



TITLE:

# Catastrophes of Ecosystems

AUTHOR(S):

Yamamura, Norio

---

CITATION:

Yamamura, Norio. Catastrophes of Ecosystems. Memoirs of the Faculty of Science, Kyoto University. Series of biology. New series 1976, 7(1): 119-124

ISSUE DATE:

1976-03-25

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/258834>

RIGHT:

## Catastrophes of Ecosystems

By

NORIO YAMAMURA

Department of Biophysics, University of Kyoto

(Received March 10, 1975)

### 1. Introduction

A lot of theoretical ecologists (Volterra, Lotka, MacArthur, May, e.t.c.) have tried to represent temporal developments of various ecosystems in various cases by differential equations. Such formulations are next ones.

$$\frac{dN_i}{dt} = F_i(N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m, a_i^1, a_i^2, \dots, a_i^k) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

where  $N_i$  is the number of  $i$ -th species, and  $a_i^s$  ( $s=1, 2, \dots, k$ ) are coefficients in the function  $F_i$ . Then we must get solutions  $N_i(t)$  of these equations as functions of  $a_i^k$ 's and initial values  $N_i(0)$ 's. This is impossible except a few of very simple cases.

So, qualitative properties of these equations have been researched by using properties of the functions  $F_i$ 's, also by various men.

Especially, behaviours when the time  $t$  becomes infinity, are most interesting. These final states, of course, change dependent on  $a_i^k$ . Recently topologists (Thom,<sup>1</sup> Zieman<sup>2</sup>) researched how properties of the solutions of dynamical systems changes when the parameters of the system change continuously. This is so called 'catastrophe theory'. In this paper, we look again at basic equations of mathematical ecology from this view-point.

### 2. Malthus Equation

If one individual produces  $e$  other individuals per unit time, the time variation of whole individuals  $N$ , is represented by next equation (Malthus<sup>3</sup>).

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = e N$$

The solution is

$$N = N(0) \exp(et),$$

where  $N(0)$  is the value of  $N$  at the time  $t=0$ .

The behaviour of the solution at sufficiently large  $t$ , depends on the value  $e$ . Drawing the orbits and stationary points in a space  $e \times N$ , we can see this behaviour at one glance. (Fig. 1) The set of the stationary points  $dN/dt=0$  in this space, is called a stationary manifold. Here, this is straight line  $N=0$ . The right half ( $e>0$ ) is a repellar, that is, any orbits starting from the neighborhood of this half line leave there. Conversely the left half ( $e<0$ ) is an attractor, that is, orbits approach to this. Thus, the stationary manifold is separated to two parts carrying different properties at a point  $(0, 0)$ . Such a point is called a catastorpe point.




Fig. 1.

### 3. Saturation Level and Constant Flow

As we saw in the previous section, if  $e>0$ ,  $N$  becomes infinity. Actually, intraspecies competitions occur when  $N$  becomes large, and  $N$  saturates to a value  $s$ . (Verhulst<sup>4</sup>) What matter happens if we add a constant flow furthermore? (the positive flow means immigrations and the negative flow means emigrations or captures) Such a situation is represented by next equation.

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = eN(s-N) + f$$

The stationary manifold in the space  $N \times s \times f|e$ , is a paraboloid  $f|e = N^2 - sN$ . (Fig. 2) The upper surface of the paraboloid is an attractor and lower surface is repellar. When  $f>0$ , all orbits starting from  $N(0)>0$ , approach to the attractor (stable states). But when  $f$  is negative (for example, fishery), there are two cases. One is stable one, and the other is the case having no equilibrium points. In the parameter space  $s \times f|e$ , the two regions are separated by a line  $f|e = -s^2/4$  (Fig. 3). This is named 'fold catastorpe' by Thom.




Fig. 2.




Fig. 3.

Starting from a point A ( $s > 0, f = 0$ ) in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, let us decrease  $f$ . At the moment the point reaches B on the catastrophe, the point falls down rapidly to C ( $N = 0$ ). The same thing occurs if we decrease  $s$ , starting from D. In other words, the population may extinct rapidly, even if we increase a fish catch slowly or the saturation level decreases continuously because of changes of environments such as a pollution.

#### 4. Competition

Next, we consider interactions between two species. The growth rates  $e_1, e_2$ , of each species are functions of  $N_1, N_2$ . If two species compete one another for same food or habitat,  $e_i$  is decreasing function of  $N_j$ . We assume  $e_i$  is first order function of  $N_j$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(N_1, N_2) &= e_1^0 - a_{11}N_1 - a_{12}N_2 \\ e_2(N_1, N_2) &= e_2^0 - a_{21}N_1 - a_{22}N_2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $e_i^0, a_{ij}$  are all positive. Because we want to seek only qualitative properties of the solution, it is sufficient that we assume  $e_1^0 = e_2^0 = 1, a_{11} = a_{12} = 1, a_{21} = 1/a, a_{22} = 1/b$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN_1}{dt} &= (1 - N_1 - N_2)N_1 \\ \frac{dN_2}{dt} &= (1 - N_1/a - N_2/b)N_2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $1/a$  represents how much species 1 disturbs species 2, and  $1/b$  represents how

much species 2 disturbs itself. The global situation is separated to next four cases (Fig. 4).

- (i)  $a > 1, b > 1$ ; extinction of species 1.
- (ii)  $a < 1, b < 1$ ; extinction of species 2.
- (iii)  $a < 1, b > 1$ ; extinction of either species 1 or species 2.
- (iv)  $a > 1, b < 1$ ; coexistence of both species.

The manifold  $dN_1/dt=0, dN_2/dt=0$  in the four-dimensional space  $N_1 \times N_2 \times a \times b$




Fig. 4.




Fig. 5.

is two-dimensional surface. It is impossible to illustrate it on the two-dimensional paper. So, we project only attractor of the manifold on three-dimensional space  $N_1 \times a \times b$  (Fig. 5). Mapping on parameter space  $a \times b$  brings a cusp-like catastrophe at a point (1,1) (Fig. 5). If we project the attractor on another space  $N_1 \times a \times b$ , we get similar figure.

There are two types of processes for plant succession from one species to another species.<sup>5)</sup> One is rapid substitution in a short interval. The other is slow change through coexistence states. These processes are reappeared on this model, by changing parameters  $a, b$  continuously through pathes ABCD and AED in Fig. 5 and 6.

### 5. Predation

We consider the case which species 2 captures and eats species 1. The reproduction rate of species 1 has a maximum at a suitable value of  $N_1$ , and decreases after then, so that we may write

$$\frac{dN_1}{dt} = (-N_1^2 + 2N_1)N_2 - aN_1N_2$$

$$\frac{dN_2}{dt} = -N_2 + aN_1N_2$$

There are three cases depending on the predation rate  $a$ . When it is small ( $a < 1/2$ ), the predator extincts. As it becomes larger to some extent ( $1/2 < a < 1$ ), the two species coexist with constant populations. When  $a$  is more larger ( $a > 1$ ), the stable state is a sustained oscillation or a limite cycle. The attractor in space  $N_1 \times N_2 \times a$  is illustrated in Fig. 7. At  $a=1$ , we see a catastrophe which is a bifurcation point from the stable point to the periodic attractor.




Fig. 6.




Fig. 7.

**References**

- 1) Thom, R. 1972, *Stabilité structurelle et morphogenèse* W.A. Benjamin, Inc.
- 2) Zeeman, E.C. *Towards a theoretical biology* 4. Edinburgh University Press.
- 3) Malthus, T.R. 1798, *An Essay on the principle of Population*. Johnson, London.
- 4) Verhulst, P.F. 1845, *Nuov. Mem. Acad. Roy. Bruxelles*. 18, 1
- 5) Yamamura, N. 1976, *Bull. Math. Biol.* To be appeared.