Effect of Methyl Group Substitution on the Kinetics of Vinyl Radical + O₂ Reaction

Satya P. Joshi, Timo T. Pekkanen, Raimo S. Timonen, Arkke J. Eskola^{*}

Department of Chemistry, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 55(A.I. Virtasen aukio 1), FI-00014,

Helsinki, Finland

*corresponding author: arkke.eskola@helsinki.fi

ORCID

Satya P. Joshi: Timo T. Pekkanen: Raimo S. Timonen: Arkke J. Eskola: 0000-0002-2249-2726

Abstract

The kinetics of $(CH_3)_2CCH + O_2$ (1) and $(CH_3)_2CCCH_3 + O_2$ (2) reactions have been measured as a function of temperature (223 – 600 K) at low pressures (0.4 – 2 Torr) using a tubular laminar flow reactor coupled to a photoionization mass spectrometer (PIMS). These reactions are important for accurate modeling of unsaturated hydrocarbon combustion. Photolysis of a brominated precursor by a pulsed excimer laser radiation at 248 nm wavelength along the flow reactor axis was used for the production of radicals. The measured bimolecular rate coefficient of reaction (1) shows a negative temperature dependence over the temperature range 223 – 384 K and becomes temperature independent at higher temperatures. The bimolecular rate coefficient of reaction (2) exhibits a

negative temperature dependence throughout the experimental temperature range. The bimolecular rate coefficients of reactions (1) and (2) are expected to be at the high-pressure-limit under the current experimental conditions and the following values are obtained at 298 K: $k_1(298 \text{ K}) = (4.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k_2(298 \text{ K}) = (8.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$. The observed products for reactions (1) and (2) were CH₃COCH₃ and CH₃ + CH₃COCH₃, respectively. Substituting both β -hydrogens in vinyl radical (CH₂CH) with methyl groups decreases the rate coefficient of CH₂CH + O₂ reaction by about 50 %. However, the rate coefficient of the triply substituted (CH₃)₂CCCH₃ radical reaction with O₂ is almost identical to the CH₂CH + O₂ rate coefficient under the covered temperature range.

Introduction

Unsaturated hydrocarbons, either as fuel constituents or combustion intermediates, play an important role in determining combustion properties of conventional fuels, such as octane number, ignition delay time, flame speed, soot formation propensity etc.. Their role can be expected to become even more important with the increasing use of bio-fuels in the transportation sector.¹⁻⁴ Resonancestabilized allylic radicals (R) are formed in high yields in abstraction reactions of weakly-bonded allylic hydrogen(s) from an unsaturated hydrocarbon and are less reactive towards O₂ compared to alkyl radicals. This is due to the combined effect of shallow RO₂ potential energy wells (e.g. ~18 kcal/mol for allyl⁵ compared to ~33 kcal/mol for ethyl⁶ radical) and high barrier(s) for further RO₂ isomerization,^{5,7} which means that the dominant loss pathway for the RO₂ adduct is dissociation back to reactants. Under these conditions, R + fuel and R + R reactions may become important and lead to incomplete combustion and soot formation. Vinyl-type radicals, on the other hand, are produced in lower yields than allyl-type radicals in hydrogen atom abstraction reactions from unsaturated hydrocarbons fuels because of stronger vinylic C-H bond strengths compared to the weaker allylic C-H bonds. However, vinyl-type radicals (R) react significantly faster with O₂ than allyl-type radicals under wide range of conditions, mainly due to significantly deeper RO₂ potential energy wells (~40 kcal/mol for vinyl^{8, 9} compared to ~18 kcal/mol for allyl⁵ radicals) and low barriers for further RO_2 isomerization to bimolecular products.^{5, 8, 9} Due to the high reactivity of vinyl-type radicals with O_2 , especially in comparison to allyl-type radicals, rigorous combustion models of unsaturated hydrocarbon fuels need accurate information on the kinetics and reactivity of vinyl-type radicals with O_2 .¹⁰⁻¹² Furthermore, sensitivity analyses performed on oxidation of unsaturated fuels typically show that the production of vinyl-type radicals increases fuel reactivity.¹¹ Hence, it is important to understand the oxidation kinetics of vinyl-type radicals to accurately model the combustion of unsaturated hydrocarbons.

Majority of the previous studies of vinyl-type radicals with molecular oxygen have been confined to the kinetics of reaction $CH_2CH + O_2$.¹³⁻²⁰ However, in our recent work we studied the kinetics of methyl-vinyl radicals with molecular oxygen and observed that substituting the α -hydrogen of vinyl radical with a methyl group increased reactivity towards O_2 , whereas substituting a β -hydrogen with a methyl group decreased reactivity towards O_2 .²¹ In this work, we have further investigated the reactivity trends by measuring the kinetics of 2,2-dimethylvinyl and 1,2,2-trimethylvinyl radicals with molecular oxygen as function of temperature.

$$(CH_3)_2CCH + O_2 \rightarrow Products$$
 (1)

$$(CH_3)_2CCCH_3 + O_2 \rightarrow Products$$
 (2)

Under combustion conditions 2,2-dimethylvinyl and 1,2,2-trimethylvinyl radicals can be formed, for example, in hydrogen atom abstraction reactions from isobutene and 2-methyl-2-butene, respectively. Reaction products were also sought for reactions (1) and (2). Reaction (1) is used to probe the effect of methyl group substitution to both the available β positions of the vinyl radical and reaction (2) is used to investigate the comparative net effect of methyl group substitution to all the available α and β positions. Scheme 1 shows the vinyl-type radicals under study in this work and in our previous work. We are not aware of any previous experimental kinetic study of reactions (1) and (2). To our best knowledge, current work is also the first study of (CH₃)₂CCCH and (CH₃)₂CCCH₃ radicals.



Scheme 1: The vinyl-type radicals produced when α (green) and β (red) hydrogens of vinyl radical are substituted with methyl groups. The kinetics of CH₃CCH₂ + O₂ and *cis/trans*-CH₃CHCH + O₂ reactions have been measured previously.²¹

Recent high-level calculations show that the addition of molecular oxygen to vinyl and methyl-vinyl radicals is a barrierless process,^{8, 9} in good agreement with results of direct kinetic measurements of these reactions, where negative temperature dependency is observed at low temperatures.^{20, 21} Consequently, reactions (1) and (2) are almost certainly barrierless reactions. Barrierless hydrocarbon radical reactions with molecular oxygen are ubiquitous in any combustion environment, but their accurate theoretical kinetic calculations are very demanding and the cost of such calculations increases steeply with system size (number of "heavy atoms"). For example, Goldsmith et al.⁸ calculated the energetics of the vinyl + O₂ system with the highly accurate ANL0 compound method, but this method is already too expensive for the slightly larger methyl-vinyl + O₂ system.⁹ Accurate rate calculations of barrierless reactions are quite challenging even for relatively small systems and become increasingly complicated as system size increases. For this reason, our direct kinetic experiments of reactions (1) and (2) also serve as an important reference for future calculations.

Experimental Section

A brominated precursor was photolyzed using 248 nm radiation from an excimer laser (ASX-750) operating at 5 Hz to produce the radical of interest (R), which then reacted with a known concentration

of oxygen (O_2 , Aga, 99.998% purity) present in a homogeneous gas-mixture consisting mainly of helium (He, Messer-Griesheim, 99.9996% purity) and flowing through the laminar flow reactor. Kinetics of the R + O₂ reactions were measured by detecting a temporal radical decay profile using a photoionization mass spectrometer (PIMS) coupled with the flow reactor. A detailed description of the PIMS apparatus has been explained previously,²⁰ and only the necessary details regarding the current measurements will be explained here.

(CH₃)₂CCH and (CH₃)₂CCCH₃ radicals were produced from 1-bromo-2-methyl-1-propene and 2bromo-3-methyl-2-butene precursors, respectively.

$$(CH_3)_2CCHBr + hv (248nm) \rightarrow (CH_3)_2CCH + Br$$
(P1)

 \rightarrow Other products

$$(CH_3)_2CC(Br)CH_3 + hv (248nm) \rightarrow (CH_3)_2CCH_3 + Br$$
(P2)

\rightarrow Other products

Experiments were conducted under the temperature and pressure range of 223 - 600 K and 0.4 - 2 Torr, respectively. Different reactor/coating combinations were used to minimize radical decay rate on reactor wall at different temperatures. A stainless steel reactor (i.d. = 1.7 cm) with halocarbon wax coating²² was used up to 363 K. At higher temperatures a quartz reactor (i.d. = 1.7 cm) with boric acid coating²³ or a Pyrex reactor (i.d. = 1.7 cm) with Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) coating was employed.²² Pyrex and quartz flow reactors were resistively heated and coupled with a PID-controlled heating system, producing temperature uniformity of about ± 3 K over current experimental conditions.

Photoionization of radical R in the kinetic experiments of reactions (1) and (2) was carried out using a Cl-lamp (Cl₂-helium mixture) with a BaF₂ window (8.9 eV) to produce (CH₃)₂CCH⁺ (m/z = 43) and (CH₃)₂CCCH₃⁺ (m/z = 69) ions. The products of reactions (1) and (2) were ionized using a H₂-lamp

with MgF₂ window (10.2 eV). Ions produced were selected according to their m/z ratio using a quadrupole mass-spectrometer and subsequent detection was carried-out using an off-axis electron multiplier. The ion signal was then amplified and discriminated before being sent to a PC for further analysis.

In addition to the reaction of primary interest, $R + O_2 \rightarrow$ Products, other processes which contribute to the radical decay rate in the reactor are heterogeneous wall decay k_{wall} and potentially also radical – radical and radical – precursor reactions to some small extent.

$$R \xrightarrow{k_{wall}} \text{Heterogeneous loss} \tag{A}$$

$$R + R \rightarrow Products$$
 (B)

The measurements were always started by first measuring k_{wall} , the R decay rate without added O₂. Any contribution due to reaction (B) was minimized by adjusting (reducing) the laser power and/or amount of precursor until the initial radical decay did not anymore depend on these parameters. Initial R concentrations were typically below 2×10^{11} cm⁻³. Once these conditions were met, radical R decay signals with different added [O₂] were typically collected from 10 ms before to 40 ms after each laser pulse using a multichannel scaler. Experiments were repeated 3000 – 9000 times while laser repetition rate was maintained at 5 Hz. Each radical decay profile was then fitted using an exponential function, $[R_t] = A + [R_0] \times exp(-k't)$. Here A is the signal background, k' is the pseudo-first-order decay rate coefficient, and $[R_t]$ is signal value that is proportional to temporal radical concentration.

Measurements were performed under pseudo-first-order conditions (i.e. $[R] \ll [O_2]$) and the $[R]/[O_2]$ ratio was estimated to be always below 0.04. By plotting the obtained pseudo-first-order decay rate coefficients k' as a linear function of molecular oxygen concentration $[O_2]$, the bimolecular rate coefficient of $R + O_2 \rightarrow$ Products reaction, $k(R + O_2)$, was obtained from the slope of the equation $k' = k_{wall} + k(R + O_2) \times [O_2]$ fitted to the data. As an additional check of the obtained data consistency, the measured k_{wall} were compared with the *y*-axis intercepts of the bimolecular plots. Freeze-pump-

thaw technique was used to remove any air from the 1-bromo-2-methyl-1-propene (Sigma-Aldrich, >98%) and 2-bromo-3-methyl-2-butene (Sigma-Aldrich, >96%) precursor samples before use.

Results and Discussion

Representative bimolecular plots for reaction (1) (CH₃)₂CCH + O₂ \rightarrow Products and reaction (2) (CH₃)₂CCCH₃ + O₂ \rightarrow Products are shown in the Figure 1. Also shown are examples of radical ion decay and product ion formation signals. Products formed in reactions (1) and (2) can be classified as either closed-shell (i.e. CH₃COCH₃) or open-shell (i.e. CH₃) products. Non-linear least squares fits of $I_t(P^+) = B + I_0(P^+)(1 - \exp(-k't))$ and $I_t(P^+) = B + I_0(P^+)\left(\frac{k'}{k'-k'_p}\right)\left(\exp(-k'_pt) - \exp(-k't)\right)$ expressions to the data were performed for closed-shell and open-shell products formation signals as shown in Figure 1 insets. Here parameter *B* is the background signal, $I_0(P^+)$ is the signal value at $t \rightarrow \infty$, corresponding to channel yield × [R]₀, k' is the pseudo-first-order decay for reactions (1) or (2) and k'_p (treated as an individual fitting parameter) is the pseudo-first-order rate coefficient associated with the reaction of open-shell species (*i.e.* CH₃) with O₂. The value of k' remains unaltered within 2σ -uncertainty if we treat it as an individual fitting parameter, showing observed product originates from the reaction of interest and that there are no secondary sources of the product.



Figure 1. The left and right hand side represent the bimolecular plots for reaction (1) $(CH_3)_2CCH + O_2$ (T = 344 K, p = 0.95 Torr) and (2) $(CH_3)_2CCCH_3 + O_2$ (T = 298 K, p = 1.60 Torr), respectively. Also shown are typical radical ion decay profile (top left corner of each plot) and product formation ion signal profiles (bottom right corner of each plot) measured under conditions shown as unfilled circles in plots (1) and (2), respectively. Uncertainties shown are 1σ .

The observed products were CH₃COCH₃ for reaction (1) and CH₃ and CH₃COCH₃ for reaction (2). Assuming reactions (1) and (2) have a similar mechanism to the C₂H₃ + O₂ \rightarrow HCO + H₂CO reaction, one would expect to observe HCO + CH₃COCH₃ and CH₃CO + CH₃COCH₃ products for reactions (1) and (2), respectively. A signal was observed at nominal m/z = 29 for reaction (1). Unfortunately, a significant portion of this signal originated, most likely, from dissociative ionization of (CH₃)₂CCH radical to C₂H₅⁺ cation (m/z (C₂H₅) = 29.03913) when a H₂ lamp with an MgF₂ window was employed. This prevented us to unambiguously detect HCO (m/z (HCO) = 29.00275) as a product of reaction (1). Also, no CH₃CO was observed for reaction (2). The most likely explanation for the absence of CH₃CO and the presence of CH₃ as product of reaction (2) with the correct time-behavior is that CH₃CO is formed with enough excess energy to undergo chemically-activated unimolecular decomposition to CH₃ and CO before stabilization under current low-pressure conditions. This explanation is supported by the recent calculations of Chen and Goldsmith⁹ on chemically similar system, CH₃CCH₂ + O₂ reaction. They calculated $\Delta_r H = -366$ kJ/mol for CH₃CCH₂ + O₂ \rightarrow CH₃CO + H₂CO reaction channel, clearly indicating both CH₃CO radical and H₂CO are formed in highly vibrationally excited and acetyl radical undergo rapid unimolecular decomposition CH₃CO \rightarrow CH₃ + CO ($\Delta_r H$ (CH₃CO \rightarrow CH₃ + CO) = 45.1 kJ/mol)²⁴ and produce methyl radical. Similarly, the C₂H₃ + O₂ \rightarrow HCO + H₂CO reaction is very exothermic ($\Delta_r H = -365$ kJ/mol) and a highly vibrationally excited HCO radical is formed, which mostly dissociates to H + CO species ($\Delta_r H$ (HCO \rightarrow H + CO) = 61.5 kJ/mol) on a time scale faster than collisional stabilization.⁸

The main channel of reactions (1) and (2) is probably the same as for vinyl + O_2 and methyl-vinyl + O_2 reactions, which have been studied previously both experimentally^{16, 20, 21, 25} and theoretically^{8, 9, 26}. Measured vinyl and substituted vinyl radical reactions with molecular oxygen are fast and the observed products always follow the channel (C1) with any combination of hydrogen atom and methyl-group substitution at R_1 , R_2 , and R_3 . Note that R_3 -CO may further decompose to R_3 and CO as discussed above.

$$\underset{R_2}{\overset{R_1}{\longrightarrow}} c = c \overset{R_3}{\longrightarrow} t \quad o_2 \longrightarrow \underset{R_2}{\overset{R_1}{\longrightarrow}} c = 0 \quad t \quad o = c \overset{R_3}{\longleftarrow}$$
(C1)

According to the theoretical studies of vinyl + O_2 and methyl-vinyl + O_2 reactions, the thermodynamically most favorable channel proceeds from the formed peroxyl radical to the formation of a 3-membered ring $\frac{0}{2}$ followed by a ring opening isomerization reaction to the products shown in channel (C1). The corresponding products are observed in this study. Chen and Goldsmith⁹ observed in their theoretical study of CH₃CCH₂ + O₂ and *trans*-CH₃CHCH + O₂ reactions that the channel leading to chain-branching products CH₃C(=O)CH₂ + O and CH₃CHCHO + O,

respectively, is much less important than in vinyl + O_2 reaction. Reason for this important observation is that methyl substitution on either carbon of vinyl radical reduces the energy of the rate-determining transition-state of channel (C1), while increasing the energy of the rate-determining transition-state of channel leading to chain-branching products. The net effect is that channel (C1) becomes much more favorable and the chain-branching channel is not any more competitive. We expect the situation for reactions (1) and (2) to be similar to methyl-vinyl + O_2 reactions and main reaction channel is (C1). Other products are also formed in these highly exothermic reactions but at much lower yield, especially under low-pressure conditions of the current and previous experimental studies.

The bimolecular rate coefficients measurements of reactions (1) and (2), as well as corresponding experimental conditions employed, are reported in the Table 1. The overall uncertainty in the measured bimolecular rate coefficient reported in Table 1 is about $\pm 20\%$, most of which originates from statistical errors in the fitting procedure and uncertainties in the employed oxygen concentrations.

Table 1. The experimental conditions and results of the bimolecular rate coefficient measurements for reactions (1) and (2). The reported overall uncertainty for the measured bimolecular rate coefficient is 1σ -fitting uncertainty + estimate of other uncertainties. Reported uncertainties for k_{wall} are 1σ .

| <i>Т</i> а (К) | р _{Не} (Torr) | [He] (cm ⁻³) 10 ¹⁶ | [Pr] (cm ⁻³) 10 ¹² | [O ₂] (cm ⁻³) 10 ¹² | k´ (s ⁻¹) | k _{wall} ^b (s ⁻¹) | k _{wall} c (s ⁻¹) | $k (\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{O}_2)$ (cm ⁻³ s ⁻¹) 10 ⁻¹² |
|-------------------|---------------------------|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | (CH ₃) ₂ CCH | | | |
| 223 | 0.60 | 2.60 | 1.78 | 17.7 – 36.5 | 185 - 335 | 98.0 ± 4.5 | 96.9 ± 5.7 | 5.47 ± 0.74 |
| 243 | 0.65 | 2.58 | 2.45 | 9.75 – 24.7 | 79.3 – 156 | 20.1 ± 0.5 | 22.9 ± 2.5 | 5.46 ± 0.43 |
| 267 | 0.72 | 2.61 | 3.31 | 13.8 – 49.9 | 104 - 250 | 32.1 ± 0.8 | 32.3 ± 1.2 | 4.70 ± 0.41 |
| 298 | 0.80 | 2.59 | 1.31 | 9.02 - 23.4 | 61.8 - 105 | 16.9 ± 1.4 | 17.4 ± 2.5 | 4.30 ± 0.62 |
| 298 | 0.80 | 2.59 | 1.47 | 9.21 - 22.4 | 66.0 - 126 | 24.1 ± 2.8 | 24.1 ± 2.5 | 4.68 ± 0.40 |
| 304 ^d | 0.80 | 2.54 | 1.92 | 24.4 - 96.0 | 204 - 451 | 110 ± 8.2 | 111 ± 4.2 | 3.36 ± 0.31 |
| 304 ^d | 0.50 | 1.59 | 1.14 | 19.1 – 96.7 | 178-476 | 123 ± 6.4 | 124 ± 8.8 | 3.72 ± 0.41 |
| 304 ^d | 1.60 | 5.08 | 1.50 | 24.7 - 99.0 | 180 - 447 | 105 ± 9.7 | 102 ± 3.5 | 3.45 ± 0.27 |

| 344 ^d | 0.95 | 2.67 | 3.51 | 24.7 - 101 | 192 - 468 | 109 ± 5.2 | 109 ± 4.8 | 3.61 ± 0.37 |
|------------------|------|------|------|-------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 384 ^d | 1.00 | 2.52 | 3.43 | 24.6 - 108 | 201 - 467 | 91.5 ± 4.1 | 92.4 ± 5.8 | 3.47 ± 0.44 |
| 438 ^d | 1.14 | 2.51 | 1.82 | 23.4 - 110 | 208 - 512 | 116 ± 4.1 | 121 ± 4.9 | 3.46 ± 0.37 |
| 492 ^d | 1.33 | 2.61 | 2.39 | 23.1 - 88.3 | 208 - 447 | 104 ± 3.8 | 106 ± 4.0 | 4.08 ± 0.32 |
| 566 ^d | 1.48 | 2.53 | 3.01 | 39.9 – 95.8 | 191 - 472 | 117 ± 4.4 | 117 ± 5.4 | 3.83 ± 0.36 |

 $(CH_3)_2CCCH_3$

| 266 | 0.71 | 2.58 | 3.81 | 10.4 - 34.7 | 246 - 456 | 144 ± 5.4 | 145 ± 4.9 | 9.32 ± 0.95 |
|------------------|------|------|------|-------------|------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 298 | 0.80 | 2.59 | 1.61 | 5.79 – 18.4 | 98.1 – 193 | 41.7 ± 2.0 | 41.8 ± 2.8 | 8.71 ± 1.10 |
| 298 | 1.60 | 5.19 | 1.55 | 9.41 - 19.2 | 136 - 216 | 39.2 ± 3.1 | 41.6 ± 6.0 | 9.01 ± 0.92 |
| 336 | 0.91 | 2.62 | 2.78 | 7.55 - 31.8 | 77.2 - 270 | 15.3 ± 0.5 | 15.4 ± 0.6 | 8.10 ± 0.58 |
| 363 | 0.97 | 2.79 | 1.28 | 8.01 - 27.1 | 102 - 220 | 31.5 ± 0.8 | 32.0 ± 1.8 | 7.51 ± 0.78 |
| 369 ^e | 0.40 | 1.05 | 3.66 | 20.5 - 62.6 | 272 - 614 | 134 ± 7.8 | 121 ± 8.1 | 7.13 ± 1.14 |
| 369 ^e | 0.60 | 1.57 | 2.71 | 16.4 - 52.0 | 243 - 493 | 125 ± 3.8 | 122 ± 7.3 | 7.12 ± 0.60 |
| 369 ^e | 1.20 | 3.14 | 1.30 | 16.5 - 65.5 | 251 - 593 | 115 ± 4.4 | 116 ± 3.3 | 7.38 ± 0.61 |
| 369 ^e | 2.01 | 5.26 | 1.70 | 27.1 - 76.5 | 314 - 680 | 126 ± 3.1 | 119 ± 8.2 | 7.11 ± 0.70 |
| 407 ^e | 1.02 | 2.42 | 1.09 | 16.6 - 74.1 | 211 - 606 | 104 ± 4.0 | 103 ± 3.2 | 6.75 ± 0.56 |
| 418 ^e | 1.82 | 4.21 | 3.17 | 22.6 - 78.0 | 283 - 669 | 121 ± 3.2 | 115 ± 3.6 | 6.73 ± 0.82 |
| 441 ^e | 1.50 | 3.29 | 3.01 | 14.8 - 44.8 | 168 – 367 | 78.8 ± 2.5 | 78.3 ± 3.3 | 6.63 ± 0.62 |
| 485 ^e | 1.58 | 3.15 | 2.57 | 21.5 - 56.4 | 254 - 493 | 110 ± 3.6 | 108 ± 4.4 | 6.31 ± 0.68 |
| 515 ^e | 1.67 | 3.13 | 3.24 | 16.5 - 50.6 | 186 – 395 | 82.7 ± 2.4 | 83.9 ± 4.7 | 6.02 ± 0.55 |
| 554 ^e | 1.87 | 3.26 | 1.21 | 10.4 - 51.4 | 163 - 388 | 94.7 ± 3.7 | 96.4 ± 3.9 | 5.72 ± 0.58 |
| 557 ^e | 1.94 | 3.36 | 3.66 | 11.7 - 55.0 | 156 – 397 | 88.1 ± 3.3 | 89.2 ± 2.6 | 5.53 ± 0.36 |
| 601 ^e | 2.01 | 3.23 | 2.81 | 23.6 - 84.6 | 263 - 591 | 137 ± 4.9 | 137 ± 2.4 | 5.29 ± 0.39 |
| | | | | | | | | |

^a Chlorine lamp with a BaF₂ window was used for detection, stainless steel with halocarbon was coated reactor with inner diameter (id) = 1.7 cm, unless otherwise stated, KrF laser (248 nm) was used for. ^b Average of measured wall rates. ^c Wall rate determined from the linear fit *y*-axis intercept. ^d Quartz reactor with id = 1.7 cm with polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) coating. ^e Quartz reactor with id = 1.7 cm with boric acid coating

As shown in Table 1, the bimolecular rate coefficients of reactions (1) and (2) have been measured over the temperature range 223 – 600 K and the pressure range 0.4 - 2 Torr. In each case the upper end of the employed temperature range was restricted by thermal stability of the photolytic precursor used for producing the radical of interest. Arrhenius plots of reactions (1) and (2) are shown in figure 2. The observed bimolecular rate coefficient of reaction (1) shows a typical negative temperature dependence for the temperature range of 223 - 384 K. However, above about 384 K, the bimolecular rate coefficients appear to become independent of temperature (*i.e.* 384 < T). For reaction (2), the observed bimolecular rate coefficients show a negative temperature dependence throughout the employed temperature range of 266 - 600 K. By fitting the Arrhenius expression $k = A \times exp \left(\frac{-E_a}{BT}\right)$

to the negative temperature dependence portion of the bimolecular rate coefficients of reactions (1) and (2), the following rate expressions were obtained: $k_1 = (1.50 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-12} \exp(2.45 \pm 0.53 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}/RT) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (T = 223 - 384 K), $k_2 = (3.48 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-12} \exp(2.27 \pm 0.09 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}/RT) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (T = 266 - 601 K), where *A* is the pre-exponential factor, E_a is the activation energy, and *R* is the gas constant. It can be seen that the obtained negative activation energies are the same within experimental uncertainties. Difference in bimolecular rate coefficients of reactions (1) and (2) is thus related to pre-exponential factor *A* and on the entropy of activation, suggesting that entropy of activation is slightly more negative for reaction (1) than for reaction (2).

Goldsmith et al.⁸ and Chen et al.⁹ have observed in their theoretical kinetics calculations of $C_2H_3 + O_2^8$, *trans*-CH₃CHCH + O_2^9 , and CH₃CCH₂ + O_2^9 reactions that capture rate is adequate method to model the rate of vinyl-type radical disappearance in these reactions over wide range of temperatures, meaning that dissociation of any intermediate back to products is consequently expected to be negligible. This important observation means that the rate of disappearance of vinyl-type radical in reaction with O_2 is at the high-pressure-limit (k_∞) already at low-pressures, for example in conditions of the current work. Consequently, current results can be used to model combustion kinetics at high-pressures. Not surprisingly, bimolecular rate coefficients of reactions (1) and (2) measured in this work were independent of pressure under the employed experimental conditions. Calculations of Goldsmith et al.⁸ and Chen et al.⁹, which agree well with our previous experiments, ^{20,21} show that capture rate coefficients of vinyl-type radical reactions with O_2 show clear negative temperature dependency below roughly 500 K until at higher temperatures weak positive dependency is anticipated. These results are in agreement with the current observations; it might be that change from negative to weak positive temperature dependency of reaction (2) occurs at higher temperature we can reach experimentally.



Figure 2. Arrhenius plots of $(CH_3)_2CCH + O_2$ and $(CH_3)_2CCCH_3 + O_2$ reactions, along with Arrhenius plots of previously measured *cis/trans*-CH₃CHCH,²¹ CH₃CCH₂,²¹ and CH₂CH²⁰ radical reactions with molecular oxygen. The blue and red dashed lines represent the Arrhenius fits for $(CH_3)_2CCH + O_2$ and $(CH_3)_2CCCH_3 + O_2$ reactions, respectively.

In figure 2 the current bimolecular rate coefficient of reactions (1) and (2) are compared with the previous results of vinyl-type radical reactions with O₂: CH₂CH,²⁰ CH₃CCH₂,²¹ and *cis/trans*-CH₃CHCH.²¹ It can be seen from Figure 2 that substituting both the β -hydrogens with methyl groups in CH₂CH radical (*i.e.* producing (CH₃)₂CCH radical) results in about ~ 50 % reduction in the bimolecular rate coefficient. Substituting only one β -hydrogen of CH₂CH radical with a methyl group produces either a *cis*- or *trans*-methylvinyl radical, of which the *trans*-isomer is slightly more reactive with O₂ than the *cis*-isomer,⁹ but both are about 30 % less reactive than the CH₂CH radical. Substituting all three hydrogens of CH₂CH radical with methyl groups results in (CH₃)₂CCCH₃

radical that shows almost indistinguishable oxidation kinetics compared to $CH_2CH + O_2$ reaction. This is in agreement with the previous measurements that substituting the α -hydrogen of CH_2CH radical with a methyl group (*i.e.* producing CH_2CCH_3 radical) increases oxidation kinetics about ~ 50%. It would seem that substituting the α -hydrogen of CH_2CH radical with a methyl group compensates for the rate decreasing effect of substituting both the β -hydrogens atoms with methyl groups.

Conclusion

The bimolecular rate coefficients of reactions $(CH_3)_2CCH + O_2$ and $(CH_3)_2CCH_3 + O_2$ have been measured over the temperature and pressure ranges of 223 – 600 K and 0.4 – 2 Torr. The kinetics of both reactions were observed to be independent of total pressure and are expected to be at the highpressure-limit already under the current experimental conditions. For reaction $(CH_3)_2CCH + O_2$, the observed rate coefficient shows negative temperature dependence over the temperature range (223 – 384 K) and seems to become temperature independent at higher temperatures (T > 384 K). For $(CH_3)_2CCCH_3 + O_2$ reaction, the measured bimolecular rate coefficient shows negative temperature dependence throughout the experimental temperature range (223 – 600 K). The products observed for $(CH_3)_2CCH + O_2$ and $(CH_3)_2CCCH_3 + O_2$ reactions suggest that the reaction mechanism is analogous to the $CH_2CH + O_2$ reaction. Substituting the both β -hydrogens of CH_2CH radical with methyl groups decreases its reactivity with O_2 by about ~ 50%. Methyl substitution of all CH_2CH

Acknowledgement

S.P.J. and A.J.E. acknowledge support from the Academy of Finland, Grant Nos. 294042/319353, 311967, and 288377. T.T.P. acknowledges support from the Doctoral Programme in Chemistry and Molecular Sciences of the University of Helsinki.

References

(1) Wisniewski, A.; Wiggers, V. R.; Simionatto, E. L.; Meier, H. F.; Barros, A. A. C.; Madureira, L. A. S. Biofuels from Waste Fish Oil Pyrolysis: Chemical Composition. *Fuel* **2010**, 89, 563-568.

(2) Morganti, K. J.; Foong, T. M.; Brear, M. J.; da Silva, G.; Yang, Y.; Dryer, F. L. The Research and Motor Octane Numbers of Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG). *Fuel* **2013**, *108*, 797-811.

(3) Knothe, G. "Designer" Biodiesel: Optimizing Fatty Ester Composition to Improve Fuel Properties. *Energy Fuels* **2008**, *22*, 1358-1364.

(4) Meneghetti, S. M. P.; Meneghetti, M. R.; Serra, T. M.; Barbosa, D. C.; Wolf, C. R. Biodiesel Production from Vegetable Oil Mixtures: Cottonseed, Soybean, and Castor Oils. *Energy Fuels* **2007**, *21*, 3746-3747.

(5) Rissanen, M. P.; Amedro, D.; Eskola, A. J.; Kurten, T.; Timonen, R. S. Kinetic (T = 201-298 K) and Equilibrium (T = 320-420 K) Measurements of the $C_3H_5 + O_2 \subseteq C_3H_5O_2$ Reaction. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2012**, *116*, 3969-3978.

(6) Klippenstein, S. J. From Theoretical Reaction Dynamics to Chemical Modeling of Combustion. *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **2017**, *36*, 77-111.

(7) Chen, C.-J.; Bozzelli, J. W. Thermochemical Property, Pathway and Kinetic Analysis on the Reactions of Allylic Isobutenyl Radical with O₂: An Elementary Reaction Mechanism for Isobutene Oxidation. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2000**, *104*, 9715-9732.

(8) Goldsmith, C. F.; Harding, L. B.; Georgievskii, Y.; Miller, J. A.; Klippenstein, S. J. Temperature and Pressure-Dependent Rate Coefficients for the Reaction of Vinyl Radical with Molecular Oxygen. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2015**, *119*, 7766-7779.

(9) Chen, X.; Goldsmith, C. F. A Theoretical and Computational Analysis of the Methyl-Vinyl + O₂ Reaction and Its Effects on Propene Combustion. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2017**, *121*, 9173-9184.

(10) Burke, S. M.; Metcalfe, W.; Herbinet, O.; Battin-Leclerc, F.; Haas, F. M.; Santner, J.; Dryer, F. L.; Curran, H. J. An experimental and Modeling Study of Propene Oxidation. Part 1: Speciation Measurements in Jet-Stirred and Flow Reactors. *Combust. Flame* **2014**, *161*, 2765-2784.

(11) Zhou, C. W.; Li, Y.; O'Connor, E.; Somers, K. P.; Thion, S.; Keesee, C.; Mathieu, O.; Petersen, E. L.; DeVerter, T. A.; Oehlschlaeger. M. A.; et al. A Comprehensive Experimental and Modeling Study of Isobutene Oxidation. *Combust. Flame* **2016**, *167*, 353-379.

(12) Westbrook, C. K.; Pitz, W. J.; Mehl, M.; Glaude, P. A.; Herbinet, O.; Bax, S.; Battin-Leclerc, F.; Mathieu, O.; Petersen, E. L.; Bugler, J.; et al. Experimental and Kinetic Modeling Study of 2-Methyl-2-Butene: Allylic Hydrocarbon Kinetics. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2015**, *119*, 7462-7480.

(13) Yang, R.; Yu, L.; Jin, X.; Zhou, M.; Carpenter, B. K. Reaction of Vinyl Radical With Oxygen: A Matrix Isolation Infrared Spectroscopic and Theoretical Study. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2005, *122*, 014511.
(14) Westmoreland, P. R. Thermochemistry and Kinetics of C₂H ₃+ O₂ Reactions. *Combust. Sci. Technol.* 1992, *82*, 151-168.

(15) Wang, H.; Wang, B.; He, Y.; Kong, F. The Gaseous Reaction of Vinyl Radical With Oxygen. J. Chem. Phys. **2001**, 115, 1742-1746.

(16) Slagle, I. R.; Park, J. Y.; Heaven, M. C.; Gutman, D. Kinetics of Polyatomic Free Radicals Produced by Laser Photolysis. 3. Reaction of Vinyl Radicals With Molecular Oxygen. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 4356-4361.

(17) Park, J.-Y.; Heaven, M. C.; Gutman, D. Kinetics and Mechanism of the Reaction of Vinyl Radicals With Molecular Oxygen. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1984**, *104*, 469-474.

(18) Mebel, A. M.; Kislov, V. V. The $C_2H_3 + O_2$ Reaction Revisited: Is Multireference Treatment of the Wave Function Really Critical? *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2005**, *109*, 6993-6997.

(19) Feng, W.; Wang, B. Reaction of Vinyl Radical With O₂ Studied by Time-Resolved Infrared Emission Spectroscopy. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2002**, *356*, 505-510.

(20) Eskola, A. J.; Timonen, R. S. Kinetics of the Reactions of Vinyl Radicals With Molecular Oxygen and Chlorine at Temperatures 200-362 K. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2003**, *5*, 2557-2561.

(21) Joshi, S. P.; Pekkanen, T. T.; Timonen, R. S.; Lendvay, G.; Eskola, A. J.; Kinetics of the Methyl– Vinyl Radical + O₂ Reactions Associated with Propene Oxidation. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2019**, *123*, 999-1006.

(22) Niiranen, J. T.; Gutman, D.; Krasnoperov, L. N. Kinetics and Thermochemistry of the CH₃CO Radical: Study of the CH₃CO + HBr \rightarrow CH₃CHO + Br Reaction. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1992**, *96*, 5881-5886.

(23) Krasnoperov, L. N.; Niiranen, J. T.; Gutman, D.; Melius, C. F.; Allendorf, M. D. Kinetics and Thermochemistry of Si(CH₃)₃ + NO Reaction: Direct Determination of a Si-N Bond Energy. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1995**, *99*, 14347-14358.

(24) Bencsura, A.; Knyazev, V. D.; Slagle, I. R.; Gutman, D.; Tsang, W. Weak Collision Effects in the Reaction $CH_3CO \rightarrow CH_3 + CO$. Ber. Bunsen-Ges. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **1992**, 96, 1338-1347.

(25) Knyazev, V. D.; Slagle, I. R. Kinetics of the Reaction of Vinyl Radical With Molecular Oxygen. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1995**, *99*, 2247-2249.

(26) Mebel, A. M.; Diau, E. W. G.; Lin, M. C.; Morokuma, K. Ab Initio and RRKM Calculations for Multichannel Rate Constants of the C₂H₃ + O₂ Reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 9759-9771.

TOC Graphic

