Journal Pre-proof

Burden and causes of readmissions following initial discharge after aortic syndromes

Mario D'Oria, Indrani Sen, Courtney N. Day, Jay Mandrekar, Salome Weiss, Thomas C. Bower, Gustavo S. Oderich, Philip P. Goodney, Randall R. DeMartino

Please cite this article as: D'Oria M, Sen I, Day CN, Mandrekar J, Weiss S, Bower TC, Oderich GS, Goodney PP, DeMartino RR, Burden and causes of readmissions following initial discharge after aortic syndromes, *Journal of Vascular Surgery* (2020), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2020.05.080.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1   Burden and causes of readmissions following initial discharge after aortic syndromes

Mario D'Oria[1], Indrani Sen[1], Courtney N. Day[2], Jay Mandrekar[2], Salome Weiss[3], Thomas C. Bower[1], Gustavo S. Oderich[1], Philip P. Goodney[4], Randall R DeMartino[1]

2

3   [1]Division of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery, Gonda Vascular Center, Mayo Clinic,

4   Rochester MN

5   [2]Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic, Rochester MN

6   [3]Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern,

7   Switzerland

8   [4]Section of Vascular Surgery, Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center, Lebanon, NH

9

10   **Corresponding author**

11   Randall R DeMartino, MD, MS

12   Email address: DeMartino.Randall@mayo.edu

13   Physical address: Division of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery – Gonda Vascular Center –

14   200 1st Street SW – Rochester MN 55905 – USA

15

16   **Words count**

17   3,370 (excluding references).

1

Conflicts of interest

None.

Meeting information

Presented as part of the Interactive Poster Session at the 2019 Society for Vascular Surgery
Vascular Annual Meeting, 12-15 June 2019, National Harbor MD, USA.

1

2 **Article Highlights**

3

4 **Type of research**

5 Retrospective, population-based study.

6

7 **Key findings**

8 Out of a total of 117 patients with diagnosis of aortic syndrome (AS) who survived the index

9 event, 79 patients (68%) experienced at least one readmission following initial discharge. The

10 median time to first any-cause, cardiovascular and aortic readmission was 143, 861 and 171

11 days, respectively. The cumulative incidence of any-cause readmissions at 2, 4 and 10 years was

12 45%, 55% and 69%, respectively. The cumulative incidence of cardiovascular readmissions at 2,

13 4 and 10 years was 15%, 20% and 28%, respectively. The cumulative incidence of aortic

14 readmissions at 2, 4 and 10 years was 38%, 46% and 59%, respectively.

15

16 **Take-home message**

17 Readmissions following initial discharge after diagnosis of AS are common and not different

18 across specific disease types. While aortic-related rehospitalization occur in more than half of

19 patients but tend to be earlier, cardiovascular-related rehospitalizations tend to happen later in

20 about one third of subjects.

21

22

1

2

3   **Table of Contents Summary**

4   In a population-based study of patients with diagnosis of aortic syndrome (AS), readmissions

5   following initial discharge after diagnosis of AS are common and not different across specific

6   disease types. While aortic-related rehospitalization occur in more than half of patients but tend

7   to be earlier, cardiovascular-related rehospitalizations tend to happen later in about one third of

8   subjects. This may suggest the need for early follow-up focused on aortic complications while

9   later follow-up should address cardiovascular events.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

2

3   **Abstract**

4

5   **Introduction**

6   Aortic syndromes (AS), including aortic dissection (AD), intramural hematoma (IMH), and

7   penetrating aortic ulcer (PAU), carry significant morbidity and mortality; little data exist

8   regarding burden and causes of related rehospitalizations following initial discharge.

9

10   **Methods**

11   The study was conducted using the Rochester Epidemiology Project (REP). All adult residents

12   (age≥18 years) with an incident diagnosis of AD/IMH/PAU (1995-2015) were identified from

13   the REP using the International Classification of Disease (ICD), 9th and 10th revision, codes and

14   Hospital Adaptation of the ICD, 2nd edition, codes. Assessment of any-cause

15   (aortic+cardiovascular), aortic-related, or cardiovascular-related readmissions was determined

16   following date of hospital discharge or diagnosis date (i.e. the index event).

17

18   **Results**

1 A total of 117 patients out of 130 cases of AD/IMH/PAU included in the initial study population

2 survived the index event and were evaluated. The median age of diagnosis was 74 years and 70

3 (60%) were male. A total of 79 patients (68%) experienced at least one readmission. The median

4 time to first any-cause, cardiovascular and aortic readmission was 143, 861 and 171 days,

5 respectively. The cumulative incidence of any-cause readmissions at 2, 4 and 10 years was 45%,

6 55% and 69%, respectively. The cumulative incidence of cardiovascular readmissions at 2, 4 and

7 10 years was 15%, 20% and 28%, respectively. The cumulative incidence of aortic readmissions

8 at 2, 4 and 10 years was 38%, 46% and 59%, respectively. Overall survival for the entire cohort

9 at 2, 4 and 10 years was 84%, 75% and 50%, respectively.

10

11 **Conclusion**

12 Readmissions following initial discharge after diagnosis of AS are common and not different

13 across specific disease types. While aortic-related rehospitalization occur in more than half of

14 patients but tend to be earlier, cardiovascular-related rehospitalizations tend to happen later in

15 about one third of subjects. This may suggest the need for early follow-up focused on aortic

16 complications while later follow-up should address cardiovascular events.

17

18 **Keywords**

19 Aortic syndrome; Readmissions: Epidemiology; Population-based.

20

21

1

2

3   **Introduction**

4   Aortic syndromes (AS), which include aortic dissection (AD), intramural hematoma (IMH), and

5   penetrating aortic ulcer (PAU) are uncommon aortic pathologies with an incidence of 7.7 per

6   100,000 person-years[1]. Although rare, they are associated with significant aortic and

7   cardiovascular morbidity and mortality[2]. Depending on the location and type of AS, acute

8   management may be surgical, endovascular or medical. Following the acute management,

9   lifelong surveillance is advocated since secondary aortic procedures are common over time,

10   especially after aortic dissection. However, there is a paucity of data regarding burden and causes

11   of hospitalizations following initial diagnosis of these pathologies. Previous work has shown

12   mortality rate after AS diagnosis has remained relatively similar over the past several decades.

13   Additionally, over 60% of deaths are attributable to cardiac or aortic causes. To improve the

14   longitudinal care of patients with AS, understanding the cause for recurrent hospitalizations may

15   identify patterns and etiologies for targeted intervention. Thus, the study aim was to evaluate the

16   burden and pattern of readmissions following an initial diagnosis of AS using a population-based

17   approach.

18

19   **Methods**

20   *Study design*

21   The present study was part of a retrospective, population-based study aimed to assess AS

22   (AD/IMH/PAU) in Olmsted County, Minnesota (MN). The study was conducted using the

1 Rochester Epidemiology Project (REP), a medical record linkage system that includes virtually

2 all residents and local health care providers in Olmsted County, MN. Because of the unique

3 isolated nature of the region and few providers, billing data on all medical services are collated

4 through the REP[3, 4]. This enables identification of incident diagnosis of medical conditions and

5 permits review of treatments, evaluations, autopsy reports, and death certificates for decedents.

6 The Mayo Clinic and Olmsted Medical Center Institutional Review Boards approved this study

7 and granted a consent waiver for minimal risk. In addition, per Minnesota statutes, each patient

8 identified with AS had provided authorization for the use of their medical record for research. No

9 patients with AS were excluded because of lack of research authorization.

10

11 *Cohort identification*

12 Cohort identification has been previously described[1]. Briefly, all adult residents (age≥18 years)

13 with an incident diagnosis of AD/IMH/PAU over two decades (1995 - 2015) were identified

14 from the REP using the International Classification of Disease (ICD), 9th and 10th revision,

15 codes and Hospital Adaptation of the International Classification of Diseases, 2nd edition, codes.

16 To be included in the study, the diagnosis must be confirmed by imaging or, for immediate

17 decedents, AS had to have been confirmed by autopsy or be listed on the death certificate as the

18 main/primary diagnosis. AD/IMH/PAU were defined using current clinical practice guidelines[5].

19 All identified pathologies that met the inclusion criteria were evaluated regardless of the acuity

20 of presentation. The AS was defined as acute if diagnosed and/or treated within 14 days of the

21 onset of symptoms. Thereafter, it was defined as sub-acute between 2 weeks and 3 months, and

22 chronic after 3 months.

For the present study only the patients surviving the index event/hospitalization were included. Comorbidities and medical events known before the index AS event were considered pre-existing, and subsequent events were defined as the outcome events. For assessment of comorbidities, the Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) was implemented[6]. For identification of the CCI comorbidities, the ICD and Hospital Adaptation of the International Classification of Diseases diagnostic codes were used. To be assigned as a comorbidity, two instances of the predefined code(s) within 5 years before the AS diagnosis date were necessary, as described in prior publications[7]. Censoring of all patients was done on March 31, 2019.

Events appraisal

Events (readmissions and mortality) assessment was done through two mechanisms. First, the REP data sources were queried for mortality status (with death certificates reviewed for cause) and readmissions. Second, vital status and death date information was queried using an institutionally approved fee-based Internet research location service (Accurint, accurint.com) to ensure that vital status was complete for all included subjects. If death occurred outside Minnesota, death certificates were retrieved as permissible by the vital records statutes within the state in which the decedent passed away. Events were classified as aortic (because of new-onset acute complications from AS or need for secondary treatment of AS-related complications either planned or not), cardiovascular (myocardial infarction MI, new-onset congestive heart failure CHF, new-onset atrial fibrillation AF, stroke, deep venous thrombosis/pulmonary embolism DVT/PE or cardiac arrest), or because of other reasons. In the case of acute thoracic pain leading to hospitalization, the event was classified as aortic if appropriate diagnostic tests ruled out cardiovascular events as above defined without any further evidence for alternate cause.

1   Assessment of any-cause (aortic and cardiovascular), aortic-related, or cardiovascular-related

2   readmissions was determined following date of hospital discharge or diagnosis date (i.e. the

3   index event).

4

5   *Statistical analysis*

6   Baseline characteristics were assessed overall with categorical data reported as number and

7   percentage while continuous data was reported as median and IQR. In the time-to-event

8   analyses, only the first readmission was considered. The cumulative incidence of readmissions

9   was estimated while considering the competing risk of death. Discharge date or diagnosis date

10   for those not admitted to hospital was considered as time 0. Analysis was conducted at 30 days,

11   90 days and 1 year. Trends in readmission (total number of readmission per year/total number of

12   patients eligible per year) was assessed using univariate linear regression. Frequency of

13   readmissions was grouped by patient's diagnosis year (i.e. time 0); if there was either death in

14   first year or less than 1 year of follow-up, patient's readmissions were not included. Factors

15   associated with readmissions were assessed using univariate Cox proportional hazard regression.

16   Covariates for the models were entered before analysis, with only those considered to be most

17   relevant based on current literature included (age, gender, type of AS, acuity of disease, Charlson

18   Comorbidity Index CCI, previous cerebrovascular disease, initial management, in-hospital

19   complications). Two, four, and ten-year survival was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method.

20   All statistical analyses were performed with the SAS statistical software (SAS 9.4, SAS Institute,

21   Cary, NC, USA). A 2-sided P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

22

23   **Results**

1 *Study cohort*

2   A total of 117 patients out of 130 included in the initial study population survived the index AS

3   event and were included into the study. The median age of diagnosis was 74 years (IQR 61-80,

4   range 28-93) and 70 (60%) were male (**Table 1**). Overall, AD was identified in 65 (56%),

5   followed by PAU in 32 (27%) and IMH in 20 (17%). The median CCI for the entire cohort was 2

6   (IQR1-4, range 0-11) and the initial management was medical in 85 (73%).

7

8 *Number, causes, and frequency of readmissions*

9   A total of 79 patients (68%) experienced at least one readmission with a median time to first any-

10 cause readmission of 143 days (IQR 15-1244, range 1-5664). The percentage of the cohort

11 experiencing first any-cause readmission at 30 days, 90 days and 1 year was 26%, 32% and 41%,

12 respectively. Pain and complications (from disease or treatment) were the main causes for

13 readmission at 30 and 90 days (**Table 2 & Appendix Table 1**). A cardiovascular readmission

14 was noted in 37 patients (32% of the entire cohort) with a median time to first cardiovascular

15 readmission of 861 days (IQR 111-3006, range 1-5664). The percentage of the cohort

16 experiencing first cardiovascular readmission at 30 days, 90 days and 1 year was 2%, 8% and

17 14%, respectively. An aortic readmission was noted in 66 patients (56% of the entire cohort)

18 with a median time to first aortic readmission of 171 days (IQR 15-1213, range 1-5686). The

19 percentage of the cohort experiencing first aortic readmission at 30 days, 90 days and 1 year was

20 22%, 26% and 33%, respectively. Frequency of readmissions is reported in **Table 3**. Analysis of

21 trends in readmissions showed that during the study period there was no significant decrease in

22 the median number of overall readmissions (-0.04 per year, SE 0.03, p=.28), cardiovascular

1 readmissions (-0.02 per year, SE 0.02, p=.27), or aortic readmissions (-0.015 per year, SE 0.03,

2 p=.64).

3

4 *Cumulative incidence of readmissions*

5 With death as competing risk, the cumulative incidence of any-cause readmissions at 2, 4 and 10

6 years was 45% (95%CI 36-55), 55% (95%CI 46-65) and 69% (95%CI 60-79), respectively

7 (**Figure 1**). The cumulative incidence of cardiovascular readmissions at 2, 4 and 10 years was

8 15% (95%CI 10-23), 20% (95%CI 14-29) and 28% (95%CI 20-38), respectively (**Figure 2**). The

9 cumulative incidence of aortic readmissions at 2, 4 and 10 years was 38% (95%CI 30-48), 46%

10 (95%CI 37-56) and 59% (95%CI 50-69), respectively (**Figure 3**).

11

12 *Factors associated with readmissions*

13 Univariate Cox Proportional Hazard showed that in-hospital complications were associated with

14 both any-cause readmissions (HR 2.0, 95%CI 1.2, 3.2, p=.007) and aortic readmissions (HR 1.9,

15 95%CI 1.1, 3.2, p=.02), but not for cardiovascular readmissions (HR 1.5, 95%CI 0.7, 3.0, p=.30)

16 (**Appendix Table 2**). Similarly, initial management (p=.04) was associated with any-cause

17 readmissions (Open: HR 1.9, 95%CI 1.2, 3.0, p=.01 and Endo: 1.7, 95%CI 0.4, 6.9, p=.48, each

18 vs. Medical) and aortic readmissions (p=.01) (Open: HR 2.2, 95%CI 1.3, 3.6, p=.003 and Endo:

19 HR 2.1, 95%CI 0.5, 8.9, p=0.30), but not for cardiovascular readmissions (p=0.31). Conversely,

20 CCI was associated with cardiovascular readmissions (HR 1.2, 95%CI 1.1, 1.3, p=.004), but not

21 for any-cause readmissions (HR 1.0, 95%CI 0.9, 1.1, p=.68) or aortic readmissions (HR 1.0,

1   95%CI 0.9, 1.1, p=.71). Type of AS and acuity of disease (at index presentation) were not

2   significantly associated with any-cause, cardiovascular or aortic readmissions.

3

4   *Overall survival*

5   Overall survival for the entire cohort at 2, 4 and 10 years was 84% (95%CI 77, 91), 75% (95%CI

6   67, 83) and 50% (95%CI 40, 62), respectively (**Supplementary Figure 1**). Univariate Cox

7   Proportional Hazards showed that age (HR 1.7, 95%CI 1.3, 2.2, p<.001), CCI (HR 1.1, 95%CI

8   1.0, 1.2, p=.02), and CVD (HR 2.17, 95%CI 1.22, 3.87; p=.008). were associated with death

9   (**Appendix Table 3**).

10

11   **Discussion**

12   Despite the advancement in medical and surgical management of aortic disease, AS (including

13   AD, IMH and PAU) still carry a significant risk of early and long-term morbidity and mortality,

14   which has remained substantially unchanged over the last 20 years[4]. They require lifelong

15   clinical and imaging surveillance to detect secondary adverse events and address subsequent

16   reinterventions during follow-up. Thus, rehospitalizations following initial discharge are a

17   common event during the lifespan of AS patients and represent a significant cost for both

18   patients and society. However, detailed data on the causes and burden of readmissions are

19   lacking but will potentially highlight ways to improve the longitudinal care of this patients'

20   group.

1   In this contemporary population-based assessment, we examined incidences of, reasons for, and

2   factors associated with, readmissions after initial diagnosis of AS or discharge for AS. The

3   following main findings were evident from this study. First, about two third of all patients with

4   AS will experience at least one readmission during follow-up. Second, although readmissions

5   seem to occur relatively early, as indicated by a median time to the first readmission of 143 days,

6   a bimodal pattern appears to exist. Aortic readmissions were more common in the first year of

7   follow-up, while cardiovascular readmissions mostly cumulated during the second year of

8   follow-up. Third, different factors are associated with different types of readmissions, which may

9   inform on how to tailor specific follow-up protocols according to the individual patient's

10   presentation. Taken altogether, these findings would suggest a pattern of early intensive care for

11   aortic complications and later care needs for cardiovascular events. Furthermore, they are similar

12   to those from a recent series at the University of Bologna (Italy) detailing the long-term follow-

13   up of 242 consecutive patients with final diagnosis of acute AS between 2010-2016, which

14   reported that two thirds of these individuals will eventually develop at least one aortic or non-

15   aortic event during long-term follow-up[8]. We believe follow-up should be based on careful

16   multidisciplinary assessment, to be made on a case by case basis, and eventually lead to a

17   patient-tailored protocol encompassing at least the frequency and consistency of imaging (with a

18   balance to be achieved between the need to detect even subtle changes of the disease pattern and

19   the necessity to keep radiation and contrast exposure as low as reasonable), early referral for

20   intervention and strict management of cardiovascular risk factors.

21   In this study, neither type of AS (AD/IMH/PAU) nor acuity of disease were associated with

22   aortic readmissions. Conversely, in-hospital complications and open surgery were significantly

23   associated with their occurrence. These data may be attributable to different plausible causes.

1 First, they could be surrogate markers for more aggressive disease requiring more invasive

2 treatment that lead to increased rate of complications and rehospitalizations. Second, since open

3 surgery is usually reserved for AS involving the ascending aorta and/or aortic arch, this will

4 indicate that, even after successful exclusion of the more proximal disease, AS might not be fully

5 exempt from long-term adverse events. Indeed, a recent Swedish study focusing on long-term

6 survival and frequency of reinterventions of patients undergoing proximal thoracic aortic surgery

7 has showed that while aneurysm surgery normalizes mortality (in comparison with age-matched

8 and sex-matched peers), dissection surgery still carries a high long-term mortality rate caused by

9 disease progression[9]. These findings emphasize the need for close post-operative monitoring of

10 AS patients to promptly address potential complications.

11 In our cohort, the cause for first readmission within 90 days was aneurysmal

12 degeneration/expansion in 9%, rupture in 6% and planned intervention in 3%. Although difficult

13 to ascertain, these data seem concordant with the existing evidence that incidence of

14 reintervention after thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR) for AD is relatively high during

15 midterm follow-up (mean rate of 15% at 3 years), with the three most common reasons for

16 reintervention being endoleaks, false lumen perfusion (with/without aortic dilation), and new

17 dissection[10, 11]. In fact, TEVAR has become the mainstay of treatment for AS involving the

18 descending aorta in the presence of anatomic and/or clinical complications, mainly because of

19 the early surgical benefit[12]. Furthermore, a recent statewide study from the California Office of

20 Statewide Hospital Planning Development database reporting outcomes after acute

21 uncomplicated type B AD (9.165 cases, mean age 66 years, 39% female) would suggest an

22 independent survival benefit for TEVAR over medical therapy[13], a finding which may support a

23 paradigm shift towards more aggressive management of acute type B AD even in the absence of

frank complications. However, TEVAR might not be able to prevent all aortic events during

follow-up as indicated from the INSTEAD trial data[14] with subsequent consensus document[15]

and further confirmed by a recent systematic review[16]. Thus, a more in-depth evaluation of the

anatomy and physiology of patients with and without aortic degeneration might provide helpful

data to assist with patients' selection, techniques implementation and surveillance strategies that

may achieve higher clinical effectiveness and cost effectiveness as compared with "one-fits-all"

algorithms[17]. Due to the limited number of patients treated with TEVAR over our 20-years

review, we cannot comment on the impact TEVAR has for these aortic pathologies nor on the

selection of patients' subgroups that might benefit the most from endovascular treatment.

As previously demonstrated, patients with AS have a significantly higher risk of non-aortic

cardiovascular death and first-time non-fatal cardiovascular events as compared with population

referents, a risk which did not seem to decrease even after excluding events occurring during the

acute priod[18]. The findings from the present study further elucidate the timing and likely

predisposing factors of these events. Indeed, cardiovascular readmissions were prevalent in the

second year of follow-up and were predicted by higher CCI. Thus, it is likely that a greater

burden of comorbidities will predispose this patients' group to higher risk of cardiovascular, but

not aortic events. This was also expected to some extent, given that when compared with local

controls, patients with AS have higher rates of cardiac, vascular and pulmonary disease, and

carry a higher comorbidity burden[4]. Our findings further underline the need for measures aimed

at reduction of the overall cardiovascular risk in individuals with AD, a need that has been

recognized also in recent clinical practice guidelines from the European Society for Vascular

Surgery[5]. Among cardiovascular risk factors, hypertension is the most commonly found in

patients with AD and IMH, with a prevalence rate up to 80%, and thought to play a role in the

1   development and progression of the disease(s)[19, 20]. However, it has also been showed that AD

2   patients may be poorly compliant with their antihypertensive regimen, and further work to

3   improve medication adherence and to understand its impact on disease progression is vital to

4   deliver the best outcomes for ASs patients[21].

5   Observations coming from this report must also be examined considering previously reported

6   data from the same cohort. Indeed, we had already observed 5-, 10-, and 15-year survival rates of

7   62%, 43%, and 30%, respectively, with a significantly higher long-term risk of any-cause death

8   for patients with AS compared to population referents even after exclusion of acute deaths[4]. In

9   line with previously reported data[22-24], most patients (32%) in our cohort died of aortic causes,

10   while cardiovascular causes were the primary diagnosis of death in 29% of the study subjects.

11   However, further analysis of non-acute deaths only (>2 weeks following the index event),

12   cardiovascular causes were more common than aortic causes in our cohort[18]. The findings from

13   this study further strengthen these data, as indicated by the fact that only age ad diagnosis and

14   baseline CCI (i.e. baseline comorbidity burden) were independent predictors for death. Although

15   overall management of AS has significantly improved during the last decades, medical therapy

16   and follow-up protocols for AS patients might still not be appropriate or strict enough to prevent

17   the occurrence of aortic and cardiovascular deaths, thereby improving overall life expectancy

18   and need for rehospitalizations. With these data, future work can focus on defining targets to

19   improve the quality of care and prognosis of these complex aortic pathologies.

20   Epidemiologic studies of AS are usually difficult to conduct, as many reports for patients with

21   AS predominantly come from multicenter registries[25], claims data[26, 27], or single-center series[28],

22   which might bias the findings as more severe cases are generally referred to specialized centers.

23   Furthermore, as patients follow-up might be undertaken at several locations, this could result in

1   heterogeneous and incomplete data. As a result, these methodologies, although specific may lack

2   sensitivity[29]. In contrast, our results are strengthened by the fact that, within the United States,

3   the REP provides unmatched conditions for the conduct of population-based research. Because

4   Olmsted County is a relatively isolated geographical area, where all main health care providers

5   in the county are included in the REP, virtually all health care delivered to Olmsted County

6   residents can be reliably and consistently captured. Although Olmsted County displays a

7   predominantly white population, previous REP studies showed high comparability in

8   demographic and ethnic characteristics of the Olmsted County residents with those of Minnesota

9   and the upper Midwest, as well as close mortality rates for Olmsted County and the United States

10   overall[30].

11

12   *Study limitations*

13   Some limitations to our study must be acknowledged. First, owing to the relatively small

14   subgroups of IMH and PAU, we may not have had enough power to detect a difference among

15   these groups. We acknowledge that these are three separate but pathophysiological related

16   pathologies. However, the study aim was to obtain a broad assessment on the burden and pattern

17   of readmissions after initial AS diagnosis. Further studies with larger cohorts are warranted to

18   identify specific subgroups of individuals that may warrant tailored protocols for follow-up and

19   intervention. Owing to the retrospective, population-based nature of the research, the patients

20   were managed by several providersand follow-up protocols were not standardized. Therefore, we

21   could not identify specific shortcomings in the medical management of these patients, which

22   should be the object of future research. Also, we acknowledge that the true autopsy rate in

23   Olmsted County is not known, so it is possible that some patients may have died without

1 diagnosis. However, this would only impact incidence and not readmissions (as these patients

2 would have been excluded from the present study). Lastly, our composite definition of aortic or

3 cardiovascular readmissions did not allow us to differentiate the relative strength of single

4 pathological entities.

5

6 **Conclusion**

7 Readmissions following initial discharge after diagnosis of AS are common and not different

8 across specific disease types. While aortic-related rehospitalization occur in more than half of

9 patients but tend to be earlier, cardiovascular-related rehospitalizations tend to happen later in

10 about one third of subjects. This may suggest the need for early follow-up focused on aortic

11 complications while later follow-up should address cardiovascular events.

12

13 **References**

14 1. Mussa FF, Horton JD, Moridzadeh R, Nicholson J, Trimarchi S, and Eagle KA. Acute aortic

15 dissection and intramural hematoma. A systematic review. JAMA. 2016;316:754-763

16 2. DeMartino RR, Sen I, Huang Y, Bower TC, Oderich GS, Pochettino A, et al. A population-

17 based assessment of the incidence of aortic dissection, intramural hematoma and penetrating

18 ulcer, and its associated mortality from 1995 to 2015. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes.

19 2018;11:e004689

3. St Sauver JL, Grossardt BR, Yawn BP, Melton LJ 3rd, and Rocca WA. Use of a medical records linkage system to enumerate a dynamic population over time: the Rochester epidemiology project. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2011;173:1059–1068

4. Rocca WA, Yawn BP, St Sauver JL, Grossardt BR, and Melton LJ. History of the Rochester Epidemiology Project: half a century of medical records linkage in a US population. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2012;87:1202–1213

5. Riambau V, Bockler D, Brunkwall J, Cao P, Chiesa R, Coppi G, et al. Editor's choice – Management of descending thoracic aorta diseases: clinical practice guidelines of the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS). Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2017;53:4-52

6. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, and MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. J Chronic Dis. 1987;40:373-83

7. Chamberlain AM, Gersh BJ, Alonso A, Chen LY, Berardi C, Manemann SM, et al. Decade-long trends in atrial fibrillation incidence and survival: a community study. Am J Med. 2015;128:260-267

8. Corsini A, Pacini D, Lovato L, Russo V, Lorenzini M, Foà A, et al. Long-term follow-up of patients with acute aortic syndromes: relevance of both aortic and non-aortic events. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2018;56:200-208

9. Vikholm P, Astudillo R, and Thelin S. Long-term survival and frequency of reinterventions after proximal aortic surgery: a retrospective study. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2019 (Epub ahead of print)

10. Zhang L, Zhao Z, Chen Y, et al. Reintervention after endovascular repair for aortic dissection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Thor Cardiovasc Surg. 2016;152:1279-1288

11. Boufi M, Patterson BO, Joe Grima M, Karthikesalingam A, Hudda MT, Holt PJ, et al. Systematic review of reintervention after thoracic endovascular repair for chronic type B dissection. Ann Thor Surg. 2017;103:1992-2004

12. Harky A, Chan JSK, Wong CHM, Francis N, Grafton-Clarke C, and Bashir M. Systematic review and meta-analysis of acute type B thoracic aortic dissection, open, or endovascular repair. J Vasc Surg. 2019;69:1599-1609

13. Iannuzzi JC, Stapleton SM, Bababekov YJ, Chang D, Lancaster RT, Conrad ME,et al. Favorable impact of thoracic endovascular aortic repair on survival of patients with acute uncomplicated type B aortic dissection. J Vasc Surg. 2018;68:1650-1655

14. Nienaber CA, Kische S, Rousseau H, Eggebrecht H, Rehders TC, Kundt G, et al. Endovascular repair of type B aortic dissection: long-term results of the randomized investigation of stent grafts in aortic dissection trial. Circ Cardiovasc Interv. 2013;6:407-416

15. Fattori R, Cao P, De Rango P, Czerny M, Evangelista A, Nienaber C, et al. Interdisciplinary expert consensus document on management of type B aortic dissection. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;61:1661-1678

16. Famularo M, Meyermann K, and Lombardi JV. Aneurysmal degeneration of type B aortic dissections after thoracic endovascular aortic repair: a systematic review. J Vasc Surg. 2017;66:924-930

17. Giles KA, Beck AW, Lala S, Patterson S, Back M, Fatima J, et al. Implications of secondary aortic intervention after thoracic endovascular aortic repair for acute and chronic type B dissection. J Vasc Surg. 2019;69:1367-1378

18. Weiss S, Sen I, Huang Y, Killian JM, Harmsen WS, Mandrekar J, et al. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality after aortic dissection, intramural hematoma, and penetrating aortic ulcer. J Vasc Surg. 2019;70:724-731

19. Pape LA, Awais M, Woznicki EM, Suzuki T, Trimarchi S, Evangelista A, et al. Presentation, diagnosis, and outcomes of acute aortic dissection: 17-year trends from the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2015;66:350-358

20. Tolenaar JL, Harris KM, Upchurch GR Jr, Evangelista A, Moll FL, di Eusanio M, et al. The differences and similarities between intramural hematoma of the descending aorta and acute type B dissection. J Vasc Surg. 2013;58:1498-1504

21. Martin G, Patel N, Grant Y, Jenkins M, Gibbs R, and Bicknell C. Antihypertensive medication adherence in chronic type B aortic dissection is an important consideration in the management debate. J Vasc Surg. 2018;68:693-700

22. Umana JP, Lai DT, Mitchell RS, Moore KA, Rodriguez F, Robbins RC, et al. Is medical therapy still the optimal treatment strategy for patients with acute type B aortic dissections? J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2002;124:896-910

23. 28. Yu HY, Chen YS, Huang SC, Wang SS, and Lin FY. Late outcome of patients with aortic dissection: study of a national database. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2004;25:683-690

24. Olsson C, Thelin S, Stahle E, Ekbom A, Granath F. Thoracic aortic aneurysm and dissection: increasing prevalence and improved outcomes reported in a nationwide population based study of more than 14,000 cases from 1987 to 2002.Circulation 2006;114:2611-2618

25. Hagan PG, Nienaber CA, Isselbacher EM, Bruckman D, Karavite DJ, Russman PL, et al. The International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD): new insights into an old disease. JAMA. 2000;283:897–903

26. Jones DW, Goodney PP, Nolan BW, Brooke BS, Fillinger MF, Powell RJ, et al. National trends in utilization, mortality, and survival after repair of type B aortic dissection in the Medicare population. J Vasc Surg. 2014;60:11–19

27. Mody PS, Wang Y, Geirsson A, Kim N, Desai MM, Gupta A, et al. Trends in aortic dissection hospitalizations, interventions, and outcomes among Medicare beneficiaries in the United States, 2000-2011. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2014;7:920–928. Doi:10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.114.001140

28. Clough RE, Barillà D, Delsart P, Ledieu G, Spear R, Crichton S, et al. Long-term survival and risk factor analysis in 136 consecutive patients with type B aortic dissection presenting to a single centre over an 11 year period. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2019;57:633-638

29. Finnesgard EJ, Weiss S, Kalra M, Johnstone JK, Oderich GS, Shuja F, et al. Performance of current claims-based approaches to identify aortic dissection hospitalizations. J Vasc Surg. 2019;70:53-59

30. St Sauver JL, Grossardt BR, Leibson CL, Yawn BP, Melton LJ 3rd, and Rocca WA. Generalizability of epidemiological findings and public health decisions: an illustration from the Rochester Epidemiology Project. Mayo Clin Proc. 2012;87:151-160

Tables

Table 1. Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics.

1 Table 2. Number of readmissions within 1 year from start (Discharge date or Diagnosis date)

2

3 Table 3. Frequency of readmissions.

4

5 Appendix Table 1. Causes of readmissions.

6

7 Appendix Table 2. Univariate Cox Proportional Hazards Models for Readmissions. A. Any-

8 cause readmissions. B. Cardiovascular readmissions. C. Aortic readmissions.

9

10 Appendix Table 3. Univariate Cox Proportional Hazards Models for Death.

11

12 **Figures**

13 Figure 1. Cumulative Incidence of Any-Cause Readmissions (with Death as a competing risk).

14

15 Figure 2. Cumulative Incidence of Cardiovascular Readmissions (with Death as a competing

16 risk).

17

18 Figure 3. Cumulative Incidence of Aortic Readmissions (with Death as a competing risk).

19

20 Supplementary Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier Estimates for Overall Survival.

Table 1. Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics.

Variable	Total (N=117)
Type of AS	
AD	65 (55.6%)
IMH	20 (17.1%)
PAU	32 (27.4%)
Age at diagnosis	
Median	74.0
Q1, Q3	61.3, 80.3
Range	(27.8-93.4)
Gender	
Male	70 (59.8%)
Female	47 (40.2%)
Acute AS	64 (90.1%)
CCI	
Median	2.0
Q1, Q3	1.0, 4.0
Range	(0.0-11.0)
Previous MI	18 (15.4%)
Previous CHF	22 (18.8%)
Previous PVD	49 (41.9%)
Previous CVD	23 (19.7%)
Previous COPD	29 (24.8%)
Previous DM	21 (17.9%)
Initial management	
Medical	85 (72.6%)

Variable	Total (N=117)
Open	29 (24.8%)
Endovascular	3 (2.6%)

AS: aortic syndrome; AD: aortic dissection; IMH: intramural hematoma; PAU: penetrating aortic ulcer;

CCI: Charlson Comorbidity Index; MI: myocardial infarction; CHD: congestive heart failure; PVD:

peripheral vascular disease; CVD: cerebrovascular disease; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary

disease; DM: diabetes mellitus.

Table 2. Number of readmissions within 1 year from start (Discharge date or Diagnosis date).

Readmission Type	# of patients with readmission in 1 year	# of patients with readmission	Among those with readmission in 1 year **Overall**	Among those with readmission in 1 year **Alive**	Among those with readmission in 1 year **Death**
	N	N	Median (Q1, Q3)	Median (Q1, Q3)	Median (Q1, Q3)
Any	44	78*	1.0 (1.0, 2.0)	1.0 (1.0, 2.0)	1.0 (1.0, 1.0)
CV	14	37	1.0 (1.0, 1.0)	1.0 (1.0, 1.0)	--
Aortic	35	65*	1.0 (1.0, 2.0)	1.0 (1.0, 2.0)	1.0 (1.0, 1.0)

*1 patient with incomplete 1 year of follow-up

DVT: deep venous thrombosis; PE: pulmonary embolism; CHF: congestive heart failure; CV: cardiovascular.

Appendix Table 1. Causes of readmissions.

	Total (N=117)
ANY READMISSION	
Any readmission	
Yes	79 (67.5%)
Days to 1st any readmission **(Among those with any readmission)**	
Median	143.0
Q1, Q3	15.0, 1244.0
Range	(0.5-5664.0)
Any 30-day readmission	
Yes	29 (25.7%)
Cause for 30-day any readmission – First Cause **(Among those with any readmission within 30 days)**	
Pain	12 (46.2%)
Aneurysmal degeneration/expansion	2 (7.6%)
Complication	7 (26.9%)
Rupture	2 (7.7%)
Stroke	1 (3.8%)
DVT/PE	1 (3.8%)
Limb Ischemia	1 (3.8%)
Any 90-day readmission	
Yes	36 (31.9%)
Cause for 90-day Readmission – First Cause **(Among those with any readmission within 90 days)**	
Pain	12 (36.4%)
Aneurysmal degeneration/expansion	3 (9.0%)
Planned Intervention	1 (3.0%)
Complication	8 (24.2%)
Rupture	2 (6.1%)
New-onset CHF	2 (6.1%)
Stroke	2 (6.1%)
DVT/PE	2 (6.0%)
Limb Ischemia	1 (3.0%)

	Total (N=117)
Any 1-year readmission	
Yes	45 (40.9%)
CV READMISSION	
CV readmission	
Yes	37 (31.6%)
Days to 1st CV readmission (Among those with CV readmission)	
Median	861.0
Q1, Q3	111.0, 3006.0
Range	(0.5-5664.0)
CV 30-day readmission	
Yes	2 (1.8%)
CV 90-day readmission	
Yes	9 (8.3%)
CV 1-year readmission	
Yes	14 (13.6%)
AORTIC READMISSION	
Aortic readmission	
Yes	66 (56.4%)
Days to 1st aortic readmission (Among those with aortic readmission)	
Median	171.0
Q1, Q3	15.0, 1213.0
Range	(0.5-5686.0)
Aortic 30-day readmission	
Yes	25 (22.1%)
Aortic 90-day readmission	
Yes	29 (25.9%)
Aortic 1-year readmission	
Yes	36 (33.0%)

Table 3. Frequency of readmissions.

Year	N	Any Readmission		CV Readmission		Aortic Readmission	
		Median	Q1-Q3	Median	Q1-Q3	Median	Q1-Q3
1995	4	1.5	0.5, 2.5	0.5	0, 1.5	1.0	0.5, 1.0
1996	6	2.0	1.0, 5.0	0.5	0, 1.0	1.5	0, 3.0
1997	2	0	0, 0	0	0, 0	0	0, 0
1998	7	1.0	0, 5.0	0	0, 0	1.0	0, 1.0
1999	2	1.5	0, 3.0	0.5	0, 1.0	1.0	0, 2.0
2000	3	4.0	1.0, 4.0	0	0, 0	3.0	1.0, 2.0
2001	11	2.0	1.0, 3.0	0	0, 1.0	1.0	1.0, 2.0
2002	9	2.0	1.0, 3.0	0	0, 1.0	1.0	0, 2.0
2003	7	1.0	0, 2.0	1.0	0, 2.0	0	0, 1.0
2004	4	0.5	0, 1.5	0.5	0, 1.0	0	0, 0.5
2005	2	1.0	0, 2.0	0	0, 0	1.0	0, 2.0
2006	8	2.0	0.5, 3.0	0.5	0, 1.0	0.5	0, 2.0
2007	4	2.0	1.0, 3.5	0.5	0, 1.0	1.5	0.5, 3.0
2008	2	1.0	0, 2.0	0	0, 0	1.0	0, 2.0
2009	3	0	0, 1.0	0	0, 0	0	0, 1.0
2010	7	1.0	0, 6.0	0	0, 1.0	1.0	0, 5.0
2011	4	0.5	0, 1.0	0	0, 0	0.5	0, 1.0
2012	7	1.0	1.0, 6.0	0	0, 1.0	1.0	1.0, 2.0
2013	6	0	0, 1.0	0	0, 0	0	0, 0
2014	5	1.0	0, 1.0	0	0, 1.0	0	0, 1.0
2015*	0						

*all patients in 2015 did not have enough follow-up to be considered

Appendix Table 2. Univariate Cox Proportional Hazards Models for Readmissions.

A. Any-Cause Readmission

Variable	HR (95% CI)	p-value
Type of AS		Overall p=0.238
AD	1.0 reference	
IMH	1.33 (0.73, 2.44)	0.349
PAU	0.74 (0.43, 1.26)	0.264
Age at diagnosis (per 10 years)	1.02 (0.87, 1.20)	0.805
Gender		
Male	1.06 (0.67, 1.68)	0.801
Female	1.0 reference	
Acuity of disease		Overall p=0.640
Acute	1.0 reference	
Subacute	1.56 (0.48, 5.11)	0.461
Chronic	0.67 (0.16, 2.78)	0.581
CCI	1.02 (0.94, 1.10)	0.677
CVD		
No	1.0 reference	
Yes	1.38 (0.81, 2.34)	0.238
Initial Management		Overall p=0.039
Medical	1.0 reference	
Open	1.85 (1.15, 3.0)	0.012
Endovascular	1.68 (0.41, 6.93)	0.476
In-Hospital Complications		
None	1.0 reference	
Any Complication	1.96 (1.20, 3.21)	0.007

Median Follow-up for Any-Cause Readmission = 6.95 (2.99, 12.29) years

B. CV Readmission

Variable	HR (95% CI)	p-value
Type of AS		Overall p=0.572
AD	1.0 reference	
IMH	0.58 (0.20, 1.67)	0.310
PAU	0.82 (0.38, 1.78)	0.620
Age at diagnosis (per 10 years)	1.23 (0.95, 1.60)	0.125
Gender		
Male	1.70 (0.82, 3.53)	0.155
Female	1.0 reference	
Acuity of disease		Overall p=0.980
Acute	1.0 reference	
Subacute	0.81 (0.11, 6.09)	0.842
Chronic	0.99 (0.13, 7.43)	0.991
CCI	1.15 (1.05, 1.27)	0.004
CVD		
No	1.0 reference	
Yes	2.35 (1.16, 4.79)	0.019
Initial Management		Overall p=0.314
Medical	1.0 reference	
Open	1.69 (0.86, 3.33)	0.128
Endovascular	--	
In-Hospital Complications		
None	1.0 reference	
Any Complication	1.46 (0.72, 2.96)	0.301

C. Aortic Readmission

Variable	HR (95% CI)	p-value
Type of AS		Overall p=0.107
AD	1.0 reference	
IMH	1.52 (0.81, 2.87)	0.196
PAU	0.67 (0.36, 1.24)	0.202
Age at diagnosis (per 10 years)	0.96 (0.81, 1.14)	0.622
Gender		
Male	1.16 (0.70, 1.92)	0.573
Female	1.0 reference	
Acuity of disease		Overall p=0.507
Acute	1.0 reference	
Subacute	1.98 (0.60, 6.55)	0.264
Chronic	0.82 (0.20, 3.44)	0.789
CCI	0.98 (0.90, 1.07)	0.713
CVD		
No	1.0 reference	
Yes	1.30 (0.73, 2.33)	0.376
Initial Management		Overall p=0.011
Medical	1.0 reference	
Open	2.15 (1.29, 3.57)	0.003
Endovascular	2.13 (0.51, 8.86)	0.300
In-Hospital Complications		
None	1.0 reference	
Any Complication	1.90 (1.12, 3.21)	0.018

AS: aortic syndrome; AD: aortic dissection; IMH: intramural hematoma; PAU: penetrating aortic ulcer;

CCI: Charlson Comorbidity Index; CVD: cerebrovascular disease.

Appendix Table 3. Univariate Cox Proportional Hazards Models for Death.

Variable	HR (95% CI)	p-value
Type of AS		Overall p=0.451
Dissection	1.0 reference	
IMH	1.27 (0.60, 2.69)	0.540
PAU	1.47 (0.80, 2.69)	0.216
Age at diagnosis (per 10 years)	1.71 (1.32, 2.21)	<0.001
Gender		
Male	0.64 (0.38, 1.09)	0.102
Female	1.0 reference	
Acuity of Dx		0.803
Acute	1.0 reference	
Subacute	0.72 (0.10, 5.29)	0.743
Chronic	1.51 (0.36, 6.41)	0.577
CCI	1.11 (1.02, 1.20)	0.017
CVD		
No	1.0 reference	
Yes	2.17 (1.22, 3.87)	0.008
Initial Management		Overall p=0.688
Medical	1.0 reference	
Open	0.78 (0.42, 1.44)	0.425
Endovascular	0.67 (0.09, 4.89)	0.672
In-Hospital Complications		
None	1.0 reference	
Any Complication	0.90 (0.49, 1.66)	0.746

Median Follow-up for Death = 11.10 (4.82, 14.14) years

AS: aortic syndrome; AD: aortic dissection; IMH: intramural hematoma; PAU: penetrating aortic ulcer;

CCI: Charlson Comorbidity Index; CVD: cerebrovascular disease.

Table 3. Frequency of readmissions.

Year	N	Any Readmission		CV Readmission		Aortic Readmission	
		Median	Q1-Q3	Median	Q1-Q3	Median	Q1-Q3
1995	4	1.5	0.5, 2.5	0.5	0, 1.5	1.0	0.5, 1.0
1996	6	2.0	1.0, 5.0	0.5	0, 1.0	1.5	0, 3.0
1997	2	0	0, 0	0	0, 0	0	0, 0
1998	7	1.0	0, 5.0	0	0, 0	1.0	0, 1.0
1999	2	1.5	0, 3.0	0.5	0, 1.0	1.0	0, 2.0
2000	3	4.0	1.0, 4.0	0	0, 0	3.0	1.0, 2.0
2001	11	2.0	1.0, 3.0	0	0, 1.0	1.0	1.0, 2.0
2002	9	2.0	1.0, 3.0	0	0, 1.0	1.0	0, 2.0
2003	7	1.0	0, 2.0	1.0	0, 2.0	0	0, 1.0
2004	4	0.5	0, 1.5	0.5	0, 1.0	0	0, 0.5
2005	2	1.0	0, 2.0	0	0, 0	1.0	0, 2.0
2006	8	2.0	0.5, 3.0	0.5	0, 1.0	0.5	0, 2.0
2007	4	2.0	1.0, 3.5	0.5	0, 1.0	1.5	0.5, 3.0
2008	2	1.0	0, 2.0	0	0, 0	1.0	0, 2.0
2009	3	0	0, 1.0	0	0, 0	0	0, 1.0
2010	7	1.0	0, 6.0	0	0, 1.0	1.0	0, 5.0
2011	4	0.5	0, 1.0	0	0, 0	0.5	0, 1.0
2012	7	1.0	1.0, 6.0	0	0, 1.0	1.0	1.0, 2.0
2013	6	0	0, 1.0	0	0, 0	0	0, 0
2014	5	1.0	0, 1.0	0	0, 1.0	0	0, 1.0
2015*	0						

*all patients in 2015 did not have enough follow-up to be considered

Any Readmission(%)

Years

Number at Risk

Standard Error

	117	53	35	27	15	10
	0	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04

Aortic Readmission(%)

Years

117	56	39	28	0	12
0	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04
0	2	4	6	8	10

Survival(%)

Years

	0	2	4	6	8	10
Number at Risk	117	89	69	51	42	31
Standard Error	0	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.06