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#### Abstract

The momentum spectra of positive and negative pions from the reaction $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi \pi N$ have been measured by a magnetic spectrometer and the photon subtraction method at the laboratory angles of $20^{\circ}, 40^{\circ}, 60^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$ and at the energies of $744,844,944$ and 1044 MeV .

Both spectra show a peak corresponding to the reaction $r p \rightarrow \pi \Delta(1236)$. The differential cross sections of the reactions $r p \rightarrow \pi^{-\Delta^{++}}(1236)$ and $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^{+} \Delta^{\circ}(1236)$ have been deduced from the obtained spectra by the analysis performed with the amplitudes for the reaction $r p \rightarrow \pi \Delta(1236)$ and the phase space background.

The ratios of cross sections $\sigma\left(r p \rightarrow \pi^{+} \Delta^{\circ}(1236)\right) / \sigma\left(\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^{-} \Delta^{++}(1236)\right)$ at each energy are estimated to be in the range of 0.1 to 0.8 depending on the energy.

The obtained centre of mass cross sections $d \sigma / d \Omega^{*}\left(r p \rightarrow \pi^{-} \dot{\Delta}^{++}(1236)\right)$ and $d \sigma / d \Omega^{*}$ ( $r p \rightarrow \pi^{+} \Delta^{\circ}(1236)$ ) have been compared with the theoretical calculations by the gauge invariant one pion exchange model with the phenomenological amplitudes for the reaction $r p \rightarrow N^{*} \rightarrow \pi \Delta(1236)$. The theoretical calculations explain our experimental results qualitatively and show a certain contribution of the resonance $D_{13}(1525)$ to the reaction $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi \Delta(1236)$.


## 1. Introduction

Recently, many experimental investigations on the reaction

$$
\begin{equation*}
r+p \rightarrow \pi+\pi+N \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

have been made. ${ }^{1 \sim 7)}$ In most of the counter experiments, negative pions from the reaction

$$
\begin{equation*}
r+p \rightarrow \pi^{-}+\pi^{+}+p \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

have been measured to idetify this reaction. The reaction (1.2) has been also measured by using bubble chambers. The results of studies ${ }^{1}$ show that the cross section of the reaction (1.2) rises sharply from the $\pi \Delta(1236)$ production threshold ( $\sim 500$ MeV ) and has the largest value ( $\sim 80 \mu b$ ) around the energy of 600 MeV , then decreases gradualy with the increase of photon energy.

[^1]The cross section of the reaction

$$
\begin{equation*}
r+p \rightarrow \pi+\Delta(1236) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

have been deduced in some of the studies. The results show that below the rhomeson threshold ( 1050 MeV ), the reaction

$$
\begin{equation*}
r+p \rightarrow \pi^{-}+d^{++}(1236) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is dominant in the reaction (1.2). The cross section of the reaction

$$
\begin{equation*}
r+p \rightarrow \pi^{+}+\Delta^{\circ}(1236) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be estimated from the cross section of the reaction

$$
r+p \rightarrow \pi^{+}+\Delta^{\circ}(1236)
$$

which is measured in the bubble chamber experiments. The ratio of cross sections

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}=\frac{\sigma\left(r p \rightarrow \pi^{+} \Delta^{\circ}\right)}{\sigma\left(r p \rightarrow \pi^{-} \Delta^{++}\right)} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is estimated to be much smaller than $1 / 10$ below 1 GeV by using the data of the ratio ${ }^{4.5)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2}=\frac{\sigma\left(r p \rightarrow \pi^{+} \Delta^{\circ} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} p\right)}{\sigma\left(r p \rightarrow \pi^{-} \Delta^{++} \rightarrow \pi^{-} \pi^{+} p\right)} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some theoretical investigations have been performed on the reaction (1.3). According to the gauge invariant one pion exchange model ${ }^{8)}$, the ratio $r_{1}$ is evaluated to be about $1 / 3\left(r_{2} \sim 1 / 9\right)$. The ratio $r_{1}$ for the reaction

$$
\begin{equation*}
r+p \rightarrow N_{1 / 2}^{*} \rightarrow \pi+\Delta \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is evaluated to be $1 / 3\left(r_{2} \sim 1 / 9\right)$ basing on the isobar model. On the other hand, the ratio $r_{1}$ is evaluated to be $4 / 3\left(r_{2} \sim 4 / 9\right)$ for the reaction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma+p \rightarrow N^{*}{ }_{3 / 2} \rightarrow \pi+\Delta \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{I}^{*}$ denotes a $s$-channel resonance with isospin I.
There are some counter experiments ${ }^{9,10}$ ) which suggest that the ratio $r_{1}$ is consistent to or larger than $1 / 3$. In our previous experiment ${ }^{7)}$, the momentum spectra of positive pions as well as of negative pions at the laboratory angle of $58.2^{\circ}$ were measured. The results show that the ratio of the yields of positive and negative pions are about unity at the high momentum region and increases in the low momentum at the photon energies of 850 and 950 MeV . However, the cross section of the reaction (1.5) was not deduced in this experiment.

Extending the regions of photon energy and the laboratory angle, the present experiment has been performed to obtain useful informations on the reactions (1.4) and (1.5). The momentum spectra of positive and negative pions from the reaction
(1.1) in the energy region of $750-1050 \mathrm{MeV}$ and in the angular region of $20^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$ have been measured by using a magnetic spectrometer system and the photon subtraction method. The obtained spectra have been analyzed in terms of the amplitudes of the reaction (1.3) and the phase space background to obtain the cross sections of the reactions (1.4) and (1.5) and the ratio $r_{1}$. The results have been compared with theoretical calculations based on the gauge invariant one pion exchange model with the phenomenological amplitudes for the reaction (1.8).

The experimental apparatus and procedure are described in section 2 and 3, respectively. Section 4 is devoted to the procedure of data reduction. The derivation of the cross sections of the reactions (1.4) and (1.5) is given in section 5. The procedure of theoretical calculations is presented in section 6 and in the appendix. The results are discussed in section 7.

## 2. Experimental apparatus

## 2-1. Photon beam and hydrogen target

Experiment has been performed with the bremsstrahlung beam from the 1.3 GeV electron synchrotron at the Institute for Nuclear Study, University of Tokyo. The schematic layout of experimental apparatus is presented in Fig. 1. The bremsstrahlung beam was produced with electrons accelerated in the synchrotron and striking an internal copper radiator of $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick. The beam was defined by a lead collimator of 20 cm long having a circular hole of 2 cm in diameter located at 10.9 m from the radiator. Then the beam was passed through a sweep magnet and


Fig. 1. Schematic layout of experimental apparatus.
led into the experimental area with an evacuated pipe. The beam profile was measured to be 3.2 cm in diameter with the method of photometry using X-ray films at the position of the liquid hydrogen target, 17.5 m from the radiator. The beam intensity was monitored continuously by a Wilson type quantameter. The integrator of the quantameter was calibrated weekly and confirmed to be within $1 \%$ in the variation of the gain. The spill time of the beam was kept within $\pm 1 \mathrm{~ms}$ around the time of a maximum magnetic field of the synchrotron and was monitored continuously by a spill monitor ${ }^{11)}$. The liquid hydrogen was contained in a cylindrical container of 5 cm in diameter and 10 cm in length which was made of Mylar of 0.075 mm thick. A device set on the target enabled us to evacuate the liquid hydrogen from the container within a few minutes.

## $\mathbf{2 - 2}$. The pion spectrometer

The spectrometer was set on the rotating platform and consisted of an analyzing magnet, a threshold gas Čerenkov counter $C$, two groups of scintillation counters, one ( $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}$ ) in coincidence and the other ( $V_{1}, V_{2}, F_{1}, F_{2}$ ) in veto. The parameters and functions of the counters are listed in Table 1.

The trajectories of charged particles were limited by the veto-counters. The frame-like counter $V_{1}$ was used to define the solid angle of the spectrometer. The counter $V_{2}$ was also used to define the angular acceptance of the spectrometer. The counters $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ were set on the pole face of the magnet so as to reject particles scattered at the pole piece of the magnet. Flexible adiabatic light guides of silicon rubber ${ }^{12)}$ were used to collect the light of the counters $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ through long pathes in the magnet. Each veto counter consisted of a pair of identical scintillation counters which were used in coincidence to reduce the accidental countings. A helium bag was set along the beam path of the spectrometer to reduce the effect of the multiple Coulomb scattering. The counter $S_{3}$ was placed at the forcal point of the magnet and defined the momentum acceptance of the spectrometer. Pulse heights of signals of the counters $S_{1}$ and $S_{3}$ were used to distinguish protons from pions at the positive

Table 1. Counter parameters

|  | Distance from $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ target | Function | Defining aperture | ckness |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Veto counters |  |  |  |  |
| $V_{1} V$ | 197.9 cm | angular acceptance | $\pm 4.0 \mathrm{~cm}$, vertically | 1 cm |
| $V_{1 V}$ | 200.9 cm | angular acceptance | $\pm 9.0 \mathrm{~cm}$, horizontally | 1 cm |
| $F_{1}$ | 256.6 cm | rejection of surface scattering | $\pm 4.0 \mathrm{~cm}$, vertically | 1 cm |
| $F_{2}$ | 351.0 cm | rejection of surface scattering | $\pm 4.8 \mathrm{~cm}$, vertically | 1 cm |
| Telescope counters width height |  |  |  | 1 cm |
| $S_{1}$ | 547.9 cm | proton rejection | $27.0 \mathrm{~cm} \times 21.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ | 0.3 cm |
| $S_{2}$ | 593.4 cm |  | $27.0 \mathrm{~cm} \times 27.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ | 0.3 cm |
| $S_{3}$ | 608.8 cm | momentum acceptance and proton rejection | 24.0 cm $\times 20.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ | 0.6 cm |
| Threshold gas Čerenkov counter |  |  |  |  |
| C | 643.8 cm | electron rejection | $24 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ <br> with the beam window diameter and 6.5 mm th | 50 cm in Mylar |

pion run. A copper plate of 3 mm thick was placed in front of the counter $S_{3}$ to reduce the room background.

Characteristics of the magnet were investigated by a floating wire technique and with a flip coil calibrated by a NMR magnetmeter. The solid angle $\Delta \Omega$ and the momentum acceptance $\Delta p / p$ were calculated to be 2.5 msr and 0.1 , respectively, by a Monte Carlo simulation taking into account of the results of floating wire measurement and field mapping.

## 2-3. Gas Čerenkov counter

In the present experiment, a large amount of contaminations of electrons or positrons must be eliminated. A threshold gas Čerenkov counter of Freon-12 was constructed and set on the platform at the down stream of the counter $S_{3}$ to detect electrons or positrons.

The schematic view of the Čerenkov counter is illustrated in Fig. 2. To reduce the multiple Coulomb scattering of electrons at the entrance of the counter, a beam window of 50 cm in diameter was constructed by twenty-six sheets of 0.25 mm thick polyester film ${ }^{13)}$. The counter was designed to have the horizontal and vertical acceptance of $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ in space and $12^{\circ} \times 8^{\circ}$ in angle. The gas container was tested by applying a hydraulic pressure of $15 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and found to be safe. The Čerenkov photons were collected through two light funnel of WinstonHinterberger type ${ }^{14)}$ and detected by two phototubes (RCA 4522) attached to the quartz glass window of 35 mm thick. The accepting angle of the light funnel was designed to be $12^{\circ}$.

The counter was operated at the pressure of $6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and at the temperature


Fig. 2. Schematic view of the gas Čerenkov counter.


Fig. 3. Detection efficiency of the gas Čerenkov counter which is defined $\mathrm{Ne} / \mathrm{N}$ (see the text) and normarized to 1.0 at the pressure of $9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$.
of $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during the experiment. The threshold momentum for pion and muon is 1200 and $910 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$, respectively. The results of measurement show that the detection efficiency of the counter is higher than $98 \%$ for $150 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ electrons and $99 \%$ for $300 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ electrons as shown in Fig. 3.

## 3. Experimental procedure

## 3-1. Yield of charged particles

The counters $S_{1}, S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$ are used in coincidence ( $S=S_{1} \cdot S_{2} \cdot S_{3}$ ). The signals of the counters $V_{1}, V_{2}, F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are summed up ( $X=V_{1}+V_{2}+F_{1}+F_{2}$ ). When the countings of $S$ and $S \cdot X$ are written as $N s$ and $N s x$, respectively, the countings of available charged particles $N(=N s \bar{x})$ is obtained as following,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(=N s \bar{x})=N s-N s x . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The yield $N s$ contains the yields due to pions and electrons at the negative pion runs and the yields due to pions, pisitrons and protons at the positive pion runs.

## 3-2. Subtraction of electron yields

The yield of electrons or positrons $N e(=N c s \bar{x})$ was obtained from the coincidence countings Ncs and Ncsx of the Čerenkov counter with $S$ and $S \cdot X$, respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N e(=N c s \bar{x})=N c s-N c s x . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The yield of electrons or positrons Ne was subtracted from the yield of charged particles N. An example of electron yield is shown in Fig. 4. The yield due to electrons was about five times of the yield due to pions at the laboratory angle of $20^{\circ}$ and momentum of $100 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$. Most of the electrons were created by low energy photons and eliminated through the procedure of photon subtraction. Thus the background due to the inefficiency of Čerenkov counter was negligibly small in most of the runs.


Fig. 4a. Examples of electron yields.


Fig. 4b. Examples of the fraction of electron yields.

## 3-3. Subtraction of proton yields.

At the positive pion run the coincidence event $S$ was rejected by the signals of counter $S_{1}$ with a sufficiently large pulse height compared to those of pions. Thus the yields due to protons were eliminated partly by the fast electronic logic. For the complete elimination of proton yields, the pulse height of signals of counter $S_{3}$ recorded on the pulse height analyzer was used. A typical example of pulse height distribution is shown in Fig. 5.

The procedure of proton subtraction is as follows. At first, "cut off channel" was defined for each momentum. Below this channel, the proton contamination was negligibly small compared to the pion yield ( $\leq 1 \%$, see Fig. 5). All the events lying below the cut off channel were considered to be pions and positrons. Then assuming a Landau distribution on the pulse height distribution, the number of pions and positrons above the cut off channel was estimated and found to be about $15 \%$ of those below the cut off channel at $700 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$.


Fig. 5a. Typical pulse height distribution of the counter $S_{3}$.
Fig. 5b. Peak channels of the counter $S_{3}$ due to pions and protons. The dashed curve represent the cut off channel (see the text).

## 3-4. Subtraction of empty target yield.

The yields from the materials other than liquid hydrogen was subtracted in a well established manner by the empty target run. In most of the runs, the empty target yields were about $20 \%$ of that for full target.

## 3-5. Data collection

A typical momentum spectrum of positive pions obtained after the photon subtraction between the photon energies of 800 and 900 MeV is shown in Fig. 6. The spectrum below 450 MeV corresponds to the reaction $r+p \rightarrow \pi^{+}+\pi+N$ and


Fig. 6. Typical momentum spectra of positive pions obtained after the photon subtraction between the photon energies of 800 and 900 MeV .
the spectrum above $450 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ corresponds to the reaction $r+p \rightarrow \pi^{+}+n$. Thus the positive pion yield due to the reaction $r+p \rightarrow \pi^{+}+\pi+N$ is separated well enough from the one due to the reaction $r+p \rightarrow \pi^{+}+n$ when the photon subtraction with the enregy width of 100 MeV is performed.

A measurement of the cross section of the reaction $\gamma+p \rightarrow \pi^{+}+n$ was performed to confirm the reliability of the spectrometer system before the data collection and found to be in good agreement with the existing data within the statistical error. The check of the spectrometer system was performed periodically during


Fig. 7. Examples of yield curves of (a) negative pions and (b) positive pions.
the experiment.
The yields of positive and negative pions were measured as a function of momentum from $100 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ with a step of 25 or $50 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$. The data were collected at the laboratory angles of $20^{\circ}, 40^{\circ}$ and $60^{\circ}$ for the bremsstrahlung having the maximum energies of $700,800,900,1000$ and 1100 MeV . Moreover, the positive pions were measured at the angle of $90^{\circ}$ for the maximum energies of 900,1000 and 1100 MeV . The typical curves are shown in Fig. 7.

## 4. Data reduction

## 4-1. Formula for the laboratory cross section

The observed pion yield $N_{\pi}$ per equivalent quanta is expressed by the followings

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{\pi}= & \eta \cdot \int_{K_{0}}^{K} \frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d p d \Omega} \cdot \Delta \Omega \cdot \Delta p \cdot N_{H} \cdot \frac{B(K, k)}{k} d k+ \\
& +\eta \cdot \xi \cdot \frac{d \sigma_{s}}{d \Omega} \cdot \Delta \Omega \cdot \frac{\partial k_{r}}{\partial p} \cdot \Delta p \cdot N_{H} \cdot \frac{B\left(K, k_{r}\right)}{k_{r}} \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first and second terms represent the contribution from the reaction $r+p \rightarrow \pi+\pi+N$ and $\gamma+p \rightarrow \pi^{+}+n$, respectively, and
$\frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d p d \Omega}=$ the cross section of the reaction $r p \rightarrow \pi \pi N$
$\eta, \Delta \Omega, \Delta p=$ detection efficiency, solid angle and momentum acceptance of the spectrometer, respectively
$N_{H}=$ number of target protons
$K=$ maximum energy of bremsstrahlung
$K_{0}=$ minimum photon energy to produce two pions one of which can be detected by the spectrometer
$B(K, k) / k=$ number of photons with the energies between $k$ and $k+d k$, where $B(K, k)$ is a bremsstrahlung form factor
$\xi=\left\{\begin{array}{l}0, \text { for negative pion run } \\ 1, \text { for positive pion run }\end{array}\right.$
$\frac{d \sigma_{s}}{d \Omega}=$ cross section of the reaction $r p \rightarrow \pi^{+} n$ at the responsible photon energy $k_{r}$ when the $\pi^{+}$is observed by the spectrometer.

According to eq. (4.1), the cross section $d^{2} \sigma / d p d \Omega$ at the energies between $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}\left(K_{2}<K_{1}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d p d \Omega}=\frac{\left(Y_{K_{1}}-Y_{K_{2}}\right)-\xi \cdot \frac{\partial k_{r}}{\partial p} \cdot R\left(K_{1}, K_{2}, k_{r}\right) \cdot \frac{d \sigma_{s}}{d \Omega}}{\int_{K_{0}}^{K_{1}} R\left(K_{1}, K_{2}, k\right) d k} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{K}=N_{\pi} / N_{H} \Delta \Omega \Delta p \eta \\
& R\left(K_{1}, K_{2}, k\right)=\frac{B\left(K_{1}, k\right)-B\left(K_{2}, k\right)}{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using the observed yields, the laboratory cross sections $d^{2} \sigma / d p d \Omega$ of the reaction (1.1) were obtained according to the eq. (4.2) at the mean photon energies of 744, 844, 944 and 1044 MeV . The dependence of cross sections on the momentum is called "momentum spectrum", here after. The counting efficiency of the spectrometer $\eta$ and the second term in the numerator of righ side of eq. (4.2) were eveluated numberically. For the evaluation of $\eta$, the decay and absorption of pions were taken into account.

## 4-2 Decay correction

The observed yield $Y_{0}$ is related to the yield $Y$ of pions which are produced in the target and emitted in the angular and momentum accepance of the spectrometer,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{0}=(\alpha+\beta) \cdot Y+Y^{\prime} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha= & \text { the fraction of the acceptable pions which are observed before } \\
& \text { decaying into muons. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\beta=$ the fraction of the acceptable pions which are observed after decayed into muons.
$Y^{\prime}=$ yield of muons from the pions which are emitted out of the angular and momentum acceptance of the spectrometer.

The eq. (4.3) gives following equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=Y_{0} \cdot \frac{1-\gamma}{\alpha+\beta} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
r=Y^{\prime} / Y_{0}
$$

Monte Carlo calculations were performed to obtain the values of $\beta$ and $\gamma$. The values of $\gamma$ were found to be about 0.15 and 0.1 for 100 and $200 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$, respectively. For the momenta above $200 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}, r$ was nearly constant and evaluated to be about 0.1 . The value of $\beta$ was about 0.08 for $300 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$. A typical


Fig. 8. Results of the calculations for the correction factors $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ for the decay correction.
result of calculation for $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ are shown in Fig. 8. The correction for the decay effect of pions were about $100 \%, 30 \%$ and $4 \%$ for 100,200 and $300 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$, respectively, and were negligibly small for higher momenta. The accuracy of these calculations were about $10 \%$ due to the statistical errors of Monte Carlo simulation.

## 4-3. Correction due to the nuclear absorption

The materials taken into account in the calculation of nuclear absorption are Mylar wall of liquid hydrogen target, air and helium, counters $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ and the copper plate. For the calculation, existing data of elastic and inelastic pion nuclei interatcion cross sections are used ${ }^{15)}$.

Some of pions interact inelastically with the carbon nuclei in the counter $S_{1}$ and $S_{3}$. Due to these inelastic interactions, those pions are detected as protons in the positive pion run. Corrections due to this effect were also taken into account.

The total corrections due to the nuclear absorption were found to be about $6 \%$ for both positive and negative pions at the momentum of $300 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ as shown in Fig. 9. The errors of these corrections were due to the accuracy of the $A^{2 / 3}$ law and the experimental errors of data of pion-nuclei cross sections and estimated to be about $10 \%$ of corrections.


Fig. 9. Correction factors for the nuclear absorption. Solid curve: for negative pions, dashed curve: for the positive pions.

## 4-4 Correction for the contamination from single pion photoproduction

As there remains a small number of low energy photons ( $k<K_{2}$ ) in the subtracted photon spectrum ${ }^{7,24)}, R\left(K_{1}, K_{2}, k\right)$, the effect of second term in the numerator of right side of eq. (4.2) must be taken into account in the positive pion run. This term was calculated to be about $3 \%$ typically.

## 5. Cross section of $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi \Delta(1236)$

## 5-1. Fitting formula

The obtained momentum spectra were analyzed by assuming pions produced through the process (1.3) and a direct process (a three body phase space background). The spectrum is described by,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^{2} \sigma^{ \pm}}{d p_{1} d \Omega_{1}}= & \frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2 E_{1}} \int \frac{d^{3} p_{2}}{2 E_{2}} \cdot \frac{d^{3} p_{3}}{2 E_{3}} \cdot \delta^{4}\left(p_{i}-p_{f}\right) \cdot\left\{\left|A_{0}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}+\left|A_{1}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}+\left|A_{1}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}+\right. \\
& \left.+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(A_{0}^{ \pm} \cdot A_{1}^{ \pm} *\right)+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(A_{0}^{ \pm} \cdot A_{2}^{ \pm} *\right)+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(A_{1}^{ \pm} \cdot A_{2}^{ \pm} *\right)\right\}, \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$\left(E_{i}, p_{i}\right)_{i=1,2,3}=$ four momentum of observed pion $\left(\pi_{1}\right)$, un observed pion $\left(\pi_{2}\right)$ and the nucleon, respectively,
$A_{0}=$ amplitude related to the pion from the phase space background, $A_{1}, A_{2}=$ amplitude related to the recoil pion of the quasitwo body process $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi \Delta(1236)$ and the decay pion from $\Delta(1236)$, respectively.
The superscript $( \pm)$ denotes the charge state of observed pion $\left(\pi_{1}\right)$. The amplitudes $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}=a_{1} e^{i \delta_{2}} \sin \delta_{2} / \sqrt{W_{0} \Gamma} \\
& A_{2}=a_{2} e^{i \delta_{1}} \sin \delta_{1} / \sqrt{W_{0} \Gamma} \\
& \delta_{j}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{W_{0} \Gamma}{W_{0}-W_{j}} \quad(j=1,2) \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$W_{0}, \Gamma=$ the mass and width ${ }^{16)}$ of $\Delta(1236)$, respectively, $W_{j}=$ the invariant mass of $j$-th pion and nucleon.

## 5-2 Isospin amplitude and fitting procedure

The a plitudes $a_{1}^{\star}$ and $a_{2}^{ \pm}$are decomposed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}^{-}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} T_{1}\left(\theta_{1}\right)+\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} T_{3}\left(\theta_{1}\right), \\
& a_{1}^{+}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} T_{1}\left(\theta_{1}\right)-\sqrt{\frac{8}{15}} T_{3}\left(\theta_{1}\right), \\
& a_{2}^{-}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} T_{1}\left(\theta_{2}\right)-\sqrt{\frac{8}{15}} T_{3}\left(\theta_{2}\right)\right\}, \\
& a_{2}^{+}=\left\{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} T_{1}\left(\theta_{2}\right)+\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} T_{3}\left(\theta_{2}\right)\right\}+\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\left\{-\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} T_{1}\left(\theta_{2}\right)+\sqrt{\frac{1}{15}} T_{3}\left(\theta_{2}\right)\right\}, \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ are the azimuthal angles of $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$, respectively. The amplitudes $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ represent the s-channel isospin amplitudes with $I=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{2}$, respectively. The first and second terms appeared in the decomposition of $a_{2}^{+}$correspond to the decay $\pi^{+}$from $\Delta^{++}(1236)$ and $\Delta^{+}(1236)$, respectively.

The amplitudes $A_{0}^{ \pm}, T_{1}$ and $T_{3}$ were determined so that the eq. (5.1) reproduce the observed momentum spectra of both positive and negative pions at each photon energy and laboratory angle by a least square method. In the fitting procedure, the amplitude $T_{3}$ was treated as a real number because it was plausible that resonances with $I=\frac{3}{2}$ were scarcely photoproduced below 1 GeV . The amplitudes
$T_{i}\left(\theta_{1}\right)$ and $T_{i}\left(\theta_{2}\right)$ were treated as different from each other. Moreover, the amplitudes $T_{i}\left(\theta_{2}\right)$ were treated as independent on the angle $\theta_{2}$ for the actual fitting procedure. The parameters fitted are four real numbers, $A_{0}^{+}, A_{0}^{-}, T_{3}\left(\theta_{1}\right)$ and $T_{3}\left(\theta_{2}\right)$ and two complex numbers, $T_{1}\left(\theta_{1}\right)$ and $T_{1}\left(\theta_{1}\right)$.

The interference terms $2 \operatorname{Re}\left(A_{0}^{ \pm} \cdot A_{1}^{ \pm} *\right)$ and $2 \operatorname{Re}\left(A_{0}^{ \pm} \cdot A_{2}^{ \pm *}\right)$ in the eq. (5.1) were ignored in the fitting procedure. The cross terms between different charge states were excluded for the positive pion spectrum. The effect of the reactions $\gamma p \rightarrow \rho N$ and $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi \pi \pi N$ were not taken into account due to their small cross sections in our energy regions.

The laboratory cross sections $d^{2} \sigma / d p d \Omega(\gamma p \rightarrow \pi \Delta(1236))$ of the reactions (1.4) and (1.5) were calculated by using the eq.(5.1) with only the term $\left|A_{1}^{\ddagger}\right|^{2}$ which was given by the obtained values of $T_{1}\left(\theta_{1}\right)$ and $T_{3}\left(\theta_{1}\right)$.

The differential cross sections $d \sigma / d \Omega(r p \rightarrow \pi \Delta(1236))$ were calculated by integrating the cross sections $d^{2} \sigma / d p d \Omega(\gamma p \rightarrow \pi \Delta(1236))$ over the momentum. The centre of mass cross sections $d \sigma / d \Omega^{*}(r p \rightarrow \pi \Delta(1236))$ were obtained by using the value of Jacobian at the momentum $p_{1}$ which corresponds to the invariant mass $W_{2}=1236 \mathrm{MeV}$.

## 6. Theoretical calculation of cross sections

## 6-1 Amplitudes for the reaction $\gamma \boldsymbol{p} \rightarrow \pi \Delta$

Several resonances below 1.1 GeV which can decay into $\pi \Delta(1236)$ are listed in Table 2. The total cross sections of the reaction (1.1) through these resonances were calculated by using the photoexcitation amplitudes presented by Walker ${ }^{17)}$ with the assumption that the $\pi \pi N$ decay ${ }^{18)}$ occurs through the process $N^{*} \rightarrow \pi \Delta(1236)$ $\rightarrow \pi \pi N$ and the calculated values are also listed in Table 2.

The resonance amplitudes corresponding to the reactions (1.8) and (1.9) are treated coherently ${ }^{\dagger}$ with the amplitudes of gauge invariant one pion exchange model ${ }^{8}$ ) in this section. The total s-channel siospin amplitudes $T^{1}$ and $T^{3}$ corresponding to isospin $I=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{2}$, respectively, are presented as

$$
T^{\mathrm{i}}=B^{1}+R^{1}
$$

Table 2. Resonances photoproduced below 1100 MeV

| Name $J^{p}$ | Mass <br> $(\mathrm{MeV})$ | Resonance <br> energy <br> $(\mathrm{MeV})$ | Multipolarity of <br> photon <br> $J^{\prime}$ <br> Name | Relative <br> ang. momentum <br> between $\pi \& \Delta$ <br> $L^{\prime}$ | $\sigma\left(r p \rightarrow N^{*} \rightarrow\right.$ <br> $\pi^{-d^{++}}$ <br> $\mu b$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{11} \frac{1^{+}}{2}$ | 1460 | 650 | 1 | M 1 | 1 | 0.4 |
| $D_{13} \frac{3^{+}}{2}$ | 1525 | 760 | $\frac{1}{2}$ E 1 <br> M 2  | 0,2 | 21 |  |
| $S_{11} \frac{1^{+}}{2}$ | 1560 | 780 | 1 | E 1 | 2 | 0.5 |
| $F_{15} \frac{5^{+}}{2}$ | 1688 | 1050 | 2 E 2 <br> 3 M 3 | 1,3 | 6 |  |
| $D_{15} \frac{5^{-}}{2}$ | 1690 | 1050 | 2 <br> 3 | M 2 <br> E 3 | 2,4 | 0.7 |

[^2]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{3}=B^{3}+R^{3} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where
$B^{1}, B^{3}=$ isospin amplitude of gauge invariant one pion exchange terms for $I=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{2}$, respectively $R^{1}, R^{3}=$ resonance amplitude corresponding to the reaction $r p \rightarrow N^{*}$ $\rightarrow \pi \Delta(1236)$ with isospin $I=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{2}$, respectively.
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Fig. 10. Feynmann diagrams which correspond to the reaction $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi \Delta(1236)$. Graphs I IV present the gauge invariant OPE terms ${ }^{8}$. Graph V correspond to the reaction $\gamma p \rightarrow N^{*} \rightarrow \pi \Delta(1236)$.

We present the amplitudes of the reaction (1.4) by $H^{\mathrm{T}}, H^{\mathrm{II}}, H^{\mathrm{III}}$ and $H^{\mathrm{IV}}$ corresponding to the each graph of gauge invariant one pion exchange model (see Fig. 10). Then the amplitudes $B^{1}$ and $B^{3}$ are decomposed as follows,

$$
\begin{align*}
& B^{1}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\left(-H^{\mathrm{r}}+3 H^{\mathrm{II}}-H^{\mathrm{III}}+4 H^{\mathrm{IV}}\right) \\
& B^{3}=\frac{\sqrt{10}}{3}\left(-H^{\mathrm{I}}-H^{\mathrm{III}}+H^{\mathrm{IV}}\right) . \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The amplitudes for the reactions (1.4), (1.5) and $r p \rightarrow \pi^{0} \Delta^{+}(1236)$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& T^{++}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} T^{1}+\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} T^{3} \\
& T^{+}=-\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} T^{1}+\sqrt{\frac{1}{15}} T^{3} \\
& T^{0}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} T^{1}-\sqrt{\frac{8}{15}} T^{3} . \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The resonance amplitudes $R^{1}$ and $R^{3}$ are expanded in multipoles and then the cross sections of the reaction (1.3) are calculated by suing the phenomenological amplitudes for each resonance. The multipole expansion of the amplitude $R$ was performed in terms of helicity amplitudes and was given in the appendix.

## 6-2 Numerical calculation of cross sections

The resonances $P_{11}(1460), D_{13}(1525), S_{11}(1550), F_{15}(1688)$ and $D_{15}(1670)$ were taken into account in the numerical calculations. Parameters used are listed in Table 3. The helicity amplitudes of the reaction (1.4) for the gauge invariant one pion exchange terms given by Locher and Sandhas ${ }^{20)}$ were used for the calculations.

Table 3. Parameters for the phenomenological amplitudes


In the calculations followings were assumed or introduced.

1) The resonances $D_{13}(1525), F_{15}(1688)$ and $D_{15}(1670)$ are photoexcited only through the initial states with helicity $\pm \frac{3}{2}$ (see appendix).
2) The angular momentum of pion of the reaction $r p \rightarrow \pi \Delta(1236)$ was restricted to be $L^{\prime}=0$ for $D_{13}(1525), L^{\prime}=1$ for $F_{15}(1688)$ and $L^{\prime}=2$ for $D_{15}(1670)$, respectively.
3) Constant phase factors $e^{i \phi}$ were introduced for the resonance amplitudes. Values of the phase angles $\phi$ are chosen so that the interference between the amplitudes $R^{i}$ and $B^{i}$ becomes largest at the energyt ${ }^{\dagger t}$ of 600 MeV and listed in Table 3.
4) The width of $4(1236)$ was treated energy dependently ${ }^{16)}$.
5) A form factor $e^{\lambda\left(t-\mu^{2}\right)}$ is introduced at the vertices $\pi N \Delta$ and $\pi N^{*} \Delta$, where $t$ is the momentum transfer squared, $\mu$ is the pion mass and $\lambda$ is a parameter ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ and taken to be $\lambda=0.8(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{-2}$.

## 7. Result and discussion

## 7-1. Result of the experiment

The obtained momentum spectra $d^{2} \sigma / d p d \Omega$ of positive and negative pions are tabulated in Table 4 and shown in Fig. 11. Errors indicated are due to only

[^3]Table 4a. Laboratory momentum spectra

| $\begin{gathered} E_{\gamma} \\ (\mathrm{MeV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P_{\pi} \\ (\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}) \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d p d \Omega}}{(\mathrm{nb} / \mathrm{sr} \cdot \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c})}$ | $\begin{gathered} E_{\gamma} \\ (\mathrm{MeV}) \end{gathered}$ | $P_{\pi}$ <br> ( $\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d_{p} d \Omega} \\ (\mathrm{nb} / \mathrm{sr} \cdot \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1044 | $\begin{aligned} & -350 \\ & -400 \\ & -450 \\ & -500 \\ & -550 \\ & -600 \\ & -650 \\ & -700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -5.3 \pm 11.0 \\ 19.6 \pm 7.9 \\ 27.6 \pm 8.5 \\ 40.9 \pm 6.6 \\ 93.2 \pm 6.5 \\ 131.3 \pm 5.0 \\ 151.5 \pm 3.7 \\ 119.5 \pm 3.1 \end{array}$ | 944 | $\begin{aligned} & -300 \\ & -350 \\ & -400 \\ & -450 \\ & -500 \\ & -550 \\ & -600 \\ & -650 \\ & -700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42.3 \pm 8.4 \\ 45.4 \pm 8.5 \\ 49.2 \pm 4.6 \\ 85.8 \pm 6.1 \\ 152 \pm 5.4 \\ 177.1 \pm 4.3 \\ 128.1 \pm 3.0 \\ 56.6 \pm 2.3 \\ 18.7 \pm 2.9 \end{array}$ |
| 844 | $\begin{aligned} & -150 \\ & -200 \\ & -250 \\ & -300 \\ & -350 \\ & -400 \\ & -450 \\ & -500 \\ & -550 \\ & -600 \\ & -650 \\ & -700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.0 \pm 22.6 \\ 30.7 \pm 12.2 \\ 63.3 \pm 10.2 \\ 44.5 \pm 8.0 \\ 85.4 \pm 6.4 \\ 120.3 \pm 4.3 \\ 180.1 \pm 4.0 \\ 142.2 \pm 3.3 \\ 57.1 \pm 2.4 \\ 15.9 \pm 1.8 \\ 6.6 \pm 3.5 \\ -0.0 \pm 0.2 \end{array}$ | 744 | $\begin{aligned} & -150 \\ & -200 \\ & -250 \\ & -300 \\ & -350 \\ & -400 \\ & -450 \\ & -500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.1 \pm 23.7 \\ 58.9 \pm 12.2 \\ 48.3 \pm 9.2 \\ 122.1 \pm 6.2 \\ 155.3 \pm 5.3 \\ 128.6 \pm 3.4 \\ 63.3 \pm 2.8 \\ 17.0 \pm 1.9 \end{array}$ |
| 1044 | $\begin{aligned} & 300 \\ & 400 \\ & 450 \\ & 500 \\ & 550 \\ & 600 \\ & 650 \\ & 700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98.1 \pm 28.9 \\ 72.9 \pm 19.8 \\ 73.5 \pm 11.9 \\ 87.7 \pm 10.6 \\ 120.5 \pm 9.3 \\ 145.5 \pm 8.2 \\ 145 \pm 5.5 \\ 156.3 \pm 6.1 \end{array}$ | 944 | $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & 250 \\ & 300 \\ & 350 \\ & 400 \\ & 450 \\ & 500 \\ & 550 \\ & 600 \\ & 650 \\ & 700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.5 \pm 25.5 \\ 41.9 \pm 23.7 \\ 104.6 \pm 15.4 \\ 95.1 \pm 13.3 \\ 117.8 \pm 6.9 \\ 124.9 \pm 13.7 \\ 120.9 \pm 11.5 \\ 153.4 \pm 7.0 \\ 113.3 \pm 6.1 \\ 67.4 \pm 4.4 \\ 49.6 \pm 5.2 \end{array}$ |
| 844 | 200 250 300 350 400 450 500 550 600 650 700 | $\begin{array}{r} 98.4 \pm 23.5 \\ 139.2 \pm 22.0 \\ 123.4 \pm 14.9 \\ 150.7 \pm 10.5 \\ 137.7 \pm 8.7 \\ 160.1 \pm 12.1 \\ 132.3 \pm 10.0 \\ 46.3 \pm 5.1 \\ 10.8 \pm 5.9 \\ 21.4 \pm 10.0 \\ 4.8 \pm 0.5 \end{array}$ | 744 | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \\ & 200 \\ & 250 \\ & 300 \\ & 350 \\ & 400 \\ & 450 \\ & 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.6 \pm 25.7 \\ 60.5 \pm 16.2 \\ 65.0 \pm 14.5 \\ 127.1 \pm 13.5 \\ 164.6 \pm 9.1 \\ 171.8 \pm 9.1 \\ 109.2 \pm 7.3 \\ 46.0 \pm 6.6 \end{array}$ |

Table 4b. Laboratory momentum spectra
$\theta_{\pi}=40^{\circ}$

| $\begin{gathered} E_{\gamma} \\ (\mathrm{MeV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P_{\pi} \\ (\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d p d \Omega} \\ (\mathrm{nb} / \mathrm{sr} \cdot \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} E_{\gamma} \\ (\mathrm{MeV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P_{\pi} \\ (\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d p d \Omega} \\ (\mathrm{nb} / \mathrm{sr} \cdot \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1044 | $\begin{aligned} & -100 \\ & -150 \\ & -200 \\ & -250 \\ & -300 \\ & -350 \\ & -400 \\ & -450 \\ & -500 \\ & -525 \\ & -550 \\ & -600 \\ & -650 \\ & -700 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.3 \pm 29.4 \\ 6.9 \pm 19.4 \\ 2.3 \pm 15.8 \\ -0.8 \pm 12.6 \\ 25.6 \pm 10.2 \\ 33.6 \pm 8.7 \\ 17.7 \pm 7.3 \\ 42.9 \pm 6.5 \\ 58.5 \pm 5.3 \\ 65.9 \pm 3.9 \\ 75.6 \pm 3.8 \\ 41.7 \pm 2.4 \\ 17.1 \pm 1.7 \\ -1.0 \pm 3.8 \end{array}$ | 944 | $\begin{aligned} & -100 \\ & -150 \\ & -200 \\ & -250 \\ & -300 \\ & -350 \\ & -400 \\ & -450 \\ & -500 \\ & -525 \\ & -550 \\ & -600 \\ & -650 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.9 \pm 27.9 \\ -2.5 \pm 16.8 \\ 48.4 \pm 12.3 \\ 37.7 \pm 10.6 \\ 20.9 \pm 8.5 \\ 18.4 \pm 6.3 \\ 54.2 \pm 6.0 \\ 86.9 \pm 4.7 \\ 87.8 \pm 3.4 \\ 65.3 \pm 3.1 \\ 36.3 \pm 2.4 \\ 11.0 \pm 2.0 \\ -1.6 \pm 8.5 \end{array}$ |
| 844 | $\begin{aligned} & -100 \\ & -150 \\ & -200 \\ & -250 \\ & -300 \\ & -350 \\ & -400 \\ & -450 \\ & -500 \\ & -550 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.3 \pm 23.3 \\ 23.5 \pm 14.4 \\ 16.6 \pm 10.5 \\ 31.7 \pm 9.1 \\ 39.4 \pm 6.9 \\ 74.1 \pm 4.7 \\ 104.8 \pm 4.1 \\ 57.5 \pm 2.9 \\ 13.2 \pm 2.1 \\ 4.1 \pm 2.9 \end{array}$ | 744 | $\begin{aligned} & -100 \\ & -150 \\ & -200 \\ & -250 \\ & -300 \\ & -350 \\ & -400 \\ & -450 \\ & -500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -8.8 \pm 18.9 \\ 20.8 \pm 11.9 \\ 59.0 \pm 9.4 \\ 67.4 \pm 6.9 \\ 78.1 \pm 4.7 \\ 68.3 \pm 3.5 \\ 28.7 \pm 2.4 \\ 5.2 \pm 1.9 \\ 4.4 \pm 6.0 \end{array}$ |
| 1044 | 100 150 200 250 300 350 400 425 450 500 550 600 700 | $8.3 \pm 42.9$ <br> $33.6 \pm 28.6$ <br> $67.7 \pm 26.7$ <br> $42.6 \pm 22.9$ <br> $69.4 \pm 17.9$ <br> $69.3 \pm 16.9$ <br> $30.5 \pm 13.5$ <br> $38.8 \pm 12.3$ <br> $36.8 \pm 11.1$ <br> $79.8 \pm 9.6$ <br> $71.9 \pm 8.7$ <br> $42.0 \pm 7.3$ <br> $50.0 \pm 12.0$ | 944 | 100 150 200 225 250 300 350 400 425 450 500 550 600 | $\begin{array}{r} -30.2 \pm 35.0 \\ 31.6 \pm 24.5 \\ 56.8 \pm 22.2 \\ 41.4 \pm 19.1 \\ 97.5 \pm 21.4 \\ 58.8 \pm 15.2 \\ 56.1 \pm 14.0 \\ 72.7 \pm 10.9 \\ 70.9 \pm 11.2 \\ 81.5 \pm 9.1 \\ 65.3 \pm 7.7 \\ 47.0 \pm 6.9 \\ 33.6 \pm 6.9 \end{array}$ |
| 844 | 100 150 200 225 250 275 300 350 400 425 450 500 550 | $\begin{array}{r} 12.8 \pm 27.8 \\ 27.3 \pm 20.3 \\ 28.2 \pm 18.4 \\ 78.2 \pm 16.8 \\ 61.5 \pm 17.7 \\ 83.2 \pm 16.8 \\ 83.8 \pm 12.5 \\ 110.3 \pm 11.2 \\ 93.6 \pm 8.5 \\ 56.2 \pm 9.0 \\ 57.9 \pm 7.0 \\ 19.0 \pm 6.1 \\ 5.3 \pm 10.4 \end{array}$ | 744 | 100 150 200 225 250 275 300 350 400 425 450 500 | $16.3 \pm 23.6$ <br> $51.6 \pm 16.7$ <br> $90.1 \pm 13.7$ <br> $82.2 \pm 12.8$ <br> $93.6 \pm 14.2$ <br> $122.2 \pm 13.1$ <br> $142.7 \pm 10.0$ <br> $104.2 \pm 8.5$ <br> $68.9 \pm 6.5$ <br> $63.7 \pm 5.9$ <br> $21.7 \pm 6.3$ <br> $84.3 \pm 22.0$ |

Table 4c. Laboratory momentum spectra

$$
\theta_{\pi}=60^{\circ}
$$

| $\begin{gathered} E_{\gamma} \\ (\mathrm{MeV}) \end{gathered}$ | $P_{\pi}$ <br> ( $\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d p d \Omega} \\ (\mathrm{nb} / \mathrm{sr} \cdot \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} E_{\gamma} \\ (\mathrm{MeV}) \end{gathered}$ | $P_{\pi}$ <br> ( $\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d p d \Omega} \\ (\mathrm{nb} / \mathrm{sr} \cdot \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1044 | $\begin{aligned} & -100 \\ & -150 \\ & -200 \\ & -250 \\ & -300 \\ & -350 \\ & -400 \\ & -425 \\ & -450 \\ & -475 \\ & -500 \\ & -550 \end{aligned}$ | $34.9 \pm 21.4$ <br> $23.0 \pm 12.3$ <br> $17.3 \pm 9.2$ <br> $21.3 \pm 7.3$ <br> $27.2 \pm 5.7$ <br> $22.0 \pm 4.4$ <br> $25.6 \pm 4.8$ <br> $38.9 \pm 4.3$ <br> $37.5 \pm 3.4$ <br> $27.5 \pm 2.8$ <br> $14.2 \pm 2.0$ <br> $4.0 \pm 2.0$ | 944 | $\begin{aligned} & -100 \\ & -150 \\ & -200 \\ & -250 \\ & -300 \\ & -350 \\ & -375 \\ & -400 \\ & -450 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.5 \pm 23.8 \\ 12.8 \pm 10.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 7.0 \\ 9.8 \pm 5.5 \\ 21.9 \pm 4.4 \\ 38.9 \pm 2.5 \\ 42.8 \pm 3.7 \\ 45.3 \pm 3.1 \\ 14.0 \pm 1.8 \end{array}$ |
| 844 | $\begin{aligned} & -100 \\ & -150 \\ & -200 \\ & -250 \\ & -300 \\ & -350 \\ & -400 \\ & -450 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -10.5 \pm 19.5 \\ 17.1 \pm 10.2 \\ 36.6 \pm 5.5 \\ 31.8 \pm 4.9 \\ 45.9 \pm 3.1 \\ 47.3 \pm 2.2 \\ 16.4 \pm 1.6 \\ 0.7 \pm 1.7 \end{array}$ | 744 | $\begin{aligned} & -100 \\ & -150 \\ & -200 \\ & -250 \\ & -300 \\ & -325 \\ & -350 \\ & -400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.9 \pm 13.9 \\ 45.9 \pm 10.1 \\ 49.5 \pm 5.9 \\ 54.4 \pm 4.8 \\ 37.5 \pm 2.5 \\ 20.1 \pm 2.4 \\ 10.7 \pm 1.9 \\ 9.1 \pm 2.6 \end{array}$ |
| 1044 | 100 150 175 200 225 250 275 300 325 350 375 400 425 450 500 550 425 | $\begin{array}{r} 55.5 \pm 24.1 \\ 74.5 \pm 19.6 \\ 108.2 \pm 19.0 \\ 65.0 \pm 18.0 \\ 30.4 \pm 18.6 \\ 62.0 \pm 15.4 \\ 37.9 \pm 22.9 \\ 33.9 \pm 10.3 \\ 51.4 \pm 16.8 \\ 19.9 \pm 7.5 \\ 41.1 \pm 12.8 \\ 41.6 \pm 7.2 \\ 36.5 \pm 8.8 \\ 35.4 \pm 6.0 \\ 7.0 \pm 4.7 \\ -4.1 \pm 5.1 \\ 23.4 \pm 9.5 \end{array}$ | 944 | 100 150 175 200 225 250 275 300 350 375 400 425 450 500 | $\begin{array}{r} -36.2 \pm 19.5 \\ 22.5 \pm 17.9 \\ -11.4 \pm 17.4 \\ 50.5 \pm 15.5 \\ 92.4 \pm 15.8 \\ 50.1 \pm 12.3 \\ 76.7 \pm 18.9 \\ 58.4 \pm 9.0 \\ 52.4 \pm 6.3 \\ 20.8 \pm 9.9 \\ 26.5 \pm 5.2 \\ 29.3 \pm 8.0 \\ 7.7 \pm 4.6 \\ 14.2 \pm 7.0 \end{array}$ |
| 844 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 150 \\ & 175 \\ & 200 \\ & 250 \\ & 300 \\ & 350 \\ & 400 \\ & 450 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.7 \pm 21.7 \\ 12.5 \pm 18.7 \\ 24.0 \pm 18.1 \\ 74.9 \pm 17.1 \\ 67.8 \pm 13.3 \\ 57.3 \pm 9.5 \\ 38.6 \pm 6.5 \\ 26.7 \pm 4.9 \\ -4.0 \pm 7.1 \end{gathered}$ | 744 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 150 \\ & 175 \\ & 200 \\ & 250 \\ & 300 \\ & 350 \\ & 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3.0 \pm 22.2 \\ 91.6 \pm 18.1 \\ 124.0 \pm 17.1 \\ 92.4 \pm 17.3 \\ 121.4 \pm 12.3 \\ 94.9 \pm 8.9 \\ 44.6 \pm 6.1 \\ 32.0 \pm 9.4 \end{array}$ |

Table 4d. Laboratory momentum spectra $\theta_{\pi t}=90^{\circ}$

| $E_{\gamma}$ <br> $(\mathrm{MeV})$ | $P_{\pi}$ <br> $(\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c})$ | $\frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d p d \Omega}$ <br> $(\mathrm{nb} / \mathrm{sr} \cdot \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c})$ | $E_{\gamma}$ <br> $(\mathrm{MeV})$ | $P_{\pi}$ <br> $(\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c})$ | $\frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d p d \Omega}$ <br> $(\mathrm{nb} / \mathrm{sr} \cdot \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 150 | $47.4 \pm 32.7$ |  | 150 | $38.6 \pm 27.5$ |
|  | 175 | $39.8 \pm 26.2$ |  | 175 | $29.2 \pm 22.7$ |
|  | 200 | $25.2 \pm 24.7$ |  | 200 | $67.5 \pm 21.3$ |
|  | 225 | $38.5 \pm 18.9$ |  | 225 | $41.1 \pm 15.8$ |
| 1044 | 250 | $19.5 \pm 14.9$ |  | 250 | $38.9 \pm 12.6$ |
|  | 275 | $17.3 \pm 10.5$ | 944 | 275 | $48.8 \pm 7.7$ |
|  | 300 | $41.7 \pm 9.3$ |  | 300 | $6.4 \pm 7.4$ |
|  | 325 | $26.5 \pm 9.3$ |  | 325 | $19.6 \pm 6.9$ |
|  | 350 | $14.5 \pm 8.9$ |  | 350 | $6.2 \pm 7.3$ |
|  | 375 | $14.9 \pm 8.2$ |  | 375 | $11.4 \pm 13.4$ |
|  | 400 | $0.9 \pm 11.7$ |  | 400 | $0.3 \pm 0.6$ |

counting statistics. The yields and the spectra of positive and negative pions are consistent with those of the previous experiment ${ }^{7 /}$ at the laboratory angle of $60^{\circ}$. The negative pion spectra agree well with the Caltech data ${ }^{3)}$ and show a peak corresponding to the reaction (1.4). A peak corresponding to the reaction (1.5) is also seen in the positive pion spectra especially at the laboratory nagle of $20^{\circ}$ and at high energies. This peak was not so clearly observed in the bubble chamer experiments ${ }^{4 \sim 6)}$; whole the yields from the reaction (1.5) are observed in our experiment, whereas only one third of the yields from the reaction (1.5) which correspond



Fig. 11a-c. Laboratory momentum spectra of negative pions from the reaction $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi \pi N$. $-\mathrm{O}-:$ Caltech $^{3}$, $-\odot-$ : Kusumegi et $\mathrm{a}^{7)}, \mid-1$ : present. The solid curves are the calculated spectra to fit to our data by using the isospin amplitudes (see section 5 of the text). The dash-dotted curves are fitted ones by the separate fitting (see the text). The dashed and dash-two-dotted curves present the recoil pion spectra $\left(\left|A_{1}\right|^{2}\right)$ and the interference terms ( $2 \operatorname{Re}\left(A_{1} \cdot A_{2}\right)$ ), respectively.
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Fig. 11d-g. Laboratory momentum spectra of positive pions from the reaction $\tau p \rightarrow \pi \pi N$. -®-: Kusumegi et al. ${ }^{7)}$, |-@-|: present. The curves shown have the same meanings with those in Fig. 11a~c.

Table 5a. Result of fitting (procedure with isospin amplitudes)

| $\begin{gathered} E_{\gamma} \\ (\mathrm{MeV}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lab. cross section } \\ \frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}(\mu b / \mathrm{sr}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lab. cross section } \\ \frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}(\mu b / \mathrm{sr}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CM. cross section } \\ \frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega^{*}}(\mu b / \mathrm{sr}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\chi^{2} / N_{f}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (deg) | $\underset{\substack{\left(\pi^{+} p\right)}}{ } \mid r$ | $\left.\pi^{p \rightarrow \pi^{+}} \pi^{-} p \text { or } \pi^{\circ} n\right)$ | $r p \rightarrow \pi^{-+}$ | $r p \rightarrow \pi^{+} \Delta^{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{r} r p \rightarrow \\ \pi^{-} \Delta^{++} \end{array}$ | $r p \rightarrow \pi^{+} \Delta^{\circ}$ |  |
|  | 37.7 | $37.8 \pm 0.2$ | $62.3 \pm 0.3$ | $28.1 \pm 0.8$ | $16.9 \pm 1.0$ | $9.6 \pm 0.3$ | $5.8 \pm 0.4$ | 11.7 |
|  | 69.6 | $17.3 \pm 0.2$ | $25.0 \pm 0.3$ | $8.8 \pm 0.7$ | $4.7 \pm 0.3$ | $4.3 \pm 0.3$ | $2.3 \pm 0.3$ | 2.1 |
|  | 94.9 | $8.6 \pm 0.2$ | $11.6 \pm 0.2$ | $1.6 \pm 0.2$ | $2.6 \pm 0.5$ | $1.2 \pm 0.1$ | $1.9 \pm 0.4$ | 3.3 |
|  | 123.5 | $8.1 \pm 0.6$ | $6.9 \pm 0.4$ | $2.0 \pm 0.7$ | $1.0 \pm 0.3$ | $2.8 \pm 0.9$ | $1.4 \pm 0.5$ | 0.9 |
|  | 37.0 | $41.1 \pm 0.2$ | $55.0 \pm 0.3$ | $20.8 \pm 0.7$ | $12.4 \pm 0.5$ | $7.4 \pm 0.3$ | $4.5 \pm 0.2$ | 2.1 |
| 944 | 68.5 | $19.4 \pm 0.2$ | $27.9 \pm 0.3$ | $15.2 \pm 0.8$ | $2.4 \pm 0.3$ | $7.6 \pm 0.4$ | $1.2 \pm 0.1$ | 1.7 |
| 944 | 93.6 | $7.5 \pm 0.1$ | $13.6 \pm 0.3$ | $4.1 \pm 0.5$ | $0.1 \pm 0.1$ | $3.1 \pm 0.4$ | $0.1 \pm 0.1$ | 1.9 |
|  | 122.3 | $3.6 \pm 0.1$ | $8.0 \pm 0.4$ | $0.4 \pm 0.3$ | $0.8 \pm 0.4$ | $0.6 \pm 0.4$ | $1.1 \pm 0.6$ | 1.5 |
|  | 36.5 | $38.2 \pm 0.2$ | $42.5 \pm 0.4$ | $36.9 \pm 0.5$ | $20.4 \pm 1.5$ | $13.8 \pm 0.2$ | $7.6 \pm 0.5$ | 11.5 |
| 844 | 67.6 | $17.9 \pm 0.2$ | $24.7 \pm 0.4$ | $17.2 \pm 0.5$ | $6.9 \pm 0.8$ | $8.7 \pm 0.2$ | $3.5 \pm 0.4$ | 0.8 |
|  | 92.5 | $10.1 \pm 0.2$ | $12.6 \pm 0.3$ | $11.4 \pm 0.6$ | $0.4 \pm 0.1$ | $8.4 \pm 0.5$ | $0.3 \pm 0.1$ | 2.2 |
|  | 36.7 | $32.4 \pm 0.2$ | $40.3 \pm 0.4$ | $31.4 \pm 0.9$ | $22.0 \pm 1.0$ | $12.2 \pm 0.3$ | $8.5 \pm 0.4$ | 1.8 |
| 744 | 67.6 | $16.2 \pm 0.2$ | $30.9 \pm 0.3$ | $18.7 \pm 0.9$ | $16.0 \pm 0.6$ | $9.7 \pm 0.5$ | $8.3 \pm 0.3$ | 2.5 |
|  | 92.1 | $9.6 \pm 0.2$ | $23.5 \pm 0.3$ | $9.2 \pm 0.7$ | $13.7 \pm 0.7$ | $6.8 \pm 0.5$ | $10.1 \pm 0.5$ | 4.0 |

to the reaction (1.5') are observed in the bubble chamber experiments because of the decay of $\Delta^{\circ}(1236)$.

The solid curves in Fig. 11 show the calculated spectra by the method of sec-

a
Fig. 12a. Laboratory differential cross sections $d \sigma / d \Omega(\gamma p \rightarrow \pi \pi N)$ obtained by integrating eq. (5.1) of the text with the obtained parameters. : for the reaction $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^{-}\left(\pi^{+} p\right)$, $\bigcirc$ : for the reaction $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^{+}(\pi N)$.



Fig. 12b,c. Centre of mass cross sections do/d $\Omega^{*}$ of the reaction (b) $r p \rightarrow \pi^{-} \Delta^{++}(1236)$ and (c) $r p \rightarrow \pi^{+} t^{0}(1236)$. $|-4-|:$ Stanford ${ }^{2}$, $|-\odot-|:$ DESY $^{4}$ ), $|-x-|:$ Caltech $^{3}$, $1-0-1$ : present data by the fitting procedure with isospin amplitudes, $|-|$ : present data by the separate fitting. The curves are calculated by the gauge invariant OPE model. Solid curve: without form factor, dash-dotted curve: with form factor, dashed curve: with the phenomenological amplitudes given in section 6 of the text and with form factor at the $\pi N A$ and $\pi N^{*} \Delta$ vertices. The form factor used is given by $e^{\lambda\left(t-\mu^{2}\right)}, t$ : momentum transfer squred, $\mu$ : pion mass, $\lambda$ : a parameter and $\lambda=0.8(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{-2}$.
tion 5 with the obtained parameters. The curves reproduce well the observed ones.
The obtained centre of mass cross sections $d \sigma / d \Omega^{*}\left(r p \rightarrow \pi^{-} d^{++}(1236)\right)$ are listed in Table 5 and shown in Fig. 12 together with the existing data. The obtained values of $d \sigma / d \Omega^{*}\left(r p \rightarrow \pi^{-d^{++}}(1236)\right)$ are consistent with the results of other experiments at the energies of 944 and 1044 MeV . At the energies of 744 and 844 MeV , our cross sections have larger values than those of other experiments. At these energies, the momentum distribution of recoil pions ( $\pi^{-} \Delta^{++}$) is considerably overlapped by the one of decay pions ( $\left.t^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{-} p\right)$. Thus the interference between the recoil and decay pions plays a impotant role at these energies. Furthermore, the amplitudes are determined by using not only the negative pion spectra but also the positive pion ones, in which the large contribution due to the decay $\pi^{+}$from $\Delta^{++}(1236)$ is included. In the fitting procedure, the interference of recoil and decay $\pi^{+}$is also taken into account for the final state of $\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{0} n\right)$ which is observed in our experiment. Moreover, for the sake of the overlapping of recoil pion, decay pion and the phase space pion in the momentum spectra, some umbiguities arise in the fitting procedure even if the interference effect is ignored. These situations are clarified by using the different fitting method. In this fitting, the amplitudes

Table 5b. Result of additional fitting procedure (separate fitting)

| $\begin{gathered} E_{\gamma} \\ (\mathrm{MeV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \theta^{*} \\ (\mathrm{deg}) \end{gathered}$ | Lab. cross section $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}(\mu b / \mathrm{sr})$ |  | Lab. cross section $\frac{d \rho}{d \Omega}(\mu b / s r)$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CM. cross section } \\ \frac{d \sigma}{d Q^{*}}(\mu b / \mathrm{sr}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\chi^{2} / N_{f}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underset{\left(\pi^{+} p\right)}{\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^{-}}$ | $\underset{\left(\pi^{-} p \text { or } \pi^{\circ} n\right)}{ }$ | $r p_{\pi-} \Delta^{++}$ | $r p \rightarrow \pi^{+} \Delta^{\circ}$ | $\underset{\pi^{-} d^{++}}{ }$ | $r p \rightarrow \pi^{+} 4^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| 1044 | 37.3 | $37.3 \pm 0.2$ | $63.7 \pm 0.3$ | $31.8 \pm 0.5$ | $14.2 \pm 0.5$ | $10.9 \pm 0.2$ | $4.9 \pm 0.3$ | $\pi^{-}$ | 14.5 9.7 |
|  | 69.6 | $18.4 \pm 0.1$ | $25.0 \pm 0.3$ | $8.7 \pm 0.4$ | $4.3 \pm 1.1$ | $4.2 \pm 0.2$ | $2.0 \pm 0.5$ | $\pi^{-}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 \\ & 2.6 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 94.9 | $9.1 \pm 0.2$ | $11.6 \pm 0.2$ | $3.3 \pm 0.3$ | $2.8 \pm 0.6$ | $2.5 \pm 0.2$ | $2.1 \pm 0.4$ | $\pi^{-}$ | 1.2 4.3 |
|  |  |  | . 2 |  |  | $2.5 \pm 0.2$ | $2.1 \pm 0.4$ | $\pi^{+}$ | 4.3 |
|  | 123.5 |  | $6.8 \pm 0.4$ |  | $1.6 \pm 0.7$ |  | $2.2 \pm 0.8$ | $\pi^{\pi}$ | 0.6 |
| 944 | 37.0 | $43.5 \pm 0.1$ | $54.9 \pm 0.3$ | $29.5 \pm 0.5$ | $11.6 \pm 1.0$ | $10.6 \pm 0.2$ | $4.2 \pm 0.4$ | $\pi^{-}$ | 5.3 1.4 |
|  | 68.5 | $21.8 \pm 0.1$ | $27.8 \pm 0.4$ | $13.1 \pm 0.5$ | $1.9 \pm 1.1$ | $6.5 \pm 0.2$ | $0.9 \pm 0.5$ | $\pi^{-}$ | 4.6 |
|  |  | $21.8 \pm 0.1$ $7.9+0.1$ | $13.4 \pm 0.2$ | $5.0 \pm 0.3$ |  |  | $0.9 \pm 0.5$ $0.0 \pm 0.3$ | $\pi^{+}$ |  |
|  | 93.6 | $7.9 \pm 0.1$ | $13.4 \pm 0.2$ | $5.0 \pm 0.3$ | $0.0 \pm 0.4$ | $3.7 \pm 0.2$ | $0.0 \pm 0.3$ | $\pi^{+}$ | 2.3 |
|  | 122.3 |  | $8.2 \pm 0.3$ |  | $0.8 \pm 0.7$ |  | $1.1 \pm 0.8$ | $\pi^{-}$ | 1.5 |
| 844 | 36.5 | 38.2 | $40.4 \pm 0.4$ | $30.1 \pm 0.5$ | $13.1 \pm 1.3$ | $11.3 \pm 0.2$ | $4.9 \pm 0.5$ | $\pi^{-}$ | 2.4 15.0 |
|  | 67.6 | $18.3 \pm 0.1$ | $23.2 \pm 0.3$ | $15.3 \pm 0.5$ | $1.8 \pm 1.0$ | $7.8 \pm 0.3$ | $0.9 \pm 0.5$ | $\pi^{-}$ | 0.6 |
|  |  |  |  | $5.4 \pm 0.3$ |  |  |  | $\pi^{-}$ | 1.3 4.9 |
|  | 92.5 | $9.1 \pm 0.1$ | $12.5 \pm 0.3$ | $5.4 \pm 0.3$ | $0.0 \pm 0.5$ | $4.0 \pm 0.2$ | $0.0 \pm 0.4$ | $\pi^{+}$ | 4.9 <br> 2.1 |
| 744 | 36.7 | $30.9 \pm 0.2$ | $40.1 \pm 0.4$ | $22.5 \pm 0.6$ | $8.1 \pm 1.2$ | $8.7 \pm 0.2$ | $3.1 \pm 0.5$ | $\pi^{-}$ | 3.1 1.2 |
|  | 67.6 | $15.2 \pm 0.1$ | $30.7 \pm 0.3$ | $11.8 \pm 0.5$ | $12.1 \pm 1.0$ | $6.1 \pm 0.3$ | $6.2 \pm 0.5$ | $\pi^{-}$ | 3.6 |
|  | 92.1 | 15.2土0.1 | $30.7 \pm 0.3$ $23.5+0.3$ | $11.8 \pm 0.5$ $6.2 \pm 0.4$ | $12.1 \pm 1.0$ | $4.1 \pm 0.3$ | $6.2 \pm 0.5$ $7.7 \pm 0.8$ | $\pi^{+}$ $\pi^{-}$ | 3.1 4.3 |
|  | 92.1 | $8.5 \pm 0.1$ | $23.5 \pm 0.3$ | $6.2 \pm 0.4$ | $10.5 \pm 1.2$ | $4.6 \pm 0.3$ | $7.7 \pm 0.8$ | $\pi^{+}$ | 4.3 |

Table 6. Result of fitting procedure: $s$-channel isospin amplitudes

| $\begin{gathered} k \\ \mathrm{MeV} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \theta_{1}^{*} \\ \operatorname{deg} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} T_{1}\left(\theta_{1}^{*}\right)^{R} \\ (\mu \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{sr})^{1 / 2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} T_{1}\left(\theta_{1}^{*}\right)^{T} \\ (\mu \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{sr})^{1 / 2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} T_{3}\left(\theta_{1}^{*}\right) \\ (\mu \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{sr})^{1 / 2} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1044 | $\begin{array}{r} 37.7 \\ 69.6 \\ 94.9 \\ 123.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \pm 0.04 \\ -1.8 \pm 0.2 \\ -1.2 \pm 0.2 \\ -2.4 \pm 0.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.3 \pm 0.04 \\ 2.6 \pm 0.1 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ -0.9 \pm 0.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \pm 0.1 \\ & 0.5 \pm 0.2 \\ & 1.1 \pm 0.2 \\ & 0.2 \pm 0.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 944 | $\begin{array}{r} 37.0 \\ 68.5 \\ 93.6 \\ 122.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \pm 0.06 \\ 1.7 \pm 0.1 \\ 1.7 \pm 0.1 \\ -1.4 \pm 0.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \pm 0.1 \\ & 0.4 \pm 0.6 \\ & 0.6 \pm 0.4 \\ & 0.7 \pm 0.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \pm 0.1 \\ & 2.4 \pm 0.1 \\ & 0.8 \pm 0.1 \\ & 0.6 \pm 0.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 844 | $\begin{aligned} & 36.5 \\ & 67.6 \\ & 92.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.5 \pm 0.3 \\ -0.6 \pm 0.3 \\ 3.1 \pm 0.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.3 \pm 0.04 \\ & 4.2 \pm 0.1 \\ & 0.3 \pm 0.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \pm 0.2 \\ & 0.7 \pm 0.3 \\ & 1.2 \pm 0.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 744 | $\begin{aligned} & 36.7 \\ & 67.6 \\ & 92.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} -0.6 & \pm 0.1 \\ 0.5 & \pm 0.07 \\ -0.6 & \pm 0.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.6 \pm 0.1 \\ & 1.0 \pm 0.3 \\ & 2.4 \pm 0.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \pm 0.1 \\ & 4.2 \pm 0.1 \\ & 3.8 \pm 0.1 \end{aligned}$ |

$a_{1}^{*}$ and $a_{2}^{+}$are not decomposed into isospin amplitudes; namely, by ignoring all the interference terms in the eq.(5.1), the positive and negative pion spectra are fitted separately. This fitting is called the separate fitting. The obtained cross sections $d \sigma / d \Omega^{*}\left(r p \rightarrow \pi^{-} \Delta^{++}(1236)\right)$ by two different methods agree with each other at the energies of 944 and 1044 MeV . At these energies, the separation of recoil
pions from decay and phase space ones is good. Furthermore, at the energies of 744 and 844 MeV , results by the separate fitting agree well with the ones of bubble chamber experiment ${ }^{4)}$. Two different fitting showed nearly the same confidence level of $\chi^{2}$-value.

The result of fitting procedure with isospin amplitudes gives us some informations on the s-channel isospin amplitudes of the reaction (1.3). The present procedure shows that the amplitude $T_{1}\left(\theta_{1}\right)$ has a certain amount of imaginary part (see Table 6) and suggests the existence of s-channel resonances which decay into $\pi \Delta(1236)$.

In the present experiment, cross sections $d \sigma / d \Omega^{*}\left(r p \rightarrow \pi^{+} d^{0}(1236)\right)$ are also deduced and shown in Fig. 12c. These cross sections have similar angular behaviours as those of $d \sigma / d \Omega^{*}\left(r p \rightarrow \pi^{-} d^{++}(1236)\right)$ except at the energy of 744 MeV . The values of $d \sigma / d \Omega^{*}\left(r p \rightarrow \pi^{+} \Delta^{0}(1236)\right)$ are not so small as shown by the results of bubble chamber experiments ${ }^{4 \sim 6)}$ in the present energy region.

The obtained ratios $r_{1}$ are illustrated in Fig. 13. The mean values of $r_{1}$ at each energy are calculated by taking into account of statistical weight and found to be in the range of 0.1 to 0.8 depending on the energy. On the contrary, the values of $r_{2}$ at $\mathrm{DESY}^{4}$ ) indicate that the ratio $r_{1}$ is much smaller than 0.1 in our energy region.


Fig. 13. The ratio of cross sections (see the text). 1-0-1: fitting with isospin amplitudes, |-1 : separate fitting. Solid and dashed curves have the same meanings with those in Fig. 12.

## 7-2. Comparision with the theoretical calculations

The solid curves in Fig. 12 present the calculated cross sections by the gauge invariant one pion exchange model ${ }^{8)}$ (G.I.OPE model). The dash-dotted curves show the calculated cross sections by the G.I.OPE model with the form factor given
in section 6. The dashed curves give the calculated ones by the gauge invariant one pion exchange model with the phenomenological amplitudes described in section 6 and with the form factor (present model). The calculated cross sections $d \sigma / d \Omega^{*}\left(r p \rightarrow \pi^{-} \Delta^{++}(1236)\right)$ by the present model explain our experimental results qualitatively. The agreement for the present model is better than the ones for the G.I.OPE model with and without the form factor. At the energy of 744 MeV , the calculated cross sections $d \sigma / d \Omega^{*}\left(\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^{-} 4^{++}(1236)\right)$ by the present model agree well with the ones obtained by the separate fitting. The large difference between the calculated cross sections by the G.I.OPE model and by the present model at the energy of 744 MeV is due to the resonance amplitude of $D_{13}(1525)$ which is taken into account in the present model.

The present model gives about $75 \%$ of the value listed in Table 2 for the cross section $\sigma\left(\gamma p \rightarrow D_{13}(1525) \rightarrow \pi^{-} \Delta^{++}(1236)\right)$ because of the form factor. The present model also gives nearly flat cross sections for the reaction (1.3) below 1.1 GeV when the form factor is ignored. Thus the form factor plays an impotant role in the present model.

The observed cross sections $d \sigma / d \Omega^{*}\left(\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^{+} \Delta^{0}(1236)\right)$ are explained qualitatively by the present model at the energies of 944 and 1044 MeV . But the agreement is poor at the energies of 744 and 844 MeV .

The calculated ratios $r_{1}$ by the present model and by the G.I.OPE model are shown in Fig. 13. At the energy of 744 MeV , the obtained ratio $r_{1}$ by both fitting procedures are larger than the theroetical calculations. These differences may due to the systematic error of the fitting procedure which was mentioned above.

The results of fitting procedure of observed spectra with isospin amplitudes show that the amplitude $T_{3}\left(\theta_{1}\right)$ has the values nearly equal to those of the real part of $T_{1}\left(\theta_{1}\right)$ in our energy region (see Table 6). The ratio of amplitudes $\left|T^{3}\right| /\left|\operatorname{Re}\left(T^{1}\right)\right|$ is $1 \sim 2$ for the present model when the parameters listed in Table 3 are used. In G.I.OPE model, the ratio $\left|T^{3}\right| /\left|\operatorname{Re}\left(T^{1}\right)\right|=\left|B^{3}\right| /\left|B^{1}\right|$ is about $\sqrt{5}$ below 1 GeV ; see eq. (6.2), where $H^{\mathrm{I}}$ and $H^{\text {III }}$ contribute mainly below $1 \mathrm{GeV}^{1)}$.

As mentioned above, there are some discrepancies between our experimental results and the theoretical ones. In order to clarify these discrepancies, more experimental and theoretical investigations on the reactions (1.1) and (1.3) are required. The G.I.OPE model gives small cross sections for the reaction $r+$ $p \rightarrow \pi^{0}+\Delta^{+}(1236)$ below 1 GeV (about $1 / 100$ of the cross section of the reaction (1.4)) owing to the followings; only the amplitude $H^{\mathrm{IV}}$ contributes to the reaction $\tau+p \rightarrow \pi^{0}+\Delta^{+}(1236)$ and is much smaller than the amplitudes $H^{\mathrm{I}}$ and $H^{\mathrm{III}}$ in these energies ${ }^{1}$; whereas $H^{\mathrm{I}}$ and $H^{\mathrm{III}}$ contribute mainly to the reaction (1.4). Thus the investigations on the reaction $\gamma+p \rightarrow \pi^{0}+\Delta^{+}(1236)$ will give valuable informations on the contributions of s-channel resonances and on other diagrams to the reaction (1.3).

For the isospin analysis of the reaction $\gamma+N \rightarrow \pi+\Delta(1236)$, experiments on the reaction $\gamma+n \rightarrow \pi+\Delta(1236)$ are desired.

The phenomenological amplitudes will be determined more precisely when the data on the decay density matrices ${ }^{4}$ ) are taken into account.
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## Appendix

Helicity amplitudes for $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi \Delta$ through s-channel resonances
In this appendix the helicity amplitudes for the reaction

$$
\begin{equation*}
r+p \rightarrow N^{*} \rightarrow \pi+\Delta \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

are presented.

## (1) Formalism

The particles in the initial and final states of the reaction (A.1) are called particle 12,3 and 4 corresponding to the photon, proton, pion and $A$, respectively. The three momemtum of the i -th particle is presented by $P_{i}$ in the centre of mass system. We choose the $z$ - and $y$-axes along the direction of $P_{1}$ and $P_{1} \times P_{3}$, respectively, in the cms. If we quantize the initial and final spins along the direction of $P_{1}$ and $P_{3}$, the helicities of the initial and final states are given as follows,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda=\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}  \tag{A.2}\\
& \mu=\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4} \tag{A.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{i}$ denotes the helicity of the i-th particle. The total helicity amplitude for the reaction (A.1) is given by the formula ${ }^{21,22 \text { ), }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mu_{\lambda}}(\theta)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \sum_{J}(2 J+1)\left\langle J M \lambda_{3} \lambda_{1}\right| R(J)\left|J M \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\right\rangle d_{\lambda \mu}^{J}(\theta), \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ and $M$ denote the total and third component of angular momentum, respectively. Therefore, $J$ represent the spin of the s-channel resonance $N^{*}$ in the eq.(A.1). For the amplitude $\left\langle J M \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}\right| R(J)\left|J M \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\right\rangle$, the following expansion is presented ${ }^{21,22)}$,

$$
\left\langle J M \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}\right| R(J)\left|J M \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\right\rangle=\sum_{S^{\prime} \prime^{\prime} J^{\prime} \varepsilon}\left\langle J M \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4} \mid J M L^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right\rangle \cdot\left\langle J M L^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right| R(J) \mid
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|J M J^{\prime} \varepsilon \frac{1}{2}\right\rangle \cdot\left\langle\left. J M J^{\prime} \varepsilon \frac{1}{2} \right\rvert\, J M \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\right\rangle \\
= & \sum_{L J^{\prime} \mathrm{g}} C_{L^{\prime} J^{\prime}}^{J \mu \lambda^{\prime}} \cdot\left\langle J M L^{\prime} \frac{3}{2}\right| R(J)\left|J M J^{\prime} \varepsilon \frac{1}{2}\right\rangle, \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $J^{\prime}$ denotes the total angular momentum of photon, $\varepsilon(\varepsilon=0$ or 1) charactrizes the type of photon, namely, $\varepsilon=0$ corresponds to the magnetic radiation, and $\varepsilon=1$ corresponds to the electric radiation. $L^{\prime}$ is the orbital angular momentum of particle $3, S^{\prime}$ denotes the total spin of the final state and has the value of $\frac{3}{2}$ in the present reaction. The coefficient $C_{L^{\prime}, J^{\prime}}^{\mu_{\lambda} \varepsilon}$ is given as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{L^{\prime} J^{\prime}}^{J \mu \lambda^{\ell}}=\left\langle J M \lambda_{3} \lambda_{3} \left\lvert\, J M L^{\prime} \frac{3}{2}\right.\right\rangle \cdot\left\langle\left. J M J^{\prime} \frac{1}{2} \right\rvert\, J M \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\right\rangle . \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left side of eq.(A.5) corresponds to the transition amplitude with the definite $J$ but a mixed parity. The parity of the initial state is given by the parity of electro-magnetic multipole radiation ${ }^{23)}$. The positive and negative parity states of the final state are given:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{\left|J M \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}\right\rangle+(-1)^{J+3 / 2}\left|J M \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}\right\rangle\right\}  \tag{A.7}\\
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{\left|J M \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}\right\rangle+(-1)^{J+1 / 2}\left|J M \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}\right\rangle\right\} \tag{A.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we can assign the amplitude $\left\langle J M L^{\prime} \frac{3}{2}\right| R(J)\left|J M J^{\prime} \varepsilon \frac{1}{2}\right\rangle$ to the each resonance with the definite spin and parity according to the conservation low of angular momentum and parity. In the preceeding formulae, the helicity of particle 3 (pion) is zero,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{3}=0 . \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are sixteen combinations of initial and final states helicities. The transition amplitudes $T_{\mu_{\lambda}}$ of the reaction $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi \Delta$ is connected to $T_{-\mu_{-\lambda}}$ by the conservation low of parity ${ }^{21)}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\mu_{\lambda}}(\theta)=(-1)^{\mu-\lambda} T_{-\mu_{-\lambda}}(\theta) \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the number of independent combination of helicities is reduced to eight. We can restrict the values of $\lambda$ and $\mu$ as follows,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda=\frac{3}{2},-\frac{1}{2},  \tag{A.11}\\
& \mu=\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{3}{2}, \tag{A.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where the helicity of proton $\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$ is fixed to $-\frac{1}{2}$.

The above mentioned formalism is used to define the helicity amplitudes $R_{\mu_{\lambda}}^{1}$ and $R_{\mu \mathrm{d}}^{3}$ which correspond to the reaction (A.1) with the s-channel isospin of $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{2}$, respectively.
(2) Cross section formula

The amplitudes $T_{\mu \lambda}^{++}, T_{\mu_{\lambda}}^{+}$and $T_{\mu \lambda}^{0}$ corresponding to the reactions $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^{-} \Delta^{+++}$, $r p \rightarrow \pi^{0} \Delta^{+}$and $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^{+} \Delta^{0}$ are obtained by using the amplitudes $R_{\mu \lambda}^{1}$ and $R_{\mu_{\lambda}}^{3}$ according to the manner mentioned in section 6 of the text. The cross section for the reaction $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi \Delta$ is given,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}=\frac{\alpha}{8 \pi} \cdot G^{2} \frac{m m^{\prime}}{s} \frac{q}{k} \cdot \sum_{\lambda=3 / 2,-1 / 2} \sum_{p=-3 / 2}^{3 / 2}\left|T_{\mu \lambda}\right|^{2} \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\alpha=$ fine structure constant,
$G=\pi N \Delta$ coupling constant, $G=15.4$,
$m, m^{\prime}=$ mass of nucleon and $\Delta$, respectively,
$s=$ total cms energy squared,
$k, q=$ magnitude of momentum $P_{1}$ and $P_{3}$, respectively.

The amplitude $T_{\mu_{\lambda}}$ in eq.(A.13) denotes $T_{\mu_{\lambda}}^{++}, T_{\mu, \lambda}^{+}$or $T_{\mu \lambda}^{0}$.
(3) Assignment of amplitudes for resonances

In this section we introduce the amplitude " $F$ " which corresponds to the amplitude $\left\langle J M L^{\prime} \frac{3}{2}\right| R(J)\left|J M J^{\prime} \varepsilon \frac{1}{2}\right\rangle$ with the definite spin and parity. The amplitude $F$ is written:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2 I, 2 J}^{\text {हᄐ }}=F_{i, j}^{p \mathrm{E}} \tag{A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p, I$ and $J$ denote parity, isospin and spin of the resonance, respectively.
The amplitude $F_{i, j}^{p \varepsilon}$ is described by $E_{i, j}^{p}$ or $M_{i, j}^{p}$ according to the electric ( $\varepsilon=1$ ) or magnetic $(\varepsilon=0)$ transition. For the resonances which are allowed to have two values of $L^{\prime}$, we introduce the decay fractions of the resonance $N^{*}\left(J^{p}\right)$ into lower and higher values of $L^{\prime}$,

$$
\left|\alpha_{i, j}^{p}\right|^{2} \text { and }\left|\beta_{i, j}^{p}\right|^{2}
$$

respectively, with condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha_{i, j}^{p}\right|^{2}+\left|\beta_{i, j}^{p}\right|^{2}=1 \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $E_{i, j}^{p}, M_{i, j}^{p}, \alpha_{i, j}^{p}$ and $\beta_{i, j}^{p}$, we can describe the amplitude $F$ in terms of

$$
\alpha_{i, j}^{p} \cdot E_{i, j}^{p}, \beta_{i, j}^{p} \cdot E_{i, j}^{p}, \alpha_{i, j}^{p} \cdot M_{i, j}^{p} \text { or } \beta_{i, j}^{p} \cdot M_{i, j}^{p} .
$$

Examples are listed in Table 3 for some resonances.
(4) Energy dependence of the amplitudes

The energy dependence of the amplitude $F$ is presented by the formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(W)=F\left(W_{0}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{k_{0} q_{0}}{k q}\right)^{1 / 2} \cdot \frac{W \cdot\left(\Gamma \cdot \Gamma_{\gamma}\right)^{1 / 2}}{s_{0}-s-i \cdot W \cdot \Gamma} \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma=\Gamma_{0} \cdot\left(\frac{q}{q_{0}}\right)^{2 L^{\prime}+1} \cdot\left(\frac{q_{0}^{2}+X^{2}}{q^{2}+X^{2}}\right)^{L^{\prime}}  \tag{A.17}\\
& \Gamma_{\gamma}=\Gamma_{0} \cdot\left(\frac{k}{k_{0}}\right)^{2 J^{\prime}} \cdot\left(\frac{k_{0}^{2}+X^{2}}{k^{2}+X^{2}}\right)^{J^{\prime}} \tag{A.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
W=\sqrt{s}, \text { total } \mathrm{cms} \text { energy }
$$

$\Gamma=$ total width of resonance,
$W_{0}, \Gamma_{0}, k_{0}$ and $q_{0}=$ values of $W, \Gamma, k$ and $q$ at the resonance energy, respectively.
$X^{2}=$ parameter discussed by Jackson ${ }^{16}$.
The values of $W_{0}, \Gamma_{0}$ and $X^{2}$ given by Walker ${ }^{17)}$ are used for the numerical calculation.

We can introduce a constant phase factor to the amplitude of each resonance multiplying to $F(W)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(W) \cdot e^{i \phi} . \tag{A.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

## (5) Conditions on the amplitudes for some resonances

Investigations on the single pion photoproduction show that the resonances $D_{13}(1525)$ and $F_{15}(1688)$ are scarcely photoexcited through the initial states with helicity $\pm \frac{1}{2}$. We assume here after that these conditions stand strictly for the resonances $D_{13}(1525), F_{15}(1688)$ and $D_{15}(1670)$. Then following equations are given,

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{13}(1525): C_{L^{\prime}}^{(2 / 3) \mu-(1 / 2) 1} \cdot E_{12}^{-}+C_{L^{\prime}}^{(3 / 2) \mu-(1 / 2) 0} \cdot M_{13}^{-}=0  \tag{A.20}\\
& F_{15}(1688): C_{L^{\prime 2}}^{(5 / 2) \mu-(1 / 2) 1} \cdot E_{15}^{+}+C_{L^{(5 / 3)}}^{(1 / 2-(1 / 2) 0} \cdot M_{15}^{+}=0  \tag{A.21}\\
& D_{15}(1670): C_{L^{\prime 2}}^{(5 / 2) \mu-(1 / 2) 0} \cdot M_{15}^{-}+C_{L^{(5 / 3)}}{ }^{\mu-(1 / 2) 1} \cdot E_{15}^{-}=0 \tag{A.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Due to the conservation law of parity (see eq.(A. $10 \sim 12$ )) and the above conditions, the initial helicity is restricted to only one value $\left(\lambda=\frac{3}{2}\right)$ for the resonances $D_{13}(1525) \quad F_{15}(1688)$ and $D_{15}(1670)$. The initial helicity for the resonances $P_{11}$ (1460) and $S_{11}(1550)$ is restricted to one value $\left(\lambda=-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ because the spin of these two resonances is $\frac{1}{2}$. We give the following expression to the coefficient $C_{L^{\prime} J^{\prime} J^{\prime}}$ for all the above resonances using the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{L^{\prime} J^{\prime}}^{\mu_{\lambda} ;}=\sqrt{\frac{\left(2 L^{\prime}+1\right)\left(2 J^{\prime}+1\right)}{(2 J+1)^{2}}} \cdot\left\langle J \mu \left\lvert\, L^{\prime} \frac{3}{2}\right. ; 0 \mu\right\rangle\left\langle J M \left\lvert\, J^{\prime} \frac{1}{2}\right. ; \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right\rangle, \tag{A.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\lambda=\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2} . \tag{A.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the eqs. $(\mathrm{A} .20 \sim 22)$ are reduced to the followings,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
D_{13}(1525): & E_{13}^{-} / M_{13}^{-}=\sqrt{3} \\
F_{15}(1688): & E_{15}^{+} / M_{15}^{+}=\sqrt{2} \\
D_{15}(1670): & M_{15}^{-} / E_{15}^{-}=\sqrt{2} . \tag{A.27}
\end{array}
$$

## (6) Order of amplitudes

If only the reaction (A.1) through resonances with $I=\frac{1}{2}$ is taken into account in the reaction $r p \rightarrow \pi^{-} \Delta^{++}$, the cross section is presented by the equation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}=\frac{\alpha G^{2}}{2(4 \pi)^{3}} \cdot \frac{m m^{\prime}}{s} \frac{q}{k} \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{\lambda=3 / 2,-1 / 2} \sum_{\mu=-3 / 2}^{3 / 2} \right\rvert\, \sum_{J}\left[(2 J+1) \cdot \sum_{L^{\prime} J^{\prime} \mathrm{E}} C_{L^{\prime} J^{\prime}}^{\mu_{\lambda},}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \cdot F_{1,2 J}^{p} \cdot d_{\lambda \mu}^{J}(\theta)\right]\left.\right|^{2} . \tag{A.28}
\end{align*}
$$

The total cross section for the reaction $\gamma p \rightarrow N^{*} \rightarrow \pi^{-} d^{++}$through the resonance with $I=\frac{1}{2}$ and with the definite spin and parity is obtained by integrating eq. (A.28) over the angle $\theta$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\sigma\left(N_{\frac{1}{2}}^{*_{2}}\left(J^{p}\right)\right)=\frac{(2 J+1)}{4(4 \pi)^{2}} \cdot \alpha G^{2} \cdot \frac{m \cdot m^{\prime}}{s} \frac{q}{k} \cdot \sum_{\lambda=3 / 2,-1 / 2} \sum_{\mu=-3 / 2}^{3 / 2} \right\rvert\, \sum_{L / J^{\prime} \mathrm{E}} \\
& \left.C_{L, J^{\prime}}^{J \mu_{\lambda}^{\prime}} \cdot F_{1,2}^{p \varepsilon}\right|^{2} . \tag{A.29}
\end{align*}
$$

We can estimate the order of amplitudes $F_{1,2 J}^{p e}$ for some resonances using eq. (A.29) and the values of cross sections for the reaction $r p \rightarrow \pi^{-} \Delta^{++}$given in Table 2. The estimated values are listed in Table 3. In those calculations, conditions on the amplitudes $F_{1,2 J}^{\beta_{8}}$ presented in the preceeding section are used. For each resonance wihch is allowed to have two values of $L^{\prime}$, only the lower value of $L^{\prime}$ is taken into account in those calculations.
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