

# 

**Citation:** Van der Sluis RM, Zerbato JM, Rhodes JW, Pascoe RD, Solomon A, Kumar NA, et al. (2020) Diverse effects of interferon alpha on the establishment and reversal of HIV latency. PLoS Pathog 16(2): e1008151. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008151

Editor: Daniel C. Douek, Vaccine Research Center, UNITED STATES

Received: March 23, 2019

Accepted: October 21, 2019

Published: February 28, 2020

**Copyright:** © 2020 Van der Sluis et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Project Grant 1041795 and 3162044, S.R.L. is an NHMRC practitioner fellow, R.M.S is a AIAS-COFUND II (Marie Curie) Junior Fellow (grant no A7873), the scheme is funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Program under the Marie SklodowskaRESEARCH ARTICLE

# Diverse effects of interferon alpha on the establishment and reversal of HIV latency

Renée M. Van der Sluis<sup>1,2</sup>, Jennifer M. Zerbato<sup>1</sup>, Jake W. Rhodes<sup>3,4</sup>, Rachel D. Pascoe<sup>1</sup>, Ajantha Solomon<sup>1</sup>, Nitasha A. Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Ashanti I. Dantanarayana<sup>1</sup>, Surekha Tennakoon<sup>1</sup>, Jérémy Dufloo<sup>1</sup>, James McMahon<sup>5</sup>, Judy J. Chang<sup>1</sup>, Vanessa A. Evans<sup>1</sup>, Paul J. Hertzog<sup>6,7</sup>, Martin R. Jakobsen<sup>8</sup>, Andrew N. Harman<sup>3,4</sup>, Sharon R. Lewin<sup>1,5‡\*</sup>, Paul U. Cameron<sup>1,5‡\*</sup>

1 The Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, The University of Melbourne and Royal Melbourne Hospital, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, 2 Aarhus Institute of Advanced Studies, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark, 3 School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia, 4 Centre for Virus Research, The Westmead Institute for Medical Research, Westmead, NSW, Australia, 5 Department of Infectious Diseases, Alfred Health and Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, 6 Centre for Innate Immunity and infectious Disease, Hudson Institute of Medical Research, Clayton, VIC, Australia, 7 Dept Molecular & Translational Sciences, Monash University, Clayton, VIC, Australia, 8 Department of Biomedicin, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

‡ These authors are joint senior authors on this work.
\* Sharon.lewin@unimelb.edu.au (SRL); paul.cameron@unimelb.edu.au (PUC)

# Abstract

HIV latency is the major barrier to a cure for people living with HIV (PLWH) on antiretroviral therapy (ART) because the virus persists in long-lived non-proliferating and proliferating latently infected CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. Latently infected CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells do not express viral proteins and are therefore not visible to immune mediated clearance. Therefore, identifying interventions that can reverse latency and also enhance immune mediated clearance is of high interest. Interferons (IFNs) have multiple immune enhancing effects and can inhibit HIV replication in activated CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. However, the effects of IFNs on the establishment and reversal of HIV latency is not understood. Using an in vitro model of latency, we demonstrated that plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDC) inhibit the establishment of HIV latency through secretion of type I IFN $\alpha$ , IFN $\beta$  and IFN $\omega$  but not IFN $\epsilon$  or type III IFN $\lambda$ 1 and IFN $\lambda$ 3. However, once latency was established, IFN $\alpha$  but no other IFNs were able to efficiently reverse latency in both an in vitro model of latency and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells collected from PLWH on suppressive ART. Binding of IFNa to its receptor expressed on primary CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells did not induce activation of the canonical or non-canonical NFkB pathway but did induce phosphorylation of STAT1, 3 and 5 proteins. STAT5 has been previously demonstrated to bind to the HIV long terminal repeat and activate HIV transcription. We demonstrate diverse effects of interferons on HIV latency with type I IFNa; inhibiting the establishment of latency but also reversing HIV latency once latency is established.

Curie actions (grant agreement no 754513) and Aarhus University Research Foundation. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript

**Competing interests:** I have read the journal's policy and the authors of this manuscript have the following competing interests: Sharon R Lewin has received grant funding for investigator initiated studies from Gilead Sciences, Merck and Viiv Healthcare. Her institution has received payment for educational and advisory work from Merck, Viiv Healthcare, Abivax and Tetralogic.

#### Author summary

Antiretroviral therapy (ART) cannot cure HIV or eliminate infection from long-lived and proliferating latently infected CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDC) are major producers of interferons (IFNs), which have multiple effects on viral replication and immunity including suppression of viral expression that could favor HIV latency. Van Der Sluis et al. show that type I IFNs inhibit the establishment of HIV latency, however, once established, latency can be reversed by IFN $\alpha$  but not by other type I or type III IFNs. These observations demonstrate that pDC through type I IFNs are important in HIV latency and can potentially be manipulated to eliminate latent infection.

#### Introduction

Antiretroviral therapy (ART) has revolutionized the treatment of HIV and has dramatically reduced mortality and morbidity. However ART is lifelong, expensive and has some long term adverse effects so there is a need to identify strategies to cure HIV or allow individuals to safely stop ART with the virus remaining undetectable, commonly referred to as remission or functional cure, reviewed by [1]. The major barrier to a cure for HIV is the persistence of latent infection in long-lived and proliferating CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells [2–5]. Multiple factors contribute to both the establishment and maintenance of HIV latency, including the host chromatin environment at the site of HIV integration and reduced transcription factors that are required for virus production (reviewed by [1]).

Type I interferons (IFNs) and the more recently identified type III IFNs function as the first line of defense against virus infection [6, 7]. IFNs can induce inflammation, activate specific immune cells including natural killer (NK) cells,  $CD8^+$  T cells and macrophages, and prime antigen-specific responses. IFNs also have a potent direct antiviral effect and can inhibit productive HIV replication by increasing the expression of multiple cellular restriction factors that inhibit steps in the HIV life cycle prior to and following HIV integration [8–11].

In the context of latent HIV infection, IFNs could have multiple effects on both the establishment and maintenance of latency. IFNs could induce expression of restriction factors active prior to HIV integration, such as tripartite motif-containing (TRIM)  $5\alpha$  [11]. Alternatively, once virus integration is established, IFNs could induce expression of restriction factors that are active post-integration which would be important in maintaining latency, such as TRIM19 or TRIM22 [12–14], which can repress virus transcription.

The administration of IFNs and the blockade of IFN *in vivo*, has highlighted diverse outcomes on HIV latency. Several studies have demonstrated that type I IFN and IFN stimulated genes (ISG) may impact HIV latency in people living with HIV (PLWH) on ART. The administration of IFN to PLWH on ART resulted in a decrease in integrated HIV DNA, suggesting a decrease in reservoir size through an unclear mechanism [15–19], however these findings were not replicated in simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) infected non-human primates (NHP) on suppressive ART [20]. In contrast to these observations, IFN may potentially enhance HIV persistence on ART, because in an HIV-infected humanized mouse on suppressive ART, blocking type I interferon signaling resulted in a reduction in the viral reservoir and a delay in time to viral rebound following cessation of ART [21].

The administration of toll-like receptor (TLR) 7 agonists, a potent stimulator of type I IFN, to SIV-infected NHP on suppressive ART initiated in chronic SIV infection, resulted in marked increases in expression of the activation marker CD69 on CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and concurrent increases in plasma SIV RNA consistent with latency reversal [22]. Consistent with

these findings, treatment of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) isolated from PLWH with a TLR7 agonist *ex vivo*, resulted in enhanced HIV expression, consistent with latency reversal, and further *in vitro* work demonstrated that the effect of the TLR7 agonist was mediated by plasmacytoid dendritic cell (pDC)-secreted IFNalpha (IFNα) [23]. However, these findings were not replicated in three subsequent studies of TLR7 agonists administered to non-human primates, either early following infection or after prolonged ART, where no change in plasma SIV RNA was observed [24–26]. Treatment of PLWH on ART with the TLR9 agonist MGN1703 was associated with increases in plasma HIV RNA consistent with latency reversal [27] and increased expression of type I IFN and the restriction factors MX1, ISG15, IFITM1, MX2 and TRIM22 in the gut [28]. However, a recent clinical trial of the TLR7 agonist GS-9620 in PLWH on ART showed no increase in plasma HIV RNA. Collectively, these studies suggest that IFN (and agents that induce IFN) may mediate variable effects on HIV latency–which may be a direct virological or an indirect immune-mediated effect and may also be dependent on the frequency and transcriptional activity of latently infected cells in the participant [26].

pDC are a major source of type I IFN production in response to virus infection via sensing of viral products such as single stranded RNA by TLR7 or unmethylated DNA molecules by TLR9 (reviewed in [29]. We have previously reported that myeloid dendritic cells (mDC) and monocytes induce the establishment of latent HIV infection in non-proliferating and proliferating CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells within an *in vitro* co-culture model [30–32]. In contrast, pDC did not facilitate the establishment of latent HIV infection. Given that pDC produce abundant type I IFN [33] and type III IFNs [34], here we investigated how pDC modulate HIV latency in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells and studied the effect of individual type I and III IFNs on the establishment of latent infection as well as the effect of different IFNs on latently infected cells. Using this *in vitro* model, we demonstrate that type I IFN $\alpha$ , IFNbeta (IFN $\beta$ ) and IFNomega (IFN $\omega$ ) all inhibit HIV, but IFN $\alpha$  was more potent than IFN $\beta$  and IFN $\omega$  pre-integration. Once latency was established, IFN $\alpha$  was able to induce virus expression from latent HIV consistent with latency reversal, potentially mediated by phosphorylation of STAT5 but not via the activation of the NF $\kappa$ B signaling pathway. These observations demonstrate the significant but diverse direct effects of IFNs on HIV latency establishment and reversal.

#### Results

#### Plasmacytoid DC inhibit HIV latency via type I IFNs

We have previously reported that HIV infection of resting CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells *in vitro*, in the presence of mDCs and monocytes but not pDCs, enhanced the establishment of latent infection in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells [30–32]. In this *in vitro* model of HIV latency (Fig 1A), resting CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were stained with the proliferation dye eFluor670 and cultured with and without syngeneic sorted DC subsets (DC:T cell ratio of 1:10) for 24 hrs in the presence of staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB) and interleukin (IL)-2. Cells were then infected with CCR5-using full-length Nef-competent virus expressing enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) under the control of the HIV long terminal repeat (LTR) (EGFP HIV). At day 5 post-infection, EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells were quantified by flow cytometry and used as a measure for productive infection. Subsequently, the CD3<sup>+</sup>HLA-DR<sup>-</sup> non-productively infected (EGFP<sup>-</sup>), non-proliferating (eFluor670<sup>HI</sup>) CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were sorted and cultured with an HIV integrase inhibitor (raltegravir; RAL) in the presence or absence of an activation stimulus (anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2) for 72 hrs. After stimulation, EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells in the stimulated culture minus the number of EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells in the unstimulated culture (background).



Α In vitro HIV latency model

Fig 1. pDC-induced inhibition of HIV latency. A: Schematic of the in vitro DC-T cell HIV latency model. Resting CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were negatively selected using magnetic cell sorting, stained with the proliferation dye eFluor670 and cultured with and without syngeneic sorted DC

T+

subsets (DC:T cell ratio of 1:10) for 24 hrs. in the presence of staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB) and IL-2. Cells were infected with CCR5-using full-length nef-competent EGFP-reporter virus (EGFP HIV). At day 5 post-infection, EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells were measured by flow cytometry and used as a measure for productive infection. The CD3<sup>+</sup>HLA-DR<sup>-</sup> non-productively infected (EGFP<sup>-</sup>), non-proliferating (eFluor670<sup>HI</sup>) CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were sorted and cultured with an HIV integrase inhibitor (raltegravir; RAL) in the presence or absence of activation stimuli (anti-CD3/CD28 +IL-7+IL-2) for 72 hrs. After stimulation, EGFP expression was measured by flow cytometry and latent infection quantified as the number of EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells in the stimulated culture after subtracting the number of EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells in the unstimulated culture (background). Resting CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were co-cultured with B: mDC, pDC, or both at different ratios of mDC:pDC (1:1, 10:1, 100:1 and 1,000:1, n = 4), C: mDC and pDC were co-cultured at a 1:1 ratio, in the absence (-) or presence of a transwell (trans, n = 4), D: T cells were co-cultured with mDC or pDC that were irradiated (irr) or not (-, n = 5, DC:T cell ratio of 1:10), E: T cells were cultured with pDC in the presence of antibodies blocking IFNAR and neutralizing soluble IFNa and IFNB (anti-IFNmix) or an isotype control (iso ctrl, n = 7, DC:T cell ratio of 1:10). Productive (dark grey, top panels) and latent (light grey, bottom panels) infection in non-proliferating cells was quantified and shown in panels B-E. F,G: Resting CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were co-cultured with pDC or mDC (DC:T cell ratio of 1:10), infected with EGFP HIV, harvested at 1 day post-infection, RNA was extracted and reverse transcribed into cDNA for qPCR detection of F: type I IFNs (IFNα, IFNβ, IFNε, IFNω) and type III IFNs (IFNλ1/IL-29, IFN\2/IL-28A, IFN\3/IL-28B, n = 8) or G: virus restriction factors that inhibit HIV replication prior to integration, post-integration, or both (n = 8, MFC = mean fold change). Values were normalized to mRNA of the house keeping gene GAPDH. Columns or lines represent mean values ( $n \le 5$ ) or median values (n > 5). Symbols and dots represent individual donors. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001, ns = not significant, as determined by paired student T test ( $n \le 5$ ) on log-transformed data or Wilcoxon matched pairs signed rank test (n > 5).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008151.g001

To investigate if pDCs prevent latent infection via a dominant negative effect, we infected CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells in the presence of mDCs and the pDCs were added back to the CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell— mDC co-cultures at decreasing ratios of pDC to mDC (ranging from 1:1 to 1:1000). As previously reported, mDCs facilitated higher levels of productive infection in non-proliferating CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells than pDCs (mean number of infected cells 307 and 27 EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells/10<sup>4</sup> cells, respectively, p = 0.019, Fig 1B top panel). Latent infection was also significantly higher in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells cultured with mDCs compared to pDCs (mean number of latently infected cells 37 and 1 EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells/10<sup>4</sup> cells, respectively, p = 0.0019, Fig 1B bottom panel). Adding pDCs to co-cultures of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells and mDCs resulted in a dose-dependent reduction of both productive and latent infection, indicating a pDC dominant negative effect (Fig 1B).

To investigate if pDCs inhibited the establishment of HIV latency in non-proliferating CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, by soluble factors or cell-cell interaction, we used transwell cultures. The CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were cultured with mDCs in the bottom compartment and pDCs added to the top compartment, thereby preventing direct contact between pDCs and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, yet allowing pDC-secreted soluble factors to be available to the CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell and mDC co-cultures. The number of productively and latently infected cells did not change in the presence of a transwell (Fig 1C), indicating that the effect of pDC was mediated by soluble factors. To confirm this finding, resting CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were co-cultured with irradiated mDCs and pDCs. Irradiation of DCs allows for cell-cell interaction while inhibiting some DC-secreted soluble factors [35]. Coculture of resting CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells with irradiated mDCs did not significantly change the level of productive and latent infection (Fig 1D), indicating that mDCs facilitate infection via a cellcell interaction rather than via mDC-secreted soluble factors. When CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were co-cultured with irradiated pDCs there was an increase in the frequency of productively infected cells compared to non-irradiated pDCs (mean 17 and 272  $EGFP^+/10^4$  cells respectively, mean fold change (MFC) 18, p = 0.003) and latently infected cells (mean 1 and 9 EGFP<sup>+</sup>/10<sup>4</sup> cells respectively, MFC 8, p = 0.051), (Fig 1D). Together these results indicate that pDCs secrete soluble factors that inhibit productive and latent infection.

Given that pDC produce abundant type I interferons (IFNs) [33], we sought to investigate if blocking type I IFN signaling would enhance the establishment of latent infection, rather than measuring IFN production post-irradiation. We have previously performed these experiments by blocking IFN $\alpha$  and found there to be no effect [30]. To extend the inhibition beyond IFN $\alpha$ , resting CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were co-cultured with pDCs in the presence of antibodies blocking the type I IFN receptor (IFNAR) and antibodies that neutralize IFN $\alpha$  and IFN $\beta$ , hereafter designated anti-IFN antibodies, or control antibodies of the same isotype (S1A Fig). Productive and latent infection significantly increased when resting  $CD4^+$  T cells were co-cultured with pDCs in the presence of anti-IFN antibodies compared to the isotype control (MFC 22, p = 0.03 and MFC 5, p = 0.03, respectively) (Fig 1E). These results indicate that pDC-secreted type I IFNs inhibit productive and latent infection of resting  $CD4^+$  T cells.

# Type I and III interferons are expressed at higher levels in T cell + pDC than in T cell + mDC co-cultures

To investigate if other IFNs were present in the co-cultures of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells with either mDCs or pDCs, type I and III IFN gene expression levels were measured by qPCR 24 hrs post-infection. Compared to CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell + mDC co-cultures, the CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell + pDC co-cultures had significantly higher levels of type I IFN $\alpha$  (MFC 3.4x10<sup>6</sup>, p = 0.008), IFN $\beta$  (MFC 3.5x10<sup>4</sup>, p = 0.008) and IFN $\omega$ , (MFC 2.6x10<sup>6</sup>, p = 0.008), and type III IFNlambda1 (IFN $\lambda$ 1/IL-29, MFC 8.5x10<sup>7</sup>, p = 0.008), and IFN $\lambda$ 3 (IL-28B, MFC 8.8x10<sup>8</sup>, p = 0.008), as expected (Fig 1F). There was no difference in the expression of type I IFNepsilon (IFN $\epsilon$ ) or type III IFN $\lambda$ 2 between mDCs and pDCs (Fig 1F). In contrast, HIV infection of co-cultures of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells and pDCs enhanced mRNA expression of IFN $\alpha$  (MFC 120, p = 0.03), IFN $\beta$  (MFC 62, p = 0.03), IFN $\omega$  (MFC 1700, p = 0.04) and IFN $\lambda$ 2 (MFC 420, p = 0.04) (S1B Fig). HIV infection did not significantly increase IFN $\epsilon$ , IFN $\lambda$ 1 or IFN $\lambda$ 3 mRNA expression (S1B Fig). These results show that pDCs express higher levels of type I IFN $\alpha$ , IFN $\beta$  and IFN $\omega$ , and type III IFN $\lambda$ 1 and IFN $\lambda$ 3 genes compared to mDCs.

#### Virus restriction factor expression is increased in the presence of pDCs

Interferon stimulated genes (ISGs) encode effector proteins that protect against viral infection and regulate innate and adaptive immune cells [9]. Some ISGs are HIV restriction and virus inhibition factors that modulate productive infection in activated CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells [10, 13, 14, 36–41]. To investigate whether known HIV restriction factors were expressed in the *in vitro* culture system used here, mRNA was extracted from resting CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells co-cultured with mDCs or pDCs and restriction factors were quantified by qPCR. All HIV restriction factors measured were detected at significantly higher levels in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells cultured in the presence of pDCs compared to mDCs (Fig 1G).

# Type I IFNs inhibit mDC-induced latent infection in non-proliferating T cells

In our *in vitro* model, different type I IFNs were measured by qPCR in the co-cultures of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells and pDCs, and IFN-blockade inhibited the effects of pDCs on latency (see Fig 1E). We therefore added each IFN individually to co-cultures of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells with mDC at increasing concentrations (1–1000 U/mL, Fig 2A). Addition of 1000 U/mL universal IFN $\alpha$  did not significantly decrease the number of productively infected cells (mean of 281 and 104 EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells, p = 0.08, n = 4, S2 Fig), but there was a relative mean inhibition of 60% (range 29–89%, p = 0.03, n = 4, Fig 2B). The addition of 100 U/mL and 1000 U/mL of IFN $\alpha$  led to a decline in latent infection from a mean of 30 to 11 and 3 EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells respectively (p = 0.002 and 0.012) and a relative mean (range) inhibition of 62 (58–71) and 87 (74–95)% respectively (p = 0.0003 and p = 0.0004).

We previously reported that in the DC-T cell latency model, the level of latent infection did not correlate with the level of productive infection [31]. The effect of IFN $\alpha$  on latent infection was also not a direct result of the effect of IFN $\alpha$  on productive infection, for there was a greater reduction of latent compared to productive infection, which was statistically significant with



#### A IFN effect on latency establishment

**Fig 2. IFN-induced inhibition of productive infection and establishment of latent infection. A:** Experimental design to determine the effect of IFN on productive and latent infection. **B:** Resting  $CD4^+$  T cells were co-cultured with mDC in the absence or presence of IFN (1–1000 U/mL, as indicated) and the IFN-induced inhibition was calculated for productive (black circles) and latent (grey squares) infection. **C:** Resting  $CD4^+$  T cells were co-cultured with mDC in the absence and presence of 100 U/mL IFN $\alpha$ , IFN $\beta$  or IFN $\omega$ . Cells were infected and sorted as described in **A** except

that part of the sorted EGFP<sup>-</sup>eFluor<sup>HI</sup> population was lysed, DNA extracted and the number of HIV integrated copies was quantified using the Alu PCR and normalized to the housekeeping gene CCR5. The remainder of the sorted cells were cultured to quantify latent infection. Lines (**B**) indicate mean values  $\pm$ SEM (n = 3–4). Columns (**C**) represent median values and dots represent individual donors with each donor represented by a specific symbol (n = 6–7 donors). \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001, as determined by paired student T test (n = 3–4) or Wilcoxon matched pairs signed rank test (n = 6–7), nd = not done.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008151.g002

IFN $\alpha$  >100 U/ml (Fig 2B). These results suggest that IFN $\alpha$  may inhibit HIV at different steps of the viral replication cycle in latently and productively infected cells, consistent with the reported literature [11].

To investigate the effect of other IFNs, experiments were repeated with type I IFN $\beta$ , IFN $\omega$ , and type III IFN $\lambda$ 1 and IFN $\lambda$ 3, and type I IFN $\epsilon$ . We included IFN $\epsilon$  because it is unique among IFNs as, in mice, the expression is regulated hormonally instead of induced by viral infection [42]. However, in human cells expression can be induced by Sendai virus and herpes simplex virus type-2 [43]. Additionally, IFN $\epsilon$  can inhibit HIV replication in cell lines and activated peripheral CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells [44]. Addition of type I IFN $\beta$  and IFN $\omega$  (100 U/mL) did not affect productive infection in DC-T cell cultures but did decrease the frequency of latently infected CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells with a relative mean (range) inhibition of 61 (42–89)% and 57 (40–80)% (p = 0.01, n = 4 and p = 0.04, n = 3), respectively (Fig 2B and S2 Fig). Neither type III IFN $\lambda$ 1 nor IFN $\lambda$ 3 inhibited productive or latent infection in this co-culture system. Therefore, IFN $\alpha$ , IFN $\beta$  and IFN $\omega$  all inhibit the establishment of latent infection while only IFN $\alpha$  inhibited productive infection. Together, these data suggest that inhibition of productive infection following the addition of pDCs is most efficiently mediated by IFN $\alpha$  and that the inhibition of latent infection is likely due to other pDC-secreted type I IFNs, including IFN $\alpha$ , IFN $\beta$  and IFN $\omega$ .

To test whether type I IFN $\alpha$ , IFN $\beta$  and IFN $\omega$  inhibited HIV prior to integration, we also quantified integrated virus using qPCR, as previously described [45]. In CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells co-cultured with mDCs infected with HIV in the absence and presence of IFN $\alpha$ , there was a significant reduction in the frequency of inducible EGFP (mean EGFP<sup>+</sup>/10<sup>4</sup> cells 35 and 10, p = 0.016; a relative mean (range) inhibition of 63(22–97)%) and mean integrated (IN) HIV DNA (copies/10<sup>4</sup> cells 207 and 71, p = 0.016; a relative mean (range) inhibition of 65 (51–95)%), n = 7) (Fig 2C). The addition of IFN $\beta$  and IFN $\omega$  reduced inducible EGFP expression compared to IFN $\alpha$  (relative mean (range) percentage inhibition: IFN $\beta$  64 (22–85)%, p = 0.03; IFN $\omega$  62 (22–93)%, p = 0.016) but there was no statistically significant difference in the effect on integrated DNA relative to IFN $\alpha$  (relative mean (range) percentage inhibition IN HIV DNA: IFN $\beta$  46 (0–68)%, p = 0,06, n = 6; IFN $\omega$  48 (0–88)%, p = 0,3, n = 7). These results indicate that following HIV infection of non-proliferating CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, IFN $\alpha$  inhibits HIV replication prior to integration and does this more potently than IFN $\beta$  and IFN $\omega$ .

#### IFNα can induce HIV virus expression in vitro and ex vivo

Given the clear effects of IFN $\alpha$ , IFN $\beta$  and IFN $\omega$  in reducing the establishment of HIV latency *in vitro*, we next determined the effects of each IFN on latency reversal. Resting CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were co-cultured with mDCs, infected with HIV and sorted for CD3<sup>+</sup>HLA-DR<sup>-</sup>EGFP<sup>-</sup> non-proliferating CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. The sorted cells were subsequently cultured with an integrase inhibitor, preventing new rounds of virus infection, and treated with 100 U/mL of IFN ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $\lambda$ 1 or  $\lambda$ 3) in the presence or absence of anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2 (Fig 3A). As observed in previous experiments, anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2 enhanced virus expression as measured by EGFP (S3 Fig). Surprisingly, treatment of latently infected CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells with IFN $\alpha$  alone induced virus expression in 11 out of 12 donors (S3 Fig). The mean (range) effect of IFN $\alpha$  on HIV latency reversal was measured by quantifying EGFP expression following stimulation and

8



#### Α IFN effect on latency reversal

Fig 3. IFNa but not other type I or III IFNs induce expression of HIV. A: Experimental design to determine the effect of IFN on reversal of latent HIV. Resting CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were co-cultured with mDC, infected with EGFP-HIV and day 5 post-infection sorted for CD3<sup>+</sup>HLA-DR<sup>-</sup>eFluor<sup>hi</sup>EGFP<sup>-</sup>. Sorted cells were cultured in the presence of an HIV integrase inhibitor (RAL) and either left untreated, cultured with 100 U/mL IFN, activated with anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7 +IL-2 or activated with anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2 in the presence of 100 U/mL IFN B: After 3 days, the cells were harvested and EGP expression quantified by flow cytometry. To calculate the IFN-induced EGFP expression, EGFP expression in unstimulated conditions (background EGFP) was subtracted from EGFP in stimulated conditions. The IFN-induced EGFP expression is then shown as a percentage of maximal stimulation i.e. following stimulation with anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2 minus background EGFP expression. Black lines indicate median values and each circle represents an individual donor (n = 7-12 donors). Statistical comparisons were made between IFN treated and untreated samples (top panel) or IFN+anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2 and anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2 (bottom panel). p<0.05, p<0.001, ns = not significant as determined with Wilcoxon matched pairs signed rank test.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008151.g003

expressing this value as a percentage of maximal stimulation with anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2 which, had a mean (range) value of 21 (0–91)%, (Fig 3B). When IFN $\alpha$  was combined with anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2, virus expression was inhibited by 59% compared to anti-CD3/ CD28+IL-7+IL-2 without IFNα (%max stim 41(0–100)). None of the other IFNs increased EGFP expression from the latently infected cells (Fig 3B, S3 Fig) and all IFNs except IFN $\lambda$ 1, inhibited virus expression when combined with anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2 compared to anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2 without IFN (Fig 3B, S3 Fig). Compared to the maximal EGFP

expression induced by anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2 stimulation, IFN $\beta$  inhibited EGFP expression by 43%, IFN $\epsilon$  by 36%, IFN $\omega$  by 38%, IFN $\lambda$ 1 by 0% and IFN $\lambda$ 3 by 18%.

To verify these results ex vivo, total CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells isolated from PBMC obtained via leukapheresis from people living with HIV (PLWH) on suppressive ART (n = 7) were cultured in the presence of an integrase inhibitor and treated with IFN $\alpha$  or other commonly used latency reversing agents (LRAs). The demographic and clinical details are summarized in Table 1. After 3 days, cells were harvested, RNA isolated and reverse transcribed into cDNA. Cell-associated (CA) unspliced (US) and multiply spliced (MS) HIV RNA was measured by qPCR as a measure of HIV transcription (Fig 4A). Additionally, HIV RNA in cell culture supernatant (SN HIV RNA) was measured by qPCR as a measure of virus production. Treatment of the cells with PMA + PHA compared to the DMSO control treated cells increased the expression of CA-US, CA-MS and SN HIV RNA as expected (MFC CA-US 3.8, p = 0.063, n = 5; MFC CA-MS 78.3, p = 0.031, n = 6; MFC SN HIV RNA 38.0; p = 0.0313, n = 6) (Fig 4B-4D). The HDAC inhibitor romidepsin increased the expression of CA-US, CA-MS but not SN HIV RNA (MFC CA-US 8.8, p = 0.031; MFC CA-MS 31.8, p = 0.031, MFC SN HIV RNA 2.6; p = 0.0625, n = 6) (Fig 4B-4D). Treatment of these cells with increasing concentrations of IFNα ex vivo also increased CA-US RNA (MFC at 10 U/mL CA-US 2.4, p = 0.047; MFC at 100 U/mL CA-US 3.5, p = 0.016; MFC at 1000 U/mL CA-US 2.9, p = 0.016, n = 7) (Fig 4E) but there was no significant increase in CA-MS RNA or SN RNA (Fig 4F and 4G).

Anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2 alone significantly induced CA-US RNA (MFC 4.7, p = 0.031, n = 6), and also when anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2 was combined with IFN $\alpha$  (MFC 6.8, p = 0.031, n = 6, Fig 4H). CA-MS RNA increased significantly following the addition of anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2 alone (MFC 20.0, p = 0.016, n = 7) or with the addition of IFN $\alpha$  and anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2 (MFC 33.1, p = 0.016, n = 7, Fig 4I). There was no significant change in SN HIV RNA after treatment with anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2 alone or with IFN $\alpha$  and anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2 (Fig 4J). *Ex vivo* treatment of the HIV infected cells with IFN $\beta$  or IFN $\omega$  had no effect on CA-US or CA-MS RNA (Fig 4K and 4L). Together, these findings show that IFN $\alpha$  but not IFN $\beta$  or IFN $\omega$  can lead to the initiation of HIV transcription in latently infected cells both *in vitro* and *ex vivo*.

#### Type I IFNs enhance expression of cell activation markers

We hypothesized that type I IFN-induced HIV expression *in vitro* and *ex vivo* may be through T cell activation. To test this, CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were cultured in the absence or presence of increasing concentrations of IFN $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\omega$  (10–10,000 U/mL). After 3 days, the cells were analyzed by flow cytometry for expression of the cell surface activation markers CD69, CD25 and HLA-DR. Following IFN treatment, no change in cell viability was observed (S4A Fig). The frequency of cells expressing the early cellular activation markers CD69 and CD25 increased with IFN $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\omega$ . (S4B and S4C Fig). The frequency of HLA-DR<sup>+</sup> cells increased with high concentrations (1,000 and 10,000 U/mL) of IFN $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  but not with  $\omega$  (S5D Fig). To verify these results *ex vivo* we analyzed the expression of these activation markers on the IFN treated CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells isolated from PBMC from PLWH on ART. Following IFN $\alpha$  treatment, the frequency of cells expressing CD69 but not CD25 nor HLA-DR increased significantly (S4E–S4H Fig). Given all tested type I IFNs modestly activated CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, this pathway would not explain why IFN $\alpha$ , and not IFN $\beta$  and  $\omega$ , could reverse latency.

#### IFNα, IFNβ and IFNω induced phosphorylation of STAT1, 3 and 5

As IFN $\alpha$ , IFN $\beta$  and IFN $\omega$  enhanced cell activation but only IFN $\alpha$  induced HIV transcription, we determined whether there was a difference in signaling downstream of the IFN receptor.

Participant	Age (years)	Sex (M or F)	Current CD4+ T cells (cells/uL)	Viral load, (copies/mL)	ART regimen	Duration ART (years)
		(11011)				
1	65	M	767	<20	Triumeq	18.8
2	59	М	280	<20	Truvada / NVP	20.5
3	51	М	372	<20	RAL / ATV / TDF / 3TC	19.0
4	57	М	624	<20	RAL / DRV	14.7
5	58	М	520	<20	3TC / DRV/r / NVP	11.3
6	63	М	463	<20	ATV / Truvada	13.2
7	49	М	474	<20	Genvoya	8.5
Median	58		474	<20		14.7
(IQR)	(54-61)		(417.5–572)			(12.3–18.9)

**Table 1. Demographics of PLWH who provided blood for the** *ex vivo experiments.* Triumeq = abacavir / lamivudine / dolutegravir; Truvada = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate / emtricitabine; NVP = Nevirapine; RAL = Raltegravir; ATV = Atazanavir; TDF = Tenofovir = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; 3TC = lamivudine; DRV = Darunavir; RTV = Ritonovir; DRV/r-Darunavir/ritonavir; Genvoya = tenofovir alafenamide / emtricitabine / elvitegravir / cobicistat.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008151.t001

All type I IFNs signal through IFNAR, transducing signals via the Janus kinase/Signal Transducer And Activator Of Transcription (JAK/STAT) and other pathways to regulate the expression of a large repertoire of ISG [46]. Conventional STAT signaling includes homodimers composed of phosphorylated (p)STAT1 and heterodimers of pSTAT1/pSTAT2 [47]. Non-conventional signaling can include dimerization of pSTAT3 and pSTAT5 proteins [48, 49], with pSTAT5 being reported to (i) induce HIV transcription via binding to the HIV LTR promoter [50], (ii) increase HIV production from primary CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells [51] and (iii) to be involved in HIV latency reversal [52].

To evaluate STAT phosphorylation, primary human CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were stimulated with increasing concentrations (10–10,000 U/mL) of IFN $\alpha$ , IFN $\beta$  or IFN $\omega$  and phosphorylation of STAT1, 3 and 5 was detected using Western Blot analysis (Fig 5A–5F, S5A–S5F Fig) and flow cytometry (Fig 5G–5I). All 3 IFNs induced phosphorylation with IFN $\alpha$  being the most potent inducer of phosphorylation compared to IFN $\beta$ , which in turn was more potent than IFN $\omega$  (Fig 5I). IFN $\alpha$ -induced phosphorylation of STAT1 was higher than STAT3, which in turn was higher than STAT5. No significant differences in the kinetics of STAT phosphorylation was observed at 15 and 30 minutes of IFN treatment but at 5 minutes, phosphorylation of STAT1 and STAT3 was significantly higher with IFN $\alpha$  than with IFN $\omega$  treatment (S7 Fig).

Type I IFN has been reported to activate nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF $\kappa$ B) [53, 54] and since the core promoter region of HIV contains two NF $\kappa$ B-binding sites that are crucial for HIV transcription and replication [55, 56], we investigated if type I IFN could activate NF $\kappa$ B in primary CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells by Western Blot analysis. Treatment of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells with 10,000 U/mL IFN $\alpha$ , IFN $\beta$  or IFN $\omega$  did not induce activation of the canonical NF $\kappa$ B pathway, as measured by the phosphorylation of p65 (RelA) and degradation of nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B cells inhibitor (I $\kappa$ B $\alpha$ ) (S6A–S6G Fig), except for donor #93 where I $\kappa$ B $\alpha$  appeared to be slightly degraded after IFN $\alpha$  treatment compared to the untreated control. Additionally, no activation of the non-canonical NF $\kappa$ B pathway was detected, as measured by the processing of the p100 unit into the mature subunit p52 (S5H Fig).

Taken together, these data demonstrate that activation of HIV transcription via type I IFNs is likely induced via STAT signaling rather than NF $\kappa$ B signaling. All three type I IFNs tested led to the phosphorylation of STAT1, 3 and 5 in primary CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells but the maximum effects were observed with IFN $\alpha$ .



**Fig 4. IFNa** induces expression of unspliced HIV RNA *ex vivo*. A: Total CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were isolated from PBMC obtained via leukapheresis from people living with HIV (PLWH) on ART and stimulated in the presence of the HIV integrase inhibitor raltegravir (RAL). After 3 days the cells were harvested, RNA was isolated and reverse transcribed into cDNA, and cell-associated (CA) unspliced (US) and multiply spliced (MS) HIV RNA was measured by qPCR. Additionally, cell culture supernatants were collected for the analysis of supernatant (SN) HIV RNA

by qPCR. CA-US (**B,E,H,K**), CA-MS (**C,F,I,L**) and SN (**D,G,J**) HIV RNA is shown following stimulation with DMSO, PMA+PHA or romidepsin (**B,C,D**) or treated with increasing concentrations of IFN $\alpha$  (**E,F,G**), anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2 ( $\alpha$ CD3/CD28) with or without 100 U/mL IFN $\alpha$ , (**H,I,J**) or treated with 100 U/mL IFN $\alpha$ , IFN $\beta$  or IFN $\omega$  (**K,L**). Black lines indicate median values and dots represent individual donors (n = 6–7 donors). \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001, ns = not significant, as determined by Wilcoxon matched pairs signed rank test.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008151.g004

#### Discussion

Interferons have well known antiviral properties and can directly inhibit HIV replication in activated CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. Here we dissected the complex effects that interferons have on latent HIV infection. Using an *in vitro* model of HIV latency with co-cultures of resting CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells and DCs, we demonstrated that the inhibitory effects of pDCs on the establishment of HIV latency was mediated by a soluble factor. Blocking type I IFN signaling in infected co-cultures of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells with pDCs reversed the inhibitory effect of pDCs and the addition of IFN $\alpha$  to infected co-cultures of mDCs and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells could mimic the inhibitory effects of the



**Fig 5. IFN-induced phosphorylation of STAT.** Total CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, isolated from blood donors not living with HIV, were treated for 10 minutes with increasing (0; 100; 1,000; 10,000 U/mL) concentrations of IFN $\alpha$ , IFN $\beta$  or IFN $\omega$ , and phosphorylation of **A:** STAT1, **B:** STAT3 and **C:** STAT5 was detected by Western Blot analysis of cell lysates. Figure shows a representative donor from a total of 3 individual donors that were obtained during 2 independently performed experiments. P-STAT = phosphorylated STAT, STAT = total (unphosphorylated) STAT, VCL = vinculin that is used as loading control. Graphs depict the densitometry analysis of the immunoblot band intensity and show relative STAT phosphorylation as compared to total STAT; **D:** STAT1, **E:** STAT3, **F:** STAT5. **G-I:** Phosphorylation of (p)STAT1, STAT3 and STAT5 was measured in total CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, isolated from HIV-uninfected blood donors, by flow cytometry after 15 minute treatment with increasing (0–10,000 U/mL) concentrations of IFN $\alpha$ , IFN $\beta$  or IFN $\omega$ , as indicated. **G:** Representative histograms of flow cytometry analysis showing the IFN-induced change in mean fluorescent intensity (MFI) of the different STAT proteins. **H:** Graphs showing the IFN-induced change in pSTAT MFI, bars represent mean values and each symbol represents an individual donor (n = 3–4). **I:** Heat map shows the mean fold change (MFC) from 3–4 donors of the mean fluorescent intensity (MFI) of the treated condition. \*p<0.05, \*\*\*p<0.001, as determined with paired student T test. MFI statistics were done on log-transformed data. Fold change calculations used non-transformed raw data.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008151.g005

pDCs. Once HIV latency was established, we demonstrated in both an *in vitro* model and *ex vivo* using cells from PLWH on ART, that IFN $\alpha$  can also reverse HIV latency through activation of HIV transcription, potentially mediated through phosphorylation of STAT5. Together these data show multiple steps where IFNs can modulate HIV latency, including a clear role of IFN $\alpha$  as a latency reversing agent.

We found that all type I IFNs inhibited the establishment of latent HIV infection. IFN $\alpha$  inhibited infection prior to integration which was not observed with IFN $\beta$  and IFN $\omega$ . An intriguing aspect of the type I IFN receptor is that it can discriminate between the different type I IFNs and elicit different biological responses based on which ligand is bound [57, 58].

Using our *in vitro* model, we showed that IFN $\alpha$  activated HIV expression with an increase in EGFP in vitro and in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells from PLWH on ART, we observed an increase in US but not MS RNA or virion production. IFN $\alpha$  also had the greatest effects on activating the phosphorylation of STAT1, 3 and 5 in total CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. We observed no IFN-induced effect on the NF $\kappa$ B pathway and although other type I IFN-induced signal transduction pathways cannot be excluded (reviewed in [59]), STAT5 is a known activator of the HIV LTR [50] and therefore is a plausible pathway for the action of IFN $\alpha$  to mediate latency reversal. Studies from others have shown that HIV production is enhanced in primary CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells following STAT5 activation [51] and when STAT5 activation is increased through inhibition of degradation, activation of latent HIV occurred [52].

We show that IFN $\alpha$  can activate latent HIV *in vitro* and *ex vivo*. In our *in vitro* model, this resulted in expression of protein (as measured by EGFP expression), while *ex vivo*, only an increase in US RNA was observed and there was no increase in MS RNA or virion release (Fig 4G). These data are similar to the effects of other LRAs, such as histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACi) or the bromodomain inhibitor JQ1 on patient derived cells *ex vivo*, where the LRAs induced initiation of virus transcription but there were blocks to virus elongation, splicing and virion release in the absence of full T cell activation [60].

Interestingly, the administration of a TLR7 agonist, which increases multiple interferon stimulated genes and plasma levels of IFN $\alpha$  *in vivo* [26] and can increase virus production from latently infected cells *ex vivo* via production of IFN $\alpha$  [23], has a highly variable effect on virus production *in vivo*. In one study of SIV-infected rhesus macaques on ART, TLR7 agonists induced intermittent viral blips [22] but in other studies of either SIV or SIV with an HIV envelope (SHIV), no change in SIV RNA in plasma was observed [24–26]. In a recent clinical trial of the TLR7 agonist GS-9620 in PLWH on ART, there was no change in plasma HIV RNA observed. The variable effects of TLR7 agonists *in vivo* on latency reversal may be explained by the frequency and transcriptional activity of infected cells that persist on ART [26]. This could also explain some of the differences we found in the response to IFN $\alpha$  using our *in vitro* model where the frequency of infected cells is higher (roughly 1 in 100 to 1 in 1000 cells) and where protein was produced, compared to CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells from PLWH on ART where the frequency of infected cells is low (roughly 1 in 1000 to 1 in a million cells [61]) where there was induction of US RNA only. Either way, our data clearly show that IFN $\alpha$  can perturb latency using both an *in vitro* and *ex vivo* model.

Of the published clinical trials of IFN $\alpha$  in PLWH individuals on ART [15, 16], only one study examined the changes in CA HIV RNA [19]. In this study of IFN $\alpha$  + ribavirin (RBV) in HCV/HIV co-infected individuals on ART, a decline in CA HIV RNA was observed, and there was no change in integrated HIV DNA [19]. Some potential explanations for these findings include the time of sample collection for analysis, i.e. 28 days post-treatment, and the inclusion of RBV in the treatment. One other study examined the effect of IFN $\alpha$  *in vitro* and found there to be no change in CA HIV RNA [17]. A possible explanation here could be that PBMC were treated with IFN $\alpha$  whereas in our study the CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells are isolated prior to IFN $\alpha$  treatment.

Another explanation is the type of IFN $\alpha$  used. Type I IFN $\alpha$  consists of 12 different subtypes that are described to have differential effects on HIV and SIV replication [62]. Here, we used a universal type I IFN $\alpha$  and did not test each subtype individually, while others have used specific subtypes of IFN e.g. IFN $\alpha$ -2a was used in the study by Sun et al. [17]. However, IFN $\alpha$  subtypes can differ in their antiviral and immunomodulatory effects against HIV (reviewed in [62]) and in future work an analysis of individual IFN $\alpha$  subtypes and their effect on HIV latency reversal might provide further mechanistic insights.

Although our in vitro model had some limitations, the model provides important insights into the establishment of latency that cannot be determined using patient derived cells or animal models. In our model, cells initially expressing EGFP were defined as productively infected cells and cells that did not express EGFP after sorting, were assumed to contain latently infected cells with inducible virus. Interestingly, IFN did not have a major effect on inhibiting productive infection in this model. This could have several explanations. First, IFN can strongly inhibit virus particle release but virus spread as measured by Gag-expressing cells is less potently inhibited [63]. Our measure of productive infection was the induced expression of Nef-EGFP but in the context of IFN induced restriction factors this may underestimate the effect of IFN as expression of Nef-EGFP occurs before virus assembly and budding, the site of action of some interferon induced restriction factors [40]. Second, cell-cell transfer of HIV likely occurs in this model and IFN has less effect on this mode of infection compared to infection by cell-free virions [63]. However, one very clear finding from this model is a far greater effect of IFN inhibiting latent infection, compared to productive infection. Specifically, with 1000 U/ml of IFN $\alpha$ , productive infection is inhibited by 60% and latent infection is inhibited by 87%. With 100 U/ml, productive infection is inhibited by 25% and latent infection by 62% (see Fig 2B). We hypothesize that the path to productive and latent infection are different. This hypothesis is further supported by the results of IFN $\beta$  and IFN $\omega$  in Fig 2B, where we find that these two IFNs, which bind the same receptor as IFN $\alpha$ , show prominent inhibition of latent infection but appear to have no effect on productive infection. This indicates that the molecular mechanism downstream of IFN-receptor signaling can differ upon binding of different type I IFNs to the same receptor. This mechanism of differential signaling by different IFNs is well described [64].

In conclusion, we show here that type I IFNs, and specifically IFN $\alpha$ , has a marked effect on both inhibiting the establishment and also reversal of HIV latency. In addition to the direct effects of IFN on HIV latency, IFN has many other favorable functions that enhance clearance of infected cells, through activation of multiple innate immune pathways. The administration of IFN $\alpha$  or the use of interventions that modify pathways that enhance IFN $\alpha$  production, should be further explored as a strategy to eliminate latently infected cells.

#### Materials and methods

#### **Ethics approval**

The use of blood samples from HIV negative donors for this study was approved by the Human Research and Ethics Committees from the Alfred Hospital (HREC156/11), Monash University (CF11/1888) and the University of Melbourne (1443071). Adult donors were recruited by the Red Cross Blood Transfusion Service and all provided written informed consent for the use of their blood products for the research. The use of blood samples from adult HIV positive donors was approved by the Alfred Hospital (HREC214/15) for the study entitled *Large volume peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) collection by leukapheresis to define HIV persistence in HIV-infected adults*.

#### **Cell lines**

HEK 293T (obtained from Prof. P. Gorry, Burnet Institute, Australia) and TZM-bl (obtained from Prof. G. Tachedjian, Burnet Institute, Australia) cell lines were grown as a monolayer in Dulbecco's minimal essential medium (DMEM, Gibco) supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS) (Bovogene, Keilor East, Australia), 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin and 292 µg/mL glutamine (Gibco) at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. The hybridoma cell lines, OKT8 (ATCC Cat# CRL-8014), OKM1 (ATCC Cat# CRL-8026), 3G8 (A/Prof. A. Jaworowski, Burnet Institute, Australia), 2–06 (A/Prof. K. Shortman, WEHI, Australia), FMC63 (Flinders Medical Centre, Adelaide, Australia), FMC17 (Flinders Medical Centre, Adelaide, Australia), GlyA (A/ Prof. K. Shortman, WEHI, Australia) and OKT3 (ATCC Cat# CRL-8026), were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat inactivated FCS, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin and 292 µg/mL glutamine (RF10) at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

#### **Primary cells**

Primary cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat inactivated FCS, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100  $\mu$ g/mL streptomycin and 292  $\mu$ g/mL glutamine (RF10) at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

PBMC were isolated by Ficoll-Paque density gradient centrifugation (GE Healthcare, Chalfont St. Giles, UK) from buffy coats obtained from the Australian Red Cross Blood Service (Melbourne, Australia). Resting CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were negatively selected using magnetic cell sorting with an in-house cocktail of antibodies to CD8 (clone OKT8), CD11b (OKM1), CD16 (3G8), HLA-DR (2–06), CD19 (FMC63), CD14 (FMC17) and CD69 (L78, BD Pharmingen), goat-anti-mouse IgG magnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotech) and an AutoMACS Pro (Miltenyi Biotech) as previously described [30, 65, 66]. Sorted cells were routinely negative for CD69, CD25 and HLA-DR. Total CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were isolated similarly to the resting CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells with the exception that antibodies to HLA-DR and CD69 were excluded from the hybridoma cocktail. DC were isolated from blood as previously described [30, 67]. Briefly, DC were enriched using magnetic bead depletion and antibodies to CD3, CD11b and CD19. Enriched cells were then sorted using a FACSAria (BD Biosciences) or MoFlo Astrios (Beckman Coulter) Cell sorters (BD Biosciences) to obtain HLA-DR<sup>+</sup> CD11c<sup>+</sup> mDC or HLA-DR<sup>+</sup> CD123<sup>+</sup> pDC with mouseanti-human(m-a-h)HLA-DR-APC-Cy7 (clone L243), m-a-hCD11c-V450 (b-ly6) and m-ahCD123-PE (9F5) (BD Biosciences).

#### In vitro DC-latency model

Resting CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells ( $\geq$ 96 purity based on CD3<sup>+</sup> and CD4<sup>+</sup> expression with m-a-hCD3-PE (HIT3a) and m-a-hCD4-FITC (M5E2, BD Biosciences)) were labelled with proliferation dye eFluor670 (eBiosciences), per the manufacturer's instructions. Labelled T cells were cultured with or without syngeneic DC (DC: T cell ratio of 1:10) with 20 ng/mL SEB (Sigma) and 10 U/ mL recombinant human (r-h)IL-2 (Roche Diagnostics) for 24 hrs. Cells were infected with full length Nef-competent EGFP-reporter virus for 2–3 hrs., excess virus was washed away and cells were cultured for 5 days in media supplemented with 20 ng/mL SEB and 10 U/mL IL-2.

For transwell experiments, eFluor670-labelled T cells were cultured with mDC in the bottom chamber and pDC in the top chamber of a 0.3 µm cell culture insert (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ). To irradiate DC, cells were exposed to 3000 rad (30 Gy), using a Gammacell 1000 Elite Cesium Source Irradiator (Nordion International Inc) or a Phoenix Cobalt-60 irradiator (Best Theratronic). In some experiments, soluble r-hIFN (universal type I IFNalpha, R&D Systems; r-hIFNbeta1a, r-hIFN-omega, h-IL-29/IFN-lambda 1 and h-IL-28B/IFN-lambda all from PBL Interferon source; IFNe was made in house as described previously [42], antibodies neutralizing soluble IFN, antibodies blocking the type I IFN receptor (IFN-alpha clone MMHA-1 and MMHA-2, IFN-beta MMHB-2, the type I IFN receptor; anti-Human Interferon Alpha/Beta Receptor Chain 2 (MMHAR-2); all from PBL Interferon source) or isotype controls (purified mouse IgG1 (MOPC-21) and IgG2a (MOPC-173) from BioLegend) were added at indicated concentrations for the r-hIFNs and 10 µg/mL for the antibodies, at the start of the culture and subsequently re-added after the wash step that follows infection.

Productive infection was determined on day 5 post-infection by detecting EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells using flow cytometry. Subsequently, the non-productively infected (EGFP<sup>-</sup>), CD3<sup>+</sup>, HLA-DR<sup>-</sup> (m-a-hCD3-PB, UCHT1, m-a-hHLA-DR-BV650, G46-6, from BD Biosciences) non-proliferating (eFluor670<sup>HI</sup>) and proliferating (eFluor670<sup>LO</sup>) CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were sorted using a FAC-SAria, MoFlo Astrios or FACSAria Fusion Cell Sorters (BD Biosciences).

To determine latent infection, 100,000–200,000 sorted T cells were cultured in a flat bottom 96 well plate in 200  $\mu$ L RF10 supplemented with integrase inhibitor L870812 (Merck, White House Station, NJ, USA) or RAL (AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH; or Selleck Chem), both used at a final concentration of 1  $\mu$ M. Cells were cultured in the presence or absence of activation stimuli composed of 3  $\mu$ g/mL plate bound m-a-hCD3 (UCHT1, BD Biosciences), 2  $\mu$ g/mL soluble m-a-hCD28 (L293, BD Biosciences), 50 ng/mL r-hIL-7 (Sigma) and 10 U/mL r-hIL-2. Cells were harvested 72 hrs after stimulation and EGFP expression was quantified using a FacsCalibur or FacsCanto II (BD BioSciences). Results were analyzed using Weasel software (Walter and Elisa Hall Institute, Melbourne, Australia), and to quantify latent infection, the number of EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells in the unstimulated culture (background) was subtracted from the number of EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells in the stimulated culture.

In some experiments, 100 U/mL IFN was added to activate latent infection, for these experiments the IFN was added to sorted cells with and without the activation stimuli for 72 hrs.

#### Ex vivo studies of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells from people living with HIV on ART

**Cell cultures.** Leukapheresis samples were collected from PLWH on suppressive ART at the Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Australia, with informed consent and under institutional guidelines. PBMC were isolated by Ficoll-Paque density gradient centrifugation (GE Healthcare, Chalfont St. Giles, UK) and frozen in 50-100 million cells per aliquot in liquid nitrogen. Upon thawing total CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were isolated by negative selection (StemCell Technologies). Cells were cultured at 5 million cells per well, with the exception of cells reactivated with anti-CD3/anti-CD28+IL-7+IL-2, which were cultured at 2.5 million cells per well, in a 24-well plate in the presence of RAL (1 µM, Selleck Chem) and recombinant-human (r-h)IL-2 (Roche Diagnostics). Cells were reactivated with DMSO (1:5000, Sigma Aldrich), romidepsin (40nM, Selleck Chem), PMA/PHA (10nM, Sigma Aldrich; 10 µg/mL, Thermo Fisher Scientific), universal type I IFNα (10-10,000 U/mL, R&D Systems), recombinant-human (r-h)IFNβ1a (100 U/mL PBL Interferon source), or r-hIFNw (100 U/mL PBL Interferon source). For anti-CD3/anti-CD28+IL-7+IL-2 stimulation, cells were treated with 3 µg/mL plate bound m-a-hCD3 (UCHT1, BD Biosciences), 2 µg/mL soluble m-a-hCD28 (L293, BD Biosciences), 50 ng/mL rhIL-7 (Sigma) and 10 U/mL r-hIL-2. After 72 hrs. cells were harvested in Trizol (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA).

Cell-associated RNA was extracted following the manufacturer's protocol and the RNA was then DNase-treated with RQ1 DNase (Promega).

**cDNA synthesis.** 500ng of RNA for each sample was reverse transcribed into cDNA in two step incubation, the first using 0.37 mM of each dNTP, 1.5 ug random hexamers and 0.25 ug Oligo  $dT_{(12-18)}$  in a final reaction volume of 13.5 uL and incubated for 5 minutes at 65°C followed by a 5 minute cool down on ice. The second step encompassed the addition of a 6.5

uL reaction volume to reach a total final volume of 20 uL with a final concentration of 1x First strand buffer, 5 mM DTT, 20 U RNAseOUT RNAse inhibitor and 5% (v/v) Superscript III Reverse Transcriptase (Life Technologies, Austin TX). This total mixture was incubated at 42°C for 45 minutes and 80°C for 5 minutes to inactivate the RNAseOUT.

**Semi-nested real time qPCR.** 125ng of cDNA per condition was then used to perform a semi-nested real time quantitative (q) PCR assay for cell-associated, unspliced HIV RNA, as previously described [68, 69] with the following primers first round forward 5'-AACTAGGG AACCCACTGCTTAAG-3' [70] and reverse 5'-TCTCCTTCTAGCCTCCGCTAGTC-3' [71]. Second round SYBR Green (Agilent Technologies) primers (Sigma Aldrich) forward 5'-TCT CTAGCAGTGGCGCCCGAACA-3' [71] and reverse 5'-TCTCCTTCTAGCCTCCGCTAG TC-3' [71]. Multiply spliced HIV RNA was also measured using a semi-nested real time quantitative (q) PCR assay using the following primers: first round forward 5'-CTTAGGCATCTC CTATGGCAGGAA-3' [71] and reverse 5'-TCAAGCGGTGGTAGCTGAAGAGG-3'. Second round SYBR Green (Agilent Technologies) primers (Sigma Aldrich) forward 5'-CTTAGGCA TCTCCTATGGCAGGAA-3' [71] and reverse 5'-TTCCTTCGGGCCTGTCGGGTCCC-3' [71]. The specific PCR conditions for both the US and MS HIV RNA PCRs are: 10% (v/v) Amplitaq Buffer II, 2.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 0.4 uM of each primer and 0.5% (v/v) Amplitaq Gold polymerase, in a final reaction volume of 50 uL and run at 95°10'; 15x (94° 20"; 55° 40"; 72° 40"), except that for the MS assay the PCR was run for 17 cycles. For the second round PCR, 2 uL first round PCR reaction mix was used as template together with 47% (v/v) SYBR Green mix and 0.75 uM of each primer in a final volume of 26.5 uL and run at 95° 10'; 40x(94° 20"; 55° 40") on a Stratagene MX3000.

The limit of detection for both the US and MS qRT-PCR assays was 1 copy per well. All samples were run in quadruplicate with two no RT control wells to assess for DNA contamination.

**Quantification of supernatant HIV RN.** After the 3 day incubation, culture supernatant was harvested by centrifugation at 1000 x g for 10 minutes to remove cells and debris. 1 mL of supernatant from each condition was then run on the COBAS AmpliPrep/COBAS TaqMan HIV-1 test kit v2.0 for the quantification of HIV-1 RNA (Roche Diagnostics). Culture supernatants assessed using this method have previously been shown to be free of contaminating HIV-1 DNA [72]. The limit of quantification for this assay is 20 copies/mL so anything that was undetectable or <20 was assigned a value of 20 copies/mL.

#### Virus and infection

Plasmid DNA encoding a NL4.3 backbone with an AD8 envelope and EGFP inserted 1 base downstream of the *env* open reading frame [73] followed by an IRES-Nef coding sequence, kindly provided by Yasuko Tsunetsugu-Yokota (National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, Japan), was transiently transfected into HEK 293T cells with calcium phosphate precipitation [74]. Virus supernatant was harvested after 2 days, filtered by passage through a 0.2 µm filter, concentrated using 20% sucrose density ultracentrifugation and stored in aliquots at -80°C. Cells were infected for 2–3 hrs. at an MOI of 0.5 as determined by limiting dilution on TZM-bl using the Reed and Muench method [75], followed by a wash step to remove unbound virus and luciferase as a read-out for infection (Luciferase Assay system, Promega).

#### Cell viability and cell activation assays

Total CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (100,000–200,000 cells per well) were cultured in a flat bottom 96 well plate in 200  $\mu$ L RF10, in the presence or absence of 3  $\mu$ g/mL plate-bound m-a-hCD3, 2  $\mu$ g/mL soluble m-a-hCD28, 50 ng/mL r-hIL-7 and 10 U/mL r-hIL-2 and in the absence or presence of

indicated concentration r-hIFN. Cells and supernatant were harvested 72 hrs. after stimulation. Supernatant was stored at -80°C thawed and IFNγ was measured with the Human IFNgamma ELISA MAX Deluxe kit (Biolegend) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were incubated with live/dead stain (LIVE/DEAD Fixable Aqua Dead Cell Stain Kit, for 405 nm excitation, Thermo Fisher), m-a-hCD69-FITC (L78, BD Bioscience), m-a-hCD25-PE (2A3, BD Bioscience) and m-a-hHLA-DR-APC-Cy7, and expression was quantified using a BD LSR II (BD Biosciences). Results were analyzed using Weasel software. BD CompBeads and fluorescent-minus-one (FMO) controls were used for instrument and gating setup.

#### STAT phosphorylation by flow cytometry

Phosphorylation of STAT1, 3 and 5 was determined as previously described [76, 77]. Total CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were thawed, rested overnight in RF10, plated at 300,000 cells per condition in RF10 in a U bottom 96 well plate and rested for 90 min followed by a 15 min, or otherwise indicated, stimulation with r-hIFN (1–10,000 U/mL as indicated) at 37°C. As positive control for STAT phosphorylation, cells were incubated for 15 min with 20 U/mL r-hIL-2, 50 ng/mL IL-6 (InvivoGen) and 10,000 U/mL IFNα. Cells were fixed with formaldehyde (1.6% final concertation), permeabilized with ice-cold methanol and incubated with m-a-hpSTAT1-AF647 (clone 4a pY701), m-a-hSTAT3-PerCP-Cy5.5 (clone 4/P-STAT3, pY705) and m-a-hSTAT5-PE-Cy7 (clone 47, pY694). Expression was quantified using a BD LSR II (BD Biosciences) and results were analyzed using Weasel software. BD CompBeads and fluorescent-minus-one (FMO) controls were used for instrument and gating setup.

#### Western Blot analysis

PBMC, obtained from HIV-negative donors, were thawed and total CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were isolated using negative magnetic selection (EasySep human CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell enrichment kit, Stemcell Technologies, cat#19052). Cells were rested overnight in RF10 + r-hIL-2 (2U/mL), 1–1.2 million cells per condition were added into eppendorph tubes and rested for 90 min followed by a 10 min stimulation with r-hIFN (100–10,000 U/mL, as indicated) or r-hTNF $\alpha$  (100 ng/mL, PeproTech). As positive control for p50 detection, lysates of THP-1 cells (ATCC: TIB-202) treated with HT-DNA were used. 50.000 cells were seeded in 96-well plates and treated for 4 hrs. with 2 ug/mL HT-DNA (Deoxyribonucleic acid sodium salt from herring testes Sigma-Aldrich D6898).

Cells were washed with ice cold PBS and lysed in 50 uL Ripa buffer (Thermofisher Scientific) supplemented with Pierce protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Thermofisher Scientific, A32961), Complete Ultra protease inhibitor (Roche, 05892791001), Sodium fluoride (Avantar) and Benzonase Nuclease (Sigma-Aldrich), for 15 minutes on ice and stored at -20°C. Samples were thawed on ice, diluted 1:1 (v/v) with Laemmli sample buffer (Sigma-Aldrich, S3401), incubated at 95°C for 4 min, cooled on ice for min 5 min and 15 uL was loaded together with BlueStar PLUS Prestained Protein Marker (Nippon Genetics Europe, MWP04) onto a 4-20% Criterion TGX Precast Midi Protein Gel (26 well Bio-Rad, 5671095) in Nu PAGE MOPS SDS running buffer (Thermo Scientific NP0001). Proteins were transferred onto Trans-Blot Turbo Midi PVDF Transfer membrane (Bio-Rad, 170-4157) using the Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System (Bio-Rad). Membranes were washed using Tris-buffered saline (Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 0,05% (v/v) Tween 20 (Sigma-Aldrich) (TBS-T), blocked for 1 hr. at RT in 5% Skim Milk Powder (Sigma-Aldrich) in TBS-T, washed with TBS-T, incubated o/n at 4°C with primary antibody diluted 1:1000 in 5% Bovine Serum Albumin Fraction V (Roche 10735086001) in TBS-T. The following morning, membranes were washed with TBS-T, incubated for 1 hr. with secondary antibody diluted 1:7500 in 5% Skim Milk, washed, and proteins

were visualized using Clarity Western ECL Substrate (Bio-Rad, 170560) and an ImageQuant LAS 4000 mini. Membranes were washed with TBS-T, stripped for 30 sec using Restore PLUS Western Blot Stripping buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 46430), washed with TBS-T, blocked for 1 hr. with 5% Skim Milk, washed with TBS-T, and incubated o/n at 4°C with another primary antibody.

To detect phosphorylated p65, rabbit-anti-human (r-a-h)p-p65 (Ser536, clone 93H1, 65 kDa, Cell Signaling Technology, cat#3033) was used. The same membrane was used to detect total p65 (r-a-hp65, clone D14E12, 65kDa, Cell Signaling Technology, cat#8242) after which the membrane was cut into two to detect  $I\kappa B\alpha$  (mouse-anti-human (m-a-h)- $I\kappa B\alpha$ , clone L35A5, 40kDa, Cell Signaling Technology, cat#4814) and vinculin as loading control (m-a-hVCL, clone hVIN-1, 116 kDa, Sigma-Aldrich, cat#V9131).

To detect p100/p52 (NFkB2), r-a-hNFkB2 (clone 18D10, 112 and 52 kDa, Cell Signaling Technology, cat#3017) was used followed by vinculin detection as loading control.

To detect phosphorylated STAT1, r-a-hpSTAT1 (Tyr701, clone D4A7, 85 kDa, Cell Signaling Technology, cat#7649) was used. The same membrane was used to detect total STAT1 (r-a-hSTAT1, clone D1K9Y, 85 kDa, Cell Signaling Technology, cat#14994) followed by vinculin detection as loading control.

To detect phosphorylated STAT3, r-a-hpSTAT3 (Tyr705, 80 kDa, Cell Signaling Technology, cat#9131) was used. The same membrane was used to detect total STAT3 (r-a-hSTAT3, clone 79D7, 80 kDa, Cell Signaling Technology, cat#4904) followed by vinculin detection as loading control.

To detect phosphorylated STAT5, r-a-hpSTAT5 (Tyr694, clone D47E7, 90 kDa, Cell Signaling Technology, cat#4322) was used. The same membrane was used to detect total STAT5 (r-a-hSTAT3, clone D32B, 90 kDa, Cell Signaling Technology, cat#25656) followed by vinculin detection as loading control

As secondary antibodies, peroxidase donkey-anti-rabbit and donkey-anti-mouse was used (Jackson Immuno Research 711-036-152 and 715-036-150).

Densitometry analysis of the immunoblot band intensity for was performed using the Image J software.

# Isolation of RNA and DNA for quantitative real-time PCR of *in vitro* samples

For RNA extraction, cells were stored at -80°C in RNA protect Cell Reagent (Qiagen), and RNA was isolated using AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen). RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using Cell Direct qRT-PCR mix (Invitrogen). qPCR was used to quantify the levels of type I and III IFN, GAPDH and virus restriction factor gene expression as described previously [8] (S8 Fig for oligonucleotides).

To quantify integrated HIV DNA, whole cell lysates were used as input for a semi-nested real time PCR using primers for Alu repeats and the HIV LTR, as described previously [45] (S9 Fig for oligonucleotides). The specific PCR conditions are: 10% (v/v) Taq Buffer (Invitrogen), 3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 0.3 uM of each Alu primer, 0.15 uM of the HIV LTR primer and 1% (v/v) Taq polymerase (Invitrogen), in a final reaction volume of 50 uL and run at 95°8'; 12x(95° 1'; 55° 1'; 72° 10'). For the second round PCR, 0.5 uL first round PCR reaction mix was used as template together with 50% (v/v) 2x Brilliant master mix III, 1 uM of each primer and 0.125 uM of probe in a final volume of 20 uL and run at 95° 3'; 40x(95° 5"; 60° 20") on a Stratagene MX3000. See key resources table for the oligonucleotides used. All samples were run in triplicate with an LTR primer only control to assess unintegrated DNA detection.

Alu PCR results were normalized for total input DNA as determined by real-time PCR for the CCR5 gene [78]. The specific conditions for the CCR5 PCR are: 50% (v/v) SYBR Green mix and 0.8 uM of each primer in a final volume of 25 uL and run at 95° 8'; 45x(94° 20"; 58,5° 40"; 72° 40")72° 10' on a Stratagene MX3000. See key resources table for the oligonucleotides used and all samples were run in duplicates.

#### Standard curves for qPCR

The amounts of the CCR5 gene copies, integrated HIV DNA, CA-US and MS HIV RNA were determined by using serial dilutions of standard curves run in parallel with the samples of interest.

The standard for the CCR5 assay was generated by pooling PBMC from three different donors. Cells were counted manually in fivefold and brought to a final concentration of  $40^*10^6$  cells/mL. Cells were lysed using Proteinase K (0.8 mg/mL final concentration added fresh to premade lysis buffer containing 1 mM Tris pH 8, 1 mM EDTA, 0,002% (v/v) Triton 100, 0.002% (v/v) SDS), and incubation at 56°C for 1 hour followed by a Proteinase K inactivation step at 95°C for 10 minutes. Cell lysates were stored in aliquots at -80°C.

The standards for the integrated HIV DNA assays were generated following the same procedure as the CCR5 standards, except that the ACH-2 cell line was used (NIH AIDS Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH: Dr. Thomas Folks). ACH-2 cells are a human T cell line with each cell carrying one latent proviral copy of HIV (LAV strain). Cells were brought to a concentration to  $4*10^6$  cells/mL, lysed and stored in aliquots at -80°C.

The standards for the RNA assays were synthetic runoff transcripts generated from the linearized plasmids SP5- $\Delta$ 33-NL4.3 (digested with *Spe I* for the US RNA assay) and Sp5- $\Delta$ 33-Tat2-PA (digested with *Cla I* for the MS RNA assay). The lengths of the synthetic transcripts were 1053 nucleotides (nt) for pGAG2-A5 and 1867 nt for Sp5- $\Delta$ 33-Tat2-PA. The concentrations of RNA standards were determined by nanodrop and purity was confirmed by Northern Blot analysis. The standards were frozen in aliquots at a high concentration to prevent degradation (4\*10<sup>10</sup> copies/uL) and stored at -80°C until use.

#### Quantification and statistical analysis

Differences between experimental conditions were analyzed using Wilcoxon matched pairs signed rank test (n > 5) or paired student T test (n  $\leq$  5) on log-transformed data with Graph-Pad Prism (Version 6). P-values  $\leq$ 0.05 were considered significant: \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*\*p<0.001, \*\*\*\*p<0.001. Details can be found in the figure legend of each figure.

#### Supporting information

S1 Fig. Infection with HIV enhances expression of IFN $\alpha$ , IFN $\beta$ , IFN $\omega$  and IFN $\lambda$ 2 mRNA in co-cultures of T cells with pDC but not with mDC. A: Resting CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were co-cultured with pDC in the presence of antibodies blocking IFNAR and antibodies neutralizing soluble IFN $\alpha$  and IFN $\beta$  (anti-IFNmix) or isotype control (iso ctrl) to quantify productive and latent infection (see Fig 1E). B: Resting CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were co-cultured with mDC (top) or pDC (bottom) with and without HIV infection. Cells were harvested 1 day post-infection and mRNA expression of type I and type III IFN was quantified using qPCR (n = 4). \*p<0.05, as determined by paired student T test on log-transformed data. (DOCX)

**S2 Fig. IFN-induced inhibition of productive infection and establishment of latent infec-tion.** Resting CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were cultured in the DC-latency model with mDC in the absence or

presence of indicated IFNs and productive (purple) and latent (grey) infection in non-proliferating T cells was quantified using flow cytometry. Columns represent mean values and dots represent individual donors (n = 3-4 donors). \*p<0.05, as determined by paired student T test on log-transformed data, nd = not done. (DOCX)

S3 Fig. IFN $\alpha$  induces expression of HIV *in vitro*. Resting CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were co-cultured with mDC in the DC-latency model. Virus expression and activation from latency was determined using sorted cells cultured in the presence of an integrase inhibitor and either left untreated, cultured with 100 U/mL IFN, activated with anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2 ( $\alpha$ CD3/CD28) or activated with anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2 in the presence of 100 U/mL IFN for 3 days prior to EGFP<sup>+</sup> quantification by flow cytometry. Red lines indicate median values and dots represent individual donors (n = 7–12 donors). \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001, ns = not significant as determined by Wilcoxon matched pairs signed rank test. (DOCX)

S4 Fig. Type I IFNs enhance expression of extracellular markers associated with T cell activation. Total CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells isolated from PBMC obtained from HIV-uninfected individuals (A-D) or total CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells isolated from PBMC obtained from HV-infected individuals on ART (E-H) were left untreated or treated with increasing (0–10,000 U/mL) concentrations of indicated IFN or with anti-CD3/CD28+IL-7+IL-2 (TCR-activated). After 3 days, the cells were harvested and the percentage of A,E: viable cells and cells expressing B,F: CD69, CG: CD25 and D,H: HLA-DR were measured using flow cytometry. Columns represent mean values and dots represent individual donors (n = 3–4 donors). \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01 as determined by paired student T test. (DOCX)

**S5 Fig. Western Blot analysis of IFN-induced phosphorylation of STAT1, 3 and 5.** Total  $CD4^+$  T cells, isolated from HIV-uninfected individuals, were treated for 10 minutes with 100, 1,000 or 10,000 U/mL of IFNα, IFNβ or IFNω or left untreated (—), as indicated, and phosphorylation of **A:** STAT1, **B:** STAT3 and **C:** STAT5 was detected by Western Blot analysis of cell lysates. Graphs depict the densitometry analysis of the immunoblot band intensity for **D:** pSTAT; **E:** total STAT and; **F:** relative pSTAT as compared to total STAT. Results from 3 individual donors that were obtained during two independently performed experiments are shown. P-STAT = phosphorylated STAT, STAT = total (unphosphorylated) STAT, VCL = vinculin that is used as loading control. (DOCX)

**S6 Fig. Western Blot analysis of IFN- and TNFα-induced NFκB signaling.** Total CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, isolated from HIV-uninfected individuals, were not treated (—) or treated for 10 minutes with 10,000 U/mL of IFNα, IFNβ, IFN $\omega$  or 100 ng/mL TNFα. **A:** Cell lysates were analyzed by Western Blot for canonical NF $\kappa$ B activation by the phosphorylation of p65 (p-p65) and degradation of nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cells inhibitor alpha (I $\kappa$ B $\alpha$ ). Graphs depict the densitometry analysis of the immunoblot band intensity for **B:** p-p65; **C:** total p65; **D:** relative p-p65 as compared to total p65; **E:** I $\kappa$ B $\alpha$ ; **F:** VCL and; **G:** relative I $\kappa$ B $\alpha$  as compared to VCL. **E:** Cell lysates were analyzed for non-canonical NF $\kappa$ B activation by the processing of p100 into the mature subunit p52. As a positive control for the detection of p52, lysates of the THP-1 cell line treated with herring testes (HT-)DNA were used (THP1 +HT-DNA). Results from 3 individual donors that were obtained during 2 independently performed experiments are shown. P-p65 = phosphorylated p65, p65 = total (unphosphorylated)

p65, VCL = vinculin that is used as loading control. (DOCX)

S7 Fig. IFN-induced phosphorylation of STAT1, 3 and 5 by flow cytometry. A: Total CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, isolated from HIV-uninfected individuals, were treated for 5, 15 or 30 minutes with 10,000 U/mL of indicated IFN and phosphorylation of indicated STAT was measured by flow cytometry and **B**: the mean fold change (MFC) in MFI was calculated and presented in a heatmap. Columns represent mean values and dots represent individual donors (n = 4). \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01 as determined by paired student T test. All MFI statistics were done on log-transformed data. Fold change calculations used raw and not log-transformed data. (DOCX)

S8 Fig. Oligonucleotides used for qPCR to quantify the levels of type I and III IFN, GAPDH and virus restriction factor gene expression. (DOCX)

**S9** Fig. Oligonucleotides used for qPCR to quantify the levels of HIV RNA, DNA or CCR5. (DOCX)

#### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Infectious Diseases Unit at the Alfred Hospital and the Lewin/ Cameron Clinical Research Group at the Peter Doherty Institute for providing the leukapheresis samples. We thank Damian Purcell (University of Melbourne, Parkville, Australia) and Yasuko Tsunetsugu-Yokota (National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, Japan) for providing us with the EGFP-reporter virus. We would like to thank the HIV Characterisation Laboratory, Victorian Infectious Diseases Reference Laboratory, at the Doherty Institute for quantification of supernatant HIV RNA. We thank Fabio Jeminez for expert advice on the STAT phosphorylation phosflow experiments, Lea Jensen and Stine Høvring Godsk for expert advice on the STAT phosphorylation Western Blot experiments, Talia Mota for help with pilot experiments, Jonathan C. Jacobson for help with the US and MS RNA standards and Northern Blot analysis and Jennifer Juno for providing us with r-hIL-6. We thank G. Paukovics, J. Le Masurier and P. Donaldson (Alfred Medical Research and Educational Precinct (AMREP) Flow Cytometry Core Facility, Melbourne, Australia) and T. Luke, C. Li, A. Hind and D. Blashki (University of Melbourne Flow Cytometry Facility, Melbourne, Australia) for flow cytometric cell sorting. We thank Ashish Nair (University of Melbourne) for his help processing the human buffy coats. The following reagents were obtained through the NIH AIDS Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH: Raltegravir from Merck & Company, Inc (Cat# 11680) and ACH-2 from Dr. Thomas Folks (Cat# 349).

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Renée M. Van der Sluis, Sharon R. Lewin, Paul U. Cameron.

**Data curation:** Renée M. Van der Sluis, Jennifer M. Zerbato, Jake W. Rhodes, Rachel D. Pascoe, Ajantha Solomon, Nitasha A. Kumar, Ashanti I. Dantanarayana, Surekha Tennakoon, Jérémy Dufloo, Andrew N. Harman.

Formal analysis: Renée M. Van der Sluis.

Funding acquisition: Sharon R. Lewin, Paul U. Cameron.

Investigation: Renée M. Van der Sluis.

Methodology: Renée M. Van der Sluis.

**Resources:** James McMahon, Judy J. Chang, Paul J. Hertzog, Martin R. Jakobsen, Andrew N. Harman, Sharon R. Lewin.

Supervision: Sharon R. Lewin, Paul U. Cameron.

Validation: Renée M. Van der Sluis.

Visualization: Renée M. Van der Sluis, Paul U. Cameron.

Writing – original draft: Renée M. Van der Sluis.

Writing – review & editing: Renée M. Van der Sluis, Jennifer M. Zerbato, Rachel D. Pascoe, Nitasha A. Kumar, Jérémy Dufloo, Vanessa A. Evans, Andrew N. Harman, Sharon R. Lewin, Paul U. Cameron.

#### References

- Pitman M.C., et al., Barriers and strategies to achieve a cure for HIV. Lancet HIV, 2018. 5(6): p. e317– e328. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-3018(18)30039-0 PMID: 29893245
- Chun T.W., et al., Quantification of latent tissue reservoirs and total body viral load in HIV-1 infection. Nature, 1997. 387(6629): p. 183–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/387183a0 PMID: 9144289
- Finzi D., et al., Identification of a reservoir for HIV-1 in patients on highly active antiretroviral therapy. Science, 1997. 278(5341): p. 1295–300. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.278.5341.1295 PMID: 9360927
- Wong J.K., et al., Recovery of replication-competent HIV despite prolonged suppression of plasma viremia. Science, 1997. 278(5341): p. 1291–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.278.5341.1291</u> PMID: 9360926
- Hosmane N.N., et al., Proliferation of latently infected CD4+ T cells carrying replication-competent HIV-1: Potential role in latent reservoir dynamics. J Exp Med, 2017. 214(4): p. 959–972. https://doi.org/10. 1084/jem.20170193 PMID: 28341641
- Hoffmann H.H., Schneider W.M., and Rice C.M., Interferons and viruses: an evolutionary arms race of molecular interactions. Trends Immunol, 2015. 36(3): p. 124–38. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2015.01.</u> 004 PMID: 25704559
- Kotenko S.V. and Durbin J.E., Contribution of type III interferons to antiviral immunity: location, location, location. J Biol Chem, 2017. 292(18): p. 7295–7303. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R117.777102 PMID: 28289095
- Harman A.N., et al., HIV infection of dendritic cells subverts the IFN induction pathway via IRF-1 and inhibits type 1 IFN production. Blood, 2011. 118(2): p. 298–308. <u>https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2010-07-</u> 297721 PMID: 21411754
- Gonzalez-Navajas J.M., et al., Immunomodulatory functions of type I interferons. Nat Rev Immunol, 2012. 12(2): p. 125–35. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3133 PMID: 22222875
- Nasr N., et al., HIV-1 infection of human macrophages directly induces viperin which inhibits viral production. Blood, 2012. 120(4): p. 778–88. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2012-01-407395 PMID: 22677126
- Acchioni C., et al., Type I IFN—a blunt spear in fighting HIV-1 infection. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev, 2015. 26(2): p. 143–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2014.10.004 PMID: 25466629
- 12. Ozato K., et al., TRIM family proteins and their emerging roles in innate immunity. Nat Rev Immunol, 2008. 8(11): p. 849–60. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2413 PMID: 18836477
- Lusic M., et al., Proximity to PML nuclear bodies regulates HIV-1 latency in CD4+ T cells. Cell Host Microbe, 2013. 13(6): p. 665–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2013.05.006 PMID: 23768491
- Turrini F., et al., HIV-1 transcriptional silencing caused by TRIM22 inhibition of Sp1 binding to the viral promoter. Retrovirology, 2015. 12: p. 104. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12977-015-0230-0</u> PMID: 26683615
- Mexas A.M., et al., Concurrent measures of total and integrated HIV DNA monitor reservoirs and ongoing replication in eradication trials. AIDS, 2012. 26(18): p. 2295–306. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.</u> 0b013e32835a5c2f PMID: 23014521

- Azzoni L., et al., Pegylated Interferon alfa-2a monotherapy results in suppression of HIV type 1 replication and decreased cell-associated HIV DNA integration. J Infect Dis, 2013. 207(2): p. 213–22. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jis663">https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jis663</a> PMID: 23105144
- Sun H., et al., Hepatitis C therapy with interferon-alpha and ribavirin reduces CD4 T-cell-associated HIV-1 DNA in HIV-1/hepatitis C virus-coinfected patients. J Infect Dis, 2014. 209(9): p. 1315–20. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jit628 PMID: 24277743
- Olesen R., et al., Innate Immune Activity Correlates with CD4 T Cell-Associated HIV-1 DNA Decline during Latency-Reversing Treatment with Panobinostat. J Virol, 2015. 89(20): p. 10176–89. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01484-15 PMID: 26223643</u>
- Moron-Lopez S., et al., Short-term Treatment With Interferon Alfa Diminishes Expression of HIV-1 and Reduces CD4+ T-Cell Activation in Patients Coinfected With HIV and Hepatitis C Virus and Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy. J Infect Dis, 2016. 213(6): p. 1008–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiv521</u> PMID: 26525407
- Palesch D., et al., Short-Term Pegylated Interferon alpha2a Treatment Does Not Significantly Reduce the Viral Reservoir of Simian Immunodeficiency Virus-Infected, Antiretroviral Therapy-Treated Rhesus Macaques. J Virol, 2018. 92(14).
- Cheng L., et al., Blocking type I interferon signaling enhances T cell recovery and reduces HIV-1 reservoirs. J Clin Invest, 2017. 127(1): p. 269–279. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI90745 PMID: 27941247
- 22. Lim S.Y., et al., TLR7 agonists induce transient viremia and reduce the viral reservoir in SIV-infected rhesus macaques on antiretroviral therapy. Sci Transl Med, 2018. 10(439).
- Tsai A., et al., Toll-Like Receptor 7 Agonist GS-9620 Induces HIV Expression and HIV-Specific Immunity in Cells from HIV-Infected Individuals on Suppressive Antiretroviral Therapy. J Virol, 2017. 91(8).
- Borducchi E.N., et al., Ad26/MVA therapeutic vaccination with TLR7 stimulation in SIV-infected rhesus monkeys. Nature, 2016. 540(7632): p. 284–287. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20583 PMID: 27841870
- Borducchi E.N., et al., Antibody and TLR7 agonist delay viral rebound in SHIV-infected monkeys. Nature, 2018. 563(7731): p. 360–364. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0600-6 PMID: 30283138
- **26.** Del Prete G.Q., et al., TLR7 agonist administration to SIV-infected macaques receiving early initiated cART does not induce plasma viremia. JCI Insight, 2019. 4(11).
- Vibholm L., et al., Short-Course Toll-Like Receptor 9 Agonist Treatment Impacts Innate Immunity and Plasma Viremia in Individuals With Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infection. Clin Infect Dis, 2017. 64 (12): p. 1686–1695. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cix201 PMID: 28329286
- Krarup A.R., et al., The TLR9 agonist MGN1703 triggers a potent type I interferon response in the sigmoid colon. Mucosal Immunol, 2018. 11(2): p. 449–461. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/mi.2017.59</u> PMID: 28766555
- Swiecki M. and Colonna M., The multifaceted biology of plasmacytoid dendritic cells. Nat Rev Immunol, 2015. 15(8): p. 471–85. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3865 PMID: 26160613
- Evans V.A., et al., Myeloid dendritic cells induce HIV-1 latency in non-proliferating CD4+ T cells. PLoS Pathog, 2013. 9(12): p. e1003799. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003799 PMID: 24339779
- Kumar N.A., et al., The role of antigen presenting cells in the induction of HIV-1 latency in resting CD4 (+) T-cells. Retrovirology, 2015. 12: p. 76. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12977-015-0204-2</u> PMID: 26362311
- Kumar N.A., et al., Myeloid Dendritic Cells Induce HIV Latency in Proliferating CD4(+) T Cells. J Immunol, 2018. 201(5): p. 1468–1477. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1701233 PMID: 30030324
- Kadowaki N., et al., Natural interferon alpha/beta-producing cells link innate and adaptive immunity. J Exp Med, 2000. 192(2): p. 219–26. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.192.2.219 PMID: 10899908
- Yin Z., et al., Type III IFNs are produced by and stimulate human plasmacytoid dendritic cells. J Immunol, 2012. 189(6): p. 2735–45. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1102038 PMID: 22891284
- Merrick A., et al., Immunosuppressive effects of radiation on human dendritic cells: reduced IL-12 production on activation and impairment of naive T-cell priming. Br J Cancer, 2005. 92(8): p. 1450–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6602518 PMID: 15812550
- Nisole S., Stoye J.P., and Saib A., TRIM family proteins: retroviral restriction and antiviral defence. Nat Rev Microbiol, 2005. 3(10): p. 799–808. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1248 PMID: 16175175
- **37.** Uchil P.D., et al., TRIM E3 ligases interfere with early and late stages of the retroviral life cycle. PLoS Pathog, 2008. 4(2): p. e16. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.0040016 PMID: 18248090
- Schoggins J.W. and Rice C.M., Interferon-stimulated genes and their antiviral effector functions. Curr Opin Virol, 2011. 1(6): p. 519–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2011.10.008 PMID: 22328912
- Schoggins J.W., et al., A diverse range of gene products are effectors of the type I interferon antiviral response. Nature, 2011. 472(7344): p. 481–5. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09907 PMID: 21478870

- Doyle T., Goujon C., and Malim M.H., HIV-1 and interferons: who's interfering with whom? Nat Rev Microbiol, 2015. 13(7): p. 403–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3449 PMID: 25915633
- Kane M., et al., Identification of Interferon-Stimulated Genes with Antiretroviral Activity. Cell Host Microbe, 2016. 20(3): p. 392–405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2016.08.005 PMID: 27631702
- Fung K.Y., et al., Interferon-epsilon protects the female reproductive tract from viral and bacterial infection. Science, 2013. 339(6123): p. 1088–92. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1233321</u> PMID: 23449591
- 43. Harman A.N., et al., HIV Blocks Interferon Induction in Human Dendritic Cells and Macrophages by Dysregulation of TBK1. J Virol, 2015. 89(13): p. 6575–84. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00889-15 PMID: 25855743
- Garcia-Minambres A., et al., Interferon epsilon promotes HIV restriction at multiple steps of viral replication. Immunol Cell Biol, 2017. 95(5): p. 478–483. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/icb.2016.123</u> PMID: 28045025
- Vandergeeten C., et al., Cross-clade ultrasensitive PCR-based assays to measure HIV persistence in large-cohort studies. J Virol, 2014. 88(21): p. 12385–96. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00609-14 PMID: 25122785
- de Weerd N.A., Samarajiwa S.A., and Hertzog P.J., Type I interferon receptors: biochemistry and biological functions. J Biol Chem, 2007. 282(28): p. 20053–7. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R700006200 PMID: 17502368
- 47. Sadler A.J. and Williams B.R., Interferon-inducible antiviral effectors. Nat Rev Immunol, 2008. 8(7): p. 559–68. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2314 PMID: 18575461
- Uddin S., et al., Role of Stat5 in type I interferon-signaling and transcriptional regulation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 2003. 308(2): p. 325–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-291x(03)01382-2 PMID: 12901872
- Caraglia M., et al., Alpha-interferon and its effects on signal transduction pathways. J Cell Physiol, 2005. 202(2): p. 323–35. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.20137 PMID: 15389589
- Crotti A., et al., Heterogeneity of signal transducer and activator of transcription binding sites in the long-terminal repeats of distinct HIV-1 subtypes. Open Virol J, 2007. 1: p. 26–32. <u>https://doi.org/10.2174/1874357900701010026 PMID: 19440456</u>
- Selliah N., et al., The gammac-cytokine regulated transcription factor, STAT5, increases HIV-1 production in primary CD4 T cells. Virology, 2006. 344(2): p. 283–91. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2005.09.</u> 063 PMID: 16289657
- 52. Bosque A., et al., Benzotriazoles Reactivate Latent HIV-1 through Inactivation of STAT5 SUMOylation. Cell Rep, 2017. 18(5): p. 1324–1334. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.01.022 PMID: 28147284
- Yang C.H., Murti A., and Pfeffer L.M., Interferon induces NF-kappa B-inducing kinase/tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor-dependent NF-kappa B activation to promote cell survival. J Biol Chem, 2005. 280(36): p. 31530–6. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M503120200 PMID: 16009713
- Yang C.H., et al., Interferon alpha activates NF-kappaB in JAK1-deficient cells through a TYK2-dependent pathway. J Biol Chem, 2005. 280(27): p. 25849–53. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M413721200</u> PMID: 15883164
- Nabel G. and Baltimore D., An inducible transcription factor activates expression of human immunodeficiency virus in T cells. Nature, 1987. 326(6114): p. 711–3. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/326711a0</u> PMID: 3031512
- 56. Ross E.K., et al., Contribution of NF-kappa B and Sp1 binding motifs to the replicative capacity of human immunodeficiency virus type 1: distinct patterns of viral growth are determined by T-cell types. J Virol, 1991. 65(8): p. 4350–8. PMID: 2072454
- 57. Bekisz J., et al., Human interferons alpha, beta and omega. Growth Factors, 2004. 22(4): p. 243–51. https://doi.org/10.1080/0897719040000833 PMID: 15621727
- 58. de Weerd N.A., et al., A hot spot on interferon alpha/beta receptor subunit 1 (IFNAR1) underpins its interaction with interferon-beta and dictates signaling. J Biol Chem, 2017. 292(18): p. 7554–7565. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.773788 PMID: 28289093
- Platanias L.C., Mechanisms of type-I- and type-II-interferon-mediated signalling. Nat Rev Immunol, 2005. 5(5): p. 375–86. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri1604 PMID: 15864272
- Yukl S.A., et al., HIV latency in isolated patient CD4(+) T cells may be due to blocks in HIV transcriptional elongation, completion, and splicing. Sci Transl Med, 2018. 10(430).
- Khoury G., et al., Human Immunodeficiency Virus Persistence and T-Cell Activation in Blood, Rectal, and Lymph Node Tissue in Human Immunodeficiency Virus-Infected Individuals Receiving Suppressive Antiretroviral Therapy. J Infect Dis, 2017. 215(6): p. 911–919. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jix039 PMID: 28453847

- Sutter K., Dickow J., and Dittmer U., Interferon alpha subtypes in HIV infection. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev, 2018. 40: p. 13–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2018.02.002 PMID: 29475588
- Vendrame D., et al., Partial inhibition of human immunodeficiency virus replication by type I interferons: impact of cell-to-cell viral transfer. J Virol, 2009. 83(20): p. 10527–37. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI. 01235-09 PMID: 19706714
- de Weerd N.A., et al., Structural basis of a unique interferon-beta signaling axis mediated via the receptor IFNAR1. Nat Immunol, 2013. 14(9): p. 901–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2667 PMID: 23872679
- 65. Saleh S., et al., Expression and reactivation of HIV in a chemokine induced model of HIV latency in primary resting CD4+ T cells. Retrovirology, 2011. 8: p. 80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-4690-8-80</u> PMID: 21992606
- 66. Cameron P.U., et al., Establishment of HIV-1 latency in resting CD4+ T cells depends on chemokineinduced changes in the actin cytoskeleton. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2010. 107(39): p. 16934–9. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1002894107 PMID: 20837531
- Evans V.A., et al., Thymic plasmacytoid dendritic cells are susceptible to productive HIV-1 infection and efficiently transfer R5 HIV-1 to thymocytes in vitro. Retrovirology, 2011. 8: p. 43. https://doi.org/10. 1186/1742-4690-8-43 PMID: 21639903
- 68. Pasternak A.O., et al., Highly sensitive methods based on seminested real-time reverse transcription-PCR for quantitation of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 unspliced and multiply spliced RNA and proviral DNA. J Clin Microbiol, 2008. 46(7): p. 2206–11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00055-08</u> PMID: 18463204
- Elliott J.H., et al., Activation of HIV transcription with short-course vorinostat in HIV-infected patients on suppressive antiretroviral therapy. PLoS Pathog, 2014. 10(10): p. e1004473. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.ppat.1004473 PMID: 25393648
- Butler S.L., Hansen M.S., and Bushman F.D., A quantitative assay for HIV DNA integration in vivo. Nat Med, 2001. 7(5): p. 631–4. https://doi.org/10.1038/87979 PMID: 11329067
- Saksela K., et al., High viral load in lymph nodes and latent human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in peripheral blood cells of HIV-1-infected chimpanzees. J Virol, 1993. 67(12): p. 7423–7. PMID: 8230463
- 72. Wei D.G., et al., Histone deacetylase inhibitor romidepsin induces HIV expression in CD4 T cells from patients on suppressive antiretroviral therapy at concentrations achieved by clinical dosing. PLoS Pathog, 2014. 10(4): p. e1004071. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004071 PMID: 24722454
- 73. Yamamoto T., et al., Selective transmission of R5 HIV-1 over X4 HIV-1 at the dendritic cell-T cell infectious synapse is determined by the T cell activation state. PLoS Pathog, 2009. 5(1): p. e1000279. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000279 PMID: 19180188
- 74. Das A.T., Klaver B., and Berkhout B., A hairpin structure in the R region of the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA genome is instrumental in polyadenylation site selection. J Virol, 1999. 73(1): p. 81–91. PMID: 9847310
- Reed L.J.M., H., A simple method of estimating fifty per cent endpoints. The American Journal of Hygiene, 1938. 27(3): p. 493–497.
- 76. Krutzik P.O. and Nolan G.P., Intracellular phospho-protein staining techniques for flow cytometry: monitoring single cell signaling events. Cytometry A, 2003. 55(2): p. 61–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/cyto.a.</u> 10072 PMID: 14505311
- 77. Krutzik P.O., et al., Phospho flow cytometry methods for the analysis of kinase signaling in cell lines and primary human blood samples. Methods Mol Biol, 2011. 699: p. 179–202. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61737-950-5\_9 PMID: 21116984
- 78. Eckstein D.A., et al., HIV-1 actively replicates in naive CD4(+) T cells residing within human lymphoid tissues. Immunity, 2001. 15(4): p. 671–82. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s1074-7613(01)00217-5 PMID: 11672548</u>

# **University Library**



# A gateway to Melbourne's research publications

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

### Author/s:

Van der Sluis, RM; Zerbato, JM; Rhodes, JW; Pascoe, RD; Solomon, A; Kumar, NA; Dantanarayana, AI; Tennakoon, S; Dufloo, J; McMahon, J; Chang, JJ; Evans, VA; Hertzog, PJ; Jakobsen, MR; Harman, AN; Lewin, SR; Cameron, PU

## Title:

Diverse effects of interferon alpha on the establishment and reversal of HIV latency

### Date:

2020-02-01

### Citation:

Van der Sluis, R. M., Zerbato, J. M., Rhodes, J. W., Pascoe, R. D., Solomon, A., Kumar, N. A., Dantanarayana, A. I., Tennakoon, S., Dufloo, J., McMahon, J., Chang, J. J., Evans, V. A., Hertzog, P. J., Jakobsen, M. R., Harman, A. N., Lewin, S. R. & Cameron, P. U. (2020). Diverse effects of interferon alpha on the establishment and reversal of HIV latency. PLOS PATHOGENS, 16 (2), https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008151.

Persistent Link: http://hdl.handle.net/11343/245666

File Description: published version License: CC BY