

Twisted semigroup algebras.

L. RIGAL, P. ZADUNAISKY*

Abstract

We study 2-cocycle twists, or equivalently Zhang twists, of semigroup algebras over a field \mathbb{k} . If the underlying semigroup is affine, that is abelian, cancellative and finitely generated, then $\text{Spec } \mathbb{k}[S]$ is an affine toric variety over \mathbb{k} , and we refer to the twists of $\mathbb{k}[S]$ as *quantum affine toric varieties*. We show that every quantum affine toric variety has a “dense quantum torus”, in the sense that it has a localization isomorphic to a quantum torus. We study quantum affine toric varieties and show that many geometric regularity properties of the original toric variety survive the deformation process.

Contents

1 Preliminaries on gradings and twistings.	4
1.1 Basic results.	4
1.2 Zhang Twists.	6
1.3 Change of grading groups.	8
2 Regularity of \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}-graded algebras and their Zhang twists.	12
2.1 Homological regularity conditions.	12
2.2 Dualizing complexes	15
3 Twisted semigroup algebras.	18
3.1 Twisted semigroup algebras.	18
3.2 Twisted affine semigroup algebras.	20
3.3 Twisted lattice algebras.	26

Introduction.

Fix a field \mathbb{k} . Let S be a commutative semigroup with identity. Classically, one associates to S its semigroup algebra $\mathbb{k}[S]$ defined as follows: it is the \mathbb{k} -vector space with basis $\{X^s \mid s \in S\}$ indexed by the elements of S , equipped with the unique associative product such that $X^s \cdot X^{s'} = X^{s+s'}$ for all $s, s' \in S$; it is S -graded in a natural way. In the present paper we are interested in noncommutative deformations of $\mathbb{k}[S]$. Namely, we consider integral algebras which respect the S -graded \mathbb{k} -vector space structure of $\mathbb{k}[S]$ but with a possibly different associative product. It is not difficult to see that such a deformation of $\mathbb{k}[S]$ is obtained by twisting the original commutative multiplication by means of a 2-cocycle on S with values in \mathbb{k}^* . The deformation of $\mathbb{k}[S]$ corresponding to the 2-cocycle $\alpha : S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$ will be denoted $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$; its product, denoted \cdot_α , satisfies $X^s \cdot_\alpha X^{s'} = \alpha(s, s')X^{s+s'}$ for all $s, s' \in S$.

We will focus on the case where S is an *affine* semigroup, that is, S is finitely generated and isomorphic as semigroup to a subsemigroup of \mathbb{Z}^n for some positive integer n . In this case $\mathbb{k}[S]$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{k} -algebra and an integral domain. Its maximal spectrum is an affine *toric variety*, and if \mathbb{k} is algebraically closed then all affine toric varieties arise this way. With this

*This work has been partially supported by the projects ECOS-MINCYT action No. A10E04, MATHAmSud-NOCOSETA, UBACYT 20020100100475, PIP-CONICET 112-200801-00487 and PICT-2007-02182. The second author is a CONICET fellow.

fact in mind we adopt the point of view of noncommutative algebraic geometry and consider the 2-cocycle twists of semigroup algebras as noncommutative analogues of affine toric varieties, and refer to them as *quantum affine toric varieties*. These objects were also studied in the unpublished document [Ing], and some of our results are similar to those found there; however, our objectives, methods and results are very different from those of [Ing].

Toric varieties have played a very important role in algebraic geometry in recent years, and we expect that the same will happen with their quantum analogues in the context of noncommutative algebraic geometry. We are particularly interested in classes of varieties which admit a toric degeneration. For example, by a result due to P. Caldero in [Cal], a Schubert variety of an arbitrary flag variety over \mathbb{C} has a toric degeneration. This is useful for establishing the regularity properties of the original variety: by classical results, the latter inherits the regularity properties of its toric degeneration, which are in principle easier to establish. Our main objective is to adapt this method to the quantum world. In an upcoming paper, we will prove that quantum Schubert varieties degenerate to quantum toric varieties, and that the former inherit the regularity properties of the latter. The present paper is part of this program: it establishes the regularity properties of quantum affine toric varieties. (A detailed account of these ideas can be found in the thesis [Zad].)

As it is our original motivation, we recall a few facts on quantum Schubert varieties and explain what we mean by their degeneration to quantum toric varieties.

Let G be a simply connected, semisimple complex algebraic group and \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra. Lakshmibai and Reshetikin [LR] and Soibelman [S] have defined quantum flag varieties associated to G as well as corresponding quantum Schubert subvarieties. This is done again in the spirit of noncommutative geometry, that is to say, such a *quantum variety* is actually defined by means of a noncommutative algebra, considered as its homogeneous coordinate ring. In the above setting, the quantum flag varieties are defined as certain subalgebras of the Hopf dual of the quantum enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, while the associated quantum Schubert varieties are quotients of the former obtained by means of certain quantum Demazure modules. For details on the general construction, the reader may consult chapter 6 of [Zad].

As stated above, it can be shown that quantum Schubert varieties degenerate into quantum toric varieties; by this we mean that the noncommutative \mathbb{k} -algebra which defines a quantum Schubert variety may be equipped with a filtration whose associated graded ring is isomorphic to a \mathbb{k} -algebra of the form $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$. In [RZ] we proved that this result holds for the more general class of quantum Richardson varieties when the quantum flag variety is a quantum Grassmanian of type A. The interest of the above result is that it allows to establish a number of properties for quantum Schubert varieties by first proving them for quantum toric varieties and then showing that the considered properties lift from the associated graded algebra to the original one.

Let us now come back to the material of the present work.

A guiding principle in noncommutative algebraic geometry is that if a geometric property can be formulated in homological terms, then it should be stable by quantization, meaning that if the property holds for a given coordinate ring it should also hold for its quantum analogues. The properties that we have in mind are being Cohen-Macaulay, Gorenstein or regular. Recall that these properties have been extended from the class of commutative \mathbb{k} -algebras to the class of \mathbb{N} -graded not necessarily commutative algebras by Artin, Jørgensen, Van den Bergh, Yekutieli, Zhang and others. It turns out that the guiding principle mentioned at the beginning of this paragraph can be given a very concrete meaning for quantum affine toric varieties, and we finish this introduction by discussing it in some detail since this is the main organizing principle of the article.

Fix a subsemigroup $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ for some $n \geq 0$. Any 2-cocycle deformation $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ of its semigroup algebra has a natural \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} -grading, and since we wish to study this noncommutative algebra in the context of the previous paragraph, we consider also the \mathbb{N} -grading obtained by taking the total degree. This second grading is induced by the group morphism $\phi : \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\phi(a_0, \dots, a_n) = a_0 + \dots + a_n$, in the sense of subsection 1.3. The regularity properties of $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ are read from the category $\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ of \mathbb{Z} -graded $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ -modules, and we expect them to be the same as those of $\mathbb{k}[S]$. That this is indeed the case can be shown by mimicking the theory developed for the study of the algebra $\mathbb{k}[S]$, for example in [BH; chapter 6]. However, the relation between both algebras can be made more precise: by a theorem of J. Zhang, the categories of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} -graded modules $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}\text{Gr}\mathbb{k}[S]$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}\text{Gr}\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ are isomorphic; this isomorphism is remarkably explicit and concrete, so information transfers between these two categories in a straightforward manner. We are then left to study the relation between the categories of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} and \mathbb{Z} -graded $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ -modules, and it turns out that this is controlled by three functors, induced by the morphism ϕ , with very good homological properties. These functors fit into a diagram as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}\text{Gr}\mathbb{k}[S] & \xleftarrow{\cong} & \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}\text{Gr}\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S] \\
\phi_! \downarrow & \uparrow \phi^* & \downarrow \phi_* \\
\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}\mathbb{k}[S] & & \mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]
\end{array}$$

The horizontal functor is an isomorphism of categories, hence the relation between the classical and the quantum objects is very explicit at that level. We then use the vertical functors repeatedly to transfer information between the \mathbb{Z} -graded and the \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} -graded levels. In this way we effectively deduce the regularity properties of $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ from those of $\mathbb{k}[S]$.

The paper is organized as follows.

Section 1 establishes, in a fairly general setting, the fundamental properties that we need on gradings and twistings. In the first subsection, generalities are recalled, including local cohomology for noncommutative graded algebras. In the second, the notion of a Zhang twist is introduced following [Z] and further properties concerning the behavior of classical homological invariants with respect to such twists are established. This notion, more general than twistings by 2-cocycles, turns out to be the proper one for our purposes. The last subsection deals with change of gradings over the algebra. We consider a G -graded algebra A and a group morphism $\phi : G \rightarrow H$. This morphism induces an H -grading over A , and an adjoint triple $(\phi_!, \phi^*, \phi_*)$ relating the categories of G and H -graded modules. These functors are the main tools we use to transfer homological information between both categories.

In section 2, we focus on the case where $G = \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$, $H = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\phi : \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ sends an $n+1$ -tuple to the sum of its entries. In this context, the algebra A is \mathbb{Z} -graded and the usual notions of regularity from noncommutative algebraic geometry make sense. In the first subsection, we study how the properties of being Cohen-Macaulay, Gorenstein or regular, which a priori concern the category $\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}A$, can actually be read in $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}\text{Gr}A$. We also study the behavior of the same regularity conditions with respect to twistings. The main result of this section is Theorem 2.1.9 which, roughly speaking, asserts that a regularity property is true for A if and only if it is true for any twist of A . In the second subsection, similar questions are treated at the level of the derived categories of modules.

In Section 3 we study the class of algebras that were our original motivation: quantum affine toric varieties. The first subsection collects basic facts on twisting of semigroup algebras by 2-cocycles. The second subsection restricts the point of view to the case where the semigroup is

affine. It is shown that for such a semigroup S and for any 2-cocycle α , the algebra $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ is indeed a Zhang twist of $\mathbb{k}[S]$, in particular Theorem 2.1.9 applies. In the same subsection we establish a decomposition statement which asserts that a quantum affine toric variety whose underlying semigroup is normal is the intersection of a certain family of subalgebras of its division ring of fractions, each isomorphic to a quantum space localized at some of its generators, see Proposition 3.2.14. As a consequence we get a characterization by means of the underlying semigroup of those quantum toric varieties which are normal domains, i.e. maximal orders in their division ring of fractions, see Corollary 3.2.16. In the last subsection our attention restricts to the case of *twisted lattice algebras*. These are examples of quantum affine toric varieties where the underlying semigroup is built from a certain finite distributive lattice. These algebras arise naturally as degenerations of quantum analogues of Richardson varieties in the quantum grassmannian and more generally of symmetric quantum graded algebras with a straightening law, which were the object of study of [RZ].

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Andrea Solotar for her suggestions, which helped improve this article.

Conventions and notation. Throughout, \mathbb{k} denotes a field. Further, G and H denote commutative groups for which we use additive notation.

1 Preliminaries on gradings and twistings.

1.1 Basic results.

Let A be a \mathbb{k} -algebra. A G -grading on A is a direct sum decomposition of A as a \mathbb{k} -vector space $A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_g$, such that $A_g A_{g'} \subseteq A_{g+g'}$ for all $g, g' \in G$. We then say that A is a G -graded algebra.

In this context, our attention will focus on the category of G -graded left A -modules, which is the subject of study of [NV; Chap. A]. A G -graded left A -module is a left A -module M together with a direct sum decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{g \in G} M_g$ as \mathbb{k} -vector space such that $A_g M_{g'} \subseteq M_{g+g'}$ for all $g, g' \in G$. The spaces M_g are called the homogeneous components of M ; the support of M is the set $\text{supp}M = \{g \mid M_g \neq 0\}$.

Fix $g \in G$. A morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ of A -modules is said to be homogeneous of degree g if $f(M_{g'}) \subseteq M_{g'+g}$ for all $g' \in G$. We denote by $G\text{Gr}A$ the category whose objects are G -graded A -modules and whose morphisms are homogeneous A -module morphisms of degree 0. It is easily verified that $G\text{Gr}A$ is an abelian category with arbitrary products and coproducts, see [NV; §I.1]. The g -suspension functor $\Sigma_g : G\text{Gr}A \rightarrow G\text{Gr}A$ sends a graded module M to $M[g]$, defined as the graded module with the same underlying module structure as M and grading given by $M[g]_{g'} = M_{g'+g}$, while leaving morphisms unchanged. This is an autoequivalence of the category of G -graded modules, in particular it is exact and preserves projective and injective objects.

General homological methods apply to show that the category $G\text{Gr}A$ has enough injective and projective objects. The projective and injective dimensions of a graded module M will be denoted by $G\text{projdim}M$ and $G\text{injdim}M$ respectively.

Given objects M, N of $G\text{Gr}A$ and $g \in G$, an A -module morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ is homogeneous of degree g if and only if it belongs to $\text{Hom}_{G\text{Gr}A}(M, N[g])$. With this in mind we set

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{G\text{Gr}A}(M, N) = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \text{Hom}_{G\text{Gr}A}(M, N[g]) \subseteq \text{Hom}_A(M, N),$$

which makes $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{G\mathrm{Gr}A}(M, N)$ into a G -graded \mathbb{k} -vector space. This inclusion is strict in general but it is an equality if M is finitely generated, as the following lemma states. For a proof see [NV; Corollary I.2.11].

Lemma 1.1.1. – *Let A be a G -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra and M, N objects of $G\mathrm{Gr}A$. If M is a finitely generated A -module, then $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{G\mathrm{Gr}A}(M, N) = \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N)$.*

For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote the right derived functors of $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{G\mathrm{Gr}A}(-, -)$ by $\underline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{G\mathrm{Gr}A}^i(-, -)$. Lemma 1.1.1 extends to the following result, see [NV; Corollary I.2.12].

Lemma 1.1.2. – *Let A be a left noetherian G -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra and M, N objects of $G\mathrm{Gr}A$. If M is a finitely generated A -module, then there exists a \mathbb{k} -vector space isomorphism $\underline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{G\mathrm{Gr}A}^i(M, N) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_A^i(M, N)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Our next aim is to introduce local cohomology in the present context. The definition is completely analogous to that of local cohomology functors for commutative rings, and the proofs found in [BS, chapter 1] adapt to our context almost verbatim. We fix a G -graded ideal \mathfrak{a} of A . The *torsion functor* associated to \mathfrak{a} , denoted by

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a},G} : G\mathrm{Gr}A \longrightarrow G\mathrm{Gr}A,$$

is defined on objects as

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a},G}(M) = \{m \in M \mid \mathfrak{a}^n m = 0 \text{ for } n \gg 0\} \subseteq M,$$

and sends a morphism $M \xrightarrow{f} N$ to its restriction $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a},G}(M) \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a},G}(f)} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a},G}(N)$. One can check that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a},G}$ is left exact. We denote its i -th right derived functor by $H_{\mathfrak{a},G}^i$, and refer to it as the i -th local cohomology functor.

On the other hand, we consider the functor

$$\varinjlim \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{G\mathrm{Gr}A}(A/\mathfrak{a}^n, -) : G\mathrm{Gr}A \longrightarrow G\mathrm{Gr}A.$$

It is easy to check that it is left exact and naturally isomorphic to $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a},G}$. Standard homological algebra then yields for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ a natural isomorphism

$$H_{\mathfrak{a},G}^i \cong \varinjlim \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{G\mathrm{Gr}A}^i(A/\mathfrak{a}^n, -).$$

Definition 1.1.3. – *We define the local cohomological dimension of A relative to its G -grading and to the ideal \mathfrak{a} , denoted $\mathrm{lcd}_{\mathfrak{a},G}(A)$, as the cohomological dimension of the functor $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a},G}$. That is $\mathrm{lcd}_{\mathfrak{a},G}(A)$ is the least integer d such that $H_{\mathfrak{a},G}^i(M) = 0$ for all integers $i > d$ and all object M of $G\mathrm{Gr}A$ if such an integer exists, or $+\infty$ otherwise.*

Remark 1.1.4. – Fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g \in G$. Since suspension is exact and preserves injectives, the families of functors $(\Sigma_g \circ H_{\mathfrak{a},G}^i)_{i \geq 0}$ and $(H_{\mathfrak{a},G}^i \circ \Sigma_g)_{i \geq 0}$ are universal ∂ -functors. It is clear that $\Sigma_g \circ \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a},G} = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a},G} \circ \Sigma_g$, and so the general theory of ∂ -functors states that there exist isomorphisms $\Sigma_g \circ H_{\mathfrak{a},G}^i \cong H_{\mathfrak{a},G}^i \circ \Sigma_g$ for all $i \geq 0$.

Local cohomology for noncommutative \mathbb{N} -graded algebras has been considered in several previous articles, for example [AZ], [Jor], [VdB], etc., and one of our motivations is to extend some of the results of these articles to more general gradings, see section 2 for details.

1.2 Zhang Twists.

Throughout this subsection A denotes a G -graded algebra. The material is mostly taken from [Z], where the reader may find the missing proofs.

Definition 1.2.1. ([Z; Definitions 2.1, 4.1]) – A left twisting system on A over G is a family of graded \mathbb{k} -linear automorphisms $\tau = \{\tau_g | g \in G\}$ such that for any $g, g', g'' \in G$ and any $a, a' \in A$, homogeneous of degree g and g' respectively,

$$\tau_{g''}(\tau_{g'}(a)a') = \tau_{g'+g''}(a)\tau_{g''}(a').$$

A right twisting system is similar, but the previous condition is replaced by

$$\tau_{g''}(a\tau_g(a')) = \tau_{g''}(a)\tau_{g''+g}(a').$$

A normalized left, resp. right, twisting system on A over G is a left, resp. right twisting system on A over G such that $\tau_0(1) = 1$.

It is easy to see that if τ is a left twisting system for A over G , then it is a right twisting system for A^{opp} over G . This shows that every theorem on left twistings has an analogue for right twistings, so we only state the left side versions. If $\tau = \{\tau_g | g \in G\}$ is a normalized left twisting system on A over G , then $\tau_g(1) = 1$ for all $g \in G$, and $\tau_0 = \text{id}$. See [Z; Proposition 2.2].

Theorem 1.2.2. ([Z; Proposition/Definition 4.2]) – Let τ be a left twisting system on A . The graded \mathbb{k} -vector space A can be endowed with an associative product denoted by \circ , given by

$$a \circ a' = \tau_{g'}(a)a'$$

for all $g, g' \in G$, $a \in A_g$ and $a' \in A_{g'}$. With this product the \mathbb{k} -vector space A becomes a unital associative G -graded algebra whose unit is $\tau_0^{-1}(1)$. We denote this algebra by ${}^\tau A$, and call it the left twisting of A by τ .

We sometimes refer to ${}^\tau A$ as a Zhang twist of A . By [Z; Proposition 2.4], there is no loss of generality if we only consider normalized twisting systems.

Two G -graded algebra structures over the underlying graded \mathbb{k} -vector space of A are twist-equivalent if one can be obtained from the other through a Zhang twist. This is an equivalence relation by [Z; Proposition 2.5]. In particular, the following result holds:

Lemma 1.2.3. – For every left twisting system τ on A there is a left twisting system τ' on ${}^\tau A$ such that $\tau'({}^\tau A) = A$.

Let τ be a left twisting system on A , and let M be a G -graded A -module. Then there exists a G -graded ${}^\tau A$ -module whose underlying graded \mathbb{k} -vector space is equal to that of M , with the action of an element $a \in {}^\tau A$ over $m \in M_g$, with $g \in G$, is given by

$$a \circ m = \tau_g(a) \cdot m$$

where \cdot represents the action of A on M . We denote this ${}^\tau A$ -module by ${}^\tau M$. If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a morphism of G -graded A -modules then the same function defines a ${}^\tau A$ -linear function ${}^\tau f : {}^\tau M \rightarrow {}^\tau N$. This assignation defines a functor $\mathcal{F}_\tau : G\text{Gr}A \rightarrow G\text{Gr}{}^\tau A$. The following result is crucial for us in the following sections.

Theorem 1.2.4. ([Z; Theorem 3.1]) – The functor $\mathcal{F}_\tau : G\text{Gr}A \longrightarrow G\text{Gr}^\tau A$ sending an object M to ${}^\tau M$ and leaving morphisms unchanged is an isomorphism of categories.

The isomorphism \mathcal{F}_τ is an isomorphism of abelian categories and hence preserves all homological properties of objects.

Theorem 1.2.5. – Let τ be a left twisting system on A . For each $g \in G$, let ν_g be the \mathbb{k} -linear map defined as

$$\nu_g(a) = \tau_{-(g+g')} \tau_{-g'}^{-1}(a).$$

for all $g' \in G$ and $a \in A_{g'}$. The following hold.

- (i) The set $\nu = \{\nu_g\}_{g \in G}$ is a right twisting system on A .
- (ii) The \mathbb{k} -linear map $\theta : {}^\tau A \rightarrow A^\nu$ sending $a \in {}^\tau A_g$ to $\theta(a) = \tau_{-g}(a)$ for each $g \in G$ is an isomorphism of G -graded algebras
- (iii) The change of rings functor $\Theta : G\text{Gr}({}^\tau A)^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow G\text{Gr}(A^\nu)^{\text{opp}}$ induced by θ^{-1} is an isomorphism of categories, and $\theta : \Theta({}^\tau A) \rightarrow A^\nu$ is an isomorphism of G -graded right A^ν -modules.

Proof. Points (i) and (ii) are [Z; Theorem 4.3]. Point (iii) follows at once. \square

Remark 1.2.6. – Let τ be a left twisting system on A . Using Theorem 1.2.4 and Theorem 1.2.5 we get that the category of right A -modules is isomorphic to the category of right ${}^\tau A$ -modules.

If V is a graded subspace of A , then by abuse of notation we write ${}^\tau V$ when we consider V as a graded subspace of ${}^\tau A$. Notice that if V is a left ideal of A then it is a graded submodule, and so ${}^\tau V$ is a left ideal of ${}^\tau A$. The following proposition, whose proof is straightforward, clarifies eventual ambiguities that might arise due to this notation.

Proposition 1.2.7. – Let τ be a left twisting system on A over G and denote by \circ the product on A defined in Theorem 1.2.2.

1. Suppose B is a graded subalgebra of A such that $\tau_g(B) \subseteq B$ for all $g \in G$. Then $({}^\tau B, \circ)$ is a subalgebra of $({}^\tau A, \circ)$. Furthermore τ induces by restriction a twisting system on B over G , and the twist of B by this induced system is equal to $({}^\tau B, \circ)$.
2. Suppose that \mathfrak{a} is a graded two-sided ideal of A such that $\tau_g(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ for all $g \in G$. Then ${}^\tau \mathfrak{a}$ is a graded two-sided ideal of ${}^\tau A$.

The following lemma shows that twisting commutes with local cohomology.

Lemma 1.2.8. – Let τ be a left twisting system on A over G and let \mathfrak{a} be a graded ideal of A such that $\tau_g(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a}$ for all $g \in G$. For all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there are natural isomorphisms $H_{\tau\mathfrak{a}, G}^i \circ \mathcal{F}_\tau \cong \mathcal{F}_\tau \circ H_{\mathfrak{a}, G}^i$.

Proof. An easy verification shows that $\Gamma_{\tau\mathfrak{a}, G} \circ \mathcal{F}_\tau = \mathcal{F}_\tau \circ \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}, G}$. Since \mathcal{F}_τ is exact and preserves injectives, the families $(\mathcal{F}_\tau \circ H_{\mathfrak{a}, G}^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(H_{\tau\mathfrak{a}, G}^i \circ \mathcal{F}_\tau)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are universal ∂ -functors. Hence the equality extends to give natural isomorphisms $H_{\tau\mathfrak{a}, G}^i \circ \mathcal{F}_\tau \cong \mathcal{F}_\tau \circ H_{\mathfrak{a}, G}^i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

We will need the following result in future sections. It is adapted from [Z; section 5].

Proposition 1.2.9. – For all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there are natural isomorphisms $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{G\text{Gr}^\tau A}^i(-, {}^\tau A) \circ \mathcal{F}_\tau \cong \underline{\text{Ext}}_{G\text{Gr}A}^i(-, A)$ seen as functors from $G\text{Gr}A$ to $G\text{Gr}\mathbb{k}$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $({}^\tau A)[g] \xrightarrow{\tau-g} \tau(A[g])$ is an isomorphism in $G\text{Gr}({}^\tau A)$ for all $g \in G$, see [Z ; Theorem 3.4]. It follows that we have natural isomorphisms $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{G\text{Gr}^\tau A}(-, {}^\tau A) \circ \mathcal{F}_\tau \cong \underline{\text{Hom}}_{G\text{Gr}A}(-, A)$ and, \mathcal{F}_τ being an exact functor, $(\underline{\text{Ext}}_{G\text{Gr}^\tau A}^i(-, {}^\tau A) \circ \mathcal{F}_\tau)_i$ is a (contravariant) ∂ -functor which is universal since \mathcal{F}_τ preserves projectives. From this it follows that there exist natural isomorphisms $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{G\text{Gr}^\tau A}^i(-, {}^\tau A) \circ \mathcal{F}_\tau \cong \underline{\text{Ext}}_{G\text{Gr}A}^i(-, A)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

1.3 Change of grading groups.

In this subsection, G and H are commutative groups and $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ is a group homomorphism; we view \mathbb{k} as a G and H -graded algebra concentrated in degree 0.

The morphism ϕ induces three functors between the category of G -graded \mathbb{k} -vector spaces and the category of H -graded \mathbb{k} -vector spaces, which we will now describe. Let M, M' be G -graded \mathbb{k} -vector spaces and $f : M \rightarrow M'$ a G -homogeneous morphism. Given $g \in G$ we denote by $f_g : M_g \rightarrow M'_g$ the homogeneous component of f of degree g , so that $f = \bigoplus_{g \in G} f_g$. The same convention is adopted for morphisms of $H\text{Gr}A$.

- **The shriek functor**, $\phi_! : G\text{Gr}\mathbb{k} \rightarrow H\text{Gr}\mathbb{k}$. For every $h \in H$, the homogeneous components of degree h of $\phi_!(M)$ and $\phi_!(f)$ are given by

$$\phi_!(M)_h = \bigoplus_{g \in \phi^{-1}(h)} M_g, \quad \phi_!(f)_h = \bigoplus_{g \in \phi^{-1}(h)} f_g.$$

- **The lower star functor**, $\phi_* : G\text{Gr}\mathbb{k} \rightarrow H\text{Gr}\mathbb{k}$. Once again we give the homogeneous components of degree h of $\phi_*(M)$ and $\phi_*(f)$:

$$\phi_*(M)_h = \prod_{g \in \phi^{-1}(h)} M_g, \quad \phi_*(f)_h = \prod_{g \in \phi^{-1}(h)} f_g.$$

- **The upper star functor**, $\phi^* : H\text{Gr}\mathbb{k} \rightarrow G\text{Gr}\mathbb{k}$. Let N, N' be objects of $H\text{Gr}A$, and $f : N \rightarrow N'$ a morphism of H -graded modules. For every $g \in G$ the homogeneous components of $\phi^*(N)$ and $\phi^*(f)$ are given by

$$\phi^*(N)_g = N_{\phi(g)}, \quad \phi^*(f) = f_{\phi(g)}.$$

Functoriality is easy to establish in all three cases. Notice that for every $h \in H$ there are several copies of the homogeneous component N_h inside $\phi^*(N)$; in particular if $g, g' \in \phi^{-1}(h)$ then $\phi^*(N)_g = \phi^*(N)_{g'} = N_h$. In order to distinguish the elements in these two homogeneous components we will use the following notational device: for every $n \in N$ we will denote by nu_g the element $n \in \phi^*(N)_g$. Notice that nu_g makes sense only if $g = \phi(n)$.

Remark 1.3.1. –

1. There is a natural transformation $\phi_! \rightarrow \phi_*$ induced by the natural inclusion of the direct sum of a family of \mathbb{k} -vector spaces in its direct product. For a G -graded \mathbb{k} -vector space M the corresponding morphism $\phi_!(M) \rightarrow \phi_*(M)$ is an isomorphism if and only if $\text{supp}(M) \cap \phi^{-1}(h)$ is a finite set for every $h \in H$. Any G -graded \mathbb{k} -vector space with this property is called *ϕ -finite*.

2. Let L, M, N be graded \mathbb{k} -vector spaces and let

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

be a complex. This sequence is exact if and only if it is exact at each homogeneous component. From this observation, it follows that the functors ϕ^* , $\phi_!$ and ϕ_* are exact.

3. Let M be an object of $G\text{Gr}\mathbb{k}$. It is clear by definition that if $\phi_!(M) = 0$ or $\phi_*(M) = 0$ then $M = 0$. However this holds for ϕ^* only if ϕ is surjective.

4. From the above it follows that given any (co)chain complex C in $G\text{Grk}$, the complex $\phi_!(C)$ (resp. $\phi_*(C)$) is exact at homological degree $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if C is exact at degree i . This holds for ϕ^* only if ϕ is surjective.

For the remainder of this subsection, we fix a G -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra A . Clearly, the \mathbb{k} -vector space $\phi_!(A)$ is an H -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra. We will simply write A in both cases since the context will always make it clear which grading we are considering. Notice that any G -homogeneous ideal of A is also H -homogeneous.

Our aim now is to consider functors between the categories $G\text{Gr}A$ and $H\text{Gr}A$ naturally induced from $\phi_!$, ϕ_* and ϕ^* . We will denote by $F_G : G\text{Gr}A \rightarrow G\text{Grk}$ and $F_H : H\text{Gr}A \rightarrow H\text{Grk}$ the corresponding forgetful functors.

Given G -graded A -modules M, M' and a morphism $f : M \rightarrow M'$, we define $\phi_!(M)$ and $\phi_!(f)$ as before, and leave the action of A on M unchanged. We also define $\phi_*(M)$ and $\phi_*(f)$ as before. The A -module structure on $\phi_*(M)$ is given as follows: for every $h \in H$ and $(m_g)_{g \in \phi^{-1}(h)} \in \phi_*(M)_h$, the action of a homogeneous element $a \in A_{g'}$ is given by $a(m_g)_{g \in \phi^{-1}(h)} = (am_g)_{g \in \phi^{-1}(h)} \in \phi_*(M)_{\phi(g')+h}$. Finally for H -graded modules N, N' and a morphism $f : N \rightarrow N'$, we set $\phi^*(N)$ and $\phi^*(f)$ as before. The action of a homogeneous element $a \in A_{g'}$ over $\phi^*(N)$ is defined as follows: for each $nu_g \in \phi^*(N)_g$ we set $a(nu_g) = (an)u_{g'+g}$. The fact that the action of A is compatible with the gradings in each case is a routine verification.

Notice that by definition $\phi_! \circ F_G = F_H \circ \phi_!$ and similar equalities hold for the other change of grading functors; it follows that Remark 1.3.1 extends to the three functors defined at the level of graded A -modules. From this point on $\phi_!$, ϕ_* and ϕ^* will denote the functors defined at the level of graded A -modules; of course this includes the case $A = \mathbb{k}$. The main result regarding them is the following, which asserts that $(\phi_!, \phi^*, \phi_*)$ is an adjoint triple.

Proposition 1.3.2. – *The functor ϕ^* is right adjoint to $\phi_!$ and left adjoint to ϕ_* .*

Proof. Let M be an object in $G\text{Gr}A$ and N be an object in $H\text{Gr}A$. We first prove the existence of an isomorphism

$$\alpha : \text{Hom}_{H\text{Gr}A}(\phi_!(M), N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{G\text{Gr}A}(M, \phi^*(N)).$$

Given $f : \phi_!(M) \rightarrow N$ of degree 0_H , set $\alpha(f) : M \rightarrow \phi^*(N)$ to be the morphism given by the assignation $m \in M_g \mapsto f(m)u_g$. It is routine to check that this is an A -linear morphism of degree 0_G . Now given $f \in \text{Hom}_{G\text{Gr}A}(M, \phi^*(N))$, we define $\beta(f) : \phi_!(M) \rightarrow N$ as follows: notice that it is enough to define $\beta(f)$ over G -homogeneous elements of M and that given $m \in M_g$ we know that $f(m) = nu_g$ with $\deg n = \phi(g)$, so setting $\beta(f)(m) = n$ we get an H -homogeneous A -linear morphism. Once again it is routine to check that α and β are inverses, and that they are in fact natural in both variables.

Now we establish the existence of an isomorphism

$$\rho : \text{Hom}_{H\text{Gr}A}(N, \phi_*(M)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{G\text{Gr}A}(\phi^*(N), M).$$

Fix $f : N \rightarrow \phi_*(M)$. For every $g \in G$ and every $nu_g \in \phi^*(N)_g$, we know that $f(n) = (m_{g'})_{g' \in \phi^{-1}(\phi(g))}$, so we set $\rho(f)(nu_g) = m_g$. Its inverse is given as follows: for every $f \in \text{Hom}_{G\text{Gr}A}(\phi^*(N), M)$ and every $n \in N_h$ with $h \in H$, we set $\varepsilon(f)(n) = (f(nu_g))_{g \in \phi^{-1}(h)}$ if $h \in \text{im } \phi$, and 0 otherwise. We leave the task of checking the good-definition and naturality of both morphisms, as well as the proof that ρ and ε are inverses, to the interested reader. \square

Since the change of grading functors are exact, Proposition 1.3.2 has the following consequence. The proof is standard homological algebra, and can be found for example in [W, Proposition 2.3.10].

Corollary 1.3.3. – *The following properties hold:*

1. *the image by $\phi_!$ of a projective object is projective;*
2. *the image by ϕ_* of an injective object is injective;*
3. *the image by ϕ^* of an injective (resp. projective) object is injective (resp. projective).*

The next two corollaries refine this last result.

Corollary 1.3.4. – *Let M be an object of $G\text{Gr}A$.*

1. $G\text{projdim}M = H\text{projdim}\phi_!(M)$;
2. $G\text{injdim}M \leq H\text{injdim}\phi_!(M)$.

Proof. Corollary 1.3.3 implies $G\text{projdim}\phi^*(\phi_!(M)) \leq H\text{projdim}\phi_!(M) \leq G\text{projdim}M$. We point out that there is an isomorphism in $G\text{Gr}A$

$$\phi^*(\phi_!(M)) \cong \bigoplus_{l \in \ker(\phi)} M[l],$$

from which it follows that $G\text{projdim}\phi^*(\phi_!(M)) = \sup\{G\text{projdim}M[l] \mid l \in \ker \phi\}$; since suspension functors are auto-equivalences, they preserve projective dimensions, so this supremum is equal to $G\text{projdim}M$. This implies the previous inequalities are all equalities and proves the first point.

For the second, suppose to the contrary that $G\text{injdim}M > H\text{injdim}\phi_!(M)$. By Corollary 1.3.3 it follows that $G\text{injdim}\phi^*(\phi_!(M)) \leq H\text{injdim}\phi_!(M) < G\text{injdim}M$, which can not happen since M is a direct summand of $\phi^*(\phi_!(M))$ in $G\text{Gr}A$. \square

Corollary 1.3.5. – *Let M be an object of $G\text{Gr}A$.*

1. $G\text{injdim}M = H\text{injdim}\phi_*(M)$;
2. $G\text{projdim}M \leq H\text{projdim}\phi_*(M)$.

Proof. For every G -graded A -module M there is an isomorphism

$$\phi^*(\phi_*(M)) \cong \prod_{l \in \ker(\phi)} M[l].$$

The proof follows the same pattern as that of Corollary 1.3.4. \square

Recalling from Remark 1.3.1 that $\phi_!(M) = \phi_*(M)$ if and only if M is ϕ -finite, we can sum up our results in the following table:

	$\phi_!$	ϕ_*	ϕ^*
projdim	is preserved	does not decrease (preserved for ϕ -finite modules)	does not increase
injdim	does not decrease (preserved for ϕ -finite modules)	is preserved	does not increase

Table 1: Homological dimensions and functors associated to ϕ .

Remark 1.3.6. – We point out that these inequalities are sharp. Consider $A = \mathbb{k}[x, x^{-1}]$ with the obvious \mathbb{Z} -grading and let $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{0\}$ be the trivial morphism. The category $\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}A$ is semisimple, and hence all its objects are projective and injective. However $\phi_!(A)$ is not an injective A -module since it is not divisible as A -module. Since $\phi^*(\phi_!(A))$ is injective, in this case $\phi_!$ increases injective dimension and ϕ^* decreases it. Also $\phi_*(A)$ is not projective since the element $(x^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is annihilated by $x-1 \in A$, but $\phi^*(\phi_*(A))$ is projective, so ϕ_* increases projective dimension and ϕ^* decreases it in this case.

We now study the relationship between the functors $\phi_!$, ϕ^* and both extension and local cohomology functors. We denote by $G\text{gr}A$, resp. $H\text{gr}A$, the full subcategory of $G\text{Gr}A$, resp. $H\text{Gr}A$, whose objects are finitely generated. Let us fix a G -homogeneous ideal \mathfrak{a} of A . The ideal \mathfrak{a} is also H -homogeneous, so we have the two functors $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a},G}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a},H}$ as well as their respective right derived functors. In order to study the relation between these derived functors we need the following result.

Proposition 1.3.7. – *Suppose A is left noetherian and let M be an object of $G\text{Gr}A$. Then, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there are natural isomorphisms*

$$\phi_! \circ \underline{\text{Ext}}_{G\text{Gr}A}^i(-, M) \cong \underline{\text{Ext}}_{H\text{Gr}A}^i(-, \phi_!(M)) \circ \phi_!,$$

as functors from $G\text{gr}(A)$ to $H\text{Gr}(\mathbb{k})$.

Proof. Notice that, since A is noetherian, the category $G\text{gr}(A)$ has enough projectives. From lemma 1.1.1 we see that

$$\phi_! \circ \underline{\text{Hom}}_{G\text{Gr}A}(-, M) = \underline{\text{Hom}}_{H\text{Gr}A}(-, \phi_!(M)) \circ \phi_!.$$

Since $\phi_!$ is exact and preserves projectives, the families of functors $(\phi_! \circ \underline{\text{Ext}}_{G\text{Gr}A}^i(-, M))_{i \geq 0}$ and $(\underline{\text{Ext}}_{H\text{Gr}A}^i(-, \phi_!(M)) \circ \phi_!)_{i \geq 0}$ form universal contravariant homological ∂ -functors, so the equality extends to the desired isomorphisms by standard homological algebra. \square

We can now prove the following result.

Proposition 1.3.8. – *Fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$.*

1. *Suppose A is left noetherian. There are natural isomorphisms of functors as follows:*

$$\phi_! \circ H_{\mathfrak{a},G}^i \cong H_{\mathfrak{a},H}^i \circ \phi_!.$$

2. *There are natural isomorphisms of functors as follows:*

$$\phi^* \circ H_{\mathfrak{a},H}^i \cong H_{\mathfrak{a},G}^i \circ \phi^*.$$

Proof. Since $\phi_!$ is an exact functor the families $(\phi_! \circ H_{\mathfrak{a},G}^i)_i$ and $(H_{\mathfrak{a},H}^i \circ \phi_!)_i$ form cohomological ∂ -functors. Clearly each functor in the first family annihilates injective objects, so this is a universal ∂ -functor. If I is an injective object in $G\text{Gr}A$ then

$$H_{\mathfrak{a},H}^i \circ \phi_!(I) \cong \varinjlim \underline{\text{Ext}}_{H\text{Gr}A}^i(A/\mathfrak{a}^n, \phi_!(I)),$$

and using Proposition 1.3.7 we conclude that $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{H\text{Gr}A}^i(A/\mathfrak{a}^n, \phi_!(I)) \cong \underline{\text{Ext}}_{G\text{Gr}A}^i(A/\mathfrak{a}^n, I) = 0$. As a consequence, $(H_{\mathfrak{a},H}^i \circ \phi_!)_i$ is also a universal ∂ -functor. Since $\phi_! \circ \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a},H} = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a},G} \circ \phi_!$, standard homological algebra implies the existence of the isomorphisms of the first item. The second item is proved in the same way, using the fact that ϕ^* preserves injectives, see Corollary 1.3.3. \square

Recall that the local cohomological dimension relative to G and \mathfrak{a} , denote by $\text{lcd}_{\mathfrak{a},G}A$, is the cohomological dimension of the functor $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a},G}$.

Corollary 1.3.9. – *If A is left noetherian, then $\text{lcd}_{\mathfrak{a},G}A = \text{lcd}_{\mathfrak{a},H}A$.*

Proof. Let i be an integer such that $H_{\mathfrak{a},H}^i \neq 0$, i.e. there is an object M of $H\text{Gr}A$ such that $H_{\mathfrak{a},H}^i(M) \neq 0$. Since local cohomology commutes with suspension functors, see Remark 1.1.4, we may assume that the component of degree 0_H of $H_{\mathfrak{a},H}^i(M)$ is non-zero. By the second item of Proposition 1.3.8, $H_{\mathfrak{a},G}^i(\phi^*(M))_{0_G} = H_{\mathfrak{a},H}^i(M)_{0_H} \neq 0$, so $\text{lcd}_{\mathfrak{a},G}A \geq \text{lcd}_{\mathfrak{a},H}A$. Since A is noetherian we use the first item of Proposition 1.3.8 and the fact that $\phi_!$ sends nonzero modules to nonzero modules in an analogous fashion to get $\text{lcd}_{\mathfrak{a},G}A \leq \text{lcd}_{\mathfrak{a},H}A$. \square

2 Regularity of \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -graded algebras and their Zhang twists.

Throughout this section A denotes a noetherian connected \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. In this context connected means that $\text{supp}(A) \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{r+1}$ and $A_0 = \mathbb{k}$. We denote by \mathfrak{m} the ideal generated by all homogeneous elements of nonzero degree, which is clearly the unique maximal graded ideal of A . Since \mathfrak{m} is a graded ideal, we can consider the local cohomology functors $H_{\mathfrak{m},\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}}^i$ as defined in section 1.

Let $\phi : \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the group morphism $(a_0, \dots, a_r) \mapsto a_0 + \dots + a_r$ for all $(a_0, \dots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$. We are in position to apply the procedure described in subsection 1.3 and view A as a \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra with the grading induced by ϕ ; notice that A is also connected with this grading. We can now consider the categories of \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -graded and \mathbb{Z} -graded A -modules.

Various noncommutative analogues of regularity conditions for algebraic varieties have been introduced in the study of connected \mathbb{N} -graded algebras, for example the AS-Cohen-Macaulay, AS-Gorenstein, AS-regular properties, or having dualizing complexes, to name a few. We are interested in the following question: given a twisting system τ on A over \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} , is it true that A and ${}^\tau A$ have the same regularity properties when seen as \mathbb{N} -graded algebras? In order to answer this question we prove that these properties, which a priori are read from the category of \mathbb{Z} -graded modules, can also be read from the category of \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -graded modules.

The section is organized as follows. In the first subsection we consider \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -graded analogues of the AS-Cohen-Macaulay, AS-Gorenstein and AS-regular properties, and show that they are stable under change of grading and twisting. In the second subsection we recall the definition of a balanced dualizing complex, and show that the property of having a balanced dualizing complex is also invariant under twisting.

2.1 Homological regularity conditions.

We start by introducing \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -graded analogues of the AS-Cohen-Macaulay, AS-Gorenstein and AS-regular conditions for connected \mathbb{N} -graded algebras. These conditions can be found for example in the introduction to [JZ].

Definition 2.1.1. – *We keep the notation from the introduction to this section.*

1. A is called \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -AS-Cohen-Macaulay if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H_{\mathfrak{m},\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}}^i(A) = 0$ and $H_{\mathfrak{m}^{\text{opp}},\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}}^i(A) = 0$ for all $i \neq n$.

2. A is called left \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -AS-Gorenstein if it has finite left graded injective dimension n and there exists $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$, called the Gorenstein shift of A , such that

$$\underline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\mathrm{Gr}A}^i(\mathbb{k}, A) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{k}[\ell] & \text{for } i = n \\ 0 & \text{for } i \neq n \end{cases}$$

as \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -graded A^{opp} -modules. We say A is right \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -AS-Gorenstein if A^{opp} is left \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -AS-Gorenstein. Finally A is \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -AS-Gorenstein if both A and A^{opp} are left \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -AS-Gorenstein, with the same injective dimensions and Gorenstein shifts.

3. A is called \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -AS-regular if it is \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -AS-Gorenstein, it has finite graded global dimension both on the left and on the right, and both dimensions coincide.

The usual notions of AS-Cohen-Macaulay, AS-Gorenstein and AS-regular \mathbb{N} -graded algebras correspond to the case $r = 0$. We will soon see that one may omit the \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} of the definitions, since they are stable by re-grading through a group morphism. For a precise statement see Theorem 2.1.6.

Remark 2.1.2. – Let B be a commutative noetherian connected \mathbb{N} -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra, with maximal homogeneous ideal \mathfrak{n} . The algebra B is Cohen-Macaulay, resp. Gorenstein, in the classical sense if and only if B is AS-Cohen-Macaulay, resp. AS-Gorenstein, see [LR1; Remark 2.1.10]. The same result holds for the AS-regular property, as we now show.

First suppose B is regular in the classical sense [Mat, p. 157]. Then $B_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is local regular and by [Mat; ex. 19.1] it follows that B is a polynomial ring, which implies that B is AS-regular. On the other hand, if B is AS-regular then by [Lev; 3.3] it has finite ungraded global dimension and so does each of its localizations at maximal ideals. By the Auslander-Buchsbaum-Serre theorem [BH; Theorem 2.2.7] said localizations are regular in the classical sense, and hence so is B .

Remark 2.1.3. – If M is an object of $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\mathrm{Gr}A$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} M = 1$ then it is clear that it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{k}[\ell]$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$ as an object of $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\mathrm{Gr}A$. Thus to prove that an algebra is left or right AS-Gorenstein it is enough to check that it has finite graded injective dimension n and that $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\mathrm{Gr}A}^i(\mathbb{k}, A) = \delta_{i,n}$.

The following two lemmas will be used in the proof of the invariance of the regularity properties by change of grading.

Lemma 2.1.4. *If A is both left and right \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -AS-Gorenstein, it is \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -AS-Gorenstein.*

Proof. Let $\phi : \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the morphism that sends an $r+1$ -uple to the sum of its coordinates. By Corollary 1.3.5 the \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -graded injective dimension of A is equal to that of $\phi_!(A)$ and by [Lev; Lemma 3.3] this is equal to the injective dimension of A over itself; thus the graded and ungraded injective dimensions of A coincide. By [Zak; Lemma A], it is also equal to the injective dimension of A^{opp} , so the graded injective dimensions of A and A^{opp} coincide. All that is left to do is to prove that the left and right Gorenstein shifts of A are equal.

Let ℓ and r be the left and right Gorenstein shifts of A respectively, and let d be its left or right injective dimension. Using the \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -graded analogue of the Ischebeck spectral sequence first introduced in [Isch, Theorem 1.8], we obtain a spectral sequence in $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\mathrm{Gr}A$:

$$E_2^{p,q} : \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\mathrm{Gr}A^{\mathrm{opp}}}^p(\underline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\mathrm{Gr}A}^{-q}(\mathbb{k}, A), A) \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(\mathbb{k}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{k} & \text{if } p+q = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By hypothesis, page two of this spectral sequence has $\mathbb{k}[r-\ell]$ in position $(d, -d)$ and zero elsewhere, so r and ℓ must be equal. \square

Lemma 2.1.5. – *The global dimension of $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}A$ is equal to the projective dimension of the trivial module \mathbb{k} seen as an object of this category.*

Proof. By Corollary 1.3.4, the ungraded global dimension of A is an upper bound for the graded global dimension of A . The same result implies $\text{projdim}\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{projdim}\mathbb{k} = n$, which is obviously a lower bound. By [Ber; Théorème 3.3] these three numbers are equal. \square

We now prove the result announced at the beginning of this subsection. Recall that we denote by $\phi : \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the morphism that sends an $r + 1$ -uple to the sum of its coordinates.

Theorem 2.1.6. – *Let A be a connected \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -graded algebra.*

1. *A is \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -AS-Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $\phi_!(A)$ is \mathbb{Z} -AS-Cohen-Macaulay.*
2. *A is \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -AS-Gorenstein if and only if $\phi_!(A)$ is \mathbb{Z} -AS-Gorenstein.*
3. *A is \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -AS-regular if and only if $\phi_!(A)$ is \mathbb{Z} -AS-regular.*

Proof. The first item follows immediately from the first statement in Proposition 1.3.8.

Since $\phi_!(A)$ is connected and noetherian, each of its homogeneous components is finite dimensional, which implies that A is ϕ -finite, so $\phi_!(A) = \phi_*(A)$. Using Corollary 1.3.5 we get $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{injdim}A = \mathbb{Z}\text{injdim}\phi_!(A)$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{injdim}A^{\text{opp}} = \mathbb{Z}\text{injdim}\phi_!(A)^{\text{opp}}$. By Proposition 1.3.7

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \underline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}A}^i(\mathbb{k}, A) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \underline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}\phi_!(A)}^i(\mathbb{k}, \phi_!(A))$$

for all $i \geq 0$, so using Remark 2.1.3 we see that A is left \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -AS-Gorenstein if and only if $\phi_!(A)$ is left \mathbb{Z} -AS-Gorenstein; an analogous argument works for A^{opp} . The second item follows from Lemma 2.1.4.

By Corollary 1.3.4 the graded projective dimension of \mathbb{k} as a graded A or $\phi_!(A)$ -module coincide, so item 3 follows from item 2 and Lemma 2.1.5. \square

Remark 2.1.7. – Replacing ϕ with the trivial morphism $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1} \rightarrow \{0\}$ in the proof of Theorem 2.1.6, one can give necessary and sufficient conditions for A to be \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -AS-Cohen-Macaulay, \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -AS-Gorenstein or \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -AS-regular in terms of the category $\text{Mod } A$. In particular we see that if A can be endowed with a different connected grading such that \mathfrak{m} is its unique maximal graded ideal, then A has any of the aforementioned properties with respect to the new grading if and only if it has this property with respect to the original grading. Hence the AS-properties may be seen as invariants of the algebra A and the ideal \mathfrak{m} , regardless of the grading. This is the point of view adopted in the article [BZ], where analogues of the AS-Gorenstein and AS-regular properties are given for augmented algebras.

In view of Theorem 2.1.6 (or Remark 2.1.7), from now on we omit the prefix “ \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -” from the regularity properties mentioned there. We now focus on showing these properties are invariant under twisting. We begin with an auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 2.1.8. – *Let τ be a normalized left twisting system on A over \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} . For all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a natural isomorphism of functors $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}A}^i(\mathbb{k}, -) \cong \underline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}{}^\tau A}^i(\mathbb{k}, -) \circ \mathcal{F}_\tau$, seen as functors from $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}A$ to $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}\mathbb{k}$.*

Proof. Let M be an object of $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}A$. It is routine to check that, for every $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$, every A -linear function $f : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow M[\xi]$ also defines a ${}^\tau A$ -linear function from ${}^\tau\mathbb{k}$ to $({}^\tau M)[\xi]$; notice that twists and shifts do not commute, so this is not true if we change \mathbb{k} for any graded A -module. This shows that $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}A}(\mathbb{k}, M)$ and $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}{}^\tau A}(\mathbb{k}, {}^\tau M)$ coincide as \mathbb{k} -subspaces of

$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}, M)$, and so $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}A}(\mathbb{k}, -) = \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}^{\tau}A}(\mathbb{k}, -) \circ \mathcal{F}_{\tau}$ as functors from $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}A$ to $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}\mathbb{k}$. Since \mathcal{F}_{τ} preserves injectives, the ∂ -functor $(\underline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}^{\tau}A}^i(\mathbb{k}, -) \circ \mathcal{F}_{\tau})_{i \geq 0}$ is universal and so by standard homological algebra we get a natural isomorphism $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}A}^i(\mathbb{k}, -) \cong \underline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}^{\tau}A}^i(\mathbb{k}, -) \circ \mathcal{F}_{\tau}$ for all $i \geq 0$. \square

We are now ready to prove the result announced in the introduction to this subsection.

Theorem 2.1.9. *Let τ be a normalized left twisting system on A over \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} .*

1. *A is AS-Cohen-Macaulay if and only if ${}^{\tau}A$ is AS-Cohen Macaulay.*
2. *A is AS-Gorenstein if and only if ${}^{\tau}A$ is AS-Gorenstein.*
3. *A is AS-regular if and only if ${}^{\tau}A$ is AS-regular.*

Proof. Recall from Theorem 1.2.5 that there exists a right-twisting system ν on A and an isomorphism $\theta : {}^{\tau}A \rightarrow A^{\nu}$. If we denote by Θ the change of rings functor induced by θ^{-1} , then $\Theta({}^{\tau}A) = A^{\nu}$.

1. Fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Lemma 1.2.8 shows that $H_{\mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}}^i(A) = 0$ if and only if $H_{\tau\mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}}^i({}^{\tau}A) = 0$. Since Θ is an isomorphism of categories, the proof of Lemma 1.2.8 can be adapted to show that there is a natural isomorphism

$$H_{(\tau\mathfrak{m})^{\text{opp}}, \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}}^i \cong \Theta^{-1} \circ H_{(\mathfrak{m}^{\nu})^{\text{opp}}, \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}}^i \circ \Theta.$$

Using this and the right-sided version of Lemma 1.2.8, we get that $H_{\mathfrak{m}^{\text{opp}}, \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}}^i(A) = 0$ if and only if $H_{(\tau\mathfrak{m})^{\text{opp}}, \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}}^i({}^{\tau}A) = 0$.

2. As we pointed out before, $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}A$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}^{\tau}A$ are isomorphic categories, and the same holds for $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}A^{\text{opp}}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}({}^{\tau}A)^{\text{opp}}$. It follows that the left and right graded injective dimensions of A are equal to the corresponding graded injective dimensions of ${}^{\tau}A$. By Lemma 2.1.8 there are graded \mathbb{k} -vector space isomorphisms $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}^{\tau}A}^i(\mathbb{k}, {}^{\tau}A) \cong \underline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}A}^i(\mathbb{k}, A)$ for all $i \geq 0$; arguing as for item 1, we also get isomorphisms of graded \mathbb{k} -vector spaces $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}({}^{\tau}A)^{\text{opp}}}^i(\mathbb{k}, {}^{\tau}A) \cong \underline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}\text{Gr}A^{\text{opp}}}^i(\mathbb{k}, A)$. From this we deduce that A is left, resp. right AS-Gorenstein if and only if ${}^{\tau}A$ is left, resp. right, AS-Gorenstein; using Remark 2.1.3, the result follows by Lemma 2.1.4.

3. The details concerning the AS-regular property are similar. \square

2.2 Dualizing complexes

Recall that we denote by A a noetherian connected \mathbb{N}^{r+1} -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra, and by $\phi : \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the group morphism defined by the assignation $(a_0, \dots, a_r) \mapsto a_0 + \dots + a_r$. We will consider A as a connected \mathbb{N} -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra with the grading induced by ϕ . Our main concern in this subsection is whether the existence of a balanced dualizing complex is a twisting invariant property.

We quickly recall some basic notions concerning dualizing complexes for the convenience of the reader. Fix a noetherian connected \mathbb{N} -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra B and set $B^e = B \otimes B^{\text{opp}}$. We denote by $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B)$ the derived category of $\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B$; we denote by $\mathcal{D}^+(\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B)$, $\mathcal{D}^-(\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B)$ and $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B)$ the full subcategories of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B)$ whose objects are bounded below, bounded above and bounded complexes, respectively. Of course we may replace B with B^{opp} and B^e , and we denote by $\text{Res}_B : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B^e) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B)$ the obvious restriction functor, with an analogous one

$\text{Res}_{B^{\text{opp}}}$ for B^{opp} . These functors preserve projectives and injectives, see [Y; §2] for details. We write $\underline{\text{Hom}}_B$ instead of $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B}$, and denote its i -th derived functor by $\underline{\text{Ext}}_B^i$ for all $i \geq 0$.

The functor $\underline{\text{Hom}}_B : (\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B^e)^{\text{opp}} \times \mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B^e \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B^e$ has a derived functor, $\mathcal{R}\underline{\text{Hom}}_B : \mathcal{D}((\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B^e)^{\text{opp}}) \times \mathcal{D}^+(\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B^e) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B^e)$ which can be calculated using resolutions by bimodules that are projective as left B -modules on the first variable, or resolutions by bimodules that are injective as left B -modules in the second variable. For more details, see [Y; Theorem 2.2].

Denote by \mathfrak{n} the maximal graded ideal of B . The torsion functor $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{n}} : \mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B$ has a right derived functor $\mathcal{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{n}} : \mathcal{D}^+(\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^+(\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B)$. Notice that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{n}}$ sends bimodules to bimodules, and so it induces an endofunctor of $\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B^e$. By abuse of notation we denote the torsion functor on bimodules by $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{n}}$ and its right derived functor by $\mathcal{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{n}}$. This abuse is justified since $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{n}}$ commutes with Res_B and this last functor preserves injectives, so for any left bounded complex of bimodules M^\bullet we have $\mathcal{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{n}}(\text{Res}_B(M^\bullet)) = \text{Res}_B(\mathcal{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{n}}(M^\bullet))$.

Given a complex of \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathbb{k} -vector spaces V^\bullet we denote by $(V^\bullet)'$ its Matlis dual. Details on Matlis duals can be found in [VdB; §3]. The following definition is found in [Y; Definitions 3.3 and 4.1] and [VdB; Definition 6.2].

Definition 2.2.1. – *An object R^\bullet of $\mathcal{D}^+(\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B^e)$ is called a dualizing complex if it satisfies the following conditions:*

1. *The objects $\text{Res}_B(R^\bullet)$ of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B)$ and $\text{Res}_{B^{\text{opp}}}(R^\bullet)$ of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B^{\text{opp}})$ have finite injective dimension.*
2. *The objects $\text{Res}_B(R^\bullet)$ of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B)$ and $\text{Res}_{B^{\text{opp}}}(R^\bullet)$ of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B^{\text{opp}})$ have finitely generated cohomology.*
3. *The natural morphisms $B^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\underline{\text{Hom}}_B(R^\bullet, R^\bullet)$ and $B \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\underline{\text{Hom}}_{B^{\text{opp}}}(R^\bullet, R^\bullet)$ are isomorphisms in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B^e)$.*

A dualizing complex R^\bullet is said to be balanced if $\mathcal{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{n}}(R^\bullet) \cong B' \cong \mathcal{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{n}^{\text{opp}}}(R^\bullet)$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B^e)$.

As shown in [Y; Corollary 4.21], if a balanced dualizing complex exists then it is unique up to isomorphism, and given by $\mathcal{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{n}}(B)'$.

Remark 2.2.2. – Recall that the local cohomological dimension of B , denoted by $\text{lcd}_{\mathfrak{n}, \mathbb{Z}}B$, is the cohomological dimension of the functor $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{n}}$ over $\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B$; since $\mathcal{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{n}}$ commutes with the restriction functor, the cohomological dimension of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{n}}$ over $\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B^e$ is bounded by this number.

If $\text{lcd}_{\mathfrak{n}, \mathbb{Z}}B = d < \infty$ then $\mathcal{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{n}}(B) \in \mathcal{D}^b(\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B^e)$. Indeed, let I^\bullet be an injective resolution of B in $\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B^e$. Truncating at position d we get the complex

$$J^\bullet = 0 \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow I^{d-1} \rightarrow \ker(f^d) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots$$

which is a $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{n}}$ -acyclic resolution of B in $\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B^e$, so $\mathcal{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{n}}(B) \cong \Gamma_{\mathfrak{n}}(J^\bullet)$ is a bounded complex.

The following property was introduced in the seminal paper [AZ].

Definition 2.2.3. *The algebra B is said to have property χ (on the left) if for every finitely generated object M of $\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B$, $\underline{\text{Ext}}_B^i(\mathbb{k}, M)$ has right bounded grading for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, B is said to satisfy property χ on the right if B^{opp} satisfies property χ .*

The following observations on property χ will be useful later. For proofs and more information, the reader is referred to section 3 in [AZ].

Remark 2.2.4. – Recall that a \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathbb{k} -vector space is said to be locally finite if each of its homogeneous components is a finite dimensional \mathbb{k} -vector space. Since B is connected and noetherian, it is locally finite, and so is any object of $\mathbb{Z}\text{gr}B$. If N and M are objects of $\mathbb{Z}\text{gr}B$ with N finitely generated and M left bounded and locally finite, then for each $i \geq 0$ the \mathbb{k} -vector spaces $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B}^i(N, M)$ are left bounded and locally finite. It follows at once that B satisfies property χ if and only if for every object M of $\mathbb{Z}\text{gr}B$ and each $i \geq 0$ the \mathbb{k} -vector space $\underline{\text{Ext}}_B^i(\mathbb{k}, M)$ is finite dimensional.

As stated in [AZ, Corollary 3.6], B satisfies property χ if and only if, for every object M of $\mathbb{Z}\text{gr}B$, the cohomology module $H_n^i(M)$ has right bounded grading for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that property χ° mentioned in the reference is equivalent to χ because B is locally finite, see [AZ, Proposition 3.11 (2)].

The following is a result relating property χ with the existence of a balanced dualizing complex for B , see [VdB; Theorem 6.3].

Theorem 2.2.5. – Existence criterion for balanced dualizing complexes

The algebra B has a balanced dualizing complex if and only if both B and B^{opp} have finite local cohomological dimension and satisfy property χ .

We will use Van den Bergh’s criterion to show that A has a balanced dualizing complex if and only if any twist ${}^\tau A$ has a balanced dualizing complex. For this we need the following result.

Proposition 2.2.6. – *Suppose B has finite local cohomological dimension. In that case B has property χ if and only if the \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathbb{k} -vector spaces $\underline{\text{Ext}}_B^i(\mathbb{k}, B)$ are finite dimensional for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. We have already stated that property χ implies that $\underline{\text{Ext}}_B^i(\mathbb{k}, M)$ is finite dimensional for all i , so the “only if” part is clear.

For the “if” part, we use the local duality theorem for connected graded algebras, see [VdB; Theorem 5.1] or [Jor; Theorem 2.3]: under the hypothesis, for every object M of $\mathbb{Z}\text{gr}B$ there exists an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}\Gamma_n(M)' \cong \mathcal{R}\underline{\text{Hom}}_B(M, \mathcal{R}\Gamma_n(B)')$$

in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B^{\text{opp}})$. Since B has property χ if and only if $H_n^i(M)$ has right bounded grading for all M in $\mathbb{Z}\text{gr}B$, it is enough to check that the cohomology modules of the complex on the left hand side of this isomorphism have *left* bounded grading.

Let \mathcal{A} , resp \mathcal{B} , be the category $\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B^e$, resp. $\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B^{\text{opp}}$, and let \mathcal{A}' and \mathcal{B}' be the corresponding thick subcategories consisting of objects with left bounded grading. For every object M of $\mathbb{Z}\text{gr}B$ we consider the functor

$$\mathcal{R}\underline{\text{Hom}}_B(M, -) : \mathcal{D}^+(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}).$$

Let X be an object of \mathcal{A}' . We can compute $\underline{\text{Ext}}_B^i(M, X)$ using a finitely generated free resolution of M , say P^\bullet . Since B has left bounded grading, the same holds for all the B^{opp} -modules of the complex $\underline{\text{Hom}}_B(P^\bullet, X)$, and hence also for $\underline{\text{Ext}}_B^i(M, X)$, being a subquotient of $\underline{\text{Hom}}_B(P^{-i}, X)$; in other words $\underline{\text{Ext}}_B^i(M, X)$ lies in \mathcal{B}' . By [Hart; Proposition 7.3(i)], given any complex X^\bullet with cohomology modules in \mathcal{A}' , the cohomology modules of $\mathcal{R}\underline{\text{Hom}}_B(M, X^\bullet)$ are in \mathcal{B}' .

By Remark 2.2.2, $\mathcal{R}\Gamma_n(B)$ and $\mathcal{R}\Gamma_n(B)'$ lie in $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbb{Z}\text{Gr}B^e)$. The hypothesis on B together with [AZ; corollary 3.6(3)] ensures that the cohomology modules of this complex lie in \mathcal{A}' , so the cohomology modules of $\mathcal{R}\underline{\text{Hom}}_B(M, \mathcal{R}\Gamma_n(B)') \cong \mathcal{R}\Gamma_n(M)'$ lie in \mathcal{B}' , that is they have left bounded grading. \square

We are now ready to prove that having a balanced dualizing complex is a twisting-invariant property. Once again we regard A as being \mathbb{N} -graded connected with the grading induced by ϕ .

Proposition 2.2.7. – *Let τ be a normalized left twisting system on A over \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} .*

1. $\text{lcd}_{\mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{Z}}(A) = \text{lcd}_{\tau \mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{Z}}(\tau A)$.
2. Suppose $\text{lcd}_{\mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{Z}} A$ is finite. In that case A has property χ if and only if τA has property χ .
3. A has a balanced dualizing complex if and only if τA has a balanced dualizing complex.

Proof. By Corollary 1.3.9 we know that $\text{lcd}_{\mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{Z}} A = \text{lcd}_{\mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}} A$ and the same holds for τA , so item 1 follows from Lemma 1.2.8. By Proposition 1.3.7 and Lemma 2.1.8, for every $i \geq 0$, the \mathbb{k} -vector spaces $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathbb{Z} \text{Gr} A}^i(\mathbb{k}, A)$, $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathbb{Z}^{r+1} \text{Gr} A}^i(\mathbb{k}, A)$, $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathbb{Z} \text{Gr} \tau A}^i(\mathbb{k}, \tau A)$ and $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathbb{Z}^{r+1} \text{Gr} \tau A}^i(\mathbb{k}, \tau A)$ are isomorphic, so item 2 follows from Proposition 2.2.6. Finally item 3 follows from 1 and 2 by Van den Bergh's criterion, Theorem 2.2.5. \square

3 Twisted semigroup algebras.

In this section, we introduce some specific types of noncommutative deformations of semigroup algebras. The study of these algebras is the main goal of this work. Although the hypothesis is not always necessary, we will always assume that semigroups are commutative, cancellative and have a neutral element; accordingly, subsemigroups must contain the neutral element and we only consider morphisms compatible with this structure. Any semigroup S with these properties has a group of fractions G , obtained by adding formal inverses to all elements by a process completely analogous to that of passing from \mathbb{Z} to \mathbb{Q} , with the property that any semigroup morphism $S \rightarrow H$ with H a group factors through G . We will often identify a semigroup with its image inside its group of fractions. The definition of a G -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra for a given commutative group G extends in an obvious way to the notion of an S -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra, and any S -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra can be seen as a G -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra, G being the group of fractions of S , where the homogeneous component of an element of G not in S is trivial.

The section is organized as follows. In subsection 3.1 we define certain noncommutative deformations of a semigroup algebra by means of 2-cocycles over the corresponding semigroup. In subsection 3.2 we focus on the case where the semigroup is a finitely generated sub-semigroup of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and apply the results obtained in the previous sections to establish results regarding their homological regularity properties; we also prove some ring theoretical properties of these algebras. In section 3.3 we study *twisted lattice algebras*, which were introduced in [LR1] as degenerations of quantum analogues of Schubert varieties, and show that they fall under the scope of the previous subsection.

3.1 Twisted semigroup algebras.

Let $(S, +)$ be a commutative cancellative semigroup and let G be its group of fractions. Recall that the semigroup algebra $\mathbb{k}[S]$ is by definition the \mathbb{k} -vector space with basis $\{X^s \mid s \in S\}$ and multiplication defined on the generators by $X^s \cdot X^t = X^{s+t}$ and extended bilinearly. The semigroup algebra is a G -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra, where the homogeneous component of degree s of $\mathbb{k}[S]$ is $\mathbb{k}X^s$ if $s \in S$ and $\{0\}$ if $s \in G \setminus S$.

We are interested in the possible associative unitary integral algebra structures one can give to the underlying \mathbb{k} -vector space of $\mathbb{k}[S]$ which respect its G -grading. Given $s, t \in S$ and any such product $*$ over $\mathbb{k}[S]$,

$$X^s * X^t = \alpha(s, t)X^{s+t} \quad (\dagger)$$

where $\alpha(s, t) \in \mathbb{k}^*$, so $*$ induces a map $\alpha : S \times S \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$. We will prove that this is enough to guarantee integrality under a mild hypothesis on the semigroup. In order to guarantee associativity α must fulfill a 2-cocycle condition: for any three elements $s, t, u \in S$ we must have $\alpha(s, t)\alpha(s + t, u) = \alpha(t, u)\alpha(s, t + u)$. Conversely any 2-cocycle over S with coefficients in \mathbb{k}^* defines an associative product on the \mathbb{k} -vector space $\mathbb{k}[S]$ by means of formula (\dagger) . We denote by \cdot_α this product, and by $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ the algebra thus obtained. This is a unitary algebra with $1_{\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]} = \alpha(0_S, 0_S)^{-1}X^0$.

The 2-cocycles of S with coefficients in the group \mathbb{k}^* form a group with pointwise multiplication, denoted by $C^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$. The unit of this group is the map $\mathbf{1} : S \times S \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$, defined by $(s, t) \mapsto 1$. Clearly $\mathbb{k}^1[S] = \mathbb{k}[S]$.

Definition 3.1.1. – Let $\alpha \in C^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$. We refer to $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ as the α -twisting of $\mathbb{k}[S]$.

Remark 3.1.2. – A 2-cocycle α of S with coefficients in \mathbb{k}^* will be called *normalized* if $\alpha(0, 0) = 1$. For such a 2-cocycle, the unit of $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ is X^0 . We denote by $C_{\text{norm}}^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$ the subgroup of $C^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$ consisting of normalized 2-cocycles. Given $\alpha \in C^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$ and $a = \alpha(0, 0)$, the 2-cocycle $\alpha' = a^{-1}\alpha$ is normalized, and we call it the *normalization* of α . Multiplication by the constant $a \in \mathbb{k}^*$ provides an isomorphism of S -graded \mathbb{k} -algebras between $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ and $\mathbb{k}^{\alpha'}[S]$, so without loss of generality we may always consider normalized 2-cocycles.

Given a function $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$, the associated coboundary $\partial f : S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$ is defined by

$$\partial f(s, t) = \frac{f(s)f(t)}{f(s+t)}.$$

This is always a 2-cocycle. The 2-coboundaries form a subgroup of $C^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$ denoted by $B^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$. A 2-coboundary ∂f is normalized if and only if $f(0) = 1$. The following lemma proves that the cohomology group $H^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*) = C^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)/B^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$ parametrizes the isomorphism classes of G -graded integral unitary algebra structures over $\mathbb{k}[S]$.

Lemma 3.1.3. – Given $\alpha, \beta \in C^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$, the algebras $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ and $\mathbb{k}^\beta[S]$ are isomorphic as unitary S -graded \mathbb{k} -algebras if and only if there exists a 2-coboundary ∂f such that $\alpha = (\partial f)\beta$.

Proof. First assume α, β are elements of $C^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$ such that $\alpha = (\partial f)\beta$ for some $\partial f \in B^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$. The S -graded \mathbb{k} -linear isomorphism $F : \mathbb{k}^\alpha[S] \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}^\beta[S]$ mapping $X^s \mapsto f(s)X^s$ is an isomorphism of unitary \mathbb{k} -algebras. Conversely, suppose α, β are elements of $C^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$ such that there exists an isomorphism $F : \mathbb{k}^\alpha[S] \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}^\beta[S]$ of unitary, S -graded \mathbb{k} -algebras. Then for each $s \in S$ the element X^s must be an eigenvector of F of non-zero eigenvalue, which we denote by $f(s)$. It is then easily seen that $\alpha = (\partial f)\beta$. \square

Let $B_{\text{norm}}^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*) = C_{\text{norm}}^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*) \cap B^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$. Since constant 2-cocycles are 2-coboundaries and every 2-cocycle can be written as a constant cocycle times a normalized one, we get $H^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*) \cong C_{\text{norm}}^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)/B_{\text{norm}}(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$. This is reflected in the following fact: if the 2-cocycles in the previous lemma are normalized, then the 2-coboundary ∂f is also a normalized 2-cocycle.

We recall that the semigroup S is said to be totally ordered if the underlying set of S has a total order with the following property: given $s, s' \in S$ such that $s \leq s'$, then $s + t \leq s' + t$ for all $t \in S$. The following lemma is easily proved using a “leading term” argument.

Lemma 3.1.4. – *If S is totally ordered then the algebra $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ is an integral domain for all $\alpha \in C^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$.*

For the purpose of the next lemma we need to recall the definition of a quantum affine space. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider a multiplicatively skew-symmetric matrix $\mathbf{q} = (q_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq l}$ with entries in \mathbb{k}^* . The associated quantum affine space, denoted by $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[X_0, \dots, X_l]$, is the \mathbb{k} -algebra with generators X_0, \dots, X_l and relations $X_i X_j = q_{ij} X_j X_i$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq l$. Quantum affine spaces are iterated Ore extensions of \mathbb{k} and therefore noetherian.

Lemma 3.1.5. – *If S is finitely generated as a semigroup then $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ is a noetherian algebra for all $\alpha \in C^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$.*

Proof. Suppose S is generated as a semigroup by s_0, \dots, s_l for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$. The algebra $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ is generated as a \mathbb{k} -algebra by X^{s_0}, \dots, X^{s_l} , and for $0 \leq i, j \leq l$ we have $\alpha(s_i, s_j)^{-1} X^{s_i} \cdot_\alpha X^{s_j} = X^{s_i+s_j} = \alpha(s_j, s_i)^{-1} X^{s_j} \cdot_\alpha X^{s_i}$, so $X^{s_i} \cdot_\alpha X^{s_j} = \frac{\alpha(s_i, s_j)}{\alpha(s_j, s_i)} X^{s_j} \cdot_\alpha X^{s_i}$. Setting $q_{ij} = \frac{\alpha(s_i, s_j)}{\alpha(s_j, s_i)}$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq l$ we obtain a multiplicatively skew-symmetric matrix $\mathbf{q} = (q_{ij})_{i, j}$, and the assignation $X_i \mapsto X^{s_i}$ defines a surjective morphism of \mathbb{k} -algebras $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[X_0, \dots, X_l] \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$; since $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[X_0, \dots, X_l]$ is noetherian, so is $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$. \square

Remark 3.1.6. – By [CE; Chapter X, Proposition 4.1] the inclusion $i : S \rightarrow G$ induces an isomorphism $i^* : H^2(G, \mathbb{k}^*) \rightarrow H^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$. Setting $\iota = i \times i : S \times S \rightarrow G \times G$, this result implies that given $\alpha \in C^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$ one can always find a 2-cocycle $\beta \in C^2(G, \mathbb{k}^*)$ such that α and $\beta \circ \iota$ are cohomologous. Hence there is a chain of \mathbb{k} -algebra morphisms

$$\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S] \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{k}^{\beta \circ \iota}[S] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{k}^\beta[G],$$

with the last one given by the assignation $X^s \mapsto X^{i(s)}$. From this we deduce that every twist of $\mathbb{k}[S]$ by a 2-cocycle is isomorphic to a G -graded subalgebra of a twist of $\mathbb{k}[G]$ by a 2-cocycle. This construction works for any monoid that embeds injectively in its fraction group. We will give an explicit proof of this for a special class of semigroups in the next section, see Proposition 3.2.5.

3.2 Twisted affine semigroup algebras.

We now introduce our main object of interest, twisted affine semigroup algebras. These are twisted semigroup algebras where S is an *affine* semigroup. We start by recalling some facts on affine semigroups.

Definition 3.2.1. – *An affine semigroup is a finitely generated semigroup S which is isomorphic as a semigroup to a sub-semigroup of \mathbb{Z}^l for some $l \geq 0$. An affine semigroup S is positive if it is isomorphic to a sub-semigroup of \mathbb{N}^l for some $l \geq 0$.*

Let S be an affine semigroup. By definition there exist $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and an injective morphism $i : S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^l$ of semigroups, so S is abelian and cancellative. Its group of fractions G identifies with a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^l , so it is isomorphic as a group to \mathbb{Z}^r for some $r \geq 0$. Clearly such an integer r is independent of the choice of l and i ; it is called the *rank* of S . An embedding $S \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{Z}^l$ is called a *full embedding* whenever the group generated by the image of S is \mathbb{Z}^l . Clearly any affine semigroup of rank r has a full embedding in \mathbb{Z}^r .

Definition 3.2.2. – *A twisted affine semigroup algebra is an algebra $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ where S is an affine semigroup and α an element of $C_{\text{norm}}^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$.*

Lemma 3.2.3. – *A twisted affine semigroup $k^\alpha[S]$ is a noetherian integral domain.*

Proof. Suppose the rank of S is r and fix an embedding $S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^r$. We can endow S with a total order by pulling back the lexicographic order of \mathbb{Z}^r ; by Lemma 3.1.4 $k^\alpha[S]$ is an integral domain. Since S is finitely generated, Lemma 3.1.5 implies $k^\alpha[S]$ is noetherian. \square

Remark 3.2.4. – Let S be an affine semigroup and let $\alpha \in C_{\text{norm}}^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$. Definition 3.2.2 associates to the pair (S, α) the twisted affine semigroup algebra $k^\alpha[S]$. As discussed in the introduction to this section, $k^\alpha[S]$ has a natural G -grading where G is the group of fractions of S . Fixing an isomorphism $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$, with r the rank of S , we obtain a full embedding $\iota : S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^r$. From this point on we assume that any affine semigroup comes with one such embedding, so given any $\alpha \in C_{\text{norm}}^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$ we will be in position to associate to the triple (S, ι, α) the \mathbb{Z}^r -graded twisted affine semigroup algebra $k^\alpha[S]$. The corresponding grading will be called the grading of $k^\alpha[S]$ associated to ι , or simply the natural grading.

We now describe a way to produce twisted affine semigroup algebras as subalgebras of quantum tori. Fix $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and a skew-symmetric matrix $\mathbf{q} = (q_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq l}$ with entries in \mathbb{k}^* . Consider the associated quantum affine space $k_{\mathbf{q}}[X_0, \dots, X_l]$. As already noted this is a noetherian integral domain and the generators X_0, \dots, X_l are normal regular, so we may form the localization of $k_{\mathbf{q}}[X_0, \dots, X_l]$ at the multiplicative set generated by X_0, \dots, X_l which we denote by $k_{\mathbf{q}}[X_0^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_l^{\pm 1}]$ and call the *quantum torus* associated to l and \mathbf{q} . In this context, we fix the following notation: for $s = (s_0, \dots, s_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^{l+1}$ we write X^s for $X_0^{s_0} \cdots X_l^{s_l} \in k_{\mathbf{q}}[X_0^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_l^{\pm 1}]$. Clearly the set $\{X^s \mid s \in \mathbb{Z}^{l+1}\}$ is a basis of the \mathbb{k} -vector space $k_{\mathbf{q}}[X_0^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_l^{\pm 1}]$. We consider two gradings on this algebra: the first one is a \mathbb{Z}^{l+1} -grading obtained by assigning degree e_i to X_i for all $0 \leq i \leq l$, where $\{e_0, \dots, e_l\}$ is the canonical basis of \mathbb{Z}^{l+1} . The second is a \mathbb{Z} -grading obtained by assigning degree 1 to each X_i .

Let S be any finitely generated sub-semigroup of \mathbb{Z}^{l+1} . It is clear that the \mathbb{k} -subspace of $k_{\mathbf{q}}[X_0^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_l^{\pm 1}]$ generated by $\{X^s \mid s \in S\}$ is a \mathbb{k} -subalgebra, which we denote by $k_{\mathbf{q}}[S]$; this subalgebra inherits both the \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} and the \mathbb{Z} -grading of the ambient quantum torus. Notice that for all $s, t \in S$ there exists $\alpha(s, t) \in \mathbb{k}^*$ such that $X^s X^t = \alpha(s, t) X^{s+t}$, so associated to this algebra we obtain a map $\alpha : S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$. It follows from the associativity of the product of $k_{\mathbf{q}}[S]$ that α is a 2-cocycle over S with coefficients in \mathbb{k}^* and so $k_{\mathbf{q}}[S]$ is isomorphic to $k^\alpha[S]$, that is $k_{\mathbf{q}}[S]$ is a twisted affine semigroup algebra. The next proposition shows that all twisted affine semigroup algebras arise this way.

Proposition 3.2.5. – *Let S be an affine semigroup, $\alpha \in C_{\text{norm}}^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$, and $\iota : S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ a full embedding of S . There exists a multiplicatively skew-symmetric matrix $\mathbf{q} = (q_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$ and an injective morphism of \mathbb{k} -algebras*

$$k^\alpha[S] \longrightarrow k_{\mathbf{q}}[X_0^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}]$$

which for every $s \in S$ sends X^s to a nonzero scalar multiple of $X^{\iota(s)}$, and whose image is $k_{\mathbf{q}}[\iota(S)]$.

Proof. Since ι is a full embedding, the rank of S is $n + 1$. Put $A = k^\alpha[S]$. Clearly for every $s \in S$ the element X^s is normal, so we may consider the localization of A at the multiplicative set generated by all these elements, which we denote by A° . As discussed before A has a \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} grading induced by ι , and since we have only inverted homogeneous elements, so does A° . By Lemma 3.2.3 every element we have inverted is normal regular, so the natural map $A \rightarrow A^\circ$ is an injective morphism of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} -graded \mathbb{k} -algebras. We will finish the proof by showing that A° is isomorphic to a quantum torus in $n + 1$ -variables.

Given $u \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$, the homogeneous component A_u° is generated by all monomials of the form $X^s(X^t)^{-1}$ with $\iota(s) - \iota(t) = u$; notice that there always exist $s, t \in S$ with this property since ι is a full embedding. A simple algebraic manipulation shows that any two such monomials are scalar multiples, so $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} A_u^\circ = 1$ for all $u \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$.

For each element e_i of the canonical basis of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} we choose elements $s_i, t_i \in S$ such that $\iota(s_i) - \iota(t_i) = e_i$, and write $Y_i := X^{s_i}(X^{t_i})^{-1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Since the elements X^s commute up to nonzero scalars with each other, the same must be true of the Y_i , so there exist $q_{ij} \in \mathbb{k}^*$ such that $Y_i Y_j = q_{ij} Y_j Y_i$; since A° is an integral domain, $\mathbf{q} = (q_{ij})$ is a multiplicatively skew-symmetric matrix. In addition, ordered monomials in Y_0, \dots, Y_n with powers in \mathbb{Z} form a basis of homogeneous elements for A° , so there is a \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra morphism $\psi : \mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[X_0^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow A^\circ$ such that $\psi(X_i) = Y_i$ for each $0 \leq i \leq n$; since both algebras have one-dimensional homogeneous components, ψ is an isomorphism, and clearly $\psi(\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[\iota(S)]) = A$. \square

Thanks to Proposition 3.2.5, in order to study twisted affine semigroup algebras we can restrict to the following setting: we start with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a finitely generated subsemigroup S of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} and a multiplicatively skew-symmetric matrix $\mathbf{q} = (q_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$ with entries in \mathbb{k}^* . We then consider the \mathbb{k} -subalgebra $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S]$ of $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[X_0^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}]$, equipped with the \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} -grading it inherits from $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[X_0^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}]$.

Proposition 3.2.6. – *In the setting of the last paragraph, the \mathbb{k} -algebra $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S]$ is a left twist of $\mathbb{k}[S]$ over \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} .*

Proof. Using a reasoning similar to the one found in [Z; section 6] to study twists of quantum affine spaces, one can prove that the \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} -graded algebra $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[X_0^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}]$ is a left twist of the Laurent polynomial algebra $\mathbb{k}[X_0^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}]$. The result follows by applying Proposition 1.2.7 to the subalgebra $\mathbb{k}[S]$ of $\mathbb{k}[X_0^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}]$. \square

We are now in position to study the homological regularity properties of twisted affine semigroup algebras for positive affine semigroups. This is done in Theorems 3.2.7 and 3.2.9. For both statements we fix an affine semigroup S with an embedding of semigroups $\iota : S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ such that $\iota(S) \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$. As specified in remark 3.2.4, for any $\alpha \in C^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$ the algebra $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ comes equipped with a natural \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} -grading and is connected with respect to this grading, so we are in the context of the introduction to section 2.

Theorem 3.2.7. – *With the previous notation and for all $\alpha \in C_{\text{norm}}^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$, the following holds:*

1. $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ is AS-Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $\mathbb{k}[S]$ is AS-Cohen-Macaulay.
2. $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ is AS-Gorenstein if and only if $\mathbb{k}[S]$ is AS-Gorenstein.
3. $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ is AS-regular if and only if $\mathbb{k}[S]$ is AS-regular.

Proof. Since $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ is a twist of $\mathbb{k}[S]$, the result follows at once from Theorem 2.1.9. \square

Remark 3.2.8. – For a precise account on the regularity of affine semigroup algebras in the commutative setting, the reader is referred to [BH; Chap. 6], in particular to statements 6.3.5 and 6.3.8.

Theorem 3.2.9. – *In the above notation and for all $\alpha \in C_{\text{norm}}^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$, the algebra $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ has a balanced dualizing complex.*

Proof. Notice first that $\mathbb{k}[S]$ is a commutative noetherian connected \mathbb{N} -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra of finite Krull dimension. By Grothendieck's vanishing theorem [BS; Theorem 6.1.12] and Corollary 1.3.9, the local cohomological dimension of $\mathbb{k}[S]$ is finite, and by [AZ; Prop. 3.11] it has property χ . Thus Theorem 2.2.5 states that $\mathbb{k}[S]$ has a balanced dualizing complex. Since $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[S]$ is a twist of $\mathbb{k}[S]$, the result follows from Proposition 2.2.7. \square

We finish this subsection by establishing certain ring theoretic properties of twisted affine semigroup algebras. We show that, under a mild hypothesis on the underlying semigroup, a twisted affine semigroup algebra can be written as the intersection of a finite family of sub-algebras of its skew-field of fractions, each isomorphic to a twisted semigroup algebra with underlying semigroup $\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \mathbb{N}$, see Proposition 3.2.14. As a consequence, we get a characterization of those twisted affine semigroup algebras which are maximal orders in their skew-field of fractions. For this we need to recall some geometric information on affine semigroups, which we quote without proof. The interested reader will find a more thorough treatment of the subject in [F; Chapter 1] or [BH; Chapter 6].

Let S be a finitely generated sub-semigroup of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} for some $n \geq 0$, and assume that S generates \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} as a group. We may see S as a subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and consider $\mathbb{R}_+S \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, the set formed by \mathbb{R} -linear combinations of elements of S with coefficients in the set of non-negative real numbers, called the *cone* generated by S in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Notice that any generating set of the semigroup S generates \mathbb{R}^{n+1} as an \mathbb{R} -vector space and that, if $\{s_1, \dots, s_l\}$ is such a generating set, then $\mathbb{R}_+S = \{r_1s_1 + \dots + r_ls_l \mid (r_1, \dots, r_l) \in \mathbb{R}_+^l\}$. In particular, \mathbb{R}_+S is a convex polyhedral cone in the sense of [F; Section 1.2].

A *supporting hyperplane* of \mathbb{R}_+S is a hyperplane that divides \mathbb{R}^{n+1} in two connected components such that S , and hence \mathbb{R}_+S , is contained in the closure of one of them. A *face* of \mathbb{R}_+S is the intersection of \mathbb{R}_+S with a supporting hyperplane; a *facet* is a face of codimension one, i.e. a face that generates a hyperplane of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . We write $\tau < \mathbb{R}_+S$ if τ is a facet of \mathbb{R}_+S , and H_τ for the unique supporting hyperplane which contains τ .

Let $\tau < \mathbb{R}_+S$. We denote by D_τ the closure of the connected component of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus H_\tau$ containing S . By [F; Section 1.2, Point (8)], if $\mathbb{R}_+S \neq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ then

$$\mathbb{R}_+S = \bigcap_{\tau < \mathbb{R}_+S} D_\tau.$$

For any facet τ of \mathbb{R}_+S let $S_\tau = D_\tau \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$. This is clearly a sub-semigroup of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} , and we always have

$$S \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+S \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} = \left(\bigcap_{\tau < \mathbb{R}_+S} D_\tau \right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} = \bigcap_{\tau < \mathbb{R}_+S} S_\tau. \quad (\ddagger)$$

However, equality does not hold unless an additional assumption is made on S .

Definition 3.2.10. – *Let G be the group of fractions of S . We say that S is normal if it satisfies the following condition: if $g \in G$ and there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $pg \in S$, then $g \in S$.*

With the notation of the previous discussion, the semigroup S_τ is always normal, hence so is $\bigcap_{\tau < \mathbb{R}_+S} S_\tau$. Thus for S to be equal to this intersection, it must be normal. The following proposition shows that this condition is not only necessary but sufficient. Gordan's Lemma [BH; Proposition 6.1.2] states that if S is normal then $S = \mathbb{R}_+S \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$, which combined with (\ddagger) gives the following result.

Proposition 3.2.11. – Let S be a finitely generated subsemigroup of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} that generates \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} as a group. If S is normal, then

$$S = \bigcap_{\tau < \mathbb{R}_+ S} S_\tau.$$

The previous proposition shows that one may recover a normal affine semigroup from the facets of its cone. The following is a related result that characterizes the semigroup S_τ .

Proposition 3.2.12. – Let S be a finitely generated subsemigroup of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} which generates \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} as a group. If τ is any facet of $\mathbb{R}_+ S$, then $S_\tau \cong \mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \mathbb{N}$ as a semigroup.

Proof. Let $\tau < \mathbb{R}_+ S$ and let S_τ^* be the set of invertible elements of S_τ ; notice that $S_\tau^* = H_\tau \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$, and that the inclusion $S_\tau^* \subset S_\tau$ is strict, since otherwise S would be contained in H_τ . Clearly S_τ^* is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} , and by [F; 1.2(2)] it generates H_τ as a \mathbb{k} -vector space, so it is a free abelian group of rank n . Since S_τ is normal and generates \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} , the factor group $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/S_\tau^*$ is torsion free and hence S_τ^* is a direct summand of S_τ ; we fix a complement C_τ . Since S_τ is normal so is C_τ , so this last one must be a normal affine semigroup of rank 1. The result follows from the fact that any normal affine semigroup of rank 1 is isomorphic to either \mathbb{N} or \mathbb{Z} , and C_τ is not a group. \square

The next observation will be useful latter.

Remark 3.2.13. – Let S be a finitely generated subsemigroup of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} that generates \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} as a group, with a set of generators $X = \{s_1, \dots, s_l\}$, and let τ be any facet of $\mathbb{R}_+ S$. Recall from [F; 1.2 (2)] that H_τ is generated by $X \cap \tau$, so without loss of generality we may assume that $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ is a basis of H_τ ; we may also assume that $s_{n+1} \notin H_\tau$. Since $S_\tau = D_\tau \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$, it follows that $\tilde{S} = \mathbb{Z}s_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}s_n + \mathbb{N}s_{n+1} + \dots + \mathbb{N}s_l \subseteq S_\tau$. We now prove that, if S is normal, this inclusion is an equality.

A simple induction shows that for every $1 \leq k \leq l$ the semigroup $\mathbb{Z}s_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}s_k + \mathbb{N}s_{k+1} + \dots + \mathbb{N}s_l$ is normal, so in particular \tilde{S} is normal. Let $x \in S_\tau$. Since $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ is a basis of H_τ and $s_{n+1} \notin H_\tau$, the set $\{s_1, \dots, s_n, s_{n+1}\}$ is a basis of \mathbb{Q}^{n+1} . Now x has integral coordinates, so there exist $a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $x = a_1s_1 + \dots + a_ns_n + a_{n+1}s_{n+1}$, and since $x \in D_\tau$ we deduce that $a_{n+1} \geq 0$. This implies there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $cx \in \tilde{S}$, and since \tilde{S} is normal $x \in \tilde{S}$, so $S_\tau \subseteq \tilde{S}$.

Propositions 3.2.11 and 3.2.12 have the following consequence.

Proposition 3.2.14. – Let S be a finitely generated subsemigroup of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} which generates \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} as a group, and let $\mathbf{q} = (q_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be any skew-symmetric matrix with entries in \mathbb{k}^* .

1. If S is normal then $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S] = \bigcap_{\tau < \mathbb{R}_+ S} \mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S_\tau] \subseteq \mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}]$.
2. For all $\tau < \mathbb{R}_+ S$, there exists a skew-symmetric $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix \mathbf{q}_τ such that $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S_\tau]$ is isomorphic to the subalgebra $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}_\tau}[\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \mathbb{N}]$ of $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}_\tau}[\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}]$.
3. If S is normal, then for all $\tau < \mathbb{R}_+ S$ the algebra $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S_\tau]$ is isomorphic to a left and a right localization of $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S]$.

Proof. Item 1 follows at once from Proposition 3.2.11. Let us prove item 2. By Proposition 3.2.12, S_τ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \mathbb{N}$ as semigroup. Fix a semigroup isomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \mathbb{N} \rightarrow S_\tau$ and set $t_i = \phi(e_i)$, where e_i is the i -th element of the canonical basis of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} . For $0 \leq i, j \leq n$,

there is an element $q'_{ij} \in \mathbb{k}^*$ such that the equality $X^{t_i} X^{t_j} = q'_{ij} X^{t_j} X^{t_i}$ holds in $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}]$, and $\mathbf{q}_{\tau} = (q'_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is a skew-symmetric matrix with entries in \mathbb{k}^* . The morphism of \mathbb{k} -algebras $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}_{\tau}}[\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \mathbb{N}] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S_{\tau}]$ $X_i \mapsto X^{t_i}$, which is evidently an isomorphism.

We now prove item 3. Remark 3.2.13 implies that there is a set of generators $\{s_1, \dots, s_l\}$ of S such that $S_{\tau} = (\mathbb{Z}s_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}s_j) + (\mathbb{N}s_{j+1} + \dots + \mathbb{N}s_l)$ for some integer j . It follows immediately that $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S_{\tau}]$ is the localization of $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S]$ at the multiplicative set generated by X^{s_1}, \dots, X^{s_j} . \square

By a well-known result the ring $\mathbb{k}[S]$ is normal, i.e. it is an integral domain which is integrally closed in its field of fractions, if and only if the affine semigroup S is normal; see [BH; 6.1.4] for a proof. We now show that the result extends to the noncommutative situation with a suitable generalization of normality.

Let R be a noetherian integral domain, and let $\text{Frac}(R)$ be its skew-field of fractions, in the sense of [MR; Chapter 1] or [McCR; Chapter 2, §1]. It is then immediate that R is an order of $\text{Frac}(R)$ as in [MR; chap. I, §2]. By definition R is a maximal order of $\text{Frac}(R)$ if it satisfies the following condition: if T is any subring of $\text{Frac}(R)$ such that $R \subseteq T \subseteq \text{Frac}(R)$ and if there exist $a, b \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that $aTb \subseteq R$, then $T = R$. We refer the reader to [MR; Chapter 1] for a general account on maximal orders.

For every non-zero ideal $I \subseteq R$ we set $\mathcal{O}_l(I) = \{q \in \text{Frac}(R) \mid qI \subseteq I\}$ and $\mathcal{O}_r(I) = \{q \in \text{Frac}(R) \mid Iq \subseteq I\}$, called the left and right order of I in $\text{Frac}(R)$, respectively. By [MR; Chap. I, 3.1], R is a maximal order of $\text{Frac}(R)$ if and only if $\mathcal{O}_l(I) = \mathcal{O}_r(I) = R$ for any non-zero ideal I of R . We use this criterion to prove the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 3.2.15. – *Let R be a noetherian integral domain and \mathcal{I} a nonempty set of left and right Ore sets of R . Suppose that for every $O \in \mathcal{I}$ the left and right localization $R[O^{-1}]$ is a maximal order in $\text{Frac}(R)$. If $R = \bigcap_{O \in \mathcal{I}} R[O^{-1}]$, then R is a maximal order in $\text{Frac}(R)$.*

Proof. Put $Q = \text{Frac}(R)$ and $R_O = R[O^{-1}]$ for each $O \in \mathcal{I}$; notice that these are noetherian integral domains by [McCR; 2.1.16]. Let I be a non-zero ideal of R . Recall that both IR_O and $R_O I$ are equal to the two-sided ideal of R_O generated by I , see [McCR; 2.1.16]. Now for each $q \in \mathcal{O}_l(I)$, we have $qI \subseteq I$, so $qIR_O \subseteq IR_O$ and by the criterion mentioned in the preamble to this lemma we get that $q \in R_O$ for all $O \in \mathcal{I}$; the same argument holds for $q \in \mathcal{O}_r(I)$. Since $R = \bigcap_{O \in \mathcal{I}} R_O$ this shows that $R = \mathcal{O}_r(I) = \mathcal{O}_l(I)$, and hence R is a maximal order in Q . \square

Corollary 3.2.16. – *Let S be an affine semigroup. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) S is normal.
- (ii) $\mathbb{k}^{\alpha}[S]$ is a maximal order in its division ring of fractions for each $\alpha \in C_{\text{norm}}^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$.
- (iii) There exists $\alpha \in C_{\text{norm}}^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$ such that $\mathbb{k}^{\alpha}[S]$ is a maximal order in its division ring of fractions.

Proof. Fix an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that S identifies with a sub-semigroup of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} which generates \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} as a group. By Proposition 3.2.5, given any $\alpha \in C_{\text{norm}}^2(S, \mathbb{k}^*)$, the algebra $\mathbb{k}^{\alpha}[S]$ identifies with $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S] \subseteq \mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[X_0^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}]$ for some skew-symmetric matrix \mathbf{q} . We will make this identification without further comment.

We first show that (i) implies (ii). We are in position to apply Proposition 3.2.14 so $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S] = \bigcap_{\tau < \mathbb{R}_+ S} \mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S_{\tau}]$; now for each facet $\tau < \mathbb{R}_+ S$, the algebra $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S_{\tau}]$ is isomorphic to a quantum affine space localized at some of its canonical generators, so it is a maximal order by [MR; Chapitre V,

Corollaire 2.6 and Chapitre IV, Proposition 2.1]. Also $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S_{\tau}]$ is a localization of $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S]$, so it is enough to apply Lemma 3.2.15. Obviously (ii) implies (iii).

We now prove that (iii) implies (i). Consider $t = (t_0, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ and suppose that $kt \in S$ for some positive integer k . We denote by T the left $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S]$ -submodule of $\text{Frac}(\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S])$ generated by the set $\{(X^t)^l \mid l \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $X^t = X_0^{t_0} \dots X_n^{t_n}$. Since X^t commutes up to non-zero scalars with all X^s for $s \in S$, we see that T is a subring of $\text{Frac}(\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S])$ which clearly contains $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S]$. The hypothesis on t implies that T is finitely generated, since $T = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S](X^t)^l$. For each $0 \leq l < k$ fix $s_l, t_l \in S$ such that $tl = s_l - t_l$, so $(X^t)^l$ and $X^{s_l}(X^{t_l})^{-1}$ coincide up to a non-zero scalar. Taking $a = 1$ and $b = \prod_{0 \leq l < k} X^{t_l}$ we get that $aTb \subseteq \mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S]$; since $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S]$ is a maximal order in its division ring of fractions $T \subseteq \mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S]$, in particular $X^t \in \mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}[S]$, so $t \in S$. \square

3.3 Twisted lattice algebras.

In this subsection we consider a class of algebras arising in a natural way from a given finite distributive lattice together with some additional data. These algebras, which we call *twisted lattice algebras*, were introduced in [RZ; Section 2] where they appeared as degenerations of some quantum Schubert and Richardson varieties; their study was the original motivation for this work. In the course of this subsection we show that they are twisted affine semigroup algebras, so the results from the previous subsection apply to them.

For the basic notions concerning ordered sets and lattices, as well as all unexplained terminology and notation, we refer to [RZ; Section 1] and the references therein. Recall in particular the following classical result.

Theorem 3.3.1. (Birkhoff) – *Let Π be a finite distributive lattice. Denote by $\Pi_0 = \text{irr}(\Pi)$ the set of its join-irreducible elements and by $J(\Pi_0)$ the set of Π_0 -ideals, ordered by inclusion. The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \Pi &\longrightarrow J(\Pi_0) \\ \alpha &\longmapsto \{\pi \in \Pi_0 \mid \pi \leq \alpha\} \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of lattices, and the rank of Π coincides with the cardinality of Π_0 .

For the rest of this sub-section we fix a finite distributive lattice (Π, \leq) . We will associate to Π a normal affine semigroup. Let $\text{fr}(\Pi)$ be the free commutative monoid over Π . For x in $\text{fr}(\Pi)$ different from the unit element we define the length of x , denoted $\ell(x)$, as the unique element l of \mathbb{N}^* such that x may be written as the product of l elements of Π . By convention the unit element has length 0.

We consider the equivalence relation on $\text{fr}(\Pi)$ compatible with the product generated by the set $\{(\alpha\beta, (\alpha \wedge \beta)(\beta \vee \alpha)) \mid \alpha, \beta \in \Pi\} \subseteq \text{fr}(\Pi) \times \text{fr}(\Pi)$. We denote by $\text{str}(\Pi)$ the quotient monoid

$$\text{str}(\Pi) = \text{fr}(\Pi) / \sim .$$

which we call the *straightening semigroup* of Π .

Lemma 3.3.2. – *Any element of $\text{str}(\Pi)$ different from the unit element may be written as a product $\pi_1 \dots \pi_s$, with $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\pi_1 \leq \dots \leq \pi_s$.*

Proof. The argument is an easy double induction on s and the depth of π_s in Π , analogous to the proof of [RZ; Lemma 2.5]. \square

We now want to show that $\text{str}(\Pi)$ is actually a normal affine semigroup. For this we follow [H]. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be the rank of Π . We consider on $\Pi_0 \subseteq \Pi$ the induced order, and extend it a total

order \leq_{tot} . We denote by $p_1 <_{\text{tot}} \cdots <_{\text{tot}} p_n$ the strictly increasing sequence of elements of Π_0 with respect to \leq_{tot} , and consider the morphism of monoids

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fr}(\Pi) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \\ \pi &\longmapsto e_0 + \sum_{\{i|p_i \leq \pi\}} e_i, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{e_0, \dots, e_n\}$ is the canonical basis of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} . It is clear that for $\alpha, \beta \in \Pi$ the images of $\alpha\beta$ and $(\alpha \wedge \beta)(\alpha \vee \beta)$ by this map coincide. As a consequence we get an induced morphism

$$i : \text{str}(\Pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}.$$

We consider now the following sub-semigroups of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} . Set

$$T = \{(s_0, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \mid s_0 \geq \max\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}\}.$$

Given $\alpha, \beta \in \Pi_0$ we say that β is consecutive to α , which we denote by $\alpha \prec \beta$, if $\alpha < \beta$ and there is no $\gamma \in \Pi_0$ such that $\alpha < \gamma < \beta$. Now for each pair (p_i, p_j) of elements of Π_0 such that p_j is consecutive to p_i we define

$$S_{ij} = \{(s_0, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \mid s_i \geq s_j\}.$$

Finally, set

$$S = T \cap \left(\bigcap_{p_i \prec p_j} S_{ij} \right).$$

We will prove that the semigroup $\text{str}(\Pi)$ is isomorphic to S .

Proposition 3.3.3. – *Keep the notation from the previous paragraph.*

- (i) *The map i is injective and its image is equal to S .*
- (ii) *The semigroup S generates \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} as a group.*
- (iii) *The semigroup $\text{str}(\Pi)$ is a normal affine semigroup of rank n .*

Proof. By definition S is a sub-semigroup of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} , and since $i(\pi) \in S$ for all $\pi \in \Pi$ we get that $i(\text{str}(\Pi)) \subseteq S$.

To prove (i) we find an inverse to i . Let $s = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n) \in S$ and define the support of s by $\text{supp}(s) = \{p_i, 1 \leq i \leq n \mid s_i \neq 0\}$. We easily see that $\text{supp}(s) \in J(\Pi_0)$, so whenever s is a non-zero element of S we may consider the element s' obtained from s by subtracting 1 from any nonzero entry of s , that is $s' = s - i(\varphi^{-1}(\text{supp}(s)))$. By definition s' belongs to S , and its first entry equals the first entry of s minus 1.

Now, let $s = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n) \in S$ be a non-zero element. Applying the construction of the last paragraph inductively s_0 times we produce a sequence $s = s^{(0)}, s^{(1)}, \dots, s^{(s_0)} = 0$ of elements of S and a corresponding sequence $\text{supp}(s) = \text{supp}(s^{(0)}) \geq \text{supp}(s^{(1)}) \geq \dots \geq \text{supp}(s^{(s_0)}) = \emptyset$ of elements of Π . Thus

$$s = i \circ \varphi^{-1}(\text{supp}(s^{(0)})) + \dots + i \circ \varphi^{-1}(\text{supp}(s^{(s_0-1)})).$$

We then define a map $\psi : S \rightarrow \text{str}(\Pi)$ as follows: we set $\psi(0) = 1$, and for all $s \in S \setminus \{0\}$ set $\psi(s) = \varphi^{-1}(\text{supp}(s^{(0)})) \dots \varphi^{-1}(\text{supp}(s^{(s_0-1)}))$; by the previous discussion $i \circ \psi = \text{id}$. We now prove

that $\psi \circ i = \text{id}$. In view of lemma 3.3.2, it is enough to show that $\psi \circ i(\pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_s) = \pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_s$ for all $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_s \in \Pi$ such that $\pi_1 \leq \dots \leq \pi_s \in \Pi$. An elementary proof shows that in this context, $i(\pi_1 \dots \pi_s)$ is a nonzero element of S to which the process described before associates the decreasing sequence $\pi_s \geq \dots \geq \pi_1$ in Π , so $\psi \circ i(s) = s$.

We now show that S generates \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} as a group. It is clear that $e_0 \in S$ and that, given $1 \leq i \leq n$, the sets $I = \{\pi \in \Pi_0 \mid \pi \leq p_i\}$ and $J = \{\pi \in \Pi_0 \mid \pi < p_i\}$ are poset ideals of Π_0 . Put $\pi_I = \varphi^{-1}(I)$ and $\pi_J = \varphi^{-1}(J)$; as we have seen $i(\pi_I), i(\pi_J) \in S$ and $e_i = \varphi(\pi_J) - \varphi(\pi_I)$, which proves item (ii). Item (iii) follows immediately from the previous items and the definition of S . \square

By an argument similar to the one used in the proof of item (i) of Proposition 3.3.3 we get the following statement, which strengthens the existence statement of Lemma 3.3.2.

Proposition 3.3.4. – *Keep the notation from the previous paragraph. To any element a of $\text{str}(\Pi)$ different from the unit element we may associate in a unique way an integer $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and an increasing sequence $\pi_1 \leq \dots \leq \pi_t \in \Pi$ such that $a = \pi_1 \dots \pi_t$.*

Definition 3.3.5. – *Let Π be a finite distributive lattice and α a normalized 2-cocycle on $\text{str}(\Pi)$. We call the \mathbb{k} -algebra $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[\text{str}(\Pi)]$ the twisted lattice algebra associated with Π and α .*

We finish this article with a proof of the result announced in [RZ; Remark 5.2.8]. First we show that quantum toric algebras as defined in [RZ; Section 2] are examples of twisted lattice algebras.

Example 3.3.6. – Let Π be a finite distributive lattice and consider maps $\mathbf{q} : \Pi \times \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$ and $\mathbf{c} : \text{inc}(\Pi \times \Pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$, where $\text{inc}(\Pi \times \Pi)$ is the set of pairs $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Pi \times \Pi$ such that α and β are incomparable elements of Π . To the data consisting of Π, \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{c} we associate the quantum toric algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ defined in [RZ; Section 2]. Assume that standard monomials on Π form a \mathbb{k} -linear basis of $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ as in [RZ; Remark 2.1], and let $\psi : \mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[\text{str}(\Pi)]$ be the \mathbb{k} -linear morphism that sends 1 to 1 and a standard monomial $X_{\pi_1} \dots X_{\pi_t} \in \mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ to the element $\pi_1 \dots \pi_t \in \mathbb{k}[\text{str}(\Pi)]$ for any $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and any increasing sequence $\pi_1 \leq \dots \leq \pi_t \in \Pi$. The map ψ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{k} -vector spaces by Proposition 3.3.4, and so the product of $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ induces a product on $\mathbb{k}[\text{str}(\Pi)]$, which is compatible with the \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} -grading induced by the morphism $i : \text{str}(\Pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$. Hence there exists a unique normalized 2-cocycle α on $\text{fr}(\Pi)$ such that $\mathbb{k}[\text{str}(\Pi)]$ endowed with this new associative algebra structure equals $\mathbb{k}^\alpha[\text{str}(\Pi)]$.

For the benefit of the reader we make a quick review of the context of [RZ; Remark 5.2.8]. All unexplained terminology can be found in [RZ; section 5] and the references therein.

Fix $q \in \mathbb{k}^*$ and let m, n be integers such that $1 \leq m \leq n$. Consider the quantum analogue of the coordinate ring on the affine space of $n \times m$ matrices: $\mathcal{O}_q(M_{n,m}(\mathbb{k}))$, and let $\Pi_{m,n} \subset \mathbb{N}^m$ be the set of m -tuples (i_1, \dots, i_m) such that $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n$ with the obvious product order inherited from \mathbb{N}^m ; it follows that $\Pi_{m,n}$ is a distributive lattice. To any element $I \in \Pi_{m,n}$ we may associate the quantum minor, denoted $[I]$, of $\mathcal{O}_q(M_{n,m}(\mathbb{k}))$ built on the rows with index in I and columns 1 to m of the generic matrix of $\mathcal{O}_q(M_{n,m}(\mathbb{k}))$. The subalgebra, $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n})$, of $\mathcal{O}_q(M_{n,m}(\mathbb{k}))$ generated by these quantum minors is a natural analogue of the homogeneous coordinate ring of the grassmannian with respect to the Plücker embedding. It is then easy to associate to any element $I \in \Pi_{m,n}$ a quantum Schubert and quantum opposite Schubert variety by considering the factor algebras $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n})/\langle [K], K \in \Pi_{m,n}, K \not\leq I \rangle$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n})/\langle [K], K \in \Pi_{m,n}, K \not\geq I \rangle$, respectively. A natural analogue of the Richardson variety associated to a pair (I, J) of elements of $\Pi_{m,n}$ being then defined as the factor algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n})/\langle [K], K \in \Pi_{m,n}, K \notin [I, J] \rangle$.

As proved in [RZ; Theorem 5.2.2], quantum Richardson varieties belong to the class of symmetric quantum algebras with a straightening law satisfying condition (C), see [RZ; Definition 3.1, Definition 4.1]. We are now in position to complete the proof of [RZ; Remark 5.2.8].

Proposition 3.3.7. – *Suppose A is a symmetric quantum graded algebra with a straightening law on the finite partially ordered set Π , and suppose that A satisfies condition (C). Then A is a normal integral domain in the sense of [RZ; Remark 5.2.8]. In particular, quantum Richardson varieties are normal domains.*

Proof. By [RZ; Remark 5.2.8], it suffices to show that, if Π is a finite distributive lattice and $\mathbf{q} : \Pi \times \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$ and $\mathbf{c} : \underline{\text{inc}}(\Pi \times \Pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$ are maps such that standard monomials on Π form a \mathbb{k} -linear basis of $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ is a normal domain. As stated in Example 3.3.6, the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ is isomorphic to a 2-cocycle twist of $\mathbb{k}[\text{str}(\Pi)]$. On the other hand Proposition 3.3.3 shows that $\text{str}(\Pi)$ is a normal affine semigroup, so applying Corollary 3.2.16 we prove the general statement. Since quantum Richardson varieties fall under this context, the claim follows. \square

References.

- [AZ] M. Artin, J.J. Zhang. Noncommutative projective schemes. *Adv. Math.* 109 (1994), no. 2, 228–287.
- [Ber] R. Berger. Dimension de Hochschild des algèbres graduées. [Hochschild dimension for graded algebras.] *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 341 (2005), no. 10, 597–600.
- [BS] M.P. Brodmann, R.Y. Sharp. Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, 60. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [BZ] K.A. Brown, J.J. Zhang. Dualising complexes and twisted Hochschild (co)homology for Noetherian Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra* 320 (2008), no. 5, 1814–1850.
- [BH] W. Bruns, J. Herzog. Cohen-Macaulay rings. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [Cal] P. Caldero. Toric degenerations of Schubert varieties. *Transform. Groups* 7 (2002), no. 1, 51–60.
- [CE] H. Cartan, S. Eilenberg. Homological algebra. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1956.
- [F] W. Fulton. Introduction to toric varieties. *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, 131, The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [Hart] R. Hartshorne. Residues and duality. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 20. Springer-Verlag, Berlin - New-York 1966.
- [H] T. Hibi. Distributive lattices, affine semigroup rings and algebras with straightening laws. *Commutative algebra and combinatorics (Kyoto, 1985)*, 93–109, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, 11, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [Ing] C. Ingalls. : Quantum toric varieties. Available at <http://kappa.math.unb.ca/~colin/research/nctoric.pdf>
- [Isch] F. Ischebeck. Eine Dualität zwischen den Funktoren Ext und Tor. *J. Algebra* 11 (1969), 510–531.
- [Jor] P. Jørgensen. Local cohomology for non-commutative graded algebras. *Comm. Algebra* 25 (1997), no. 2, 575–591.
- [JZ] P. Jørgensen, J.J. Zhang. Gourmet’s Guide to Gorensteinness. *Adv. Math.* 151 (2000), no. 2, 313–345.

- [LR] V. Lakshmibai, N. Reshetikhin. Quantum flag and Schubert schemes. Deformation theory and quantum groups with applications to mathematical physics (Amherst, MA, 1990), 145–181. Contemp. Math., 134, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.
- [LR1] T.H. Lenagan, L. Rigal. Quantum graded algebras with a straightening law and the AS-Cohen-Macaulay property for quantum determinantal rings and quantum Grassmannians. J. Algebra 301 (2006), no. 2, 670–702.
- [Lev] T. Levasseur. Some properties of noncommutative regular graded rings. Glasgow Math. J. 34 (1992), no. 3, 277–300.
- [Mat] H. Matsumura. Commutative ring theory. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 8. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [MR] G. Maury, J. Raynaud. Ordres maximaux au sens de K. Asano. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 808. Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [McCR] J.C. McConnell, J.C. Robson. Noncommutative Noetherian rings. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 30. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [NV] C. Nastasescu, F. van Oystaeyen. Graded ring theory. North-Holland Mathematical Library, 28. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1982.
- [RZ] L. Rigal, P. Zadunaisky. Quantum analogues of Richardson varieties in the Grassmannian and their toric degeneration. J. Algebra 372 (2012), 293–317.
- [S] Y. Soibelman. On the quantum flag manifold. Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 26 (1992), no. 3, 90–92; translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. 26 (1992), no. 3, 225–227.
- [VdB] M. van den Bergh. Existence theorems for dualizing complexes over non-commutative graded and filtered rings. J. Algebra 195 (1997), no. 2, 662–679.
- [W] C.A. Weibel. An Introduction to Homological Algebra. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 38. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [Y] A. Yekutieli. Dualizing complexes over noncommutative graded algebras. J. Algebra 153 (1992), no. 1, 41–84.
- [Zad] P. Zadunaisky. Homological regularity properties of quantum flag varieties and related algebras. PhD Thesis, university of Buenos-Aires and univ. Paris-Nord, 2014. Available at <http://cms.dm.uba.ar/academico/carreras/doctorado/TesisZadunaisky.pdf>
- [Zak] A. Zaks, Injective dimension of semi-primary rings. J. Algebra 13 (1969), 73–86.
- [Z] J.J. Zhang. Twisted graded algebras and equivalences of categories. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 72 (1996), no. 2, 281–311.

Laurent RIGAL,

Université Paris 13, Sorbonne Paris Cité, LAGA, UMR CNRS 7539, 99 avenue J.-B. Clément, 93430 Villetaneuse, France; e-mail: rigal@math.univ-paris13.fr

Pablo ZADUNAISKY,

Universidad de Buenos Aires, FCEN, Departamento de Matemáticas, Ciudad Universitaria - Pabellón I - (C1428EGA) - Buenos Aires, Argentina; e-mail: pzadub@dm.uba.ar