Experimental Hematology

Beyond "to divide or not to divide": kinetics matter in Haematopoietic Stem Cells --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	20-270R1
Article Type:	Invited Perspective
Keywords:	hematopoietic stem cells; quiescence; CDK6; cell cycle kinetics; dormancy
Corresponding Author:	Elisa Laurenti Cambridge, UNITED KINGDOM
First Author:	Carys Johnson
Order of Authors:	Carys Johnson
	Serena Belluschi
	Elisa Laurenti
Abstract:	Lifelong blood production is ensured by a population of rare and largely quiescent long- lived Haematopoietic Stem Cells (HSCs). The advent of single cell technologies has recently highlighted underlying molecular and functional heterogeneity within the HSC pool. Despite heterogenous HSC behaviours, quiescence remains as the most uncontroversial unifying property of HSCs. Nonetheless, a multifaceted and complex continuum of states has recently been identified within what was previously described as just "quiescent". Here we review such evidence and discuss how it challenges pre- conceived ideas on the contribution of cell cycle kinetics to HSC function. Specifically, we detail how both the frequency and kinetics of HSC division, largely determined by a network of molecular regulators linked to early G 1 , influence long-term HSC function in vivo . In addition, we present data which show that that lengthening the duration of G 1 by inhibiting CDK6 decreases lymphoid differentiation of a subset of lymphoid- primed human HSCs, thus linking cell cycle kinetics to cell fate decisions in HSCs. Finally, we reflect on how these new insights can be helpful to fully harness HSC potential in clinical applications that require ex vivo culture.

Beyond "to divide or not to divide": kinetics matter in Haematopoietic Stem Cells

Carys Johnson¹, Serena Belluschi¹ and Elisa Laurenti¹

¹Department of Haematology and Wellcome and MRC Cambridge Stem Cell Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

Highlights

- Distinct quiescent states exist in the hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) pool to maintain life-long function.
- The CDK4/CDK6/Cyclin D complex is key to maintain the balance between HSC quiescence and division.
- The kinetics of quiescence exit and early G₁ influence HSC differentiative output *ex vivo*.
- Modulating the quiescence to division kinetics has key implications for HSC expansion and gene therapy.

Beyond "to divide or not to divide": kinetics matter in Haematopoietic Stem Cells

Carys Johnson¹, Serena Belluschi¹ and Elisa Laurenti¹

¹Department of Haematology and Wellcome and MRC Cambridge Stem Cell Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

Corresponding author: Dr Elisa Laurenti PhD, el422@cam.ac.uk

Category: Stem Cells

Word count: 3500 words (exclusing highlights, abstract, figure legends and references)

Highlights

- Distinct quiescent states exist in the hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) pool to maintain life-long function.
- The CDK4/CDK6/Cyclin D complex is key to maintain the balance between HSC quiescence and division.
- The kinetics of quiescence exit and early G₁ influence HSC differentiative output *ex vivo*.
- Modulating the quiescence to division kinetics has key implications for HSC expansion and gene therapy.

Abstract

Lifelong blood production is ensured by a population of rare and largely quiescent long-lived Haematopoietic Stem Cells (HSCs). The advent of single cell technologies has recently highlighted underlying molecular and functional heterogeneity within the HSC pool. Despite heterogenous HSC behaviours, quiescence remains as the most uncontroversial unifying property of HSCs. Nonetheless, a multifaceted and complex continuum of states has recently been identified within what was previously described as just "quiescent". Here we review such evidence and discuss how it challenges pre-conceived ideas on the contribution of cell cycle kinetics to HSC function. Specifically, we detail how both the frequency and kinetics of HSC division, largely determined by a network of molecular regulators linked to early G_1 , influence long-term HSC function *in vivo*. In addition, we present data which show that that lengthening the duration of G_1 by inhibiting CDK6 decreases lymphoid differentiation of a subset of lymphoid-primed human HSCs, thus linking cell cycle kinetics to cell fate decisions in HSCs. Finally, we reflect on how these new insights can be helpful to fully harness HSC potential in clinical applications that require *ex vivo* culture.

Introduction:

A trillion blood cells are produced daily to maintain blood function at steady state. This immense regenerative output is achieved by the concerted action of rare and infrequently dividing hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) and multipotent progenitors (MPPs). Decades of work, primarily from mouse models, have built complex roadmaps of the first steps of hematopoiesis by dissecting the cascade of cell divisions occurring from the most potent HSCs to multi- and in turn unilineage progenitors. Recently, single cell RNA-seq and clonal tracking have complemented these by defining differentiation trajectories at single cell resolution [1]. All evidence to date agrees that unilineage progenitor cell types typically divide continuously, re-entering G₁ after mitosis. In contrast, HSCs and MPPs do not; they divide occasionally, spending the majority of their cellular life outside of the cell cycle, in a reversible state termed quiescence or G₀. As HSCs and MPPs largely differ at single cell resolution in their self-renewal capacity and lineage preferences [1], this places quiescence as the only fundamental common property of all HSC/MPPs.

Quiescence can be conceptualized as a poised, restrained and actively maintained molecular state [2]. Classical hallmarks of quiescence are shared by many adult stem cell types and include low protein synthesis and reliance on glycolytic metabolism. Consistently, in vivo, the maintenance of HSCs in quiescence relies on a specific metabolic context and organelle biology. Initially thought to have low mitochondrial mass, quiescent HSCs are now known to contain relatively high numbers of inactive mitochondria [3] with an abundance of large inactive lysosomes [4]. Long-term maintenance of HSC potency is reliant on restrained glycolytic metabolism [4,5], and a balanced metabolic state maintained by autophagic recycling [6,7]. Protein synthesis rates are low in quiescent HSCs [8,9], yet there are high thresholds of protein quality control ensured by high basal expression of Endoplasmic Reticulum Associated Degradation (ERAD) [10,11] and Integrated Stress Response pathways [12,13]. Similarly, cellular responses to DNA damage are distinct in HSCs compared to progenitors [14,15]. A consequence of the longevity of HSCs is the accumulation of genotoxic, proteotoxic and oxidative stress damage which, in absence of cell division, cannot be distributed to progeny. Quiescent HSCs are thus wired to maintain the highest standards of genomic, proteostatic and organelle integrity resulting in preferential culling of damaged HSCs to mitigate the risk of leukemic transformation and reduced HSC pool fitness.

Maintenance of HSC quiescence, exit from quiescence, division and subsequent return to quiescence must be tightly controlled. This balance has emerged to be intimately linked to HSC function. In the past decade, single cell resolution and novel tools have shown that the overall picture is complex and cannot be oversimplified to: "HSCs are either more quiescent or more proliferative" in the particular instance studied. Here we focus on a few important findings that have emerged from these studies. We provide definitions and a framework in which to interpret the complex relationship between quiescence, cell cycle kinetics and HSC

fate choices; a key step towards new translational opportunities for HSC expansion, transplantation and gene therapy.

Quiescence is a collection of actively maintained cellular states

In hierarchically organised stem cell systems such as blood, muscle [16] and the subventricular zone of the brain [17], there is now ample evidence that quiescence is not a uniform state and that varying depths of quiescence allow cells to differently and appropriately contribute to tissue maintenance and regeneration. Across all these tissues, the most immature stem cells reside in a deeper quiescent state than their progeny. Depth of quiescence is primarily measured via the time a cell takes to exit this state upon receiving a mitogenic stimulus (quiescence exit: from G_0 to end of early G_1), often approximated to the time of first division *ex vivo*. Quiescence is an integral state existing in a wide range of organisms; there is much to be learnt from seminal work in bacteria, yeast and cell lines that applies to adult stem cells, including HSCs.

First, protein levels of the CDK4/CDK6 complex are a faithful reporter of quiescence depth. CDK4/CDK6 activation is the key trigger for cells to enter G₁ whether they have just divided (from M to G_1) or have been quiescent for a given period of time (from G_0 to G_1). CDK4/CDK6 activity sustains retinoblastoma protein (Rb) hyperphosphorylation in early G₁, which is necessary for a cell to pass the restriction point (R point) and commit to division [18]. In human and mouse HSCs, CDK6 levels govern the degree to which a cell is primed to respond to a mitogenic stimulus. Quiescent long-term HSCs (LT-HSCs, capable of forming blood over serial transplantation) possess undetectable levels of CDK6 protein, whereas quiescent shortterm HSCs (ST-HSCs, with transient regenerative potential upon transplantation) express higher CDK6 protein levels to allow faster cell cycle entry following mitogenic stimulation [19,20] (Figure 1). Recent work has shown that reaching the R point is best described as a probabilistic process dependent on levels of CDK4/CDK6 activity in single cells [21]. This implies that for a specific level of mitogenic stimulus, cells with high levels of CDK4/CDK6 such as ST-HSCs have a higher probability of commitment to division than cells with low levels (like LT-HSCs). It is thus tempting to speculate that LT-HSCs may also require a stronger/longer mitogenic stimulus in order to divide. LT-HSCs may also be more likely to return to quiescence, as inhibition of CDK4/CDK6 is required for cells to return to G₀ after mitosis [22]. In any case, maintenance of distinct depths of quiescence in LT-HSCs and ST-HSCs and the associated division kinetics are necessary to control HSC pool size and effective tissue regeneration [20].

Second, distinct stressors can induce metabolically different states of quiescence in yeast and bacteria [23,24]. It is therefore unsurprising that alternative states of quiescence are also rendered accessible by injury in mammals. Neural stem cells exist in graded states of activation with characteristic metabolic profiles and acquire a primed-state of quiescence

after ischemia [17]. Similarly, quiescent muscle stem cells sensing an injury in a distant muscle can reversibly switch into a cell-cycle primed " G_{alert} " phase, marked by mTORC1 activation, where cells are quiescent but possess enhanced tissue regeneration properties [16]. Mouse HSCs can access an mTORC1-high " G_{alert} " state following injury, priming them to divide faster upon successive challenges for example with interferon-gamma [16]. The " G_{alert} " phase is distinct from the primed quiescent state of ST-HSCs, that actually shows minimal levels of mTORC1 activity [20]. The molecular mechanisms as well as the range of stimuli that push HSCs into " G_{alert} " remain to be studied. Nevertheless, these data demonstrate that specific quiescent states may provide at least transient cellular memory for stem cells to respond more efficiently to subsequent injury signals. Given recent evidence of long-term epigenetic memory of mouse HSCs to inflammatory signals [25,26], it will be interesting to study if and how alternative quiescent states play a role in such responses.

Finally, a molecular checkpoint that separates the end of G_0 from the beginning of G_1 has not been identified to date in any organism. Such a checkpoint may very well not exist and the transition from quiescence to early G_1 may instead occur over a continuum of molecular states, with increasing activation of G_1 genes and gradual establishment of a distinct metabolic activity to that of quiescence. Consistently, in the mouse, there is a continuum of transcriptional activation from dormant HSCs to quiescent cell-cycle primed HSCs to cell-cycle active HSCs [19]. Whether this activation continuum corresponds to that of the lineagebias/restriction observed in the HSC/MPP compartment at single cell level [27,28] remains to be explored.

All HSC/MPPs are quiescent but very few are truly dormant

All HSC/MPPs predominantly reside in G₀ and divide much more infrequently than progenitors (approximately once every 30 days compared to once every 1-2 days for the latter). Dormant HSCs are defined as the subset of HSCs which reside in the deepest state of quiescence, divide the most infrequently (**Figure 1**) and have long been exclusively defined functionally, using inducible label retention assays in mice. These studies have allowed careful analysis of the heterogeneity in division frequencies observed in HSCs and MPPs *in vivo* under homeostatic and stress conditions. Dormancy correlates with the longest duration of quiescent exit and the highest degree of long term repopulation capacity [19,20,29–33]. In fact, the correlation is so strong that both long quiescence exit duration and extremely infrequent divisions can be used as surrogate markers for the long-term repopulation capacity of an HSC. The dormant HSC subset in mouse cycles less than once every 120 days [33], has superior serial repopulation capacity to all other HSC/MPP subsets and is only recruited into cycling upon transplantation or severe stress [19,33]. Only recently, reporter mice allowing prospective identification of dormant HSCs have been developed. These respectively trace

dormant HSCs based on either Gprc5c expression [19], p27 activity [34] or retinoic acid signalling [35].

Self-renewal capacity has been suggested to decline with each successive division, with dormant HSCs becoming less likely to return to quiescence [29,31]. The number of symmetric divisions sufficient to exhaust self-renewal capacity is still under debate [29,36]. Extrinsic stresses such as those induced by 5-FU [33], LPS [32] or pl-pC [19] to mimic bacterial and viral infections, force dormant HSCs to re-enter the cell cycle and become activated. Importantly, injury activated HSCs are later able to return to dormancy and restored proportions of dormant HSCs can be detected within days of the initial stress signal [19,33]. However, a recent study has shown that HSCs permanently accumulate dysfunctional mitochondria upon return to quiescence [37], providing mechanistic insights into how HSC divisional histories contribute to functional decline.

Altogether, label retention studies indicate that the contribution of dormant HSCs to daily or steady state hematopoiesis is minimal, complementing insights obtained from clonal tracking experiments. This reinforces the concept that diversity of quiescent states within the HSC/MPP compartment is key for the resilience of blood production, with distinct dynamics underlying both a rapid stress response and protection from untoward HSC/MPP exhaustion. However, if and how the balance of quiescent states is altered with ageing or disease remains poorly characterised. Interestingly, in the ageing brain, changes in the niche enforce increased neuronal stem cell quiescence [38]. Future studies will have to consider the further complexity that arises in humans with age, due to niche-driven effects, life histories of infection/inflammation [39,40] as well as age-related clonal hematopoiesis [19].

The importance of the early G1 regulatory network for HSC function

Many studies have investigated whether manipulating the levels of cell cycle regulators can influence HSC self-renewal and/or differentiation (reviewed in [41–43]). It is no surprise that complex control of the molecular network promoting Rb hyperphosphorylation, and hence commitment to division, is absolutely fundamental to regulate how often and how fast HSCs exit from quiescence (as discussed above), in addition to the kinetics of successive divisions. This network includes, in addition to Rb, CDK4/CDK6, their Cyclin Ds partners, as well as their specific CDK inhibitors (p16, p18, p27, p57; Figure 2).

Given the importance of maintaining the life-long capacity for HSC division, it is expected that there is a high degree of redundancy between members of the CDK4/CDK6-Rb network, with phenotypes observed only when several homologues are genetically deleted in mice [44–46]. Constitutive deletion of *Cdk6* has minor effects on hematopoiesis, likely due to compensatory effects from *Cdk4*. However, Cdk6 is strictly necessary for HSC exit from quiescence and

activation under stress conditions. Cdk6 action during this transition cannot be compensated by Cdk4, as demonstrated with constitutive [47] and conditional *Cdk6* knock-out models [48].

In addition, when HSC function fails either in the context of an experimental model, or in patients, teasing apart whether cell cycle changes are drivers of such loss or merely consequences of other signalling events is an ongoing challenge in the field. Indeed, many cell cycle regulators possess functions beyond pushing cells towards division [49,50]. CDK6 can directly phosphorylate EGR1 [47], a transcription factor involved in HSC function, but also TSC2, thereby promoting mTORC1 activity and linking cell cycle with cell growth [51]. CDK6 can directly block RUNX1 transcriptional activity [52] or contact the chromatin modulating NFkB-p65 [53], p16, VEGF-A [54], and p53 antagonists activity [55], broadly affecting HSC function in a cell-cycle independent manner.

The whole picture is further complicated by the fact that the cell cycle machinery is activated or repressed by a multitude of external stimuli. A very substantial body of work has collectively identified more than 100 genes and dozens of environmental components [56] that contribute to regulating the delicate balance between HSC quiescence and division. A common interpretation of all these studies is that excessive division *in vivo* almost inevitably leads to loss of HSC self-renewal. We would argue that this is an oversimplification. This can certainly be driven by exhaustion through excessive division coupled to differentiation (loss of self-renewal, "locked out" of quiescence). Nonetheless, failure to divide and produce differentiated progeny ("locked in" quiescence) eventually leads to the same failure in blood production. Most experimental assays require division to read out HSC function, so caution must be taken when interpreting HSC phenotypes with regards to the effects of a particular perturbation on the quiescence to division balance.

Is there a causal relationship between G1 length and HSC fate decisions?

A possible causal relationship between cell cycle and fate decisions has long been pursued in stem cell biology. The position in the cell cycle may control how cells respond to external stimuli [57] and the duration of a particular cell cycle phase [58] may influence cell fate. The duration of G₁ causally influences cell fate decisions in embryonic stem cells, specifically which differentiation route cells take [59–61]. Lengthening of G₁ is also associated with commitment in neural stem cells [62,63]. Whether similar mechanisms are at play in HSCs is still under debate. In human LT-HSCs, overexpression of CDK6 alone leads to shorter early G₁ and confers a competitive advantage over serial transplantation without causing any overt changes in differentiation ability [20]. Overexpression of both CDK4 and Cyclin D1 shortened early G₁ further and increased myeloid differentiation upon xenotransplantation *in vivo*, but this was not supported *in vitro* [64]. Given the pleiotropic effects of CDKs and Cyclins, it is difficult to conclude from these genetic experiments if the slight bias in lineage differentiation is caused by different cell cycle lengths or by other factors.

We reasoned that we could gain insights into the causal relationship between G_1 length and human HSC differentiation capacity by lengthening their time of first division. We chose to use Palbociclib, a dual and highly specific CDK4/CDK6 inhibitor (hereafter referred to as CDK6i), which inhibits the kinase-dependent effects of these proteins without affecting their kinase-independent functions. Our group recently identified a subpopulation of long-term repopulating cells within the phenotypic human LT-HSC (CD49f⁺ CD90⁺) compartment [65], that produce lymphoid and myeloid (My) cells but no erythroid (Ery) or megakaryocytic (Meg) cells *in vitro* and upon xenotransplantation [27]. This population, that we termed CLEC9A^{lo} LT-HSCs (purified as CD34^{hi}CD38⁻CD45RA⁻CD90⁺CD49f⁺CLEC9A^{lo}) was also characterised by shorter guiescence exit and higher CDK6 levels than LT-HSCs or CLEC9A^{hi} LT-HSCs (CD34^{lo}CD38⁻CD45RA⁻CD90⁺CD49f⁺CLEC9A^{hi}) [27]. We thus sorted single CLEC9A^{lo} LT-HSCs and cultured them in conditions that sustain simultaneous differentiation of My, Ery, megakaryocytic (Meg) and lymphoid (NK) cells [27], in the presence or absence of 200nM CDK6i for the first 3 days (Supplementary Methods). Single CLEC9A^{hi} LT-HSCs were also cultured in parallel as a control. Time to first division was recorded and the differentiation output of each cell was determined 3 weeks later by flow cytometry. First, CDK6i lengthened the duration of CLEC9A^{Io} LT-HSCs' first division to that of CLEC9A^{hi} LT-HSCs (Figure 3A), without altering clonogenic efficiency (Figure 3B). Interestingly, upon CDK6i, the proportion of CLEC9A^{lo} LT-HSCs' derived colonies containing NK cells decreased significantly with CDK6i (p=0.027); Figure 3C), principally due to a decrease in colonies containing both My and NK cells (Figure 3D). However, colony output of CDK6i CLEC9A^{Io} LT-HSCs was still vastly different from that of CLEC9A^{hi} LT-HSCs (Figure 3D). These results suggest that CLEC9A^{lo} LT-HSCs' inability to produce Ery and Meg cells is not caused by their shorter time of quiescence exit. Rather we propose that restriction to the myelo-lymphoid lineages is irreversibly determined epigenetically and transcriptionally, in line with CLEC9A^{lo} LT-HSCs possessing transcriptional features intermediate between CLEC9A^{hi} LT-HSCs and lymphoid-primed multipotent progenitors [27]. However, when G₁ was lengthened, CLEC9A¹⁰ LT-HSCs commitment to the myeloid and lymphoid lineages was significantly imbalanced in vitro. More broadly this suggests that changes in cell cycle duration may also influence lineage differentiation in hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells and warrant further investigation.

Understanding quiescence exit and division ex vivo is essential to improve HSC clinical approaches

Recent years have seen important milestones towards clinical applications of HSCs that require *ex vivo* culture of HSCs, such as HSC gene therapy and protocols for HSC expansion [66,67]. One critical challenge remains: prolonged culture *ex vivo* leads to a net decline in HSC long-term repopulation capacity [68–70], as a result of divisions shifted to producing daughter cells destined for differentiation but also reduced homing. The causes of such decline are

multiple and highly dependent on the culture medium composition and culture duration [71,72]. Interestingly though, whereas *in vivo* self-renewing HSCs return to quiescence after division, *ex vivo* HSCs fail to do so. We would argue that understanding how quiescence exit and division differ ex vivo from in vivo will be essential to successfully recreate conditions maintaining divisions where one (self-renewal) or both (expansion) daughter cells retain HSC identity. This will be equally beneficial in the context of gene therapy, where significant improvement to gene transfer [73] and editing rates [74] has been achieved by manipulating cell-cycle related parameters.

Evidence has accumulated both in vivo [75–78] and ex vivo [79–81] that self-renewal and differentiation can under certain circumstances be uncoupled from division. This implies that there is no theoretical impossibility for HSC maintenance or expansion ex vivo, as long as survival can be guaranteed. Strategies mimicking quiescence-inducing factors normally found in the *in vivo* niche have shown success in minimising the decline in long-term repopulation ability of cultured HSCs [82–84], most likely by favouring self-renewing asymmetric divisions. Recent strategies with small molecule inhibitors [85,86], aimed at removing differentiation factors [71,82,87], limiting accumulation of damaging agents [88] and/or retaining quality control mechanisms associated with quiescence in vivo [89] have managed to obtain fully functional HSCs in numbers similar or higher than those at the start of the cultures. The most impressive expansion to date has been reported to be around 550-fold over 2 months of mouse HSC culture with polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) [87]. Of note, no such expansion has been obtained with human cells so far, and that all protocols above generate mixed cultures where functional HSCs remain a minority. Nonetheless these descriptive studies represent major steps forward. All measure HSC expansion by assessing HSC function through transplantation rather than mere phenotypic characterisation, which is absolutely essential for this field to progress. Altogether, these studies indicate that the entire underlying molecular network, stress response regulation and macromolecular organelle biology associated with quiescence in vivo should be preserved when aiming to maintain or expand self-renewal ex vivo.

There is much to be learnt from how each of these protocols mechanistically act on HSC identity and how they affect quiescence exit and division. For instance, we do not know if successful expansion strategies are effectively allowing at least some of the HSCs to return to quiescence *ex vivo* and potentially maintain a hierarchy of cells characterised by accelerated division kinetics and progressively decreasing self-renewal as *in vivo*. There is no doubt that better understanding quiescence exit and return to quiescence (or G₁) at single cell resolution both *in vivo* as well as in clinically relevant *in vitro* settings will have a major impact not only on our understanding of HSC biology but also on HSC transplantation success rates.

Conclusions

Quiescence unites but also divides HSCs. Subtle differences in metabolism, quality control mechanisms and organelle biology during quiescence lead to seemingly small (several hours) yet important differences in division kinetics and distinct division frequency over very long timeframes (several months). Together these two parameters control how likely a cell is to divide and contribute to blood formation both at steady state and under stress. Given the extent to which divisional histories contribute to HSC function [29,31,36,37], and the fact that cellular memories of injury may affect subsequent divisions, we propose that diversity in quiescent states is perhaps the most relevant aspect of HSC heterogeneity. It is already established that the reversible shift from quiescence to division plays a key role in leukemia, particularly influencing drug resistance and relapse [90]. HSC quiescent states, quiescence exit kinetics and potentially the lineage-biases associated to the latter are likely to shift over time, with indications that such shifts may be detrimental in aging and for progression from clonal hemopoiesis to overt malignancy. This is a field that we bet will not become dormant in the near future.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Cambridge Blood and Stem Cell Biobank, specifically Joanna Baxter and the team of nurses consenting and collecting cord bloos samples; the Cambridge NIHR BRC Cell Phenotyping Hub for their flow cytometry services. E.L. is supported by a Sir Henry Dale fellowship from Wellcome/Royal Society (107630/Z/15/Z). Research in E.L.'s laboratory is supported by Wellcome, BBSRC, EHA, BIRAX, Royal Society and by core support grants by Wellcome and MRC to the Wellcome-MRC Cambridge Stem Cell Institute (203151/Z/16/Z). C.J. is supported by an MRC iCASE PhD studentship and S.B. by a CRUK Cambridge Cancer Centre PhD fellowship.

Conflict of Interest: research funding from GlaxoSmithKline to E.L. and C.J.

Data statement: all raw data is available upon request to the authors

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: HSC quiescence is a continuum of molecular states associated with specific functional features. The cell cycle has four distinct phases indicated by the inner circle, Mitosis (M), Gap 1 (G₁), the DNA synthesis phase (S) and Gap 2 (G₂). Quiescence (G₀) is a collection of stable states (schematized by colour shading) where Retinoblastoma protein (Rb) is unphosphorylated and levels of CDK proteins are low. Dormant HSCs divide very infrequently, reside in a particularly deep state of quiescence and are largely resistant to activation. Dormant, long term HSCs (LT-HSCs) and short term HSCs (ST-HSCs) reside in sequentially shallower states of quiescence, each becoming more primed for cell cycle reentry. Quiescence exit (indicated by arrow, arrow thickness indicating strength of activation signal required for cell cycle re-entry) in HSCs is predominantly mediated by levels of CDK6 which form a complex with Cyclin D to allow cell cycle re-entry. During early G_1 , Rb is progressively re-phosphorylated until hyperphosphorylation at the "restriction point" (denoted as R) whereby cell lose dependency on extracellular mitogens for cell cycle progression and commit to complete the cell cycle. Text indicates molecular and functional characteristics associated with different depths of guiescence. ST-HSC: Short term HSCs; LT-HSC: Long term HSC; ERAD: Endoplasmic Reticulum Associated Degradation; Rb: Retinoblastoma protein; R: restriction point.

Figure 2: Quiescence exit and cell cycle re-entry in HSCs is regulated by CDK6/CCND **mediated Rb phosphorylation.** After dephosphorylation in M, G_0 and early G_1 unphosphorylated Rb is physically associated to E2F factors blocking the transactivation domain and inhibiting cell cycle progression. Upon cell cycle re-entry from quiescence, Rb is sequentially phosphorylated by kinase complexes of Cyclin D (CCND) with CDK6 and later Cyclin E (CCNE) with CDK2. Hyperphosphorylation of Rb causes a conformational change permitting E2F release and transcription of CDK2, Cyclin E (CCNE), Cyclin A (CCNA), MYC and other genes involved in cell cycle progression and nucleotide biosynthesis. At this "restriction point" (denoted as R) cells lose dependency on extracellular mitogenic signals and commit to entering G_1/S transition and to complete the cell cycle. Gradients of colour indicate the regions of the cell cycle indistinguishable by current functional assays whereas cells can be allocated to respective portions of the cell cycle by current methods in fill coloured regions. Edge and fill colours for each protein respectively indicate the cell cycle progression/frequency of division and long-term repopulation phenotypes observed when the corresponding genes were knocked-out as reported in for: p16 [91], p18 [92], p27[93], p57 [94], p27/p57 [44], CDK6 [47], CycD triple KO [45], Rb/p107/p130 [46]. White proteins: not determined. KO: knock-out; Cyc: cyclin; Rb: Retinoblastoma protein; R: restriction point.

Figure 3: Lengthening the time to first division decreases lymphoid differentiation of Ly-ST-HSCs. Single cells from the indicated populations were cultured for 3 days in presence or absence of CDK6i (Palbociclib, 200 nM), then without CDK6i for 3 weeks. (A-B): Mean time to first division (EC50 of non-linear fit of cumulative first division kinetics) (A), and clonogenic efficiency of single cells from the indicated populations (B). n= 2 experiments with independent CB samples, 144 total cells plated for CD49f+ Subset1, 336 for CD49f+ Subset2, 192 for CD49f+ Subset2 CDK6i. (C-D): Percentage of colonies containing differentiated cells of the indicated lineages (C) and of the indicated type generated by single cells of the indicated populations (D). n =2 experiments with independent CB samples, n = 128 colonies from CD49f+ Subset1, n = 105 colonies from CD49f+ Subset2, n=161 colonies from CD49f+ Subset2 CDK6i). Statistical significance shown was calculated by Fisher test using the number of colonies obtained from both experiments. (NK: expmt 1 p =0.048, expmt 2 p=0.024; My: expmt 1 p=0.039, expmt 2 p=0.056; MyNK: expmt 1 p<0.001, expmt 2 p=0.003; NK only: expmt 1 =0.016, expmt 2 p=0.418). Mean ± SEM is shown

References

1. Laurenti E, Göttgens B. From haematopoietic stem cells to complex differentiation landscapes. Nature. 2018;553:418.

2. Velthoven CTJ van, Rando TA. Stem Cell Quiescence: Dynamism, Restraint, and Cellular Idling. Cell Stem Cell. 2019;24:213–25.

3. de Almeida MJ, Luchsinger LL, Corrigan DJ, Williams LJ, Snoeck H-W. Dye-Independent Methods Reveal Elevated Mitochondrial Mass in Hematopoietic Stem Cells. Cell Stem Cell. 2017;21:725-729.e4.

4. Liang R, Arif T, Kalmykova S, Kasianov A, Lin M, Menon V, et al. Restraining Lysosomal Activity Preserves Hematopoietic Stem Cell Quiescence and Potency. Cell Stem Cell. 2020;26:359-376.e7.

5. Suda T, Takubo K, Semenza GL. Metabolic Regulation of Hematopoietic Stem Cells in the Hypoxic Niche. Cell Stem Cell. Elsevier; 2011;9:298–310.

6. Warr MR, Binnewies M, Flach J, Reynaud D, Garg T, Malhotra R, et al. FOXO3A directs a protective autophagy program in haematopoietic stem cells. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 2013;494:323–7.

7. Ho TT, Warr MR, Adelman ER, Lansinger OM, Flach J, Verovskaya EV, et al. Autophagy maintains the metabolism and function of young and old stem cells. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 2017;543:205–10.

8. Signer RAJ, Magee JA, Salic A, Morrison SJ. Haematopoietic stem cells require a highly regulated protein synthesis rate. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 2014;509:49–54.

9. Signer RAJ, Qi L, Zhao Z, Thompson D, Sigova AA, Fan ZP, et al. The rate of protein synthesis in hematopoietic stem cells is limited partly by 4E-BPs. Genes Dev [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2020 Oct 26]; Available from:

http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/early/2016/08/04/gad.282756.116

10. Liu L, Inoki A, Fan K, Mao F, Shi G, Jin X, et al. ER associated degradation preserves hematopoietic stem cell quiescence and self-renewal by restricting mTOR activity. Blood.

11. Xu L, Liu X, Peng F, Zhang W, Zheng L, Ding Y, et al. Protein quality control through endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation maintains haematopoietic stem cell identity and niche interactions. Nat Cell Biol. Nature Publishing Group; 2020;22:1162–9.

12. van Galen P, Kreso A, Mbong N, Kent DG, Fitzmaurice T, Chambers JE, et al. The unfolded protein response governs integrity of the haematopoietic stem-cell pool during stress. Nature. 2014;510:268–72.

13. Galen P van, Mbong N, Kreso A, Schoof EM, Wagenblast E, Ng SWK, et al. Integrated Stress Response Activity Marks Stem Cells in Normal Hematopoiesis and Leukemia. Cell Rep. 2018;25:1109-1117.e5.

14. Milyavsky M, Gan OI, Trottier M, Komosa M, Tabach O, Notta F, et al. A Distinctive DNA Damage Response in Human Hematopoietic Stem Cells Reveals an Apoptosis-Independent Role for p53 in Self-Renewal. Cell Stem Cell. 2010;7:186–97.

15. Mohrin M, Bourke E, Alexander D, Warr MR, Barry-Holson K, Le Beau MM, et al. Hematopoietic Stem Cell Quiescence Promotes Error-Prone DNA Repair and Mutagenesis. Cell Stem Cell. 2010;7:174–85.

16. Rodgers JT, King KY, Brett JO, Cromie MJ, Charville GW, Maguire KK, et al. mTORC1 controls the adaptive transition of quiescent stem cells from G0 to GAlert. Nature. 2014;510:393–6.

17. Llorens-Bobadilla E, Zhao S, Baser A, Saiz-Castro G, Zwadlo K, Martin-Villalba A. Single-Cell Transcriptomics Reveals a Population of Dormant Neural Stem Cells that Become Activated upon Brain Injury. Cell Stem Cell. 2015;17:329–40.

18. Bertoli C, Skotheim JM, de Bruin RAM. Control of cell cycle transcription during G1 and S phases. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2013;14:518–28.

19. Cabezas-Wallscheid N, Buettner F, Sommerkamp P, Klimmeck D, Ladel L, Thalheimer FB, et al. Vitamin A-Retinoic Acid Signaling Regulates Hematopoietic Stem Cell Dormancy. Cell. 2017;169:807-823.e19.

20. Laurenti E, Frelin C, Xie S, Ferrari R, Dunant CF, Zandi S, et al. CDK6 Levels Regulate Quiescence Exit in Human Hematopoietic Stem Cells. Cell Stem Cell. 2015;16:302–13.

21. Chung M, Liu C, Yang HW, Köberlin MS, Cappell SD, Meyer T. Transient Hysteresis in CDK4/6 Activity Underlies Passage of the Restriction Point in G1. Mol Cell. 2019;76:562-573.e4.

22. Yang HW, Cappell SD, Jaimovich A, Liu C, Chung M, Daigh LH, et al. Stress-mediated exit to quiescence restricted by increasing persistence in CDK4/6 activation. Van den Heuvel S, Akhmanova A, Van den Heuvel S, te Riele H, editors. eLife. eLife Sciences Publications, Ltd; 2020;9:e44571.

23. Coller HA, Sang L, Roberts JM. A New Description of Cellular Quiescence. PLoS Biol. 2006;4:e83.

24. Klosinska MM, Crutchfield CA, Bradley PH, Rabinowitz JD, Broach JR. Yeast cells can access distinct quiescent states. Genes Dev. 2011;25:336–49.

25. de Laval B, Maurizio J, Kandalla PK, Brisou G, Simonnet L, Huber C, et al. C/EBPβ-Dependent Epigenetic Memory Induces Trained Immunity in Hematopoietic Stem Cells. Cell Stem Cell [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 24]; Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1934590920300175

26. Mann M, Mehta A, Boer CG de, Kowalczyk MS, Lee K, Haldeman P, et al. Heterogeneous Responses of Hematopoietic Stem Cells to Inflammatory Stimuli Are Altered with Age. Cell Rep. 2018;25:2992-3005.e5.

27. Belluschi S, Calderbank EF, Ciaurro V, Pijuan-Sala B, Santoro A, Mende N, et al. Myelolymphoid lineage restriction occurs in the human haematopoietic stem cell compartment before lymphoid-primed multipotent progenitors. Nat Commun. 2018;9:4100.

28. Velten L, Haas SF, Raffel S, Blaszkiewicz S, Islam S, Hennig BP, et al. Human haematopoietic stem cell lineage commitment is a continuous process. Nat Cell Biol. 2017;19:271–81.

29. Bernitz JM, Kim HS, MacArthur B, Sieburg H, Moore K. Hematopoietic Stem Cells Count and Remember Self-Renewal Divisions. Cell. 2016;167:1296-1309.e10.

30. Foudi A, Hochedlinger K, Van Buren D, Schindler JW, Jaenisch R, Carey V, et al. Analysis of histone 2B-GFP retention reveals slowly cycling hematopoietic stem cells. Nat Biotechnol. 2009;27:84–90.

31. Qiu J, Papatsenko D, Niu X, Schaniel C, Moore K. Divisional History and Hematopoietic Stem Cell Function during Homeostasis. Stem Cell Rep. 2014;2:473–90.

32. Takizawa H, Regoes RR, Boddupalli CS, Bonhoeffer S, Manz MG. Dynamic variation in cycling of hematopoietic stem cells in steady state and inflammation. J Exp Med. 2011;208:273–84.

33. Wilson A, Laurenti E, Oser G, van der Wath RC, Blanco-Bose W, Jaworski M, et al. Hematopoietic Stem Cells Reversibly Switch from Dormancy to Self-Renewal during Homeostasis and Repair. Cell. 2008;135:1118–29. 34. Fukushima T, Tanaka Y, Hamey FK, Chang C-H, Oki T, Asada S, et al. Discrimination of Dormant and Active Hematopoietic Stem Cells by G0 Marker Reveals Dormancy Regulation by Cytoplasmic Calcium. Cell Rep. 2019;29:4144-4158.e7.

35. Lauridsen FKB, Jensen TL, Rapin N, Aslan D, Wilhelmson AS, Pundhir S, et al. Differences in Cell Cycle Status Underlie Transcriptional Heterogeneity in the HSC Compartment. Cell Rep. 2018;24:766–80.

36. Morcos MNF, Zerjatke T, Glauche I, Munz CM, Ge Y, Petzold A, et al. Continuous mitotic activity of primitive hematopoietic stem cells in adult mice. J Exp Med [Internet]. The Rockefeller University Press; 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 21];217. Available from: http://rupress.org/jem/article/217/6/e20191284/151684/Continuous-mitotic-activity-of-primitive

37. Hinge A, He J, Bartram J, Javier J, Xu J, Fjellman E, et al. Asymmetrically Segregated Mitochondria Provide Cellular Memory of Hematopoietic Stem Cell Replicative History and Drive HSC Attrition. Cell Stem Cell [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Feb 18]; Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1934590920300163

38. Kalamakis G, Brüne D, Ravichandran S, Bolz J, Fan W, Ziebell F, et al. Quiescence Modulates Stem Cell Maintenance and Regenerative Capacity in the Aging Brain. Cell. 2019;176:1407-1419.e14.

39. Bogeska R, Kaschutnig P, Fawaz M, Mikecin A-M, Büchler-Schäff M, Paffenholz S, et al. Hematopoietic stem cells fail to regenerate following inflammatory challenge. bioRxiv. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory; 2020;2020.08.01.230433.

40. Chavez JS, Rabe JL, Loeffler D, Higa KC, Hernandez G, Mills TS, et al. PU.1 enforces quiescence and limits hematopoietic stem cell expansion during inflammatory stress. bioRxiv. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory; 2020;2020.05.18.102830.

41. Matsumoto A, Nakayama KI. Role of key regulators of the cell cycle in maintenance of hematopoietic stem cells. Biochim Biophys Acta BBA - Gen Subj. 2013;1830:2335–44.

42. Nakamura-Ishizu A, Takizawa H, Suda T. The analysis, roles and regulation of quiescence in hematopoietic stem cells. Development. 2014;141:4656–66.

43. Pietras EM, Warr MR, Passegué E. Cell cycle regulation in hematopoietic stem cells. J Cell Biol. 2011;195:709–20.

44. Zou P, Yoshihara H, Hosokawa K, Tai I, Shinmyozu K, Tsukahara F, et al. p57Kip2 and p27Kip1 Cooperate to Maintain Hematopoietic Stem Cell Quiescence through Interactions with Hsc70. Cell Stem Cell. 2011;9:247–61.

45. Kozar K, Ciemerych MA, Rebel VI, Shigematsu H, Zagozdzon A, Sicinska E, et al. Mouse Development and Cell Proliferation in the Absence of D-Cyclins. Cell. Elsevier; 2004;118:477–91.

46. Viatour P, Somervaille TC, Venkatasubrahmanyam S, Kogan S, McLaughlin ME, Weissman IL, et al. Hematopoietic Stem Cell Quiescence Is Maintained by Compound Contributions of the Retinoblastoma Gene Family. Cell Stem Cell. 2008;3:416–28.

47. Scheicher R, Hoelbl-Kovacic A, Bellutti F, Tigan A-S, Prchal-Murphy M, Heller G, et al. CDK6 as a key regulator of hematopoietic and leukemic stem cell activation. Blood. 2014;blood-2014-06-584417.

48. Maurer B, Brandstoetter T, Kollmann S, Sexl V, Prchal-Murphy M. Inducible deletion of CDK4 and CDK6 - deciphering CDK4/6 inhibitor effects in the hematopoietic system. Haematologica [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 1]; Available from: https://haematologica.org/article/view/9918

49. Hydbring P, Malumbres M, Sicinski P. Non-canonical functions of cell cycle cyclins and cyclin-dependent kinases. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2016;17:280–92.

50. Uras IZ, Sexl V, Kollmann K. CDK6 Inhibition: A Novel Approach in AML Management. Int J Mol Sci [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 19];21. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7178035/

51. Romero-Pozuelo J, Figlia G, Kaya O, Martin-Villalba A, Teleman AA. Cdk4 and Cdk6 Couple the Cell-Cycle Machinery to Cell Growth via mTORC1. Cell Rep. 2020;31:107504.

52. Fujimoto T, Anderson K, Jacobsen SEW, Nishikawa S, Nerlov C. Cdk6 blocks myeloid differentiation by interfering with Runx1 DNA binding and Runx1-C/EBPα interaction. EMBO J. 2007;26:2361–70.

53. Handschick K, Beuerlein K, Jurida L, Bartkuhn M, Müller H, Soelch J, et al. Cyclin-Dependent Kinase 6 Is a Chromatin-Bound Cofactor for NF-κB-Dependent Gene Expression. Mol Cell. 2014;53:193–208.

54. Kollmann K, Heller G, Schneckenleithner C, Warsch W, Scheicher R, Ott RG, et al. A Kinase-Independent Function of CDK6 Links the Cell Cycle to Tumor Angiogenesis. Cancer Cell. 2013;24:167–81.

55. Bellutti F, Tigan A-S, Nebenfuehr S, Dolezal M, Zojer M, Grausenburger R, et al. CDK6 antagonizes p53-induced responses during tumorigenesis. Cancer Discov. 2018;CD-17-0912.

56. Pinho S, Frenette PS. Haematopoietic stem cell activity and interactions with the niche. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2019;20:303–20.

57. Orford KW, Scadden DT. Deconstructing stem cell self-renewal: genetic insights into cell-cycle regulation. Nat Rev Genet. 2008;9:115–28.

58. Mummery CL, van den Brink CE, de Laat SW. Commitment to differentiation induced by retinoic acid in P19 embryonal carcinoma cells is cell cycle dependent. Dev Biol. 1987;121:10–9.

59. Canu G, Athanasiadis E, Grandy RA, Garcia-Bernardo J, Strzelecka PM, Vallier L, et al. Analysis of endothelial-to-haematopoietic transition at the single cell level identifies cell cycle regulation as a driver of differentiation. Genome Biol. 2020;21:157.

60. Pauklin S, Vallier L. The Cell-Cycle State of Stem Cells Determines Cell Fate Propensity. Cell. 2013;155:135–47.

61. Singh AM, Chappell J, Trost R, Lin L, Wang T, Tang J, et al. Cell-Cycle Control of Developmentally Regulated Transcription Factors Accounts for Heterogeneity in Human Pluripotent Cells. Stem Cell Rep [Internet]. [cited 2013 Dec 11]; Available from: http://www.cell.com/stem-cell-reports/abstract/S2213-6711(13)00121-5

62. Lange C, Huttner WB, Calegari F. Cdk4/cyclinD1 overexpression in neural stem cells shortens G1, delays neurogenesis, and promotes the generation and expansion of basal progenitors. Cell Stem Cell. 2009;5:320–31.

63. Takahashi T, Nowakowski RS, Caviness VS. The cell cycle of the pseudostratified ventricular epithelium of the embryonic murine cerebral wall. J Neurosci. Society for Neuroscience; 1995;15:6046–57.

64. Mende N, Kuchen EE, Lesche M, Grinenko T, Kokkaliaris KD, Hanenberg H, et al. CCND1– CDK4–mediated cell cycle progression provides a competitive advantage for human hematopoietic stem cells in vivo. J Exp Med. 2015;jem.20150308.

65. Notta F, Doulatov S, Laurenti E, Poeppl A, Jurisica I, Dick JE. Isolation of single human hematopoietic stem cells capable of long-term multilineage engraftment. Science. 2011;333:218–21.

66. Cavazzana M, Bushman FD, Miccio A, André-Schmutz I, Six E. Gene therapy targeting haematopoietic stem cells for inherited diseases: progress and challenges. Nat Rev Drug Discov. Nature Publishing Group; 2019;18:447–62.

67. Wilkinson AC, Nakauchi H. Stabilizing hematopoietic stem cells in vitro. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2020;64:1–5.

68. Kallinikou K, Anjos-Afonso F, Blundell MP, Ings SJ, Watts MJ, Thrasher AJ, et al. Engraftment defect of cytokine-cultured adult human mobilized CD34+ cells is related to reduced adhesion to bone marrow niche elements. Br J Haematol. 2012;158:778–87.

69. Larochelle A, Gillette JM, Desmond R, Ichwan B, Cantilena A, Cerf A, et al. Bone marrow homing and engraftment of human hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells is mediated by a polarized membrane domain. Blood [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2012 Jan 13]; Available from: http://bloodjournal.hematologylibrary.org/content/early/2012/01/06/blood-2011-08-371583.abstract

70. Glimm H, Oh I-H, Eaves CJ. Human hematopoietic stem cells stimulated to proliferate in vitro lose engraftment potential during their S/G2/M transit and do not reenter G0. Blood. 2000;96:4185–93.

71. Csaszar E, Kirouac DC, Yu M, Wang W, Qiao W, Cooke MP, et al. Rapid Expansion of Human Hematopoietic Stem Cells by Automated Control of Inhibitory Feedback Signaling. Cell Stem Cell. 2012;10:218–29.

72. Sauvageau G, Iscove NN, Humphries RK. In vitro and in vivo expansion of hematopoietic stem cells. Oncogene. 2004;23:7223–32.

73. Petrillo C, Cesana D, Piras F, Bartolaccini S, Naldini L, Montini E, et al. Cyclosporin A and Rapamycin Relieve Distinct Lentiviral Restriction Blocks in Hematopoietic Stem and Progenitor Cells. Mol Ther [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2014 Oct 25]; Available from: http://www.nature.com.myaccess.library.utoronto.ca/mt/journal/vaop/ncurrent/full/mt20 14193a.html

74. Shin JJ, Schröder MS, Caiado F, Wyman SK, Bray NL, Bordi M, et al. Controlled Cycling and Quiescence Enables Efficient HDR in Engraftment-Enriched Adult Hematopoietic Stem and Progenitor Cells. Cell Rep. 2020;32:108093.

75. Pei W, Shang F, Wang X, Fanti A-K, Greco A, Busch K, et al. Resolving Fates and Single-Cell Transcriptomes of Hematopoietic Stem Cell Clones by PolyloxExpress Barcoding. Cell Stem Cell. Elsevier; 2020;27:383-395.e8.

76. Rodriguez-Fraticelli AE, Weinreb C, Wang S-W, Migueles RP, Jankovic M, Usart M, et al. Single-cell lineage tracing unveils a role for TCF15 in haematopoiesis. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 2020;1–5.

77. Grinenko T, Eugster A, Thielecke L, Ramasz B, Krüger A, Dietz S, et al. Hematopoietic stem cells can differentiate into restricted myeloid progenitors before cell division in mice. Nat Commun. 2018;9:1898.

78. Dong F, Hao S, Zhang S, Zhu C, Cheng H, Yang Z, et al. Differentiation of transplanted haematopoietic stem cells tracked by single-cell transcriptomic analysis. Nat Cell Biol. Nature Publishing Group; 2020;1–10.

79. Wohrer S, Knapp DJHF, Copley MR, Benz C, Kent DG, Rowe K, et al. Distinct Stromal Cell Factor Combinations Can Separately Control Hematopoietic Stem Cell Survival, Proliferation, and Self-Renewal. Cell Rep. 2014;7:1956–67.

80. Kent DG, Dykstra BJ, Cheyne J, Ma E, Eaves CJ. Steel factor coordinately regulates the molecular signature and biologic function of hematopoietic stem cells. Blood. 2008;112:560–7.

81. Knapp DJHF, Hammond CA, Miller PH, Rabu GM, Beer PA, Ricicova M, et al. Dissociation of Survival, Proliferation, and State Control in Human Hematopoietic Stem Cells. Stem Cell Rep. 2017;8:152–62.

82. Kobayashi H, Morikawa T, Okinaga A, Hamano F, Hashidate-Yoshida T, Watanuki S, et al. Environmental Optimization Enables Maintenance of Quiescent Hematopoietic Stem Cells Ex Vivo. Cell Rep. 2019;28:145-158.e9. 83. Yamazaki S, Iwama A, Takayanagi S, Eto K, Ema H, Nakauchi H. TGF-β as a candidate bone marrow niche signal to induce hematopoietic stem cell hibernation. Blood. American Society of Hematology; 2009;113:1250–6.

84. Nakahara F, Borger DK, Wei Q, Pinho S, Maryanovich M, Zahalka AH, et al. Engineering a haematopoietic stem cell niche by revitalizing mesenchymal stromal cells. Nat Cell Biol. 2019;21:560–7.

85. Fares I, Chagraoui J, Gareau Y, Gingras S, Ruel R, Mayotte N, et al. Pyrimidoindole derivatives are agonists of human hematopoietic stem cell self-renewal. Science. 2014;345:1509–12.

86. Wagner JE, Brunstein CG, Boitano AE, DeFor TE, McKenna D, Sumstad D, et al. Phase I/II Trial of StemRegenin-1 Expanded Umbilical Cord Blood Hematopoietic Stem Cells Supports Testing as a Stand-Alone Graft. Cell Stem Cell. 2016;18:144–55.

87. Wilkinson AC, Ishida R, Kikuchi M, Sudo K, Morita M, Crisostomo RV, et al. Long-term ex vivo haematopoietic-stem-cell expansion allows nonconditioned transplantation. Nature. 2019;1.

88. Luchsinger LL, Strikoudis A, Danzl NM, Bush EC, Finlayson MO, Satwani P, et al. Harnessing Hematopoietic Stem Cell Low Intracellular Calcium Improves Their Maintenance In Vitro. Cell Stem Cell [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2019 Jun 11]; Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1934590919302061

89. Xie SZ, Garcia-Prat L, Voisin V, Ferrari R, Gan OI, Wagenblast E, et al. Sphingolipid Modulation Activates Proteostasis Programs to Govern Human Hematopoietic Stem Cell Self-Renewal. Cell Stem Cell. 2019;25:639-653.e7.

90. Vetrie D, Helgason GV, Copland M. The leukaemia stem cell: similarities, differences and clinical prospects in CML and AML. Nat Rev Cancer. Nature Publishing Group; 2020;20:158–73.

91. Janzen V, Forkert R, Fleming HE, Saito Y, Waring MT, Dombkowski DM, et al. Stem-cell ageing modified by the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p16INK4a. Nature. 2006;443:421–6.

92. Yuan Y, Shen H, Franklin DS, Scadden DT, Cheng T. In vivo self-renewing divisions of haematopoietic stem cells are increased in the absence of the early G1-phase inhibitor, p18INK4C. Nat Cell Biol. 2004;6:436–42.

93. Cheng T, Rodrigues N, Dombkowski D, Stier S, Scadden DT. Stem cell repopulation efficiency but not pool size is governed by p27(kip1). Nat Med. 2000;6:1235–40.

94. Matsumoto A, Takeishi S, Kanie T, Susaki E, Onoyama I, Tateishi Y, et al. p57 Is Required for Quiescence and Maintenance of Adult Hematopoietic Stem Cells. Cell Stem Cell. 2011;9:262–71.

Figure 1









Supplemental data

Click here to access/download Supplemental data Suppl_Methods_CJ.docx