

1 **Pt-decorated TiO₂ materials supported on carbon: increasing activities and**
2 **stabilities towards the ORR by tuning the Pt loading**

3
4 *Eduardo C. M. Barbosa^{a, +}, Luanna S. Parreira^{a, +}, Isabel C. de Freitas^a, Luci R. Aveiro^b, Daniela*
5 *C. de Oliveira^c, Mauro C. dos Santos^b, and Pedro H. C. Camargo^{a,d*}*

6
7 ^a Departamento de Química Fundamental, Instituto de Química, Universidade de São Paulo,
8 Av. Prof. Lineu Prestes, 748, 05508-000 São Paulo, SP, Brazil

9 ^b Laboratório de Eletroquímica e Materiais Nanostruturados - Centro de Ciências Naturais e
10 Humanas, Universidade Federal do ABC, Rua Santa Adélia, 166, 09210-170, Santo André, SP,
11 Brazil

12 ^c Centro Nacional de Pesquisa em Energia e Materiais, Laboratório Nacional de Luz
13 Síncrotron, 13083-970, Campinas, SP, Brazil

14 ^d Department of Chemistry, University of Helsinki, A.I. Virtasen aukio 1, Helsinki, Finland

15
16 *Corresponding author: Email: pedro.camargo@helsinki.fi

17 + These authors contributed equally to this article

18

Abstract

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

Pt nanoparticles (Pt NPs) supported on carbon have been widely employed as electrocatalysts towards the oxygen reduction kinetics. The development of more efficient electrocatalysts that enable one to reduce or even not require the use of Pt is a central challenge. In addition to the control over Pt NPs physical and chemical features, metal-support interactions can be employed to enhance activities via the generation and exposure of surface-active sites. In this context, we report herein the development of electrocatalysts composed of Pt NPs supported on TiO₂ microspheres, that were subsequently impregnated onto carbon. We have found that by optimizing the loading of Pt at the TiO₂ surface, the electrocatalytic activity towards the ORR could be improved compared to the commercial Pt/C (E-TEK) material, even at lower Pt loadings. The enhancement in activities could be assigned to the balance between Pt loading and generation of reactive surface sites, such as adsorbed oxygenated species. Moreover, the utilization of TiO₂ as support enabled improved stabilities relative to Pt/C (E-TEK). We believe that the results described herein may inspire the development of electrocatalysts for the ORR with improved activities and stabilities.

Keywords: Oxygen reduction reaction, electrocatalysis, Pt nanoparticles, TiO₂, metal-support interactions, controlled synthesis

1 Introduction

2

3 The oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) is employed at the cathode in proton exchange
4 membrane (PEM) fuel cells and contributes to the energy production of these devices.^{1,2} Due
5 to its sluggish kinetics, the ORR requires electrocatalysts based on platinum nanoparticles (Pt
6 NPs) supported on high surface area materials.^{3,4} However, due to the high costs and low
7 abundance of Pt, the reduction in Pt loading or even developing Pt-free electrocatalysts
8 represents an important challenge.^{5,6} Recently, significant progress has been achieved in
9 performance through the control over the Pt shape, size, composition (alloys and
10 multimetallic systems), and structure (Pt-based nanostructures with hollow interiors).^{3,7}
11 Nevertheless, further understanding of the electrocatalytic enhancements are still required
12 to meet cost/energy demands and enable the widespread application of these devices.^{8,9}

13 In addition to the NPs physical and chemical parameters, the optimization over
14 metal-support interactions can be employed to maximize electrocatalytic performance due
15 to metal-support interactions such as the generation of surface reactive sites.¹⁰⁻¹² In the
16 context of the ORR, most supports are comprised of conductive carbon nanomaterials.^{6,13}
17 However, carbon supports usually corrode to form carbon dioxide, leading to the collapse and
18 agglomeration of Pt NPs and thus the loss of activity in the longer term.^{14,15} Therefore, the
19 utilization of other supports is promising to improve both the stability and performances.
20 Among the several promising materials as supports, titanium dioxide (TiO₂) is resistant to
21 corrosion, presents a low cost, is commercially available, and several protocols for the

1 synthesis have been reported.^{16,17} However, a major drawback is that the TiO₂ electrical
2 conductivity must be enhanced to be used as a support material. In this case, hybrid materials
3 comprised of TiO₂ and carbon have been proposed. This system combines the attractive
4 features of both materials. Nevertheless, a deeper understanding of the effect of TiO₂ as
5 support over the detected ORR activities and stabilities is required.^{16,18}

6 In this paper, we developed electrocatalysts composed of Pt NPs supported on TiO₂
7 microspheres, that were subsequently impregnated into conductive carbon (Vulcan) as model
8 systems to investigate the effect of the Pt loading on TiO₂ over the ORR activities and stability.
9 The effect of Pt loading at the TiO₂ surface, as well as the Pt/TiO₂ loading on carbon, was
10 investigated and benchmarked against the commercial Pt/C (E-TEK) material. We found that
11 the loading of Pt and TiO₂ played a central role over the exposure of reactive sites and thus
12 to the ORR activities. This effect could be related to the generation of surface reactive groups,
13 such as adsorbed oxygenated species, as a result of the optimized metal-support interactions.

14

15 **Experimental**

16

17 *Materials and Instrumentation*

18 H₂PtCl₆·6H₂O (Chloroplatinic acid hexahydrate, Sigma-Aldrich), PVP
19 (polyvinylpyrrolidone, Sigma-Aldrich, MW 55 000 g mol⁻¹), EG (ethylene glycol, 99.5%, Synth),
20 C₃H₆O (acetone, 99.5%, Synth), C₂H₄O₂ (acetic acid, 99.7%, Vetec), C₆H₈O₆ (ascorbic acid,
21 99.0%, Sigma-Aldrich), Ti(OBu)₄ (titanium butoxide, 97%, Sigma-Aldrich), Vulcan XC-72

1 Carbon (Cabot®), H₂SO₄ (sulfuric acid, 70%, P.A. Synth), Nafion® (5 wt.%, Fluka), and Pt/C E-
2 TEK 10 wt.% were used as received. All chemicals were analytical grade reagents and were
3 used without further purification. Deionized water (18.2 MΩ) was used throughout the
4 experiments.

5 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were obtained using a JEOL microscope
6 FEG-SEM JSM 6330F operated at 5 kV. The samples were prepared by drop-casting an
7 aqueous suspension of the nanostructures on a Si wafer followed by drying under ambient
8 conditions. Size distribution profile was determined by individually measuring the size of 200
9 particles from SEM images. Transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) images were obtained
10 using a Tecnai FEI G20 operated at 200 kV. Samples were prepared by drop casting an
11 alcoholic suspension of each particle in a carbon-coated copper grid followed by drying under
12 ambient conditions.

13 The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analyses were performed using a
14 SPECSLAB II (Phoibos-Hsa 3500 150, 9 channeltrons) SPECS spectrometer, with an Al Kα
15 source (E = 1486.6 eV) working at 12 kV, Epass = 40 eV, with 0.2 eV energy step. The
16 synthesized electrocatalysts were kept on stainless steel sample-holders and transported
17 under inert atmosphere into the pre-chamber of the XPS staying under vacuum for 2 hours.
18 The residual pressure in the analysis chamber was of approximately 1×10⁻⁹ Torr. The binding
19 energies (BE) of Pt 4f, Ti 2p, O 1s, and C 1s spectral peaks were adjusted using the C 1s peak
20 as reference, placed at 284.5 eV, providing accuracy within ± 0.2 eV.

1 X-ray diffraction (XRD) data were obtained using a Rigaku - Miniflex equipment with
2 CuK α radiation of 1.5406 Å and the diffraction patterns were acquired in the range of $2\theta = 10$
3 $- 80^\circ$ with a 1° min^{-1} scanning speed. Pt atomic percentages were measured by inductively
4 coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) using a Spectro Arcos equipment at
5 IQ-USP analytical center facilities. Samples were prepared by digesting them using aqua regia
6 at reflux for 2 hours at 100°C . After digestion, samples were diluted using distilled water.

7

8 *Synthesis of TiO₂ colloidal spheres*

9 The synthesis followed a previously reported procedure.^{19,20} Typically, Ti(OBu)₄ (4
10 mL) were added dropwise to ethylene glycol (90 mL) and kept under vigorous stirring at room
11 temperature for 8 hours. This mixture was then quickly poured into a mixture containing
12 acetone (400 mL), deionized water (5 mL), and acetic acid (2 mL). Subsequently, the mixture
13 was kept under stirring at room temperature for 2 hours followed by aging for 3 more hours.
14 At this stage, titanium glycolate microspheres were formed. They were washed and isolated
15 by successive rounds of centrifugation, removal of the supernatant, and re-suspension in
16 ethanol. In the next step, deionized water (50 mL) was added to the solid material comprising
17 titanium glycolate microspheres, the materials were re-suspended, and this mixture was kept
18 under stirring at 70°C for 8 hours to produce TiO₂ colloidal spheres. This material was washed
19 and isolated by successive rounds of centrifugation, removal of the supernatant, and re-
20 suspension with water and ethanol. The TiO₂ colloidal spheres were then resuspended in
21 deionized water (500 mL).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

Synthesis of Pt/TiO₂ via Pt seeded growth

TiO₂ microspheres (12 mg) suspended in deionized water (6 mL) were added to a mixture containing deionized water (12 mL), ascorbic acid (12 mg), and PVP (70 mg). This orange mixture was kept under stirring for 10 minutes at 90 °C and at this point, PtCl₆²⁻_(aq) (6 mL, 3.0 mmol L⁻¹) was quickly added to the mixture, which after 10 minutes produced a change in color to black as a result of Pt deposition at the TiO₂ surface. The reaction was allowed to proceed for other 30 minutes at 90°C. This was the first reduction step, the material obtained at this stage was denoted Pt/TiO₂-1, in which the number 1 refers to one Pt deposition step. A second reduction step was performed by adding more PtCl₆²⁻_(aq) solution (6 mL, 3.0 mmol L⁻¹) to the reaction mixture obtained at the end of the first reduction step, followed by stirring at 90°C for other 30 min. Similarly, a third reduction step was carried out by adding more PtCl₆²⁻_(aq) solution (6 mL, 3.0 mmol L⁻¹) to the reaction mixture obtained at the end of the second reduction step, followed by stirring at 90 °C for another 30 min. As described in the first deposition step, the solids that were obtained after the second and third reduction steps were denoted Pt/TiO₂-2 and Pt/TiO₂-3, respectively. These materials were isolated by stopping the reaction at the end of each corresponding reduction step. In all cases, the products were harvested by centrifugation, washed several times with water and ethanol, and re-suspended in water for further use. These samples were dried and weighed for ICP-OES analyses.

1 *Impregnation of Pt/TiO₂-1, Pt/TiO₂-2, and Pt/TiO₂-3 onto carbon Vulcan XC-72*

2 The Pt/TiO₂-1, Pt/TiO₂-2, and Pt/TiO₂-3 materials were impregnated onto a carbon
3 support in order to produce 10% wt. Pt/TiO₂-1/C, Pt/TiO₂-2/C, and Pt/TiO₂-3/C on Vulcan XC-
4 72 Carbon (Cabot®) by a wet impregnation method. Typically, Carbon (50 mg) was used as
5 support for the nanomaterials. The supports were added to aqueous suspensions containing
6 5 mg of each material and left under vigorous magnetic stirring at 110°C until dry.

7
8 *Electrochemical studies*

9 The electrochemical experiments were performed at 25°C in an electrochemical
10 three-electrode cell with H₂SO₄ (80 mL, 0.5 mol L⁻¹) as support electrolyte using a
11 potentiostat/galvanostat PGSTAT model 302 N (Autolab®) coupled to a rotating ring-disk
12 electrode accessory (Pine®) and controlled by the Nova 10.1 software. The counter electrode
13 was a platinum rod, while an Ag/AgCl electrode (Analyzer®) was used as reference. The work
14 electrode was a rotating ring-disk electrode consisting of a glassy carbon disk (0.196 cm²) and
15 platinum ring (0.037 cm²) with the collection factor of 0.37. After thoroughly characterizing
16 the catalysts, a suspension of each material was prepared in milli-Q water in order to deposit
17 them onto the electrode. An ink was prepared using 1 mg of electrocatalyst and 1 mL of
18 ultrapure water (Milli Q system, 18.2 mΩ cm⁻¹) sonicated for 30 minutes. The glassy carbon
19 disk surface was covered by a drop of 20 µL of the electrocatalytic ink and dried under N₂ flux.
20 Subsequently, 20 µL of a 1:100 solution of Nafion® and ultrapure water were dropped onto

1 the material, and then further dried under N₂ flux at room temperature. The electrocatalysts
2 were then further characterized regarding their electrocatalytic properties.

3 For CO stripping measurements, carbon monoxide was adsorbed on a 20 mV
4 polarized electrode, during 5 minutes, immersed in an H₂SO₄ solution (0.5 mol L⁻¹).
5 Subsequently, CO was removed from the electrolyte by purging with N₂ for 25 minutes, and
6 three consecutive cyclic voltammeteries were run with a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹ within the
7 potential range of 0.01 to 1.01 V *versus* RHE reference electrode. The electrochemical surface
8 areas (ESA)²¹ for CO stripping were obtained by integrating the area under each CO oxidation
9 peaks in the first voltammetric cycle (Q_{CO}, in mC), these were then divided by the charge
10 needed to oxidize a CO monolayer adsorbed onto a Pt surface (Q_{CO} = 420 μC cm⁻²). These
11 values were then normalized by the mass of Pt on each electrode and the ECSA was obtained
12 in m² g⁻¹.

13 For the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR), linear scanning voltammograms were
14 collected with a scan rate of 0.01 V s⁻¹ in an ultrapure O₂ saturated H₂SO₄ electrolyte (0.5 mol
15 L⁻¹, 30 minutes of O₂ purge) in the potential range between 1.21 and 0.21 V (vs RHE) in
16 different rotation speeds (ω) from 100 to 2500 rpm. For experiments performed under light
17 irradiation, a UV LED stick (UVP Pen-Ray (R) Light source) was used. All the analyses were
18 performed in triplicate.

19

20 *Accelerated stress tests (AST)*

1 In order to study the stability of the electrocatalysts, the most promising catalyst
2 (Pt/TiO₂-2/C) was compared to the commercial material (Pt/C E-TEK) in accelerated stress
3 tests. A typical test was performed in H₂SO₄ (0.5 mol L⁻¹) as support electrolyte. An ORR
4 polarization curve was then collected with a scan rate of 0.01 V s⁻¹ in an ultrapure O₂ saturated
5 H₂SO₄ electrolyte (0.5 mol L⁻¹, 30 minutes of O₂ purge) in the potential range between 1.21
6 and 0.21 V (vs RHE) in different rotation speeds (ω) from 100 to 2500 rpm. After performing
7 the ORR, the electrolyte was switched for a fresh one and N₂ was bubbled for 30 minutes
8 before performing the cycles, 1000 cyclic voltammeteries were run with a scan rate of 100 mV
9 s⁻¹ within the potential range of 0.01 to 1.01 V *versus* RHE. Oxygen was once again bubbled
10 for 30 minutes and ORR was performed in the same conditions as previously established.

11

12 **Results and discussion**

13

14 **Figure S1A and B** show SEM and HRTEM images of the TiO₂ colloidal spheres that
15 were employed supports for the Pt/TiO₂ materials. The TiO₂ spheres displayed spherical shape
16 and were 267.8 ± 37.8 nm in diameter. Although they appear to be smooth from SEM images,
17 HRTEM results revealed that they are comprised of TiO₂ nanocrystallites (around 10 nm in
18 size). They were crystallized as anatase according to previously reported XRD and Raman
19 data.^{19,22}

20 The TiO₂ colloidal spheres were employed as seeds for Pt deposition at their surface
21 with controllable sizes and coverage by a facile route based on sequential deposition steps as

1 depicted in **Figure S2**. This approach employed PtCl_6^{2-} as the Pt precursor, ascorbic acid as a
2 reducing agent, PVP as a stabilizer, water as the solvent, and 90°C as the reaction temperature.
3 The loading and coverage of Pt at the surface could be controlled by performing successive
4 deposition steps, which allows for the understanding of how these parameters affect the
5 electrocatalytic activity of the generated materials towards the ORR. Specifically, three Pt
6 deposition steps were performed, which led to Pt/TiO₂-1, Pt/TiO₂-2, and Pt/TiO₂-3 materials
7 (obtained after one, two, and three Pt deposition steps, respectively).

8 SEM images for the Pt/TiO₂-1, Pt/TiO₂-2, and Pt/TiO₂-3 materials (**Figure 1A-C**,
9 respectively) indicate the efficient deposition of Pt NPs at the TiO₂ supports displaying a
10 uniform surface dispersion, spherical shape, and uniform sizes. The Pt content and coverage
11 at the TiO₂ surface could be tailored by performing sequential reduction steps. The ICP-OES
12 analyses indicated the presence of 20.1, 31.0, and 38.4 wt.% of Pt in the Pt/TiO₂-1, Pt/TiO₂-2,
13 and Pt/TiO₂-3 samples, respectively. Moreover, Pt NPs diameters calculated from the SEM
14 images corresponded to 11.9 ± 3.0 , 16.0 ± 2.2 , and 20.1 ± 2.1 nm (histograms on **Figure S3**),
15 respectively. HRTEM images for the Pt/TiO₂-1, Pt/TiO₂-2, and Pt/TiO₂-3 samples (**Figure 1D-F**,
16 respectively) revealed that the individual Pt NPs were comprised of aggregates made up of
17 individual, smaller Pt NPs measuring about 3 nm in size (estimated based on TEM images).
18 While a lower Pt coverage at the TiO₂ surface can be observed for both Pt/TiO₂-1 and Pt/TiO₂-
19 2 samples (**Figure 1D and E**), the highest coverage was detected in the Pt/TiO₂-3 material
20 (**Figure 1F**).

1 After the syntheses, the Pt/TiO₂-1, Pt/TiO₂-2, and Pt/TiO₂-3 samples were
2 incorporated onto Vulcan XC-72 Carbon (Cabot®) by wet impregnation. This led to Pt/TiO₂-
3 1/C, Pt/TiO₂-2/C, and Pt/TiO₂-3/C materials, respectively. In all cases, the Pt/TiO₂ loading
4 corresponded to 10 wt.%, reaching the overall Pt loading of 2.01, 3.10, and 3.84 wt.% for
5 Pt/TiO₂-1/C, Pt/TiO₂-2/C, and Pt/TiO₂-3/C, respectively. **Figure S4** shows the XRD profiles for
6 the Pt/TiO₂-1/C, Pt/TiO₂-2/C, and Pt/TiO₂-3/C materials. The diffractograms show the
7 characteristic reflections assigned to TiO₂ anatase, *fcc* Pt, and carbon. This indicates that our
8 wet impregnation approach was effective for the incorporation of Pt/TiO₂ onto the carbon
9 support.

10 In order to probe the generation of ORR reactive sites in the Pt/TiO₂-3/C materials as
11 a result of metal-support interactions, the samples were studied by XPS. **Figure 2A-D** shows
12 the Ti 2p, Pt 4f, C 1s, and O 1s core level XPS spectra along with their fitting and deconvoluted
13 curves, obtained for the Pt/TiO₂-1/C, Pt/TiO₂-2/C, and Pt/TiO₂-3/C materials. Data for Vulcan
14 XC-72 carbon is also shown for comparison. The corresponding XPS parameters obtained from
15 the spectra are shown in **Table 1** (for Ti, Pt, and O) and **2** (for C). The Ti 2p spectrum (**Figure**
16 **2A**) displayed doublet peaks at 459.4 eV and 465.1 eV assigned to Ti 2p_{3/2} and Ti 2p_{1/2},
17 respectively. The splitting width between the two peaks was 5.7 eV, indicating the presence
18 of only the Ti⁴⁺ chemical state.²³ Ti 2p peaks were slightly shifted towards higher binding
19 energies as compared to those in TiO₂, which indicates a change in the Ti chemical
20 environment possibly due to strong interactions with the Carbon support (such as the
21 formation of Ti-O-C bonds).²⁴

1 As shown in **Figure 2B**, the Pt 4f region revealed that the 4f_{7/2} and 4f_{5/2} spin-orbital
2 components had an asymmetric shape which is typical for Pt⁰.^{25,26} The peaks located at 71.5
3 and 74.9 eV can be assigned to Pt 4f_{7/2} and Pt 4f_{5/2} of Pt⁰, respectively. The slight shift towards
4 higher binding energy values compared to literature values (71.0 eV) is attributed to metal-
5 support interaction and to small Pt NPs sizes.^{26,27} This positive shift may also suggest meta-
6 support interactions between TiO₂ and Pt. This interaction can modify the electronic
7 properties of Pt by increasing the Pt d-vacancy via electronic donation to Lewis acid centers
8 such as Ti^{x+} at the Pt/TiO₂ interface.^{28–30}

9 Regarding the C 1s region, it was possible to deconvolute the C 1s spectrum into six
10 peaks (**Figure 2C**). The lowest binding energy and dominant peak at about 284.5 eV
11 corresponds to the graphitic carbon phase,³¹ while the peak at around 286.0 eV is assigned to
12 hydrocarbons (C-H) from defects on the graphitic structure.³² Three carbon-oxygen bonding
13 structures for the –C-OH, >C=O and –COOH can also be observed at approximately 287.5,
14 289.2 and 290.8 eV, respectively. The subpeak located at higher than 292.8 eV is related to π
15 → π* plasmonic excitation.³³ It is noteworthy that it has been established that acidic
16 oxygenated species contribute to the generation of H₂O₂ in the ORR.^{34,35} In our case, XPS data
17 indicate that the Pt/TiO₂-2/C sample presented the lowest amount of oxygenated species on
18 the surface (as seen on I_{oxy}/I_c depicted in **Table 2**). Therefore, it can be anticipated that this
19 material would generate the lowest amount of H₂O₂ during the reaction and lead to a higher
20 ORR current density relative to the other samples (Pt/TiO₂-1/C and Pt/TiO₂-3/C).²²

1 The O 1s core level peaks for the Pt/TiO₂/C materials are shown in **Figure 2D**. The O
2 1s peaks could be deconvoluted in three peaks. The first component (O_I) centered at 531 eV
3 was attributed to the lattice oxygen in the oxides and C=O functional groups. The second and
4 dominant component (O_{II}) located at 533 eV was attributed to chemisorbed oxygen species
5 (such as OH⁻) and functional C-O groups. The last component (O_{III}) with BE around 536 eV
6 were characteristic of adsorbed water.^{24,36}

7 After probing the metal-support interactions and generation of reactive surface
8 species by XPS, we investigated their electroactive areas by CO stripping as described in **Figure**
9 **S5**. The Pt/TiO₂/C materials presented lower onset potential for carbon monoxide oxidation
10 when compared to commercial Pt/C E-TEK, a behavior related to the interaction between Pt
11 and TiO₂. This interaction (as suggested by XPS data) decreases the adsorption of CO at the
12 metal surface facilitating the oxidation.³⁷ A main current density peak was detected between
13 0.76 and 0.80 V vs RHE (Reversible Hydrogen Electrode) for all materials, which is assigned to
14 the CO oxidation on the Pt sites interacting with sp³ disordered carbon.^{1,38} In addition, a
15 shoulder at lower potentials was observed (0.46 V vs RHE) for the Pt/TiO₂-3/C, which can be
16 assigned to the partial agglomeration of Pt NPs on the TiO₂ surface caused by the higher Pt
17 coverage in this material.³⁹ The ESA (electrochemical surface area) and ECSA (electrochemical
18 active surface area) of the materials calculated from the CO-stripping experiments are
19 depicted in **Table S1**.⁴⁰ It can be observed that the ECSA increased with the Pt loadings,
20 corresponding to 2.41, 9.78, and 25.2 m²g⁻¹ for Pt/TiO₂-1/C, Pt/TiO₂-2/C, and Pt/TiO₂-3/C
21 materials, respectively. Here, the Pt content in the samples increases in the order of Pt/TiO₂-

1 3/C > Pt/TiO₂-2/C > Pt/TiO₂-1/C (samples 1, 2, and 3 were prepared after successive Pt
2 deposition steps). Therefore, the Pt ECSA increases with the Pt loading in the Pt/TiO₂/C
3 samples. However, it is important to note that these ECSA values were significantly lower
4 as compared to Pt/C E-TEK (68.2 m²g⁻¹). This is probably due to the aggregation of the Pt NPs
5 on the TiO₂ surface as observed by HRTEM.

6 **Figure 3A-D** depicts the linear sweep voltammeteries (LSV) for the Pt/TiO₂-1/C (**Figure**
7 **3A**), Pt/TiO₂-2/C (**Figure 3B**), and Pt/TiO₂-3/C (**Figure 3C**) and Pt/C E-TEK (**Figure 3D**) materials
8 towards the ORR performed in O₂ saturated H₂SO₄ (0.5 mol L⁻¹) at different rotation rates
9 (from 100 rpm to 2500 rpm as indicated by the colors in the inset, **Figure 3A**). The detected
10 currents were normalized by the geometric surface areas of the electrodes (0.196 cm² for the
11 disk and 0.037 cm² for the ring) and presented as current densities. **Figure 3E-H** depicts the
12 disk currents for the ORR, respectively, using each electrocatalyst shown in **Figure 3A-D**.
13 These results show an increase in ring current density values (**Figure 3A-D**) on potentials lower
14 than 0.80 V vs RHE, which indicates the conversion of O₂ onto H₂O₂ as detected by its
15 oxidation on the ring electrode.⁴¹⁻⁴⁴ However, the disk current density (**Figure 3E-H**) is
16 lowered in potentials below 0.80 V, which indicates the formation of H₂O.

17 Additionally, LSV of the ORR on the disk indicates a mixed kinetic-diffusion control
18 region between potentials of 0.90 V and 0.60 V. This region is narrower under low rotations
19 and becomes larger at higher rotations. The region between 0.60 V and lower potentials is
20 assigned to the diffusional control region. This behavior is normally seen for Pt-based

1 electrocatalysts.⁴⁵ The disk's limiting current density increased gradually with the rotation
2 rate, as expected for a diffusional-controlled reaction.⁴⁶

3 The water production efficiency (and consequently, the number of exchanged
4 electrons per O₂ molecule) was calculated using the ring current densities using **Equation 1**
5 and **2**:⁴⁷

$$6 \quad p(H_2O) = 2 \times \frac{\frac{i_r}{N_c}}{i_d + \frac{i_r}{N_c}} \quad (1)$$

$$7 \quad n = 4 \times \frac{i_d}{i_d + \frac{i_r}{N_c}} \quad (2)$$

8 where N_c is the collection factor (experimentally determined as N_c= 0.37), i_d is the disk current,
9 i_r is the ring current. These values are listed in **Table 3**. A small amount of electrogenerated
10 hydrogen peroxide is observed from the ring current densities presented in **Figure 3**. When
11 comparing the limiting current densities of the ring and disk on the commercial material, we
12 can see that the ring current density is about 2500 times lower than the disk, confirming that
13 these electrodes produce mainly water (low hydrogen peroxide current). Hence, the number
14 of electrons is closer to 4.

15 Considering Pt as the standard electrocatalytic metal for ORR via 4 electrons and that
16 the experimental values obtained for Pt/C E-TEK were close to the theoretical ones (3.98
17 electrons 98.8% water), the number of electron and water percentages were compared in the
18 diffusional region for all materials. These values become lower when the Pt loading on TiO₂
19 increases, which could be assigned to the preferential 4-electron mechanism of Pt for the ORR.

20 From the ring curves (**Figure 3E-H**), two behavior changes are also noticed at 0.70 V
21 and 0.30 V (vs RHE), which were more significantly seen on the Pt/TiO₂-1/C material. It is

1 suggested that the ORR on the disk, and consequently the oxidation of H₂O₂ on the ring, occur
2 as a function of the applied potential. Our results suggest that there are probably two
3 mechanisms of formation of hydrogen peroxide, one at lower overpotentials and one at
4 higher overpotentials. In order to better understand the reaction mechanism, Tafel curves
5 were plotted from RDE data by the mass-transport correction of the current density measured
6 for rotating disk electrodes and are presented in **Figure 4** according to **Equation 3**:

7

$$8 \quad j_k = \frac{j_a * j}{j_a - j} \quad (3)$$

9

10 where j is the experimentally measured current density, j_d is the obtained diffusion-limited
11 current density, and j_k is the kinetic current density without the mass-transport effect. These
12 values were calculated using the current density normalized by geometric area. The Tafel
13 curves for the electrocatalysts presented two different regions, a behavior which is expected
14 for Pt-based catalysts. At lower overpotentials, all the electrocatalysts presented slopes
15 between -70 and -90 mV dec⁻¹, reaching the highest value on Pt/TiO₂-1/C (86.5 mV dec⁻¹),
16 which indicates that the mechanism of oxygen adsorption is similar to bulk Pt at 1.06 - 0.96 V
17 (vs RHE) potentials.^{14,18,48} However, at higher overpotentials, there is an increase on the slope
18 with the decrease in module of the Pt amount on the electrocatalyst from -124.7 mV dec⁻¹
19 (Pt/C E-TEK) to -135.2 mV dec⁻¹ (Pt/TiO₂-1/C).⁴⁹ This observation indicates that the current
20 densities are related to the oxygen adsorption energy on the electrocatalyst surface and that
21 the first electron transfer is the determining step of the ORR.¹⁶ These slope values were also

1 observed for other TiO₂-based electrocatalysts.^{14,16,49} When comparing the Tafel plot for all
2 the materials employed in this work, we can notice that the Pt/TiO₂-2/C material presented a
3 profile very similar to the Pt/C E-TEK material, even though it presents lower Pt content.

4 Previous studies have shown that high slopes on the Tafel plot are normally
5 associated with the rate determining step of the ORR, the O₂ adsorption onto the metal.⁵⁰
6 Tafel slopes normally increase on materials with lower Pt contents, which indicates that the
7 mechanism tends to involve a 2-electron reaction. This was also observed for our materials
8 when compared to the commercial Pt/C.⁵¹ According to Shinagawa *et al.*⁵⁰, the mechanism of
9 the ORR is based on three different surface covering species, which are responsible for the
10 slopes on the Tafel plot. At lower overpotentials, the theoretical slopes can vary from 40 to
11 120 mV dec⁻¹ depending on the adsorbed species contributing to the rate determining step.
12 As the slope lowers, it indicates there are more MOO⁻ and MOOH species contributing to the
13 rate-determining step of the reaction, while at higher slopes the rate determining step is
14 governed by MOO adsorption. Hence, while the reaction on the Pt/C E-TEK catalyst is
15 determined initially by MOO groups, the reaction also depended on the generation of MOO⁻
16 and MOOH groups in the Pt/TiO₂/C materials. On the other hand, at higher overpotentials,
17 the Tafel slope is normally ≥ 120 mV dec⁻¹, which indicates that the MOO and MOOH species
18 are converting into MOO⁻ species. Thus, the detected higher slopes show that the conversion
19 starts in lower overpotentials and/or these catalysts already present a high number of MOO⁻
20 species on their surface. This figure also shows that while the change in slope occurred at
21 0.775 V for the Pt/C E-TEK material, this change was only seen at higher overpotentials for

1 the Pt/TiO₂/C materials. Moreover, based on DFT simulations,⁵² a strong interaction between
2 Pt and TiO₂ is presumed due to the overlapping of the TiO₂ bonding orbitals with the Pt d-
3 orbital. These calculations also indicate a strong interaction between Ti and Pt that could
4 result in a negative overall net charge in this structure which could strengthen the Pt-O_{ads}
5 bond. Therefore, the desorption process could become slow in the high overpotential region,
6 thus increasing the Tafel plot as observed in our data.

7 In order to better compare the relative ORR electrocatalytic activity of our materials, the
8 ORR linear scanning voltammeteries at 1600 rpm rotation rate were plotted as shown in **Figure**
9 **5A**. In addition, the electrocatalytic activity normalized by geometric mass (left-hand side)
10 and Pt mass on the electrode (right-hand side) at 0.80 V (vs RHE) are shown in **Figure 5B**. The
11 Pt/C E-TEK material presented a more positive onset potential for the ORR (0.89 V) followed
12 by Pt/TiO₂-2/C (0.88 V), Pt/TiO₂-3/C (0.84 V), and Pt/TiO₂-1/C (0.82 V). Here, it is important to
13 note that the Pt/TiO₂/C materials have less than half Pt content in their composition
14 compared to the commercial electrocatalyst. In agreement with the ORR onset potential, the
15 number of transferred electrons follows the trend: Pt/C E-TEK > Pt/TiO₂-2/C > Pt/TiO₂-3/C >
16 Pt/TiO₂-1/C, indicating that the reaction is kinetically favorable in this order.

17 Interestingly, even though the electrodes covered by Pt/TiO₂/C hybrids have Pt
18 loadings that range from threefold to fivefold lower than the one containing Pt/C E-TEK, they
19 still present similar limiting diffusional current densities.⁵³ This led to much higher activities
20 when the data is normalized by Pt mass in **Figure 5B**. Specifically, the Pt/TiO₂-2/C material
21 displayed a starting reduction potential close to Pt/C E-TEK (0.89 V and 0.88 V vs RHE,

1 respectively). The higher activity of the Pt/TiO₂-2/C material could be attributed to its lowest
2 number of acidic oxygenated species at the surface as determined by XPS. These sites
3 decrease the H₂O₂ formation thus enhancing the water production and the current on the
4 disk relative to the other materials²². This material also displays less Pt NPs aggregation at the
5 surface as compared to Pt/TiO₂-3/C (**Figure 1**). It is noteworthy that the Pt/TiO₂-1/C sample
6 presented the highest H₂O₂ production, which can also be attributed to the higher exposure
7 of anatase TiO₂ at the surface as a result of lower Pt coverage.^{22,54}

8 Our data indicate that the control over the Pt NPs coverage at the TiO₂ surface
9 significantly influences their electrocatalytic activities towards the ORR. In this case, rather
10 than an increase in activity with the Pt loading, a volcano-type relationship was observed, in
11 which the sample produced by two Pt deposition steps (Pt/TiO₂-2/C) displayed the highest
12 activities due to the equilibrium between optimum Pt loading/surface coverage and the
13 presence of surface reactive sites as enabled by metal-support interactions.

14 We also evaluated the stability of the Pt/TiO₂-2/C material relative to Pt/C E-TEK by
15 performing accelerated stress tests (AST) as shown in **Figure 6**. The initial and final ORR
16 polarization curves are depicted as solid and dashed traces, respectively, in **Figure 6A**. The
17 AST curves for the Pt/C E-TEK and Pt/TiO₂-2/C are shown in black and red, respectively. It can
18 be observed that a decrease in activity was detected for both materials after the tests.
19 However, when comparing their half-wave potential before and after the AST, E_{1/2}, (**Figure**
20 **6B**), a more significant decrease is detected for Pt/C E-TEK, from 0.747 to 0.646 V vs RHE
21 compared to Pt/TiO₂-2/C, which corresponded from 0.727 to 0.697 V vs RHE. When

1 comparing half-wave potentials, shifts to smaller regions indicate an activity loss, thus, since
2 for the commercial materials the shift is higher (approximately 0.31 V vs RHE) when compared
3 to Pt/TiO₂-2/C (approximately 0.24 V vs RHE). Moreover, after the AST, the number of
4 electrons involved in the reactions and water percentages lowered for both materials, as seen
5 in **Table S2**. While the mean electron number was of 3.94 and 3.92 on the Pt/TiO₂-2/C and
6 Pt/C E-TEK, they produced 97.1 and 96.1% of water, respectively. This further shows the low
7 loss of overall activity of Pt/TiO₂-2/C compared to the Pt/C E-TEK.

8 In addition to metal-support interactions, the utilization of TiO₂ as support also
9 enables the harvesting of its photocatalytic properties to further improve activities. Therefore,
10 the influence of UV irradiation on the electrocatalytic activity of the Pt/TiO₂-2/C
11 electrocatalyst was also evaluated. The effect of light irradiation was probed in
12 chronoamperometry experiments performed at 0.51 V (vs RHE) as shown in **Figure S6A** and
13 the activity towards the ORR by linear scanning voltammogram as shown in **Figure S6B**
14 (rotation rate of 1600 rpm in O₂ saturated 0.5 mol L⁻¹ H₂SO₄ solution). Here, the activity under
15 UV excitation was compared with its activity in the absence of UV excitation. **Figure S6A**
16 shows a chronoamperometric assay while applying to the electrode UV light pulses of about
17 150 seconds. It was observed that under UV light excitation the current density increased in
18 about 4 mA cm⁻² due to the light excitation. The voltammograms on **Figure S6B** revealed a
19 slight shift to more positive potentials (~30 mV) and an increase of 11.3% on the limiting
20 diffusion current density under UV-excitation. This indicates that the utilization that TiO₂
21 based electrocatalysts and UV light excitation can be employed to further improve the

1 electrocatalytic activities towards the ORR. It is anticipated that further optimizations can lead
2 to further improvements both in the photoelectrocatalytic activities and in the use of visible
3 light (instead of UV) by TiO₂ doping or use Au NPs (harvesting of plasmonic effects).

4

5 **Conclusions**

6

7 We investigated herein how Pt surface coverage in hybrid materials comprised of Pt
8 NPs supported on TiO₂ colloidal spheres (Pt/TiO₂) influence their electrocatalytic activities
9 towards the ORR. It was demonstrated that, by employing TiO₂ colloidal spheres as physical
10 templates, the uniform deposition of monodisperse and spherical Pt NPs ~3 nm could be
11 achieved. The Pt coverage could be tuned as a function of the deposition steps. After
12 incorporating the Pt/TiO₂ materials into Vulcan XC72 Carbon (to produce Pt/TiO₂/C materials),
13 the electrocatalytic activities towards the ORR as well as the reaction and enhancement
14 mechanisms were investigated. Our results demonstrated that the control over the Pt
15 coverage at the surface plays a pivotal role over the optimization of activities, in which a
16 relationship among Pt content at the TiO₂ surface, the generation of surface reactive sites as
17 a result of metal-support interactions, and ORR performance were unraveled. More
18 specifically, the material produced from two Pt deposition steps displayed the highest activity,
19 which was also superior as compared to commercial Pt/C E-TEK even at lower Pt loadings
20 (between 2.0 and 4.0 wt.% of Pt on the final material). The variations in catalytic activity could
21 be explained by the presence and concentration of surface reactive groups, such as adsorbed

1 oxygenated species as a function of the Pt coverage. Moreover, the presence of TiO₂ as
2 support enabled increased stability relative to Pt/C ETEK. We believe that improvements on
3 the Pt/TiO₂/C interface may allow for the reduction on the voltage loss relative to Pt/C
4 systems and thus further optimizations in performance. These results illustrate that the
5 understanding of the electrocatalytic enhancement mechanism together with the controlled
6 synthesis of Pt-based nanomaterials can lead to tailored surface properties and
7 electrocatalytic activities.

8

9 **Supporting Information.** SEM and HRTEM images, schematics of the synthesis, histograms of
10 size distribution, DRX patterns, CO-stripping and CVs, photoelectrocatalytic experiments, ESA
11 and ESCA values, and RRDE data obtained after the AST tests.

12

13 **Acknowledgments**

14 This work was supported by FAPESP (grant numbers 2015/21366-9, 2015/26308-7,
15 2017/21846-6) and the Serrapilheira Institute (Grant Serra-1709-16900). This study was
16 financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior – Brazil
17 (CAPES) – Finance Code 001. P.H.C.C and M.C.S. thank the CNPq for the research fellowships.
18 E.C.M.B. and L. S. P. thanks FAPESP for the fellowship (grant numbers 2015/11452-5 and
19 2016/00819-8, respectively). We also thank the Brazilian Synchrotron Light Laboratory (LNLS,
20 CNPEM) for XPS analysis.

21

1 **References**

2

3 (1) Estudillo-Wong, L. A.; Luo, Y.; Díaz-Real, J. A.; Alonso-Vante, N. Enhanced Oxygen
4 Reduction Reaction Stability on Platinum Nanoparticles Photo-Deposited onto Oxide-
5 Carbon Composites. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2016**, *187*, 291–300.

6 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2016.01.030>.

7 (2) ten Elshof, J. E.; Yuan, H.; Gonzalez Rodriguez, P. Two-Dimensional Metal Oxide and
8 Metal Hydroxide Nanosheets: Synthesis, Controlled Assembly and Applications in
9 Energy Conversion and Storage. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2016**, *6* (23), 1600355.

10 <https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201600355>.

11 (3) Mistry, H.; Varela, A. S.; Kühn, S.; Strasser, P.; Cuenya, B. R. Nanostructured
12 Electrocatalysts with Tunable Activity and Selectivity. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **2016**, 16009.

13 <https://doi.org/10.1038/natrevmats.2016.9>.

14 (4) Kleijn, S. E. F.; Lai, S. C. S.; Koper, M. T. M.; Unwin, P. R. Electrochemistry of
15 Nanoparticles. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53* (14), 3558–3586.

16 <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201306828>.

17 (5) Cheng, X.; Li, Y.; Zheng, L.; Yan, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, G.; Sun, S.; Zhang, J. Highly Active,
18 Stable Oxidized Platinum Clusters as Electrocatalysts for the Hydrogen Evolution
19 Reaction. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2017**, *10* (11), 2450–2458.

20 <https://doi.org/10.1039/C7EE02537H>.

21 (6) Shao, M.; Chang, Q.; Dodelet, J.-P.; Chenitz, R. Recent Advances in Electrocatalysts for

- 1 Oxygen Reduction Reaction. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116* (6), 3594–3657.
- 2 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00462>.
- 3 (7) Li, Y.; Hart, J. L.; Taheri, M. L.; Snyder, J. D. Morphological Instability in Topologically
4 Complex, Three-Dimensional Electrocatalytic Nanostructures. *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7* (11),
5 7995–8005. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.7b02398>.
- 6 (8) Huang, Z. F.; Wang, J.; Peng, Y.; Jung, C. Y.; Fisher, A.; Wang, X. Design of Efficient
7 Bifunctional Oxygen Reduction/Evolution Electrocatalyst: Recent Advances and
8 Perspectives. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2017**, *1700544*, 1–21.
9 <https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201700544>.
- 10 (9) Liu, J.; Jiao, M.; Lu, L.; Barkholtz, H. M.; Li, Y.; Wang, Y.; Jiang, L.; Wu, Z.; Liu, D.;
11 Zhuang, L.; Ma, C.; Zeng, J.; Zhang, B.; Su, D.; Song, P.; Xing, W.; Xu, W.; Wang, Y.;
12 Jiang, Z.; Sun, G. High Performance Platinum Single Atom Electrocatalyst for Oxygen
13 Reduction Reaction. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8* (1), 15938.
14 <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15938>.
- 15 (10) Matsubu, J. C.; Zhang, S.; DeRita, L.; Marinkovic, N. S.; Chen, J. G.; Graham, G. W.;
16 Pan, X.; Christopher, P. Adsorbate-Mediated Strong Metal–support Interactions in
17 Oxide-Supported Rh Catalysts. *Nat. Chem.* **2016**, *9* (2), 120–127.
18 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.2607>.
- 19 (11) Xu, M.; He, S.; Chen, H.; Cui, G.; Zheng, L.; Wang, B.; Wei, M. TiO₂-x-Modified Ni
20 Nanocatalyst with Tunable Metal–Support Interaction for Water–Gas Shift Reaction.
21 *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7* (11), 7600–7609. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.7b01951>.

- 1 (12) Cao, M.; Tang, Z.; Liu, Q.; Xu, Y.; Chen, M.; Lin, H.; Li, Y.; Gross, E.; Zhang, Q. The
2 Synergy between Metal Facet and Oxide Support Facet for Enhanced Catalytic
3 Performance: The Case of Pd–TiO₂. *Nano Lett.* **2016**, *16* (8), 5298–5302.
4 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.6b02662>.
- 5 (13) El-Sawy, A. M.; Mosa, I. M.; Su, D.; Guild, C. J.; Khalid, S.; Joesten, R.; Rusling, J. F.;
6 Suib, S. L. Controlling the Active Sites of Sulfur-Doped Carbon Nanotube-Graphene
7 Nanolobes for Highly Efficient Oxygen Evolution and Reduction Catalysis. *Adv. Energy*
8 *Mater.* **2016**, *6* (5), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201501966>.
- 9 (14) Ruiz-Camacho, B.; Valenzuela, M. A.; González-Huerta, R. G.; Suarez-Alcantara, K.;
10 Canton, S. E.; Pola-Albores, F. Electrochemical and XAS Investigation of Oxygen
11 Reduction Reaction on Pt-TiO₂-C Catalysts. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2013**, *38* (28),
12 12648–12656. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.01.002>.
- 13 (15) Riese, A.; Banham, D.; Ye, S.; Sun, X. Accelerated Stress Testing by Rotating Disk
14 Electrode for Carbon Corrosion in Fuel Cell Catalyst Supports. *J. Electrochem. Soc.*
15 **2015**, *162* (7), F783–F788. <https://doi.org/10.1149/2.0911507jes>.
- 16 (16) Kim, J. H.; Kwon, G.; Lim, H.; Zhu, C.; You, H.; Kim, Y. T. Effects of Transition Metal
17 Doping in Pt/M-TiO₂ (M = V, Cr, and Nb) on Oxygen Reduction Reaction Activity. *J.*
18 *Power Sources* **2016**, *320*, 188–195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2016.04.019>.
- 19 (17) Jia, C.; Yang, P.; Chen, H.-S.; Wang, J. Template-Free Synthesis of Mesoporous
20 Anatase Titania Hollow Spheres and Their Enhanced Photocatalysis. *CrystEngComm*
21 **2015**, *17* (15), 2940–2948. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CE02358G>.

- 1 (18) Ruiz Camacho, B.; Morais, C.; Valenzuela, M. A.; Alonso-Vante, N. Enhancing Oxygen
2 Reduction Reaction Activity and Stability of Platinum via Oxide-Carbon Composites.
3 *Catal. Today* **2013**, *202* (1), 36–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2012.03.033>.
- 4 (19) Damato, T. C.; de Oliveira, C. C. S.; Ando, R. A.; Camargo, P. H. C. A Facile Approach to
5 TiO₂ Colloidal Spheres Decorated with Au Nanoparticles Displaying Well-Defined Sizes
6 and Uniform Dispersion. *Langmuir* **2013**, *29* (5), 1642–1649.
- 7 (20) Cheng, Y.; Guo, J.; Liu, X.; Sun, A.; Xu, G.; Cui, P. Preparation of Uniform Titania
8 Microspheres with Good Electrorheological Performance and Their Size Effect. *J.*
9 *Mater. Chem.* **2011**, *21* (13), 5051. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c0jm03378b>.
- 10 (21) Nassr, A. B. A. A.; Sinev, I.; Grünert, W.; Bron, M. PtNi Supported on Oxygen
11 Functionalized Carbon Nanotubes: In Depth Structural Characterization and Activity
12 for Methanol Electrooxidation. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2013**, *142–143*, 849–860.
13 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2013.06.013>.
- 14 (22) dos Reis, F. V. E.; Antonin, V. S.; Hammer, P.; Santos, M. C.; Camargo, P. H. C. Carbon-
15 Supported TiO₂-Au Hybrids as Catalysts for the Electrogeneration of Hydrogen
16 Peroxide: Investigating the Effect of TiO₂ Shape. *J. Catal.* **2015**, *326*, 100–106.
- 17 (23) Liu, C.; Tong, R.; Xu, Z.; Kuang, Q.; Xie, Z.; Zheng, L. Efficiently Enhancing the
18 Photocatalytic Activity of Faceted TiO₂ Nanocrystals by Selectively Loading α -Fe₂O₃
19 and Pt Co-Catalysts. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6* (35), 29794–29801.
20 <https://doi.org/10.1039/C6RA04552A>.
- 21 (24) Wang, P.; Zhan, S.; Xia, Y.; Ma, S.; Zhou, Q.; Li, Y. The Fundamental Role and

- 1 Mechanism of Reduced Graphene Oxide in RGO/Pt-TiO₂ Nanocomposite for High-
2 Performance Photocatalytic Water Splitting. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2017**, *207*, 335–
3 346. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.02.031>.
- 4 (25) Lian, Z.; Wang, W.; Li, G.; Tian, F.; Schanze, K. S.; Li, H. Pt-Enhanced Mesoporous
5 Ti₃+/TiO₂ with Rapid Bulk to Surface Electron Transfer for Photocatalytic Hydrogen
6 Evolution. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2017**, *9* (20), 16959–16966.
7 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.6b11494>.
- 8 (26) Dablemont, C.; Lang, P.; Mangeney, C.; Piquemal, J. Y.; Petkov, V.; Herbst, F.; Viau, G.
9 FTIR and XPS Study of Pt Nanoparticle Functionalization and Interaction with Alumina.
10 *Langmuir* **2008**, *24* (11), 5832–5841. <https://doi.org/10.1021/la7028643>.
- 11 (27) Kobayashi, H.; Teranishi, M.; Negishi, R.; Naya, S.; Tada, H. Reaction Mechanism of
12 the Multiple-Electron Oxygen Reduction Reaction on the Surfaces of Gold and
13 Platinum Nanoparticles Loaded on Titanium(IV) Oxide. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2016**, *7*
14 (24), 5002–5007. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcllett.6b02026>.
- 15 (28) Bedolla-Valdez, Z. I.; Verde-Gómez, Y.; Valenzuela-Muñiz, A. M.; Gochi-Ponce, Y.;
16 Oropeza-Guzmán, M. T.; Berhault, G.; Alonso-Núñez, G. Sonochemical Synthesis and
17 Characterization of Pt/CNT, Pt/TiO₂, and Pt/CNT/TiO₂ Electrocatalysts for Methanol
18 Electro-Oxidation. *Electrochim. Acta* **2015**, *186*, 76–84.
19 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2015.10.084>.
- 20 (29) Xia, B. Y.; Wang, B.; Wu, H. Bin; Liu, Z.; Wang, X.; Lou, X. W. Sandwich-Structured
21 TiO₂–Pt–graphene Ternary Hybrid Electrocatalysts with High Efficiency and Stability.

- 1 *J. Mater. Chem.* **2012**, 22 (32), 16499. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c2jm32816j>.
- 2 (30) Qin, Y.-H.; Li, Y.; Lv, R.-L.; Wang, T.-L.; Wang, W.-G.; Wang, C.-W. Enhanced Methanol
3 Oxidation Activity and Stability of Pt Particles Anchored on Carbon-Doped TiO₂
4 Nanocoating Support. *J. Power Sources* **2015**, 278, 639–644.
5 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2014.12.096>.
- 6 (31) Wu, G.; Hu, Y.; Liu, Y.; Zhao, J.; Chen, X.; Whoehling, V.; Plesse, C.; Nguyen, G. T. M.;
7 Vidal, F.; Chen, W. Graphitic Carbon Nitride Nanosheet Electrode-Based High-
8 Performance Ionic Actuator. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, 6, 7258.
9 <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8258>.
- 10 (32) Zhou, J.-H.; Sui, Z.-J.; Zhu, J.; Li, P.; Chen, D.; Dai, Y.-C.; Yuan, W.-K. Characterization of
11 Surface Oxygen Complexes on Carbon Nanofibers by TPD, XPS and FT-IR. *Carbon N. Y.*
12 **2007**, 45 (4), 785–796. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2006.11.019>.
- 13 (33) Ganguly, A.; Sharma, S.; Papakonstantinou, P.; Hamilton, J. Probing the Thermal
14 Deoxygenation of Graphene Oxide Using High-Resolution In Situ X-Ray-Based
15 Spectroscopies. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2011**, 115 (34), 17009–17019.
16 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp203741y>.
- 17 (34) Assumpção, M. H. M. T.; De Souza, R. F. B.; Rascio, D. C.; Silva, J. C. M.; Calegari, M.
18 L.; Gaubeur, I.; Paixão, T. R. L. C.; Hammer, P.; Lanza, M. R. V; Santos, M. C. A
19 Comparative Study of the Electrogenation of Hydrogen Peroxide Using Vulcan and
20 Printex Carbon Supports. *Carbon N. Y.* **2011**, 49 (8), 2842–2851.
21 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2011.03.014>.


- 1 (35) Assumpção, M. H. M. T.; Moraes, A.; De Souza, R. F. B.; Calegari, M. L.; Lanza, M. R.
2 V.; Leite, E. R.; Cordeiro, M. A. L.; Hammer, P.; Santos, M. C. Influence of the
3 Preparation Method and the Support on H₂O₂ Electrogenation Using Cerium Oxide
4 Nanoparticles. *Electrochim. Acta* **2013**, *111*, 339–343.
5 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2013.07.187>.
- 6 (36) Salgado, J. R. C.; Paganin, V. A.; Gonzalez, E. R.; Montemor, M. F.; Tacchini, I.; Ansón,
7 A.; Salvador, M. A.; Ferreira, P.; Figueiredo, F. M. L.; Ferreira, M. G. S. Characterization
8 and Performance Evaluation of Pt-Ru Electrocatalysts Supported on Different Carbon
9 Materials for Direct Methanol Fuel Cells. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2013**, *38* (2), 910–
10 920. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.10.079>.
- 11 (37) Lewera, A.; Timperman, L.; Roguska, A.; Alonso-Vante, N. Metal–Support Interactions
12 between Nanosized Pt and Metal Oxides (WO₃ and TiO₂) Studied Using X-Ray
13 Photoelectron Spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2011**, *115* (41), 20153–20159.
14 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp2068446>.
- 15 (38) Ma, J.; Habrioux, A.; Morais, C.; Lewera, A.; Vogel, W.; Verde-Gómez, Y.; Ramos-
16 Sanchez, G.; Balbuena, P. B.; Alonso-Vante, N. Spectroelectrochemical Probing of the
17 Strong Interaction between Platinum Nanoparticles and Graphitic Domains of Carbon.
18 *ACS Catal.* **2013**, *3* (9), 1940–1950. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cs4003222>.
- 19 (39) López-Cudero, A.; Solla-Gullón, J.; Herrero, E.; Aldaz, A.; Feliu, J. M. CO
20 Electrooxidation on Carbon Supported Platinum Nanoparticles: Effect of Aggregation.
21 *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **2010**, *644* (2), 117–126.

- 1 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2009.06.016>.
- 2 (40) Zheng, Y.; Chen, H.; Dai, Y.; Zhang, N.; Zhao, W.; Wang, S.; Lou, Y.; Li, Y.; Sun, Y.
3 Preparation and Characterization of Pt/TiO₂ Nanofibers Catalysts for Methanol
4 Electro-Oxidation. *Electrochim. Acta* **2015**, *178*, 74–79.
5 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2015.07.177>.
- 6 (41) Lima, F. H. B.; Lizcano-Valbuena, W. H.; Teixeira-Neto, E.; Nart, F. C.; Gonzalez, E. R.;
7 Ticianelli, E. A. Pt-Co/C Nanoparticles as Electrocatalysts for Oxygen Reduction in
8 H₂SO₄ and H₂SO₄/CH₃OH Electrolytes. *Electrochim. Acta* **2006**, *52* (2), 385–393.
9 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2006.05.019>.
- 10 (42) Ruiz-Camacho, B.; Martínez-Álvarez, O.; Rodríguez-Santoyo, H. H.; Granados-Alejo, V.
11 Pt/C and Pt/TiO₂-C Electrocatalysts Prepared by Chemical Vapor Deposition with
12 High Tolerance to Alcohols in Oxygen Reduction Reaction. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **2014**,
13 *725*, 19–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2014.04.019>.
- 14 (43) Ferrero, G. A.; Preuss, K.; Marinovic, A.; Jorge, A. B.; Mansor, N.; Brett, D. J. L.;
15 Fuertes, A. B.; Sevilla, M.; Titirici, M.-M. Fe-N-Doped Carbon Capsules with
16 Outstanding Electrochemical Performance and Stability for the Oxygen Reduction
17 Reaction in Both Acid and Alkaline Conditions. *ACS Nano* **2016**, *10* (6), 5922–5932.
18 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.6b01247>.
- 19 (44) Shih, Y.-H.; Sagar, G. V.; Lin, S. D. Effect of Electrode Pt Loading on the Oxygen
20 Reduction Reaction Evaluated by Rotating Disk Electrode and Its Implication on the
21 Reaction Kinetics. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2008**, *112* (1), 123–130.


- 1 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp071807h>.
- 2 (45) Santos, L. G. R. A.; Freitas, K. S.; Ticianelli, E. A. Heat Treatment Effect of Pt–V/C and
3 Pt/C on the Kinetics of the Oxygen Reduction Reaction in Acid Media. *Electrochim.*
4 *Acta* **2009**, *54* (22), 5246–5251. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2009.03.078>.
- 5 (46) Demarconnay, L.; Coutanceau, C.; Léger, J.-M. Study of the Oxygen Electroreduction
6 at Nanostructured PtBi Catalysts in Alkaline Medium. *Electrochim. Acta* **2008**, *53* (8),
7 3232–3241. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2007.07.006>.
- 8 (47) Zhou, R.; Zheng, Y.; Jaroniec, M.; Qiao, S.-Z. Determination of the Electron Transfer
9 Number for the Oxygen Reduction Reaction: From Theory to Experiment. *ACS Catal.*
10 **2016**, *6* (7), 4720–4728. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.6b01581>.
- 11 (48) Tiido, K.; Alexeyeva, N.; Couillard, M.; Bock, C.; MacDougall, B. R.; Tammeveski, K.
12 Graphene-TiO₂ Composite Supported Pt Electrocatalyst for Oxygen Reduction
13 Reaction. *Electrochim. Acta* **2013**, *107*, 509–517.
14 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2013.05.155>.
- 15 (49) Tammeveski, K. The Reduction of Oxygen on Pt-TiO₂ Coated Ti Electrodes in Alkaline
16 Solution. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1999**, *146* (2), 669. <https://doi.org/10.1149/1.1391660>.
- 17 (50) Shinagawa, T.; Garcia-Esparza, A. T.; Takanabe, K. Insight on Tafel Slopes from a
18 Microkinetic Analysis of Aqueous Electrocatalysis for Energy Conversion. *Sci. Rep.*
19 **2015**, *5* (August), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep13801>.
- 20 (51) Elezovic, N. R.; Babic, B. M.; Radmilovic, V. R.; Vracar, L. M.; Krstajic, N. V. Nb-
21 TiO₂supported Platinum Nanocatalyst for Oxygen Reduction Reaction in Alkaline

- 1 Solutions. *Electrochim. Acta* **2011**, *56* (25), 9020–9026.
- 2 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2011.04.075>.
- 3 (52) Li, L.; Wei, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Qi, X.; Xia, M.; Zhang, J.; Shao, Z.; Sun, C. DFT Study of
4 Difference Caused by Catalyst Supports in Pt and Pd Catalysis of Oxygen Reduction
5 Reaction. *Sci. China Ser. B Chem.* **2009**, *52* (5), 571–578.
6 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11426-009-0006-2>.
- 7 (53) Huang, K.; Sasaki, K.; Adzic, R. R.; Xing, Y. Increasing Pt Oxygen Reduction Reaction
8 Activity and Durability with a Carbon-Doped TiO₂ Nanocoating Catalyst Support. *J.*
9 *Mater. Chem.* **2012**, *22* (33), 16824. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c2jm32234j>.
- 10 (54) Shanmugam, S.; Gedanken, A. Carbon-Coated Anatase TiO₂ Nanocomposite as a
11 High-Performance Electrocatalyst Support. *Small* **2007**, *3* (7), 1189–1193.
12 <https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.200600636>.

13




1
2 **Figure 1.** SEM (A-C) and TEM (D-F) images of Pt NPs supported at the surface of TiO₂ colloidal
3 spheres (Pt/TiO₂) after one (A, D), two (B, E), and three (C, F) deposition steps. The scale bars
4 in the insets correspond to 50 nm.




1
 2 **Figure 2.** Deconvoluted X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) of the Ti 2p (A), Pt 4f (B), C 1s (C),
 3 and O 1s (D) core levels for Pt/TiO₂-1/C, Pt/TiO₂-2/C, Pt/TiO₂-3/C, Vulcan XC-72 carbon (top to
 4 bottom traces, respectively).

5



1
 2 **Figure 3.** Rotating ring (A-D) and rotating disk (E-H) voltammograms for the ORR employing
 3 Pt/TiO₂-1/C (A, E), Pt/TiO₂-2/C (B, F), Pt/TiO₂-3/C (C, G), and Pt/C E-TEK (D, H) as
 4 electrocatalysts. These experiments were performed in O₂ saturated 0.5 mol L⁻¹ H₂SO₄ with a
 5 sweep rate of 10 mVs⁻¹ at different rotation rates (as indicated in the insets) and 273 K.

6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14



1
 2 **Figure 4.** Tafel plots for Pt/TiO₂-1/C (green trace), Pt/TiO₂-2/C (red trace), Pt/TiO₂-3/C (blue
 3 trace) and Pt/C E-TEK (black trace). The data was normalized by the geometric area of the
 4 electrode and calculated from their corresponding rotating disk electrode values at 1600 rpm.
 5




Figure 5. (A) Comparison of rotating disk linear scanning voltammetry for the ORR employing Pt/TiO₂-1/C (green trace), Pt/TiO₂-2/C (red trace), Pt/TiO₂-3/C (blue trace) and Pt/C E-TEK (black trace) as electrocatalysts. The ORR was measured in O₂ saturated 0.5 mol L⁻¹ H₂SO₄ solution at a rotation rate of 1600 rpm and T = 273 K. (B) Left panel: current densities at 0.8 V vs RHE for the materials shown in (A); Right panel: current densities divided by the Pt mass at 0.8 V vs RHE for the materials shown in (A).




Figure 6. (A) Comparison of ORR polarization curves for Pt/TiO₂-2/C (red trace) and Pt/C E-TEK (black trace) before (solid line) and after (dashed line) accelerated stress tests. The AST were performed using a 100 mV s^{-1} scanning rate and 1000 voltammetric cycles from 0.01 to 1.01 V vs RHE. ORR was performed in O₂ saturated $0.5 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ H}_2\text{SO}_4$ solution at a rotation rate of 1600 rpm. (B) Half-wave potential for each sample before and after the accelerated stress test. T = 273K.

Table 1. Binding energies and surface percentages measured by XPS.

Material	Binding energy (eV)				
	Pt 4f _{7/2}	Ti 2p _{3/2}	O 1s		
			O _I	O _{II}	O _{III}
Vulcan XC-72	n.d.	n.d.	530.9 (11)*	533.2 (80)	536.8 (9)
Pt/TiO ₂ -1/C	71.5	459.6	531.4 (34)	533.4 (58)	536.0 (8)
Pt/TiO ₂ -2/C	71.5	459.6	531.4 (32)	533.3 (60)	535.9 (8)
Pt/TiO ₂ -3/C	71.5	459.7	531.7 (28)	533.7 (65)	536.5 (7)

*Species surface percentage

Table 2. Binding energies, surface percentages, and oxygenated carbon/carbon ratios measured by XPS.

Material	Binding energy C 1s (eV)						Intensity (%)
	Peak I C-C	Peak II C-H (defects)	Peak III -C-OH	Peak IV >C=O	Peak V -COOH	Peak VI $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$	$I_{\text{Oxy}}/I_{\text{C}}^{**}$
Vulcan XC-72	284.5 (57)*	286.0 (21)	287.5 (10)	289.2 (6)	290.8 (4)	292.8 (2)	19
Pt/TiO₂-1/C	284.5 (55)	286.0 (23)	287.4 (10)	289.0 (6)	290.6 (4)	292.4 (2)	26
Pt/TiO₂-2/C	284.5 (57)	286.0 (22)	287.4 (10)	289.0 (6)	290.8 (4)	292.5 (1)	25
Pt/TiO₂-3/C	284.5 (54)	286.0 (24)	287.5 (11)	289.1 (6)	290.8 (4)	292.5 (1)	27

* Species surface percentage

**Intensity of three oxygen-containing functional groups (peaks III-V) in % of total C 1s area.

Table 3. Summary of the RRDE data obtained for the ORR employing Pt/TiO₂-1/C, Pt/TiO₂-2/C, Pt/TiO₂-3/C, and Pt/C-ETEK as electrocatalysts. *n* refers to the number of exchanged electrons and *p* the water proportion efficiency based on the ring and disk currents.⁴⁷

E vs RHE	Pt/TiO ₂ -1/C		Pt/TiO ₂ -2/C		Pt/TiO ₂ -3/C		Pt/C-ETEK	
	<i>n</i>	<i>p</i> (H ₂ O) %	<i>n</i>	<i>p</i> (H ₂ O) %	<i>n</i>	<i>p</i> (H ₂ O) %	<i>n</i>	<i>p</i> (H ₂ O) %
0.60	3.94	97.1	3.98	98.8	3.97	98.3	3.97	98.6
0.50	3.93	96.4	3.97	98.6	3.97	98.3	3.97	98.7
0.40	3.90	94.9	3.97	98.3	3.96	98.1	3.98	98.8
0.30	3.87	93.7	3.96	98.2	3.96	97.9	3.98	99.0
0.20	3.92	95.8	3.97	98.5	3.96	98.0	3.98	99.0
Mean	3.91	95.6	3.97	98.5	3.96	98.1	3.98	98.8

Table of Contents

