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#### Abstract

In this paper, a review is conducted specifically in the delivery routing problem, so as to understand its problems and approaches on the current developments and publications. The variants of delivery routing problem were categorized according to the constraints considered in solving the problem. The solution algorithms for the delivery routing problem were classified into hybrid and non-hybrid approaches. A collection of benchmark datasets and real case studies is also presented in relation to the delivery routing problem. The review helps to summarize and record a comprehensive survey on the delivery routing problem. The aims is to organize the variants components of delivery routing problems in a manner that provides a clear view for the readers. New potential research directions resulting from the study is also presented.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Dantzig and Ramser (1959) formulated the Vehicle Routing Problem (VRP) as a simplification of the Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP) [1]. However, VRP still captures researchers' attention even after about half a decade of research. This is due to its flexibility in different applications and the complexity that makes the problem still not completely solved. VRP is used to construct a set of optimal routes for a fleet of vehicles in order to serve a set of customers, within a set of constraints. Briefly, VRP is classified into three types, which are (1) VRP for pickup and delivery, (2) VRP for collection and (3) VRP for delivery. This review is only focused on VRP for delivery.

The delivery routing problem attempts to determine an optimal route with the objective to minimize the total distance travelled by a fleet of vehicles to deliver goods to a set of customers, based on a given set of constraints. In this problem, vehicles which are loaded with customers' goods start its route, delivers the goods to customer and ends the journey at depot with empty capacity. Examples of real-world delivery problems that gain interest of researchers are cash money delivery [2] [3], newspaper distribution [4] [5] [6], transportation of hazardous materials [7], dairy
product delivery [8], food delivery [9] [10] [11], and postal and
parcel delivery [12] [13] [14].
In the delivery routing problem, there are a number of constraints that can be considered. Among them are vehicle capacity (capacitated or uncapacitated), number or vehicle (limited or unlimited), time (time window or time period), route (symmetric or asymmetric), demand (known or unknown) and depot (single or multiple). Generally, these constraints are used as categorization in the delivery routing problem. Details on the constraints definition and descriptions are discussed in Section 2. Table 1 briefly simplifies constraints that are used as categorizations for the delivery routing problem.

In Table 1, it clearly shows that the variants of delivery routing problem are classified based on their constraints. TSP is the classic approach in delivery routing problem. This approach consider finding the best possible way of visiting all the cities and returning to the starting point that minimize the travel cost (or travel distance) when given a set of cities and the cost of travel (or distance) between each possible pairs [15]. TSP can be shown to be a specific case of VRP, where more than one vehicle used in the problem formulation [16]. In order to cope with
new problem domain, more constraints have been introduced that create more variants of delivery
routing problem, where each constraints specially classified the variants.

Table 1: Classifications Of Constraints In Delivery Routing Problem.

| Constraint | Characteristic | Delivery Routing Problems Variants |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| visit all cities, <br> return to starting <br> point | single salesman | Travelling Salesman Problem (TSP) |
| vehicle capacity | uncapacitated |  |
|  | capacitated | Vehicle Routing Problem (VRP) |
| demand | stochastic | Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem (CVRP) |
| time | time window | Vehicle Routing Problem Stochastic Demand (VRPSD) |
|  | random travel time | Vehicle Routing Problem Stochastic Demand With Time Window (VRPSDTW) |
|  | capacitated | Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem With Time Window (CVRPTW) |
|  | multiple depot | Multiple Depot Vehicle Routing Problem With Time Window (MDVRPTW) |
|  | open time window | Open Vehicle Routing Problem With Time Window (OVRPTW) |
| route | symmetric | Symmetric/Vehicle Routing Problem (SVRP/VRP) |
|  | asymmetric | Asymmetric Travelling Salesman Problem (ATSP) |
|  | heterogeneous | Heterogeneous Fixed/Fleet Vehicle Routing Problem (HFVRP/HFFVRP) |
| vehicle type | multiple | Multi Depot Open Vehicle Routing Problem (MDOVRP) |
| depot |  |  |

The objective of this review is to summarize and record a comprehensive survey on the most three important component in solving delivery routing problem. Those components are (1) constraints, (2) solution algorithms and (3) delivery dataset. By providing the information related to the three main components, this study aims to inspire further research in exploring the new least studied area or any potential in the area of delivery routing problem with better insight into potential algorithms that can be applied. This review has concentrated on those publications that are considered most significant, within the authors’ knowledge, to the delivery routing problem from 1996 to 2016.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, the explanation on the constraints of the delivery routing problem from the related literature are presented. Next, in Section 3, the solution algorithms designed to solve the delivery routing problem are discussed and classified into hybrid and non-hybrid techniques. The classification of the solution algorithms is also based on the variants of delivery routing problem. Further, the delivery datasets used to justify the efficiency of the solution algorithms from the related literature are discussed in Section 4. Section 5 discusses on the research issues which are arise from the review. Finally, the
summary on the delivery routing problem with new potential research directions are presented in Section 6.

## 2. CONSTRAINTS ON THE DELIVERY PROBLEM

This section discusses on the constraints that have been used in the delivery routing problem. Fundamentally, in the delivery routing problem, constraints can be categorized as soft and hard constraints. Hard constraints can be defined as mandatory, that need to be fulfilled in any variants of delivery routing problem. Fail to fulfill this constraints will affect the feasibility of the constructed solutions. On the other hand, the soft constraints are considered as optional, where it can be ignored in certain situation when solving the problem. However it may affect the solution quality if the constraints is violated. The consideration of the two types of constraints is depended on the variants of delivery routing problem. A constraints can be hard in a study but can also be a soft constraint in other studies. As long as it fulfill the objective of delivery routing problem, then the solution is considered as good enough.

For example, studies by [10] and [11] focused on Vehicle Routing Problem Stochastic

Demand With Time Window (VRPSDTW). The time window in the studies is referred as a period of time whenever customers are served in the delivery process. This time window constraint can be a hard or soft constraint. Study by [11] applied hard time windows where it address on-time delivery. In the
case of hard time windows, late arrival vehicles are not allowed. On the contrary, study by [10] employed soft time window, where delivery failure will be defined whenever customers did not received the goods within the appointed time window, but nonetheless loss penalties are incurred. This means, in the case of soft time window, the constraint can be violated, but with a penalty cost which can reflect the solution quality. In both cases, early arrival vehicles must wait until the customer's earliest acceptable service time begins. Then, stochastic demand constraints within VRPSDTW, are referred as to uncertainties in customer demands. Meaning that, the exact customer demands only will be known when the vehicle arrives at the customer's location.

Clearly it can be stated that, although both studies are in the same variants of delivery routing problem, the consideration of hard and soft constraints are depended on their domain applications. This implies that hard constraints in some studies can be violated and become soft constraints in other studies. In conclusion, this scenario indicates that, the delivery routing problem is a dynamic expandable problem, used to model real-world situation in order to solve specific problems. The flexibility of the delivery routing problem has been one of the major reasons why studies on it have been conducted over half a century since it's introduced.

Some of the major constraints in the delivery routing problem have been categorized in Table 1 to describe their variants. For example, Vehicle Routing Problem Stochastic Demand (VRPSD) is a VRP variants that consider stochastic demand in the problem domain. Stochastic demand here is referring to customer demands were assumed to be stochastic, such as that the exact demand value is known only upon the arrival of the vehicles at the customer location.

The constraints that are used as previous studies classification in this review are travelling distance, vehicle capacity, type and number of vehicle used in the routing, demand, route and service. Details on the constraints are described in Table 2. Table 3 had classified previous studies based on the discussed constraints in this review. Appendix I for Table 3. Each delivery routing
problem has their own constraints to be considered based on its implementation. The more constraints considered in a problem, the more complex the problem is and more complex solution algorithm is needed in solving the problem.

From the various literature that have been reviewed, it can be concluded that in the delivery routing problem, the soft and hard constraints are depended on the variants of delivery routing problem along with the implemented application domain.

Table 2: Details Description On Constraints Used As Previous Studies Classification.

| Constraints | Descriptions |
| :---: | :---: |
| Travelling distance | travelling distance is referred to minimum total cost or minimum total travel times |
| Vehicle capacity | weight limitation or capacity is total demand of customer on the route that cannot exceed the capacity limit of the vehicle |
|  | railway number is referred to a fixed railway network and equipment infrastructure |
| Vehicle type | homogenous is referred to identical vehicle |
|  | heterogeneous is referred to different vehicle |
| Number of vehicle | limited number of vehicle is referred to a fixed number of vehicle used in the routing |
|  | unlimited number of vehicle is referred to unfixed number of vehicle used in the routing |
| Demand | known demand is referred as customers' demands are known beforehand |
|  | stochastic demand is referred as customers' demands are known only when the vehicle arrives to them |
| Time | time window is referred as a period of time whenever customers are served in the delivery process |
|  | precision time is referred as on-time delivery where delivery must be made within given time |
| Route | symmetric route is consider as distance between two locations is the same in each opposite direction |
|  | asymmetric route is consider as the distance might be different between two locations, where the distance is not the same in each opposite direction or paths may not exist in both directions |
| Service | service is referred as customer will only visited once by a single vehicle |

## 3. TYPES OF SOLUTION ALGORITHM

Over the decade, there are tremendous algorithms proposed to solve the delivery routing problem. Therefore, choosing an appropriate solution, is the key success factor to achieve optimized results. The solution algorithms can be classified into two broad categories; (1) the exact algorithm and (2) heuristic algorithm, and then evolve rapidly over time as shown in Figure 1.
 that has the capability to provide an optimized solution for the optimization problem. Study [17] has reported that the key success factor of exact algorithm is the effective combination of the set partitioning formulation with families of cuts into column generation based algorithms. Several Exact algorithm that had been captured researcher interest are Branch-and-Price [41] and Set Partitioning [20]. Although the exact algorithm can provide the optimized solution, it has a very major limitation, which is, it cannot cope with large problems [18] as a restriction in computational requirements [19]. Subramanian et al. [20] stated that, constraints in exact algorithms are very time-consuming and can hardly solve VRP instances greater than 150 customers.


Figure 1: Solution Algorithm for Delivery Routing Problem

The Heuristic algorithm is introduced to solve optimization problems for large size of instances. Sweep Algorithm [3] [23] [34] and Nearest Neighbor Algorithm [3] [10] are examples of heuristic algorithm that have been studied recently. The heuristic algorithms have been considered as an approximate solution that finds possible solutions, but it will not guarantee the optimal solution found. This solution is occasionally being used to find a solution that is close to the best one with much faster speed. To overcome the limitation of finding the optimized solution in a large search area, the metaheuristic algorithm has been introduced. Metaheuristic is a high level heuristic algorithm. The strengths of metaheuristic is, it has the ability to utilize best capabilities of heuristic algorithm to compute for more effective solutions. This situation is achieve due to metaheuristic capability to avoid from being stuck in local minimum and also its capability to guide heuristic algorithm in the search space.

Metaheuristic algorithms can be classified into two which are (1) local search-based approaches and (2) population-based approaches. Examples of local search-based approaches are Simulated Annealing [38] [40] [44] and Tabu Search [33] [45]. Examples of population-based approaches are Genetic Algorithm [30] [32] [33]. In Population-based approaches, the natured-inspired algorithm is then introduced to optimize the delivery routing problem by using nature as an inspiration. The sources of the natured-inspired algorithms are diverse. Four classifications of bases have been identified which include (1) Swarm Intelligence (SI), (2) non-swarm bio-inspired, (3) physics and chemistry and (4) other algorithms [21]. Based on the studies conducted in [21], SI algorithms such as Ant Colony Optimization [9] [14] [29] [33] [35] [36] [38], Particle Swarm Optimization [26], Bat Algorithm [27] and Firefly Algorithm [6] are popular due to their high efficiency in solving delivery routing problem.

From the solution algorithms discussed above, it can be concluded that there are some limitations in every algorithm. For example, exact algorithm have limitation on size limits and execution time. As mentioned earlier, heuristics algorithm have limitation of finding the optimized solution in a large search area. Contrary to metaheuristic algorithm, only when the pure metaheuristic had achieved their limit, combination of different algorithms is used [22] in order to extend the capabilities of the combination of algorithms. Due to this situations, in order to complement each algorithm, so that an efficient result is achieved, the hybrid algorithm techniques have been introduced. The term hybrid is referred to a combination of algorithms to solve problems in their domain. The hybridization of metaheuristic algorithm is gaining more interest in the combinatorial optimization [22] as it has the ability to overcome the ineffectiveness of one another. A non-hybrid algorithm refers to a single algorithm used to solve the delivery routing problem. In this review, solution algorithms were categorized into two main categories: (1) hybrid and (2) non-hybrid algorithms. Table 3 presents the types of solution algorithms designed to solve the delivery routing problem which are grouped based on their variants. Refer to Appendix II.


Figure 2: Hybrid and non-hybrid solution algorithm applied in delivery routing problem based on years.

Figure 2 shows the trend of solution algorithms used in solving optimization of the delivery routing problem. Starting in the 1990 's, the hybrid solution algorithms has shown its popularity in solving the delivery routing problems. Further, it can be seen that the hybrid algorithms have been increasingly used by researchers in determining the best way to solve the optimization problems especially in the year 2010 compared to the nonhybrid solution algorithms. Even though the current research trend shows that hybrid solution algorithms are still popular compared to non-hybrid solution algorithms, however there is a decrement in its usage. This may be due to the limited publications in the area of delivery routing problem.

## 4. DELIVERY DATASET

In order to verify the solution algorithm derived from each of the literature, datasets are a very important element to be considered as they are used to test the solution algorithms. In this review, the dataset of the delivery routing problem, are classified into either symmetric or asymmetric. In the symmetric dataset, the route is consider as Euclidean distance, where the distance between two locations is the same in each opposite direction. Meanwhile, in the asymmetric dataset, the distance might be different between two locations, where the distance is not the same in each opposite direction or paths may not exist in both directions. Realworld situations are more towards the asymmetric problems, especially in urban area where there are many one way roads and highways.

Rodríguez and Ruiz (2012), in their study, stated that asymmetric degree in datasets strongly
effects solutions of delivery routing problem where more CPU time is needed to process the solutions which can weaken the quality of solutions obtained [23]. Although the real-world problems are more in asymmetric and there is a huge difference in finding solutions for the asymmetric data, there are still very limited studies on it [24].

In this review, the delivery dataset is categorized into (1) benchmark dataset and (2) case study dataset. In the academic world, there are some conflicts in using the benchmark dataset and case study dataset. The case study dataset provides constraints that are closed to real-world situations. Unfortunately, by using the case study dataset in the real world, comparison on the obtained optimal solution is difficult to make as the dataset cannot be shared and used for other studies. Therefore, sometimes it is hard to prove which solution algorithm can produce a better result.

On the other hand, the benchmark dataset will provide a set of tested datasets with optimal solutions to be used as a comparison. Optimal solution in benchmark dataset is known as Best Known Solution (BKS) or Best Known Result (BKR). By knowing the BKS for each instance in the benchmark dataset, researchers will have a guideline on the proven optimality for the instances. Therefore, this BKS value can be a standard value for them to challenge in order to prove the efficiency of their solution algorithm is more efficient in solving the delivery routing problem. The benchmark dataset can be found in various locations on the Internet, making it possible for researchers to use them in their study. Nonetheless, to date, benchmark datasets only provide a small amount of customers or nodes for the routing process and most of them had find their BKS. This scenario has led to the formulation of new benchmark datasets with a larger customer size to map the real-world situations and constraints [23].

This review has introduced a classification of datasets being used for the delivery routing problems. Table 4 simplified the classification by grouping the dataset according to the variants of delivery routing problem. Appendix III for Table 5. The details of the dataset are explained and their references are listed for further information.

From the articles used in this review, it is inappropriate to compare the BKS for each of the benchmark dataset. This is because, each proposed solution algorithm in the delivery routing problem may have different objective functions and constraints. It is known that different objective
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functions and constraints resulted in different solution algorithms.

## 5. RESEARCH ISSUES

Several issues that can be highlighted based on the most three important components; (1) constraints, (2) solution algorithm and (3) datasets in solving delivery routing problem that has been discussed in this review are;

- Most solution algorithms that have been proposed on recent studies of delivery routing problem are specific to one variant and not suitable to other variants.
- Most of the previous studies has mentioned that it is a need to hybridizing more solutions algorithm to overcome flaw in a single solution algorithm.
- There is a need on finding a way to automatically produce the parameters (or to adapt the parameters during iterations) in order to reduce the interference of the human expert.
- Most of the benchmark datasets used to verify the solution algorithm need to be relevant in accordance to the real world situation, which is asymmetric.


## 6. SUMMARY

The delivery routing problem is an optimization problem that is flexible. It is a dynamic expandable problem that can be used to model any real-world situation in order to solve specific problems. In this review, three main components in the delivery routing problem have been explored: (1) constraints in delivery routing problem; (2) solution algorithms used; and (3) delivery datasets. It is worth pointing out that, the novelty of this study is the review is specifically made focusing on the delivery routing problem. Therefore, the three main components being discussed are tailored to each other and provide an effective and comprehensive information in the study domain.

Constraints in the delivery routing problem depends on the application domain of the problem. It is very interesting that many problems in the real world can be mapped into the delivery routing problem. Some of the interesting topics that can be considered in this study domain are the delivery of goods, lunch foods, perishable foods, mails, parcels, gas, toxic items, newspaper, cash delivery, and air cargo.

Based on the many articles that have been reviewed, the hybrid solution algorithms is the top
trend to solve the delivery routing problem. It is important to choose the right algorithm to hybridize with so that an optimal solution will be achieved when solving the related problem. From this review, it is found that a very promising result can be obtained if the bio-inspired algorithm is hybridized with the metaheuristic algorithm.

Between the case study dataset and benchmark dataset used to verify the solution algorithms in the delivery routing problem, it is suggested to first work with the benchmark dataset. This is because, the solution used can be compared with existing BKS and later it can be implemented to solve real-world problems. Studies related with asymmetric dataset is closed to real-world situations and is also needed as there are limited studies on it.

As discussed in this review, the delivery routing problem will remain an endless study domain in the future as its flexibility in different applications that can be mapped into real-world situations. It is also found that, several proposed solution algorithms that has been discussed are significant to be used and has been adapted in some real world situations.
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APPENDIX I
Table 3：Delivery Routing Problem Constraints Classifications Based On Its Variants．

|  |  | $\stackrel{\omega}{n}$ | $\frac{\hat{\omega}}{\frac{2}{4}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{x}}{7}$ | $\frac{\tilde{y}}{z}$ | 会 | $\frac{3}{2}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{N} \\ & \frac{\hat{N}}{\bar{y}} \end{aligned}$ |  | 苞 |  |  | 㬺 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Travelling distance | minimum total cost or minimum total travel times | $\begin{aligned} & {[25]} \\ & {[26]} \\ & {[27]} \\ & {[28]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[27]} \\ & {[28]} \\ & {[29]} \\ & {[30]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]} \\ & {[31]} \\ & {[32]} \\ & {[33]} \\ & {[34]} \end{aligned}$ | ［7］ <br> ［12］ <br> ［20］ <br> ［35］ <br> ［36］ <br> ［37］ <br> ［38］ | $\begin{aligned} & {[20]} \\ & {[14]} \\ & {[39]} \\ & {[23]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[3]} \\ & {[4]} \\ & {[13]} \\ & {[40]} \\ & {[41]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline[5] \\ & {[42]} \end{aligned}$ | ［43］ | $\begin{aligned} & {[10]} \\ & {[11]} \end{aligned}$ | ［44］ | ［9］ | ［45］ | ［8］ |
| Vehicle capacity | weight limitation |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]} \\ & {[31]} \\ & {[33]} \\ & {[34]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline[7] \\ & {[12]} \\ & {[35]} \\ & {[37]} \\ & {[38]} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | ［3］ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline[5] \\ & {[42]} \end{aligned}$ |  | ［10］ | ［44］ | ［9］ |  | ［8］ |
|  | railway number |  | ［29］ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle type | homogenous |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]} \\ & {[31]} \end{aligned}$ | ［7］ <br> ［12］ <br> ［20］ <br> ［38］ | $\begin{aligned} & {[20]} \\ & {[39]} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline[5] \\ & {[42]} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | ［9］ |  |  |
|  | heterogeneous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ［8］ |
| Number of vehicle | limited |  |  |  | ［37］ | ［14］ | ［4］ | ［5］ |  | $\begin{aligned} & {[10]} \\ & {[11]} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | ［8］ |
|  | unlimited |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]} \\ & {[31]} \end{aligned}$ | ［35］ | ［23］ | $\begin{aligned} & {[40]} \\ & {[41]} \end{aligned}$ | ［42］ |  |  | ［44］ | ［9］ | ［45］ |  |
| Demand | known |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & {[31]} \\ & {[32]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline[7] \\ & {[12]} \\ & {[20]} \\ & {[36]} \\ & {[37]} \\ & {[38]} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ［20］ | ［3］ <br> ［4］ <br> ［40］ <br> ［41］ | $\begin{aligned} & {[5]} \\ & {[42]} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ［44］ | ［9］ | ［45］ |  |
|  | stochastic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ［43］ | $\begin{aligned} & {[10]} \\ & {[11]} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Time | time window |  |  |  |  |  | ［3］ <br> ［4］ <br> ［13］ <br> ［40］ <br> ［41］ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline[5] \\ & {[42]} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline[10] \\ & {[11]} \end{aligned}$ | ［44］ |  | ［45］ |  |
|  | precision |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & {[3]} \\ & {[13]} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ［11］ | ［44］ |  |  |  |
| Route | symmetric | $\begin{aligned} & \hline[25] \\ & {[27]} \\ & {[28]} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]} \\ & {[33]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline[12] \\ & {[20]} \\ & {[35]} \\ & {[37]} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline[5] \\ & {[42]} \end{aligned}$ | ［43］ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline[10] \\ & {[11]} \end{aligned}$ | ［44］ | ［9］ | ［45］ |  |
|  | asymmetric |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline[27] \\ & {[28]} \\ & {[29]} \\ & {[30]} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & {[20]} \\ & {[14]} \\ & {[39]} \\ & {[23]} \end{aligned}$ | ［13］ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service | customer visited once | $\begin{aligned} & \hline[26] \\ & {[28]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[28]} \\ & {[30]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]} \\ & {[31]} \\ & {[32]} \\ & {[33]} \\ & {[34]} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ［7］ <br> ［20］ <br> ［35］ <br> ［36］ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline[20] \\ & {[14]} \\ & {[39]} \\ & {[23]} \end{aligned}$ | ［4］ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline[5] \\ & {[42]} \end{aligned}$ | ［43］ |  |  | ［9］ | ［45］ | ［8］ |

## APPENDIX II

Table 4: Types Of Solution Algorithm Designed To Solve Delivery Routing Problems Grouped By Variants.

|  | Hybrid |  | Non-hybrid |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algorithm | Reference | Algorithm | Reference |
| TSP | Improved Bat Algorithm (BA + 2-opt + 3-opt) | [27] | Bruce Force | [25] |
|  | Ant Colony System(ACS) + 3-opt | [28] | Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) | [26] |
| ATSP | Improved Bat Algorithm (BA + 2-opt + 3-opt) | [27] | Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) | [29] |
|  | Ant Colony System(ACS) + 3-opt | [28] |  |  |
|  | New Genetic Algorithm (GA + Edge Assembly Crossover-EAX) | [30] |  |  |
| VRP | Genetic Algorithm + Crossover Method | [32] | Ant System (ACO: single and multiple colony) | [31] |
|  | IACO (Improved Ant Colony Optimization) <br> (ACO + Tabu Search + Genetic Algorithm + 2-Opt) | [33] |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sweep Algorithm (SW) + Ant Colony System } \\ & \text { (ACS) + 3-opt } \end{aligned}$ | [34] |  |  |
| CVRP | List Based Threshold Accepting + Local Search (2opt) | [7] | Augment-insert Algorithm | [12] |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ant Colony Optimization(ACO) + Semi Greedy } \\ & + \text { NEH } \end{aligned}$ | [35] | Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) | [36] |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Set Partitioning (SP) + (Iterated Local Search (ILS) } \\ & + \text { Mixed Integer Programming (MIP)) } \end{aligned}$ | [20] | Fisher and Jaikumar Holmes and Parker Algorithm Fisher and Jaikumar | [37] |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Simulated Annealing(SA) + Ant Colony } \\ & \text { Optimization (ACO) } \end{aligned}$ | [38] |  |  |
| ACVRP | Ant Colony Optimization +2 -Opt $+\lambda$ interchange | [14] | AV | [39] |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Set Partitioning (SP) + (Iterated Local Search (ILS) } \\ & + \text { Mixed Integer Programming (MIP)) } \end{aligned}$ | [20] | Clark and Wright (CW) <br> Sweep Algorithm (SW) <br> General Heuristic of Pisinger and <br> Ropke (PR) <br> Memetic Algorithm of Nagata (NA) | [23] |
| VRPTW | Route Planning Agent (Optimization Model + Route Data + Route_Planning_Algorithm) | [13] | Sweep Algorithm, Group Sweep Algorithm, Nearest Neighbor Algorithm | [3] |
|  | Simulated Annealing + Iterative Local Search | [40] | Skipped Sweep Method | [4] |
|  |  |  | Branch-and-Price | [41] |
| CVRPTW | Clark and Wright Savings + Local Search | [5] |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Three-Phase Algorithm (3PA: k-means + Beam } \\ & \text { Search + Local Search) } \end{aligned}$ | [42] |  |  |
| VRPSD | Combinatorial Neighborhood Topology Glowworm Swarm Optimization (CNTGSO) + Variable Neighborhood Search (VNS) + Path Relinking (PR) | [43] |  |  |
| VRPSDTW | Resource Model + Probabilistic Model (RMPC) | [11] | Extended Time-Oriented NearestNeighbor Heuristic | [10] |
| MDVRPTW | Modified Simulated Annealing (Simulated Annealing + Half-Delivery Scheme) | [44] |  |  |
| MDOVRP | Ant Colony Optimization + 2-opt | [9] |  |  |
| OVRPTW | Evolutionary Algorithm (EA) + Tabu Search | [45] |  |  |
| HFFVRP |  |  | Backtracking Adaptive Threshold Accepting | [8] |
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## APPENDIX III

Table 5: Types Of Dataset Used To Test The Algorithm Designed In Solving Delivery Routing Problems.

| Variants | Dataset | Details | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSP | Benchmark Dataset TSPLIB Reinelt (1991) | - 22 instances | [27] |
|  | TSPLIB95 | - contains variants of TSP dataset <br> - 147 TSP dataset used in the reference <br> - Oliver30 dataset being used in the reference | $\begin{aligned} & {[26]} \\ & {[28]} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Case Study Dataset <br> Distance between buildings in university | - 1 depot with 9 buildings as customer to deliver mail <br> - geographical Information System (GIS) was utilized for the analysis of the delivery routes and the measurement of distance from building to building | [25] |
| ATSP | Benchmark Dataset TSPLIB Reinelt (1991) | - 15 instances | [27] |
|  | TSPLIB95 | - Contains variants of TSP dataset <br> - ry48p dataset being used in the reference <br> - 27 ATSP datasets used in the reference | $\begin{aligned} & {[28]} \\ & {[30]} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Soler et. al | - 126 ATSP datasets used in the reference | [30] |
|  | Case Study Dataset Simulation data for train schedule | - considered a railway terminal station with four railroads, each with an attached platform <br> - there are 5 train services <br> - considered type 4 railway capacity: maximization of the number of trains that can be scheduled at a railway station | [29] |
| VRP | Benchmark Dataset <br> Christofides N, Eilon S. (1969) | - the same 3 problems used in the research <br> - C1 with 50 customers, C3 with 100 customers and C4 with 150 customers | [31] |
|  | Vehicle Routing Dataset (branchandcut.org) | - Contains variants of VRP dataset <br> - R101 - R107 and RC208 datasets used in the reference | [32] |
|  | OR-library (Beasley, 1990) | - Contains variants of VRP dataset <br> - 14 problems dataset used in the reference <br> - Size data (customer) from $50-199$ and capacity range from 140-200 | [33] |
| CVRP | Benchmark Dataset Christofides, Mingozzi and Toth (1979) | - 14 instances (denoted by C1-C14) with 50 customers to 199 customers for different instances <br> - $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 10$ : customers with random location <br> - C11-C14: customers are clustered <br> - conditions for $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 5$ are similar to $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 10$ <br> - C6-C10: limited routing path length, each vehicle <br> - C13-C14 have the routing path length constraints <br> - instances with length limitation also include the service time cost considerations on all the customers | $\begin{aligned} & {[14]} \\ & {[20]} \\ & {[35]} \\ & {[43]} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Set M (Christofides, Mingozzi \& Toth, (1979)) | - used 3 open instances in the M series, namely M-n151-k12, M-n200-k16 and M-n200k17 <br> - customer are in range of 150 and 199 | [20] |
|  | Golden, Wasil, Kelly and Chao (1998) | - composed of 20 large-scale instances for CVRP <br> - customer are in the range of 200 to 480 <br> - some instances have restrictions on the maximum length of every route | [20] |
|  | Toth and Vigo (2003) | - considered several Euclidean VRP and DVRP instances from the literature with up to about 500 customers <br> - 14 classic Euclidean VRP and DVRP instances described in Christofides and Eilon (1969) and Christofides et al. (1979) <br> - 3 Euclidean VRP instances proposed by Fisher (1994) <br> - 20 large scale Euclidean VRP and DVRP by Golden et al. (1998) | [38] |
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|  | Transportation of gas cylinders in Greater Athens Area | - more or less 10000 roads with 300 customers <br> - the network is divided into regions of variable risk with 3 contour areas presented: areas of high-risk (yellow), medium-risk (green), low-risk (red) | [7] |
|  | Italian Postal Delivery System | - postal network described as directed graph <br> - 1 Post Automation Center (depot) to distribute mail to 48 Postal Delivery Centers (customers) <br> - vehicle capacity 550 kg <br> - weight of mail not mentioned <br> - used the Decision Support System Approach | [12] |
|  | Virtual environment | - created a virtual environment <br> - a centrally located depot with 15 customers <br> - then calculate the route length | [36] |
|  | Coca-Cola Distribution in Rajshahi, Bangladesh | - a distribution center in Rajshahi city supplies coca-cola to 15 places <br> - used 4 vehicles in 2 days to supply 350 Coca-Cola cases | [37] |
| ACVRP | Benchmark Dataset Fischetti, Toth and Vigo | - instances number used is 24 <br> - vehicle capacity used is same, 1000 <br> - customer number used is 33 to 70 <br> - vehicle number used is 2 to 12 | [20] |
|  | Pessoa et al. | - considered the same dataset of Fischetti, Toth, and Vigo, but different capacities ( 150,250 , and 500 ) <br> - but the reference do not consider instances with 150 capacity as they can be easily solved | [20] |
|  | ACVRP_Depot | - 540 location files, for different number of nodes on several territories and depot locations <br> - 540 distance matrix files (real asymmetric) <br> - 360 demand and maximum capacity files | [23] |
|  | Case Study Dataset randomly generated test problem | - several classes of test problem are randomly generated and used as ACVRP dataset <br> - up to 300 customers | [39] |
|  | Pharmaceutical and herbalist product delivery in Bologna | - vehicle is 3 <br> - capacity is 1000 <br> - customer is 70 <br> - delivery must be made in 4 hours, no time window | [39] |
|  | Real-life mail delivery network problem | - the mail delivery base selected consists of 13 vehicles and covers around 3000 homes and buildings <br> - all the nodes are clustered into small clusters <br> - based on the result of all the clusters, a VRP is formed comprising all the clusters <br> - then, the actual distance data is derived from a commercial GIS software | [14] |
| VRPTW | Benchmark Dataset Solomon | - 56 problem instances, classified as 6 different sets <br> - each set contains, 8 and 12,100 -node problems <br> - R1 and R2: random dataset <br> - C1 and C2: clustered <br> - RC1 and RC2: semi-clustered <br> - can be used directly for OVRPTW | $\begin{aligned} & {[40]} \\ & {[41]} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Case Study <br> Cash distribution of bank in Bangkok, Thailand | - 377 branches and has 3 distribution centers <br> - Hours of operation of each branch depends on where are it located <br> - If located at department store; 11 am until 8 pm . <br> - If located elsewhere; 8.30 a.m. -3.30 p.m. <br> - Each depot/distribution center (DC) - A, B, and C - has different processing capacity at the proportion of $50: 30: 20$ <br> - Each DC's operating time is from 8.00 a.m. to $5 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. | [3] |
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|  | Morning newspaper delivery in Bangkok, Thailand | - newspaper distribution from Distribution Center (DC) to drop points, not end customer <br> - vehicle is 7 , vehicle capacity is 2000 <br> - 118 nodes with different demands (22-235) time window is 200 minutes | [4] |
|  | Postal express mail network in Shandong, China | - express mail distributed from 10 postal central offices to 7 cantonal offices, with 257 post roads <br> - time window is next morning arrival (8.30 a.m.) | [13] |
| CVRPTW | Benchmark Dataset Solomon Dataset | - used 2 instances from the Solomon dataset (C1 \& C2) <br> - C1: customers: 200 , service time: 90 , vehicle capacity is 200 <br> - C2: customers 100 , service time 90 , vehicle capacity is 700 | [42] |
|  | Case Study Dataset Newspaper delivery for 26 districts in Ashanti, Ghana | - distribution from regional capital to districts capital not to end users <br> - 27 nodes with 1 depot <br> - vehicle is 6 <br> - time window is 180 minutes | [5] |
| VRPSD | Benchmark Dataset <br> Christiansen and <br> Lysgaard 2007 | - dataset made up of 40 instances <br> - nodes from 16 to 60 <br> - vehicles from 2 to 15 | [43] |
| VRPSDTW | Benchmark Dataset Modified from Ak and Erera (2007) | - single depot <br> - 4 customers <br> - time window is 60 minutes | [11] |
|  | Case Study Dataset Delivery of perishable, temperature sensitive food, from a distribution center, using a vehicle with frozen storage equipment | - rectangular grid network that covers 50 square kilometers with random node locations <br> - 1 depot as distribution center <br> - 50 customers <br> - deliver lunch box items to local retail customers <br> - customer time window duration, half hour <br> - customer demand ranged, ten items to items <br> - customers' time-windows randomly generated between 6:20-11:00 a.m. <br> - service times for customers: demand-dependent temperature 24 hours and $8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | [10] |
| MDVRPTW | Case Study Dataset <br> Newspaper publication company in Korea, Hankuk-ilbo | - 3 main distribution centers (depot) <br> - 250 local distribution centers (customer) <br> - newspaper should delivered by truck to the local distribution centers by 4.00 a.m. <br> - assign local centers to main centers | [44] |
| MDOVRP | Case Study Dataset Seafood product delivery in Dalian, China | - seafood product depots send seafood product to hypermarkets <br> - a method is used to reduce the complexity of the problem by changing the MDOVRP into an OVRP with a dummy central depot vehicle capacity is 200 | [9] |
| HFFVRP | Case Study Dataset <br> Fresh milk distribution for a dense populated area of the Greek capital | - diary company distributed milk to 299 customers <br> - use heterogeneous vehicle: 3 types of vehicle <br> - total load 6120 <br> - total demand 4644 | [8] |

