



# Chromatic Bacteria – A Broad Host-Range Plasmid and Chromosomal Insertion Toolbox for Fluorescent Protein Expression in Bacteria

Rudolf O. Schlechter<sup>1,2</sup>, Hyunwoo Jun<sup>1†</sup>, Michał Bernach<sup>1,2†</sup>, Simisola Oso<sup>1</sup>, Erica Boyd<sup>1</sup>, Dian A. Muñoz-Lintz<sup>1</sup>, Renwick C. J. Dobson<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Daniela M. Remus<sup>1,2,4</sup> and Mitja N. P. Remus-Emsermann<sup>1,2\*</sup>

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited by:

Haiwei Luo,  
The Chinese University of Hong Kong,  
China

### Reviewed by:

Yongtao Zhu,  
Minnesota State University, Mankato,  
United States  
Beatriz Quiñones,  
United States Department  
of Agriculture – Agricultural Research  
Service, United States

### \*Correspondence:

Mitja N. P. Remus-Emsermann  
mitja.remus-emsermann@  
canterbury.ac.nz;  
mitja.remus-emsermann@gmail.com

† These authors have contributed  
equally to this work

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to  
Evolutionary and Genomic  
Microbiology,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Microbiology

**Received:** 14 September 2018

**Accepted:** 27 November 2018

**Published:** 12 December 2018

### Citation:

Schlechter RO, Jun H,  
Bernach M, Oso S, Boyd E,  
Muñoz-Lintz DA, Dobson RCJ,  
Remus DM and  
Remus-Emsermann MNP (2018)  
Chromatic Bacteria – A Broad  
Host-Range Plasmid  
and Chromosomal Insertion Toolbox  
for Fluorescent Protein Expression  
in Bacteria. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:3052.  
doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.03052

<sup>1</sup> School of Biological Sciences, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand, <sup>2</sup> Biomolecular Interaction Centre, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand, <sup>3</sup> Bio21 Molecular Science and Biotechnology Institute, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia, <sup>4</sup> Protein Science & Engineering, Callaghan Innovation, School of Biological Sciences, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

Differential fluorescent labeling of bacteria has become instrumental for many aspects of microbiological research, such as the study of biofilm formation, bacterial individuality, evolution, and bacterial behavior in complex environments. We designed a variety of plasmids, each bearing one of eight unique, constitutively expressed fluorescent protein genes in conjunction with one of four different antibiotic resistance combinations. The fluorophores mTagBFP2, mTurquoise2, sGFP2, mClover3, sYFP2, mOrange2, mScarlet-I, and mCardinal, encoding for blue, cyan, green, green–yellow, yellow, orange, red, and far-red fluorescent proteins, respectively, were combined with selectable markers conferring tetracycline, gentamicin, kanamycin, and/or chloramphenicol resistance. These constructs were cloned into three different plasmid backbones: a broad host-range plasmid, a Tn5 transposon delivery plasmid, and a Tn7 transposon delivery plasmid. The utility of the plasmids and transposons was tested in bacteria from the phyla Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, and Bacteroidetes. We were able to tag representatives from the phylum Proteobacteria at least via our Tn5 transposon delivery system. The present study enables labeling bacteria with a set of plasmids available to the community. One potential application of fluorescently-tagged bacterial species is the study of bacteria–bacteria, bacteria–host, and bacteria–environment interactions.

**Keywords:** fluorophore, fluorescent labeling, tagging, Tn5, Tn7, transposon

## INTRODUCTION

Labeling bacterial strains using fluorescent proteins has been used in manifold investigations, such as the study of biofilm formation, bacterial individuality and evolution, and bacterial behavior in complex environments (e.g., plant surfaces, soil, or the mammalian gut) (Tolker-Nielsen and Molin, 2000; Monier and Lindow, 2005; Kroupitski et al., 2009; Tecon and Leveau, 2012; Diard et al., 2013; Remus-Emsermann et al., 2013b; Whitaker et al., 2017; Remus-Emsermann and Schlechter, 2018). Such studies require the equipment of bacteria with bright, stable, fast maturing, and highly

abundant fluorescent proteins, which ideally offer unique spectral properties to unambiguously distinguish between differently labeled fluorescent bacteria. This is especially true during non-invasive *in situ* studies without additional staining procedures, such as fluorescence *in situ* hybridization, and where autofluorescence and low signal-to-noise ratio might hinder observations (Remus-Emsermann and Schlechter, 2018).

To date, several mechanisms have been described by which fluorescent protein genes can be delivered into bacterial cells and/or integrated into their chromosomes (Andersen et al., 1998; Bloemberg et al., 2000; Miller et al., 2000; Lamberts et al., 2004; Choi and Schweizer, 2006; Lagendijk et al., 2010; Ledermann et al., 2015; Schada von Borzyskowski et al., 2015; Barbier and Heath Damron, 2016; Remus-Emsermann et al., 2016a). Plasmids are attractive tools for fluorescent protein expression in bacteria, as they can be easily delivered into host cells and lead to high fluorescent protein production due to their presence in multiple copies (Million-Weaver et al., 2012). Plasmid stability usually requires a continuous selection (e.g., antibiotics; Andersson and Hughes, 2011) and, while only some plasmids were found to be maintained in bacterial populations without the pressure exerted by antibiotics (Bloemberg et al., 2000; Rodriguez et al., 2017), the absence of a selective pressure was reported to lead to plasmid loss in growing bacterial populations (Summers, 1991; Smith and Bidochka, 1998; Lau et al., 2013). However, some experimental systems are not compatible with the use of antibiotics as a selective pressure. In natural environments, bacteria often occur as biofilms, where cells are fixed in space and enclosed in an extracellular polymeric substance matrix. Within a biofilm, individual cells experience heterogeneous environments, and owing to their location, they might either be exposed to or protected from antibiotic pressure (Stewart and Costerton, 2001).

To overcome the disadvantage of plasmid loss or their inability to replicate in some hosts, chromosomal insertion of fluorescent markers is advantageous. Chromosomal insertions cannot be lost in the same fashion as plasmids during division, and the probability of mutations that would disrupt the functionality of fluorescent protein genes is low. Several molecular tools and mechanisms exist to integrate chromosomal insertions into bacterial genomes, such as homologous recombination (Ledermann et al., 2015), CRISPR-Cas9 (Jinek et al., 2012), Zinc-finger nucleases (Carroll, 2011), or transposase-based systems (Reznikoff, 2008; Liu et al., 2013; Peters, 2015).

Transposon systems can differ widely in their host specificity and mode of integration. For instance, the Tn5 transposon has been shown to be functional in a wide range of Gram-negative bacteria and to randomly insert into their genomes with high efficiency (Reznikoff, 2008). This ability has been exploited to generate random mutation libraries (de Lorenzo et al., 1990; Christen et al., 2011), but also to integrate fluorescent protein genes into bacterial genomes (Andersen et al., 1998; Schada von Borzyskowski et al., 2015). In contrast to Tn5 transposons, Tn7 transposons only integrate into specific regions, such as the *attTn7* site, in the host chromosome. Integration at *attTn7* is mediated by four transposases, TnsA, B, C, and D and appears to be prevalent in phylogenetically diverse species (Choi and Schweizer, 2006; McKenzie and Craig, 2006; Parks and


Peters, 2007). Even though the host range of Tn7 transposons is limited compared to the Tn5 transposon, it has the tremendous advantage that the insertion does not disrupt any genes and has been argued to have little effect on bacterial fitness (Enne et al., 2005).

Here, we developed three sets of plasmids, which contain genes encoding for the latest generation of fluorescent proteins, i.e., that have blue, cyan, green, yellow, orange, red, and far-red fluorescence emissions, with different degrees of spectral overlap. The fluorescent protein genes mTagBFP2 (mTB2), mTurquoise2 (mTq2), sGFP2, mClover3 (mCl3), sYFP2, mOrange2 (mO2), mScarlet-I (mSc), and mCardinal (mCa) were combined with four combinations of antibiotic resistance genes, i.e., gentamicin, kanamycin, tetracycline and/or chloramphenicol, on three different plasmid backbones: a broad host-range plasmid, a Tn5 transposon delivery plasmid, and a Tn7 transposon delivery plasmid (Figure 1). The broad host-range plasmid contains the pBBR1 origin of replication (Miller et al., 2000). The Tn5 transposon plasmid pAG408 is based on an R6K origin of replication. It is a suicide plasmid that only replicates in presence of the  $\pi$  factor (Suarez et al., 1997). The Tn7 transposon plasmid pGRG36 is based on the thermo-unstable pSC101 origin of replication and is therefore a conditional suicide plasmid for species closely related to *Escherichia coli* and a suicide plasmid in all other bacteria (McKenzie and Craig, 2006). The expression of the fluorescence protein genes is driven by the *nptII* promoter of the neomycin phosphotransferase gene (i.e., kanamycin resistance gene). The *nptII* promoter is considered constitutive, strong and was previously used to drive fluorescent protein expression from chromosomal insertions (Ledermann et al., 2015; Ramirez-Mata et al., 2018). We demonstrate the delivery of plasmids and transposons in a broad phylogenetic background of recently isolated environmental bacteria. Furthermore, we show the heterologous expression of fluorescent proteins at the single-cell resolution in those environmental bacterial strains using fluorescence microscopy.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Media and Growth Conditions

Bacterial strains and their growth media are shown in Table 1. Growth media were prepared according to the manufacturer's recommendations and supplemented with 1.5% agar (Agar No.1, Oxoid) where commercial broth media was used as a base: lysogeny broth agar (LBA, Lysogeny broth Miller, Merck), tryptic soy broth agar (TSA, Merck), nutrient agar (NA, Nutrient broth, Oxoid), or Reasoner's 2a agar (R2A, HiMedia). *E. coli* carrying pMRE or pMRE-Tn5 plasmids were cultivated at 37°C, whilst pMRE-Tn7 plasmid-carrying cells were cultivated at 30°C to prevent plasmid loss. All other strains used in this study were cultivated at 30°C. For counterselection after conjugation, auxotroph *E. coli* S17-1 was grown on either MM2 agar medium (Zengerer et al., 2018) (4.0 g L<sup>-1</sup> L-asparagine, 2.0 g L<sup>-1</sup> K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.2 g L<sup>-1</sup> MgSO<sub>4</sub> · 7H<sub>2</sub>O, 3.0 g L<sup>-1</sup> NaCl, 10.0 g L<sup>-1</sup> sorbitol, 15 g L<sup>-1</sup> agar) or minimal agar medium (Harder et al., 1973) (1.62 g L<sup>-1</sup> NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, 0.2 g L<sup>-1</sup> MgSO<sub>4</sub>,



1.59 g L<sup>-1</sup> K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 1.8 g L<sup>-1</sup> NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> · 2H<sub>2</sub>O, 15 g L<sup>-1</sup> agar, with the following trace elements: 15 mg L<sup>-1</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>EDTA<sub>2</sub> · H<sub>2</sub>O, 4.5 mg L<sup>-1</sup> ZnSO<sub>4</sub> · 7H<sub>2</sub>O, 3 mg L<sup>-1</sup> CoCl<sub>2</sub> · 6H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.6 mg L<sup>-1</sup> MnCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mg L<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, 3.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.4 mg L<sup>-1</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub> · 2H<sub>2</sub>O, 3 mg L<sup>-1</sup> FeSO<sub>4</sub> · 7H<sub>2</sub>O, and 0.3 mg L<sup>-1</sup> CuSO<sub>4</sub> · 5H<sub>2</sub>O) supplemented with 0.4% w/v succinate or glucose were used, depending on the recipient strain (Table 1). Where appropriate, media were supplemented with antibiotics in the following concentrations: 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> ampicillin, 50 mg L<sup>-1</sup> kanamycin, 20 mg L<sup>-1</sup> gentamicin, 15 mg L<sup>-1</sup> chloramphenicol, or 15 mg L<sup>-1</sup> tetracycline.

## Plasmid Construction

All plasmids used or constructed in this study are shown in Table 2. Generic plasmid maps and cloning procedures are presented in Figure 1. For plasmid construction, PCRs were performed using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA

polymerase (Thermo Scientific) following the manufacturer's recommendations. Annealing temperatures (*T<sub>a</sub>*) were chosen based on the respective melting temperature (*t<sub>m</sub>*) of the primers (Table 3). Touchdown PCRs were performed to amplify PCR products with overlapping ends for isothermal assemblies. To that end, the initial *T<sub>a</sub>* was set to 10°C above the lowest *t<sub>m</sub>* of the respective primers and the *T<sub>a</sub>* was gradually reduced 1°C per cycle for a total of 10 cycles. After the 10th cycle, a two-step PCR with *T<sub>a</sub>* set to 72°C was performed for 25 cycles.

Several plasmids that were used as source for fluorescent protein genes were acquired from Addgene, a non-profit plasmid repository<sup>1</sup>: pGRG36 was a gift from Nancy Craig (Addgene plasmid #16666). pTriEx-RhoA-wt\_mScarlet-I\_SGFP2 (Addgene plasmid #85071) and pLifeAct-mTurquoise2 (Addgene plasmid #36201) were gifts from Dorus Gadella. pNCS-mClover3 was a gift from Michael Lin (Addgene plasmid #74236). Plasmids mCardinal-pBAD (Addgene plasmid #54800) and mTagBFP2-pBAD (Addgene plasmid

**TABLE 1** | Bacterial strains, their relevant naturally-occurring antibiotic resistances, standard growth media, and conditions for selection after conjugation experiments.

Strain	Relevant resistances	Growth medium	Source
<i>E. coli</i> DH5 $\alpha$ [F- recA1 endA1 $\phi$ 80lacZ $\Delta$ M15 supE44 thi-1 relA1 gyrA96 phoA $\Delta$ (lacZYA-argF)U169 hsdR17(rk-, mk+) tonA]	n.a.	LBA	Thermo Fisher Scientific
<i>E. coli</i> HST08 [F- recA1 endA1 $\phi$ 80lacZ $\Delta$ M15 supE4 thi-1 relA1 gyrA96 phoA $\Delta$ (lacZYA-argF)U169 $\Delta$ (mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) mcrA]	n.a.	LBA	Stellar, Clontech
<i>E. coli</i> Top10 [F- recA1 endA1 $\phi$ 80lacZ $\Delta$ M15 $\Delta$ (mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) $\Delta$ lacX74 araD139 $\Delta$ (araleu)7697 galU galK rpsL (StrR) nupG mcrA]	n.a.	LBA	Thermo Fisher Scientific
<i>E. coli</i> S17-1 [F- recA1 endA1 $\Delta$ pro-82 $\Delta$ hsdR RP4-2(Tc::Mu-1,Km::Tn7) $\lambda$ ,pir]	n.a.	LBA	
<i>Acidovorax</i> sp. Leaf84	n.a.	NA/Succinate	Bai et al., 2015
<i>Bradyrhizobium</i> sp. Leaf396	n.a.	R2A/Succinate	Bai et al., 2015)
<i>Erwinia amylovora</i> CFBP1430S	n.a.	TSA/Succinate	Smits et al., 2010
<i>Methylobacterium</i> sp. Leaf92	n.a.	R2A/Succinate	Bai et al., 2015
<i>Microbacterium</i> sp. Leaf320	Gent <sup>R</sup>	NA/Glucose	Bai et al., 2015
<i>Pantoea agglomerans</i> 299R	Cam <sup>R</sup>	TSA/Succinate	Remus-Emsermann et al., 2013a
<i>Pedobacter</i> sp. Leaf194	Gent <sup>R</sup> , Kan <sup>R</sup>	R2A/R2A + Gent	Bai et al., 2015
<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> B728a	Cam <sup>R</sup>	TSA/Succinate	Feil et al., 2005
<i>Pseudomonas citronellolis</i> P3B5	Cam <sup>R</sup>	NA/Succinate	Remus-Emsermann et al., 2016b
<i>Sphingomonas melonis</i> FR1	n.a.	NA/Succinate	Innerebner et al., 2011
<i>Rhodococcus</i> sp. Leaf225	n.a.	NA/Glucose	Bai et al., 2015

n.a., not applicable.

**TABLE 2** | Plasmids used in this work.

Name	Notable features	Source
pFru97(pPROBE)	Kan <sup>R</sup> , Cam <sup>R</sup>	Tecon and Leveau, 2012
pGRG36	Tn7 transposon, Amp <sup>R</sup>	McKenzie and Craig, 2006
pAG408	Tn5 transposon, Amp <sup>R</sup> , Gent <sup>R</sup> , Kan <sup>R</sup>	Suarez et al., 1997
mTagBFP2-pBAD	mTagBFP2	Subach et al., 2011
pLifeAct-mTurquoise2	mTurquoise2	Goedhart et al., 2012
pMREtn5-Ptuf-sYFP2	sYFP2	Hans-Martin Fischer lab
pNCS-mClover3	mClover3	Bajar et al., 2016
mOrange2-pBAD	mOrange2	Michael Davidson lab
pTriEx-RhoA-wt_mScarlet-I_sGFP2	mScarlet-I, sGFP2	Bindels et al., 2017
mCardinal-pBAD	mCardinal	Chu et al., 2014
pRJpahp-gfp+	nptII promoter	Ledermann et al., 2015
pTE105-mChe	Tet <sup>R</sup>	Schada von Borzyskowski et al., 2015
pMRE13X	Kan <sup>R</sup> , Cam <sup>R</sup>	This work
pMRE14X	Kan <sup>R</sup> , Cam <sup>R</sup> , Gent <sup>R</sup>	This work
pMRE15X	Kan <sup>R</sup> , Cam <sup>R</sup> , Kan <sup>R</sup>	This work
pMRE16X	Kan <sup>R</sup> , Cam <sup>R</sup> , Tet <sup>R</sup>	This work
pMRE-Tn7-13X	Tn7 transposon, Amp <sup>R</sup> , Cam <sup>R</sup>	This work
pMRE-Tn7-14X	Tn7 transposon, Amp <sup>R</sup> , Cam <sup>R</sup> , Gent <sup>R</sup>	This work
pMRE-Tn7-15X	Tn7 transposon, Amp <sup>R</sup> , Cam <sup>R</sup> , Kan <sup>R</sup>	This work
pMRE-Tn7-16X	Tn7 transposon, Amp <sup>R</sup> , Cam <sup>R</sup> , Tet <sup>R</sup>	This work
pMRE-Tn5-13X	Tn5 transposon, Amp <sup>R</sup> , Cam <sup>R</sup>	This work
pMRE-Tn5-14X	Tn5 transposon, Amp <sup>R</sup> , Cam <sup>R</sup> , Gent <sup>R</sup>	This work
pMRE-Tn5-15X	Tn5 transposon, Amp <sup>R</sup> , Cam <sup>R</sup> , Kan <sup>R</sup>	This work
pMRE-Tn5-16X	Tn5 transposon, Amp <sup>R</sup> , Cam <sup>R</sup> , Tet <sup>R</sup>	This work

X is a placeholder for either 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, or 7 representing mTagBFP2, mTurquoise, sGFP2, sYFP2, mOrange, mScarlet-I, mCardinal, or mClover3 encoding versions of the plasmids, respectively.

#54572) were gifts from Michael Davidson and mOrange2-pBAD was a gift from Michael Davidson and Roger Tsien (Addgene plasmid #54531). pMREtn5-Ptuf-sYFP2 was a gift from Raphael Ledermann and Hans-Martin Fischer. pFru97

was a gift from Johan Leveau (Tecon and Leveau, 2012). All plasmids that were used for PCR amplification were isolated using the DNA-spin plasmid DNA purification kit (INtRON biotechnology) following the manufacturer's recommendations.

**TABLE 3** | Primer used in this work.

Name	Target	Sequence 5' to 3'*	<i>t<sub>m</sub></i> (°C) <sup>#</sup>
FWD_PnptII	<i>nptII</i> promoter on pRJPaph_gfp+	aggaattgggatcggaTTCGAGCTCGCACGCTGCCG	64
REV_PnptII_1	<i>nptII</i> promoter on pRJPaph_gfp+, overlap to mCa, mO2, mSc, sYFP2, mCl3	tgctccacatTTTTCTTCCTCCACTAGTA	47
REV_PnptII_2	<i>nptII</i> promoter on RJPaph_gfp+, overlap to mTB2	cttagacacatTTTTCTTCCTCCACTAGTA	47
REV_PnptII_3	<i>nptII</i> promoter on pRJPaph_gfp+ with overlap to mTq2 and sGFP2	ccttgctcacTTTTCTTCCTCCACTAGTA	47
FWD_FP1	mCardinal/mOrange2/mScarlet-1/ sYFP2/mClover3	ggaagaaaaATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGA	60
FWD_FP2	mTagBFP2	aggaagaaaaATGGTGCTAAGGGCAAGA	54
FWD_FP3	mTurquoise2/sGFP2	ggaagaaaaGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAGCT	61
REV_FP1	mTurquoise2	agtccaagctcagctaattaCTTGACAGCTCGTCCATGC	54
REV_FP2	sGFP2	agtccaagctcagctaattaCCCGCGCGGGTACGAACCT	66
REV_FP3	sYFP2	agtccaagctcagctaattaCTTACTTGACAGCTCGTCC	52
REV_FP4	mOrange2/mClover3	agtccaagctcagctaattaTACTTGACAGCTCGTCCA	52
REV_FP5	mScarlet-1/mCardinal	agtccaagctcagctaattaGGAGGTCGAGTATCTGGCC	58
REV_FP6	mTagBFP2	agtccaagctcagctaattaATTAAGCTTGTCGCCAGTT	54
FWD_Gent	Gent <sup>R</sup> on pAG408	gggatcgattcgagctTTAGTACCTAGATTAGATG	43
REV_Gent	Gent <sup>R</sup> on pAG408	cagcgtgcgagctTTAGGTGGCGGTACTTGGGT	59
FWD_Kan	Kan <sup>R</sup> on pAG408	gggatcgattcgagctAAGAATCCCTTGGGGTAT	51
REV_Kan	Kan <sup>R</sup> on pAG408	cagcgtgcgagctCTAAAACAATTCATCCAGTA	46
FWD_Tet	Tet <sup>R</sup> on pTE105-mChe	gggatcgattcgagctcTCAGCGATCGGCTCGTTGCC	63
REV_Tet	Tet <sup>R</sup> on pTE105-mChe	cttgcgagcgtgcgagctTCATGATAATAATGGTTCTTA	46
FWD_seq	Sequencing pMRE	ATAAACTGCCAGGAATTGGGG	56
REV_seq	Sequencing pMRE	CAACAGGAGTCCAAGCTCAG	56
FWD_pMRE-Tn5_1	pMRE fragment 1 with overlap to pAG408	ggctgcaggaattcgatcCATAAACTGCCAGGAATTGGGGATC	59
REV_pMRE-Tn5_1	pMRE fragment 1	GCCATGTAAGCCCACTGCAAGCTAC	63
FWD_pMRE-Tn5_2	pMRE fragment 2	GTAGCTTGACAGTGGGCTTACATGGC	63
REV_pMRE-Tn5_2	pMRE fragment 2 with overlap to pAG408	gtggcggtactgggtcgatcCTGGCGGCCGCAAGCTC	63
FWD_pMRE-Tn7	pMRE fragment for Tn7	ATAAACTGCCAGGAATTGGGG	60
REV_pMRE-Tn7	pMRE fragment for Tn7	CTGGCGGCCGCAAGCTCC	69
FWD_Tn5/7_gt	Tn5 and Tn7 mutant genotyping	ATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAG	58
REV_Tn5/7_gt	Tn5 and Tn7 mutant genotyping	CAACAGGAGTCCAAGCTCAG	56
FWD_Tn5_gt	Tn5 mutant genotyping	CTGAGTAGGACAAATCCGCCG	58
REV_Tn5_gt	Tn5 mutant genotyping	GCCTCGGCAGAAACGTTGG	60
FWD_Tn7_gt	Tn7 mutant genotyping	ACATAACGGACTAAGAAAAACACTACAC	56
REV_Tn7_gt	Tn7 mutant genotyping	GATCAACTCTATTCTCGCGGG	56
Tn7_gt	Tn7 mutant genotyping	GAATTACAACAGTACTGCGATGAG	55

\*Majuscules indicate complementary sequences to the original PCR target, minuscules indicate complementary sequences to the destination sequence. <sup>#</sup>The *t<sub>m</sub>* refers to the original target sequence only.

The designated plasmid backbones of the herein constructed plasmids, pFru97, pGRG36, and pAG408, were isolated using the Zippy plasmid midprep kit (Zymo) following the manufacturer's recommendations. Gel purification and PCR clean-up was performed using the Monarch DNA Gel Extraction Kit (NEB) or the DNA Clean & Concentrator-5 Kit (Zymo), respectively.

To construct the pMRE130 series, the promoter of the *nptII* gene (PnptII) was amplified from pRJPaph\_gfp+ using primer FWD\_PnptII, which contains overlapping sequences to HindIII-digested pFru97, and either primer REV\_PnptII\_1, REV\_PnptII\_2, or REV\_PnptII\_3, which contain overlapping sequences to the different fluorescent

protein genes. The fluorescent protein genes were amplified using primer FWD\_FP1, FWD\_FP2, or FWD\_FP3 with overlapping sequences to the PnptII fragment and primer REV\_FP1, REV\_FP2, REV\_FP3, REV\_FP4, REV\_FP5, or REV\_FP6 with overlapping sequences to HindIII-digested pFru97. The two resulting fragments were mixed with the HindIII-digested pFru97 backbone and isothermally assembled to yield plasmids pMRE130, pMRE131, pMRE132, pMRE133, pMRE134, pMRE135, pMRE136, and pMRE137. To construct the pMRE140 plasmid series, the gentamicin resistance gene (Gent<sup>R</sup>) was amplified from pAG408 using primers FWD\_Gent and REV\_Gent with overlaps to SacI-digested plasmids of the pMRE13X series, yielding pMRE140, pMRE141, pMRE142,

pMRE143, pMRE144, pMRE145, pMRE146, and pMRE147. To construct the pMRE150 plasmid series, the kanamycin resistance gene (Kan<sup>R</sup>) was amplified from pAG408 using primers FWD\_Kan and REV\_Kan with overlapping sequences to SacI-digested plasmids of the pMRE13X series, yielding pMRE150, pMRE151, pMRE152, pMRE153, pMRE154, pMRE155, pMRE156, and pMRE157. To construct the pMRE16X plasmid series, the tetracycline resistance genes (Tet<sup>R</sup>) were amplified from pTE105-mChe using primers FWD\_Tet and REV\_Tet with overlapping sequences to SacI-digested plasmids of the pMRE13X series, yielding pMRE160, pMRE161, pMRE162, pMRE163, pMRE164, pMRE165, pMRE166, and pMRE167.

Isothermal assembly was performed as described previously (Gibson et al., 2009; Benoit et al., 2016; Remus-Emsermann et al., 2016a). In short, plasmid backbones and inserts with overlapping sequences were mixed in a 1:3 molar ratio (20–100 ng backbone) to reach a total volume of 5  $\mu$ L. Then, 15  $\mu$ L of isothermal assembly mix were added and the reaction was incubated for 15 min at 50°C. Transformation of chemically competent *E. coli* strains was performed using standard procedures as recommended by the respective suppliers or as described in Sambrook et al. (1989) (see **Table 1**).

To construct the pMRE-Tn5 plasmid series, pMRE1XX plasmids were used as a template to amplify the desired DNA fragments, which include one of the eight fluorescent protein gene and one of the four antibiotic resistance marker combinations. Cassettes were amplified as two fragments using the primers FWD1\_pMRE\_pAG408 and RV1\_pMRE\_pAG408 or FWD2\_pMRE\_pAG408 and RV2\_pMRE\_pAG408. Primers FWD1\_pMRE\_pAG408 and RV2\_pMRE\_pAG408 contain overlapping sequences to EcoRV-digested pAG408. For isothermal assemblies, EcoRV-digested pAG408 was mixed with the insert fragments in a 1:3:3 ratio as described above and assembled plasmids were transformed into chemically competent *E. coli* S17-1.

To construct the pMRE-Tn7 family, fluorescent protein genes and antibiotic resistance genes were amplified from the pMRE1XX series using primers FWD\_pMRE-Tn7 and REV\_pMRE-Tn7. The amplicons were gel purified using the Monarch DNA Gel Extraction Kit (NEB) and phosphorylated using T4 polynucleotide kinase (Life Technologies). pGRG36 was SmaI digested and dephosphorylated using thermosensitive alkaline phosphatase according to the manufacturers' recommendations (Fast AP, Life Technologies). Following dephosphorylation, plasmids were purified using the DNA Clean & Concentrator<sup>TM</sup>-5 Kit (Zymo). Amplicons were then cloned into linearized and dephosphorylated pGRG36 using Quick-Stick T4 Ligase (Bioline). Ligations were purified using the DNA Clean & Concentrator<sup>TM</sup>-5 Kit and transformed into chemically competent *E. coli* (Top10, One Shot<sup>TM</sup> MAX Efficiency<sup>TM</sup> DH5<sup>TM</sup> $\alpha$ -T1R, or HST08).

All pMRE1XX series plasmids were verified by Sanger sequencing using primers FWD\_seq and REV\_seq at Macrogen (South Korea). pMRE-Tn5-1XX and pMRE-Tn7-1XX series plasmids were verified by PvuII restriction digests at 37°C for 1 h. To provide a convenient means of plasmid delivery, all plasmids were subsequently transformed into *E. coli* S17-1, which allows conjugations to recipient strains.

## Conjugation

Recipients were grown on standard agar media for up to 4 days, depending on the growth rate of each environmental strain (see **Table 1**). Donor strains *E. coli* S17-1 were grown overnight on LBA supplemented with either Kan or Amp to maintain pMRE or pMRE-Tn5 and pMRE-Tn7 plasmids, respectively (see **Table 1**). Plasmids delivered into recipient strains include pMRE135, pMRE145, pMRE165, pMRE-Tn5-143, pMRE-Tn5-145, pMRE-Tn5-165, pMRE-Tn7-145, or pMRE-Tn7-165. Freshly grown bacteria were harvested using a loop and resuspended in 1 $\times$  phosphate buffered saline (1 $\times$ PBS; 8 g L<sup>-1</sup> NaCl, 0.24 g L<sup>-1</sup> KCl, 1.42 g L<sup>-1</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.24 g L<sup>-1</sup> KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) to reach an OD<sub>600 nm</sub> of 1. Each recipient strain was mixed with their respective donor strains in 1:1 ratio and the mix was then concentrated by centrifugation (4000 g, 5 min) to reach an estimated OD<sub>600 nm</sub> of 20. Bacterial mixes were drop spotted on NA and incubated for 18 h at 30°C. For conjugations using pMRE-Tn7, 0.1% w/v arabinose was added to the medium, as the Tn7 transposase genes are under the control of an arabinose-inducible promoter. After incubation, the cells were harvested and resuspended in 1 mL 1 $\times$  PBS. The bacterial mix was spread onto appropriate minimal agar media containing a sole carbon source (either 0.4% w/v succinate or 0.4% w/v glucose, see **Table 1**) and appropriate antibiotics. Depending on the recipient strain, transconjugants appeared within 5–10 days. To further counterselect against *E. coli*, single colonies were restreaked at least twice onto fresh minimal media before growing them on complex media.

## Validation of Transposon Insertion Events

To provide a convenient tool to assess successful insertions of Tn5 and Tn7 transposons, a multiplex PCR was designed to determine the presence of plasmid backbones and fluorescent protein genes in one reaction. Primers FWD\_Tn5/7\_gt and RV\_Tn5/7\_gt were designed to amplify the fluorescent protein genes for both Tn5 and Tn7 delivery systems, while the combinations FWD\_Tn5\_gt and RV\_Tn5\_gt and FWD\_Tn7\_gt, RV\_Tn7\_gt, and Tn7\_gt amplify a specific fragment of the backbone of Tn5 and Tn7 plasmids, respectively. For Tn5 insertions, the primer mix FWD\_tn5/7\_gt, RV\_tn5/7\_gt, FWD\_tn5\_gt, and RV\_tn5\_gt was used. For Tn7 insertions, primer mix FWD\_tn5/7\_gt and RV\_tn5/7\_gt and primer mix FWD\_tn7\_gt, RV\_tn7\_gt, and Tn7\_gt were used. Reactions were performed using the KAPA2G Fast HotStart ReadyMix PCR kit (Kapa Biosystems) following the manufacturer's instructions.

## Fluorescent Protein Absorption and Emission Spectra and Fluorescence Intensity

The absorption and emission spectra of the different proteins were measured in a Cary Eclipse Fluorescence Spectrophotometer (Agilent Technologies). To that end, overnight cultures of *E. coli* strains expressing the different fluorescent proteins were harvested by centrifugation (4000 g, 3 min), washed in 1 $\times$  PBS and resuspended to reach an OD<sub>600 nm</sub> of 1. Then, 1 mL of each culture was measured in

a polystyrene cuvette (VWR), except for cultures expressing mTagBFP2 and mCardinal, which were measured in a far-UV quartz cuvette (Agilent Technologies) due to their spectral properties. Absorption and emission were measured in the spectrophotometer in 1 nm intervals. The absorption and emission spectra of the proteins used in this study are available as “protein collection” on the webpage [fpbase.org](http://fpbase.org) (Lambert, 2018). This online platform allows for a convenient comparison of existing microscopy hardware and fluorophore properties.

To determine brightness of *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$  carrying plasmids or transposon insertions, respective strains were grown in eight biological replicates in LB broth (300 rpm, 37°C) to reach an OD<sub>600 nm</sub> of 1. Fluorescence intensity of cultures were measured in a flat bottom 96-well plate (Costar) using a FLUOstar Omega plate reader (BMG Labtech) equipped with an excitation filter (band pass 580/10) and an emission filter (band pass 620/10). Fluorescence intensity was corrected by background subtraction.

## Fluorescence Microscopy and Image Processing

Fluorescent protein-expressing *E. coli* were grown overnight in LB broth (210 rpm, 37°C). Cultures were harvested by centrifugation (4000 g, 3 min) and washed in 1× PBS. Bacterial cultures were resuspended in 1× PBS to an OD<sub>600 nm</sub> of 0.01, i.e.,  $\sim 10^7$  bacteria mL<sup>-1</sup>, and were mounted on agarose slabs (0.8% w/v agarose in H<sub>2</sub>O). Fluorescence microscopy was performed on a Zeiss AxioImager.M1 fluorescent widefield microscope equipped with Zeiss filter sets 38HE, 43HE, 46HE, 47HE, and 49 (BP 470/40-FT 495-BP 525/50, BP 550/25-FT 570-BP 605/70, BP 500/25-FT 515-BP 535/30, BP 436/25-FT 455-BP 480/40, and G 365-FT 395-BP 445/50, respectively), an Axiocam 506 and the software Zeiss Zen 2.3. For confocal microscopy, a Leica SP5 confocal laser scanning microscope equipped with a 405 nm UV laser, an Argon laser line 458, 476, 514 nm, and a diode-pumped solid-state laser line 561 nm and software Leica LAS AF (version 2.6.3.8173) was used. Suspensions containing six or seven different fluorescent protein-tagged *E. coli* were detected through confocal microscopy using sequential scanning mode. For six color mixtures consisting of mTB2, mTq2, mCl3, mO2, mSc, and mCa-expressing *E. coli*, a first scan using laser line 561 nm was used for emission windows of 570–599, 600–630, and 650–750 nm. Then, a second scan using laser lines 405, 458, and 476 nm were used for emission windows 440–460, 480–500, and 520–540 nm. For seven color mixtures consisting of mTB2, mTq2, sGFP2, sYFP2, mO2, mSc, and mCa-expressing *E. coli*, a first scan using laser line 561 nm was used for emission windows of 570–599, 600–630, and 650–750 nm. A second scan using line 514 nm was used for the emission windows 540–560 nm. A third scan using lines 405, 458, 476 nm, and were used for the emission windows 440–460, 480–500, and 520–540 nm.

All image analysis and processing were carried out in ImageJ/FIJI (Schindelin et al., 2012). Signal bleed-through was corrected using a channel subtraction method. First, individual channels were thresholded (ImageJ/Fiji threshold using Otsu) to produce binary images. Binary template images were then

dilated (ImageJ/Fiji command dilate) to accommodate spherical aberrations and subtracted from channels with signal bleed-through. All channels were background subtracted.


Single-cell fluorescence was analyzed as described previously (Remus-Emsermann et al., 2016a). In short, bacteria were mounted on an agar slab as described above and samples were analyzed using a Zeiss AxioImager.M1 at 100x magnification. Multichannel images were acquired using phase contrast and appropriate fluorescent filters (see above). Using ImageJ/Fiji, phase contrast channels were thresholded using standard settings and used as a mask to determine the mean fluorescence signal of individual particles in the respective fluorescent channels.

## RESULTS

In this work, a total of 96 plasmids were constructed (Table 2). The plasmids constitute three sets, including (i) 32 broad-host range plasmids based on the pBBR1 origin of replication, (ii) 32 suicide plasmids based on the R6K origin of replication, which are maintained solely in hosts carrying the  $\pi$  factor, and a Tn5-based transposon system, and (iii) 32 narrow host-range plasmids harboring a pSC101 temperature-sensitive origin of replication and the Tn7-based transposon system. Each set consists of plasmids that carry one of eight different fluorescent protein genes and one of four different combinations of antibiotic resistances. We used a simple naming convention and separated the plasmid into the pMRE13X-series, which all confer chloramphenicol resistance, the pMRE14X-series, which all confer gentamicin and chloramphenicol resistance, the pMRE15X-series, which all confer kanamycin and chloramphenicol resistance, and the pMRE16X-series, which all confer tetracycline and chloramphenicol resistance. The pFru97-based pMRE series contains an additional kanamycin resistance. Furthermore, we named plasmids based on the contained fluorescent protein, i.e., pMRE1X0 denotes mTagBFP2, pMRE1X1 denotes mTurquoise2, pMRE1X2 denotes sGFP2, pMRE1X3 denotes sYFP2, pMRE1X4 denotes mOrange2, pMRE1X5 denotes mScarlet-I, pMRE1X6 denotes mCardinal, pMRE1X7 denotes mClover3. Transposons are indicated as pMRE-Tn5-1XX for Tn5 transposons and pMRE-Tn7-1XX for Tn7 transposons.

Fluorescent protein-expressing bacteria were found to exhibit the expected fluorescence absorption and emission spectra (Figure 2) (Kremers et al., 2007; Subach et al., 2011; Goedhart et al., 2012; Chu et al., 2014; Bindels et al., 2017), suggesting that no changes in their spectral properties were introduced by cloning procedures. However, it was noticeable that bacterial cells harboring the pMRE14X-series exhibited increased fluorescence intensities than in the rest of the plasmid series, likely due to readthrough of the gentamicin promoter which was cloned upstream the fluorescent protein gene. Therefore, the fluorescence intensity of the pMRE-series carrying the mScarlet-I gene in combination with all antibiotic resistance genes was determined in *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$ . Additionally, the emitted fluorescence was assessed in four independent *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$ ::MRE-Tn5-145 Tn5 insertions


<sup>1</sup><https://www.fpbase.org/collection/78/>



mutants and four independent *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$ ::MRE-Tn7-145 Tn7 insertion mutants. Plasmids pMRE135, pMRE155, and pMRE165 led to similar fluorescence in *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$ , however, plasmid pMRE145 resulted in significantly brighter fluorescence intensities (Figure 3A). After Tn5 and Tn7 transposition, *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$  exhibited similar fluorescence intensities, however, transposon-conferred fluorescence intensities were significantly lower than plasmid-conferred fluorescence intensities (Figure 3B).

### Plasmid Sets Are Broadly Transmissible Into a Variety of Proteobacteria

Plasmid functionality and fluorescence protein expression was tested in a phylogenetically broad range of bacteria that were previously isolated from plant leaves (Table 4). The pMRE-series plasmids were successfully conjugated and maintained in *Sphingomonas melonis* FR1, *Erwinia amylovora* CFBP1430S, *Pantoea agglomerans* 299R, and *Pseudomonas syringae* B728a. Tn5 transposon plasmid were delivered by conjugation and integrated into the genome of *Bradyrhizobium* sp. Leaf396,



*Methylobacterium* sp. Leaf92, *S. melonis* FR1, *Sphingomonas phyllosphaerae* FA2, *E. amylovora* CFBP1430S, *P. agglomerans* 299R, and *Pseudomonas citronellolis* P3B5. Tn7 transposon plasmids were delivered by conjugation and integrated into the genome of *S. melonis* FR1, *E. amylovora* CFBP1430S, and *P. agglomerans* 299R.

For a fast assessment of transposon-mediated insertions, multiplex PCRs were developed to amplify a region of the insert (i.e., fluorescent protein gene) and plasmid backbone simultaneously, i.e., a PCR on the donor plasmid resulted in two PCR products, while a genomic integration of the transposon resulted in only one PCR product. As expected, successfully



**TABLE 4** | Bacterial strains used as recipients for conjugation of pMRE, pMRE-Tn5, and pMRE-Tn7.

Class	Order	Family	Genus species	pMRE	::MRE-Tn5	::MRE-Tn7
Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Microbacteriaceae	<i>Microbacterium</i> sp. Leaf320	No	No	No
Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Nocardiaceae	<i>Rhodococcus</i> sp. Leaf225	No	No	No
$\alpha$ -Proteobacteria	Rhizobiales	Rhizobiaceae	<i>Bradyrhizobium</i> sp. Leaf396	No	165	No
$\alpha$ -Proteobacteria	Rhizobiales	Rhizobiaceae	<i>Methylobacterium</i> sp. Leaf92	No	165	No
$\alpha$ -Proteobacteria	Sphingomonadales	Sphingomonadaceae	<i>Sphingomonas melonis</i> Fr1	145	145	145
$\alpha$ -Proteobacteria	Sphingomonadales	Sphingomonadaceae	<i>Sphingomonas phyllosphaerae</i> FA2	135	145	No
$\beta$ -Proteobacteria	Burkholderiales	Comamonadaceae	<i>Acidovorax</i> sp. Leaf84	No	No	No
$\gamma$ -Proteobacteria	Enterobacteriales	Enterobacteriaceae	<i>Erwinia amylovora</i> CFBP1430S	135	145	145
$\gamma$ -Proteobacteria	Enterobacteriales	Enterobacteriaceae	<i>Pantoea agglomerans</i> 299R	135	145	145
$\gamma$ -Proteobacteria	Pseudomonadales	Pseudomonadaceae	<i>Pseudomonas citronellolis</i> P3B5	145	145	No
$\gamma$ -Proteobacteria	Pseudomonadales	Pseudomonadaceae	<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> B728a	145	145	No
Sphingobacteria	Sphingobacteriales	Sphingobacteriaceae	<i>Pedobacter</i> sp. Leaf194	No	No	No

The specific plasmids or transposons used for each strain are depicted by their ending (refer to **Table 2**).

integrated insertions into the genome of all tested strains were corroborated, as no plasmid backbone was detected whilst the fluorescent protein gene was correctly amplified (**Supplementary Figure 1**).


To test for stability and fitness cost of the plasmids and transposon insertions, populations of *P. agglomerans* 299R (*Pa299R*) wild type, *Pa299R* (pMRE), *Pa299R::MRE-Tn5*, and *Pa299R::MRE-Tn7* were grown in rich media supplemented with or without chloramphenicol. The bacteria were then plated onto media containing antibiotics to detect subpopulations that lost their plasmid or transposon and differences in single cell fluorescence was assessed using fluorescence microscopy. Other than a reduction in the wild type *Pa299R* populations in presence of chloramphenicol, no statistical differences were found between the rest of the strains and growth conditions (**Supplementary Figure 2A**). In addition, fluorescence intensity of single cells was higher in *Pa299R*(pMRE135) compared to transposon insertion mutants in both growth conditions. Also, a decrease in fluorescence intensity in *Pa299R*(pMRE135) was observed when grown without chloramphenicol compared to the same strain grown with antibiotic pressure (**Supplementary Figure 2B**), indicating that plasmid loss occurred. Fluorescence did not decline in Tn5 or Tn7 transposon mutants growing without antibiotic pressure, indicating that no loss of transposon insertions occurred.

## The Provided Fluorescent Protein Toolbox Allows to Track Multiple Bacterial Strains in Parallel


Using standard fluorescence microscopy equipment, it was possible to track up to seven bacterial strains in parallel. Employing widefield fluorescence microscopy and widely distributed fluorescence filter sets (i.e., standard blue, green, and red fluorescence emission filters) three bacterial strains could be unambiguously identified, allowing to monitor three strains equipped with mTB2, mCl3, and mSc (**Figure 4A**), respectively. Less common combination of filters (i.e., blue, cyan, yellow, and red fluorescence emission filters) allows monitoring of up to four

strains expressing mTB2, mTq2, sYFP2, and mSc, respectively (**Figure 4B**).

Using filter free confocal laser scanning microscopy, it was possible to detect six different fluorescently tagged cell populations without further image processing (**Figure 4C**). After bleedthrough correction (see section “Materials and Methods,” i.e., for sYFP2 and sGFP2, mO2 and mSc, and mSc and mCa)



**FIGURE 4** | Microscopy images of fluorescent bacteria. **(A)** Widefield epifluorescence micrograph of *E. coli* expressing either mTB2 (blue), mCl3 (green), or mSc (red). **(B)** Widefield epifluorescence micrograph of *E. coli* expressing either mTB2 (blue), mTq2 (cyan), sYFP2 (green), or mSc (red). **(C)** Confocal microscopy of a mixed *E. coli* expressing either mTB2 (blue), mTq2 (magenta), mCl3 (yellow), mO2 (green), mSc (white), or mCa (red). **(D)** Confocal microscopy of a mixed *E. coli* expressing either mTB2 (cyan), mTq2 (magenta), sGFP2 (yellow), sYFP2 (gray), mO2 (red), mSc (green), or mCa (blue). In all cases, *E. coli* harboring pMRE14X plasmids series were used. Scale bar: 10  $\mu$ m.



**FIGURE 5 |** Widefield microscopy of environmental bacteria expressing fluorescent proteins. **(A)** *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$  (pMRE145). **(B)** *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$ ::MRE-Tn5-145. **(C)** *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$ ::MRE-Tn7-145. **(D)** *Bradyrhizobium* sp. Leaf396::MRE-Tn5-165. **(E)** *Methylobacterium* sp. Leaf92::MRE-Tn5-165. **(F)** *Sphingomonas melonis* FR1 (pMRE145). **(G)** *S. melonis* FR1::MRE-Tn5-145. **(H)** *S. melonis* FR1::MRE-Tn7-145. **(I)** *Sphingomonas phyllosphaerae* FA2 (pMRE135). **(J)** *S. phyllosphaerae* FA2::MRE-Tn5-145. **(K)** *Erwinia amylovora* CFBP1430S (pMRE135). **(L)** *E. amylovora* CFBP1430S::MRE-Tn5-145. **(M)** *E. amylovora* CFBP1430S::MRE-Tn7-145. **(N)** *Pantoea agglomerans* 299R (pMRE135). **(O)** *P. agglomerans* 299R::MRE-Tn5-145. **(P)** *P. agglomerans* 299R::MRE-Tn7-145. **(Q)** *Pseudomonas citronellolis* P3B5 (pMRE145). **(R)** *P. citronellolis* P3B5::MRE-Tn5-145. **(S)** *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* B728a (pMRE145). **(T)** *P. syringae* B728a::MRE-Tn5-145. Exposure times used during image acquisition are depicted in the corresponding images. Scale bars represent 5  $\mu$ m.

seven different fluorescently tagged cell populations could be distinguished (Figure 4D).


## A Broad Host Range of Environmental Bacteria Express Fluorescent Proteins From Plasmids and Transposon Insertions

All bacteria that were successfully equipped with plasmids or transposons (Table 4) were grown on agar media plates and epifluorescence widefield microscopy was performed to determine fluorescence at the single-cell resolution. All bacterial strains exhibited fluorescence that could be determined at the single-cell resolution with a high signal-to-noise ratio (Figure 5). The range of fluorescence intensity of the environmental strains was comparable to *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$  and yielded signals in the same magnitude. In general, bacterial strains harboring pMRE-series plasmids showed higher variations in fluorescence intensity

than Tn5 and Tn7 transposon mutants. Additionally, *P. syringae* B728a yielded an exceptionally bright signal compared to other bacterial strains (Figure 6).

## DISCUSSION

A set of plasmids was constructed conferring eight fluorescent phenotypes in a wide range of bacteria. The fluorescent proteins used in this work were selected to have minimal spectral overlap, which allows parallel detection of up to four fluorescent proteins on a standard widefield microscopy system and seven fluorescent proteins on filter free confocal microscopy systems. Additionally, the series of plasmids and transposons carry four different antibiotic resistance combinations which allows the selection of bacterial mutants while accommodating their requirements of naturally-occurring antibiotic resistance.



The strong, constitutive promoter of the *nptII* gene is active in a wide range of taxa and has been shown to be suitable to drive fluorescent protein expression from single chromosomal insertions (Ledermann et al., 2015). All bacterial strains that carried either a plasmid of the pMRE-series, Tn5 or Tn7-based transposons, exhibited visible fluorescence at a single-cell resolution. This shows the application of the here constructed toolbox and its potential use to generate fluorescently-tagged bacterial communities.

The plasmids were tested in a wide range of bacterial taxa that originated from aboveground surfaces of plants (Feil et al., 2005; Smits et al., 2010; Innerebner et al., 2011; Remus-Emsermann et al., 2013a, 2016b; Bai et al., 2015; Schmid et al., 2018). For efficient delivery of the plasmids, conjugation using *E. coli* S17-1 was performed. The presence of the *tra* operon in this strain allows for the mobilization of plasmids to recipient strains (Simon et al., 1983), bypassing the need to produce and optimize competent cells for every recipient strain used, which can be very time and labor intensive for strains that were recently isolated. However, optimization of conjugation conditions might be necessary to increase conjugation efficiencies.

pFru97-based pMRE plasmids could be conjugated into Pseudomonads and Enterobacteriaceae supporting previous findings (Table 4) (Miller et al., 2000; Nakai et al., 2001). In contrast to previous findings, the *Microbacterium* sp. Leaf320 tested in this study did not readily accept pMRE plasmids compared to other *Microbacterium* sp. tested previously (Lin et al., 2012). For short term studies, or providing that the pMRE plasmids are highly stable in the used bacterial strains, they could be advantageous to other systems, since fluorescence is generally higher than after chromosomal insertion (Figure 3B and Supplementary Figure 2).

The delivery of fluorescent protein genes into bacterial isolates was most successful using the Tn5 transposon compared to the other two delivery systems. Most members of the Proteobacteria,

including members of the  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -Proteobacteria, were integrating the Tn5 transposon into their genome, which is in line with previous observations (Andersen et al., 1998; Schada von Borzyskowski et al., 2015). The Tn5 transposome system, employing Tn5 transposase bound to transposon DNA flanked by Tn5 inverted repeats, has been shown to be suitable to construct random insertion mutations in Gram-positive bacteria (Fernandes et al., 2001; Vidal et al., 2009). Consequently, Tn5 transposon integration was tested in Gram-positive bacteria as well. Since the purpose of the constructs described here is not to generate mutant libraries but to fluorescently tag bacteria, Tn5 insertions at low frequencies would be sufficient to serve the purpose as a gene delivery tool. However, we failed to identify positive mutants in the two Gram-positive strains tested. Even though this strain selection is not exhaustive, the lack of evidence of plasmid-based Tn5 transposon delivery into Gram-positive strains underlines the slim chances of successfully using the here proposed system in Gram-positives. In the future, additional plasmids will be developed to allow fluorescent protein gene delivery into Gram-positive bacteria. The here constructed plasmids will serve as a foundation for this work. Transposon mediated delivery tools such as Himar will be employed to deliver fluorescent protein genes to widened bacterial host range (Nilsson et al., 2014).

The functionality of Tn7 transposons is well-documented in Proteobacteria. However, the here employed plasmid backbone has been predominantly used in *E. coli* and very close relatives such as *Salmonella* (McKenzie and Craig, 2006; Remus-Emsermann et al., 2016a). In *E. coli*, pMRE-Tn7 plasmids are conditional suicide plasmids that fail to replicate when cells are cultivated at temperatures above 32°C. In other bacteria, this plasmid is a suicide plasmid and is not replicating. pMRE-Tn7 plasmids carry the complete Tn7 transposase machinery *in cis* (McKenzie and Craig, 2006). This is a great advantage compared to classical Tn7 systems, which usually involve helper plasmids

that provide the transposase machinery *in trans* (Lambertsen et al., 2004; Choi and Schweizer, 2006). As the transposon machinery has to be provided on a second plasmid, the probability for both plasmids to be electroporated or conjugated into the same target cell is expected to be lower than in the system established by McKenzie and Craig (2006). Without having compared the systems of Lambertsen et al. (2004) and Choi and Schweizer (2006), we expect that transposon delivery will be more successful using the here used delivery system. Even though the delivery system has previously been used in Pseudomonads (Klümper et al., 2014), to our knowledge, this is the first successful application of the system as a suicide plasmid in Enterobacteriaceae and *S. melonis* FR1 that do not replicate the plasmid backbone.

To determine the successful genomic integration of the Tn5 or Tn7 transposons, we provide a convenient PCR-based tool that allows for screening integration events. However, mapping of transposon insertion sites will be important if the aim of a study is functional studies, especially if the Tn5 transposon system, which occur randomly in the bacterial genome and may disrupt essential genes of the studied species, is used. Due to this property, Tn5 transposon systems have previously not only been used to deliver DNA fragments into bacterial species, but also to create mutant pools and transposon libraries for genome functional analyses (Winterberg et al., 2005; Gallagher et al., 2011). Thus, an arbitrary PCR as described by Das et al. (2005) should be performed. It is furthermore advisable to assess if there are any fitness differences between Tn5 insertion mutants and wild type strains. In the case of Tn7 transposition, insertions occur in specific attachment sites (*attTn7*), which have been mapped at the 3' end of the *glmS* gene and has been shown to pose no fitness cost to the bacterial host (Enne et al., 2005; McKenzie and Craig, 2006).

Using standard epifluorescence widefield microscopy, we were able to distinguish four differentially labeled bacterial strains (Figure 4). Using less common fluorescent filters and/or spectral linear unmixing approaches (Zimmermann, 2005), it should be possible to unambiguously identify five different fluorescently tagged populations. Next to *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$ , environmental strains also expressed fluorescent proteins to a similar degree that enabled observation of bacteria at the single-cell resolution (Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure 2).

Recently, many techniques have been developed to investigate fluorescently-tagged bacteria *in situ*, which for instance allowed the detection of 15 differentially tagged bacterial strains from dental plaques (Valm et al., 2012). In combination with other experimental advances such as removing autofluorescent background from environmental samples (Remus-Emsermann et al., 2014; Peredo and Simmons, 2017) and spatially explicit analysis of bacteria *in situ* (Daims et al., 2006; Schmidt et al., 2018), the here constructed molecular tools set the foundation to further advance *in situ* investigation of environmental bacteria.

We present a plasmid toolbox suitable to fluorescently label a broad range of bacteria for research in microbiology and microbial ecology that will be provided to the scientific community. The use of these plasmids will enable convenient tagging of many environmental bacteria and will thereby facilitate several disciplines of microbiology, such as single-cell

microbiology, synthetic community, biofilm, host–microbe and microbe–microbe interactions. The ability to tag bacteria with unambiguous fluorescent colors in combination with antibiotic resistance markers to track bacterial population development at the single-cell resolution as well as on the whole population scale will be invaluable for many studies.

All plasmids constructed in this work have been made available in the convenient conjugation strain *E. coli* S17-1 that allows conjugation of the mobilisable plasmids into other bacteria at the non-profit plasmid repository Addgene<sup>2</sup>.

## DATA AVAILABILITY

Plasmids and plasmid maps are available at the repository Addgene (<http://www.addgene.org>).

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

RS constructed the pMRE-Tn5 plasmid series, performed most other experiments, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. HJ constructed the pMRE plasmid series. MB constructed the pMRE-Tn7 plasmid series. SO performed genotyping PCRs. EB performed several mating experiments with  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria and contributed to other experiments. DM-L constructed pMRE134 and pMRE137. RD contributed materials and facilities. DR contributed to experiments, supervision, discussion, and writing. MR-E conceived and supervised the study, wrote the manuscript, contributed to some experiments, and analyzed data. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

## FUNDING

This work was funded by a seed grant of the Biomolecular Interaction Centre of the University of Canterbury to RD and MR-E and the Royal Society of New Zealand Marsden Fast Start grant (UOC1704) to MR-E. RS was supported by a NZIDRS doctoral scholarship. MB was supported by a University of Canterbury Doctoral Scholarship and a Biomolecular Interaction Centre doctoral scholarship.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Raphael Lederemann and Hans-Martin Fischer (ETH Zurich) are acknowledged for contributing to the construction of pMRE-Tn5-sYFP.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2018.03052/full#supplementary-material>

<sup>2</sup><http://www.addgene.org>

## REFERENCES

- Andersen, J. B., Sternberg, C., Poulsen, L. K., Bjorn, S. P., Givskov, M., and Molin, S. (1998). New unstable variants of green fluorescent protein for studies of transient gene expression in bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 64, break 2240–2246.
- Andersson, D. L., and Hughes, D. (2011). Persistence of antibiotic resistance in bacterial populations. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 35, 901–911. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2011.00289.x
- Bai, Y., Müller, D. B., Srinivas, G., Garrido-Oter, R., Potthoff, E., Rott, M., et al. (2015). Functional overlap of the *Arabidopsis* leaf and root microbiota. *Nature* 528, 364–369. doi: 10.1038/nature16192
- Bajar, B. T., Wang, E. S., Lam, A. J., Kim, B. B., Jacobs, C. L., Howe, E. S., et al. (2016). Improving brightness and photostability of green and red fluorescent proteins for live cell imaging and FRET reporting. *Sci. Rep.* 16:20889. doi: 10.1038/srep20889
- Barbier, M., and Heath Damron, F. (2016). Rainbow vectors for broad-range bacterial fluorescence labeling. *PLoS One* 11:e0146827. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0146827
- Benoit, R. M., Ostermeier, C., Geiser, M., Li, J. S. Z., Widmer, H., and Auer, M. (2016). Seamless insert-plasmid assembly at high efficiency and low cost. *PLoS One* 11:e0153158. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0153158
- Bindels, D. S., Haarbosch, L., van Weeren, L., Postma, M., Wiese, K. E., Mastop, M., et al. (2017). mScarlet: a bright monomeric red fluorescent protein for cellular imaging. *Nat. Methods* 14, 53–56. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.4074
- Bloemberg, G. V., Wijffes, A. H., Lamers, G. E., Stuurman, N., and Lugtenberg, B. J. (2000). Simultaneous imaging of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WCS365 populations expressing three different autofluorescent proteins in the rhizosphere: new perspectives for studying microbial communities. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 13, 1170–1176. doi: 10.1094/MPMI.2000.13.11.1170
- Carroll, D. (2011). Genome engineering with zinc-finger nucleases. *Genetics* 188, 773–782. doi: 10.1534/genetics.111.131433
- Choi, K.-H., and Schweizer, H. P. (2006). mini-Tn7 insertion in bacteria with single attTn7 sites: example *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Nat. Protoc.* 1, 153–161. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2006.24
- Christen, B., Abeliuk, E., Collier, J. M., Kalogeraki, V. S., Passarelli, B., Collier, J. A., et al. (2011). The essential genome of a bacterium. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 7:528. doi: 10.1038/msb.2011.58
- Chu, J., Haynes, R. D., Corbel, S. Y., Li, P., González-González, E., Burg, J. S., et al. (2014). Non-invasive intravital imaging of cellular differentiation with a bright red-excitable fluorescent protein. *Nat. Methods* 11, 572–578. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2888
- Daims, H., Lückner, S., and Wagner, M. (2006). daime, a novel image analysis program for microbial ecology and biofilm research. *Environ. Microbiol.* 8, 200–213. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00880.x
- Das, S., Noe, J. C., Paik, S., and Kitten, T. (2005). An improved arbitrary primed PCR method for rapid characterization of transposon insertion sites. *J. Microbiol. Methods* 63, 89–94. doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2005.02.011
- de Lorenzo, V., Herrero, M., Jakubzik, U., and Timmis, K. N. (1990). Mini-Tn5 transposon derivatives for insertion mutagenesis, promoter probing, and chromosomal insertion of cloned DNA in gram-negative eubacteria. *J. Bacteriol.* 172, 6568–6572. doi: 10.1128/jb.172.11.6568-6572.1990
- Diard, M., Garcia, V., Maier, L., Remus-Emsermann, M. N. P., Regoes, R. R., Ackermann, M., et al. (2013). Stabilization of cooperative virulence by the expression of an avirulent phenotype. *Nature* 494, 353–356. doi: 10.1038/nature11913
- Enne, V. I., Delsol, A. A., Davis, G. R., Hayward, S. L., Roe, J. M., and Bennett, P. M. (2005). Assessment of the fitness impacts on *Escherichia coli* of acquisition of antibiotic resistance genes encoded by different types of genetic element. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 56, 544–551. doi: 10.1093/jac/dki255
- Feil, H., Feil, W. S., Chain, P., Larimer, F., DiBartolo, G., Copeland, A., et al. (2005). Comparison of the complete genome sequences of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* B728a and pv. *tomato* DC3000. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 11064–11069. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0504930102
- Fernandes, P. J., Powell, J. A., and Archer, J. A. (2001). Construction of *Rhodococcus* random mutagenesis libraries using Tn5 transposition complexes. *Microbiology* 147, 2529–2536. doi: 10.1099/00221287-147-9-2529
- Gallagher, L. A., Shendure, J., and Manoil, C. (2011). Genome-scale identification of resistance functions in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* using Tn-seq. *mBio* 2, 315–310. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00315-10
- Gibson, D. G., Young, L., Chuang, R.-Y., Venter, J. C., Hutchison, C. A. III, and Smith, H. O. (2009). Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nat. Methods* 6, 343–345. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1318
- Goedhart, J., von Stetten, D., Noirclerc-Savoye, M., Lelimosin, M., Joosen, L., Hink, M. A., et al. (2012). Structure-guided evolution of cyan fluorescent proteins towards a quantum yield of 93%. *Nat. Commun.* 3:751. doi: 10.1038/ncomms1738
- Harder, W., Attwood, M. M., and Quayle, J. R. (1973). Methanol assimilation by *Hyphomicrobium* sp. *Microbiology* 78, 155–163.
- Innerebner, G., Knief, C., and Vorholt, J. A. (2011). Protection of *Arabidopsis thaliana* against leaf-pathogenic *Pseudomonas syringae* by *Sphingomonas* strains in a controlled model system. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 3202–3210. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00133-11
- Jinek, M., Chylinski, K., Fonfara, I., Hauer, M., Doudna, J. A., and Charpentier, E. (2012). A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. *Science* 337, 816–821. doi: 10.1126/science.1225829
- Klümper, U., Droumpali, A., Dechesne, A., and Smets, B. F. (2014). Novel assay to measure the plasmid mobilizing potential of mixed microbial communities. *Front. Microbiol.* 5:730. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00730
- Kremers, G.-J., Goedhart, J., van den Heuvel, D. J., Gerritsen, H. C., and Gadella, T. W. Jr. (2007). Improved green and blue fluorescent proteins for expression in bacteria and mammalian cells. *Biochemistry* 46, 3775–3783. doi: 10.1021/bi0622874
- Kroupitski, Y., Golberg, D., Belausov, E., Pinto, R., Swartzberg, D., Granot, D., et al. (2009). Internalization of *Salmonella enterica* in leaves is induced by light and involves chemotaxis and penetration through open stomata. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75, 6076–6086. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01084-09
- Legendijk, E. L., Validov, S., Lamers, G. E. M., de Weert, S., and Bloemberg, G. V. (2010). Genetic tools for tagging Gram-negative bacteria with mCherry for visualization in vitro and in natural habitats, biofilm and pathogenicity studies. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 305, 81–90. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2010.01916.x
- Lambert, T. (2018). *Tlambert03/Fpbase: V1.1.0. Zenodo*. doi: 10.5281/ZENODO.1244328
- Lambertsen, L., Sternberg, C., and Molin, S. (2004). Mini-Tn7 transposons for site-specific tagging of bacteria with fluorescent proteins. *Environ. Microbiol.* 6, 726–732. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2004.00605.x
- Lau, B. T. C., Malkus, P., and Paulsson, J. (2013). New quantitative methods for measuring plasmid loss rates reveal unexpected stability. *Plasmid* 70, 353–361. doi: 10.1016/j.plasmid.2013.07.007
- Ledermann, R., Bartsch, I., Remus-Emsermann, M. N., Vorholt, J. A., and Fischer, H.-M. (2015). Stable fluorescent and enzymatic tagging of *Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens* to analyze host-plant infection and colonization. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 28, 959–967. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-03-15-0054-TA
- Lin, L., Guo, W., Xing, Y., Zhang, X., Li, Z., Hu, C., et al. (2012). The actinobacterium *Microbacterium* sp. 16SH accepts pBBR1-based pPROBE vectors, forms biofilms, invades roots, and fixes N<sub>2</sub> associated with micropropagated sugarcane plants. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 93, 1185–1195. doi: 10.1007/s00253-011-3618-3
- Liu, H., Bouillaut, L., Sonenshein, A. L., and Melville, S. B. (2013). Use of a mariner-based transposon mutagenesis system to isolate *Clostridium perfringens* mutants deficient in gliding motility. *J. Bacteriol.* 195, 629–636. doi: 10.1128/JB.01288-12
- McKenzie, G. J., and Craig, N. L. (2006). Fast, easy and efficient: site-specific insertion of transgenes into enterobacterial chromosomes using Tn7 without need for selection of the insertion event. *BMC Microbiol.* 6:39. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-6-39
- Miller, W. G., Leveau, J. H., and Lindow, S. E. (2000). Improved gfp and inaZ broad-host-range promoter-probe vectors. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 13, 1243–1250. doi: 10.1094/MPMI.2000.13.11.1243
- Million-Weaver, S., Alexander, D. L., Allen, J. M., and Camps, M. (2012). Quantifying plasmid copy number to investigate plasmid dosage effects associated with directed protein evolution. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 834, 33–48. doi: 10.1007/978-1-61779-483-4\_3

- Monier, J.-M., and Lindow, S. E. (2005). Spatial organization of dual-species bacterial aggregates on leaf surfaces. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 5484–5493. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.9.5484-5493.2005
- Nakai, J., Ohkura, M., and Imoto, K. (2001). A high signal-to-noise Ca(2+) probe composed of a single green fluorescent protein. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 19, 137–141. doi: 10.1038/84397
- Nilsson, M., Christiansen, N., Høiby, N., Twetman, S., Givskov, M., and Tolker-Nielsen, T. (2014). A mariner transposon vector adapted for mutagenesis in oral streptococci. *Microbiologyopen* 3, 333–340. doi: 10.1002/mbo3.171
- Parks, A. R., and Peters, J. E. (2007). Transposon Tn7 is widespread in diverse bacteria and forms genomic islands. *J. Bacteriol.* 189, 2170–2173. doi: 10.1128/JB.01536-06
- Peredo, E. L., and Simmons, S. L. (2017). Leaf-FISH: microscale imaging of bacterial taxa on phyllosphere. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:2669. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02669
- Peters, J. (2015). “Tn7”, in *Mobile DNA III*, eds N. Craig, M. Chandler, M. Gellert, A. Lambowitz, P. Rice, S. Sandmeyer (Washington, DC: ASM Press), 647–667. doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.MDNA3-0010-2014
- Ramirez-Mata, A., Pacheco, M. R., Moreno, S. J., Xiqui-Vazquez, M. L., and Baca, B. E. (2018). Versatile use of *Azospirillum brasilense* strains tagged with egfp and mCherry genes for the visualization of biofilms associated with wheat roots. *Microbiol. Res.* 215, 155–163. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2018.07.007
- Remus-Emsermann, M. N. P., Gisler, P., and Drissner, D. (2016a). MiniTn7-transposon delivery vectors for inducible or constitutive fluorescent protein expression in *Enterobacteriaceae*. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 363:fnw178. doi: 10.1093/femsle/fnw178
- Remus-Emsermann, M. N. P., Schmid, M., Gekenidis, M.-T., Pelludat, C., Frey, J. E., Ahrens, C. H., et al. (2016b). Complete genome sequence of *Pseudomonas citronellolis* P3B5, a candidate for microbial phyllo-remediation of hydrocarbon-contaminated sites. *Stand. Genomic Sci.* 11:75. doi: 10.1186/s40793-016-0190-6
- Remus-Emsermann, M. N. P., Kim, E. B., Marco, M. L., Tecon, R., and Leveau, J. H. J. (2013a). Draft genome sequence of the phyllosphere model bacterium *Pantoea agglomerans* 299R. *Genome Announc.* 1:e00036-13. doi: 10.1128/genomeA.00036-13
- Remus-Emsermann, M. N. P., Kowalchuk, G. A., and Leveau, J. H. J. (2013b). Single-cell versus population-level reproductive success of bacterial immigrants to pre-colonized leaf surfaces. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 5, 387–392. doi: 10.1111/1758-2229.12040
- Remus-Emsermann, M. N. P., Lückner, S., Müller, D. B., Potthoff, E., Daims, H., and Vorholt, J. A. (2014). Spatial distribution analyses of natural phyllosphere-colonizing bacteria on *Arabidopsis thaliana* revealed by fluorescence in situ hybridization. *Environ. Microbiol.* 16, 2329–2340. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12482
- Remus-Emsermann, M. N. P., and Schlechter, R. O. (2018). Phyllosphere microbiology: at the interface between microbial individuals and the plant host. *New Phytol.* 218, 1327–1333. doi: 10.1111/nph.15054
- Reznikoff, W. S. (2008). Transposon Tn5. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 42, 269–286. doi: 10.1146/annurev.genet.42.110807.091656
- Rodriguez, M. D., Paul, Z., Wood, C. E., Rice, K. C., and Triplett, E. W. (2017). Construction of stable fluorescent reporter plasmids for use in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:2491. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02491
- Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F., and Maniatis, T. (1989). *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold spring harbor laboratory press.
- Schada von Borzyskowski, L., Remus-Emsermann, M., Weishaupt, R., Vorholt, J. A., and Erb, T. J. (2015). A set of versatile brick vectors and promoters for the assembly, expression, and integration of synthetic operons in *Methylobacterium extorquens* AM1 and other alphaproteobacteria. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 4, 430–443. doi: 10.1021/sb500221v
- Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., et al. (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods* 9, 676–682. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2019
- Schmid, M., Frei, D., Patrignani, A., Schlapbach, R., Frey, J. E., Remus-Emsermann, M. N. P., et al. (2018). Pushing the limits of de novo genome assembly for complex prokaryotic genomes harboring very long, near identical repeats. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, 8953–8965. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky726
- Schmidt, H., Nunan, N., Höck, A., Eickhorst, T., Kaiser, C., Wobken, D., et al. (2018). Recognizing patterns: spatial analysis of observed microbial colonization on root surfaces. *Front. Environ. Sci. Eng.* 6:61. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2018.00061
- Simon, R., Priefer, U., and Pühler, A. (1983). A broad host range mobilization system for in vivo genetic engineering: transposon mutagenesis in gram negative bacteria. *Biotechnology* 1:784. doi: 10.1038/nbt1183-784
- Smith, M. A., and Bidochka, M. J. (1998). Bacterial fitness and plasmid loss: the importance of culture conditions and plasmid size. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 44, 351–355. doi: 10.1139/w98-020
- Smits, T. H. M., Rezzonico, F., Kamber, T., Blom, J., Goesmann, A., Frey, J. E., et al. (2010). Complete genome sequence of the fire blight pathogen *Erwinia amylovora* CFBP 1430 and comparison to other *Erwinia* spp. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 23, 384–393. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-23-4-0384
- Stewart, P. S., and Costerton, J. W. (2001). Antibiotic resistance of bacteria in biofilms. *Lancet* 358, 135–138. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(01)05321-1
- Suarez, A., Güttler, A., Strätz, M., Staendner, L. H., Timmis, K. N., and Guzmán, C. A. (1997). Green fluorescent protein-based reporter systems for genetic analysis of bacteria including monocopy applications. *Gene* 196, 69–74. doi: 10.1016/S0378-1119(97)00197-2
- Subach, O. M., Cranfill, P. J., Davidson, M. W., and Verkhusha, V. V. (2011). An enhanced monomeric blue fluorescent protein with the high chemical stability of the chromophore. *PLoS One* 6:e28674. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0028674
- Summers, D. K. (1991). The kinetics of plasmid loss. *Trends Biotechnol.* 9, 273–278. doi: 10.1016/0167-7799(91)90089-Z
- Tecon, R., and Leveau, J. H. J. (2012). The mechanics of bacterial cluster formation on plant leaf surfaces as revealed by bioreporter technology. *Environ. Microbiol.* 14, 1325–1332. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02715.x
- Tolker-Nielsen, T., and Molin, S. (2000). Spatial organization of microbial biofilm communities. *Microb. Ecol.* 40, 75–84.
- Valm, A. M., Mark Welch, J. L., and Borisy, G. G. (2012). CLASI-FISH: principles of combinatorial labeling and spectral imaging. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 35, 496–502. doi: 10.1016/j.syapm.2012.03.004
- Vidal, J. E., Chen, J., Li, J., and McClane, B. A. (2009). Use of an EZ-Tn5-based random mutagenesis system to identify a novel toxin regulatory locus in *Clostridium perfringens* strain 13. *PLoS One* 4:e6232. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0006232
- Whitaker, W. R., Shepherd, E. S., and Sonnenburg, J. L. (2017). Tunable expression tools enable single-cell strain distinction in the gut microbiome. *Cell* 169, 538.e12–546.e12. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.03.041
- Winterberg, K. M., Luecke, J., Bruegl, A. S., and Reznikoff, W. S. (2005). Phenotypic screening of *Escherichia coli* K-12 Tn5 insertion libraries, using whole-genome oligonucleotide microarrays. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 451–459. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.1.451-459.2005
- Zengerer, V., Schmid, M., Bieri, M., Müller, D. C., Remus-Emsermann, M. N. P., Ahrens, C. H., et al. (2018). *Pseudomonas orientalis* F9: a potent antagonist against phytopathogens with phytotoxic effect in the apple flower. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:145. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00145
- Zimmermann, T. (2005). “Spectral imaging and linear unmixing in light microscopy,” in *Microscopy Techniques Advances in Biochemical Engineering/Biotechnology*, ed. J. Rietdorf (Berlin: Springer), 245–265.

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Schlechter, Jun, Bernach, Oso, Boyd, Muñoz-Lintz, Dobson, Remus and Remus-Emsermann. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.



Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

**Author/s:**

Schlechter, RO; Jun, H; Bernach, M; Oso, S; Boyd, E; Munoz-Lintz, DA; Dobson, RCJ;  
Remus, DM; Remus-Emsermann, MNP

**Title:**

Chromatic Bacteria - A Broad Host-Range Plasmid and Chromosomal Insertion Toolbox for  
Fluorescent Protein Expression in Bacteria

**Date:**

2018-12-12

**Citation:**

Schlechter, R. O., Jun, H., Bernach, M., Oso, S., Boyd, E., Munoz-Lintz, D. A., Dobson, R. C. J., Remus, D. M. & Remus-Emsermann, M. N. P. (2018). Chromatic Bacteria - A Broad Host-Range Plasmid and Chromosomal Insertion Toolbox for Fluorescent Protein Expression in Bacteria. FRONTIERS IN MICROBIOLOGY, 9 (DEC), <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.03052>.

**Persistent Link:**

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/250353>

**File Description:**

published version

**License:**

CC BY