

TITLE:

A new species of Paramoera (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Pontogeneiidae) from an estuary habitat in Hokkaido, Japan

AUTHOR(S):

Hagihara, Kumiko; Nakano, Takafumi; Tomikawa, Ko

CITATION:

Hagihara, Kumiko ...[et al]. A new species of Paramoera (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Pontogeneiidae) from an estuary habitat in Hokkaido, Japan. Journal of Natural History 2020, 54(19-20): 1279-1292

ISSUE DATE:

2020

URL:

http://hdl.handle.net/2433/255643

RIGHT:

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in Journal of Natural History on 26 October 2021, available online: http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/00222933.2020.1785031; The full-text file will be made on to the public on 26 October 2021 in accordance with publisher's 'Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving'; この論文は出版社版でありません。引用の際には出版社版をご確認ご利用ください。; This is not the published version. Please cite only the published version.





1	A new species of <i>Paramoera</i> (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Pontogeneiidae) from an estuary
2	habitat in Hokkaido, Japan
3	
4	Kumiko Hagihara ^a , Takafumi Nakano ^{a,b} and Ko Tomikawa ^a
5	
6	^a Department of Science Education, Graduate School of Education, Hiroshima University,
7	Higashihiroshima 739-8524, Japan
8	E-mail: tomikawa@hiroshima-u.ac.jp
9	^b Department of Zoology, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502
10	Japan
11	E-mail: nakano@zoo.zool.kyoto-u.ac.jp
12	
13	CONTACT Ko Tomikawa tomikawa@hiroshima-u.ac.jp
14	
15	Acknowledgements
16	
17	We thank Mr. Masaki Kyono (Sapporo Technical College) for providing valuable specimens
18	of the new species. The authors are also grateful to two anonymous reviewers for their
19	valuable comments on this manuscript.





20	
21	Disclosure statement
22	
23	No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors
24	
25	Funding
26	
27	This study was financially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP17K15174,
28	JP15J00720, and JP17H00820.
29	
30	Geolocation information
31	
32	KUZ Z2041–Z2043 (point): 43.332857°N, 140.410445°E
33	
34	ORCID
35	TIL 6 - 1N I - 1 - 1 - 10000 0001 (107 0100
36	Takafumi Nakano https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6107-2188
37	Ko Tomikawa http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1521-9016
38	



47

49

52

53

54

ABSTRACT

- 40 A new species of the pontogeneiid amphipod, *Paramoera shakotanensis*, from the mouth of
- Horonaifu River, Hokkaido, Japan, is described. Paramoera shakotanensis sp. nov. can
- clearly be distinguished from its congeners by a combination of the following features: large
- eyes, deep antennal sinus without sharp incision, epimeral plate 3 with smooth posterior
- 44 margin, small number of setae on inner plate of the maxilla 1 (up to three) and on inner plate
- with oblique inner row (up to two), pereopod 7 with coxal gill, and distally tapering telson
- with almost straight lateral margins bearing submarginal setae.
- http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:72E1F36D-87E5-406A-B92D-6DE686150F99

50 **KEYWORDS**

51 Gammaridea; Pacific Ocean; estuary; brackish water

Introduction

- 55 Paramoera Miers, 1875 is the most species-rich genus within the family Pontogeneiidae and
- contains more than 50 species, which inhabit mainly cold marine habitats but are occasionally
- found in brackish or freshwater (Staude 1995; Sidorov 2010; Jung et al. 2016). The type



58	species, Paramoera australis Miers, 1875, was described from Kerguelen Island in the
59	southern Indian Ocean and is an epigean amphipod. However, several other species in the
60	genus, described from the northern Pacific Rim region, are hypogean crustaceans (Staude
61	1995; Sidorov 2010; Nakano and Tomikawa 2018).
62	Before this study, none of hypogean Paramoera amphipods had been recorded from
63	coastal areas in the northwestern Pacific. Three Paramoera species, i.e. P. erimoensis
64	Kuribayashi and Kyono, 1995, <i>P. hanamurai</i> Hirayama, 1990, and <i>P. koysama</i> Kuribayashi
65	and Kyono, 1995, were described from epigean waters of Hokkaido, Japan, and all of them
66	were classified within <i>Paramoera</i> sensu stricto (Hirayama 1990; Kuribayashi and Kyono
67	1995). Paramoera relicta Uéno, 1971 is a subterranean species inhabiting an underground
68	water habitats of an insular lava tube in Fukuejima island, Goto Islands, Japan (Uéno 1971;
69	Nakano and Tomikawa 2018). In 2012, several specimens of an unidentified <i>Paramoera</i>
70	species were collected from a river mouth in Hokkaido, Japan by Masaki Kyono of Sapporo
71	Technical College, and given to the last author. After careful examination, detailed below, we
72	concluded that these specimens belonged to a distinctive species, and thus describe and
73	illustrate them herein as a new species belonging to the genus <i>Paramoera</i> .

Material and methods

Sample collection

74

75

76



78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95



Paramoera amphipods were collected from under stones at a river mouth of Horonaifu River, Shakotan Peninsula, Hokkaido, Japan using a fine-mesh hand-net. Specimens were fixed and preserved in 99% ethanol. Morphological examination All appendages of the specimens were dissected in 80% ethanol and mounted in gum-chloral medium on glass slides using a stereomicroscope (Olympus SZX7; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The specimens were examined using a light microscope (Nikon Eclipse Ni; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan), and illustrated with the aid of a camera lucida. Body length (BL: to the nearest 0.1 mm) was measured from the rostrum tip to the telson base, along the dorsal curvature. The specimens examined in this study have been deposited in the Zoological Collection of Kyoto University (KUZ). **Taxonomy** Family Pontogeneiidae Stebbing, 1906 Genus Paramoera Miers, 1875 Paramoera shakotanensis sp. nov. (New Japanese name: *Shakotan-migiwa-yokoebi*)





(Figures 1–5) 96 97 Paramoera sp.: Tomikawa et al., 2014: fig 2; Tomikawa et al., 2017: fig. 2. 98 Paramoera sp. 1: Nakano and Tomikawa, 2018: fig. 4, table 1. 99 100 101 Type material Female (BL 4.6 mm), KUZ Z2041, collected from Horonaifu River 102 103 (43.332857°N, 140.410445°E), Shakotan, Hokkaido, Japan, by Masaki Kyono, on 13 May 2012. 104 105 In total 3 females: female (BL 5.3 mm), KUZ Z1939, female (BL 5.6 mm), KUZ 106 Paratypes. Z2042 (Figure 1), female (BL 5.1 mm), KUZ Z2043, data same as for holotype. 107 108 109 **Diagnosis** Head with large eyes; peduncular article 2 gland cone of antenna 2 with 2 apical setae; lacinia 110 mobilis of left mandible 5-dentate; mandibular palp article 3 without B-setae; inner plate of 111 112 maxilla 1 with 3 plumose setae; gnathopod 2, carpus longer than propodus; coxa of pereopod 113 4 with shallow posterior concavity; coxal gills on gnathopod 2, and pereopods 3–7; peduncles of pleopods 1–3 with facial setae; uropod 2 inner ramus longer than outer ramus; telson 114

Female [holotype, KUZ Z2041]. Body smooth. Rostrum (Figure 2(a)) short, weakly



115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

longer than wide.

Description

produced; lateral cephalic lobe mammilliform; inferior antennal sinus quadrate, corner of sinus rounded, not incised; eyes sub-oval, large, 0.4 times as high as head. Epimeral plates 1– 3 (Figure 2(b–d)): lateral surface with many tiny setae; posterior margins without crenulation, with seta; posterodistal corners slightly pointed, with seta; plate 1 with long seta on ventral submargin; plate 3 with 1 or 2 short setae and short bifid seta on ventral submargin. Antenna 1 (Figure 2(e), 2(f)): length 0.5 times as long as body length; peduncular articles progressively shorter, length ratio of peduncular articles 1–3 1.0 : 0.7 : 0.5; peduncular article 1 with short setae on anterior margin, 2 single and 1 cluster of setae on posterior margin, posterodistal corner with single seta and pair of setae; peduncular article 2 with short seta on anterior margin, 2 clusters of setae on posterior margin, anterodistal and posterodistal corners with cluster of setae; peduncular article 3 with cluster of setae on posterior margin, anterodistal and posterodistal corners with cluster of setae; flagellum 17-articulate, about 1.9 times as long as peduncles, first article with 2 aesthetascs, articles 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, and 16 each with aesthetasc; accessory flagellum 1-articulate, scale-like, provided with 4 apical setae; calceoli absent. Antenna 2 (Figure 2(g), 2(h)): length ratio of peduncular articles 3–5 1.0:

1.9: 1.9; gland cone length 0.8 times that of peduncular article 3, not prolonged, with 2 apical



134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

setae; peduncular article 3 with pair of setae on anterior margin, 3 setae on medial face of semi-circular elevation, single seta on lateral face, 3 setae on posterodistal corner; peduncular article 4 with 3 pairs or clusters of setae on anterior margin, 3 pairs of setae on medial surface, and a few short setae on posterior margin; peduncular article 5 with single seta and 2 pairs of setae on anterior margin, short single seta on posterior margin, 2 pairs of setae on medial surface, cluster of setae on anterodistal and posterodistal corners; flagellum with 10+ articles (some distal articles broken); calceoli absent. Upper lip (Figure 2(i)) ventral margin convex, rounded, with minute setae. Left and right mandibular incisors (Figure 2(j), 2(k)) 6-dentate, with left lacinia mobilis 5-dentate and right tridentate; left and right accessory setal rows with 6 and 5 blade setae, respectively; molar process triturative with plumose seta; palp 3-articulate, length ratio of left and right palp articles 1–3 1.0:2.7:2.4 and 1.0:2.5:2.2, article 1 bare, article 2 with 10 setae, article 3 with pair of A-, 2 C-, 5 D- and 7-E setae, lateral surface with many fine setae. Lower lip (Figure 2(1)) outer lobes broad, setulose, mandibular lobes narrow; inner lobes indistinct. Maxilla 1 (Figure 2(m), 2(n)) inner plate narrow with 3 plumose setae; outer plate rectangular with 10 serrate robust setae; palp 2-articulate; article 1 bare; article 2 with 6 robust and 1 slender setae on apical and subapical margins, respectively, outer margin without setae. Maxilla 2 (Figure 2(o)) inner plate with oblique inner row of 2 plumose setae; outer plate with about 19–20



154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

slender setae on subapically. Maxilliped (Figure 3(a)) inner plate not exceeding palp article 1, with 3 robust setae subapically, medial face with oblique row of plumose setae; outer plate exceeding palp article 1, with 2 thick plumose setae and robust setae apically; palp 4articulate, article 2 oblong, with a row of setae, article 3 unlobate, article 4 shorter than article 3, nail present and not spinose along the inferior margin but with 3 sub-apical setae. Gnathopod 1 (Figure 3(d), 3(e)) shorter than gnathopod 2; coxa subrectangular, left and right coxae with 6 and 4 short setae on ventral margins, respectively; basis length about 3 times longer than wide, with long setae on anterior and posterior margins, and inner surface; ischium with setae on posterodistal corner; merus with long ventral setae; carpus 0.8 times as long as propodus, with finely serrate setae on posterodistal corner; propodus subrectangular, length 1.9 times longer than wide; left propodus with single seta, pair and cluster of setae on anterior margin, single seta and 2 clusters of setae on posterior margin, right propodus single seta and pair and cluster of setae on anterior margin, 2 clusters of setae on posterior margin, palm (Figure 3(e)) oblique, about 0.6 times as long as posterior margin, smoothly connected with posterior margin by 2 medial and 2 lateral robust setae; dactylus with seta on anterior margin and 2 short setae subapically, nail indistinct. Gnathopod 2 (Figure 3(f), 3(g)) coxa with 6 setae on ventral margin; basis sub-linear, length about 3 times longer than wide, with anterior and posterior marginal setae; ischium with 3 setae on posterodistal corner; merus with long setae distally; carpus 1.2 times as long as propodus; propodus subrectangular, length 2.3



173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

times longer than wide, with 2 pairs and cluster of setae on posterior margin, palm (Figure 3(g)) oblique, about 0.5 times as long as posterior margin, smoothly connected with posterior margin by 3 medial and 1 lateral robust setae in left gnathopod 2, by 4 medial and 1 lateral robust setae in right one; dactyl similar to that of Gnathopod 1. Pereopod 3 (Figure 4(a)) coxa ovate, with 6 short setae on ventral margin and robust seta on posterodistal corner; length ratio of from basis to propodus 1.0:0.2:0.6:0.5:0.6; basis subliner, with long setae on anterior and posterior margins; ischium with 2 setae on posterodistal corner; merus with 2 setae on anterior margin, single seta and cluster of setae on posterior margin; carpus with seta on anterior and posterior margins; propodus with 2 setae on anterior margin, 2 robust and 1 slender setae on posterior margin; dactyls 0.4 times as long as propodus, bearing seta on anterior margin and 2 minute setae subapically. Pereopod 4 (Figure 4(b)) coxa with shallow posterior concavity, right ventral margins of left and right coxae with 10 and 8 short setae, respectively; length ratio from basis to propodus 1.0:0.3:0.6:0.6:0.6; anterior and posterior margins of basis with long setae; ischium with 1 or 2 setae on posterodistal corner; merus with 1 or 2 setae on anterior margin, 2 setae on posterior margin; anterior and posterior margins of carpus with 1 and 2 setae, respectively; propodus with 2 setae on anterior margin, single and pair robust setae on posterior margin; dactyl 0.3 times as long as propodus, bearing seta on anterior margin and 2 minute setae subapically. Pereopod 5 (Figure 4(c)) coxa bilobed, anterior lobe with small seta, posterior lobe with 2 robust and 1



192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

small setae; length ratio from basis to dactylus 1.0:0.3:0.7:0.8:0.3; basis ovate, posteroventrally lobate; ischium with pair of setae on anterodistal corner; merus with single and pair of setae on anterior margin, robust seta on posterior margin; carpus with single and pair of robust setae on anterior margin, posterior margin with seta; propodus with 2 pairs of robust setae on anterior margin, single and pair of setae on posterior margin; dactylus with seta on posterior margin and 2 small subapical setae. Pereopod 6 (Figure 4(d)) coxa bilobate, posterior lobe with 1 robust and 1 slender setae; length ratio from basis to dactylus 1.0:0.3:0.8:0.7:0.8:0.3; basis ovate, posteroventrally lobate; ischium with 2 setae on anterodistal corner; merus with single and pair of setae on anterior margin, robust seta on posterior margin; carpus with single and pair of robust setae on anterior margin, posterior margin with 2 robust setae; propodus with 2 single and 1 pair of robust setae on anterior margin, single and pair of robust setae on posterior margin; dactylus with seta on posterior margin and 2 small subapical setae. Pereopod 7 (Figure 4(e)) coxa semicircular with 3 setae on posteroventral margin; length ratio from basis to dactylus 1.0:0.2:0.6:0.6:0.7:0.2; basis ovate, posteroventrally lobate; ischium with 2 setae on anterodistal corner; anterior and posterior margins of merus and carpus with 2 robust setae; propodus with single and 2 pairs of robust setae on anterior margin, 2 robust and 1 small setae on posterior margin; dactylus with seta on posterior margin and small subapical seta.

Coxal gills (Figures 3(f), 4(a-c), 4(e)) ovate, on gnathopod 2 to percopod 7. Sternal gill

and hump absent. Brood plates (Figure 3(h), 3(i)) on gnathopod 2, pereopods 3 and 4 large,



210



211 brood plate on pereopod 5 small, narrow, strap-like. Pleopods 1–3 (Figure 5(a–c)) peduncles with paired retinacula on inner distal margin, and 212 with facial setae; pleopods 1–3 inner ramui 7-, 8- and 7-articulate, respectively, with bifid 213 plumose setae (clothes-pin setae) on inner basal margins, terminal setae on rami length 0.7– 2140.9 times that of rami; outer rami 10-, 10- and 9-articulate, respectively. 215 Uropod 1 (Figure 5(d)) not extending beyond peduncle of uropod 3; peduncle with 2 and 216 217 4–5 robust setae along medial and lateral ridges, respectively, basofacial seta absent; inner ramus length 0.7 times that of peduncle, with 1–2 robust setae on inner margin; outer ramus 218 length 0.9 times that of inner ramus, with outer marginal robust seta. Uropod 2 (Figure 5(e)) 219 length 0.7 times that of uropod 1; peduncle with 1 medial and 2 lateral robust setae dorsally; 220 inner ramus almost as long as peduncle, with 2 robust setae on inner margin; outer ramus 221222 length 0.7 times that of inner ramus, with outer marginal robust seta. Uropod 3 (Figure 5(f)) length 0.6 times that of uropod 1; both rami equal in length, length 1.2 times that of peduncle, 223 224 uniarticulate, each ramus with 2 subterminal setae; inner ramus with 5 and 2 robust setae on inner and outer margins, respectively; outer ramus with 2 and 3 robust setae on inner and 225 outer margins, respectively. Telson (Figure 5(g)) tapering distally, length 1.3 times longer than 226 227 wide, cleft for 58%, lateral margin not concave, with several sub-lateral and facial setae, each 228 lobe bearing 1 long and 1 short setae sub-apically, apex rounded.





229	
230	Variation
231	Antenna 1 of one paratype (KUZ Z2042) length 0.4 and 1.4 times that of body and antenna 2
232	respectively.
233	
234	Distribution
235	Known only from the type locality.
236	
237	DNA sequences
238	In total, 3 sequences of the present paratype (KUZ Z1939) were determined by previous
239	studies (Tomikawa et al. 2014; Tomikawa et al. 2017; Nakano and Tomikawa 2018): nuclear
240	28S ribosomal RNA (AB778502; 787 bp), histone H3 (LC334142; 328 bp), mitochondrial
241	16S ribosomal RNA (LC334116; 418 bp), and cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (LC146870;
242	658 bp).
243	
244	Etymology
245	The specific name is an adjective derived from the name of the type locality of this new
246	species.
247	



249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

Remarks

Paramoera shakotanensis sp. nov. is characterized by the antennal sinus without sharp incision and the small number of setae on maxillae 1 and 2 (up to three on the inner plate of the maxilla 1 and up to two on oblique inner row of the inner plate of the maxilla 2). The present species shares these features with P. austrina (Bate, 1862), P. (H.) crassicauda Staude, 1995, P. hermitensis Barnard, 1932, and P. tristanensis Barnard, 1932. Paramoera shakotanensis sp. nov. can be distinguished from those five species by the following features (Bate 1862; Barnard 1932; Staude 1995): from P. austrina [features of P. austrina in parentheses], antennal sinus deep (shallow), epimeral plate 3 moderately (broadly) expanded posteriorly, and ventral margin of coxa of pereopod 4 rounded (almost straight); from P. crassicauda [features of P. crassicauda in parentheses], eyes large (small, reduced) and pereopod 7 with coxal gill (lacking); from P. hermitensis [features of P. hermitensis in parentheses], posterior margin of epimeral plate 3 smooth (posterior margin weakly serrate), telson length 1.3 (1.8) times longer than wide with almost straight (concave) lateral margins, and telson with sub-lateral and distal setae (distal setae only); and from P. tristanensis [features of *P. tristanensis* in parentheses], posterior margin of epimeral plate 3 smooth (posterior margin slightly crenulate) and lateral margins of telson almost straight (convex) with (without) setae.

The original descriptions of *P. fasciculate* (Thomson, 1880), *P. fissicauda* (Dana, 1852),



268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

and P. litoralis (Oldevig, 1959) lack information of antennal sinus and setal numbers of maxillae 1 and 2 (Dana 1852; Thomson 1880; Oldevig 1959). However, P. shakotanensis sp. nov. is distinguished from *P. fasciculate* and *P. litoralis* by the following features: palm of propodus of gnathopods 1 and 2 with 4 and 5 robust setae, respectively on posteroproximal corners (2-3 and 3 robust setae, respectively in P. litoralis), telson tapering distally (lateral margins parallel in *P. fasciculate*) with sub-lateral setae (lacking lateral setae in *P. litoralis*). The insufficient description of *P. fissicauda* makes difficult to compare with the present new species. Bellan-Santini and Ledoyer (1974) described *P. fissicauda* based on materials from the Kerguelen and Crozet. *Paramoera fissicauda* described by them has the sharply incised antennal sinus and the maxillae 1 and 2 with many setae, and thus obviously differs from P. shakotanensis sp. nov. However, Bellan-Santini and Ledoyer's P. fissicauda has been considered to be an undescribed species (De Broyer and Jazdzewski 1993). Accordingly, the taxonomic relationship between P. shakotanensis sp. nov. and P. fissicauda remains subject to a future study. Paramoera shakotanensis sp. nov. is also similar to P. anivae Labay, 2012 and P. erimoensis Kuribayashi and Kyono, 1995 in having an inferior antennal sinus lacking an incision, pereopod 7 with coxal gill, and rami of uropod 3 without plumose setae. However, P. shakotanensis can be distinguished from these two species by the following features (Kuribayashi and Kyono 1995; Labay 2012) [features of P. anivae and P. erimoensis in



287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

parentheses]: from *P. anivae*, peduncular article 2 gland cone of antenna 2 with 2 setae (with 6 setae), inner plate of maxilla 1 with 3 plumose setae (5 plumose setae), outer margin of palp article 2 of maxilla 1 without seta (with seta), inner plate of maxilla 2 with oblique inner row of 2 plumose setae (3 plumose setae); and from P. erimoensis, inner plate of maxilla 1 with 3 plumose setae (5 plumose setae), inner plate of maxilla 2 with oblique inner row of 2 plumose setae (3 plumose setae), and carpus of female gnathopod 2 longer than propodus (shorter than propodus). Paramoera shakotanensis sp. nov. possesses large eyes despite this species inhabits interstitial habitats. Unlike the epigean species, the previously known hypogean Paramoera amphipods can be characterised by their eyes, which are vestigial or completely lacking, among other characteristics, and thus, four subgenera have been erected for those species (Staude 1995; Sidorov 2010). Of the four described subgenera, three subgenera, Moanamoera Staude, 1995, Humilomoera Staude, 1995 and Rhithromoera Staude, 1995, were erected by Staude (1995) for the subterranean and/or interstitial species distributed in the North Pacific. The subgenus Moanamoera was established for the Paramoera amphipod inhabiting brackish lava ponds in the Hawaiian Islands (Barnard 1977; Staude 1995), and the remaining two subgenera, i.e. Humilomoera and Rhithromoera, were erected from the interstitial subtidal and/or brackish pool species inhabiting the eastern coast of the North Pacific (Staude 1995). Recently, an additional subgenus, Ganigamoera Sidorov, 2010, was described for the



306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

stygobitic Paramoera species inhabiting inland freshwater habitats in the Russian Far East (Sidorov 2010). The remaining species which were not included in those four subgenera have been assigned to the subgenus Paramoera (Staude 1995). As described above, the new species bears morphological characteristics mostly consistent with the diagnosis of Humilomoera as defined by Staude (1995). However, the new species possesses large eyes and a coxal gill on pereopod 7, two characteristics that are at odds with Staude's (1995) definition of this subgenus. Eye reduction is a character that can differ even among two populations of the same species of amphipod if, for example, one population lives underground and the other inhabits surface waters (Culver et al. 1995). Therefore, the absence of eyes in the previously known *Humilomoera* species is deemed to be a highly derived character that is related to their interstitial habitats. Moreover, another subgenus, Rhithromoera, also contains species with and without a coxal gill on pereopod 7 (Staude 1995). It was stated that the subgenus-level classification of *Paramoera* remained unresolved, when the two subgenera *Humulomoera* and *Rhithromoera* were erected (Staude 1995). Moreover, it was implied that the other subgenus *Ganigamoera* might not be a monophyletic taxon (Sidorov 2010). The subterranean P. relicta was once classified within the genus Relictomoera Barnard and Karaman, 1982, of which the type species is P. relicta. According to a systematic revision of *P. relicta* (Nakano and Tomikawa 2018), however, *P. relicta* was



325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

genetically close to the epigean P. koysama synonymizing Relictomoera with Paramoera. Since the precise phylogenetic relationships among *P. relicta* and the other hypogean species classified within the three subgenera remained unresolved, Relictomoera was not treated as a valid subgenus in Nakano and Tomikawa (2018). Therefore, the new species from Hokkaido is not assigned to the subgenus Humilomoera as well as other subgenera, so as to avoid additional taxonomic confusion. To clarify the subgeneric assignment of *P. shakotanensis* sp. nov., as well as to test the validity of subgenus-level classification of *Paramoera*, a molecular phylogenetic study should be necessary along with evaluating morphological characteristics of all Paramoera species. A few Paramoera species show sexual dimorphism in their pleopods (Kuribayashi and Kyono 1995; Sidorov 2010). The outer ramus of pleopod 2 in their males is modified as more or less shortened and broadened with thickened short setae distally, whereas that of the females shows usual form common in Paramoera (Kuribayashi and Kyono 1995; Sidorov 2010). However, it remains unclear whether P. shakotanensis sp. nov. exhibits sexual dimorphism in its pleopods, since any males of the new species have not been collected. The morphological characteristics of the males of *P. shakotanensis* sp. nov. should be documented by a future taxonomic study; the DNA sequences provided from the paratype of the new species will greatly help identify male individuals of the new species.



References

344	
345	Barnard JL. 1977. The cavernicolous fauna of Hawaiian lava tubes. 9. Amphipoda (Crustacea)
346	from brackish lava ponds on Hawaii and Maui. Pac Insects. 17:267–299.
347	Barnard JL, Karaman GS. 1982. Classificatory revisions in gammaridean Amphipoda
348	(Crustacea), part 2. Proc Biol Soc Wash. 95: 167–187.
349	Barnard KH. 1932. Amphipoda. Discovery Rep. 5: 1–326.
350	Bate CS. 1862. Catalogue of the Specimens of Amphipodous Crustacea in the Collection of
351	the British Museum. London: British Museum.
352	Bellan- Santini D, Ledoyer M. 1974. Gammariens (Crustacea-Amphipoda) des Iles Kerguelen
353	et Crozet. Tethys. 5:635–707.
353 354	et Crozet. Tethys. 5:635–707. Culver DC, Kane TC, Fong DW. 1995. Adaptation and natural selection in caves: the
354	Culver DC, Kane TC, Fong DW. 1995. Adaptation and natural selection in caves: the
354 355	Culver DC, Kane TC, Fong DW. 1995. Adaptation and natural selection in caves: the Evolution of <i>Gammarus minus</i> . Cambridge (MA): Harvard University Press.
354 355 356	Culver DC, Kane TC, Fong DW. 1995. Adaptation and natural selection in caves: the Evolution of <i>Gammarus minus</i> . Cambridge (MA): Harvard University Press. Dana JD. 1852. Conspectus Crustaceorum quae in Orbis Terrarum circumnavigatione, Carolo
354 355 356 357	Culver DC, Kane TC, Fong DW. 1995. Adaptation and natural selection in caves: the Evolution of <i>Gammarus minus</i> . Cambridge (MA): Harvard University Press. Dana JD. 1852. Conspectus Crustaceorum quae in Orbis Terrarum circumnavigatione, Carolo Wilkes e Classe Reipublicae Faederatae Duce. Proc. Amer. Acad. Arts Sci. 2: 201–220.
354 355 356 357 358	Culver DC, Kane TC, Fong DW. 1995. Adaptation and natural selection in caves: the Evolution of <i>Gammarus minus</i> . Cambridge (MA): Harvard University Press. Dana JD. 1852. Conspectus Crustaceorum quae in Orbis Terrarum circumnavigatione, Carolo Wilkes e Classe Reipublicae Faederatae Duce. Proc. Amer. Acad. Arts Sci. 2: 201–220. De Broyer C, Jazdzewski K 1993. Contribution to the marine biodiversity inventory. A





362 intertidal zone of Hokkaido, northern Japan. Zool Sci. 7:955–959. 363 Jung TW, Kim JG, Yoon SM. 2016. Two new species of pontogeneiid amphipods (Crustacea, Senticaudata, Calliopioidea) from Korean waters. ZooKeys. 635:53–79. 364 Kuribayashi K, Kyono M. 1995. Two new species of the genus *Paramoera* (Amphipoda, 365 Gammaridea) from Hokkaido, northern Japan, with special reference to their strangely 366 transformed second pleopod. Crustaceana. 68:759–778. 367 Labay VS. 2012. Paramoera anivae a new species of Eusiridae Stebbing, 1888 (Crustacea: 368 369 Amphipoda: Gammaridea) from the Okhotsk Sea. Zootaxa. 3475:69–85. Miers EJ. 1875. Descriptions of new species of Crustacea collected at Kerguelen's Island by 370 the Rev. A. E. Eaton. Ann Mag Nat Hist Ser 4. 16:73–76. 371 Nakano T, Tomikawa K. 2018. Reassessment of the groundwater amphipod Paramoera 372 373 relicta synonymizes the genus Relictomoera with Paramoera (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Pontogeneiidae). Zool Sci. 35: 459-467. 374 Oldevig H. 1959. Arctic, Subarctic and Scandinavian Amphipods in the collections of the 375 Swedish Natural History Museum in Stockholm. Goteborgs Kungl Vetenskaps- och 376 Vitterhets-Samhalles Handlingar, sjatte foljden, ser B. 8:1–132 377 Sidorov DA. 2010. A new subgenus of eusirid amphipod (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Eusiridae) 378 379 from subterranean waters and springs of the Eastern Sikhote-Alin Mountain Ridge, with comments on the morphology of sternal humps, genital papillae and pleopods. Zootaxa. 380





381	2518:1–31.
382	Staude CP. 1995. The amphipod genus <i>Paramoera</i> Miers (Gammaridea: Eusiroidea:
383	Pontogeneiidae) in the eastern north Pacific. Amphipacifica. 1:61–102.
384	Stebbing TRR. 1906. Amphipoda I. Gammaridea. Berlin: R. Friedländer und Sohn. (Das
385	Tierreich. Eine Zusammenstellung und Kennzeichnung der rezenten Tierformen; 21).
386	Tomikawa K, Kyono M, Kuribayashi K, Nakano T. 2017. The enigmatic groundwater
387	amphipod Awacaris kawasawai revisited: synonymisation of the genus Sternomoera, with
388	molecular phylogenetic analyses of Awacaris and Sternomoera species
389	(Crustacea: Amphipoda: Pontogeneiidae). Invertebr Syst. 31:125–140.
390	Tomikawa K, Kobayashi N, Kyono M, Ishimaru S, Grygier MJ. 2014. Description of a new
391	species of Sternomoera (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Pontogeneiidae) from Japan, with an
392	analysis of the phylogenetic relationships among the Japanese species based on the 28S
393	rRNA gene. Zool Sci. 31:475–490.
394	Uéno M. 1971. The fauna of the insular lava caves in west Japan VII. Subterranean
395	Amphipoda. Bull Natl Sci Mus Tokyo. 14: 163–170.
396	





397	Figure captions
398	
399	Figure 1. Paramoera shakotanensis sp. nov., paratype, female, KUZ Z2042, lateral view.
400	Scale bar, 1.0 mm.
401	
402	Figure 2. <i>Paramoera shakotanensis</i> sp. nov., holotype, female, KUZ Z2041. (a) Head, lateral
403	view. (b–d) Epimeral plates 1–3, lateral views. (e) Antenna 1, medial view. (f) Accessory
404	flagellum of antenna 1, medial view. (g) Antenna 2, medial view. (h) Gland cone of
405	peduncular article 2 of antenna 2, medial view. (i) Upper lip, anterior view. (j), (k) Left and
406	right mandible, medial views. (l) Lower lip, ventral view. (m) Right maxilla 1, anterior view.
407	(n) Palp of left maxilla 1, anterior view. (o) Maxilla 2, anterior view. Scale bars, 0.1 mm.
408	
409	Figure 3. <i>Paramoera shakotanensis</i> sp. nov., holotype, female, KUZ Z2041. (a) Maxilliped,
410	anterior view. (b), (c) Inner and outer plates of maxilliped, anterior views. (d) Gnathopod 1,
411	medial view. (e) Palmar margin of propodus and dactylus of gnathopod 1, medial view. (f)
412	Gnathopod 2, medial view. (g) Palmar margin of propodus and dactylus of gnathopod 2,
413	medial view. (h), (i) Brood plates of gnathopod 2 and pereopod 5, medial views. Scale bars,
414	0.1 mm.
415	





416	rigure 4. Faramoera snakotanensis sp. nov., nototype, temale, KOZ Z2041. (a–e) Pereopous
417	3–7, lateral (a), (c) and medial (b), (d), (e) views. Scale bars, 0.1 mm.
418	
419	Figure 5. Paramoera shakotanensis sp. nov., holotype, female, KUZ Z2041. (a–c) Pleopods
420	1–3, lateral (a), (c) and medial (b) views. (d–f) Uropods 1–3, ventral views. (g) Telson, ventral
421	view. Scale bars, 0.1 mm.
422	