# Hyperelodiones A-C, monoterpenoid polyprenylated acylphoroglucinols from Hypericum elodeoides, induce cancer cells apoptosis by targeting RXRa 
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#### Abstract

Hyperelodiones A-C, three undescribed monoterpenoid polyprenylated acylphloroglucinols possessing 6/6/6 fused tricyclic core, were isolated from Hypericum elodeoides Choisy. Their gross structures were elucidated by HRESIMS and NMR data. The absolute configurations of hyperelodiones A-C were assigned by their calculated and compared electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectra combined with their common biosynthetic origin. A fluorescence quenching assay suggested that hyperelodiones A-C could bind to RXR $\alpha$-LBD, whereas hyperelodione C showed the strongest interaction with a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}$ of $12.81 \mu \mathrm{M}$. In addition, hyperelodiones A-C dosedependently inhibited RXR $\alpha$ transactivation and the growth of HeLa and MCF-7 cells. Among them, hyperelodione C showed the most potent inhibitory activities and dose-dependent PARP cleavage. Molecular docking results suggested that hyperelodione C showed a different interaction mode compared with hyperelodione A and hyperelodione B. Thus, hyperelodione C can be considered as a promising lead compound for cancer therapy, which can bind to RXR $\alpha$-LBD and induce HeLa and MCF-7 cell apoptosis.


## 1. Introduction

Polycyclic polyprenylated acylphloroglucinols (PPAPs) are a class of structurally fascinating natural products that possess highly oxygenated acylphloroglucinol-derived cores. Prenylation of this core moiety affords monocyclic polyprenylated acylphloroglucinols (MPAPs), which are further cyclized to various types of PPAP metabolites (Ciochina and Grossman, 2006). Monoterpenoid polyprenylated acylphloroglucinols, generally decorated with a geranyl or a cyclic monoterpene fragment at C-3 of the phloroglucinol ring are ascribed to the MPAP family (Li et al., 2019). Apart from their intriguing chemical structures, PPAPs have been reported to show diverse activities, such as anticancer, anti-inflammatory, anti-HIV, antiplasmodial, and antimicrobial activities (Decosterd et al., 1989; Fobofou et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2015). However, the mechanism of action of PPAPs still need further investigation. The retinoid $X$ receptor $\alpha$ (RXR $\alpha$ ), a ligand-dependent transcriptional factor, has multiple physiological functions including cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis (Robinsonrechavi et al., 2003). RXRa is an ideal drug target for cancer therapy, and its ligand Targretin has been approved by the

FDA for the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (Lenhard et al., 1999). Our previous research has shown that several PPAPs exhibit RXRa transcriptional inhibitory activities, while some PPAPs have shown a direct interaction with the ligand-binding domain (LBD) of RXRa (Tian et al., 2014a, 2014c, 2016, 2017a, 2017b).

The majority of PPAPs have been reported to be isolated from the plants of family Guttiferae (Clusiaceae), especially from the genera Hypericum and Garcinia (Yang et al., 2018). To identify more undescribed PPAPs as small-molecule regulators of RXR $\alpha$, a phytochemical study of Hypericum elodeoides Choisy was carried out. As a result, three undescribed monoterpenoid polyprenylated acylphloroglucinols (1-3) possessing 6/6/6 fused tricyclic core were obtained, of which 3 could bind to RXRa-LBD and induce HeLa and MCF-7 cell apoptosis. The monoterpenoid fragments in $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ have been predicted to be biosynthesized by an isopentenyl at C-3, forming a dihydropyran ring with the $4-\mathrm{OH}$ of the core structure, with another lateral prenyl group located at $\mathrm{C}-8$ cyclizing into a six-membered ring by a carbon-carbon bond formation between $\mathrm{C}-5$ and $\mathrm{C}-7$, which is quite different from the reported monoterpenoid polyprenylated acylphloroglucinols cyclized by a geranyl group (two prenyl groups linked from head to tail) at C-3.
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Fig. 1. Structure of compounds 1-3.

In this paper, the isolation, structural elucidation and biological activity evaluation of these three undescribed monoterpenoid polyprenylated acylphloroglucinols (1-3) will be reported.

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. Isolation and structural elucidation

The dried whole plants of $H$. elodeoides $(12.9 \mathrm{~kg})$ were extracted exhaustively with $95 \%$ aqueous EtOH and partitioned consecutively with petroleum ether. The fluorescence quenching assay suggested that the EtOH and petroleum ether extracts could bind to RXR $\alpha$ with a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}$ values of $56.37 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $42.09 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively (supporting information). Thus, the petroleum ether extract was further studied to identify the small-molecule regulators of RXR $\alpha$. As a result, through various column chromatography and reversed-phase HPLC procedures, three undescribed active compounds (1-3) were obtained (Fig. 1).

Hyperelodione A (1) was obtained as a yellowish oil and assigned the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ on the basis of the HRESIMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ ion at $m / z 413.2695[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 413.2686$ ), corresponding to nine indices of hydrogen deficiency. The IR ( $1651 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $3581 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) spectrum indicated the presence of carbonyl and hydroxyl groups. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT-135 data of compound 1 (Table 1) showed 26 carbon signals, including an isopropyl group [ $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ 35.4 ( $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}$ ), 19.0 ( $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ ), 19.4 ( $\left.\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}\right)$ ], an isopentenyl group [ $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 35.7$ (C17), 120.3 (C-18), 133.6 (C-19), 25.9 (C-20), 18.3 (C-21)], an enol- $\beta$ triketone group [ $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 106.2(\mathrm{C}-1), 190.1(\mathrm{C}-2), 196.4(\mathrm{C}-6)$, and $207.2(\mathrm{C}-$ $\left.1^{\prime}\right)$ ], an enol group [ $\left.\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 170.3(\mathrm{C}-4), 107.9(\mathrm{C}-3)\right]$, together with two olefinic carbons [ $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 134.9$ (C-14), 121.6 (C-15)], four methyls [ $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 25.83$ (Me-10), 25.1 (Me-11), 23.8 (Me-16), 25.78 ( $\mathrm{Me}-22$ )], two methylenes [ $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 19.9(\mathrm{C}-12), 30.1(\mathrm{C}-13)$, two methines [ $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 30.3$ (C-7), $40.0(\mathrm{C}-8)$ ] and one quaternary carbon $\left[\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 80.0\right.$ (C-9)], which accounted for six indices of hydrogen deficiency. The remaining three indices of hydrogen deficiency required tricyclic structure in 1 . The above analyses implied that 1 was a derivative of acylphloroglucinol. In the HMBC spectrum, the correlations from the active proton of $\mathrm{OH}-2\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 19.34\right)$ to $\mathrm{C}-1$ ( $\delta \mathrm{c} 106.2$ ), $\mathrm{C}-2$ ( $\delta \mathrm{c} 190.1$ ), $\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}\left(\delta \mathrm{c} 207.2\right.$ ), and $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}$ ( $\delta \mathrm{c} 35.4$ ) confirmed the existence of the enol- $\beta$-triketone group and located the position of the OH group at $\mathrm{C}-2$. It also indicated that a pseudo sixmembered heterocyclic ring was formed due to strong intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the active hydrogen and $\mathrm{O}-2^{\prime}$.

Table 1
NMR spectroscopic data for $1-3\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

| No. | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$, type | $\begin{aligned} & \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(J \text { in } \\ & \mathrm{Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$, type | $\begin{aligned} & \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(J \text { in } \\ & \mathrm{Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$, type | $\begin{aligned} & \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(J \text { in } \\ & \mathrm{Hz}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 106.2, C |  | 106.7, C |  | 107.2, C |  |
| 2 | 190.1, C |  | 190.2, C |  | 189.9, C |  |
| 3 | 107.9, C |  | 107.9, C |  | 107.8, C |  |
| 4 | 170.3, C |  | 170.2, C |  | 170.4, C |  |
| 5 | 53.2, C |  | 53.1, C |  | 53.0, C |  |
| 6 | 196.4, C |  | 196.5, C |  | 196.6, C |  |
| 7 | 30.3, CH | 3.28, br.s | 30.3, CH | 3.28, br.s | 30.3, CH | 3.28, br.s |
| 8 | 40.0, CH | 1.67, m | 40.0, CH | 1.68, m | 40.0, CH | 1.66, m |
| 9 | 80.0, C |  | 80.0, C |  | 80.0, C |  |
| 10 | 25.83, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.40, s | 25.75, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.40, s | 25.84, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.41, s |
| 11 | 25.1, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.26, s | 25.2, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.26, s | 25.1, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.27, s |
| 12 | 19.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.80, \mathrm{~m} \\ & 1.25, \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | 20.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.81, \mathrm{~m} \\ & 1.26, \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | 19.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.80, \mathrm{~m} \\ & 1.23, \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ |
| 13 | 30.1, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.98, \mathrm{~m} \\ & 1.89, \mathrm{dd} \\ & (4.8, \\ & 12.0) \end{aligned}$ | 30.1, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.98, \mathrm{~m} \\ & 1.90, \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | 30.1, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.97, \mathrm{~m} \\ & 1.90, \mathrm{dd} \\ & (4.8,12.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| 14 | 134.9, C |  | 134.8, C |  | 134.9, C |  |
| 15 | 121.6, CH | $\begin{aligned} & 6.09, \mathrm{~d} \\ & (3.0) \end{aligned}$ | 121.7, CH | 6.09, s | 121.6, CH | $\begin{aligned} & 6.09, \mathrm{~d} \\ & (4.8) \end{aligned}$ |
| 16 | 23.8, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.67, s | 23.8, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.68, s | 23.8, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.67, s |
| 17 | 35.7, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.71, \mathrm{dd} \\ & (5.4,8.4) \\ & 2.49, \mathrm{dd} \\ & (4.8,9.0) \end{aligned}$ | $35.9, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.70, \mathrm{dd} \\ & (6.6,7.8) \\ & 2.49, \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $35.8, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.71, \mathrm{dd} \\ & (4.8,9.0) \\ & 2.50, \mathrm{dd} \\ & (4.8,9.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| 18 | 120.3, CH | 4.77, m | 120.2, CH | 4.77, m | 120.2, CH | 4.76, m |
| 19 | 133.6, C |  | 133.6, C |  | 133.6, C |  |
| 20 | 25.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.53, s | 25.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.54, s | 25.84, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.54, s |
| 21 | 18.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.59, s | 18.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.59, s | 18.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.60, s |
| 22 | 25.78, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.25, s | 25.66, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.25, s | 25.80, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.25, s |
| $1^{\prime}$ | 207.2, C |  | 206.8, C |  | 202.8, C |  |
| $2^{\prime}$ | 35.4, CH | $\begin{aligned} & 3.97, \text { sept } \\ & (6.6,7.2) \end{aligned}$ | 41.7, CH | 3.83, m | 41.7, CH | 2.97, m |
| $3^{\prime}$ | 19.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.11, \mathrm{~d} \\ & (6.6) \end{aligned}$ | 16.4, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09, \mathrm{~d} \\ & (6.6) \end{aligned}$ | 18.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.66, m |
| $4^{\prime}$ | 19.4, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.17, \mathrm{~d} \\ & (6.6) \end{aligned}$ | 27.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.80, m | 14.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00, \mathrm{t} \\ & (7.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| $5^{\prime}$ |  |  | 12.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.96, \mathrm{t} \\ & (7.8) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

The correlations from $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.25\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}-22\right)$ to $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 170.2$ (C-4), 53.1 (C-5), and 196.5 (C-6), together with those from $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.28$ (H-7) to $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 107.9$ (C$3)$ and $170.2(\mathrm{C}-4)$ indicated the linkage of $\mathrm{C} 3 / \mathrm{C} 4 / \mathrm{C} 5 / \mathrm{C} 6$. In addition, the HMBC correlations from $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.11\left(\mathrm{Me}-3^{\prime}\right) / 1.17$ ( $\mathrm{Me}-4^{\prime}$ ) to $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 35.4$ (C$2^{\prime}$ ) and 207.2 ( $\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}$ ) suggested that isopropyl group was linked to $\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}$ of the enol- $\beta$-triketone group. Thus, a methylated acylphloroglucinol core was deduced. Furthermore, the HMBC correlations from $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.71,2.49$ $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}-17\right)$ to $\mathrm{C}-5, \mathrm{C}-18$, and $\mathrm{C}-19$ suggested the linkage of isoprenyl groups to $\mathrm{C}-5$ of the core structure. In addition, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY correlations of $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.09(\mathrm{H}-15) / 3.28(\mathrm{H}-7), \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.28(\mathrm{H}-7) / 1.67(\mathrm{H}-8), \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $1.67(\mathrm{H}-8) / 1.25\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}-12\right)$, and $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.25\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}-12\right) / 1.98$, $1.89\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}-13\right)$ showed a long spin system arising from the C-15-C-7-C-8-C-12 partial structure. Combined with the HMBC correlations from $\mathrm{H}-7$ to C -3/C-6/C-4/C-8/C-12, H-8 to C-3/C-15, $\mathrm{H}_{3}-10 / \mathrm{H}_{3}-11$ to $\mathrm{C}-9, \mathrm{H}_{2}-13$ to $\mathrm{C}-$ 8/C-14/C-15, and $\mathrm{H}_{3}-16$ to $\mathrm{C}-13 / \mathrm{C}-14 / \mathrm{C}-15$ indicated that an isopentenyl at $\mathrm{C}-3$ formed a dihydropyran ring with the $4-\mathrm{OH}$ of the core structure and another lateral prenyl group located at C-8 cyclized to form a six-membered ring by a carbon-carbon bond formed between C 15 and C-7 (Fig. 2). Hence, the 2D structure of 1 was deduced.

In the NOESY spectrum of 1 , the correlations between $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.28$ (H-7) and $1.26\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}-11\right)$ indicated that $\mathrm{H}-7$ and $\mathrm{Me}-11$ were located at the axial position with the same orientation. In addition, the NOESY correlations of $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.67(\mathrm{H}-8) / 1.26\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}-11\right)$, and $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.67(\mathrm{H}-8) / 1.40\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}-10\right)$ further suggested that the cyclohexene moiety was cis-fused with the dihydropyran ring. Moreover, the NOESY correlations of $\delta_{H} 1.40\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}-10\right)$ /
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Fig. 2. Key $\mathrm{HMBC},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY and NOESY correlations of compounds 1-3.
$1.59\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}-21\right)$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.40\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}-10\right) / 1.80\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}-12\right)$ suggested the same orientation of $\mathrm{Me}-10$ and the isoprenyl group at $\mathrm{C}-5$ (Fig. 2).

There was the presence of another minor tautomer ( $1^{\prime}$ ) due to the keto-enol tautomerism of the enol- $\beta$-triketone moiety. The NMR data of $\mathbf{1}^{\prime}$ were similar to $\mathbf{1}$, except for the location of the OH group. In the HMBC spectrum of $1^{\prime}$, the active proton ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 18.39$ ) was correlated to C1 ( $\delta \mathrm{c} 110.4$ ), C-5 ( $\delta \mathrm{c} 47.8$ ), and C-6 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 197.3$ ), which located the position of the OH group at C-6. Due to the phenomenon of keto-enol tautomerism, the NMR data of 1 were recorded in different solvents, including $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, acetone- $d_{6}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$, and acetonitrile- $d_{3}$. As a result, the ratios of the two tautomers (1: $\mathbf{1}^{\prime}$ ) were approximately $1: 0.23,1: 0.28,1: 0.17,1: 0.20$ and $1: 0.17$ in the $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, acetone$d_{6}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$, and acetonitrile- $d_{3}$, respectively (supporting information). The ratio of the major tautomer (1) was larger in acetone- $d_{6}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ and acetonitrile- $d_{3}$ than in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$. The ECD curve of 1 was recorded in acetonitrile, the tautomer (1) was the main component. The absolute configurations of 1 were assigned by comparison of the experimental and the calculated electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectra. Due to the presence of minor tautomer ( $1^{\prime}$ ), the theoretical ECD calculation was conducted in acetonitrile using time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) at the B3LYP/6-31 +g (d, p) level for the low energy conformers of the two tautomers $(5 R, 7 S, 8 R)-1$ and $(5 R, 7 S, 8 R)-1^{\prime}$. The ECD spectrum of $(5 R, 7 S, 8 R)-1$ and fitted ECD curve of two tautomers $\left[(5 R, 7 S, 8 R)-\mathbf{1}\right.$ and $\left.(5 R, 7 S, 8 R)-1^{\prime}\right]$ were generated using the program SpecDis 1.6 (University of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany) and GraphPad Prism 5 (University of California San Diego, USA) from dipole-length rotational strengths by applying Gaussian band shapes with sigma $=0.3 \mathrm{eV}$. As a result, the overall experimental ECD curve of 1 matched well with the calculated ECD spectrum of $(5 R, 7 S, 8 R)-1$ as well as the fitted spectrum of two tautomers [(5R,7S, $8 R$ )-1 and ( $5 R, 7 S, 8 R$ )- $\left.\mathbf{1}^{\prime}\right]$ (Fig. 3). Thus, the minor tautomer contributed slightly to the ECD spectrum of 1 . Consequently, the absolute configurations of 1 were assigned as $5 R, 7 S, 8 R$.

Hyperelodione $\mathrm{B}(2)$ was isolated as a yellowish oil with the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ revealed by its HRESIMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ion signal at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 427.2846[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 427.2843$ ), requiring nine indices of hydrogen deficiency. The IR spectrum of 2 (1656, $3631 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) indicated the presence of carbonyl and hydroxyl groups.


Fig. 3. Calculated and experimental ECD spectra of 1 and two tautomers.
The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of 2 were similar to those of 1 except for the isopropyl group was replaced by a sec-butyl group in 2 , as assigned by the HMBC correlations from $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.09\left(\mathrm{Me}-3^{\prime}\right)$ to $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 206.8\left(\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}\right) / \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 41.7$ (C$2^{\prime}$ ) $/ \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 27.0$ (C-4') and from $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.96$ (Me-5') to $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime} / \mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}$ (Fig. 2). Thus, the 2D structure of 2 was elucidated. The NOESY correlations of 2 were similar to 1, which resulted in the same relative configuration (Fig. 2). The absolute configuration of the chiral center in the $\alpha$-methylbutyryl group can be determined on the basis of optical rotation data by the means of Brewster's rule of atomic asymmetry (Pei et al., 1989). According to this rule, if the absolute configuration of the chiral center in the $\alpha$-methylbutyryl group is $S$, the optical value will be dextrorotation $(+)$. The optical rotation value of $2\left([\alpha]_{D}^{28}+26.3\right)$ was opposite that of 1 $\left([\alpha]_{D}^{28}-18.6\right)$, which assigned the absolute configuration of $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}$ as $S$. Furthermore, the absolute configuration of the $\alpha$-methylbutyryl group in the reported analogues hyperjapone C and E from the same genus were unambiguously determined as $S$ by the X-ray analysis (Yang et al., 2016). Thus, based on the biogenesis relationship, the absolute configuration of $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}$ can be further confirmed as $S$. Moreover, the


Fig. 4. Experimental ECD spectra of 1-3.
experimental ECD data of 2 had a high degree of similarity to that of the biogenetically related 1 (Fig. 3). Another minor tautomer with an OH group at C-6 also existed for 2, which contributed little influence on the CD spectrum of 2 (Fig. 4). Thus, the absolute configurations of the chiral carbons in 2 were defined as $2^{\prime} S, 5 R, 7 S, 8 R$.

Hyperelodione C (3), a yellowish oil, was assigned the molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ via ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and HRESIMS data with an $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ ion at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 413.2678$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 413.2686$ ), which accounted for nine indices of hydrogen deficiency. The IR absorptions at 3449 and $1651 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ also indicated the presence of carbonyl and hydroxyl groups. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of 3 were identical to those of 1 except for an $n$-propyl group substituent at $\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}$ instead of an isopropyl group, which was further confirmed by the HMBC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY data (Fig. 2). The relative configuration of 3 was also determined by the NOESY correlations, which resulted in the same configuration as that of 1 and 2 (Fig. 2). There was also another minor tautomer with the OH group at C-6 as in 1 and 2, which slightly influenced the CD curve. The experimental ECD data of 3 well to that of the biogenetically related 1 (Fig. 4), which allowed the assignment of the same absolute configuration of $5 R, 7 S, 8 R$.

The putative biogenetic pathway of $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ was proposed (Scheme 1). The structures of $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ were proposed to derive from the isobutyryl-CoA (i), $\alpha$-methylbutyryl-CoA (ii), and butyryl-CoA (iii), respectively. Then, further condensation with three malonyl-CoAs formed the acylphloroglucinol core through the represented polyketide-type biosynthesis pathway (Abe et al., 2004). The acylphloroglucinol core was further prenylated and methylated to afford monocyclic polyprenylated acylphloroglucinols (MPAPs) (Ciochina and Grossman, 2006). Finally, compounds 1-3 were putatively biosynthesized from MPAPs through a series of reactions involving epoxidation, intramolecular cyclization, dehydration and reduction (Hu et al., 2000; Tian et al., 2014b).

### 2.2. The interaction between $R X R \alpha-L B D$ and compounds 1-3

RXR $\alpha$, a crucial member of the nuclear receptor superfamily, is a ligand-dependent transcription factor. RXR $\alpha$ regulates biological processes such as cell differentiation, proliferation and apoptosis through the interaction with small-molecule modulators. RXR $\alpha$ possesses the classical functional domains of nuclear receptors, including a DNAbinding domain (DBD) and a ligand-binding domain (LBD) (Altucci et al., 2007). Many natural products have been demonstrated to bind to the RXR $\alpha$-LBD to modulate the genomic or nongenomic function of RXR $\alpha$. In this study, the interactions between the RXR $\alpha$-LBD and the compounds were investigated by fluorescence quenching technology, a method that measures the fluorescence quenching caused by a ligand binding to the protein. Gradual fluorescence quenching was observed when RXRa-LBD was exposed to increasing concentration of the new compounds 1-3 (Fig. 5). The results showed that the binding constants ( $K_{D}$ values) of compounds 1 and 2 to the RXR $\alpha$-LBD were fitted as 47.01 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ and $32.50 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively, while compound 3 could bind to RXR $\alpha$ LBD with a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}$ of $12.81 \mu \mathrm{M}$. These data indicated that compounds $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ have the potential to bind to RXRa, while compound 3 showed a stronger interaction with the RXR $\alpha-\operatorname{LBD}$ than the other two compounds.

### 2.3. The $R X R \alpha$ transactivation effects of compounds $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{- 3}$

As a nuclear receptor, RXRa has genomic functions via transactivation. The effects of compounds 1-3 on RXRa transactivation were examined by dual-luciferase reporter gene assay. As shown in Fig. 5, all the compounds inhibited 9-cis-RA-induced RXRa transactivation at concentrations of $12.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$, while compound 3 exhibited


Scheme 1. Plausible Biogenetic Pathway of 1-3.


Fig. 5. The interaction between RXR $\alpha-L B D$ and compounds. After treated with compounds $1-3$, the binding affinity of compound toward RXR $\alpha$-LBD was measured via fluorescence quenching technology at 298 K .


Fig. 6. The RXR $\alpha$ transactivation effects of compounds. 293T cells were treated with different concentrations of new compounds ( $0,12.5,25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), the transactivation activities of reporter gene for RXR $\alpha$ were measured by dual-luciferase reporter gene assay. The values are the mean $\pm$ SD for four independent replicates (*p $<0.05,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$, compared with cells treated with 9 -cis-RA alone).
better inhibitory activity than the other two compounds at the same concentrations (Fig. 6).

### 2.4. Growth inhibitory activities of compounds 1-3 on MCF-7 and HeLa cells

The growth inhibitory effects of compounds $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ on the human cervical cancer cell line (HeLa) and human breast cancer cell line (MCF7) were examined. As a result, all the compounds exhibited cytotoxic effects on these two cancer cell lines in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 7). Among them, compound 3 showed the most potent growth inhibitory effects on the HeLa and MCF-7 cell lines with IC50 values of 24.39 and $26.33 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively, while the IC50 values of compound 1 on these two cancer cells were 37.80 and $44.37 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and the IC50 values of compound 2 were 51.44 and $61.66 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. The above data suggested that compound 3 displayed potent anti-proliferative activity against HeLa and MCF-7 cells, while compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 had moderate cytotoxic activities.

### 2.5. Induction of PARP cleavage by compound 3

To investigate whether compound 3 induces cancer cell apoptosis, HeLa and MCF-7 cells were incubated with increasing concentrations $(10-30 \mu \mathrm{M})$ of compound 3 for 48 h . Cleaved poly (ADP-ribose)


Fig. 7. Cytotoxic effects of compounds 1-3. HeLa and MCF-7 cells were exposed to various concentrations of compounds $1-3(0,3.125,6.25,12.5,25,50 \mu \mathrm{M})$. After 48 h , cytotoxic activities of compounds against cancer cells were measured by MTT assay. The values are the mean $\pm$ SD for four independent replicates.
polymerase (PARP), a typical apoptotic marker in the apoptotic response, was measured via Western blot. As a result, 3 induced HeLa and MCF-7 cell apoptosis with a dose dependent PARP cleavage (Fig. 8). Thus, compound 3 could potentially induce HeLa cells and MCF-7 cell apoptosis.

### 2.6. Molecular docking of 1-3 to RXR $\alpha-L B D$

Molecular docking is an effective way to preliminarily understand the structure-activity relationship (SAR) by exploring the interaction mode between a compound and the targeted protein. In this study, a molecular docking technique was used to predict the interaction between compounds $1-3$ and the RXRa-LBD protein with Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) (2009.10) software. As a result, the


Fig. 8. PARP cleavage induction by compound 3 . HeLa cells and MCF-7 cells were treated with different concentrations of compound 3 ( $0,10,20,30 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for 48 h . The level of cleaved PARP was analyzed by Western blot.
 Binding site of 1 to the RXR $\alpha$-LBD. (E) Binding site of 2 to the RXR $\alpha-$ LBD. (F) Binding site of 3 to the RXR $\alpha$-LBD.
carbonyl group at C-6 of $\mathbf{1}$ and the hydroxyl group at C-2 of $\mathbf{2}$ could interact with Lys440 in the RXRa-LBD protein, while the carbonyl group at $\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}$ of 3 could bind to the Gln 275 of RXR $\alpha$-LBD with a different interaction mode (Fig. 9). The predicted binding sites of $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ to RXR $\alpha$-LBD were quite different from the traditional ligands which usually bind to Arg316 of the RXR $\alpha$-LBD, such as for 9-cis-retinoic acid.

A preliminary SAR study was performed by extensive analysis of the structure and bioactivity. Compounds 1-3 possess the common monoterpenoid polyprenylated acylphloroglucinol skeleton with a $6 / 6 / 6$ fused tricyclic system, while the only difference lies in the substituents at $\mathrm{C}-\mathbf{1}^{\prime}$. Contrasting the activity results of compounds $\mathbf{1 - 3}$, it was observed that 3 , with an $n$-propyl group at $\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}$, showed more potent effects than compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$, with an isopropyl group and a sec-butyl group at $\mathrm{C}-1$ ', respectively. Based on the above analysis, the $n$-propyl group at $\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}$ in this type of compound is helpful for the RXR $\alpha$ related activities, which was confirmed by the molecular docking results in which 3 could bind to the Gln 275 of the RXR $\alpha$-LBD in a different interaction modes compared with $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$. Due to the limited quantities of
the isolates, the thorough SAR needs further discussion.

## 3. Conclusion

In summary, three undescribed monoterpenoid polyprenylated acylphloroglucinols possessing a 6/6/6 fused tricyclic system, hyperelodiones A-C (1-3), were obtained from H. elodeoides. The monoterpenoid fragments in 1-3 were predicted to be biosynthesized by an isopentenyl at C-3 that formed a dihydropyran ring with the $4-\mathrm{OH}$ of the core structure and another lateral prenyl group located at C-8 that cyclized into a six-membered ring by the carbon-carbon bond formation between C-5 and C-7. This was quite different from the reported monoterpenoid polyprenylated acylphloroglucinols, which were cyclized by a geranyl group (two prenyl groups linked from head to tail) at $\mathrm{C}-3$. The phenomenon of keto-enol tautomerism existed in these monoterpenoid polyprenylated acylphloroglucinols. The major tautomer, with OH group at $\mathrm{C}-2$, contributed in a high proportion and might due to the pseudo six-membered heterocyclic ring formed by
strong intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the active hydrogen and $0-2^{\prime}$. The absolute configuration of 1 was assigned by the comparison of experimental and calculated ECD data, while the absolute configurations of 2 and 3 were assigned to be the same as 1 by their similar experimental ECD spectra and common biosynthetic origin. In addition, the putative biogenetic pathway of $1-3$ was proposed. A fluorescence quenching assay suggested that $1-3$ could bind to RXR $\alpha$ LBD, whereas 3 showed the strongest interaction with a $K_{D}$ of $12.81 \mu \mathrm{M}$. In addition, compounds $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ inhibited 9-cis-RA-induced RXR $\alpha$ transactivation and the growth of HeLa and MCF-7 cell lines in a dose-dependent manner, while 3 showed the most potent inhibitory activities. Western-blot assays showed dose-dependent PARP cleavage when HeLa cells and MCF-7 cells were exposed to 3. Molecular docking results suggested that 3 with an $n$-propyl group could interact with the Gln275 moiety of RXR $\alpha$-LBD, which was quite different from the interaction mode of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 . Thus, $\mathbf{3}$ can be considered a promising lead compound for cancer therapy, which can bind to the RXR $\alpha$-LBD and induce HeLa and MCF-7 cell apoptosis.

## 4. Experimental

### 4.1. General experimental procedures

Optical rotations were measured by using a Rudolph Autopol IV/IVT polarimeter (NJ, USA). UV data were recorded on an UV-2600 UV/Vis spectrometer (Shimadzu, Japan). CD spectra were measured on a Chirascan spectropolarimeter (Applied Photophysics, UK). NMR spectra were conducted on a Bruker AVANCE III 600 MHz spectrometer (Bruker, Germany). High-resolution electrospray ionization mass spectra (HR-ESI-MS) were acquired by using a Thermo Fisher Q Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo, USA). HPLC separations were performed with a Shimadzu LC-8A Liquid Chromatography system equipped with a DAD (Shimadzu, Japan). YMC (RP)-18 column ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $10 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$; YMC, Japan) were performed for reversed phase semipreparative separations. Silica gel (Qingdao Marine Chemical Ltd., China) and Sephadex LH-20 (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Sweden) were used for open column chromatography separations. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) separations were performed on silica gel GF254 plates (Qingdao Marine Chemical Inc., China).

### 4.2. Plant material

The whole plants of Hypericum elodeoides Choisy (wet) were collected from Ningde city, Fujian Province, China, in September 2016. They were authenticated by Prof. Zhen-Ji Li (College of the Environment \& Ecology, Xiamen University). A voucher specimen (HE201609) was deposited at the School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Xiamen University.

### 4.3. Extraction and isolation

The dried and powdered whole plants of H. elodeoides (12.9 kg) were soaked overnight in $95 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{EtOH}(4 \times 100 \mathrm{~L})$ at room temperature and then refluxed four times in $95 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ for 3 h each time. Concentration of the filtrate in vacuo to yielded the crude extract ( 949.7 g ). The extract was suspended in water and partitioned consecutively with petroleum ether. The petroleum ether extract ( 150.7 g ) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (CC) eluted with a gradient of cyclohexane-EtOAc (1:0, 50:1, 25:1, 10:1, 5:1, 2:1, 1:1, $0: 1$ ) to afford eight fractions (Fr. a1 - Fr. a8). Fr. a3 (29.5 g) was further refined by silica gel CC eluted with petroleum ether-EtOAc (1:0, $400: 1,200: 1,100: 1,50: 1,25: 1,0: 1$ ) to afford eight fractions (Fr. a3A - Fr. a3H). Fr. a3E ( 9.9 g) was subsequently subjected to silica gel CC eluted with petroleum ether - acetone (200:1, 150:1, 100:1, 15:1, $0: 1$ ) to give six fractions (Fr. a3E1 - Fr. a3E6). Fr. a3E4 (5.8 g) was fractionated by silica gel CC eluted with cyclohexane-EtOAc (1:0,
$1000: 1,500: 1,250: 1,100: 1,15: 1,0: 1$ ) to give five fractions (Fr. a3E4A - Fr. a3E4E). Finally, compound $2(4.5 \mathrm{mg})$ was successfully separated by repeated prep-HPLC with $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (97:3) at a flow rate of $5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, from Fr. a3E4C. Furthermore, Fr. a3E4D was purified by repeated prep-HPLC with $95 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at a flow rate of $5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, to yield compounds $1(4.9 \mathrm{mg})$ and $3(8.8 \mathrm{mg})$.

Hyperelodione A (1): yellowish oil; $[\alpha]_{D}^{28}-18.6$ (c 0.43, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$; $\mathrm{UV}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon) 202$ (4.06), 228 (3.98), 244 (4.00), 326 (3.82) nm ; ECD (ACN) $\lambda_{\max }(\Delta \varepsilon) 198$ (0.13), 239 (0.01), 313 (0.31) nm; IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) \nu_{\max } 3581,2979,2361,1697,1652,1381,1116,974 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data, see Table 1; HRESIMS m/z $413.2695[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ (cacld for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 413.2686$ ).

Hyperelodione B (2): yellowish oil; $[\alpha]_{D}^{28}+26.3\left(c \quad 0.35, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$; $\mathrm{UV}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon) 201$ (3.78), 244 (3.74) nm; ECD (ACN) $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 198 (0.13), 237 (0.04), 313 (0.20) nm; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3631,2977$, 2314, 1656, 1526, 1458, 1211, $1127 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data, see Table 1; HRESIMS m/z $427.2846[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(cacld for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, 427.2843).

Hyperelodione C (3): yellowish oil; $[\alpha]_{D}^{28}-23.0$ (c 0.66, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ); $\mathrm{UV}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon) 201$ (4.12), 227 (4.02), 243 (4.03), 324 (3.87) nm ; ECD (ACN) $\lambda_{\max }(\Delta \varepsilon) 186(-0.02), 243(0.26), 322(0.28) \mathrm{nm}$; IR $(\mathrm{KBr})_{\nu_{\max }} 3449,2926,2359,1651,1529,1458,1119 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data, see Table 1 ; HRESIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 413.2678[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(cacld for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 413.2686$ ).

## 4.4. $R X R \alpha-L B D$ purified protein

Human RXR $\alpha$-LBD (223-462) was cloned as an N-terminal histi-dine-tagged fusion protein in the pET-15b vector and overexpressed in E. coli BL21 (DE3). After centrifugation, resuspension and sonication, the extract was incubated with the His60 Ni Superflow resin for 4 h . The combined protein was purified by a Ni2 +-NTA agarose column at low temperatures.

### 4.5. Fluorescence measurements

Fluorescence measurements were performed on a Cary Eclipse Fluorescence spectrophotometer (Varian) in a 10 mm quartz cuvette. The 1 mM concentration of RXR $\alpha$-LBD was incubated with different concentrations of compounds. The protein was excited at 280 nm and the fluorescence spectra between 290 nm and 500 nm were measured with the a spectrophotometer at RT. The data were analyzed by Origin 2017.

### 4.6. Luciferease report assay

First, 293T cells were transfected with plasmids combined with $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ pGL5 luciferase reporter vector and $4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ pBIND- RXR $\alpha$ LBD vector. After 12 h , cells were treated with different concentrations of compounds for 12 h and then lysed by PLB on an oscillating platform for 20 min . Firefly luciferase (FL) and Renilla luciferase (RL) activities were analyzed with the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay system (Promega).
Relative luciferase activity (\%) $=\mathrm{FL} / \mathrm{RL} \times 100 \%$

### 4.7. Cytotoxicity assay

HeLa cells and MCF-7 cells were seeded into 96 -well plates at a density of $5 \times 10^{3}$ cells per well. After 12 h , cells were treated with different concentrations of compounds. After incubation for $48 \mathrm{~h}, 15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of MTT reagent and $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of MEM (HeLa) or DMEM (MCF-7) was added and the cells were cultured for 4 h . After removing the supernatant, the transformed crystals were dissolved in DMSO $(100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and measured at 490 nm using a microplate reader (Thermo Multiskan MK3, Thermo Scientific, Helsinki, Finland). The cell proliferation-inhibition rate (\%)
was calculated as follows:

## Growth Rate (\%)[(ODsample - ODblank)/(ODcontrol

- ODblank)] $\times 100 \%$


### 4.8. Western blotting

Equal amounts of the cell lysates were electrophoresed on a $10 \%$ SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to nitrocellulose. The membranes were blocked with $5 \%$ nonfat milk in TBST buffer ( 10 mM Tris- HCl [pH 8.0], 150 mM NaCl , and $0.05 \%$ Tween 20) at RT. After 1 h , the membranes were incubated with various primary antibodies at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After washing three times with TBST, the membranes were detected with either anti-mouse $(1: 3000)$ or anti-rabbit (1:3000) antibodies for 1 h and washed four times. The final immunoreactive products were visualized by using an enhanced chemiluminescence system.

### 4.9. Molecular docking studies

Molecular docking studies of compounds bound to RXR $\alpha$-LBD were performed with MOE (2009.10) software. Compounds were imported into the MOE database in.sdf format which were further optimized via partial charge, energy minimization, and geometry optimization (Rebuild 3D) with a root-mean-square gradient of 0.01 and saved in the.mdb database format. The 3D structure of RXR $\alpha$-LBD (https://doi. org/10.2210/pdb4zsh) was downloaded from the Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics Protein Data Bank (RCSB PDB). For the docking process, the "Triangle Matcher" placement method and London dG scoring function were selected, and the results were further refined by the force field method. Molecular docking simulations of compounds $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ with RXRa-LBD were conducted for 30 times, and the London dG scoring system was used to select the best interactions based on the smallest E_score2 provided.
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