

Title	Molecular, antigenic, and pathogenic characterization of H5N8 highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses isolated in the Democratic Republic of Congo in 2017
Author(s)	Twabela, T. Augustin; Okamatsu, Masatoshi; Tshilenge, Georges Mbuyi; Mpiana, Serge; Masumu, Justin; Lam Thanh Nguyen; Matsuno, Keita; Monne, Isabella; Zecchin, Bianca; Sakoda, Yoshihiro
Citation	Archives of virology, 165(1), 87-96 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-019-04456-x
Issue Date	2019-11-09
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/79728
Rights	This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in Archives of virology. The final authenticated version is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00705-019-04456-x
Type	article (author version)
Additional Information	There are other files related to this item in HUSCAP. Check the above URL.
File Information	Manuscript Twabela R2.pdf

Instructions for use

Hokkaido University Collection of Scholarly and Academic Papers : HUSCAP

Molecular, antigenic, and pathogenic characterization of H5N8 highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses isolated in the Democratic Republic of Congo in 2017

Augustin T. Twabela[1,2], Masatoshi Okamatsu[1], Georges Mbuyi Tshilenge[2], Serge Mpiana[2], Justin Masumu[2], Lam Thanh Nguyen[1], Keita Matsuno[1,3], Isabella Monne[4], Bianca Zecchin[4], Yoshihiro Sakoda[1,3]

[1] Laboratory of Microbiology, Department of Disease Control, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan

[2] Central Veterinary Laboratory of Kinshasa, Kinshasa I/Gombe, Democratic Republic of Congo

[3] Global Station for Zoonosis Control, Global Institution for Collaborative Research and Education (GI-CoRE), Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan

[4] Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale Delle Venezie, Legnaro (PD), Italy

Corresponding author: Yoshihiro Sakoda

Laboratory of Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, North 18, West 9, Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido, 060-0818, Japan

Tel: +81-11-706-5207; Fax: +81-11-706-5273

E-mail: sakoda@vetmed.hokudai.ac.jp

21 **Abstract**

22 In May 2017, high mortality of chickens and Muscovy ducks due to the H5N8 highly pathogenic
23 avian influenza virus (HPAIV) was reported in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DR Congo).
24 In this study, we assessed the molecular, antigenic, and pathogenic features in poultry of the
25 H5N8 HPAIV from the 2017 Congolese outbreaks. Phylogenetic analysis of the eight viral gene
26 segments revealed that all 12 DR Congo isolates clustered in clade 2.3.4.4B together with other
27 H5N8 HPAIVs isolated in Africa and Eurasia, suggesting a possible common origin of these
28 viruses. Antigenically, a slight difference was observed between the Congolese isolates and a
29 representative virus from group C in the same clade 2.3.4.4. After the intranasal inoculation of a
30 representative DR Congo virus, high pathogenicity was observed in chickens and Muscovy
31 ducks but not in Pekin ducks. Viral replication was higher in chickens than in Muscovy duck and
32 Pekin duck organs; however, neurotropism was pronounced in Muscovy ducks. Our data
33 confirmed the high pathogenicity of the DR Congo virus in chickens and Muscovy ducks, as
34 observed in the field. National awareness and strengthening surveillance in the region are needed
35 to better control HPAIVs.

36 **Introduction**

37 Since the detection of the H5N1 highly pathogenic avian influenza virus (HPAIV)
38 A/goose/Guangdong/1/1996 (Gs/GD) in China in 1996, the progeny of this Gs/GD-like virus,
39 classified in different genetic clades based on the H5 hemagglutinin (HA) gene, has spread
40 worldwide [8, 9]. The co-circulation of this virus with other avian influenza viruses (AIVs) in
41 poultry and wild bird populations has led to reassortment with viruses carrying different
42 neuraminidase (NA) genes to generate H5Nx viruses [6].

43 In 2010, an HPAIV, reassorted with an N8 gene isolated from a mallard duck classified
44 in clade 2.3.4.4, was reported in wild birds in China [5]. In late 2014, the descendants of this
45 H5N8 HPAIV caused several outbreaks in Pekin ducks, chickens, geese, and wild birds in South
46 Korea; consequent outbreaks were reported in Japan, China, and some European countries, as
47 well as in Canada and the United States by the end of the year [7, 15, 26, 30]. During the spread
48 of this reassortant H5N8 HPAIV of clade 2.3.4.4, two distinct groups were identified: the viruses
49 of group icA were detected in eastern Asia and North America [30] also detected in Europe [21],
50 and the group B viruses were detected in China, South Korea, and the Russian Federation and
51 later found in the Middle East [12], Europe [11], and in West Africa [28]. Lastly, early in 2017,
52 the group B viruses caused outbreaks in eastern and central African countries, including Uganda,
53 Cameroon, and the Democratic Republic of Congo (DR Congo) [23, 32, 34, 36]. The migratory
54 waterfowls have been pointed out to play an important role in the global spread of HPAIVs due
55 to the long-distance seasonal movements along their migration routes; furthermore, the presence
56 of other sedentary waterfowls have been suggested to facilitate the intra-continent dissemination
57 of the virus [3, 10].

58 In reported global HPAI outbreaks caused by the H5N8 virus of clade 2.3.4.4, the
59 mortality of naturally infected Muscovy ducks (*Cairina moschata*) was only sporadically

60 described; however, in our previous study of the outbreaks that occurred in the DR Congo [32],
61 high mortality was reported in this species. Although most of the studies regarding the
62 pathogenicity of HPAIVs have been conducted in Pekin ducks, these two duck species differ
63 genetically; even though they have a common ancestor and share the characteristics of
64 anseriform [2, 16]. No study has assessed the antigenic characteristics and pathogenicity in
65 poultry of the H5N8 HPAIVs of clade 2.3.4.4B newly reported in eastern and central African
66 countries. Therefore, this study aims to characterize the molecular, antigenicity, and
67 pathogenicity in poultry of the H5N8 HPAIVs isolated in the DR Congo to understand their
68 features.
69
70 **Materials and Methods**
71 **Virus isolation**
72 In our previous study, four representative H5N8 HPAIV isolates from swab samples
73 collected from 22 birds during the outbreaks that occurred in Ituri Province, DR Congo, were
74 genetically analyzed at the Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale delle Venezie in Italy [32]. For
75 this study, medium aliquots of all swab samples were sent to the Laboratory of Microbiology,
76 Faculty of Veterinary Medicine at Hokkaido University in Japan for further analysis. The
77 samples were inoculated into 10-day-old embryonated chicken eggs obtained from conventional
78 chicken flocks tested free of avian influenza virus antibody. The harvested allantoic fluids were
79 subjected to the hemagglutination (HA) test according to the OIE manual
80 (https://www.oie.int/standard-setting/terrestrial-manual/access-online/). In total, eight H5N8
81 HPAIVs were isolated and characterized, in addition to the four isolates described in the
82 previous study (Table 1). The viral titers of the isolates were determined as the 50% egg

83 infectious dose (EID_{50}) and calculated using the Reed and Muench method [27]. The isolates
84 were stored at −80°C until further use.
85

86 **Sequencing and molecular analysis**

87 Viral RNA was extracted from fresh allantoic fluid using the TRIzol LS reagent (Life
88 Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The extracted
89 RNA was subjected to next-generation sequencing; briefly, MiSeq libraries were prepared using
90 the NEBNext Ultra RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA,
91 USA) and sequenced using the MiSeq Reagent Kit v3 (600 cycles) (Illumina, San Diego, CA,
92 USA). Sequence reads were mapped to reference sequence of avian influenza virus A/mallard
93 duck/Netherlands/43/2006 (H5N2) accession number KX979501 for HA gene, and the
94 consensus sequence was rebuilt until all mismatches were solved using the CLC Genomic
95 Workbench, version 12.0 (CLC bio, Aarhus, Denmark). All viral sequences were submitted to
96 GenBank, and accession numbers are shown in Table 1.

97 For phylogenetic analysis, the nucleotide sequence datasets of eight viral gene segments
98 from representative clade 2.3.4.4 viruses, including outgroup viruses downloaded from the
99 Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data and GenBank, were created and aligned. The
100 maximum likelihood was applied to construct the phylogenetic trees using the best-fit general
101 time reversible model of the nucleotides substitution with gamma-distributed rate variation
102 among sites (with 4 rate categories, Γ4) included in MEGA 7 software [20].

103 The evolutionary divergence over sequences pairs between the DR Congo viruses and
104 other related viruses of the clade 2.3.4.4B was calculated in MEGA 7 using the Kimura's method
105 [19] with 48 sequences grouped in 9 regions representing the origin of sequences including DR
106 Congo, Uganda, Cameroon, South Africa, Egypt, India, Middle East, Europe, and East Asia.

107 The GENETYX network version 12.0 (Genetyx Co., Tokyo, Japan) was used to assess
108 the position of the deduced amino acid sequences in the antigenic sites of the HA protein for all
109 the DR Congo isolates and compared to other representative viruses of clade 2.3.4.4 according to
110 the H3 numbering as described previously [35].

111

112 **Antiserum preparation and antigenic analysis**

113 Two isolates (Mdk/CD/KAF1/17 and Mdk/CD/NYA14/17) were selected based on their
114 differences in deduced amino acid sequences of the HA protein. The antigen was prepared as
115 described previously [18]. For antiserum production, 500 μg of the antigen mixed with Freund's
116 complete and Freund's incomplete adjuvant for the first and the second inoculation, respectively
117 was injected intramuscularly into the thigh muscle of a naïve chicken twice at 14-day intervals;
118 14 days later, a booster with 1 ml of the antigen mixed with phosphate buffered saline was
119 injected intravenously. Seven days after the booster, whole blood was collected from the chicken
120 for serum preparation. The antisera, and their corresponding antigens, were included in a panel
121 of representative clade 2.3.4.4 viruses from groups icA, C, and D. Then, cross-reactivity was
122 assessed using the hemagglutination inhibition (HI) test (Table 2). The A/chicken/Kumamoto/1-
123 7/2014 (H5N8) virus (Ck/Kmm/1-7/14; accession number: AB932556) was isolated from a
124 chicken in Japan; the A/black swan/Akita/1/2016 (H5N6) virus (BS/Akita/1/16; accession
125 number: LC198528) was isolated from a black swan in Japan. The A/duck/Vietnam/HU1-
126 1151/2014 (H5N6) (Dk/VTN/HU1-1151/16; accession number: LC041313) virus was isolated
127 from a Pekin duck during surveillance activity in Vietnam, and the A/peregrine falcon/Hong
128 Kong/810/2009 (H5N1) (PF/HK/810/09; accession number: AB521159) virus was isolated from
129 a peregrine falcon in Hong Kong. We included also in the panel the antiserum against
130 A/mallard/Hokkaido/24/2009 (H5N1) (Mal/Hok/24/09); an unclassified low pathogenic avian

131 influenza virus isolated in wild birds in Japan [37]. To visualize the antigenic constellation of
132 these viruses, the antigenic map was built using the ACMACS website (https://acmacs-
133 web.antigeniccartography.org/). The antigenic cartography methods were applied using the
134 cross-HI test results as described previously [29]. The distance between two antigens was
135 calculated using ACMACS, and the difference was considered significant if the distance between
136 two antigens was more than two antigenic units for a given combination [4].
137
138 **Animal experiments**
139 To investigate the pathogenicity of DR Congo H5N8 viruses in poultry, 0.1 ml of one
140 representative isolate (Mdk/CD/KAF1/17) at $10^{6.0}$ EID_{50} was inoculated intranasally to eight,
141 six-week-old chickens (*Gallus gallus domesticus*, Julia) witch were obtained from Hokkai
142 Starchick, Hokkaido, Japan; eight, four-week-old Muscovy ducks (*Cairina moschata*) hatched in
143 our laboratory; and eight, four-week-old Pekin ducks (*Anas platyrhynchos domesticus,* Cherry
144 Valley) obtained from Takikawa Shinseien, Hokkaido, Japan. All bird species used for animal
145 experiments were conventional and obtained from flocks free of antibody against AIVs by HI
146 test before the experiment. At three days post inoculation (dpi), four birds from each
147 experimentally infected group were sacrificed, and organ samples, including the trachea, lung,
148 kidney, colon, pectoral muscle, and brain, were collected for virus titration. The remaining birds
149 in each group were monitored for clinical observation until 14 dpi.
150 For virus titration, the collected organs were homogenized using a Multi-Beads Shocker
151 (Yasui Kikai, Osaka, Japan) to make 10% (w/v) suspensions in viral transport medium. Viral
152 infectivity was observed as the cytopathogenic effect after inoculating viral suspensions on
153 Madin-Darby Canine Kidney cell monolayers; viral titers were calculated as the 50% tissue
154 culture infectious dose ($TCID_{50}$).

155 All animal experiments were conducted in the animal biosafety level 3 (ABSL3)
156 laboratory at the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Hokkaido University, Japan.
157
158 **Statistical analysis**
159 To compare viral replication titers in chicken, Muscovy duck, and Pekin duck organs, the
160 one-way analysis of variance Tukey test was performed using the Statistical Package for the
161 Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA). The difference was
162 considered significant when the *p* value was less than 0.05.
163
164 **Results**
165 **Phylogenetic and genetic analyses**
166 Full-length sequences of the eight gene segments of 12 DR Congo H5N8 HPAIV isolates
167 were analyzed with other H5Nx viruses of clade 2.3.4.4. The phylogenetic tree based on the HA
168 gene segment showed that all 12 viruses isolated in the DR Congo clustered along with the other
169 African and Eurasian H5N8 HPAIVs in group B of clade 2.3.4.4 (Figure 1). The same pattern
170 was observed for the NA gene and the other internal gene segments (Supplementary Figure 1,
171 from A to G). All eight gene segments of the DR Congo isolates exhibited a close relationship
172 with viruses from Uganda and one virus from Cameroon, toward which they showed the highest
173 similarity (99.53% and 99.35%, respectively). The viruses isolated in Egypt, South Africa and
174 some in Cameroon clustered separately from the DR Congo viruses, thus showing higher genetic
175 distances; suggesting possible multiple introductions of these viruses into Africa.
176 The genetic distance between the DR Congo viruses and related viruses from Africa and
177 Eurasia was estimated by evolutionary divergence over their sequences, the result revealed a

178 genetic distance between the Congolese viruses and those from Cameroon, South Africa and
179 Egypt with the distance of 0.81%, 1.42% and 1.64%, respectively (Table 2).

180 The DR Congo isolates were aligned with other representative H5N8 HPAIV isolates of
181 clade 2.3.4.4 group B from Africa, Asia, and Europe; the position of the deduced amino acid
182 sequences in the HA protein was identified. All representative clade 2.3.4.4, group B viruses
183 included in the analysis had similar sequences in the critical position of the antigenic sites (data
184 not shown). The multibasic amino acids in the cleavage site of the HA protein of the DR Congo
185 viruses displayed the common motif (LREKRRKR/GLF) of the HPAIV strains as observed for
186 other clade 2.3.4.4, group B H5N8 HPAIVs [28]. No deletions or insertions were observed in the
187 nucleotide sequence of the HA protein of the DR Congo isolates when compared to the
188 representative viruses of the same clade 2.3.4.4 group B.

189

190 **Antigenic features of the DR Congo viruses**

191 Four representative isolates from the DR Congo and a panel of clade 2.3.4.4 H5Nx
192 HPAIVs, groups icA, C, and D, isolated in Asia were analyzed, and their corresponding antisera
193 were used for the cross-HI test (Table 3). The antigenic cartography (Supplementary Figure 2)
194 derived from the cross-HI test results revealed that despite antigenic clustering of the clade
195 2.3.4.4 viruses, a moderate difference was noticed between the two DR Congo viruses
196 (Mkd/CD/KAF1/17 and Mkd/CD/TCH6) and the BS/Akita/1/16 virus with three antigenic units
197 distant. However, no difference was observed between the DR Congo viruses and the
198 Ck/Kmm/1-7/14 or Dk/VTN/HU1-1151/16. On the other hand, the antisera raised against the
199 Mdk/CD/KAF1/17 and Mdk/CD/NYA14/17 viruses were antigenically different from the
200 BS/Akita/1/16 virus. This result suggested that there is a slight antigenic difference between the
201 DR Congo viruses in group B and viruses in group C; but not with the viruses in groups icA and

202 D in the same genetic clade 2.3.4.4. Furthermore, a significant antigenic divergence was noticed
203 between all viruses of clade 2.3.4.4 with the virus PF/HK/810/09 of clade 2.4.3 and the
204 antiserum raised against the unclassified virus Mal/Hok/24/09.

205

206 **Pathogenicity of DR Congo H5N8 HPAIVs in chickens, Muscovy ducks, and Pekin ducks**

207 After intranasal inoculation with $10^{6.0}$ EID_{50} of Mdk/CD/KAF1/17, all chickens showed
208 depression from 2 dpi and developed lethargy progressively; one chicken died at 3 dpi and three
209 died at 4 dpi (Figure 2A). Two Muscovy ducks died suddenly at 3 dpi; two others at 3 dpi
210 showed a lack of appetite, torticollis, dorsal decubitus, and leg pedaling before they died at 4 dpi.
211 However, no Pekin ducks died during the observation period; only one duck showed
212 neurological signs at 2 dpi (head shaking) as well as a lack of appetite.

213

214 **Virus recovery from bird organs**

215 High virus titers were recovered from all harvested chicken organs (trachea, lung, kidney,
216 colon, muscle, and brain), with more efficient replication in respiratory organs including the lung
217 and trachea ($10^{7.2}$ and $10^{5.8}$ $TCID_{50}$/g, respectively) (Figure 2B). In Muscovy ducks, the virus
218 replicated in all tested organs, although the virus titers were lower than in chicken organs; the
219 highest virus titers were found in the lung and brain ($10^{4.2}$ and $10^{3.4}$ $TCID_{50}$/g, respectively). In
220 contrast, viral replication in Pekin ducks also varied among each individual, with the highest
221 virus loads observed in the trachea, lung, kidney, and colon ($10^{2.2}$, $10^{2.1}$, $10^{2.2}$, and $10^{2.3}$ $TCID_{50}$/g,
222 respectively); these titers were similar to those observed in Muscovy ducks. However, in the
223 brain and muscle of Pekin ducks, the virus titers were significantly lower ($p = 0.014$) than the
224 titers in Muscovy ducks.

225

226 **Discussion**

227 The phylogenetic analysis based on the eight viral gene segments revealed that all 12
228 viruses collected in the four different territories of the Ituri province were genetically similar to
229 other isolates from Uganda and one from Cameroon, suggesting a common origin of the virus
230 that caused outbreaks in the DR Congo, Uganda, and Cameroon. In our previous report [32], we
231 linked the outbreaks that occurred in the DR Congo to those reported in Uganda in January 2017
232 given that no sequence data of the virus was available to reveal their relationship at that time; the
233 availability of sequences of viruses from Uganda and Cameroon [23, 34] showed clearly the
234 close relationship between these H5N8 HPAIVs, even though some Cameroon isolates showed
235 different topology; suggesting the origin of all the viruses circulating in these regions from a
236 common progenitor. This indicated that the DR Congo virus could have been introduced by wild
237 waterfowl, given the location of the outbreaks in the wetlands and at the edge of the Albert Lake,
238 areas that are suitable for hosting the wild waterfowl. The detection of the virus in wild birds in
239 Ugandan outbreaks during the same period [23] suggests the role of wild waterfowl in the
240 introduction and spread of the H5N8 virus in this region due to close contact between poultry
241 raised in backyards and wild birds, especially given the low or complete absence of biosecurity
242 in the traditional raising systems applied in these areas. The phylogenetic analysis based on the
243 HA, NA, and internal genes of the viruses from the DR Congo showed a close relationship to
244 those isolated from Uganda and one from Cameroon. However, the different clustering of
245 Egyptian, South African and some Cameroon strains together with higher genetic distances from
246 the DR Congo viruses observed in the evolutionary analysis indicate a different evolutionary
247 pattern suggesting possible diverse introduction route of clade 2.3.4.4B H5N8 HPAIVs into the
248 African continent. More specifically, the viruses detected in the eastern and central African
249 regions may have reached Africa following a path different from that reached by viruses detected

250 in Egypt and South Africa [17, 22]. The diverse introduction of these viruses could be explained
251 by the presence of different flyways of migratory birds reaching the African continent [25].
252 Nevertheless, we can't exclude the possibility of a single introduction of the virus in Africa with
253 further spread in different countries due to intra-continental wild birds and poultry movements.
254 However, the unavailability of data about the poultry products movement from outside the Africa
255 limits our ability to exclude the role of poultry trade in the introduction of the viruses in certain
256 African regions.

257 The low reactivity of two representative DR Congo viruses with the antisera raised
258 against the clade 2.3.4.4, group C virus indicated a slight antigenic difference between the DR
259 Congo viruses belonging to group B and the virus of the group C of clade 2.3.4.4. Such a small
260 antigenic variation among viruses of different groups in the same clade 2.3.4.4 was observed in
261 the study by Hiono et al., [14]. However, the isolates from the DR Congo used in the antigenic
262 analysis did not show major mutations in the most relevant antigenic sites. Vaccination against
263 AIVs has been reported to be one of the major factors that develop antigenic variants of AIVs
264 [24] ; since the DR Congo does not apply vaccination against AIV, we can assume that this slight
265 antigenic variation occurred naturally during the evolution of the virus. However, the
266 distinguishable antigenic distance between the clade 2.3.4.4 viruses, the clade 2.4.3, and the
267 unclassified virus revealed clearly the divergence genetic and antigenic evolution of these viruses
268 leading.

269 Our pathogenicity assessment clearly reflected what was observed in the field during the
270 outbreaks in the DR Congo [32] and Uganda [23], where the high mortality of Muscovy ducks
271 (locally called ducks) and in chickens was reported. In the case of the DR Congo outbreaks, the
272 high mortality of Muscovy ducks was linked to the high density of these ducks preferentially
273 raised in areas by the lake [36]. In the present study, we observed viral replication in all

274 harvested chicken and Muscovy duck organs, reflecting the systemic infection characteristic of
275 the HPAIV. However, in the Muscovy duck, the effective virus replication was observed in the
276 lung and brain. In addition, neurological signs, such as head shaking, torticollis, dorsal decubitus,
277 and leg pedaling before death, were also observed, as in the study by Anis and coauthors in
278 hybrid duck species [1]. Taken together, the clinical signs, tissue tropism, and virus replication in
279 Muscovy ducks infected with the H5N8 HPAIV 2.3.4.4B could explain the high mortality
280 observed in both field and laboratory conditions, which were the same as that observed in
281 chickens. Compared to the study conducted by Uchida et al. [33], where the Muscovy ducks
282 were challenged with H5N6 HPAIVs belonging to clade 2.3.4.4C, only one strain caused 50%
283 mortality at 5 dpi, while two strains did not kill the inoculated birds. In addition, the neurological
284 signs as well as the virus titer in the brain were observed in only two of the eight birds infected.
285 For the Pekin duck, no death was noticed during the observation period following H5N8 HPAIV
286 infection. Our result contrasted with that observed with viruses isolated in Germany [13] and
287 China [31], where two of 10 and one of eight Pekin ducks, respectively, died during the
288 observation period after being inoculated with clade 2.3.4.4B H5N8 HPAIVs. Our results
289 showed lower viral replication in Pekin duck organs than in Muscovy duck organs, and the
290 preferential organs were the colon, kidney, and lung, not the brain as observed in the study by
291 Sun et al., [31].

292 The results from our experimental study confirmed field observations regarding the
293 pathogenicity of the DR Congo clade 2.3.4.4B H5N8 HPAIV in Muscovy ducks. However,
294 further studies are needed to understand this phenomenon, especially whether it is related to the
295 host factors of the Muscovy duck and/or the adaptation of the virus, given that other viruses in
296 clade 2.3.4.4 yielded different pathogenic effects in Muscovy ducks. The need to raise awareness
297 in Africa is critical, where most countries lack permanent, effective, and continuous monitoring

298 of AIVs in both wild birds and poultry that can help to understand the virus spread and evolution.
299 Sharing the information from such surveillance at the regional and global levels will allow for a
300 better understanding of AIVs in the region. Enhancing biosecurity in the poultry sector,
301 achieving earlier diagnoses, and culling infected birds to control the spread of infection are
302 essential to manage the landscape of HPAIVs.

303

304 **Acknowledgments**

305 We gratefully acknowledge the Food and Agriculture Organization–Emergency Center for
306 Transboundary Animal Disease, Kinshasa, the Democratic Republic of the Congo
307 (ECTAD/FAO-CD), and the Japan Initiative for Global Research Network on Infectious
308 Diseases (J-GRID), supported by the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development
309 (AMED), grant number JP18Fm0108008, for greatly contributing to field sampling and
310 shipments, respectively. This study was conducted under the doctoral program "Advanced
311 Training Program for Fostering Global Leaders on Infectious Disease Control to Build
312 Resilience against Public Health Emergencies" sponsored by the Japan International Cooperation
313 Agency (JICA). We acknowledge the Global Initiative on the Sharing All Influenza Data and the
314 GenBank for the availability of sequences we used in this study.

315

316 **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

317 **Conflict of Interest**: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

318 **Research involving Animals**: The animal experiments were approved by the Institutional
319 Animal Care and Use Committee of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Hokkaido University
320 (Approval numbers 16-0105 and 18-0037 for the antiserum preparation and the pathogenicity
321 assessment, respectively). The experiments were performed according to the guidelines of the
322 committee. The Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Hokkaido University, is accredited by the
323 Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care International, which it
324 has maintained since 2007.

325 **Informed consent**: Not Applicable

326

327 **References**

328 1. Anis A, AboElkhair M, Ibrahim M (2018) Characterization of highly pathogenic avian
329 influenza H5N8 virus from Egyptian domestic waterfowl in 2017. Avian Pathol. 47:400–409.

330 2. Basha HA, Abd el Naby WSH, Heikal HSM (2016) Genetic Diversity and Phylogenetic
331 Relationship among three Duck Breeds and Geese using RAPD Markers. Adv Anim Vet Sci.
332 4:462–467.

333 3. Bodewes R, Kuiken T (2018) Chapter Twelve - Changing Role of Wild Birds in the
334 Epidemiology of Avian Influenza A Viruses. In: Kielian M, Mettenleiter TC, Roossinck MJ
335 (eds) Adv Virus Res. Academic Press, pp 279–307.

336 4. Cai Z, Zhang T, Wan X-F (2012) Antigenic distance measurements for seasonal influenza
337 vaccine selection. Vaccine 30:448–453.

338 5. Chen Z, Liu X, Zhao M, Liu X, Zhu Y, Zhang Y, Liu W, Zhao K, Duan Z, Gu M, Zhao G,
339 Peng D, Zhong L, Hu S (2013) Characterization of three H5N5 and one H5N8 highly
340 pathogenic avian influenza viruses in China. Vet Microbiol. 163:351–357.

341 6. Claes F, Morzaria SP, Donis RO (2016) Emergence and dissemination of clade 2.3.4.4 H5Nx
342 influenza viruses - How is the Asian HPAI H5 lineage maintained. Curr Opin Virol. 16:158–
343 163.

344 7. Dong-Hun L, Justin B, Mia Kim T, Mary Lea K, Hon SI, Thomas JD, David ES (2016)
345 Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza Viruses and Generation of Novel Reassortants, United
346 States, 2014–2015. Emerg Infect Dis. 22:1283–1285.

347 8. Donis, R.O., Smith, G.J.D, Perdue, M.L., Brown, I.H., Chen, H., Fouchier, R.A.M., Kawaoka,
348 Y., Mackenzie, J., Shu, Y., Capua, I., Cox, N., Davis, T., Garten, R., Smith, C., Guan, Y.,
349 Vijaykrishna, D., Mumford, E., Russell, C.A., Smith, D. (2008) Toward a unified
350 nomenclature system for highly pathogenic avian influenza virus (H5N1). Emerg Infect Dis.
351 14:e1.

352 9. WHO/OIE/FAO H5N1 Evolution Working Group. (2009). Continuing progress towards a
353 unified nomenclature for the highly pathogenic H5N1 avian influenza viruses: divergence of
354 clade 2.2 viruses. Influenza Other Respir Viruses. 3:59–62.

355 10. Fourment M, Darling AE, Holmes EC (2017) The impact of migratory flyways on the spread
356 of avian influenza virus in North America. BMC Evol Biol. 17:118.

357 11. Fusaro A, Monne I, Mulatti P, Zecchin B, Bonfanti L, Ormelli S, Milani A, Cecchettin K,
358 Lemey P, Moreno A, Massi P, Dorotea T, Marangon S, Terregino C (2017) Genetic Diversity
359 of Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza A(H5N8/H5N5) Viruses in Italy, 2016-17. Emerg
360 Infect Dis. 23:1543–1547.

361 12. Ghafouri SA, GhalyanchiLangeroudi A, Maghsoudloo H, KH Farahani R, Abdollahi H,
362 Tehrani F, Fallah MH (2017) Clade 2.3.4.4 avian influenza A (H5N8) outbreak in commercial
363 poultry, Iran, 2016: the first report and update data. Trop Anim Health Prod. 49:1089–1093.

364 13. Grund C, Hoffmann D, Ulrich R, Naguib M, Schinköthe J, Hoffmann B, Harder T, Saenger S,
365 Zscheppang K, Tönnies M, Hippenstiel S, Hocke A, Wolff T, Beer M (2018) A novel
366 European H5N8 influenza A virus has increased virulence in ducks but low zoonotic potential.
367 Emerg Microbes Infect. 7:1–14.

368 14. Hiono T, Okamatsu M, Matsuno K, Haga A, Iwata R, Nguyen LT, Suzuki M, Kikutani Y,
369 Kida H, Onuma M, Sakoda Y (2017) Characterization of H5N6 highly pathogenic avian

370 influenza viruses isolated from wild and captive birds in the winter season of 2016–2017 in
371 Northern Japan. Microbiol Immunol. 61:387–397.

372 15. Kang HM, Lee EK, Song BM, Jeong J, Choi JG, Jeong J, Moon OK, Yoon H, Cho Y, Kang
373 YM, Lee HS, Lee YJ (2015) Novel reassortant influenza A(H5N8) viruses among inoculated
374 domestic and wild ducks, South Korea, 2014. Emerg Infect Dis. 21:298–304.

375 16. Khan Ahmadi A, Rahimi G, Vafaei A, Sayyazadeh H (2007) Microsatellite analysis of genetic
376 diversity in Pekin (Anas platyrhynchos) and Muscovy (Cairina moschata) duck populations.
377 Int J Poult Sci. 6:378–382.

378 17. Khomenko S, Abolnik C, Roberts L, Waller L, Shaw K, Monne I, Taylor J, Dhingra M,
379 Pittiglio C, Mugyeom M, Roche X, Fredrick K, Kamata A, Okuthe S, Kone P, Wiersma L,
380 Dobschuetz SV, Soumare B, Makonnen Y, Morzaria S, Lubroth J (2018) 2016 – 2018 Spread
381 of H5N8 highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) in sub-Saharan Africa : epidemiological
382 and ecological. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations:1–20.

383 18. Kida H, Yanagawa R (1979) Isolation and characterization of influenza a viruses from wild
384 free-flying ducks in Hokkaido, Japan. Zbt Bakt Hyg Orig A: 244:135–143.

385 19. Kimura M (1980) A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions
386 through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J Mol Evol. 16:111–120.

387 20. Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K (2016) MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis
388 Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. Mol Biol Evol. 33:1870–1874.

389 21. Lee D-H, Bertran K, Kwon J-H, Swayne DE (2017) Evolution, global spread, and
390 pathogenicity of highly pathogenic avian influenza H5Nx clade 2.3.4.4. J Vet Sci. 18:269–280.

391 22. Moatasim Y, Kandeil A, Kayali G, Ali MA, McKenzie PP, Webby RJ, Kayed A (2017)
392 Genetic characterization of highly pathogenic avian influenza A H5N8 viruses isolated from
393 wild birds in Egypt. J Gen Virol. 98:1573–1586.

394 23. Ndumu D, Zecchin B, Fusaro A, Arinaitwe E, Erechu R, Kidega E, Kayiwa J, Muwanga E,
395 Kirumira M, Kirembe G, Lutwama J, Monne I (2018) Highly pathogenic avian influenza
396 H5N8 Clade 2.3.4.4B virus in Uganda, 2017. Infect Gen Evol. 66:269–271.

397 24. Nguyen LT, Nishi T, Shichinohe S, Chu D-H, Hiono T, Matsuno K, Okamatsu M, Kida H,
398 Sakoda Y (2017) Selection of antigenic variants of an H5N1 highly pathogenic avian
399 influenza virus in vaccinated chickens. Virology 510:252–261.

400 25. Piersma T, Lindstrom A (2004) Migrating shorebirds as integrative sentinels of global. Ibis
401 146:61–69.

402 26. Pohlmann A, Starick E, Harder T, Grund C, Hoper D, Globig A, Staubach C, Dietze K,
403 Strebelow G, Ulrich RG, Schinkothe J, Teifke JP, Conraths FJ, Mettenleiter TC, Beer M
404 (2017) Outbreaks among Wild Birds and Domestic Poultry Caused by Reassorted Influenza
405 A(H5N8) Clade 2.3.4.4 Viruses, Germany, 2016. Emerg Infect Dis. 23:633–636.

406 27. Reed L.J and Muench H. (1938) A simple method of estimation of fifty per cent end-points.
407 Am J Hyg. 27:493–497.

408 28. Selim AA, Erfan AM, Hagag N, Zanaty A, Samir A-H, Samy M, Abdelhalim A, Arafa A-SA,
409 Soliman MA, Shaheen M, Ibraheem EM, Mahrous I, Hassan MK, Naguib MM (2017) Highly
410 Pathogenic Avian Influenza Virus (H5N8) Clade 2.3.4.4 Infection in Migratory Birds, Egypt.
411 Emerg Infect Dis. 23:1048–1051.

412 29. Smith DJ, Lapedes AS, de Jong JC, Bestebroer TM, Rimmelzwaan GF, Osterhaus ADME,
413 Fouchier RAM (2004) Mapping the Antigenic and Genetic Evolution of Influenza Virus.
414 Science 305:371–376.

415 30. Song C-S, Ip HS, Lee D-H, Winker K, Swayne DE, Torchetti MK (2015) Intercontinental
416 Spread of Asian-Origin H5N8 to North America through Beringia by Migratory Birds. J Virol.
417 89:6521–6524.

418 31. Sun H, Pu J, Hu J, Liu L, Xu G, Gao GF, Liu X, Liu J (2016) Characterization of clade 2.3.4.4
419 highly pathogenic H5 avian influenza viruses in ducks and chickens. Vet Microbiol. 182:116–
420 122.

421 32. Twabela AT, Tshilenge GM, Sakoda Y, Okamatsu M, Bushu E, Kone P, Wiersma L,
422 Zamperin G, Drago A, Zecchin B, Monne I (2018) Highly pathogenic avian influenza
423 A(H5N8) virus, democratic republic of the Congo, 2017. Emerg Infect Dis. 24:1371–1374.

424 33. Uchida Y, Mine J, Takemae N, Tanikawa T, Tsunekuni R, Saito T Comparative pathogenicity
425 of H5N6 subtype highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses in chicken, Pekin duck and
426 Muscovy duck. Transboun Emerg Dis. 66:11272–1151.

427 34. Wade A, Jumbo SD, Zecchin B, Fusaro A, Taiga T, Bianco A, Rodrigue PN, Salomoni A,
428 Kameni JMF, Zamperin G, Nenkam R, Foupouapouognigni Y, Abdoulkadiri S, Aboubakar Y,
429 Wiersma L, Cattoli G, Monne I (2018) Highly pathogenic avian influenza A(H5N8) virus,
430 Cameroon, 2017. Emerg Infect Dis. 24:1367–1370.

431 35. Wilson IA, Skehel JJ, Wiley DC (1981) Structure of the haemagglutinin membrane
432 glycoprotein of influenza virus at 3 Å resolution. Nature 289:366–373.

433 36. World Organization for Animal H (2015) Update on Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza in
434 animals. pp 1-1 http://www.oie.int/animal-health-in-the-world-avian-influenza/2015/.
435 Accessed 20 October 2018.

436 37. Yamamoto N, Sakoda Y, Motoshima M, Yoshino F, Soda K, Okamatsu M, Kida H (2011)
437 Characterization of a non-pathogenic H5N1 influenza virus isolated from a migratory duck
438 flying from Siberia in Hokkaido, Japan, in October 2009. J Virol. 8:65–65.

439

440 **Figure legends**

441 **Fig. 1** Phylogenetic tree based on the HA gene segment containing 83 sequences (1,562
442 nucleotides) of H5N8 HPAIVs isolated in the DR Congo were aligned together with
443 representative H5Nx strains isolated in other African, European, and Asian countries, and
444 in the United States of America. Bold letters represent viruses isolated in the DR Congo,
445 black circles indicate viruses included in this study; black rhombus sign indicates the virus
446 used in the antigenic analysis for each group in the clade 2.3.4.4 and a low pathogenic
447 avian influenza virus in clade 2.3.4; and in gray highlight are the representative H5N8
448 viruses isolated in other African countries. The numbers below or above the node indicate
449 the bootstraps values ≥ 60%.

450

451 **Fig. 2** The pathogenicity of a representative DR Congo H5N8 HPAIV (Mdk/CD/KAF1/17) in
452 poultry intranasally inoculated with 0.1 ml of $10^{6.0}$ EID_{50}. (A) The survival rates of
453 chickens, Muscovy ducks, and Pekin ducks (four birds in each group species). (B) The
454 virus titers in the organs of chickens, Muscovy ducks, and Pekin ducks collected at 3 dpi.
455 Bars indicate the standard error of means. Statistical significance was calculated using the
456 Tukey test. * *($p<0.05$)* indicates statistically significant differences of viral titers between
457 groups. Dotted line indicates the detection limit of the test.

458

459 **Supplementary data**

460 **Sup. Fig. 1** Phylogenetic trees of the NA and internal gene segments of H5Nx HPAIVs: (A)
461 1,444 nucleotides of the NA gene; (B) 2,329 nucleotides of the PB2 gene; (C) 2,328 nucleotides
462 of the PB1 gene; (D) 2,218 nucleotides of the PA gene; (E) 1,551 nucleotides of the NP gene; (F)
463 1,013 nucleotides of the M gene; and (G) 876 nucleotides of the NS gene of H5N8 HPAIVs
464 isolated in the DR Congo were aligned together with representative H5Nx strains isolated in
465 other African, European, and Asian countries, and in the United States of America. The dataset
466 contain 70 and 65 nucleotide sequences for NA and internal genes respectively. The bold letters
467 represent viruses isolated in the DR Congo, the black circles indicate the viruses reported in this
468 study, and in gray highlight are the representative H5N8 viruses isolated in other African
469 countries. The numbers below or above the node indicate the bootstraps values ≥ 60%.

470

471 **Sup. Fig. 2** Antigenic cartography of H5Nx HPAIVs of clades 2.3.4.4 and 2.3.4. The square and
472 the circle indicate the antiserum and the antigen, respectively. The blue, red, green and
473 yellow colors indicate group icA, B, C, and D viruses, respectively. The spacing between
474 grid lines is one antigenic unit of distance, which equals a two-fold difference in the cross-HI
475 test. The dashed circle in blue and pink represents the genetic clades 2.3.4.4 and 2.3.4,
476 respectively.

477

Table 1. H5N8 highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses isolated in the Democratic Republic of Congo in 2017

Isolate	Isolate abbreviation	Sampling date	Sampling site		Accession number
			Latitude	Longitude	
A/Muscovy duck/DR Congo/KAF1/2017	Mdk/CD/KAF1/17	13 May	1.559	30.559	MK631786 to MK631793
A/Muscovy duck/DR Congo/KAF4/2017	Mdk/CD/KAF4/17	13 May	1.559	30.559	MK636723 to MK636730
A/Muscovy duck/DR Congo/17RS882-40/2017*	Mdk/CD/17S882-40/17	13 May	1.559	30.559	MG607403, MG607407, MG607411, MG607415, MG607419, MG607423, MG607427, MG607431
A/Muscovy duck/DR Congo/NYA4/2017	Mdk/CD/NYA4/17	14 May	1.532	30.527	MK636731 to MK636738
A/Muscovy duck/DR Congo/NYA14/2017	Mdk/CD/NYA14/17	14 May	1.532	30.527	MK636739 to MK636746
A/Muscovy duck/DR Congo/NYA15/2017	Mdk/CD/NYA15/17	14 May	1.532	30.527	MK636747 to MK636754
A/Muscovy duck/DR Congo/17RS882-33/2017*	Mdk/CD/17S882-33	14 May	1.532	30.527	MG607402, MG607406, MG607410, MG607414, MG607418, MG607422, MG607426, MG607430
A/Muscovy duck/DR Congo/TCH4/2017	Mdk/CD/TCH4/17	14 May	1.455	30.485	MK636755 to MK636762
A/Muscovy duck/DR Congo/TCH5/2017	Mdk/CD/TCH5/17	14 May	1.455	30.485	MK636763 to MK636770
A/Muscovy duck/DR Congo/TCH6/2017	Mdk/CD/TCH6/17	14 May	1.455	30.485	MK636771 to MK636778
A/Muscovy duck/DR Congo/17RS882-5/2017*	Mdk/CD/17S882-5/17	14 May	1.455	30.485	MG607404, MG607408, MG607412, MG607416, MG607420, MG607424, MG607428, MG607432
A/Muscovy duck/DR Congo/17RS882-29/2017*	Mdk/CD/17S882-29/17	15 May	1.599	30.606	MG607401, MG607404, MG607409, MG607413, MG607417, MG607421, MG607425, MG607429

*indicates a virus published in our previous paper [26]. For the eight newly studied viruses, the accession numbers are given in the following gene order: PB2, PB1, PA, HA, NP, NA, M, and NS.

Table 2. Estimates of evolutionary divergence over sequence pairs between the DR Congo viruses and related representative viruses from clade 2.3.4.4B

Origin of sequences	No. of sequences included	DRC	Ugd	Cmr	SA	Egy	Ind	ME	EU	EA
DR Congo (DRC)	12		*0.12*	*0.19*	*0.23*	*0.20*	*0.19*	*0.20*	*0.24*	*0.20*
Uganda (Ugd)	4	0.45		*0.17*	*0.23*	*0.20*	*0.17*	*0.18*	*0.23*	*0.19*
Cameroon (Cmr)	4	0.81	0.79		*0.18*	*0.17*	*0.19*	*0.18*	*0.24*	*0.17*
South Africa (SA)	5	1.42	1.01	0.71		*0.22*	*0.22*	*0.22*	*0.28*	*0.21*
Egypt (Egy)	7	1.64	1.59	1.51	1.71		*0.17*	*0.19*	*0.22*	*0.19*
Indian (Ind)	1	0.64	0.61	0.74	0.78	1.48		*0.19*	*0.23*	*0.18*
Middle East (ME)	7	1.22	1.17	1.22	1.19	1.97	1.09		*0.20*	*0.19*
Europe (EU)	4	1.01	0.99	1.02	1.26	1.67	0.88	1.18		*0.24*
East Asia (EA)	4	1.36	1.33	1.23	1.32	1.81	1.17	1.58	1.44	

The numbers of base substitutions per site from averaging over all sequence pairs between groups are shown. Standard error estimate(s) are shown in italic above the diagonal and were obtained by a bootstrap procedure (1000 replicates). Analyses were conducted using the Kimura 2-parameter model [20]. The rate variation among sites was modeled with a gamma distribution (shape parameter = 1). The analysis involved 48 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were a total of 1562 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7 [21].

Table 3. Antigenic analysis of clade 2.3.4.4, group icA, B, C, and D H5Nx viruses.

Clade	Group	Virus	Subtype	Antisera						
				Mdk/CD KAF1/17	Mdk/CD NYA14/17	Bs/Aki/ 1/16	Dk/VN/ 1151/14	Ck/Kum/ 1-7/14	PF/HK/ 810/09	Mal/Hok/ 24/09
2.3.4.4	B	**Mdk/CD/KAF1/17**	H5N8	2,560	2,560	80	640	640	640	40
2.3.4.4	B	**Mdk/CD/NYA14/17**	H5N8	2,560	5,120	640	2,560	1,280	640	80
2.3.4.4	B	**Mdk/CD/TCH6/17**	H5N8	2,560	2,560	80	640	640	640	40
2.3.4.4	B	**Mdk/CD/17S882-29/17**	H5N8	2,560	2,560	320	640	640	640	40
2.3.4.4	C	BS/Akita/1/16	H5N6	640	640	1,280	640	640	160	40
2.3.4.4	D	Dk/VTN/HU1-1151/14	H5N6	1,280	2,560	2,560	2,560	1,280	1,280	80
2.3.4.4	icA	Ck/Kmm/1-7/14	H5N8	640	1,280	640	640	1.280	640	40
2.3.4	-	PF/HK/810/09	H5N1	320	80	40	160	<20	5,120	20

Bold font indicates representative viruses isolated in the DR Congo. Underlined numbers indicate homologous titers for each virus and the corresponding antiserum.



Figure 1.



Figure 2