## Norm closed invariant subspaces in $L^{\infty}$ and $H^{\infty}$

Keiji Izuchi and Daniel Suárez

#### Abstract

We characterize norm closed subspaces B of  $L^{\infty}(\partial D)$  such that  $C(\partial D)B \subset B$ , and maximal ones in the family of proper closed subspaces B of  $L^{\infty}(\partial D)$  such that  $A(D)B \subset B$ , where A(D) is the disk algebra. Analogously, we characterize closed subspaces of  $H^{\infty}$  that are simultaneously invariant under S and  $S^*$ , the forward and the backward shift operators, and maximal invariant subspaces of  $H^{\infty}$ .

### **1** Introduction and Preliminaries

Let  $L^{\infty}$  be the Banach space of essentially bounded functions on the unit circle  $\partial D$ , and  $H^{\infty}$  be the norm closed subspace of functions that admit an analytic extension to D. Let z be the identity function on  $\partial D$ . A norm closed subspace B of  $L^{\infty}$  is called invariant if  $zB \subset B$  and doubly invariant if  $zB \subset B$  and  $\overline{z}B \subset B$ . Weak-star closed invariant subspaces of  $L^{\infty}$  have being known for a long time as Beurling's theorem, see [1, pp. 131-133]. They have one of the following forms:

- (a)  $B = \chi_E L^{\infty}$ , where  $E \subset \partial D$  is a measurable set and  $\chi_E$  denotes its characteristic function. This happens when B is doubly invariant.
- (b)  $B = uH^{\infty}$ , where |u(z)| = 1 for almost every  $z \in \partial D$ .

It follows immediately that every weak-star closed invariant subspace of  $H^{\infty}$  has form (b) with u an inner function. Since the structure of inner functions is known completely, see [2], by Beurling's characterization, one can write down all weak-star closed invariant subspaces of  $H^{\infty}$  in an explicit way.

 $<sup>^{0}2000</sup>$  Mathematics Subject Classification: primary 47A15, secondary 46J15. Key words: Invariant subspaces, supreme norm.

Despite these results, very little is known about closed invariant subspaces of  $L^{\infty}$  and  $H^{\infty}$  with respect to the norm topology. In this paper, we concern with only the norm topology. In the family of proper invariant subspaces of  $L^{\infty}$  and  $H^{\infty}$ , the maximal one is called a maximal invariant subspace of  $L^{\infty}$  and  $H^{\infty}$ , respectively.

First, we give a complete characterization of doubly invariant subspaces of  $L^{\infty}$ . From this, we are able to determine maximal invariant subspaces of  $L^{\infty}$ . Let  $Sf = zf, f \in H^{\infty}$  and  $S^*$  be the operator on  $H^{\infty}$  defined by  $(S^*f)(z) = \overline{z}(f(z) - f(0))$ . We characterize the closed subspaces of  $H^{\infty}$  that are simultaneously invariant under S and  $S^*$ . Also, we describe the maximal invariant subspaces of  $H^{\infty}$ .

Let A be a uniform algebra. We denote by M(A) the maximal ideal space of A, that is, M(A) consists of the linear functionals of A that are multiplicative and nonzero. It is a compact Hausdorff space with the weak-star topology induced by the dual space of A. The Gelfand transform, defined as  $\hat{a}(\varphi) = \varphi(a)$ , for  $a \in A$  and  $\varphi \in M(A)$ , establishes an isometric isomorphism between A and a closed subalgebra of C(M(A)), the space of continuous functions on M(A).

When A is also a  $C^*$  algebra, the Gelfand transform is a \*-isomorphism from A onto C(M(A)). This allows us to identify  $L^{\infty}$  with  $C(M(L^{\infty}))$ , from which the dual space  $(L^{\infty})^*$  is identified with the space  $\mathfrak{M}(M(L^{\infty}))$  of finite regular Borel measures on  $M(L^{\infty})$  with the total variation norm. Specifically, every element of  $(L^{\infty})^*$  has the form

$$L_{\mu}(f) = \int_{M(L^{\infty})} \hat{f} \, d\mu \quad (f \in L^{\infty}),$$

where  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(M(L^{\infty}))$ , and for every such  $\mu$ , the above formula defines a linear functional of  $L^{\infty}$  with  $||L_{\mu}|| = ||\mu||$ . Put ker  $L_{\mu} = \{f \in L^{\infty} : L_{\mu}(f) = 0\}$ . When  $\int_{M(L^{\infty})} \hat{f} d\mu = 0$  holds, we write as  $\hat{f} \perp \mu$ . For a subspace B of  $L^{\infty}$ , we write  $B \perp \mu$  if  $\hat{f} \perp \mu$  for every  $f \in B$ . We denote by  $\operatorname{supp} \mu$  the closed support set of  $\mu$ .

The fiber over  $\lambda \in \partial D$  in  $M(L^{\infty})$  is defined by  $M_{\lambda} = \{\varphi \in M(L^{\infty}) : \hat{z}(\varphi) = \lambda\}$ . Since  $|\hat{z}| \equiv 1$ ,  $M(L^{\infty}) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \partial D} M_{\lambda}$ . Measures that are supported on a single fiber will be of particular interest in our discussion. So, we define

$$\mathfrak{F} = \{ \mu \in \mathfrak{M}(M(L^{\infty})) : \operatorname{supp} \mu \subset M_{\lambda} \text{ for some } \lambda \in \partial D \}.$$

# 2 Doubly, and maximal invariant subspaces in $L^{\infty}$

Recall that a norm closed subspace  $B \subset L^{\infty}$  is called invariant if  $zB \subset B$  (i.e.:  $A(D)B \subset B$ ), and is called doubly invariant if  $zB \subset B$  and  $\overline{z}B \subset B$  (i.e.:  $C(\partial D)B \subset B$ ). If  $f \in C(\partial D)$  and  $\lambda \in \partial D$  then  $\hat{f}|_{M_{\lambda}} = f(\lambda)$ . So, if  $\mu \in \mathfrak{F}$  is supported in  $M_{\lambda}$  for some  $\lambda \in \partial D$ , then  $\hat{f} = f(\lambda)$  on supp  $\mu$ , and consequently

$$f \ker L_{\mu} \subset \ker L_{\mu}.$$

That is, ker  $L_{\mu}$  is a doubly invariant subspace of  $L^{\infty}$  for every  $\mu \in \mathfrak{F}$ . It follows immediately that if  $\mathfrak{G} \subset \mathfrak{F}$ , then  $\bigcap \{ \ker L_{\mu} : \mu \in \mathfrak{G} \}$  is doubly invariant. The following theorem shows that the converse also holds.

**Theorem 1** Every doubly invariant subspace B of  $L^{\infty}$  has the form

$$B = \bigcap_{\mu \in \mathfrak{G}} \ker L_{\mu} \tag{1}$$

for some family  $\mathfrak{G} \subset \mathfrak{F}$ .

To prove our theorem, we need the following lemma due to Glicksberg, see [1, p. 61].

**Lemma 2** Let B be a doubly invariant subspace of  $L^{\infty}$  and  $f \in L^{\infty}$ . Then  $f \in B$ if and only if  $\hat{f}|_{M_{\lambda}} \in \hat{B}|_{M_{\lambda}}$  for every  $\lambda \in \partial D$ . Also, if  $\mu \perp B$  then  $\mu|_{M_{\lambda}} \perp B|_{M_{\lambda}}$ .

Proof of Theorem 1. Put  $\mathfrak{G} = \{\mu \in \mathfrak{F} : \mu \perp B\}$ . For  $\lambda \in \partial D$ , let  $\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda}$  denote the set of measures  $\mu$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$  which are concentrated on  $M_{\lambda}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{G} = \bigcup \{\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \partial D\}$ . By Lemma 2 we also have  $\mu|_{M_{\lambda}} \perp B|_{M_{\lambda}}$  for all  $\mu \perp B$ . Then by [1, p. 57],  $\hat{B}|_{M_{\lambda}}$ is closed in  $C(M_{\lambda})$ . Hence we have

$$B = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \partial D} \{ f \in L^{\infty} : \hat{f}|_{M_{\lambda}} \in \hat{B}|_{M_{\lambda}} \}$$
 by Lemma 1  
$$= \bigcap_{\lambda \in \partial D} \{ f \in L^{\infty} : \hat{f} \perp \mu \text{ for every } \mu \in \mathfrak{G}_{\lambda} \}$$
 because  $\hat{B}|_{M_{\lambda}}$  is closed  
$$= \{ f \in L^{\infty} : \hat{f} \perp \mu \text{ for every } \mu \in \mathfrak{G} \}$$
  
$$= \bigcap_{\mu \in \mathfrak{G}} \ker L_{\mu}.$$

Let B be an invariant subspace of  $L^{\infty}$ . We can define maximal invariant subspaces of B similarly.

**Corollary 3** Let B be a doubly invariant subspace of  $L^{\infty}$  and N be an invariant subspace of B. Then

- (i) N is a maximal invariant subspace of B if and only if N = ker L<sub>μ</sub> ∩ B for some measure μ ∈ 𝔅 with μ ∠ B.
- (ii) N is contained in a maximal invariant subspace of B if and only if U<sub>n≥0</sub> z<sup>n</sup>N is not dense in B.

Proof. Suppose that N is maximal in B. Then N is a proper subspace of B. Since  $zN \subset N$ ,  $N \subset \overline{z}N$  holds. Then either  $\overline{z}N = N$  or  $\overline{z}N = B$  holds. Suppose that  $\overline{z}N = B$ . Then for every  $f \in B$ , we have  $\overline{z}f \in B$  and there is  $h \in N$  such that  $\overline{z}h = \overline{z}f$ . This implies that N = B. This contradicts the properness of N in B. Thus,  $\overline{z}N = N$  holds and N is double invariant. By Theorem 1, there exists  $\mathfrak{G} \subset \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $N = \bigcap \{\ker L_{\mu} : \mu \in \mathfrak{G}\}$ . Since  $N \neq B$ , there must be some  $\mu_1 \in \mathfrak{G}$  such that  $\mu_1 \not\perp B$ . Thus

$$N \subset B \cap \ker L_{\mu_1} \subset B,$$

where the last inclusion is proper. Since N is maximal in B, then  $N = B \cap \ker L_{\mu_1}$ .

Conversely, let  $\mu \in \mathfrak{F}$  be such that  $\mu \not\perp B$ . Then  $B \cap \ker L_{\mu}$  is doubly invariant and dim  $B/(\ker L_{\mu} \cap B) = 1$ , from which the maximality is clear. This proves (i).

Suppose that N is contained in a maximal invariant subspace M of B. In the first paragraph of the proof, we showed that M is doubly invariant. Thus, the closure of  $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} \overline{z}^n N$  in  $L^{\infty}$  is contained in M. Since M is proper in B,  $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} \overline{z}^n N$  is not dense in B. Conversely, suppose that  $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} \overline{z}^n N$  is not dense in B. Let M be the closure of  $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} \overline{z}^n N$  in  $L^{\infty}$ . Then M is doubly invariant and  $M \neq B$ . By Theorem 1, there is some measure  $\mu \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $M \subset \ker L_{\mu}$  and  $\mu \not\perp B$ . Hence, by (i)  $\ker L_{\mu} \cap B$  is a maximal invariant subspace of B containing N.

### **3** Invariant subspaces in $H^{\infty}$

We recall that Sf = zf and  $S^*f = \overline{z}(f - f(0))$  for  $f \in H^\infty$ . Let  $B \subset H^\infty$  be a closed subspace. Then B is an invariant subspace if and only if B is invariant under S. Put  $\mathfrak{F}_0 = \{\mu \in \mathfrak{F} : \mu \perp \mathbb{C}\}.$ 

**Theorem 4** Let  $B \subset H^{\infty}$  be a closed subspace such that  $B \neq \{0\}$ . Then B is invariant under S and S<sup>\*</sup> if and only if there is  $\mathfrak{G} \subset \mathfrak{F}_0$  such that

$$B = \bigcap_{\mu \in \mathfrak{G}} \ker L_{\mu} \cap H^{\infty}$$

*Proof.* For the sufficiency of the proof, observe that if  $\mu \in \mathfrak{F}$  is supported on  $M_{\lambda}(\lambda \in \partial D)$ , then for every  $f \in H^{\infty}$  we have

$$Sf - \lambda f \in \ker L_{\mu}$$
 and  $S^*f - \overline{\lambda}(f - f(0)) \in \ker L_{\mu}$ .

On the other hand, if  $\mu \perp \mathbb{C}$  and  $f \in \ker L_{\mu}$ , then

$$\lambda f \in \ker L_{\mu}$$
 and  $\overline{\lambda}(f - f(0)) \in \ker L_{\mu}$ 

Consequently, if  $\mu \in \mathfrak{F}_0$ , then we have  $Sf, S^*f \in \ker L_{\mu}$  for every  $f \in \ker L_{\mu}$ . That is,  $\ker L_{\mu} \cap H^{\infty}$  is invariant under S and  $S^*$  for every  $\mu \in \mathfrak{F}_0$ .

Now we prove the necessity. Suppose that B is invariant under S and S<sup>\*</sup>. Since  $B \neq \{0\}$ , there exist  $f \in B$  and a nonnegative integer n such that  $f = z^n g$ , with  $g \in H^{\infty}$  and  $g(0) \neq 0$ . Then  $((S^*)^n - S(S^*)^{n+1})f = g(0) \in B$ , so that B contains a nonzero constant. Consequently B contains the disk algebra A(D).

Let  $g \in H^{\infty}$  and  $c \in C(\partial D)$  be such that g + c belongs to the closure of  $B + C(\partial D)$  in  $H^{\infty} + C(\partial D)$ . Then there are  $f_n \in B$  and  $c_n \in C(\partial D)$  such that  $||f_n + c_n - g - c||_{\infty} \to 0$ . It is well known (see [2, p. 137]) that  $\operatorname{dist}(c_n - c, H^{\infty}) = \operatorname{dist}(c_n - c, A(D))$ . Hence there exists  $a_n \in A(D)$  such that  $||a_n - (c_n - c)||_{\infty} \to 0$ . Thus,

$$||f_n + a_n - g||_{\infty} \le ||f_n + c_n - g - c||_{\infty} + ||a_n - c_n + c||_{\infty} \to 0.$$

Since  $f_n + a_n \in B$  and B is closed, we have  $g = \lim(f_n + a_n) \in B$ . So,  $g + c \in B + C(\partial D)$ . Thus  $B + C(\partial D)$  is closed in  $H^{\infty} + C(\partial D)$ . It follows that

$$B = (B + C(\partial D)) \cap H^{\infty}, \tag{2}$$

because  $A(D) \subset B$ .

Since  $\overline{z}^n B \subset (S^*)^n B + C(\partial D) \subset B + C(\partial D)$  for every nonnegative integer n, we have that  $B_{\infty} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$  the closure of  $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} \overline{z}^n B$  in  $H^{\infty} + C(\partial D)$  is contained in  $B + C(\partial D)$ . Therefore

$$B \subset B_{\infty} \cap H^{\infty} \subset (B + C(\partial D)) \cap H^{\infty} \stackrel{\text{by}(2)}{=} B.$$

Thus  $B = B_{\infty} \cap H^{\infty}$ . Since  $B_{\infty}$  is a doubly invariant subspace of  $L^{\infty}$ , by Theorem 1 there is a family  $\mathfrak{G} \subset \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $B_{\infty} = \bigcap \{ \ker L_{\mu} : \mu \in \mathfrak{G} \}$ . Since  $\mathbb{C} \subset B \subset B_{\infty}$ , we get  $\mathfrak{G} \subset \mathfrak{F}_{0}$ .

**Corollary 5** Let  $B \subset H^{\infty}$  be a maximal invariant subspace. If there exists  $f \in B$  that is invertible in  $H^{\infty}$ , then  $B = \ker L_{\nu} \cap H^{\infty}$  for some  $\nu \in \mathfrak{F}$  with  $\nu \not\perp H^{\infty}$ .

Proof. Let us assume first that f = 1. Then  $A(D) \subset B$ . Since  $zB \subset B$ ,  $B \subset S^*B$ holds. Thus, for  $g \in B$  we have that  $SS^*g = g - g(0) \in B \subset S^*B$ . It is easy to see that  $S^*B$  is closed. Hence  $S^*B$  is an invariant subspace of  $H^{\infty}$ . Since B is maximal in  $H^{\infty}$ , either  $S^*B = B$  or  $S^*B = H^{\infty}$  holds. If  $S^*B = H^{\infty}$ , then for every  $h \in H^{\infty}$  there is  $g \in B$  such that  $\overline{z}(g - g(0)) = h$ , and consequently  $zh \in B$ . Thus  $zH^{\infty} \subset B$ , and since  $zH^{\infty}$  is a maximal invariant subspace of  $H^{\infty}$  and B is a proper subspace of  $H^{\infty}$ , then  $B = zH^{\infty}$  holds. This contradicts the hypothesis that  $1 \in B$ . Hence,  $S^*B = B$  holds and B turns out to be  $S^*$ -invariant. Then by Theorem 4, there is a collection  $\mathfrak{G} \subset \mathfrak{F}_0$  such that  $B = \bigcap \{\ker L_{\mu} : \mu \in \mathfrak{G}\} \cap H^{\infty}$ . Since  $ker L_{\nu} \cap H^{\infty}$  is a maximal invariant subspace of  $H^{\infty}$  that contains B, we get  $B = \ker L_{\nu} \cap H^{\infty}$ .

For the case that  $f \in B$  is a general invertible function in  $H^{\infty}$ , consider the space  $f^{-1}B$ . It is obvious that this space is also a maximal invariant subspace of  $H^{\infty}$ , and  $1 \in f^{-1}B$ . By our previous case, there is some  $\nu_0 \in \mathfrak{F}_0$  such that  $\nu_0 \not\perp H^{\infty}$  and  $f^{-1}B = \ker L_{\nu_0} \cap H^{\infty}$ . Hence  $B = \ker L_{\nu} \cap H^{\infty}$ , where  $\nu = \hat{f}^{-1}\nu_0$  is not orthogonal to  $fH^{\infty} = H^{\infty}$ .

For  $w \in D$ , we write  $\varphi_{\omega}(z) = (w - z)(1 - \overline{w}z)$  for the special automorphism of the disk that interchanges w and 0.

**Lemma 6** Let  $B \subset H^{\infty}$  be a maximal invariant space and b be a finite Blaschke product. If  $B \neq \varphi_w H^{\infty}$  for all  $w \in D$ , then  $B \cap bH^{\infty} = bB$ .

*Proof.* First, we prove the following.

Claim 1. If  $B \neq zH^{\infty}$ , then  $B \cap z^n H^{\infty} = z^n B$  for every positive integer n.

Since  $z^n B \subset B$ ,  $B \subset \overline{z}^n B \cap H^\infty$  holds. By the maximality of B in  $H^\infty$ , either

$$B = \overline{z}^n B \cap H^{\infty} \quad \text{or} \quad H^{\infty} = \overline{z}^n B \cap H^{\infty}.$$
(3)

The first equality is our claim. Suppose that  $H^{\infty} = \overline{z}^n B \cap H^{\infty}$  holds for some n. We may assume that n is the smallest positive integer satisfying  $H^{\infty} = \overline{z}^n B \cap H^{\infty}$ . We have  $z^n H^{\infty} = B \cap z^n H^{\infty}$ . Hence

$$z^n H^\infty \subset B. \tag{4}$$

Here we have that  $n \neq 1$ . For, suppose that  $zH^{\infty} \subset B$  holds. Since  $zH^{\infty}$  is a maximal invariant subspace of  $H^{\infty}$  and  $B \subset H^{\infty}$  is proper,  $B = zH^{\infty}$  holds. This contradicts our assumption of Claim 1. Hence  $n \geq 2$ . By (3), we have  $B = \overline{z}B \cap H^{\infty}$ . Hence by (4), we get

$$z^n H^\infty = z^n H^\infty \cap z H^\infty \subset B \cap z H^\infty = zB.$$

Thus we obtain  $z^{n-1}H^{\infty} \subset B$ . Hence  $H^{\infty} = \overline{z}^{n-1}B \cap H^{\infty}$  holds. This contradicts that n is the smallest one such that  $H^{\infty} = \overline{z}^n B \cap H^{\infty}$ .

Next, we prove the following claim.

Claim 2:  $B \cap \varphi_w^n H^\infty = \varphi_w^n B$  for every  $w \in D$  and positive integer n.

Consider the closed subspace of  $H^{\infty}$  given by  $B \circ \varphi_w \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f \circ \varphi_w : f \in B\}$ . Since  $(\varphi_w \circ \varphi_w)(z) = z$ , it is clear that  $B \circ \varphi_w$  is a maximal invariant subspace of  $H^{\infty}$ . By our assumption,  $B \neq \varphi_w H^{\infty}$  holds. Hence  $B \circ \varphi_w \neq z H^{\infty}$ . Therefore by Claim 1,  $(B \circ \varphi_w) \cap z^n H^{\infty} = z^n (B \circ \varphi_w)$  for every positive integer *n*. Composing this equality with  $\varphi_w$  we obtain the desired result.

Now let b be a finite Blaschke product. Obviously  $bB \subset B \cap bH^{\infty}$ . For the reverse inclusion, let  $f \in H^{\infty}$  be such that  $bf \in B$ . Writing  $b = \varphi_{w_1}^{n_1} \dots \varphi_{w_k}^{n_k}$ , where  $w_j \in D$  and  $n_j \geq 1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , we have that

$$\varphi_{w_1}^{n_1} \dots \varphi_{w_k}^{n_k} f \in B.$$

Then Claim 2 asserts that  $\varphi_{w_2}^{n_2} \dots \varphi_{w_k}^{n_k} f \in B$ . We can repeat this argument k-1 more times to obtain  $f \in B$ .

**Theorem 7** Let  $B \subset H^{\infty}$  be a maximal invariant subspace. Then either  $B = \varphi_w H^{\infty}$  for some  $w \in D$  or  $B = \ker L_{\nu} \cap H^{\infty}$  for some  $\nu \in \mathfrak{F}$  with  $\nu \not\perp H^{\infty}$ .

Proof. Let  $B_{\infty}$  be the closure of  $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} \overline{z}^n B$  in  $H^{\infty} + C(\partial D)$ . Assume first that  $1 \in B_{\infty}$ . Then there are  $g \in B$  and a nonnegative integer n such that  $\|\overline{z}^n g - 1\|_{\infty} < 1/2$ . Hence,  $\|g - z^n\|_{\infty} < 1/2$ . Since  $|\widehat{z}^n| \equiv 1$  on  $M(H^{\infty}) \setminus D$ , then  $|\widehat{g}| \geq 1/2$  on  $M(H^{\infty}) \setminus D$ . It is well known that a function in  $H^{\infty}$  that never vanishes on  $M(H^{\infty}) \setminus D$  can be factored as g = bf, where  $f \in (H^{\infty})^{-1}$  and b is a finite Blaschke product.

If there is some  $w \in D$  such that  $B = \varphi_w H^{\infty}$ , we are done. If not, Lemma 6 says that  $f \in B$ . Hence, Corollary 5 says that  $B = \ker L_{\mu} \cap H^{\infty}$  for  $\mu \in \mathfrak{F}$  with  $\mu \not\perp H^{\infty}$ . Thus our theorem holds when  $1 \in B_{\infty}$ .

Now suppose that  $1 \notin B_{\infty}$ . Since  $B_{\infty}$  is a doubly invariant subspace of  $L^{\infty}$ , Theorem 1 states that there exists a family  $\mathfrak{G} \subset \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $B_{\infty} = \bigcap \{ \ker L_{\mu} : \mu \in \mathfrak{G} \}$ . Since  $1 \notin B_{\infty}$ , there must be some  $\nu \in \mathfrak{G}$  such that  $\nu \not\perp 1$ . Thus

$$B \subset B_{\infty} \cap H^{\infty} \subset \ker L_{\nu} \cap H^{\infty}.$$

Since  $1 \notin \ker L_{\nu} \cap H^{\infty}$ , this space is a proper invariant subspace of  $H^{\infty}$ . Since B is maximal in  $H^{\infty}$ ,  $B = \ker L_{\nu} \cap H^{\infty}$  holds, as claimed.

**Open Problems.** The most important open problem is to obtain a complete characterization of invariant subspaces of  $L^{\infty}$  and  $H^{\infty}$ . If  $B \subset H^{\infty}$  is invariant,

the weak-star closure of B has the form  $uH^{\infty}$ , where u is an inner function. Thus,  $\overline{u}B$  is an invariant subspace of  $H^{\infty}$  that is weak-star dense in  $H^{\infty}$ . Therefore, the problem for  $H^{\infty}$  reduces to characterize invariant subspaces that are weak-star dense in  $H^{\infty}$ . A similar analysis can be done for  $L^{\infty}$ , except that in this case we also have to characterize invariant subspaces whose weak-star closure is  $\chi_E L^{\infty}$ , where  $E \subset \partial D$  is some measurable set.

We have other questions. Is every invariant subspace in  $H^{\infty}$  contained in a maximal one? What about  $L^{\infty}$ ? Obviously, these questions are less ambitious than the ones in the previous paragraphs.

Acknowledgement. The last author is grateful to the people of Niigata University for their wonderful hospitality during part of the preparation of this paper.

### References

- T. W. GAMELIN, "Uniform Algebras", Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey (1969).
- [2] J. B. GARNETT, "Bounded Analytic Functions", Academic Press, New York (1981).

**KEIJI IZUCHI**, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Niigata University, Niigata 950-21, Japan izuchi@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp

**DANIEL SUÁREZ**, Departament de Matemàtiques, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193, Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain dsuarez@mat.uab.es