\text {exposed }}$, or $v \in V_{\text {exposed }}$. Let $Q$ be a shortest path between $u$ and $v$ in $G \backslash K^{\prime}$. If $Q \cap V_{\text {safe }}=\emptyset$, then length $(Q) \leq 4 c^{2}-2 c$, thus

$$
d_{G \backslash K^{\prime}}(u, v) \leq\left(4 c^{2}-2 c\right) \leq\left(4 c^{2}-2 c\right) \cdot d_{G \backslash K^{\prime}}(u, v),
$$

which implies that $f^{\prime}$ is non-contractive, as required. We may therefore assume for the remainder of the proof that $Q \cap V_{\text {safe }} \neq \emptyset$. If $u \in V_{\text {exposed }}$, then we may assume w.l.o.g. that $u \in V_{\text {outer }}\left(z_{L}\right)$. When traversing $P$ starting from $u$, let $u_{1}$ be the first vertex visited immediately after leaving $V_{\text {outer }}\left(z_{L}\right)$. When traversing $Q$ starting from $u$, let $u_{2}$ be the first vertex visited in $V_{\text {safe }}$. By an argument identical to the one used in the previous case, we can obtain a new path between $u$ and $v$, given by $Q\left[u, u_{2}\right] \circ W \circ P\left[u_{1}, v\right]$, where length $\left(Q\left[u, u_{2}\right]\right) \leq 2 c^{2}-c$ (since all vertices in $Q\left[u, u_{2}\right]$ except the last one are contained in the rightmost segment of $\left.V_{\text {outer }}\left(z_{L}\right)\right), W \subseteq G \backslash K^{\prime}$, and length $(W) \leq c$ (as in the previous case). We thus obtain a path of length at most $d_{G \backslash K}(u, v)+2 c^{2}$. If $v \in V_{\text {exposed }}$, we repeat the above process after exchanging $u$ and $v$. We thus arrive at a path between $u$ and $v$ of length at most $d_{G \backslash K}(u, v)+4 c^{2} \leq\left(4 c^{2}+1\right) \cdot d_{G \backslash K}$, which does not intersect $V_{\text {inner }}$, and thus it is contained in $G \backslash K^{\prime}$. It follows that $f^{\prime}$ is non-contractive, and thus a ( $4 c^{3}+c$ )-embedding, which concludes the proof.

### 3.3 The algorithm

Given the technical ingredients presented above, we are now ready to describe our main algorithm. Recall that the input consists of a graph $G$, and $k \geq 0, c \geq 1$. The algorithm proceeds in the following steps.

Step 1: Density reduction. Using the algorithm from Lemma 10 we can either correctly decide that $G$ does not admit a $(k, c)$-embedding into the line, in which case we terminate, or we compute some $X_{\text {density }} \subseteq V(G)$, with $\left|X_{\text {density }}\right| \leq O\left(c k \log ^{3 / 2} n\right)$, such that $\Delta(G \backslash$ $\left.X_{\text {density }}\right) \leq c$.
Step 2: Cycle elimination. Let $k^{\prime}=(2 c+1)\left|X_{\text {density }}\right|+k$ and $c^{\prime}=4 c^{3}+c$. Using the algorithm from Lemma 11 we either correctly decide that $G^{\prime}$ does not admits a ( $k^{\prime}, c^{\prime}$ )embedding into the line, or we compute a $c^{\prime}$-net $N$ of $G^{\prime}$, a $c^{\prime}$-partition $\mathcal{P}$ centered at $N$, a $c^{\prime}$-minor $H$ induced by $\mathcal{P}$, and some feedback vertex set $Y_{\text {forest }}$ of $H$, with $\left|Y_{\text {forest }}\right| \leq 2 k^{\prime}$. If $G^{\prime}$ does not admit a $\left(k^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)$-embedding into the line, then we terminate by deciding that $G$ does not admit a $(k, c)$-embedding into the line.
Step 3: Tripod elimination. Let $F=H \backslash Y_{\text {forest }}$, and recall that $Y_{\text {forest }}$ is a feedback vertex set for $H$, and thus $F$ is a forest. Using the algorithm from Lemma 13, in polynomial time, we either decide that there exists no $Y_{\text {tripod }} \subseteq V(F)$, with $\left|Y_{\text {tripod }}\right| \leq k^{\prime}$, such that $F \backslash T_{\text {tripod }}$ does not contain any $\left(c^{\prime} / 2+1\right)$-tripod, in which case we terminate deciding that $G$ does not admit a $(k, c)$-embedding into the line, or we compute some $Y_{\text {tripod }} \subseteq V(F)$, with $\left|Y_{\text {tripod }}\right|=O(k \log n)$, such that $F \backslash Y_{\text {tripod }}$ does not contain any $\left(c^{\prime} / 2+1\right)$-tripods.
Step 4: Embedding into a forest. Let $F^{\prime}=F \backslash Y_{\text {tripod }}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{\text {forest }}=\bigcup_{v \in Y_{\text {forest }}} \mathcal{P}(v), \\
& X_{\text {tripod }}=\bigcup_{v \in Y_{\text {tripod }}} \mathcal{P}(v),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
K=X_{\text {density }} \cup X_{\text {forest }} \cup X_{\text {tripod }}
$$

Let $F^{\prime \prime}$ be the forest obtained from $F^{\prime}$ as follows. Initially, we set $F^{\prime \prime}:=F^{\prime}$. For each $v \in V(G) \backslash K$, let $u(v)$ be the unique vertex in $N \cap \mathcal{P}(v)$; we add $v$ to $F^{\prime \prime}$ as a leaf attached to $u(v)$. This completes the construction of the forest $F^{\prime \prime}$.
Step 5: Embedding into the line. Finally, we compute an embedding $f$ of $F^{\prime \prime}$ into the line using the algorithm from Theorem 14. We output the embedding $\varphi:=2 c^{\prime} c \cdot f$ (that is, $f$ scalled by a factor of $2 c^{\prime} c$ ).

### 3.4 Analysis of the main algorithm

We now analyze the main algorithm presented above. First, we state some auxiliary properties of $c$-minors and $c$-partitions.

- Lemma 18. Let $G$ be a graph, $R \geq 1$. Let $N$ be a $R$-net of $G, \mathcal{P}$ a corresponding $R$ partition and $H$ a $R$-minor $G$ induced by $\mathcal{P}$. Then for any $Y \subseteq V(H)$ all of the following hold:
(1) $N^{\prime}:=N \backslash Y$ is a $R$-net in $G^{\prime}:=G \backslash\left(\cup_{v \in Y} \mathcal{P}(v)\right)$
(2) $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}:=\mathcal{P} \backslash\left(\cup_{v \in Y}\{\mathcal{P}(v)\}\right)$ is the $R$-partition of $G^{\prime}$ centered at $N^{\prime}$
(3) $H^{\prime}:=H \backslash Y$ is the $R$-minor of $G^{\prime}$ induced by $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$.

Proof. We first show (1). Since by deleting vertices the shortest-path distances cannot increase, we have that for all $u, v \in N^{\prime}, d_{G^{\prime}}(u, v) \geq d_{G}(u, v)>R$. It thus remains to show that for any $x \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ there exists $v \in N^{\prime}$ such that $d_{G^{\prime}}(x, v) \leq R$. Consider an arbitrary
$x \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Let $v \in N$ be such that $x \in \mathcal{P}(v)$. Since the shortest path between $v$ and $x$ in $G$ is contained in $\mathcal{P}(v)$, it follows that

$$
d_{G^{\prime}}(x, v) \leq d_{G^{\prime}[\mathcal{P}(v)]}(x, v)=d_{G[\mathcal{P}(v)]}(x, v)=d_{G}(x, v) \leq c
$$

which implies that $N^{\prime}$ is a $c$-net of $G^{\prime}$.
Next, we show (2). Since for all $v \in N^{\prime}$, we have $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(v)=\mathcal{P}(v)$, it follows that $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ is a partition of $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Since by (1) $N^{\prime}$ is a $R$-net of $G^{\prime}$, and for all $v \in N^{\prime}$, and for all $x \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(v)$ we have $d_{G^{\prime}}(v, x) \leq R$, it follows that $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ is a $R$-partition of $G^{\prime}$ centered at $N^{\prime}$.

Finally, we show (3). Let $\widetilde{H}$ be the $R$-minor of $G^{\prime}$ induced by $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$. We prove that $V\left(H^{\prime}\right)=V(\widetilde{H})$ and $E\left(H^{\prime}\right)=E(\widetilde{H})$. For the first equality, observe that

$$
V\left(H^{\prime}\right)=V(H \backslash Y)=N \backslash Y=N^{\prime}=V(\widetilde{H})
$$

It remains to show that $E\left(H^{\prime}\right)=E(\widetilde{H})$. Consider an arbitrary $\{u, v\} \in E\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. Since $H^{\prime} \subseteq H$ we have that $\{u, v\} \in E(H)$. Then there must exist a path $P \subseteq G$ between $u, v$ with $P \subseteq \mathcal{P}(u) \cup \mathcal{P}(v)$. Since $u, v \in V(H \backslash Y)=N^{\prime}$ we have that $\mathcal{P}(u)=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(u)$, $\mathcal{P}(v)=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(v)$. Thus, $P \subseteq \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(u) \cup \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(v)$ which yields $\{u, v\} \in E(\widetilde{H})$. Now consider an arbitrary $\{u, v\} \in E(\widetilde{H})$; it induces a path $Q \subseteq G^{\prime}$ between $u, v$ such that $Q \subseteq \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(u) \cup \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(v)$. Since $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(u)=\mathcal{P}(u), \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(v)=\mathcal{P}(v)$ we obtain $\{u, v\} \in E(H)$. Then from $u, v \in N^{\prime}=N \backslash Y$ we have $\{u, v\} \in E(H \backslash Y)=E\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ which concludes the proof.

- Lemma 19. Let $G$ be a graph and let $R>0$. Let $N$ be c-net of $G, \mathcal{P}$ a c-partition centered at $N$, and $H$ a $R$-minor induced by $\mathcal{P}$. Then for any $u, v \in N$ we have $d_{H}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, v)$.
Proof. Let $P \subseteq G$ be a shortest path between $u, v$ and let $J:=\{w \in N: P \cap \mathcal{P}(w) \neq \emptyset\}$. Let $Q \subseteq H$ be a shortest path between $u, v$. We claim that

$$
\text { length }(Q) \leq|J|-1 \leq \operatorname{length}(P)
$$

Assume for contradiction that length $(Q)>|J|-1$. Consider arbitrary $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\} \in E(P)$ such that $x_{1} \in \mathcal{P}\left(w_{1}\right), x_{2} \in \mathcal{P}\left(w_{2}\right)$ for $w_{1} \neq w_{2}$; hence $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\} \in E(H)$. Therefore, $P$ induces a walk $W \subseteq H$ such that $v, u \in V(W)$. Hence, there is a path $Q^{\prime} \subseteq W$ such that $v, u \in V\left(Q^{\prime}\right)$; note that length $(Q) \leq|V(W)|-1=|J|-1$. Thus,

$$
\text { length }\left(Q^{\prime}\right) \leq|J|-1<\text { length }(Q)=d_{H}(v, u)
$$

which gives a contradiction, and concludes the proof.
We now have all the necessary ingredients in place to prove Theorem 1, which is the main result of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 1. We analyze the algorithm presented above. By Lemma 10, if we terminate at Step 1, then we correctly decide that $G$ does not admit a $(k, c)$-embedding. Otherwise, by Lemma 17, it follows that if $G$ admits a $(k, c)$-embedding into the line, then $G^{\prime}=G \backslash X_{\text {density }}$ admits a $\left(k^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)$-embedding into the line, with $k^{\prime}=(2 c+1)\left|X_{\text {density }}\right|+k=$ $\left.O\left(c^{2} k \log ^{3 / 2} n\right)\right)$ and $c^{\prime}=4 c^{3}+c$.

By Lemma 11, if we decide that $G^{\prime}$ does not admit a $\left(k^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)$-embedding into the line, then, by the above discussion, this certifies that $G$ does not admit a $(k, c)$-embedding into the line; we can thus correctly decide this fact in Step 2.

Suppose that $G^{\prime}$ admits a $\left(k^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)$-embedding into the line. Thus, there exists some $K^{\prime} \subseteq$ $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, with $\left|K^{\prime}\right| \leq k^{\prime}$, such that $G^{\prime} \backslash K^{\prime}$ admits a $c^{\prime}$-embedding into the line. Let $J$ be the set of all $v \in N$ such that the Voronoi cell of $v$ intersects $K^{\prime}$, that is $J=\left\{v \in N: K^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{P}(v) \neq \emptyset\right\}$.

We claim that $F \backslash J$ does not contain any $\left(3 c^{\prime} / 2+1\right)$-tripod. For the sake of contradiction, suppose that $F \backslash J$ contains some $\left(3 c^{\prime} / 2+1\right)$-tripod $T=P_{1} \cup P_{2} \cup P_{3}$, where $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ are three paths sharing a root $r$. For any $i \in[3]$ let $z_{i}$ be the endpoint of $P_{i}$ other than $r$. Then for any $i \in[3]$ there exists a path $Q_{i}$ in $G^{\prime} \backslash K^{\prime}$ between $r$ and $z_{i}$. We claim that for all $i \neq j \in[3]$, for all $u \in V\left(Q_{j}\right)$, we have $d_{G \backslash K}\left(z_{i}, u\right) \geq c^{\prime} / 2+1$. By Lemma 18, $F \backslash J$ is a $c^{\prime}$-minor of $G^{\prime} \backslash K^{\prime}$ with respect to the Voronoi partition $\mathcal{P}_{J}$ with $\mathcal{P}(w)=\mathcal{P}_{J}(w)$ for all $w \in V(F \backslash J)$. Let $w^{\prime}$ be such that $u \in \mathcal{P}_{J}\left(w^{\prime}\right)$. By Lemma 19 obtain

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
d_{G^{\prime} \backslash K^{\prime}}\left(z_{i}, u\right) & \geq d_{G^{\prime} \backslash K^{\prime}}\left(z_{i}, w^{\prime}\right)-d_{G^{\prime} \backslash K^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, u\right) & \text { (by the triangle inequality) } \\
& \geq d_{G^{\prime} \backslash K^{\prime}}\left(z_{i}, w^{\prime}\right)-c^{\prime} & \text { (since } \left.u \in \mathcal{P}_{J}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \geq d_{F \backslash J}\left(z_{i}, w\right)-c^{\prime} & \text { (by Lemma 19) } \\
& \geq 3 c^{\prime} / 2+1-c^{\prime} & \text { (since } T \text { is a }\left(3 c^{\prime} / 2+1\right) \text {-tripod) } \\
& =c^{\prime} / 2+1 . &
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 12 we conclude that $G^{\prime} \backslash K^{\prime}$ does not admit a $c^{\prime}$-embedding into the line, which is a contradiction. Therefore, we have established that if $G^{\prime}$ admits a $(k, c)$ embedding into the line, then there exists some $J \backslash V(F)$, with $|J| \leq k^{\prime}$, such that $F \backslash J$ does not contain any $\left(3 c^{\prime} / 2+1\right)$-tripods.

Therefore, in Step 3, if we do not find a set $Y_{\text {tripod }}$ of the desired size, then we correctly decide that $G$ does not admit a $(k, c)$-embedding into the line.

Next consider the case where in Step 3 we compute a set $Y_{\text {tripod }}$ of the desired size. Since $F^{\prime}$ does not contain any $\left(3 c^{\prime} / 2+1\right)$-tripods, it follows by the construction of $F^{\prime \prime}$, that $F^{\prime \prime}$ does not contain any $\left(3 c^{\prime} / 2+3\right)$-tripods (since every leaf in $F$ becomes the center of a star in $\left.F^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover, we have $\Delta\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq \Delta\left(F^{\prime}\right) \cdot O\left(c^{\prime} \Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)$, since every vertex in $F^{\prime \prime}$ corresponds to a star that contains the vertices of a Voronoi cell in $G^{\prime}$, and every such cell has size at most $O\left(c^{\prime} \Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Thus, by Lemma 14 we compute a $c^{\prime \prime}$-embedding of $F^{\prime \prime}$ into the line, where $c^{\prime \prime}=O\left(\Delta\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right) c^{\prime}\right)=O\left(\Delta\left(F^{\prime}\right) c^{3} \Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)=O\left(\Delta(F) c^{3} \Delta(G)\right)=O\left(\Delta(H) c^{4}\right)$, since $\Delta\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \leq \Delta\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)$ for all $\Gamma_{1} \subset \Gamma_{2}$. Moreover we have $\Delta(H) \leq \Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right) \cdot O\left(c^{\prime} \cdot \Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)=O\left(c^{5}\right)$, since every vertex in $H$ corresponds to a Voronoi cell consisting of at most $O\left(c^{\prime} \cdot \Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)$ vertices. Therefore $c^{\prime \prime}=O\left(c^{9}\right)$, and thus we have obtained a $O\left(c^{9}\right)$-embedding $f$ of $F^{\prime \prime}$ into the line. Note that since $V\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right)=V(G \backslash K)$, it follows that $f$ is also a $(\kappa, \sigma)$-embedding of $G$ into the line, where $\kappa=|K|$, for some $\sigma \geq 1$.

It remains to bound $\kappa$ and $\sigma$. We have

$$
\kappa=\left|X_{\text {density }}\right|+\left|X_{\text {forest }}\right|+\left|X_{\text {tripod }}\right| .
$$

Since $G$ admits a $(k, c)$-embedding into the line, it follows from Lemma 10 that

$$
\left|X_{\text {density }}\right|=O\left(c k \log ^{3 / 2} n\right)
$$

Moreover, $\Delta\left(G \backslash X_{\text {density }}\right) \leq c$, thus for any $\widetilde{c}$-partition $\mathcal{P}$ induced by an arbitrary $\widetilde{c}$-net $N$ of $G \backslash X_{\text {density }}$, and any $v \in N$, we have

$$
|\mathcal{P}(v)|=O\left(\widetilde{c} \cdot \Delta\left(G \backslash X_{\text {density }}\right)\right)=O(\widetilde{c} \cdot c)
$$

Therefore, using Lemma 11 with $\widetilde{c}:=c^{\prime}$ in the Step 3 we obtain

$$
\left|X_{\text {forest }}\right|=O\left(c^{\prime} \cdot c\right) \cdot 2 k^{\prime}=O\left(\left(4 c^{3}+c\right) \cdot c \cdot\left(c^{2} k \log ^{3 / 2} n\right)\right)=O\left(c^{6} k \log ^{3 / 2} n\right)
$$

Similarly, from Lemma 13, we have

$$
\left.\left|X_{\text {tripod }}\right|=O\left(c^{\prime} \cdot c\right) O\left(k^{\prime} \log n\right)=O\left(\left(4 c^{3}+c\right) \cdot c\right) \cdot O\left(c^{2} k \log ^{3 / 2} n\right) \log n\right)=O\left(c^{6} k \log ^{5 / 2} n\right),
$$

which implies that

$$
\kappa=O\left(c k \log ^{3 / 2} n\right)+O\left(c^{6} k \log ^{3 / 2} n\right)+O\left(c^{6} k \log ^{5 / 2} n\right)=O\left(c^{6} k \log ^{5 / 2} n\right)
$$

To find $\sigma$, we show that $G \backslash K$ admits a $O\left(c^{4}\right)$-embedding $\iota$ into $F^{\prime \prime}$ with $\iota(v)=v$ for all $v \in G \backslash K$. By Lemma $18 F^{\prime}$ is a $c^{\prime}$-minor of $G^{\prime} \backslash\left(X_{\text {forest }} \cup X_{\text {tripod }}\right)=G \backslash K$ with respect to the partition $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}:=\mathcal{P} \backslash\left(\cup_{v \in Y_{\text {forest }} \cup Y_{\text {tripod }}} \mathcal{P}(v)\right)$. Consider arbitrary $x_{1}, x_{2} \in V(G \backslash K)$ and let $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ be such that $x_{1} \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\left(v_{1}\right), x_{2} \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\left(v_{2}\right)$. Let $Q$ be the unique $v_{1}-v_{2}$ path in $F^{\prime}$. We use $Q$ to construct a $v_{1}-v_{2}$ path $P$ in $G \backslash K$, with

$$
\text { length }(Q) \leq \text { length }(P) \leq 2 c^{\prime} c \cdot \text { length }(Q)
$$

Since $F^{\prime}$ is a $c^{\prime}$-minor of $G \backslash K$, for any $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\} \in E(Q)$ there is $\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\} \in E(G \backslash K)$ with $z_{i} \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\left(w_{i}\right)$ for $i \in[2]$. Moreover, for any $w \in V(Q)$ the corresponding $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(w)$ is a connected subgraph such that $\left|V\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\left(w_{i}\right)\right)\right| \leq 2 c^{\prime} \Delta(G \backslash K)+1=2 c^{\prime} c+1$. Thus, $Q$ induces a walk $W \subseteq G \backslash K$ with $|V(W)| \leq 2 c^{\prime} c$. length $(Q)$ and $v_{1}, v_{2} \in W$. It follows that there is a $v_{1}-v_{2}$ path $P$ in $W$, such that

$$
\text { length }(P) \leq 2 c^{\prime} c \cdot \text { length }(Q)
$$

Note that since $Q$ is the $v_{1}-v_{2}$ shortest path in $F^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{length}(P) \leq 2 c^{\prime} c \cdot d_{F^{\prime}}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=2 c^{\prime} c \cdot d_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)
$$

where the last equality follows from the construction of $F^{\prime \prime}$.
We claim that $\iota$ has contraction $O\left(c^{4}\right)$. By construction of $F^{\prime \prime}$ we have that $d_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(x_{i}, v_{i}\right)=1$ thus

$$
d_{G \backslash K}\left(x_{i}, v_{i}\right) \leq c^{\prime} \leq c^{\prime} d_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(x_{i}, v_{i}\right)
$$

Therefore, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{G \backslash K}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & \leq d_{G \backslash K}\left(x_{1}, v_{1}\right)+d_{G \backslash K}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)+d_{G \backslash K}\left(v_{2}, x_{2}\right) \\
& \leq c^{\prime} d_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(x_{1}, v_{1}\right)+2 c^{\prime} c \cdot \text { length }(Q)+c^{\prime} d_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(v_{2}, x_{2}\right) \\
& \leq 2 c^{\prime} c \cdot d_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(x_{1}, v_{1}\right)+2 c^{\prime} c \cdot d_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)+2 c^{\prime} c \cdot d_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(v_{2}, x_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $F^{\prime \prime}$ is a tree, it follows that

$$
2 c^{\prime} c \cdot d_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(x_{1}, v_{1}\right)+2 c^{\prime} c \cdot d_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)+2 c^{\prime} c \cdot d_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(v_{2}, x_{2}\right)=2 c^{\prime} c \cdot d_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) .
$$

Since $c^{\prime}=O\left(c^{3}\right)$, it follows that the contraction of $\iota$ is at most $O\left(c^{4}\right)$. Now we prove that the expansion of $\iota$ is $O(1)$. We claim that $d_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \leq d_{G \backslash K}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+2$. By the construction of $F^{\prime \prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =d_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(x_{1}, v_{1}\right)+d_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)+d_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(v_{2}, x_{2}\right) \\
& =d_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(x_{1}, v_{1}\right)+d_{F^{\prime}}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)+d_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(v_{2}, x_{2}\right)=d_{F^{\prime}}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)+2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $F^{\prime}$ is a $c^{\prime}$-minor of $G \backslash K$, by Lemma 19 we get

$$
d_{F^{\prime}}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)+2 \leq d_{G \backslash K}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)+2,
$$

thus the expansion of $\iota$ is $O(1)$. Therefore, the distortion of $\iota$ is $O\left(c^{4}\right)$. Hence, we obtain that the map $\phi:=f \circ \iota: G \backslash K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ has distortion $\sigma=O\left(c^{9}\right) \cdot O\left(c^{4}\right)=O\left(c^{13}\right)$, which concludes the proof.
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## A Density Reduction

## A. 1 The algorithm for density reduction

Let us describe the algorithm for reducing the density of a graph. The algorithm takes as input a graph $G$ and some $k \geq 0, c \geq 1$, and outputs some $Y \subseteq V(G)$, such that $\Delta(G \backslash Y) \leq c$. This is summarized in Algorithm 1.

## Algorithm 1 SPARSIFY.

```
procedure \(\operatorname{SPARSIFY}(G, c)\)
        if \(\Delta(G) \leq c\) then
            return \(\emptyset\)
        else
            Let \(X\) be a \(3 / 4\)-balanced vertex separator of \(G\) computed by Theorem 5 .
            Let \(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{t}\) be the connected components of \(G \backslash X\).
            return \(X \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} \operatorname{SPARSIFY}\left(G_{i}, c\right)\right)\)
```


## A. 2 Analysis of the algorithm for density reduction

We now analyze the algorithm described above. We first recall the following result from [6].

- Lemma 20 (Bădoiu et al. [6]). If $G$ admits a c-embedding into the line then $\Delta(G) \leq c$.

The following establishes the existence of small balanced separators.

- Lemma 21. Let $G$ be a graph such that $G$ admits a $(k, c)$-embedding into the line. Let $Z \subseteq V(G)$ with $|Z|=k$ be such that $G \backslash Z$ is c-embeddable into the line. Then any $H \subseteq G$ contains a 2/3-balanced vertex separator of size at most $c+|Z \cap V(H)|$.

Proof. Let $f: G \backslash Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an embedding with distortion $c$. Let $V(H)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{h}\right\}$, and assume w.l.o.g. that $f\left(v_{1}\right)<f\left(v_{2}\right)<\ldots<f\left(v_{h}\right)$. Let $X=\left\{v_{\lfloor h / 3\rfloor+1}, v_{\max \{h,\lfloor h / 3\rfloor+c+1\}}\right\}$. By lemma 16 we get that $X$ is a balanced separator of $H \backslash Z=H \backslash(Z \cap V(H))$. Therefore, $W:=X \cup(V(H) \cap Y)$ is a balanced separator for $H$, with $|W|=|X|+|Z \cap V(H)| \leq$ $c+|Z \cap V(H)|$, as required.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this Section.

Proof of Lemma 10. It is immediate that the output, $Y$, of the procedure SPARSIFY is such that $\Delta(G \backslash Y) \leq c$. Also, if $\Delta(G) \leq c$, the algorithm outputs $Y=\emptyset$.

It thus remains to bound $|Y|$. Fix some $K \subseteq V(G)$, with $|K|=k$, and some $c$-embedding $f$ of $G \backslash K$ into the line. Consider some recursive call of procedure $\operatorname{SPARSIFY}(H, c)$, for some $H \subseteq G$. If $H \cap K=\emptyset$, then $H \subseteq G \backslash K$, and thus $\Delta(H) \leq \Delta(G \backslash K) \leq c$, where the last inequality follows by lemma 20. Therefore, procedure SPARSIFY computes a balanced separator, $X_{H}$, only if $H$ intersects $K$. By lemma 21 and Theorem 5 it follows that

$$
\left|X_{H}\right| \leq O(\sqrt{\log n} \cdot(c+|K \cap V(H)|)) \leq O(|K \cap V(H)| \cdot c \cdot \sqrt{\log n})
$$

We charge the vertices in $X_{H}$ to the vertices in $K \cap H$; thus every vertex in $K \cap H$ receives at most $O(\sqrt{\log n})$ units of charge. Since any two subgraphs on the same level of the recursion are disjoint, it follows that each vertex in $K$ receives at most $O(c \sqrt{\log n})$ units of charge per level of the recursion. Since each separator is $3 / 4$-balanced, it follows that the depth of the recursion is at most $\log _{4 / 3} n$. Thus, every vertex in $K$ receives at most $\log _{4 / 3} n \cdot O(c \log n)=\beta \cdot c \log _{4 / 3}^{3 / 2} n$ units of charge throughout the execution of the procedure SPARSIFY. The constant $\beta$ comes from the bound on the size of the vertex separator computed by Theorem 5. Hence, if $Y>\beta \cdot k c \log _{4 / 3}^{3 / 2} n$, then we have certified that $G$ does not admit a $(k, c)$-embedding into the line, which concludes the proof.

## B Eliminating large metrical cycles

## B. 1 The algorithm

The input consists of a graph $G$, some $c \geq 1$, and $k \geq 0$. The algorithm proceeds in steps, that are formally described below.

## Algorithm for eliminating large metrical cycles:

Step 1. Compute a $c$-net $N$ of $G$.
Step 2. Compute a Voronoi partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $G$ centered at $N$, and the corresponding $c$-minor $H$ of $G$.
Step 3. Using the algorithm from Theorem 4 compute a 2-approximate solution $S$ to the Minimum Feedback Vertex Set problem on $H$. If $|S|>2 k$, then decide that $G$ does not admit a $(k, c)$-embedding into the line.

## B. 2 Analysis

First, we prove the following statement about embeddability into a subgraph of a $c$-minor.

- Lemma 22. Let $G$ be a graph, $R>0$, let $N$ be a $R$-net in $G$, let $\mathcal{P}$ be a $R$-partition centered at $N$, and let $H$ be the $R$-minor of $G$ induced by $\mathcal{P}$. Let $X \subset N$, and let

$$
Y=\bigcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{P}(x)
$$

Then the metric space $\left(N \backslash X, d_{G \backslash Y}\right)$ admits a $(2 R+1)$-embedding into $H \backslash X$. Moreover, this embedding can be computed in polynomial time.

Proof. Let $u, v \in N \backslash X$. Let $Q$ be a $u-v$ shortest path in $G \backslash Y$. When traversing $Q$ starting from $u$ let $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{\ell}$ be the sequence of clusters of $\mathcal{P}$ visited. For each $i \in[\ell]$ let $q_{i}$ be the center of $C_{i}$; that is, $C_{i}=\mathcal{P}\left(q_{i}\right)$. Since for all $i \in[\ell-1]$ there is an edge in $G \backslash Y$ between some vertex in $C_{i}$ and some vertex in $C_{i+1}$, it follows that there also exists an edge in $H \backslash X$ between $q_{i}$ and $q_{i+1}$. Therefore $Q^{\prime}=q_{1}, \ldots, q_{\ell}$ is a path in $H \backslash X$. We thus obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{H \backslash X}(u, v) \leq \operatorname{length}\left(Q^{\prime}\right) \leq \operatorname{length}(Q)=d_{G \backslash Y}(u, v) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $W=w_{1}, \ldots, w_{t}$ be a $u-v$ shortest path in $H \backslash X$. Since each cluster in $\mathcal{P}$ has radius at most $R$, it follows that for all $i \in[t-1]$ there exists a $w_{i}-w_{i+1}$ path in $G \backslash Y$ of length at most $2 R+1$. Concatenating all these paths we obtain a $u-v$ path $W^{\prime}$ in $G \backslash Y$ of length at most $(t-1) \cdot(2 R+1)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{G \backslash Y}(u, v) \leq \operatorname{length}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \leq(2 R+1)(t-1)=(2 R+1) d_{H \backslash X}(u, v) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4) and (5) the assertion follows.
We recall the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem [2].

- Theorem 23 (Borsuk-Ulam Theorem [2]). Let $d \geq 1$, and let $\mathbb{S}^{d}$ denote the d-dimensional sphere. Let $f: \mathbb{S}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a continuous map. Then there exists $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d}$, such that $f(x)=f(-x)$.

The following is a simple consequence of Theorem 23. A similar argument is used in [6].

- Lemma 24. Let $C$ be a cycle and let $f: V(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an injective map. Then there exist $u, v, w \in V(C)$, such that $\{u, v\} \in E(C)$, and $f(u)<f(w)<f(v)$.

Proof. Suppose that $C$ is the $n$-cycle for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We identify the vertices in $C$ with distinct points in $\mathbb{S}^{1}$, so that the points appear in the same order as in $C$ along a clockwise traversal of $\mathbb{S}^{1}$. For each $\{x, y\} \in E(C)$ ther exists an $\operatorname{arc} A_{x, y}$ in $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ that does not contain any other vertex in $C$; we extend $f$ to $A_{x, z}$ affinely. After repeating for all edges in $C$, we obtain a continuous map $f: \mathbb{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$. By Theorem 23 we get that there exists $x \in \mathbb{S}^{1}$ with $f(x)=f(-x)$. This means that there exist two edges in $C$ whose images in $f$ span overlapping intervals in $\mathbb{R}^{1}$. Since $f$ is injective on $V(C)$ this implies that one endpoint is contained inside the interval of the other edge, which concludes the proof.

We next establish the existence of a small feedback vertex set in the minor computed by the algorithm.

- Lemma 25. Let $G$ be a graph, $c \geq 1, k \geq 0$, such that $G$ admits a ( $k, c$-embedding into the line. Let $H$ be a $R$-minor of $G$, for some $R \geq c$. Then there exists a feedback vertex set $X$ in $H$ with $|X| \leq k$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the $R$-partition of $G$ such that $H$ is the $R$-minor of $G$ induced by $\mathcal{P}$. Since $G$ admits a $(k, c)$-embedding into the line, it follows that there exists some $Y \subseteq V(G)$, with $|Y| \leq k$, such that $G \backslash Y$ admits a $c$-embedding $f$ into the line.

Let $X$ be the set of all $v \in V(H)$, such that $Y$ intersects the cluster in $\mathcal{P}$ centered at $v$; that is $X=\{v \in V(H): \mathcal{P}(v) \cap Y \neq \emptyset\}$. Since $\mathcal{P}$ is a partition, it is immediate that $|X| \leq|Y| \leq k$. It therefore remains to show that $H \backslash X$ is acyclic. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that $H \backslash X$ is not acyclic. Let $C$ be a cycle in $H \backslash X$. By Lemma 24 there exist $u, v, w \in V(C)$, such that $\{u, v\} \in E(C)$, and $f(u)<f(w)<f(v)$.

Since $\{u, v\} \in E(C)$, and $C \subseteq H$, it follows that $\{u, v\} \in E(H)$. Since $H$ is $R$-minor, it follows that there exists a path $Q$ between $u$ and $v$, with $Q \subseteq \mathcal{P}(u) \cup \mathcal{P}(v)$. When traversing $Q$ starting from $u$ let $u^{\prime}$ be the last vertex visited with $f\left(u^{\prime}\right)<f(w)$; let also $v^{\prime}$ be the vertex visited immediately after $u^{\prime}$. We have $f\left(u^{\prime}\right)<f(w)<f\left(v^{\prime}\right)$.

Since $H$ is a $R$-minor and $u^{\prime} \notin \mathcal{P}(w)$, it follows that $d_{G}\left(w, u^{\prime}\right) \geq d_{G}\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)$. By the definition of a $R$-partition we have that $d_{G}(u, w)>c$, and therefore $d_{G}\left(u^{\prime}, w\right)>R / 2$. Similarly, we obtain $d_{G}\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)>R / 2$. Since $f$ is non-contracting, we obtain $\left|f\left(u^{\prime}\right)-f\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right|=$ $\left|f\left(u^{\prime}\right)-f(w)\right|+\left|f(w)-f\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq d(u, w)+d(w, v)>R / 2+R / 2=R \geq c$, which contradicts the fact that $f$ has expansion at most $c$, and concludes the proof.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this Section.
Proof of Lemma 11. By Lemma 25, either $G$ does not admit a $(k, c)$-embedding into the line, or there exists $X \subseteq V(H)$, with $|X| \leq k$, such that $H \backslash X$ is acyclic. Using the algorithm from Theorem 4 we compute in Step 3 a 2-approximation $S \subseteq V(H)$ to the Minimum Feedback Vertex Set in $H$. Therefore, if $|S|>2 k$, then we can terminate with outcome (1), and otherwise terminate with outcome (2), which completes the proof.

## C Eliminating large metrical tripods

In this Section we present and analyze the procedure for eliminating large metrical tripods. We begin by showing that large tripods are an obstruction to embeddability into the line. This is summarized in Lemma 12.

Proof of Lemma 12. Let $f$ be a non-contractive embedding of $J$ into the line. Let $v$ be the common endpoint of $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$. For each $i \in[3]$ let $v_{i}$ be the other endpoints of $P_{i}$. We may assume w.l.o.g. (by change of indices) that $f\left(v_{1}\right)<f\left(v_{2}\right)<f\left(v_{3}\right)$. Let $Q$ be the unique $v_{1}-v_{3}$ path in $J$. It follows that there exists $\{u, w\} \in E(Q)$, such that $f(u)<f\left(v_{2}\right)<f(w)$. This implies that $|f(u)-f(w)|=\left|f(u)-f\left(v_{2}\right)\right|+\left|f\left(v_{2}\right)-f(w)\right| \geq d_{G}\left(u, v_{2}\right)+d_{G}\left(v_{2}, w\right) \geq 2 R=$ $2 R d_{J}(u, w)$. Therefore the distortion of $f$ is at least $2 R$, which concludes the proof.

The above easily implies the following results, which asserts the existence of a small set of vertices whose removal eliminates all large tripods.

- Lemma 26. Let $F$ be a forest that admits a ( $k, c$ )-embedding into the line. Then there exists some $X \subseteq V(F)$, with $|X| \leq k$, such that $F \backslash X$ does not contain any (c/2+1)-tripod as a subgraph.

Proof. Since $F$ admits a $(k, c)$-embedding into the line, it follows that there exists some $X \subseteq V(F)$, with $|X| \leq k$, such that $F \backslash X$ admits a $c$-embedding into the line. It suffices to show that $F \backslash X$ does not contain any $(c / 2+1)$-tripods. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that $F \backslash X$ contains some $(c / 2+1)$-tripod $J$. Since $\left(V(J), d_{J}\right)$ is a submetric of $\left(V(F) \backslash X, d_{F \backslash X}\right)$, it follows that $J$ admits a $c$-embedding into the line, which contradicts Lemma 12, and concludes the proof.

Now are now ready to prove the main result of this Section.
Proof of Lemma 13. Any tripod $T \subseteq F$ can be uniquely specified by selecting its root and its three leaves. Therefore, there are at most $O\left(|V(F)|^{4}\right)$ distinct tripods in $F$. Moreover, the set of all tripods, $\mathcal{T}$, can be enumerated in polynomial time. We form an instance of the Minimum Set Cover problem with universe $U=\mathcal{T}$. We also let

$$
\mathcal{C}=\bigcup_{v \in V(F)}\left\{C_{v}\right\}
$$

where $C_{v}=\{T \in \mathcal{T}: v \in V(T)\}$. It is immediate that for any $Y \subseteq V(F), F \backslash Y$ contains no $R$-tripods iff $\bigcup_{v \in Y} C_{v}=U$. Therefore, computing a minimum-cardinality subset of vertices of $F$ whose deletion removes all $R$-tripods, is equivalent to solving the Minimum Set Cover instance on $(U, \mathcal{C})$. The result now follows from Theorem 6.

## D Embedding Trees Without Large Tripods into the Line

This Section is devoted to proving Lemma 14, which asserts that any tree with no large tripods admits a low-distortion embedding into the line.

Proof of Lemma 14. Since $T$ is a tree, we can compute in polynomial time a longest path $Q$ in $T$. Let $Q=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a Voronoi partition centered at $V(Q)$. Since $T$ does not contain any $R$-tripod as a subgraph, it follows that for all $u \in V(T)$, there exists some $v \in V(Q)$, with $d_{T}(u, v)<R$. Therefore, for each $v_{i} \in V(Q)$, we have

$$
\left|\mathcal{P}\left(v_{i}\right)\right| \leq\left|\operatorname{Ball}_{T}\left(v_{i}, R-1\right)\right| \leq \Delta(T) \cdot 2(R-1)+1 \leq \Delta(T) \cdot 2 R-1
$$

By the definition of a graphical Voronoi partition we have that for all $i \in[t]$, the vertexinduced subgraph $T_{i}:=T\left[\mathcal{P}\left(v_{i}\right)\right]$ is connected, and thus $T_{i}$ is a subtree of $T$. Let $W_{i}$ be a closed walk in $T_{i}$ that visits all vertices in $T_{i}$, obtained by duplicating every edge (or, equivalently, the walk obtained by any traversal of $T_{i}$ ). Since every edge in $T_{i}$ is traversed twice, we have length $\left(T_{i}\right)=2\left(\left|V\left(T_{i}\right)\right|-1\right)$. Let $W_{i}=w_{i, 1}, \ldots, w_{i, t_{i}}$.

We define the embedding $f_{i}: V\left(T_{i}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows. For each $v \in V\left(T_{i}\right)$, we define $f_{i}(v)=\min \left\{j \in\left[t_{i}\right]: v=w_{i, j}\right\}$.

We combine the mappings $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{t}$ into a mapping $f: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Informally, this is done by translating each $f_{i}$ so that for all $i \in[t-1]$, the image of $f_{i}$ appears to the left of the image of $f_{i+1}$, and there is a gap of length $2 R$ between these two images.

Formally, for each $u \in \mathcal{P}_{v_{i}}$, we set $f(u)=L_{i}+f_{i}(u)$, where

$$
L_{i}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i=0 \\ L_{i-1}+\max _{z \in \mathcal{P}\left(v_{i-1}\right)}\left\{f_{i-1}(z)\right\}+2 R & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

This completed the definition of the embedding $f$.
It remains to bound the distortion of $f$. For vertices that lie in the same cluster in $\mathcal{P}$, the map is non-contractive since the distance in the embedding is at least the distance in some walk $W_{i}$, which is at least the distance in $T$. Moreover, the expansion is upper bounded by the length of the walk, which is at most $\Delta(T) \cdot(2 R-1)$.

Next, let us consider $p, q \in V(T)$ that fall in different clusters in $\mathcal{P}$. Suppose that $p \in \mathcal{P}\left(v_{i}\right)$, and $q \in \mathcal{P}\left(v_{j}\right)$, for some $i, j \in[t]$, with $i<j$. We have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
|f(p)-f(q)| \leq 2 R(j-1)+\sum_{r=i}^{j} \text { length }\left(W_{i}\right) \leq(j-1) 2 R+(j-i+1) \Delta(T) \cdot(2 R-1) \\
\leq(j-i) \cdot O(\Delta(T) \cdot R)=d_{T}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) \cdot O(\Delta(T) \cdot R) \leq d_{T}(p, q) \cdot O(\Delta(T) \cdot R)
\end{array}
$$

Moreover $|f(p)-f(q)| \geq 2 R(j-i)+1 \geq 2 R+(j-i) \geq d_{T}\left(p, v_{i}\right)+d_{T}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)+d_{T}\left(v_{j}, q\right)=$ $d_{T}(p, q)$.

Therefore, in all cases, $f$ is non-contractive and has expansion at most $O(\Delta(T) \cdot R)$.

