



Innate Immunity in the Central Nervous System: A Missing Piece of the Autoimmune Encephalitis Puzzle?

Robb Wesselingh^{1,2}, Helmut Butzkueven^{1,2}, Katherine Buzzard^{3,4}, David Tarlinton⁵, Terence J. O'Brien^{1,2,3} and Mastura Monif^{1,2,3*}

¹ Department of Neurosciences, Faculty of Medicine, Nursing and Health Sciences, Central Clinical School, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, ² Department of Neurology, Alfred Health, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, ³ Department of Neurology, Melbourne Health, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, ⁴ Department of Neurology, Eastern Health, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, ⁵ Department of Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Nursing and Health Sciences, Central Clinical School, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

V. Wee Yong,
University of Calgary, Canada

Reviewed by:

Simon Faissner,
St. Josef-Hospital, Germany
Luke Michael Healy,
McGill University, Canada

*Correspondence:

Mastura Monif
mastura.monif@monash.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Multiple Sclerosis and
Neuroimmunology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 27 May 2019

Accepted: 15 August 2019

Published: 10 September 2019

Citation:

Wesselingh R, Butzkueven H, Buzzard K, Tarlinton D, O'Brien TJ and Monif M (2019) Innate Immunity in the Central Nervous System: A Missing Piece of the Autoimmune Encephalitis Puzzle? *Front. Immunol.* 10:2066. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.02066

The autoimmune encephalitides are a group of autoimmune conditions targeting the central nervous system and causing severe clinical symptoms including drug-resistant seizures, cognitive dysfunction and psychiatric disturbance. Although these disorders appear to be antibody mediated, the role of innate immune responses needs further clarification. Infiltrating monocytes and microglial proliferation at the site of pathology could contribute to the pathogenesis of the disease with resultant blood brain barrier dysfunction, and subsequent activation of adaptive immune response. Both innate and adaptive immune cells can produce pro-inflammatory molecules which can perpetuate ongoing neuroinflammation and drive ongoing seizure activity. Ultimately neurodegenerative changes can ensue with resultant long-term neurological sequelae that can impact on ongoing patient morbidity and quality of life, providing a potential target for future translational research.

Keywords: autoimmune encephalitis, innate immunity, microglia, monocytes, epilepsy, neuroimmunology, blood brain barrier

INTRODUCTION

Central nervous system (CNS) autoimmunity is a rapidly advancing field, with significant recent advances in our knowledge of the underlying mechanisms of disease. However, there remains significant gaps in our knowledge, particularly in the genesis of autoimmunity within the CNS and the interaction between the innate and adaptive arms of the immune response. While Multiple Sclerosis (MS) remains the prototypical, and most common, autoimmune CNS disorder, autoimmune encephalitis is a useful disease to further investigate the intersecting processes of the immune response for a number of reasons. First, it has a dramatic onset with clear markers of immune etiology. Second, it affects a broad spectrum of neuronal networks. Third, it has demonstrated the potential for serious long-term sequelae in the form of drug-resistant seizures and cognitive or psychiatric morbidity. The adaptive immune system contribution has been the main focus of investigation into this group of disorders, as exemplified by auto-antibody identification. The innate immune system contribution has been less well-investigated, but it is potentially also important and will be the focus of this review.

INNATE IMMUNE DYSFUNCTION IN CNS AUTOIMMUNE DISEASES

Blood Brain Barrier Dysfunction

The blood brain barrier (BBB) forms part of the initial defenses of the CNS. BBB permeability can be altered by several factors including inflammatory molecules such as interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β), tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), C-C motif chemokine receptor-2 ligand (CCL-2), and interleukin-17A (IL-17A) (1).

The main mechanism by which TNF- α mediates BBB disruption is via internalization of tight junction proteins on endothelial cells. This is mediated by upregulation of the downstream pro-inflammatory gene transcription regulator nuclear factor kappa-B (NF κ B) (2). These proteins, such as claudin-5, occludin, and zona occludens 1 (ZO-1) prevent transcellular diffusion of molecules and cells (1).

IL-1 β contributes to BBB permeability in three major ways. First, it induces expression of matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in endothelial cells, glial cells and monocytes/macrophages that act to degrade tight junction proteins (3–5). Second, IL-1 β induces expression of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 α (HIF-1 α) and VEGF-A, contributing to BBB permeability and increased angiogenesis (4). Third, secreted IL-1 β also alters the location of CXCL12 expression in CNS endothelial cells from the basolateral BBB membrane to the luminal surface, contributing to BBB permeability to leukocytes (6).

Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) is an animal model of CNS autoimmunity and neuroinflammation. Early on in the course of EAE monocyte-derived macrophages produce IL-1 β . This can then induce CNS endothelial cells to secrete molecules such as granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating-factor (GM-CSF) and granulocyte-colony-stimulating-factor (G-CSF) (7, 8). These factors are important for the recruitment and activation of immune cells (7, 8). In the EAE model, GM-CSF, and G-CSF encourage the differentiation of infiltrating monocytes into antigen presenting cells that can then interact with CD4⁺ cells (9). Mice with the GM-CSF receptor gene deleted only in CCR2⁺ monocytes are more resistant to initiation of EAE. Conversely constitutive GM-CSF secretion by polyclonal T cells results in infiltration of the CNS with myeloid cells (10).

One pathway that is important to innate cell activation and production of inflammatory cytokines is mediated by a family of receptors called Toll-like Receptors (TLRs). Lipopolysaccharides (LPS) and various environmental toxins can act as pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), or native molecules such as ATP as damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), to stimulate TLRs found on C-C motif chemokine receptor-2 (CCR2) expressing monocytes (11, 12). Resultant activation of various intracellular signaling-cascades leads to the production and release of pro-inflammatory cytokines.

Recruitment and activation of these CCR2⁺ monocytes appears to be an important step in neuroinflammation. For example, CCR2 deficient mice exposed to hypoxic-reperfusion injury demonstrate less BBB permeability and smaller infarct size/brain oedema compared with wild type mice (13). The

molecule responsible for recruiting CCR2⁺ monocytes, CCL2, also potentially has additional effects on endothelial cells. CCL2 can cause internalization of occludin and claudin-5 (14) within these cells, affecting tight junction integrity. The recruitment of CCR2⁺ monocytes via IL-1 β and GM-CSF may play a role in amplification of the pro-inflammatory response, subsequent BBB dysfunction and enhanced interaction between the innate and adaptive immune systems. The contributors to BBB dysfunction are highlighted in **Figure 1**.

Innate Cells and Autoimmunity

Innate cells involved in the inflammatory cascade in the CNS include infiltrating monocytes, macrophages, neutrophils as well as the resident microglia.


Microglia

Microglia are specialized glial cells found in the CNS that have a “macrophage like” function. They are responsible for the maintenance of the CNS environment as well as a local immune response to injury or infection. Resting microglia exist in a ramified state and are constantly monitoring their environment via processes (15). Activated microglia then alter their morphology and gene expression, allowing them to perform both pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory functions (16). Activation of microglia can occur in a number of ways. Microglial activation is strongly linked to extracellular ATP (17). Microglia also express mRNA for TLRs 1–9. *In vivo*, however, TLR3 and 4 are upregulated in inflamed brain tissue (18). Activation of TLRs induce pro-inflammatory cytokine production and expression of MHC-I and MHC-II molecules (19). Other pro-inflammatory cytokines such as CCL2 activate microglia and drive inflammation (20).

Activated microglial cells are an important component of the neuroinflammatory process in the development of MS and subsequent disease progression. Nodules of microglia are found in abundance in normal appearing white matter (NAWM) in tissue autopsy specimens in MS patients (21). These microglia express nicotinamide adenosine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidase, a marker of the production of toxic reactive oxygen species (ROS) and a feature of activated microglia (21). There is also a spatial association between inflammation and the presence of microglia in these specimens (21). Some other surface markers of activated microglia, Major Histocompatibility Complex Class II (MHC-II) and CD11c, are seen early in EAE prior to overt infiltration of peripheral immune cells (22).

Activated microglia perform a number of functions in the inflamed CNS. Microglia express CCL2 (the ligand for CCR2), and this expression is upregulated in animal models of demyelination (23) indicating a key role in promoting innate immune cell infiltration. Microglia are also linked to neurodegeneration in CNS autoimmunity. High levels of microglial activation, as measured with [C11]PK11195 positron emission tomography (PET) ligand, in NAWM in MS are associated with brain atrophy and an increasing disability as measured on the Expanded Disability Status Score (EDSS) (24).

Activated microglia can have dual functions, either promoting or decreasing inflammation. TGF- β has been demonstrated



to induce microglia to produce anti-inflammatory molecules and down-regulate pro-inflammatory molecules (23). TGF- β injected into a pure neuronal cell culture has protective effects against excitotoxicity (25). In mouse organotypic culture, TNF- α secreted by microglia has similarly been shown to protect neurons from excitotoxicity and promote remyelination (26). In a microglial-hippocampal organotypic coculture, microglia expressing M-CSF are able to decrease NMDA mediated neurotoxicity (27). Similarly, in animal models of neurological disease the neuroprotective role of microglia has been reported. In a mouse model of cerebral ischaemia microglial depletion resulted in a larger infarct size, increased levels of inflammatory compounds, increased immune cell infiltration and increased cell necrosis (28). This was primarily mediated by astrocyte overactivity in the absence of the protective effects exerted by microglial cells (28).

In EAE the inhibition of microglial activation with a tetracycline antibiotic (minocycline) results in an attenuated disease course (29). There is also emerging evidence for minocycline in the prevention of recurrent CNS inflammation (relapse) after a first demyelinating event (30). In this trial patients with clinically isolated syndrome (CIS) who were treated with minocycline had a lower risk of conversion to clinically definite MS. It should be noted that minocycline has other anti-inflammatory properties aside from suppressing microglial activation that may play a role in ameliorating EAE or inflammation noted in CIS (31). Inhibition of microglial activation can also be achieved through more targeted methods. Modified rat models utilizing a thymidine kinase suicide gene linked to CD11b reduce the number of activated microglial cells in EAE mice (32). These mice also demonstrate an attenuated clinical course (32).

A number of MS disease modifying medications (DMT) appear to have activity against microglia that may play a

role in their efficacy. Aside from its action on lymphocyte trafficking Fingolimod also decreases pro-inflammatory cytokine production and increases the production of neuroprotective molecules produced by activated microglia (33). The immunomodulating small molecule Glatiramer acetate induces an anti-inflammatory profile in microglia and promotes phagocytic activity (34). Another MS disease modifying therapy, Interferon- β , also appears to mediate its protective effect through myeloid cells (35). Mice with selective type-1 interferon receptor in myeloid cells develop severe disease with increased mortality. Conversely selective type-1 interferon receptor knockout in lymphocytes had no effect on the disease course (35).

Infiltrating Myeloid Cells

Notably in EAE it appears that the macrophages driving the inflammatory process in demyelinating lesions are actually derived from infiltrating monocytes rather than resident microglia (36, 37). Resident microglia show lower expression of pro-inflammatory genes as compared with these infiltrating macrophages (38). An elegant study in EAE used distinct cell surface markers for resident microglia (CX3CR) and infiltrating monocytes (CCR2) in combination with morphological analysis with electron microscopy and gene expression profiles to examine the role of these myeloid cells (39). It demonstrated that the infiltrating myeloid cells adopted a pro-inflammatory role within the demyelinating lesions. Conversely the resident microglia were far more inert and adopted a more homeostatic role (39).

Infiltrating CCR2⁺ (classical) monocytes appear to be a major monocyte subtype involved in altering BBB permeability and are seen in a number of other models of CNS injury and neuroinflammation. CCR2⁺ monocytes accumulate in brain lesions in traumatic brain injury (TBI) (40). CCR2 knock out mice with a focal TBI demonstrate smaller lesion cavity sizes

(40). CCR2 antagonism in focal TBI in mice decreases CCR2⁺ monocyte/macrophage accumulation, which was found to be associated with improvements in cognitive outcomes (14, 41). Monocytes have been shown to migrate to the CNS in hypoxic-ischemic injuries as well as in animal models of amyloid plaque related neurodegeneration (42). In EAE the absence of CCR2⁺ monocytes decrease disease severity (43), indicating a role for CCR2⁺ monocytes in both CNS neuroinflammation and autoimmunity. However, as with microglia, the role of these infiltrating monocytes can be pleiotropic. In a mouse model of spinal cord injury (SCI) inhibition of CCR2⁺ monocyte infiltration into the CNS resulted in chronic microglial activation and delayed clinical recovery (44). The infiltrating myeloid cells in this model had a suppressive effect on pro-inflammatory microglia, providing an anti-inflammatory environment (44).

The other predominant infiltrating myeloid cell in CNS autoimmunity is the neutrophil (45). Neutrophils are early phase effector cells that produce a variety of pro-inflammatory factors such as IL-1 β , IL-6, TNF- α as well as ROS (45). In EAE neutrophils appear to play a role in the development of disease, particularly in BBB dysfunction (46). Neutrophils have been shown to infiltrate the CNS in the pre-clinical phase of EAE (47). Depletion of neutrophils prior to disease onset ameliorates disease progression (48). This is not seen with depletion after disease onset or at the clinical peak of disease (48). This suggests an important role of neutrophils in the initial phase of the disease. Similar studies in EAE have demonstrated that BBB dysfunction is spatially related to neutrophil infiltration into the brain (46). Interestingly depletion of neutrophils also diminishes monocyte or microglia maturation into antigen presenting cells (APCs) expressing HLA-DR (49), which may indicate another important role in driving early CNS autoimmunity and neuroinflammation.

Initiation of the Adaptive Immune Response

One potentially important, yet poorly understood, role of these innate cells in CNS autoimmunity is in stimulating the proliferation and maturation of autoreactive lymphocytes. In the EAE model APCs expressing endogenous antigens promote differentiation of antigen-specific lymphocytes into specific lineages (50). The interaction with T cells appears to play a central role in the initiation of the adaptive response in CNS autoimmunity. MS has long been considered a T cell mediated disease, supported by the presence of activated T cells in active, demyelinating plaques in a large neuropathological study of MS biopsies and autopsy specimens (51). The T cells in MS are thought to be activated by CNS APCs presenting CNS autoantigens, although no specific antigen has been identified (52). The presence of clonally expanded populations of MHC II restricted T cells that are preferentially activated in EAE induction (51) supports the concept of target antigens that activate specific TCRs and induce cellular proliferation.

B cells are also increasingly considered to play a major role in CNS autoimmunity (53). The involvement of B cells in the pathogenesis of MS is supported by the presence of CSF specific oligoclonal immunoglobulins in up to 90% of

MS patients (54). Brain tissue specimens from MS patients also demonstrate immunoglobulin and complement deposition in areas of CNS demyelination, indicating B cell antibody production (55). However, as discussed previously, there is no clear antigenic target. Further supporting the role of B cells in MS is the high efficacy of anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies in preventing relapses in MS and controlling disease progression (56). However, there have also been failures in B cell targeted treatment. Atacept, a molecule targeting B cell activation factors, actually increased the risk of relapses when used in MS patients (57). It is unclear why these B cell directed treatments have such disparate clinical effects, but does suggest that a potential sub-population of B cells may play a protective role in MS.

B cells may also play a role as APCs in MS. Peripheral B cells from patients with MS have upregulated MHC II expression (58). Higher levels of co-stimulatory molecules are also seen on B cells within the CNS (59, 60). Ablation of MHC II molecules on B cells in mice causes resistance to EAE development (61). Activated B cells also drive T_{H17} responses due to secretion of IL-6 (62, 63) and the absence of B cell secreted IL-6 reduces disease severity in EAE (64). A recent study examining auto-proliferating auto-reactive lymphocyte populations in the peripheral circulation of patients with MS demonstrated the importance of the interaction between the B cells and T cells to maintain activation and proliferation (65). Pertinent to the interaction between the innate and the adaptive immune responses, B cells also play a key role in promoting the ongoing pro-inflammatory response by myeloid cells due to secretion of GM-CSF (66).

AN EMERGING CNS AUTOIMMUNE DISEASE: AUTOIMMUNE ENCEPHALITIS

Autoimmune Encephalitis Overview

The autoimmune encephalitis (AIE) are a collection of heterogeneous disorders characterized by immune mediated inflammation of the brain parenchyma and disruption of neuronal circuitry (67). Due to the variation in anatomical and functional locations within the CNS that can be affected, these disorders can present with a broad range of symptoms ranging from fevers and headaches to neuropsychiatric disturbance, movement disorders (dystonia/dyskinesia), seizures, cognitive impairment, autonomic dysfunction and sleep-wake cycle disturbance (67). Both individually and as a group, AIE are a relatively rare condition with a measured incidence of 0.8–2/100,000 per year in Europe, and a similar incidence of 1.2/100,000 in the United States of America (68). This is comparable with the incidence of infectious encephalitis (1.0/100,000) (68). Notably this incidence is more than 2-fold greater than in the preceding 10 years, likely reflecting increased recognition and improved diagnostic tests.

Autoimmune Encephalitis: A Clinical Syndrome

Diagnosis of AIE relies on the recognition of a clinical syndrome together with serological testing. This is supported with

identification of inflammation on ancillary investigations. This can include cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) testing looking for pleiocytosis and/or elevated protein, or neuroimaging. MRI brain imaging utilizing gadolinium contrast can demonstrate oedema or increased blood brain barrier permeability. Resultant neuronal circuitry dysfunction can also be identified on electroencephalogram. Due to a reliance on serological testing (and potentially representing some differences in pathophysiology) AIE can be further divided into three sub-groups: (1) a subtype defined by antibodies directed at intracellular targets, (2) a subtype defined by antibodies directed at cell surface antigens, and (3) a further subtype without identifiable antibodies (“seronegative” disease).

The subtype defined by antibodies directed against intracellular antigens are largely paraneoplastic disorders. Despite many similarities to the other subtypes of AIE, this group typically demonstrate poor response to immunotherapy if the underlying neoplastic process is not treated (69). These syndromes and their neoplastic associations are summarized in **Table 1**. However, a detailed discussion of these specific disorders is outside the scope of this review, but has been covered in a recent publication by Rosenfeld and Dalmau (70).

The cell surface antibody associated AIE are a more recently identified entity. Anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate Receptor (NMDAR) antibody associated AIE, characterized in 2007 (71, 72) has a typical clinical syndrome characterized by neuropsychiatric disturbance including psychosis, catatonia, hypersomnia or insomnia, movement disorder (dyskinesia and dystonia) and dysautonomia (73). It is most commonly found in younger females and associated with an ovarian teratoma in 24% of cases (74). Identification of NMDAR antibody associated AIE has led to a search for other novel CNS auto-antibodies. Thus far this research has been fruitful in the identification of a number of clinical syndromes associated with antibodies directed to other cell surface antigens (**Table 2**).

Unsurprisingly, the seronegative subtype is the most difficult to diagnose due to the lack of an “identifiable” antibody in the blood or CSF. A number of these patients have likely non-pathogenic antibodies to antigens such as glutamate decarboxylase (GAD) and Thyroid peroxidase (TPO) (75)

which may represent an underlying tendency to autoimmunity. Ancillary investigations supportive of CNS inflammation remain an important criterion for diagnosis (76). Despite the absence of a currently identifiable specific antibodies, this patient cohort appears to have good functional improvement after immunotherapy (77). However, studies on “seronegative” AIE may be potentially confounded by the possible inclusion of undiagnosed viral encephalitis.

TABLE 2 | Cell-surface Antibody associated AIE subtypes.

Antibody	Clinical phenotypes
N-methyl-D-aspartate Receptor (NMDAR)	Dyskinetic movements (esp. orofacial), psychiatric symptoms, dysautonomia, catatonia associated with ovarian teratoma
Leucine-rich, Glioma Inactivated 1 (LGI-1)	Limbic Encephalitis, rapid onset dementia, memory impairment, FBDS
Contactin Associated Protein 2 (CASPR)	Limbic encephalitis, neuromyotonia
Gamma-Aminobutyric acid B (GABA _B)	Refractory seizures, limbic encephalitis
α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA)	Refractory seizures, limbic encephalitis, amnestic syndrome
Dipeptidyl-peptidase-like Protein (DPPX)	Gastrointestinal hyperexcitability, limbic encephalitis
glycine receptor	Progressive encephalomyelitis and rigidity with myoclonus (PERM) syndrome, hyperekplexia
Metabotropic Glutamate receptor 1 (mGlu1)	Cerebellar syndrome
Metabotropic Glutamate receptor 5 (mGlu5)	Limbic encephalitis associated with Hodgkin's lymphoma
IgLON5	Sleep disorder, parkinsonism, cognitive dysfunction
Adenylate Kinase 5	Limbic Encephalitis
Gamma-Aminobutyric acid A (GABA _A)	Refractory seizures

TABLE 1 | Intracellular antibody associated AIE subtypes.

Antibody	Clinical phenotypes	Common associated malignancies
Hu (ANNA1)	Encephalomyelitis, sensory neuronopathy, cerebellar syndrome, limbic encephalitis	Small cell lung carcinoma (SCLC)
Ri (ANNA2)	Ataxia, opsoclonus myoclonus, brainstem encephalitis	Breast, SCLC
Yo (PCA1)	Cerebellar syndrome/degeneration	Ovarian
CV2 (CRMP5)	Sensorimotor neuropathy, retinopathy, optic neuritis, cerebellar syndrome, limbic encephalitis	SCLC, Thymoma
Amphiphysin	Stiff person syndrome, encephalomyelitis, limbic encephalitis	Breast, SCLC
Ma2	Limbic encephalitis, brainstem encephalitis, refractory seizures, myelopathy	Testicular Seminoma
SOX1	Lambert-eaton myaesthetic syndrome, limbic encephalitis	SCLC
Titin	Myaesthetic syndrome	Thymoma
Recoverin	Acute/subacute painless vision loss (Retinopathy)	SCLC, Thymoma
Zic4	Cerebellar syndrome/degeneration	SCLC
Tr (DNER)	Cerebellar syndrome/degeneration	Hodgkins lymphoma

Treatment Targets

Investigation and Management of Neoplasms

In AIE syndromes that are associated with neoplasms a prompt and thorough search for a neoplasm is warranted (78). This is particularly vital in subtypes with antibodies directed at intracellular targets but is also true of the other subtypes. It is thought that the tumor provides an antigenic focus that drives the immune response (79, 80). The tumor either expresses an intracellular antigen abnormally on the cell surface, or the antigen becomes exposed during cell necrosis (79, 80). This appears to drive a cell mediated response against neural cells expressing that same antigen (79, 80). The antibodies themselves are not thought to be pathogenic, but rather a biomarker of this immune response. Once the tumor has been identified, removal or treatment of the neoplasm is important to decrease the activity of the immune response and may even ameliorate the syndrome altogether (69). Immunotherapy alone in these patients is unlikely to be successful in the long-term (69).

Immunotherapy

The mainstay of treatment in AIE is immunotherapy. First line agents for treatment of AIE includes either monotherapy or combination high dose corticosteroids, intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) or plasmapheresis (81). Second line therapy may include cyclophosphamide and/or Rituximab (82). In the rare event of treatment failure, IL-6R antagonists (Tocilizumab) (83) and in some instances proteasome inhibitors (Bortezomib) (84) have been used. The need for longer term “maintenance” immunosuppression is uncertain. In patients with relapsing or refractory disease, longer term “maintenance” treatment with mycophenolate mofetil, azathioprine, ciclosporin or methotrexate has been used (85). This broad range of at least partially effective immunotherapies hints at a potentially complex underlying immunological pathophysiology.

AUTOIMMUNE ENCEPHALITIS: CURRENT EVIDENCE OF IMMUNOLOGICAL DYSFUNCTION

Neuropathology

Neuropathology can often provide an insight into potential effector mechanisms. In AIE pathology provides further evidence for its immune mediated nature, but also highlights its complexity. Multiple small immunopathological studies in AIE (86–93) have demonstrated a variety of pathological changes; these are summarized in **Table 3**. While minimal consistency is seen amongst these studies, broadly there is a predominance of perivascular lymphocyte infiltration with antibody or complement deposition in cell-surface antibody mediated AIE and proliferation of innate immune cells (microglia and macrophages) across all subtypes.

Immune Profiles in Autoimmune Encephalitis

There are few comprehensive studies examining the immune cell profile in acute AIE subtypes. CSF flow cytometry

performed on two patients with NMDAR antibody associated AIE demonstrated increased CD19⁺ cells with no change in T cell populations compared to patients with non-inflammatory neurological disorders (NIND) (94). A much larger study involving 60 patients with NMDAR antibody associated AIE identified an expanded population of IL-17 producing CD4⁺ T cells (T_{h17} cells) on CSF flow cytometry (95). Another small study examining CSF flow cytometry in 3 partially treated patients with GABA_B antibody associated AIE demonstrated increased populations of CD19⁺, CD138⁺, CD4⁺, and CD8⁺ lymphocytes (96). Interestingly in this small series those with activated CD8⁺ cells (measured by presence of HLA-DR) had poorer neuropsychological outcomes than those with activated CD4⁺ cells (96). These studies did not examine innate cells.

A retrospective study of AIE patients with cell-surface antigen targeted antibodies demonstrated an increase in the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) on a standard full blood examination as compared with healthy controls (97). Additionally the NLR was positively associated with poor functional outcomes (as measured on the modified Rankin Scale or mRS) in the AIE patients (97). Neutrophils are early responders in the innate immune system, and persistent neutrophil proliferation may be an indication of dysregulation of the pro-inflammatory cascade that extends to the CNS.

Cytokines in Autoimmune Encephalitis

There are a number of cytokine or chemokine biomarkers in AIE that could provide a clue to immunopathogenesis. Multiple studies in NMDAR antibody associated AIE have shown an increase in CSF CXCL-13 (98), IL-6, IL-17, CXCL-10, IL-1 β (95, 99–101), serum IL-2 (102), and, in some but not all studies, CSF B Cell Activating Factor (BAFF) and a proliferation-inducing ligand (APRIL) compared with patients with NIND (103, 104). In comparison the CSF of patients with viral encephalitis typically have increased levels of IL-1 β , IL-6, TNF- α , interferon- γ , APRIL, and BAFF (101).

A number of these cytokines are associated with B cells and plasma cells. CXCL13 is a B cell chemoattractant that was demonstrated in CSF of patients with NMDAR antibody associated AIE. Furthermore, decreasing levels correlated with treatment benefit. CXCL13 is known to be produced by monocytes and microglia (105). BAFF and APRIL are B cell activation molecules. In one cohort of AIE patients CSF BAFF and APRIL levels correlated with functional outcomes. Conversely another study comparing NMDAR antibody associated AIE with viral encephalitis noted no elevation of BAFF and APRIL in the CSF (103, 104). AIE patients with antibodies to cell surface proteins have higher CSF levels of interferon- γ , IL-17, IL-12, and IL-23 compared with AIE associated with intracellular antigens (106). These are T cell and more specifically T_{h1} and T_{h17} associated cytokines.

There is also evidence for innate immune system activation in AIE. A recent study identified higher levels of IL-6, pentraxin-3 (part of an innate pro-inflammatory cascade, produced after TLR activation), CD40L and IL-17A in the CSF of patients with NMDAR antibody associated AIE (107). A study examining patients with autoimmune epilepsy presenting with new-onset

TABLE 3 | Histopathological studies in AIE.

Histopathological series References Tissue (Number of patients)	NMDAR AIE	VGKC AIE	Seronegative AIE
Bien et al. (86) <i>Brain biopsies</i> (NMDAR = 3, VGKC = 4)	Complement deposition, minimal lymphocyte infiltrate	—	—
Tuzun et al. (87) <i>Autopsy</i> (NMDAR = 2)	Perivascular lymphocyte infiltrate (plasma cells), IgG1 antibody deposition, microglial (CD68) proliferation, atrophy	—	—
Martinez-Hernandez et al. (88) <i>Brain biopsies and Autopsy</i> (NMDAR = 5)	Perivascular plasmablasts, no antibody deposition	—	—
Okamoto et al. (89) <i>Autopsy</i> (Seronegative = 3)	—	—	Microglial (CD68) proliferation, atrophy
Park et al. (90) <i>Autopsy</i> (VGKC = 1)	—	Microglial (CD68) proliferation	—
Filatenkov et al. (91) <i>Autopsy</i> (NMDAR = 1, post-treatment)	Microglial activation and proliferation. CD3 lymphocyte parenchymal infiltration. Occasional CD20 lymphocyte.	—	—
Khan et al. (92) <i>Autopsy</i> (VGKC = 1)	—	Microglial activation. Perivascular CD20 lymphocyte infiltration.	—
Camdessanche et al. (93) <i>Brain Biopsy</i> (NMDA = 1)	Perivascular CD20 lymphocyte infiltration	—	—

NMDAR, *N-methyl-D-aspartate Receptor*; AIE, *Autoimmune Encephalitis*; VGKC, *Voltage-gated Potassium Channel*.

refractory status epilepticus found elevated levels of IL-6, TNF- α , IL-2, and IL-4 in the CSF, and elevated levels of IL-6 and TNF- α in the periphery (108). Interestingly, treatment with a monoclonal antibody targeting the IL-6 receptor resulted in improvement in seizure activity in 86% of the patients and normalization of the cytokine levels (108).

Other potential AIE biomarkers not directly part of the immune cascade that are consistent with the inflammatory and neurotoxic nature of the immune dysregulation in AIE include Cystatin C and uric acid. Cystatin C levels in the CSF of patients with NMDAR antibody associated AIE are lower during acute disease and improve with treatment (109). Cystatin is suggested to be an anti-inflammatory cytokine and may play a role in neuronal protection through the autophagy pathway (109). Serum uric acid levels similarly seem to decrease in patients with acute NMDAR antibody associated AIE and increase after treatment (110). Uric acid can act as an anti-oxidant and this may reflect increased oxidative stress (110) with inflammation and innate immune cell activation.

Autoimmune Encephalitis as an Antibody Mediated Disease

The role of antibodies in AIE remains controversial, however there is growing evidence of their pathogenicity in a number of AIE subtypes. The best studied subtype with regards to pathogenicity is NMDAR antibody associated AIE. Serum from

patients with NMDAR antibody associated AIE applied to rat hippocampi causes internalization of the NMDA receptors and selectively decreased NMDA neuronal currents as measured by whole cell voltage clamp recordings (111). Rats infused intraventricularly with CSF from individuals with NMDAR antibody associated AIE developed reversible behavioral and memory problems (112). Although the potential presence of other bioactive molecules within the CSF could have confounded these findings, the same changes in NMDAR expression and NMDA mediated currents can be seen in the presence of recombinant NMDAR antibodies alone (113). In humans, NMDAR antibody CSF titres correlate with disease severity and successful treatment (114), also suggesting a potential role in the pathogenesis of this disease.

POTENTIAL ROLE OF INNATE IMMUNE SYSTEM DYSFUNCTION IN AUTOIMMUNE ENCEPHALITIS

Pathogenesis: BBB Dysfunction, Cellular Recruitment and Antigen Presentation

As we have highlighted in other CNS autoimmune disorders, innate immune cells can perform a number of important functions. (1) They act as the “first line of defense” against pathogens, (2) they perform antigen processing and presentation, (3) they release bioactive factors that can result in BBB


dysfunction, and (4) they have the capacity to recruit other immune cells to the CNS. Due to this myriad of functions the innate immune response is highly likely to play an important role in the pathogenesis of AIE.

The possibility of an initial event causing BBB changes is especially convincing in the well-established association between Herpes Simplex Virus-1 (HSV-1) encephalitis and NMDAR antibody associated AIE (115). Patients who are initially diagnosed as HSV-1 encephalitis based on polymerase chain reaction testing may develop another encephalitic illness approximately 4–8 weeks after recovery, marked by the presence of NMDAR antibodies and typical phenotypic features of the latter disease (115). A recent report suggests a similar sequence in a case of GABA_B antibody associated AIE (116). In epidemiological studies, there is also a higher incidence of non-encephalitic HSV-1 infections in patients with NMDAR antibody associated AIE as compared with controls (117).

HSV-1 encephalitis produces a pro-inflammatory CNS environment. Mouse microglial cells have been shown to produce high amounts of TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-6, CCL2 during HSV-1 infection. This occurs via TLR2 expressed on microglial cells (118). This microglial activation and cytokine production could drive upregulation and infiltration of innate cells such as monocytes into the CNS, lead to BBB dysfunction as well as recruitment of adaptive immune components such as B cells to

produce antibodies. This provides the ideal CNS environment for the genesis of CNS autoimmunity.

The temporal pattern of cytokine production in AIE patients is also informative regarding changes in BBB permeability and potential recruitment of innate cells, followed by recruitment of adaptive immune cells. In a documented case of post HSV-1 NMDAR antibody associated AIE there were three consecutive phases of immune-related molecules seen. First there was an initial spike of pro-inflammatory cytokines in the CSF including IL-1 β , TNF- α , interferon- γ and CCL2, as well as CXCL10 and CXCL13, during the HSV-1 phase (119). This first phase suggests both innate and adaptive immune infiltration with BBB dysfunction, consistent with a viral encephalitis. This peak had subsided 2 weeks post first diagnosis. The second phase was characterized by a second peak of CXCL10, CXCL13, and CCL2 in the CSF during the prodromal period (19 days post diagnosis of HSV-1 encephalitis). During this phase there was no NMDAR antibody detected in the CSF. This second phase suggests largely innate immune activation. At the onset of neurological symptoms (day 31), the CSF NMDAR antibody levels peaked while cytokine/chemokine levels dropped off aside from CXCL10 and CCL2 (119). During this third phase the humoral response appears to be playing a large role. These three phases of immune profiles generate a potential hypothesis for the pathogenesis of AIE. First an initial insult altering BBB permeability allowing microglial activation and



monocyte/macrophage infiltration. This would then instigate further neuroinflammation, recruitment of B and T cells and subsequent antibody production.

There is other indirect evidence for this hypothesis. In patients NMDAR antibodies appear in the CSF before the serum (120). In the animal models of NMDAR antibody mediated neuronal injury discussed previously, the changes in NMDA receptors on rat neurons only occurred when the patient serum was infused into the ventricles or when using ApoE knockout mice [who have impaired BBB function (121)] compared with wild type mice (122). This highlights the requirement for an initial neuroinflammatory event to drive the adaptive response. A potential mechanism for pathogenesis is proposed in **Figure 2**.

Imaging studies have also demonstrated the importance of neuroinflammation and altered BBB permeability in AIE. Imaging of patients with NMDAR antibody mediated AIE utilizing arterial spin labeling MRI techniques early during the disease process have demonstrated focal areas of hyperperfusion prior to T1 or T2 MRI changes (123). This suggests early increased BBB permeability early in the disease course, prior to parenchymal neuroinflammation. Fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-PET neuroimaging in multiple studies in various subtypes of AIE demonstrates areas of both hypermetabolism and hypometabolism (124). Anatomical patterns are associated with specific subtypes such as NMDAR and LGI-1 antibody associated AIE (125–128). While the areas of hypometabolism may be related to receptor signaling loss due to antibody binding, the areas of hypermetabolism (as with the hyperperfusion in the case of MRI) could indicate excitotoxicity due to seizures, neuroinflammation or early increased BBB permeability.

Finally, there is genetic evidence indicating a significant role for antigen presentation, the intersection between the innate and adaptive immune response, in AIE. A number of genetic studies looking at HLA associations in different subtypes of AIE have identified some common haplotypes of both MHC-I and MHC-II molecules (129–132); these are summarized in **Table 4**. While the preponderance for certain MHC-II haplotypes suggest an important role for the interaction between professional APCs (B cells, macrophages, dendritic cells) and CD4⁺ T cells, the MHC-I molecule associations implicate a role for CD8⁺ mediated immune responses.

Persistent Neuroinflammation and Antibody Independent Sequelae

A second potential role for the innate immune system is propagation of the neuroinflammatory state and therefore ongoing symptoms such as seizures.

There has been increasing awareness that there is both an increased tendency for seizures in autoimmune neuroinflammation and that seizures themselves can produce a pro-inflammatory state. Several studies have demonstrated that the pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1 β , IL-6, TNF- α modulate susceptibility to limbic seizures in rodent models of temporal lobe epilepsy (133). These cytokines are also upregulated within the CNS during seizures along with markers of monocyte activation (CD86, HLA-DR, CD14⁺CD16⁻) and T cell activation (CD25, CD69, CTLA-4, and HLA-DR) (134). One study examining status epilepticus (SE) induced in rats with kainic acid (KA, a commonly used molecule for inducing seizures in animal models) demonstrated infiltration of blood derived monocytes expressing CCR2 (135). These cells interact with resident microglia and increase levels of IL-1 β (135). Prevention of monocyte infiltration in this study was demonstrated to be neuroprotective (135).

In AIE the seizures are likely driven by the combination of ongoing neuroinflammation as well as alterations in neuronal excitability set points due to antibody effects on receptors. For example, the ability of the NMDAR antibody to generate seizures in animal models is controversial. In a study by Wright et al. purified NMDAR antibodies from patients injected into the brains of mice are able to lower seizure threshold, but spontaneous seizures are not seen on continuous EEG recordings (136). Conversely a more recent study by Taraschenko et al. demonstrated the generation of spontaneous non-convulsive seizures on continuous EEG monitoring in mice injected with rabbit Anti-NMDAR IgG or patient CSF compared with a control group (137). Interestingly in this second study the mice injected with patient CSF had 4–5 fold more seizures than the group injected with rabbit Anti-NMDAR IgG (137). It is plausible that the addition of pro-inflammatory compounds present in the CSF, such as IL-1 β , in the setting of lower excitability thresholds, could drive epileptogenesis in AIE.

Microglial activation and proliferation may also contribute to long-term cognitive changes seen in patients with AIE. In NMDAR antibody associated AIE, >75% of patients are reported to have cognitive impairment of some degree as part of their illness, while 76% have cognitive impairment persisting beyond the acute illness (138). While this is largely thought to be mediated by antibodies targeting important neuronal receptors, it is unclear why these deficits should persist beyond the acute illness. While the cellular mechanisms for ongoing cognitive dysfunction have not been examined in AIE, there is similarity with another antibody-associated condition which can affect the CNS and cause cognitive dysfunction, Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE). In SLE patients can develop antibodies to the NMDAR GluN2A and GluN2B subunits (139). These patients manifest deficits in executive function, processing speed and memory even after the antibodies have been cleared from the

TABLE 4 | HLA haplotypes associated with AIE subtypes.

	NMDAR AIE (129, 132)	LGI-1 AIE (129–131)
MHC-I	HLAB*07:02	HLA-B*57:01 HLA-B*44:03 HLA-C*06:02 HLA-C*07:06
MHC-II	HLA-DRB1*16:02	HLA-DRB*07:01 HLA-DQA1*02:01 HLA-DQB1*02:02

NMDAR, *N-methyl-D-aspartate* Receptor; AIE, *Autoimmune Encephalitis*; LGI-1, *Leucine glioma-inactivated-1*.

CNS. This is postulated to occur through ongoing structural and functional changes mediated by microglia (139), which appear to occur in an antibody independent manner.

Interestingly ongoing cognitive dysfunction in AIE can have structural correlates in neuroimaging. In LGI-1 antibody associated AIE, cognitive dysfunction correlated with putamenal atrophy as well as changes on diffusion tensor imaging in the white matter tracts of the anterior corona radiata, anterior internal capsule and anterior third of the corpus callosum (140). It remains unclear whether these structural and functional changes are driven by the auto-antibodies or by microglial and monocyte driven neuroinflammation as suggested in SLE.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

While there is certainly some evidence to suggest an important role for the innate immune system in AIE, this area has generally been overlooked in favor of the adaptive immune system resulting in a paucity of research in this area. Immunophenotyping studies focusing on innate components, more detailed cytokine and cell transcriptome analyses, and further epidemiological studies examining associations with other pro-inflammatory states/first-hit events will contribute to building knowledge in this area. Given the potential for the innate response to be a conserved pathway across the subtypes of AIE, an understanding of the role it plays may lead to the detection and use of common biomarkers across different subtypes of AIE. This would be particularly helpful in seronegative AIE.

Furthermore, given the high prevalence of seizures in AIE and the likelihood that this relates to the CNS pro-inflammatory state, further investigation into these components may also provide added understanding of a potential pathway of epileptogenesis and the repurposing of targeted immunotherapy such as IL-6 blockade in certain types of epilepsy.

Finally a greater understanding of the role for innate immune pathways in AIE may provide additional treatment options. This could include targeting important molecules involved in innate cell recruitment and activation such as

IL-1 β , TLR4, and CCL2. Anakinra is an existing IL-1R blocking monoclonal antibody which has been used previously in a microglia predominant neuroinflammatory disorder (141). CCL2 blockade targeting myeloid cell infiltration has been successful in animal models of human cancers (142). There are also a number of promising TLR4 antagonists that have been successful in treating inflammatory disease in pre-clinical trials, although none have been successful in clinical trials as yet (143, 144). Recent advances in treatment in other CNS autoimmune disorders may also be re-purposed for AIE. These include Eculizumab, a monoclonal antibody targeting the complement cascade, and Inebilizumab, a monoclonal antibody targeting CD19 expressing cells. Another therapy Satralizumab, an antibody targeting the IL-6R, has the most potential to be converted into therapy for AIE, given the potential role of IL-6 in the pro-inflammatory cascade and the success with Tocilizumab.

CONCLUSION

While a number of the important interactions between the innate, adaptive and neural components in CNS autoimmunity and neuroinflammation have been well-studied, there remains significant gaps in our knowledge. AIE provides a unique disorder which can assist us in understanding the mechanisms of CNS autoimmunity and its genesis. In particular the role of dysregulated innate cell activity in driving autoreactive lymphocyte proliferation and maturation to immunoreactive lymphocytes. This will also provide us with potential improvements in diagnosis and treatment of AIE, as well as other CNS autoimmune diseases.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

RW performed the literature search and wrote the manuscript. MM, TO'B, HB, DT, and KB oversaw preparation of the manuscript, and contributed to writing and editing of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Sonar SA, Lal G. Blood-brain barrier and its function during inflammation and autoimmunity. *J Leukoc Biol.* (2018). 103: 839–53. doi: 10.1002/JLB.1RU1117-428R
2. Aveleira CA, Lin CM, Abcouwer SF, Ambrosio AF, Antonetti DA. TNF-alpha signals through PKCzeta/NF-kappaB to alter the tight junction complex and increase retinal endothelial cell permeability. *Diabetes.* (2010) 59:2872–82. doi: 10.2337/db09-1606
3. Argaw AT, Gurfein BT, Zhang Y, Zameer A, John GR. VEGF-mediated disruption of endothelial CLN-5 promotes blood-brain barrier breakdown. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* (2009) 106:1977–82. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0808698106
4. Argaw AT, Zhang Y, Snyder BJ, Zhao ML, Kopp N, Lee SC, et al. IL-1beta regulates blood-brain barrier permeability via reactivation of the hypoxia-angiogenesis program. *J Immunol.* (2006) 177:5574–84. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.177.8.5574
5. Harkness KA, Adamson P, Sussman JD, Davies-Jones GA, Greenwood J, Woodrooffe MN. Dexamethasone regulation of matrix metalloproteinase expression in CNS vascular endothelium. *Brain.* (2000) 123 (Pt 4):698–709. doi: 10.1093/brain/123.4.698
6. McCandless EE, Budde M, Lees JR, Dorsey D, Lyng E, Klein RS. IL-1R signaling within the central nervous system regulates CXCL12 expression at the blood-brain barrier and disease severity during experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *J Immunol.* (2009) 183:613–20. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0802258
7. Levesque SA, Pare A, Mailhot B, Bellver-Landete V, Kebir H, Lecuyer MA, et al. Myeloid cell transmigration across the CNS vasculature triggers IL-1beta-driven neuroinflammation during autoimmune encephalomyelitis in mice. *J Exp Med.* (2016) 213:929–49. doi: 10.1084/jem.20151437
8. Pare A, Mailhot B, Levesque SA, Juzwik C, Ignatius Arokia Doss PM, Lecuyer MA, et al. IL-1beta enables CNS access to CCR2(hi) monocytes and the generation of pathogenic cells through GM-CSF released by CNS endothelial cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* (2018) 115:E1194–203. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1714948115
9. Ifergan I, Kebir H, Bernard M, Wosik K, Dodelet-Devillers A, Cayrol R, et al. The blood-brain barrier induces differentiation of migrating

- monocytes into Th17-polarizing dendritic cells. *Brain*. (2008) 131(Pt 3):785–99. doi: 10.1093/brain/awm295
10. Spath S, Komuczki J, Hermann M, Pelczar P, Mair F, Schreiner B, et al. Dysregulation of the Cytokine GM-CSF Induces Spontaneous Phagocyte Invasion and Immunopathology in the Central Nervous System. *Immunity*. (2017) 46:245–60. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2017.01.007
 11. Thibeault I, Laflamme N, Rivest S. Regulation of the gene encoding the monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP-1) in the mouse and rat brain in response to circulating LPS and proinflammatory cytokines. *J Comp Neurol*. (2001) 434:461–77. doi: 10.1002/cne.1187
 12. Laflamme N, Soucy G, Rivest S. Circulating cell wall components derived from gram-negative, not gram-positive, bacteria cause a profound induction of the gene-encoding Toll-like receptor 2 in the CNS. *J Neurochem*. (2001) 79:648–57. doi: 10.1046/j.1471-4159.2001.00603.x
 13. Dimitrijevic OB, Stamatovic SM, Keep RF, Andjelkovic AV. Absence of the chemokine receptor CCR2 protects against cerebral ischemia/reperfusion injury in mice. *Stroke*. (2007) 38:1345–53. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.0000259709.16654.8f
 14. Stamatovic SM, Keep RF, Wang MM, Jankovic I, Andjelkovic AV. Caveolae-mediated internalization of occludin and claudin-5 during CCL2-induced tight junction remodeling in brain endothelial cells. *J Biol Chem*. (2009) 284:19053–66. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.000521
 15. Nimmerjahn A, Kirchhoff F, Helmchen F. Resting microglial cells are highly dynamic surveillants of brain parenchyma *in vivo*. *Science*. (2005) 308:1314–8. doi: 10.1126/science.1110647
 16. Cherry JD, Olschowka JA, O'Banion MK. Neuroinflammation and M2 microglia: the good, the bad, and the inflamed. *J Neuroinflammation*. (2014) 11:98. doi: 10.1186/1742-2094-11-98
 17. Davalos D, Grutzendler J, Yang G, Kim JV, Zuo Y, Jung S, et al. ATP mediates rapid microglial response to local brain injury *in vivo*. *Nat Neurosci*. (2005) 8:752–8. doi: 10.1038/nn1472
 18. Bsibi M, Ravid R, Gveric D, van Noort JM. Broad expression of Toll-like receptors in the human central nervous system. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol*. (2002) 61:1013–21. doi: 10.1093/jnen/61.11.1013
 19. Olson JK, Miller SD. Microglia initiate central nervous system innate and adaptive immune responses through multiple TLRs. *J Immunol*. (2004) 173:3916–24. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.173.6.3916
 20. Selenica ML, Alvarez JA, Nash KR, Lee DC, Cao C, Lin X, et al. Diverse activation of microglia by chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2 overexpression in brain. *J Neuroinflammation*. (2013) 10:86. doi: 10.1186/1742-2094-10-86
 21. van Horsen J, Singh S, van der Pol S, Kipp M, Lim JL, Peferoen L, et al. Clusters of activated microglia in normal-appearing white matter show signs of innate immune activation. *J Neuroinflammation*. (2012) 9:156. doi: 10.1186/1742-2094-9-156
 22. Ponomarev ED, Shriver LP, Maresz K, Dittel BN. Microglial cell activation and proliferation precedes the onset of CNS autoimmunity. *J Neurosci Res*. (2005) 81:374–89. doi: 10.1002/jnr.20488
 23. Thompson KK, Tsirka SE. The diverse roles of microglia in the neurodegenerative aspects of Central Nervous System (CNS) autoimmunity. *Int J Mol Sci*. (2017) 18:1–15. doi: 10.3390/ijms18030504
 24. Versijpt J, Debruyne JC, Van Laere KJ, De Vos F, Keppens J, Strijckmans K, et al. Microglial imaging with positron emission tomography and atrophy measurements with magnetic resonance imaging in multiple sclerosis: a correlative study. *Mult Scler*. (2005) 11:127–34. doi: 10.1191/1352458505ms11400a
 25. Pratt BM, McPherson JM. TGF-beta in the central nervous system: potential roles in ischemic injury and neurodegenerative diseases. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev*. (1997) 8:267–92. doi: 10.1016/S1359-6101(97)00018-X
 26. Masuch A, Shieh CH, van Rooijen N, van Calker D, Biber K. Mechanism of microglia neuroprotection: involvement of P2X7, TNFalpha, and valproic acid. *Glia*. (2016) 64:76–89. doi: 10.1002/glia.22904
 27. Mitrasinovic OM, Grattan A, Robinson CC, Lapustea NB, Poon C, Ryan H, et al. Microglia overexpressing the macrophage colony-stimulating factor receptor are neuroprotective in a microglial-hippocampal organotypic coculture system. *J Neurosci*. (2005) 25:4442–51. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0514-05.2005
 28. Jin WN, Shi SX, Li Z, Li M, Wood K, Gonzales RJ, et al. Depletion of microglia exacerbates postischemic inflammation and brain injury. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*. (2017) 37:2224–36. doi: 10.1177/0271678X17694185
 29. Popovic N, Schubart A, Goetz BD, Zhang SC, Linington C, Duncan ID. Inhibition of autoimmune encephalomyelitis by a tetracycline. *Ann Neurol*. (2002) 51:215–23. doi: 10.1002/ana.10092
 30. Metz LM, Li DKB, Traboulsee AL, Duquette P, Eliasziw M, Cerchiaro G, et al. Trial of Minocycline in a Clinically Isolated Syndrome of Multiple Sclerosis. *N Engl J Med*. (2017) 376:2122–33. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1608889
 31. Moller T, Bard F, Bhattacharya A, Biber K, Campbell B, Dale E, et al. Critical data-based re-evaluation of minocycline as a putative specific microglia inhibitor. *Glia*. (2016) 64:1788–94. doi: 10.1002/glia.23007
 32. Heppner FL, Greter M, Marino D, Falsig J, Raivich G, Hovelmeyer N, et al. Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis repressed by microglial paralysis. *Nat Med*. (2005) 11:146–52. doi: 10.1038/nm1177
 33. Noda H, Takeuchi H, Mizuno T, Suzumura A. Fingolimod phosphate promotes the neuroprotective effects of microglia. *J Neuroimmunol*. (2013) 256:13–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2012.12.005
 34. Pul R, Moharreggh-Khiabani D, Skuljec J, Skripuletz T, Garde N, Voss EV, et al. Glatiramer acetate modulates TNF-alpha and IL-10 secretion in microglia and promotes their phagocytic activity. *J Neuroimmune Pharmacol*. (2011) 6:381–8. doi: 10.1007/s11481-010-9248-1
 35. Prinz M, Schmidt H, Mildner A, Knobloch KP, Hanisch UK, Raasch J, et al. Distinct and nonredundant *in vivo* functions of IFNAR on myeloid cells limit autoimmunity in the central nervous system. *Immunity*. (2008) 28:675–86. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2008.03.011
 36. Geissmann F, Jung S, Littman DR. Blood monocytes consist of two principal subsets with distinct migratory properties. *Immunity*. (2003) 19:71–82. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613(03)00174-2
 37. Mildner A, Schmidt H, Nitsche M, Merkler D, Hanisch UK, Mack M, et al. Microglia in the adult brain arise from Ly-6ChiCCR2+ monocytes only under defined host conditions. *Nat Neurosci*. (2007) 10:1544–53. doi: 10.1038/nn2015
 38. Vainchtein ID, Vinet J, Brouwer N, Brendecke S, Biagini G, Biber K, et al. In acute experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis, infiltrating macrophages are immune activated, whereas microglia remain immune suppressed. *Glia*. (2014) 62:1724–35. doi: 10.1002/glia.22711
 39. Yamasaki R, Lu H, Butovsky O, Ohno N, Rietsch AM, Cialic R, et al. Differential roles of microglia and monocytes in the inflamed central nervous system. *J Exp Med*. (2014) 211:1533–49. doi: 10.1084/jem.20132477
 40. Gyoneva S, Kim D, Katsumoto A, Kokiko-Cochran ON, Lamb BT, Ransohoff RM. Ccr2 deletion dissociates cavity size and tau pathology after mild traumatic brain injury. *J Neuroinflammation*. (2015) 12:228. doi: 10.1186/s12974-015-0443-0
 41. Hsieh CL, Niemi EC, Wang SH, Lee CC, Bingham D, Zhang J, et al. CCR2 deficiency impairs macrophage infiltration and improves cognitive function after traumatic brain injury. *J Neurotrauma*. (2014) 31:1677–88. doi: 10.1089/neu.2013.3252
 42. Lampron A, Pimentel-Coelho PM, Rivest S. Migration of bone marrow-derived cells into the central nervous system in models of neurodegeneration. *J Comp Neurol*. (2013) 521:3863–76. doi: 10.1002/cne.23463
 43. Mildner A, Mack M, Schmidt H, Bruck W, Djukic M, Zabel MD, et al. CCR2+Ly-6Chi monocytes are crucial for the effector phase of autoimmunity in the central nervous system. *Brain*. (2009) 132(Pt 9):2487–500. doi: 10.1093/brain/awp144
 44. Greenhalgh AD, Zarruk JG, Healy LM, Baskar Jesudasan SJ, Jhelum P, Salmon CK, et al. Peripherally derived macrophages modulate microglial function to reduce inflammation after CNS injury. *PLoS Biol*. (2018) 16:e2005264. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.2005264
 45. Pierson ER, Wagner CA, Goverman JM. The contribution of neutrophils to CNS autoimmunity. *Clin Immunol*. (2018) 189:23–8. doi: 10.1016/j.clim.2016.06.017
 46. Aube B, Levesque SA, Pare A, Chamma E, Kebir H, Gorina R, et al. Neutrophils mediate blood-spinal cord barrier disruption in demyelinating neuroinflammatory diseases. *J Immunol*. (2014) 193:2438–54. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1400401

47. Soulika AM, Lee E, McCauley E, Miers L, Bannerman P, Pleasure D. Initiation and progression of axonopathy in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *J Neurosci.* (2009) 29:14965–79. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3794-09.2009
48. McColl SR, Staykova MA, Wozniak A, Fordham S, Bruce J, Willenborg DO. Treatment with anti-granulocyte antibodies inhibits the effector phase of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *J Immunol.* (1998) 161:6421–6.
49. Steinbach K, Piedavent M, Bauer S, Neumann JT, Friese MA. Neutrophils amplify autoimmune central nervous system infiltrates by maturing local APCs. *J Immunol.* (2013) 191:4531–9. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1202613
50. Bailey SL, Schreiner B, McMahan EJ, Miller SD. CNS myeloid DCs presenting endogenous myelin peptides 'preferentially' polarize CD4+ T(H)-17 cells in relapsing EAE. *Nat Immunol.* (2007) 8:172–80. doi: 10.1038/ni1430
51. Dendrou CA, Fugger L, Friese MA. Immunopathology of multiple sclerosis. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2015) 15:545–58. doi: 10.1038/nri3871
52. Hemmer B, Kerschensteiner M, Korn T. Role of the innate and adaptive immune responses in the course of multiple sclerosis. *Lancet Neurol.* (2015) 14:406–19. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(14)70305-9
53. Pilli D, Zou A, Tea F, Dale RC, Brilot F. Expanding role of T cells in human autoimmune diseases of the central nervous system. *Front Immunol.* (2017) 8:652. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00652
54. Link H, Huang YM. Oligoclonal bands in multiple sclerosis cerebrospinal fluid: an update on methodology and clinical usefulness. *J Neuroimmunol.* (2006) 180:17–28. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2006.07.006
55. Genain CP, Cannella B, Hauser SL, Raine CS. Identification of autoantibodies associated with myelin damage in multiple sclerosis. *Nat Med.* (1999) 5:170–5. doi: 10.1038/5532
56. Hauser SL, Bar-Or A, Comi G, Giovannoni G, Hartung HP, Hemmer B, et al. Ocrelizumab versus Interferon Beta-1a in Relapsing Multiple Sclerosis. *N Engl J Med.* (2017) 376:221–34. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1601277
57. Kappos L, Hartung HP, Freedman MS, Boyko A, Radu EW, Mikol DD, et al. Atacicept in multiple sclerosis (ATAMS): a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Neurol.* (2014) 13:353–63. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(14)70028-6
58. Mathias A, Perriard G, Canales M, Sonesson C, Delorenzi M, Schluep M, et al. Increased *ex vivo* antigen presentation profile of B cells in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler.* (2017) 23:802–9. doi: 10.1177/1352458516664210
59. Aung LL, Balashov KE. Decreased Dicer expression is linked to increased expression of co-stimulatory molecule CD80 on B cells in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler.* (2015) 21:1131–8. doi: 10.1177/1352458514560923
60. Genc K, Dona DL, Reder AT. Increased CD80(+) B cells in active multiple sclerosis and reversal by interferon beta-1b therapy. *J Clin Invest.* (1997) 99:2664–71. doi: 10.1172/JCI119455
61. Molnarfi N, Schulze-Topphoff U, Weber MS, Patarroyo JC, Prod'homme T, Varrin-Doyer M, et al. MHC class II-dependent B cell APC function is required for induction of CNS autoimmunity independent of myelin-specific antibodies. *J Exp Med.* (2013) 210:2921–37. doi: 10.1084/jem.20130699
62. Bettelli E, Carrier Y, Gao W, Korn T, Strom TB, Oukka M, et al. Reciprocal developmental pathways for the generation of pathogenic effector TH17 and regulatory T cells. *Nature.* (2006) 441:235–8. doi: 10.1038/nature04753
63. Korn T, Mitsdoerffer M, Croxford AL, Awasthi A, Dardalhon VA, Galileos G, et al. IL-6 controls Th17 immunity *in vivo* by inhibiting the conversion of conventional T cells into Foxp3+ regulatory T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* (2008) 105:18460–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0809850105
64. Barr TA, Shen P, Brown S, Lampropoulou V, Roch T, Lawrie S, et al. B cell depletion therapy ameliorates autoimmune disease through ablation of IL-6-producing B cells. *J Exp Med.* (2012) 209:1001–10. doi: 10.1084/jem.20111675
65. Jelcic I, Al Nimer F, Wang J, Lentsch V, Planas R, Jelcic I, et al. Memory B Cells Activate Brain-Homing, Autoreactive CD4(+) T Cells in Multiple Sclerosis. *Cell.* (2018) 175:85–100 e23. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.08.011
66. Li R, Rezk A, Miyazaki Y, Hilgenberg E, Touil H, Shen P, et al. Proinflammatory GM-CSF-producing B cells in multiple sclerosis and B cell depletion therapy. *Sci Transl Med.* (2015) 7:310ra166. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aab4176
67. Dalmau J, Graus F. Antibody-Mediated Encephalitis. *N Engl J Med.* (2018) 378:840–51. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1708712
68. Dubey D, Pittock SJ, Kelly CR, McKeon A, Lopez-Chiriboga AS, Lennon V, et al. Autoimmune Encephalitis Epidemiology and a comparison to Infectious Encephalitis. *Ann Neurol.* (2018) 83:166–77. doi: 10.1002/ana.25131
69. Graus F, Keime-Guibert F, Rene R, Benyahia B, Ribalta T, Ascaso C, et al. Anti-Hu-associated paraneoplastic encephalomyelitis: analysis of 200 patients. *Brain.* (2001) 124(Pt 6):1138–48. doi: 10.1093/brain/124.6.1138
70. Rosenfeld MR, Dalmau J. Paraneoplastic Neurologic Syndromes. *Neurol Clin.* (2018) 36:675–85. doi: 10.1016/j.ncl.2018.04.015
71. Dalmau J, Tuzun E, Wu HY, Masjuan J, Rossi JE, Voloschin A, et al. Paraneoplastic anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis associated with ovarian teratoma. *Ann Neurol.* (2007) 61:25–36. doi: 10.1002/ana.21050
72. Dalmau J, Gleichman AJ, Hughes EG, Rossi JE, Peng X, Lai M, et al. Anti-NMDA-receptor encephalitis: case series and analysis of the effects of antibodies. *Lancet Neurol.* (2008) 7:1091–8. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(08)70224-2
73. Dalmau J, Lancaster E, Martinez-Hernandez E, Rosenfeld MR, Balice-Gordon R. Clinical experience and laboratory investigations in patients with anti-NMDAR encephalitis. *Lancet Neurol.* (2011) 10:63–74. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(10)70253-2
74. Bost C, Chanson E, Picard G, Meyronet D, Mayeur ME, Ducray F, et al. Malignant tumors in autoimmune encephalitis with anti-NMDA receptor antibodies. *J Neurol.* (2018). doi: 10.1007/s00415-018-8970-0
75. Litmeier S, Pruss H, Witsch E, Witsch J. Initial serum thyroid peroxidase antibodies and long-term outcomes in SREAT. *Acta Neurol Scand.* (2016) 134:452–7. doi: 10.1111/ane.12556
76. Graus F, Titulaer MJ, Balu R, Benseler S, Bien CG, Cellucci T, et al. A clinical approach to diagnosis of autoimmune encephalitis. *Lancet Neurol.* (2016) 15:391–404. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(15)00401-9
77. von Rhein B, Wagner J, Widman G, Malter MP, Elger CE, Helmstaedter C. Suspected antibody negative autoimmune limbic encephalitis: outcome of immunotherapy. *Acta Neurol Scand.* (2017) 135:134–41. doi: 10.1111/ane.12575
78. Titulaer MJ, Soffietti R, Dalmau J, Gilhus NE, Giometto B, Graus F, et al. Screening for tumours in paraneoplastic syndromes: report of an EFNS task force. *Eur J Neurol.* (2011) 18:19–e3. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.03220.x
79. Racanelli V, Prete M, Musaraj G, Dammacco F, Perosa F. Autoantibodies to intracellular antigens: generation and pathogenetic role. *Autoimmun Rev.* (2011) 10:503–8. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2011.03.001
80. Zaborowski MP, Michalak S. Cell-mediated immune responses in paraneoplastic neurological syndromes. *Clin Dev Immunol.* (2013) 2013:630602. doi: 10.1155/2013/630602
81. Heine J, Ly LT, Lieker I, Slowinski T, Finke C, Pruss H, et al. Immunoabsorption or plasma exchange in the treatment of autoimmune encephalitis: a pilot study. *J Neurol.* (2016) 263:2395–402. doi: 10.1007/s00415-016-8277-y
82. Lee WJ, Lee ST, Byun JI, Sunwoo JS, Kim TJ, Lim JA, et al. Rituximab treatment for autoimmune limbic encephalitis in an institutional cohort. *Neurology.* (2016) 86:1683–91. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000002635
83. Lee WJ, Lee ST, Moon J, Sunwoo JS, Byun JI, Lim JA, et al. Tocilizumab in autoimmune encephalitis refractory to rituximab: an institutional cohort study. *Neurotherapeutics.* (2016) 13:824–32. doi: 10.1007/s13311-016-0442-6
84. Scheibe F, Pruss H, Mengel AM, Kohler S, Numann A, Kohnlein M, et al. Bortezomib for treatment of therapy-refractory anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis. *Neurology.* (2017) 88:366–70. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000003536
85. Shin YW, Lee ST, Park KI, Jung KH, Jung KY, Lee SK, et al. Treatment strategies for autoimmune encephalitis. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord.* (2018) 11:1756285617722347. doi: 10.1177/1756285617722347
86. Bien CG, Vincent A, Barnett MH, Becker AJ, Blumcke I, Graus F, et al. Immunopathology of autoantibody-associated encephalitis: clues for pathogenesis. *Brain.* (2012) 135(Pt 5):1622–38. doi: 10.1093/brain/aww082
87. Tuzun E, Zhou L, Baehring JM, Bannykh S, Rosenfeld MR, Dalmau J. Evidence for antibody-mediated pathogenesis in anti-NMDAR encephalitis associated with ovarian teratoma. *Acta Neuropathol.* (2009) 118:737–43. doi: 10.1007/s00401-009-0582-4

88. Martinez-Hernandez E, Horvath J, Shiloh-Malawsky Y, Sangha N, Martinez-Lage M, Dalmau J. Analysis of complement and plasma cells in the brain of patients with anti-NMDAR encephalitis. *Neurology*. (2011) 77:589–93. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e318228c136
89. Okamoto K, Yamazaki T, Banno H, Sobue G, Yoshida M, Takatama M. Neuropathological studies of patients with possible non-herpetic acute limbic encephalitis and so-called acute juvenile female non-herpetic encephalitis. *Intern Med*. (2008) 47:231–6. doi: 10.2169/internalmedicine.47.0547
90. Park S, Choi H, Cheon GJ, Wook Kang K, Lee DS. 18F-FDG PET/CT in anti-LGI1 encephalitis: initial and follow-up findings. *Clin Nucl Med*. (2015) 40:156–8. doi: 10.1097/RLU.0000000000000546
91. Filatenkov A, Richardson TE, Daoud E, Johnson-Welch SF, Ramirez DM, Torrealba J, et al. Persistence of parenchymal and perivascular T-cells in treatment-refractory anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis. *Neuroreport*. (2017) 28:890–5. doi: 10.1097/WNR.0000000000000851
92. Khan NL, Jeffree MA, Good C, Macleod W, Al-Sarraj S. Histopathology of VGKC antibody-associated limbic encephalitis. *Neurology*. (2009) 72:1703–5. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181a55eb3
93. Camdessanche JP, Streichenberger N, Cavillon G, Rogemond V, Jousserand G, Honnorat J, et al. Brain immunohistopathological study in a patient with anti-NMDAR encephalitis. *Eur J Neurol*. (2011) 18:929–31. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.03180.x
94. Dale RC, Pillai S, Brilot F. Cerebrospinal fluid CD19(+) B-cell expansion in N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. (2013) 55:191–3. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.12036
95. Zeng C, Chen L, Chen B, Cai Y, Li P, Yan L, et al. Th17 cells were recruited and accumulated in the cerebrospinal fluid and correlated with the poor prognosis of anti-NMDAR encephalitis. *Acta Biochim Biophys Sin*. (2018) 50:1266–73. doi: 10.1093/abbs/gmy137
96. Golombek KS, Bonte K, Monig C, van Loo KM, Hartwig M, Schwindt W, et al. Evidence of a pathogenic role for CD8(+) T cells in anti-GABAB receptor limbic encephalitis. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm*. (2016) 3:e232. doi: 10.1212/NXI.0000000000000232
97. Zeng Z, Wang C, Wang B, Wang N, Yang Y, Guo S, et al. Prediction of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in the diagnosis and progression of autoimmune encephalitis. *Neurosci Lett*. (2019) 694:129–35. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2018.12.003
98. Liba Z, Kayserova J, Elisak M, Marusic P, Nohejlova H, Hanzalova J, et al. Anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis: the clinical course in light of the chemokine and cytokine levels in cerebrospinal fluid. *J Neuroinflammation*. (2016) 13:55. doi: 10.1186/s12974-016-0507-9
99. Kothur K, Gill D, Wong M, Mohammad SS, Bandodkar S, Arbutnckle S, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid cyto-/chemokine profile during acute herpes simplex virus induced anti-N-Methyl-d-aspartate receptor encephalitis and in chronic neurological sequelae. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. (2017) 59:806–14. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.13431
100. Kothur K, Wienholt L, Mohammad SS, Tantsis EM, Pillai S, Britton PN, et al. Utility of CSF Cytokine/chemokines as markers of active intrathecal inflammation: comparison of demyelinating, anti-NMDAR and enteroviral encephalitis. *PLoS ONE*. (2016) 11:e0161656. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0161656
101. Kothur K, Wienholt L, Brilot F, Dale RC. CSF cytokines/chemokines as biomarkers in neuroinflammatory CNS disorders: a systematic review. *Cytokine*. (2016) 77:227–37. doi: 10.1016/j.cyto.2015.10.001
102. Byun JI, Lee ST, Moon J, Jung KH, Sunwoo JS, Lim JA, et al. Distinct intrathecal interleukin-17/interleukin-6 activation in anti-N-methyl-d-aspartate receptor encephalitis. *J Neuroimmunol*. (2016) 297:141–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2016.05.023
103. Deng B, Liu XN, Li X, Zhang X, Quan C, Chen XJ. Raised cerebrospinal fluid BAFF and APRIL levels in anti-N-methyl-d-aspartate receptor encephalitis: correlation with clinical outcome. *J Neuroimmunol*. (2017) 305:84–91. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2017.01.012
104. Kimura A, Yoshikura N, Koumura A, Hayashi Y, Inuzuka T. B-cell-activating factor belonging to the tumor necrosis factor family (BAFF) and a proliferation-inducing ligand (APRIL) levels in cerebrospinal fluid of patients with meningoencephalitis. *J Neurol Sci*. (2015) 352:79–83. doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2015.03.036
105. Leypoldt F, Hoftberger R, Titulaer MJ, Armangue T, Gresa-Arribas N, Jahn H, et al. Investigations on CXCL13 in anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis: a potential biomarker of treatment response. *JAMA Neurol*. (2015) 72:180–6. doi: 10.1001/jama.2014.2956
106. Ulusoy C, Tuzun E, Kurtuncu M, Turkoglu R, Akman-Demir G, Eraksoy M. Comparison of the cytokine profiles of patients with neuronal-antibody-associated central nervous system disorders. *Int J Neurosci*. (2012) 122:284–9. doi: 10.3109/00207454.2011.648762
107. Liu B, Ai P, Zheng D, Jiang Y, Liu X, Pan S, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid pentraxin 3 and CD40 ligand in anti-N-methyl-d-aspartate receptor encephalitis. *J Neuroimmunol*. (2018) 315:40–4. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2017.11.016
108. Jun JS, Lee ST, Kim R, Chu K, Lee SK. Tocilizumab treatment for new onset refractory status epilepticus. *Ann Neurol*. (2018) 84:940–5. doi: 10.1002/ana.25374
109. Shu Y, Chang Y, Wu H, Li J, Cao B, Sun X, et al. Serum cystatin C and anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis. *Acta Neurol Scand*. (2018) 137:515–22. doi: 10.1111/ane.12894
110. Shu Y, Wang Y, Lu T, Li R, Sun X, Li J, et al. Serum uric acid and anti-N-methyl-d-aspartate receptor encephalitis. *Neurochem Int*. (2017) 108:34–9. doi: 10.1016/j.neuint.2017.02.005
111. Hughes EG, Peng X, Gleichman AJ, Lai M, Zhou L, Tsou R, et al. Cellular and synaptic mechanisms of anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis. *J Neurosci*. (2010) 30:5866–75. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0167-10.2010
112. Planaguma J, Leypoldt F, Mannara F, Gutierrez-Cuesta J, Martin-Garcia E, Aguilar E, et al. Human N-methyl D-aspartate receptor antibodies alter memory and behaviour in mice. *Brain*. (2015) 138(Pt 1):94–109. doi: 10.1093/brain/awu310
113. Kreye J, Wenke NK, Chayka M, Leubner J, Murugan R, Maier N, et al. Human cerebrospinal fluid monoclonal N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor autoantibodies are sufficient for encephalitis pathogenesis. *Brain*. (2016) 139(Pt 10):2641–52. doi: 10.1093/brain/aww208
114. Gresa-Arribas N, Titulaer MJ, Torrents A, Aguilar E, McCracken L, Leypoldt F, et al. Antibody titres at diagnosis and during follow-up of anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis: a retrospective study. *Lancet Neurol*. (2014) 13:167–77. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(13)70282-5
115. Armangue T, Moris G, Cantarin-Extremera V, Conde CE, Rostasy K, Erro ME, et al. Autoimmune post-herpes simplex encephalitis of adults and teenagers. *Neurology*. (2015) 85:1736–43. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000002125
116. Alexopoulos H, Akrivou S, Mastroyanni S, Antonopoulou M, Dinopoulos A, Giorgi M, et al. Postherpes simplex encephalitis: a case series of viral-triggered autoimmunity, synaptic autoantibodies and response to therapy. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord*. (2018) 11:1756286418768778. doi: 10.1177/1756286418768778
117. Salovin A, Glanzman J, Roslin K, Armangue T, Lynch DR, Panzer JA. Anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis and nonencephalitic HSV-1 infection. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm*. (2018) 5:e458. doi: 10.1212/NXI.0000000000000458
118. Aravalli RN, Hu S, Rowen TN, Palmquist JM, Lokensgard JR. Cutting edge: TLR2-mediated proinflammatory cytokine and chemokine production by microglial cells in response to herpes simplex virus. *J Immunol*. (2005) 175:4189–93. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.175.7.4189
119. Omae T, Saito Y, Tsuchie H, Ohno K, Maegaki Y, Sakuma H. Cytokine/chemokine elevation during the transition phase from HSV encephalitis to autoimmune anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis. *Brain Dev*. (2018) 40:361–5. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2017.12.007
120. Sweeney M, Galli J, McNally S, Tebo A, Haven T, Thulin P, et al. Delayed LGI1 seropositivity in voltage-gated potassium channel (VGKC)-complex antibody limbic encephalitis. *BMJ Case Rep*. (2017) 2017:1–4. doi: 10.1136/bcr-2016-218893
121. Methia N, Andre P, Hafezi-Moghadam A, Economopoulos M, Thomas KL, Wagner DD. ApoE deficiency compromises the blood brain barrier especially after injury. *Mol Med*. (2001) 7:810–5. doi: 10.1007/BF03401973
122. Hammer C, Stepniak B, Schneider A, Papiol S, Tantra M, Begemann M, et al. Neuropsychiatric disease relevance of circulating anti-NMDA receptor autoantibodies depends on blood-brain barrier integrity. *Mol Psychiatry*. (2014) 19:1143–9. doi: 10.1038/mp.2013.110

123. Sachs JR, Zapadka ME, Popli GS, Burdette JH. Arterial spin labeling perfusion imaging demonstrates cerebral hyperperfusion in anti-NMDAR encephalitis. *Radiol Case Rep.* (2017) 12:833–7. doi: 10.1016/j.radcr.2017.06.004
124. Probasco JC, Solnes L, Nalluri A, Cohen J, Jones KM, Zan E, et al. Abnormal brain metabolism on FDG-PET/CT is a common early finding in autoimmune encephalitis. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm.* (2017) 4:e352. doi: 10.1212/NXI.0000000000000352
125. Solnes LB, Jones KM, Rowe SP, Pattanayak P, Nalluri A, Venkatesan A, et al. Diagnostic Value of (18)F-FDG PET/CT Versus MRI in the setting of antibody-specific autoimmune encephalitis. *J Nucl Med.* (2017) 58:1307–13. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.116.184333
126. Probasco JC, Solnes L, Nalluri A, Cohen J, Jones KM, Zan E, et al. Decreased occipital lobe metabolism by FDG-PET/CT: an anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis biomarker. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm.* (2018) 5:e413. doi: 10.1212/NXI.0000000000000413
127. Probasco JC, Benavides DR, Ciarallo A, Sanin BW, Wabulya A, Bergey GK, et al. Electroencephalographic and fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography correlates in anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor autoimmune encephalitis. *Epilepsy Behav Case Rep.* (2014) 2:174–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ebcr.2014.09.005
128. Wegner F, Wilke F, Raab P, Tayeb SB, Boeck AL, Haense C, et al. Anti-leucine rich glioma inactivated 1 protein and anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis show distinct patterns of brain glucose metabolism in 18F-fluoro-2-deoxy-d-glucose positron emission tomography. *BMC Neurol.* (2014) 14:136. doi: 10.1186/1471-2377-14-136
129. Mueller SH, Farber A, Pruss H, Melzer N, Golombek KS, Kumpfel T, et al. Genetic predisposition in anti-LGI1 and anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis. *Ann Neurol.* (2018) 83:863–9. doi: 10.1002/ana.25216
130. Kim TJ, Lee ST, Moon J, Sunwoo JS, Byun JI, Lim JA, et al. Anti-LGI1 encephalitis is associated with unique HLA subtypes. *Ann Neurol.* (2017) 81:183–92. doi: 10.1002/ana.24860
131. Binks S, Varley J, Lee W, Makuch M, Elliott K, Gelfand JM, et al. Distinct HLA associations of LGI1 and CASPR2-antibody diseases. *Brain.* (2018) 141:2263–71. doi: 10.1093/brain/awy109
132. Shu Y, Qiu W, Zheng J, Sun X, Yin J, Yang X, et al. HLA class II allele DRB1*16:02 is associated with anti-NMDAR encephalitis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* (2019) 90:652–8.
133. Liimatainen S, Lehtimäki K, Palmio J, Alapirtti T, Peltola J. Immunological perspectives of temporal lobe seizures. *J Neuroimmunol.* (2013) 263:1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2013.08.001
134. Vieira ELM, de Oliveira GNM, Lessa JMK, Goncalves AP, Oliveira ACP, Bauer ME, et al. Peripheral leukocyte profile in people with temporal lobe epilepsy reflects the associated proinflammatory state. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2016) 53:123–30. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2015.11.016
135. Varvel NH, Neher JJ, Bosch A, Wang W, Ransohoff RM, Miller RJ, et al. Infiltrating monocytes promote brain inflammation and exacerbate neuronal damage after status epilepticus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* (2016) 113:E5665–74. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1604263113
136. Wright S, Hashemi K, Stasiak L, Bartram J, Lang B, Vincent A, et al. Epileptogenic effects of NMDAR antibodies in a passive transfer mouse model. *Brain.* (2015) 138(Pt 11):3159–67. doi: 10.1093/brain/awv257
137. Taraschenko O, Fox HS, Pittock SJ, Zekeridou A, Gafurova M, Eldridge E, et al. A mouse model of seizures in anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis. *Epilepsia.* (2019) 60:452–63. doi: 10.1111/epi.14662
138. McKeon GL, Robinson GA, Ryan AE, Blum S, Gillis D, Finke C, et al. Cognitive outcomes following anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis: a systematic review. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol.* (2018) 40:234–52. doi: 10.1080/13803395.2017.1329408
139. Chang EH, Volpe BT, Mackay M, Aranow C, Watson P, Kowal C, et al. Selective impairment of spatial cognition caused by autoantibodies to the N-Methyl-D-aspartate receptor. *EBioMedicine.* (2015) 2:755–64. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2015.05.027
140. Szots M, Blaabjerg M, Orsi G, Iversen P, Kondziella D, Madsen CG, et al. Global brain atrophy and metabolic dysfunction in LGI1 encephalitis: a prospective multimodal MRI study. *J Neurol Sci.* (2017) 376:159–65. doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2017.03.020
141. Jang Y, Woo KA, Lee ST, Park SH, Chu K, Lee SK. Cerebral autoinflammatory disease treated with anakinra. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol.* (2018) 5:1428–33. doi: 10.1002/acn3.656
142. Teng KY, Han J, Zhang X, Hsu SH, He S, Wani NA, et al. Blocking the CCL2–CCR2 axis using CCL2-neutralizing antibody is an effective therapy for hepatocellular cancer in a mouse model. *Mol Cancer Ther.* (2017) 16:312–22. doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-16-0124
143. Anwar MA, Shah M, Kim J, Choi S. Recent clinical trends in Toll-like receptor targeting therapeutics. *Med Res Rev.* (2019) 39:1053–90. doi: 10.1002/med.21553
144. Gao W, Xiong Y, Li Q, Yang H. Inhibition of toll-like receptor signaling as a promising therapy for inflammatory diseases: a journey from molecular to nano therapeutics. *Front Physiol.* (2017) 8:508. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2017.00508

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Wesselingh, Butzkueven, Buzzard, Tarlinton, O'Brien and Monif. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.



Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Wesselingh, R; Butzkueven, H; Buzzard, K; Tarlinton, D; O'Brien, TJ; Monif, M

Title:

Innate Immunity in the Central Nervous System: A Missing Piece of the Autoimmune Encephalitis Puzzle?

Date:

2019-09-10

Citation:

Wesselingh, R., Butzkueven, H., Buzzard, K., Tarlinton, D., O'Brien, T. J. & Monif, M. (2019). Innate Immunity in the Central Nervous System: A Missing Piece of the Autoimmune Encephalitis Puzzle?. FRONTIERS IN IMMUNOLOGY, 10, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.02066>.

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/245013>

File Description:

published version

License:

CC BY