- 1 Predatory bacteria in combination with solar disinfection and solar photocatalysis for the
- 2 treatment of rainwater
- 3
- Waso, M.¹, Khan, S.², Singh, A.³, McMichael, S.³, Ahmed, W.⁴, Fernández-Ibáñez, P.³, Byrne, J.A.³
 and Khan, W.^{1*}

7	¹ Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Stellenbosch University, Private Bag X1,
8	Stellenbosch, 7602, South Africa.
9	² Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Johannesburg, PO Box 17011, Doornfontein, 2028, South
10	Africa.
11	³ Nanotechnology and Integrated BioEngineering Centre, Ulster University, Jordanstown Campus,
12	Shore Road, Newtownabbey, Belfast, BT37 0QB, Northern Ireland.
13	⁴ CSIRO Land and Water, Ecosciences Precinct, 41 Boggo Road, Queensland, 4102, Australia.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	*Address Correspondence to W. Khan; Email: <u>wesaal@sun.ac.za</u> ; Tel: +27 21 808 5804; Fax: +27
23	21 808 5846

24 Abstract

25 The predatory bacterium, Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus, was applied as a biological pre-treatment to solar disinfection and solar photocatalytic disinfection for rainwater treatment. The photocatalyst 26 27 used was immobilised titanium-dioxide reduced graphene oxide. The pre-treatment followed by solar photocatalysis for 120 min under natural sunlight reduced the viable counts of Klebsiella pneumoniae 28 29 from 2.00 × 10⁹ colony forming units (CFU)/mL to below the detection limit (BDL) (<1 CFU/100 μ L). 30 Correspondingly, ethidium monoazide bromide quantitative PCR analysis indicated a high total log reduction in K. pneumoniae gene copies (GC)/mL (5.85 logs after solar photocatalysis for 240 min). 31 32 In contrast, solar disinfection and solar photocatalysis without the biological pre-treatment were more effective for Enterococcus faecium disinfection as the viable counts of E. faecium were reduced by 33 8.00 logs (from 1.00 × 10⁸ CFU/mL to BDL) and the gene copies were reduced by ~3.39 logs (from 34 2.09×10^{6} GC/mL to ~9.00 × 10² GC/mL) after 240 min of treatment. Predatory bacteria can be 35 36 applied as a pre-treatment to solar disinfection and solar photocatalytic treatment to enhance the removal efficiency of Gram-negative bacteria, which is crucial for the development of a targeted 37 water treatment approach. 38

39

Keywords: Harvested rainwater; *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus*; Biological pre-treatment; Solar
 disinfection; Photocatalysis

42 1. Introduction

43 Domestic rainwater harvesting is employed as a supplementary water source, particularly in water scarce regions. However, the quality of harvested rainwater does not always comply with drinking 44 water standards, and some bacteria of public health concern such as *Pseudomonas*, *Klebsiella*, 45 Campylobacter and Staphylococcus spp., have been detected in rainwater samples (De 46 Kwaadsteniet et al., 2013). While various treatment methods have been investigated and applied to 47 disinfect rainwater (Dobrowsky et al., 2015; Reyneke et al., 2016), the World Health Organisation 48 (WHO) recognises solar disinfection (SODIS) as a cost-effective, household-based technology, 49 50 which can be employed to decrease the number of viable pathogenic organisms in contaminated water sources and reduce the incidence of diarrheal disease (Byrne et al., 2011). The protocol 51 involves exposing water in UV-visible transparent containers to direct sunlight for a minimum of 6 h 52 (48 h in cloudy conditions). Nalwanga et al. (2018) investigated the use of SODIS with 2 L 53 54 polyethylene-terephthalate (PET) bottles for the treatment of harvested rainwater in Uganda. While 55 the viable counts of Escherichia coli and faecal enterococci exceeded drinking water standards in the majority of the untreated samples analysed, culture-based analysis indicated that after SODIS, 56 57 the concentrations of these bacteria were significantly reduced (detailed information on counts not 58 presented) (Nalwanga et al., 2018). The major limitations associated with the use of a simple SODIS 59 system are, however, the small volume of treated water generated (1 to 5 L) and the treatment time 60 required for sufficient disinfection of the water. It is also recommended that the treated water should 61 be used within 24 h as regrowth of bacteria may occur (Makwana et al., 2015).

Different approaches have subsequently been investigated to improve the efficiency of solar 62 63 disinfection. Ubomba-Jaswa et al. (2010) used a 25 L methacrylate batch reactor fitted with a compound parabolic collector (CPC; concentrates diffuse solar irradiation onto a reactor vessel in 64 order to increase the dose of solar irradiation) to disinfect well water. Complete inactivation of E. coli 65 was achieved within 5 h on sunny days and a 3-log reduction was achieved within this time period 66 during overcast conditions (cloudy days). However, some organisms are more resistant to solar 67 disinfection than others. For example, Strauss et al. (2018) reported that while a solar-CPC treatment 68 system effectively reduced the E. coli and total coliform counts to below the detection limit (BDL) at 69

70 temperatures exceeding 39°C and UV-A radiation exceeding 20 W/m², ethidium monoazide quantitative polymerase chain reaction (EMA-qPCR) analysis indicated that viable Legionella and 71 72 Pseudomonas were detected in all the SODIS-CPC treated samples throughout the sampling period. 73 Clements et al. (2019) used EMA-gPCR to screen solar pasteurized (SOPAS) harvested rainwater for potentially viable bacteria and found that Klebsiella spp., amongst others, survived at 74 temperatures > 90°C. It is hypothesised that the survival of bacteria in solar disinfection systems 75 76 could be due to the possession of heat shock proteins, DNA repair mechanisms (such as recA) and their ability to form associations with protozoa (Strauss et al., 2018). Additional treatment techniques 77 78 are thus required to overcome this bacterial resistance to disinfection strategies and effectively 79 eliminate these pathogens and opportunistic pathogens from water sources.

Advanced oxidative processes (AOP), such as heterogeneous photocatalysis with semiconductor 80 materials (Byrne et al., 2011), have also been explored and Helali et al. (2014) investigated the solar 81 82 inactivation of *E. coli* with different photocatalysts [i.e., TiO₂ P25, TiO₂ PC500, TiO₂ Ruana and Russelite (Bi_2WO_6)]. With only solar irradiation, 3 to 5 h were required for complete inactivation of 83 E. coli. In contrast, the treatment time required for the inactivation of E. coli was significantly reduced 84 85 to between 5 to 30 min for TiO₂ P25, which was the most effective photocatalytic material. We have 86 also previously reported enhanced solar disinfection utilising TiO₂-reduced graphene oxide composites (TiO₂-rGO) (Fernández-Ibáñez et al., 2015; Cruz-Ortiz et al., 2017). Adán et al. (2018) 87 88 then showed that TiO₂ immobilised on borosilicate glass raschig rings effectively reduced E. coli 89 concentrations, in co-culture with Acanthamoeba trophozoites, by 3 logs in distilled water after 90 60 min, while a 2-log reduction was recorded after 180 min for synthetic wastewater. It was thus concluded that immobilised photocatalysts might be practical for water treatment as the post-91 92 treatment removal of the photocatalytic material is not required.

An interesting approach to the inactivation of resistant strains is the use of predatory bacteria such as *Bdellovibrio*-and-like-organisms (known as BALOs). These have been identified as potential "live antibiotics" as they are able to prey on and reduce the concentration of predominantly Gram-negative bacteria in co-culture experiments (Socket, 2009). This group of predatory bacteria include species such as *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* and *Micavibrio aeruginosavorus*. Kadouri et al. (2013)

investigated whether B. bacteriovorus and M. aeruginosavorus could prey on clinically significant 98 99 multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria and found that *B. bacteriovorus* HD100 was able to prey 100 on all the host organisms (100%), while B. bacteriovorus 109J was able to prey on 93% and M. aeruginosavorus ARL-13 was only able to prey on 35% of the host bacteria. Limited research has 101 however been conducted on the application of these predatory bacteria as biocontrol agents for 102 103 potable water treatment, with most studies focussing on their application as probiotics in aquaculture (Chu & Zhu, 2010; Willis et al., 2016) or as bioremediation agents in wastewater treatment plants 104 (Yu et al., 2017; Ökzan et al., 2018). 105

Based on the survival of pathogenic microorganisms in treated rainwater, a need exists to investigate a combination of technologies that incorporate biocontrol, physical and chemical treatment. This study thus aimed to apply *B. bacteriovorus* in combination with solar-CPC reactors and solar-CPC treatment with photocatalysis to disinfect rainwater. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* S1 43 (isolated from solar pasteurized rainwater at a treatment temperature above 70°C) (Clements et al., 2019) and *Enterococcus faecium* 8D (isolated from untreated harvested rainwater) (Dobrowsky et al., 2014) were included as test organisms.

113 2. Materials and Methods

114 **2.1 Coating of Raschig Rings**

The design and construction of the CPC is outlined in the Supplementary Information. The TiO₂-rGO 115 composite was synthesised using graphene oxide (GO; Nanoinnova, Spain) and TiO₂ P25 (Aeroxide 116 P25, Evonik, Germany) as previously described by Fernández-Ibáñez et al. (2015). The TiO₂-rGO 117 was immobilised on borosilicate glass raschig rings [5 mm (length) x 5 mm (outer diameter) x 1 mm 118 (glass thickness); Sigma-Aldrich, Germany] for application in the designed small-scale solar-CPC 119 systems. The raschig rings were cleaned as described by Cunha et al. (2018). The TiO₂-rGO (1.5 g) 120 121 was added to 100 mL absolute methanol to obtain a final concentration of 1.5% w/v. The suspension 122 was sonicated for 15 min and the raschig rings were submerged in the suspension. To evaporate the methanol, the rings in the suspension were added to a rotary evaporator (Heidolph Instruments, 123 Schwabach GmbH, Germany) with the water bath temperature set to 65°C and the rotary speed set 124

to 120 rpm. Once the methanol was evaporated, the coated raschig rings were dried at 80°C for
90 min and annealed at 400°C for 2 h (with a heating rate of 2°C per min) in air (Cunha et al., 2018).
The rings were weighed before and after the coating, and it was determined that the loading of
TiO₂-rGO was ca. 0.89 mg/cm².

129 2.2 Solar Treatment Experiments

130 2.2.1 Prey Bacterial Strains

Klebsiella pneumoniae S1 43 and E. faecium 8D were obtained from the Water Resource Laboratory 131 132 Culture Collection at Stellenbosch University (Department of Microbiology). These bacteria were inoculated into 500 mL Luria Bertani (LB) broth (Biolab, Merck, South Africa) and were incubated at 133 37°C for 24 to 48 h with shaking at 200 rpm. The bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation at 134 11 305 x g for 15 min. The bacterial biomass was washed and re-suspended in phosphate buffered 135 saline (PBS) and the optical density (OD) of the re-suspended pellets was measured using the T60 136 137 UV-Visible Spectrophotometer (PG Instruments Limited, Thermo Fisher Scientific, South Africa) at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀). The concentration of the bacterial cells was adjusted with PBS to obtain a final OD₆₀₀ 138 of 1.00 (which corresponded to approximately 10⁹ cells/mL) (Feng et al., 2016). 139

140 2.2.2 Preparation of the Predatory Bacteria Stock Lysate

Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus PF13 was isolated from wastewater collected from the influent point of 141 the Stellenbosch Wastewater Treatment Plant (GPS co-ordinates: 33° 59' 21.13"S 18° 47' 47.75"E) 142 as described by Waso et al. (2019). The predatory bacterium was stored as plaques on double-layer 143 agar plates, with Pseudomonas fluorescens ATCC 13525 used as prey cells at 4°C until further 144 experimentation commenced (Dashiff et al., 2011). To apply B. bacteriovorus PF13 as a pre-145 treatment to SODIS, a predator stock lysate (used as the predator inoculum in the pre-treatment 146 experiments) was prepared as described by Dashiff et al. (2011) in the presence of *P. fluorescens* 147 148 ATCC 13525 as prey cells (Supplementary Information).

150 2.2.3 Experimental Set Up

Synthetic rainwater was used to ensure that the composition of the medium remained constant 151 throughout the study and was prepared by the method reported by Jones and Edwards (1993). For 152 each test organism (K. pneumoniae S1 43 and E. faecium 8D), two experimental groups were 153 154 analysed as follows: for one experimental group (two systems) the test organisms were pre-treated with B. bacteriovorus; while for the second experimental group (two systems) no pre-treatment 155 156 occurred (Fig. 1). Additionally, for each experimental group, one solar-CPC system contained TiO₂rGO coated raschig rings, while the second system contained uncoated raschig rings (solar 157 disinfection only) (Fig. 1). For the pre-treated samples, 800 mL of synthetic rainwater was seeded 158 with 100 mL of K. pneumoniae or E. faecium (OD₆₀₀ = 1.00) (section 2.2.1). Subsequently, each 159 sample was inoculated with 100 mL of the *B. bacteriovorus* stock lysate (OD₆₀₀ < 0.2). The co-culture 160 was incubated for 72 h at 30°C with shaking at 200 rpm to allow for the predation of *B. bacteriovorus* 161 on the respective prey cells. For the samples which were not subjected to B. bacteriovorus pre-162 treatment, 900 mL of synthetic rainwater was seeded with 100 mL of K. pneumoniae or E. faecium 163 cells $(OD_{600} = 1.00)$ (section 2.2.1) (Fig. 1) on the day of solar treatment. 164

The four solar-CPC reactors were filled with approximately 390 mL of the pre-treated or untreated 165 seeded synthetic rainwater samples and were exposed to natural sunlight for 4 h (Fig. 1). The 166 167 remaining volume of each sample was kept in the dark and served as dark controls (Fig. 1). Samples (10 mL) were collected from each solar-CPC system at 0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180, 210, and 168 240 min. For each of the collected samples, the pH, temperature, total dissolved solids (TDS), and 169 electrical conductivity (EC) were measured with a hand-held Milwaukee Instruments MI806 meter 170 171 (Spraytech, South Africa), and the dissolved oxygen (DO) was measured using a Milwaukee Instruments M600 meter (Spraytech). The solar irradiance data [maximum UV-A and UV-B radiation 172 173 and the maximum direct normal irradiance (DNI)] were obtained from the Stellenbosch Weather 174 Services [Stellenbosch University, Faculty of Engineering (http:// weather.sun.ac.za/)], and the ambient temperature data were obtained from the South African Weather Services (Supplementary 175 176 Information Tables A.1 and A.2). The results for the conductivity, temperature, pH, TDS, and DO 177 collected for the different solar treatment time points (0 to 240 min) for each test organism and

experimental design, are summarised in the Supplementary Information Tables A.1 and A.2. Throughout the text the term "solar disinfection" will refer to solar treatment using only the designed solar-CPC system (with uncoated raschig rings), while "solar photocatalytic disinfection" or "solar photocatalysis" will refer to the solar treatment using the designed solar-CPC system in combination with the immobilised TiO₂-rGO. Furthermore, "solar treatment" will be used to refer simultaneously to both disinfection strategies.

184 2.2.3.1 Culture-based Analysis

To enumerate the K. pneumoniae and E. faecium cells during the solar treatments [in colony forming] 185 units per mL (CFU/mL)], samples (10 mL) were collected as described in section 2.2.3. In addition, 186 187 for the samples subjected to *B. bacteriovorus* pre-treatment, 10 mL samples were collected before (0 h) and after pre-treatment (72 h). A further 10 mL sample was collected from each of the dark 188 189 control samples after 240 min (to confirm that the changes in viable organisms occurred as a result of solar or solar photocatalytic disinfection). A 10-fold serial dilution was prepared (ranging from 190 191 undiluted to 10^{-6}) for each sample (n = 40), and $100 \ \mu$ L of each dilution was spread plated onto LB agar in triplicate. The plates were incubated at 30°C for 12 to 18 h (overnight). 192

In order to verify that the solar treatment effectively removed the predatory bacteria from the pretreated samples, double-layer agar overlays (as described by Yu et al., 2017) were also prepared using the serial dilutions from the *B. bacteriovorus* pre-treated samples. The plates were incubated at 30°C for up to 7 days and the predatory bacteria were enumerated in plaque forming units per mL (PFU/mL).

198 2.2.3.2 Molecular Analysis

For the molecular analysis of the solar-CPC samples collected at each time point (0 to 240 min) as well as the samples collected before (0 h) and after (72 h) *B. bacteriovorus* pre-treatment, 500 μ L of each sample was EMA treated as described by Reyneke et al. (2016). The EMA-treated aliquots were subjected to DNA extractions using the *Quick*-DNATM Fecal/Soil Microbe Miniprep kit (Zymo Research, Inqaba Biotech, South Africa) as per the manufacturer's instructions. 204 Quantitative real-time PCR was subsequently performed to quantify the gene copies (GC) of B. bacteriovorus, K. pneumoniae and E. faecium during the various solar treatments. All qPCR 205 206 assays were performed using the LightCycler® 96 Instrument (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, 207 Germany) and the FastStart Essential DNA Green Master (Roche Diagnostics). All the qPCR primers and cycling parameters are outlined in Table 1, while the qPCR mixture as described by Waso et al. 208 (2018) was utilised. Additionally, the standard curves utilised for GC quantification in the qPCR 209 assays were generated as described by Waso et al. (2019), using conventional PCR and the cycling 210 parameters defined in Table 1. 211

All the qPCR results were analysed using the Roche LightCycler® 96 Software Version 1.1 and Microsoft Excel 2016. In addition, the lower limit of detection (LLOD) for each qPCR assay was determined as the lowest concentration (GC/µL) consistently detected in the standard curve samples. Furthermore, the lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) for each qPCR sample was determined as the lowest number of GC/µL that could reliably be quantified in the standard curve samples. All GC numbers were converted to GC/mL using the following modified equation (which excludes compensation for sample filtration) (Eq. 1) as described by Rajal et al. (2007):

219 $\left(\frac{\text{mL Original Sample}}{\text{mL DNA eluted}}\right) \times (\text{mL used per qPCR assay}) = \text{mL original sample per qPCR assay}.....(1)$

220 2.3 Data Analysis

All graphs were generated using GraphPad Prism 7.04 (2018). Two-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Multiple Comparisons with Dunnett's tests (alpha value of 0.05) was utilised to determine whether the concentration of the prey bacteria (*K. pneumoniae* and *E. faecium*) and *B. bacteriovorus* changed significantly during the various solar treatments. Significance was observed at p < 0.05.

226 **3. Results**

227 3.1 Impacts of Different Disinfection Strategies on the Survival of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*

For the *B. bacteriovorus* pre-treated samples, the CFU of *K. pneumoniae* were reduced by 1.92 logs during the 72-h pre-treatment, from 2.00×10^9 (before predation) to 2.40×10^7 CFU/mL. The PFU

230 of *B. bacteriovorus* correspondingly increased by 0.202 logs from 6.53 × 10⁵ PFU/mL (before predation) to 1.04 × 10⁶ PFU/mL. Additionally, EMA-qPCR analysis (characteristics summarised in 231 232 Table A.3) confirmed that the concentration of *K. pneumoniae* was reduced after predation as the 233 GC of K. pneumoniae decreased by 3.51 logs from 2.95×10^8 (before predation) to 9.20×10^4 GC/mL, while the concentration of *B. bacteriovorus* increased by 0.430 logs from 234 7.96×10^3 (before predation) to 2.14×10^4 GC/mL. Overall, for the dark controls, the plate counts 235 indicated that the concentration of K. pneumoniae remained relatively constant with an average of 236 1.97×10^7 CFU/mL and 7.50×10^8 CFU/mL recorded (after 240 min) for the 72-h *B. bacteriovorus* 237 238 pre-treated sample and non-pre-treated sample, respectively.

For the K. pneumoniae pre-treated sample subsequently exposed to solar photocatalytic treatment, 239 culture-based enumeration indicated that within 120 min the K. pneumoniae cell counts were 240 reduced by 7.38 logs from 2.40 × 10^7 CFU/mL (at 0 min) to BDL (<1 CFU/100 µL) (p < 0.0001) (Fig. 241 242 2A; Table 2). Thus, considering the reduction in CFU/mL recorded after the B. bacteriovorus pretreatment as well as after the solar photocatalytic treatment, the CFU counts of K. pneumoniae were 243 reduced by a total of 9.30 logs (p < 0.0001) from the initial concentration of 2.00 × 10⁹ CFU/mL 244 (Table 2). Correspondingly, EMA-qPCR analysis indicated that in total a 5.85 log reduction in the 245 246 K. pneumoniae GC was obtained [from 2.95 × 10⁸ GC/mL (before predation) to 4.19 × 10² GC/mL (after 240 min of solar exposure)] (*p* < 0.0001) (Fig. 2B; Table 2). The culture-based enumeration of 247 248 the *B. bacteriovorus* cells analysed indicated that in the samples exposed to solar photocatalytic 249 treatment, the PFU of *B. bacteriovorus* was reduced by 6.02 logs from 1.04×10^6 PFU/mL (at 0 min) 250 to BDL (p < 0.0001) within 120 min (Fig. A.8A). Similarly, the EMA-qPCR analysis indicated that the concentration of *B. bacteriovorus* was reduced by 2.59 logs (p < 0.0001) after solar exposure from 251 an initial concentration of 2.14×10^4 GC/mL to 5.49×10^1 GC/mL after 240 min (Fig. A.8B). 252

For the sample pre-treated with *B. bacteriovorus* and subsequently exposed to solar disinfection, the cell counts of *K. pneumoniae* were reduced by 7.38 logs from 2.40 × 10⁷ CFU/mL (at 0 min) to BDL (p < 0.0001), after 240 min of solar exposure (Fig. 2A; Table 2). Thus, the cell counts of *K. pneumoniae* were also reduced by a total of 9.30 logs (p < 0.0001) from the initial concentration of 2.00 × 10⁹ CFU/mL (Table 2). In addition, the EMA-qPCR analysis indicated that overall the

K. pneumoniae concentration was reduced by 5.41 logs from 2.95 × 10⁸ GC/mL (before predation) to 1.14×10^3 GC/mL (after 240 min of solar exposure) (p < 0.0001) (Fig. 2B; Table 2). The *B. bacteriovorus* was reduced by 6.02 logs from 1.04×10^6 PFU/mL (at 0 min) to BDL (p < 0.0001) within 150 min in the samples exposed to solar disinfection (Fig. A.8A). Accordingly, the EMA-qPCR analysis indicated that the concentration of *B. bacteriovorus* was reduced by 2.28 logs (p < 0.0001) after solar exposure, from an initial concentration of 2.14 × 10⁴ GC/mL to 1.12 × 10² GC/mL (Fig. A.8B).

In comparison, the cell counts of K. pneumoniae in the non-pre-treated sample exposed to solar 265 266 photocatalytic treatment were reduced by a total of 6.34 logs after 240 min (from 7.33 × 108 CFU/mL to 3.33×10^2 CFU/mL) (p < 0.0001) (Fig. 2A; Table 2), while the molecular analysis indicated that 267 the GC of K. pneumoniae in this sample were reduced by a total of 2.67 logs [from 6.41 \times 10⁷ GC/mL 268 (initial concentration 0 min) to 1.39×10^5 GC/mL (after 240 min of solar exposure)] (p < 0.0001) (Fig. 269 270 2B; Table 2). Furthermore, for the non-pre-treated sample exposed to only solar disinfection, the cell counts of K. pneumoniae were reduced by 8.87 logs from 7.33 × 10⁸ CFU/mL (at 0 min) to BDL within 271 210 min (p < 0.0001) (Fig. 2A; Table 2). The EMA-qPCR analysis confirmed a reduction in the 272 concentration of the K. pneumoniae cells as the GC were reduced by 3.46 logs [from 273 274 6.41×10^7 GC/mL (initial concentration 0 min) to 2.24×10^4 GC/mL (after 240 min of solar exposure)] (p < 0.0001) in this sample (Table 2). 275

3.2 Impacts of Different Disinfection Strategies on the Survival of Enterococcus faecium

For the *B. bacteriovorus* pre-treated samples, the culture-based enumeration indicated that the 277 *E. faecium* cell counts were reduced by 0.598 logs from 3.57×10^9 (before predation) to 278 9.00 × 10⁸ CFU/mL (after 72 h of predation). The EMA-qPCR analysis then confirmed that the 279 concentration of *E. faecium* was reduced after 72 h of predation from 8.24 × 10⁵ GC/mL (before 280 predation) to 1.60 × 10⁵ GC/mL with a log reduction of 0.712 recorded. While, *B. bacteriovorus* did 281 not produce any plaques on the double-layer agar overlays when *E. faecium* was utilised as prey, 282 the EMA-qPCR analysis indicated that the concentration of *B. bacteriovorus* decreased by 0.167 283 284 logs from 1.08×10^4 (before predation) to 7.34×10^3 GC/mL (after 72 h of predation). Overall, for the dark controls, the plate counts indicated that the concentration of *E. faecium* remained relatively constant with an average of 4.17×10^8 CFU/mL and 1.63×10^8 CFU/mL recorded (after 240 min) for the 72-h *B. bacteriovorus* pre-treated sample and non-pre-treated sample, respectively.

Subsequently, the cell counts of *E. faecium* recorded for the pre-treated sample exposed to solar 288 photocatalysis were reduced by 3.81 logs from 9.00 x 10⁸ CFU/mL (at 0 min) to 1.40 x 10⁵ CFU/mL 289 (at 240 min) (p < 0.0001) (Fig. 3A). Thus, the CFU/mL of *E. faecium* was reduced by a total of 290 4.41 logs from an initial concentration of 3.57 × 10⁹ CFU/mL (Table 2). The EMA-qPCR analysis 291 then indicated that the *E. faecium* concentration was reduced by 1.57 logs from 1.60×10^5 GC/mL 292 293 (at 0 min) to 4.35×10^3 GC/mL (at 240 min) (Fig. 3B), with an overall reduction of 2.28 logs recorded from an initial concentration of 8.24×10^5 GC/mL (Table 2). As mentioned previously, 294 B. bacteriovorus did not produce any plaques on the double-layer agar overlays when E. faecium 295 was utilised as prey and the EMA-qPCR analysis indicated that the concentration of *B. bacteriovorus* 296 297 was reduced from an initial concentration of 7.34 \times 10³ GC/mL (at 0 min) to 8.13 \times 10² GC/mL (0.956 log reduction; p < 0.0001) after 240 min of solar photocatalysis (Fig. A.9). 298

The cell counts of *E. faecium* recorded for the pre-treated sample exposed to solar disinfection, were 299 reduced by 6.73 logs from 9.00 \times 10⁸ CFU/mL (at 0 min) to 1.67 \times 10² CFU/mL (at 240 min) 300 (p < 0.0001) (Fig. 3A; Table 2). Therefore, an overall log reduction of 7.33 in *E. faecium* CFU/mL 301 302 was recorded after the B. bacteriovorus pre-treatment and solar disinfection (Table 2). The EMAgPCR analysis indicated that the concentration of *E. faecium* was reduced by 2.09 logs from 303 1.60×10^5 GC/mL (at 0 min) to 1.29×10^3 GC/mL (at 240 min) (*p* < 0.0001) (Fig. 3B). Overall, the 304 concentration of *E. faecium* was thus reduced by a total of 2.81 logs in the pre-treated sample 305 exposed to solar disinfection, from an initial concentration of 8.24 × 10⁵ GC/mL (Table 2). For the 306 predatory bacteria, the EMA-qPCR analysis indicated that the concentration of *B. bacteriovorus* was 307 reduced from an initial concentration of 7.34×10^3 GC/mL (at 0 min) to 8.95×10^2 GC/mL (0.914 log 308 reduction; p < 0.0001) after 240 min of solar exposure (Fig. A.9). 309

For the samples which were not pre-treated with *B. bacteriovorus* but exposed to solar disinfection and solar photocatalytic treatment, the culture-based enumeration of *E. faecium* indicated that for

both treatment methods, the cell counts were reduced by 8.00 logs from an initial concentration of 1.00 × 10⁸ CFU/mL to BDL (<1 CFU/100 µL) within 210 min of solar exposure (p < 0.0001) (Fig. 3A; Table 2). The EMA-qPCR analysis then indicated that during solar disinfection the concentration of *E. faecium* was reduced by 3.39 logs from 2.09 × 10⁶ GC/mL (at 0 min) to 8.53 × 10² GC/mL (at 240 min) (p < 0.0001) (Fig. 3B; Table 2). Similarly, for the sample exposed to solar photocatalytic treatment, the concentration of *E. faecium* was reduced by 3.38 logs from 2.09 × 10⁶ GC/mL (at 0 min) to 8.74 × 10² GC/mL (at 240 min) (p < 0.0001) (Fig. 3B; Table 2).

319 4. Discussion

320 While disinfection methods are effective in significantly reducing the concentration of microbial 321 contaminants in water sources, various pathogens and opportunistic pathogens employ survival strategies and persist after treatment (Strauss et al., 2018; Clements et al., 2019). It was thus 322 proposed in the current study that a combination of physical, chemical and biological treatments, 323 324 could prove effective in eliminating disinfection resistant species. Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus is known 325 to attach to the cell wall of Gram-negative prey, such as K. pneumoniae, through an unknown mechanism or receptor, whereafter the predator rotates to create a pore in the prey cell wall and 326 enters the prey cell's periplasmic space forming a structure called the bdelloplast (Sockett, 2009). 327 Once the predator has invaded the prey cell, it secretes various hydrolytic enzymes to break down 328 329 the prey cell's constituents and produce progeny (Sockett, 2009). Correspondingly, as K. pneumoniae is sensitive to predation, the pre-treatment with B. bacteriovorus aided in effectively 330 reducing the concentration of this organism in the seeded water samples. Furthermore, the addition 331 of the photocatalytic material enhanced the disinfection efficiency as the treatment time required to 332 333 reduce the K. pneumoniae CFU to BDL was decreased from 240 min (solar disinfection) to 120 min (solar photocatalysis). Under solar UV-visible exposure, the TiO₂-rGO composite photocatalytic 334 material produces reactive oxygen species (ROS), which significantly disrupts the cell membrane 335 structures and damages DNA and RNA, ultimately leading to cell death (Byrne et al., 2011). 336

We previously investigated the mechanisms behind the antimicrobial activity of TiO_2 -rGO in water using *E. coli* as the model organism (Fernández-Ibáñez et al., 2015; Cruz-Ortiz et al., 2017).

Fernández-Ibáñez et al. (2015) reported that E. coli was reduced by 6 logs (within 10 min, less than 339 2 J/cm²) under natural sunlight with a photocatalyst loading of 500 mg/L. Probes were used to 340 investigate the primary ROS produced during the disinfection experiments and we found that under 341 342 UV-visible light, hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl radicals and singlet oxygen were mainly responsible 343 for the reduction in *E. coli* concentrations. Under visible light irradiation, only singlet oxygen was 344 produced which resulted in the reduction of the *E. coli* concentration (Fernández-Ibáñez et al., 2015; Cruz-Ortiz et al., 2017). Lin et al. (2014) investigated the cytotoxic effects of UV excited TiO₂ on 345 Gram-negative bacteria by also employing *E. coli* as the test organism. With the use of transmission 346 347 electron microscopy, the authors found that the TiO₂ nanoparticles attached to the outside of the E. coli cells, while some microbial cells were also observed to contain internalised nanoparticles. It 348 was concluded that the nanoparticles attached to the cell surface, induced cell distortion, plasmolysis 349 and extensive cell wall and membrane damage. In addition, the authors hypothesised that the 350 attachment of the nanoparticles to the cells resulted in decreased movement of substances into and 351 352 out of the bacterial cells, ultimately resulting in homeostatic imbalances and cellular metabolic disturbances, which would eventually result in cell death (Lin et al., 2014). 353

However, while Lin et al. (2014) evaluated the photocatalyst in suspension, in the current study, the 354 photocatalyst was immobilised onto glass raschig rings and exposed to real solar irradiation. Sordo 355 et al. (2010) compared the use of TiO₂ in suspension to TiO₂ immobilised onto a glass tube (used 356 as the reactor vessel) and raschig rings for the disinfection of *E. coli* in a recirculating solar treatment 357 system. The authors found that the disinfection of *E. coli* in the reactor with the TiO₂ coated raschig 358 359 rings, was comparable to the disinfection obtained in the reactor with TiO₂ in suspension, while disinfection efficiency was not enhanced in the glass tube reactor vessel coated with the 360 361 photocatalyst. It was hypothesised that the high disinfection efficiency obtained with the raschig ring immobilised photocatalyst was due to the greater contact area generated, which increased exposure 362 of the E. coli cells to hydroxyl radicals produced during the photocatalytic process. However, the 363 364 authors also noted that the flow rate generated in the recirculating system containing the raschig rings, greatly enhanced the disinfection efficiency of the reactor as strong mechanical stress was 365 exerted on the bacterial cells (Sordo et al., 2010). The use of raschig rings as support materials for 366

the immobilisation of photocatalysts is thus advantageous as post-treatment removal of the material
is not required. In addition, immobilising the photocatalyst creates a greater contact area which may
increase the exposure of the cells to the photocatalytic material. Furthermore, if a flow rate is applied,
mechanical stress is exerted on the cells.

371 Apart from using photocatalytic material in two of the solar-CPC reactors in the current study, all the water samples (pre-treated with B. bacteriovorus and non-pre-treated) were exposed to solar 372 373 treatment under CPC concentrated solar UV-A radiation. The CPC mirrors were used for the solar treatment reactors as it significantly enhances any kind of solar water treatment by improving the 374 375 solar UV energy income by a concentration factor of 1 (Keane et al., 2014). Navntoft et al. (2008) demonstrated that the use of a CPC accelerated the reduction of 6-log E. coli K12 under solar 376 disinfection by 90 minutes as compared to a PET plastic bottle. Based on the solar UV-A dose 377 calculated for the K. pneumoniae trials (Supplementary Information), a similar UV-A dose was 378 379 obtained within 120 min of solar exposure (25.83 J cm⁻²), to the dose reported in literature (27 J/cm²) to achieve a 5-log reduction in E. coli K12 by solar disinfection in a 2 L-PET bottle filled with clear 380 transparent water (Castro-Alférez et al., 2018). Additionally, the dose obtained in the current study 381 was 10 times higher than the 1.8 J/cm² (10 min at 30 W/m² of solar UV-A) required to achieve a 6-382 log reduction of *E. coli* K 12 using the same catalyst (TiO₂-rGO) suspended as a slurry at a 383 concentration of 500 mg/L (Fernández-Ibáñez et al., 2015). Similar solar dose values were obtained 384 for the *E. faecium* trial. Thus, sufficient solar irradiation was obtained to reduce the concentration of 385 K. pneumoniae and E. faecium during the current study. 386

Correspondingly, the most efficient treatment strategy for the reduction of *E. faecium* was the use of 387 388 solar disinfection or solar photocatalytic disinfection without B. bacteriovorus pre-treatment. While it is generally theorised that *B. bacteriovorus* does not prey on Gram-positive bacteria, studies have 389 390 indicated that this predator can prey on Staphylococcus aureus (lebba et al., 2014; Pantanella et al., 2018). The lytic enzymes produced by *B. bacteriovorus* have also been shown to disrupt biofilms 391 392 produced by Gram-positive bacteria, while proteases produced by *B. bacteriovorus* can decrease 393 the efficiency of S. aureus invasion into human epithelial cells (Monnappa et al., 2014). Furthermore, using culture-based methods and EMA-qPCR, we have recently reported that *B. bacteriovorus* PF13 394

can reduce the concentration of *S. aureus* and *E. faecium* in co-culture experiments (Waso et al.,
2019). Thus, while it is warranted to investigate the effect of *B. bacteriovorus* pre-treatment on the
disinfection of Gram-positive bacteria, in this study pre-treatment with *B. bacteriovorus* PF13 did not
significantly reduce the concentration of *E. faecium*.

399 Based on the results obtained for the E. faecium trials, the addition of the photocatalyst also did not significantly enhance the disinfection efficiency. Gutiérrez-Alfaro et al. (2015) compared three 400 systems to disinfect potable water inoculated with wastewater containing E. coli, Enterococcus spp. 401 and *Clostridium perfringens*: a 2 L PET bottle; a 2 L PET bottle with an internal cylinder coated with 402 TiO_2 doped with zinc; and a glass reactor (9 L) with a TiO_2 coated inner cylinder. In all the systems 403 404 analysed, E. coli was readily reduced to BDL, while Enterococcus spp. and C. perfringens were more 405 resistant to disinfection. In addition, the immobilised photocatalyst used in the 2 L PET bottles only enhanced the disinfection efficiency of the SODIS bottles by 0.43 logs for E. coli, 0.45 logs for 406 407 Enterococcus spp. and 0.28 logs for C. perfringens under natural sunlight (Gutiérrez-Alfaro et al., 408 2015). The authors ultimately concluded that Gram-positive bacteria, which have more complex cell walls, are more resistant to disinfection in comparison to Gram-negative bacteria. However, they 409 found that recirculating the water in the solar photocatalytic systems, increased turbulence and 410 contact between the catalyst and the bacteria, significantly enhancing the disinfection efficiency, 411 especially for Gram-positive bacteria (Gutiérrez-Alfaro et al., 2015). Veneiri et al. (2014) also 412 413 investigated the disinfection of Enterococcus faecalis using TiO₂ P25 (200 mg/L to 1500 mg/L) and SODIS under simulated sunlight, using culture-based methods and gPCR. The culturing results 414 indicated that at the highest TiO₂ concentration (1500 mg/L), *E. faecalis* was reduced by 7 logs to 415 BDL after approximately 40 min of treatment. Similarly, while qPCR analysis indicated that a 7-log 416 reduction in the GC of E. faecalis was obtained after 120 min of treatment, the GC were not reduced 417 to BDL in any of the treated samples. The authors concluded that viable but non-culturable (VBNC) 418 E. faecalis cells were still present in the treated samples and that the SODIS treatment time should 419 be extended in order to eradicate *E. faecalis* (Veneiri et al., 2014). 420

Similarly, in the current study, for all the treatment combinations analysed, EMA-qPCR results indicated that the GC of *K. pneumoniae* and *E. faecium* were not reduced to BDL, signifying that

VBNC cells may still have persisted. While numerous research groups have detected Klebsiella spp. 423 in untreated harvested rainwater (De Kwaadsteniet et al., 2013), the K. pneumoniae strain (S1 43) 424 employed in the current study was isolated from SOPAS rainwater at a treatment temperature above 425 70°C (Clements et al., 2019). The thermal tolerance of Klebsiella spp. has been associated with the 426 427 expression of heat shock proteins or can be acquired through plasmids encoding for ClpK ATPase (Bojer et al., 2011). Moreover, K. pneumoniae have prominent capsules which have been 428 hypothesised to protect this organism from bactericidal stressors such as UV irradiation and 429 antibiotic agents (Veneiri et al., 2017; Dorman et al., 2018). In contrast, the E. faecium strain (8D) 430 431 employed was isolated from untreated harvested rainwater (Dobrowsky et al., 2014). Enterococcus spp. are known to tolerate a wide range of environmental conditions and they have 432 been found to exhibit increased resistance to UV disinfection (McKinney & Pruden, 2012; Maraccini 433 et al., 2012). Some strains of enterococci have been found to possess intracellular carotenoids which 434 may act as guenchers of intracellularly produced ROS upon exposure to sunlight, ultimately 435 protecting the cell from increasing oxidative stress and providing Enterococcus spp. with a 436 competitive advantage against sunlight-induced inactivation (Maraccini et al., 2012). Gram-negative 437 and Gram-positive bacteria also possess DNA repair mechanisms, which can repair damage 438 induced by UV irradiation, and allow bacterial cells to persist and survive after UV disinfection 439 (McGuigan et al., 2012). Thus, while the molecular analysis results obtained in the current study 440 indicated that significant reductions (p < 0.0001) in GC were recorded (Fig. 2B and 3B), further work 441 442 may include extending the solar disinfection and solar photocatalytic treatment time.

443 **5.** Conclusions

Based on the results obtained, *B. bacteriovorus* may be applied to decrease the concentration of Gram-negative bacteria, such as *K. pneumoniae*, prior to solar disinfection. This is crucial as many pathogenic Gram-negative bacteria have been found to persist after the implementation of various disinfection strategies. Solar disinfection or solar photocatalytic treatment successfully reduced the concentration of *E. faecium* and it is likely that forced convection in a solar photocatalytic system may further enhance the effect of the photocatalytic material on the disinfection of Gram-positive bacteria.

Furthermore, as hydroxyl radicals produced during photocatalysis significantly disrupts the cell membrane of bacteria (Polo-López et al., 2017), the use of EMA-qPCR is recommended to supplement culture-based analysis and should therefore be included in future studies monitoring such water treatment systems. As natural water sources will contain mixed bacterial communities, future research should investigate the effect of predatory bacteria pre-treatment on mixed bacterial communities in natural water sources, to assess the overall effect of *B. bacteriovorus* pre-treatment.

457 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the following individuals and institutions for their contributionto this project:

The financial assistance of the Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD) /
 National Research Foundation (NRF) of South Africa and the Royal Society Newton
 Mobility Grant (Grant number: NI170184). Opinions expressed and conclusions arrived at,
 are those of the authors and are not necessarily to be attributed to the DAAD/NRF or the
 Royal Society Newton Mobility Grant.

Pilar Fernández-Ibáñez and John Anthony Byrne acknowledge funding from Global
 Challenges Research Fund – United Kingdom Research and Innovation (GCRF UKRI)
 for SAFEWATER (Grant Ref number EP/P032427/1).

- Dr Jeremy Hamilton and Dr Preetam Sharma from the Nanotechnology and Integrated
 BioEngineering Centre (NIBEC) at Ulster University (Northern Ireland) for their guidance
 during the synthesis and immobilisation of the TiO₂-rGO material.
- Casper Brink from the Microbiology Department at Stellenbosch University for creating the
 drawings of the designed SODIS systems in AutoCAD® 2018.
- Dr Pieter Neethling from the Physics Department at Stellenbosch University for measuring
 the reflectance of the stainless-steel sheets utilised for the construction of the compound
 parabolic collectors.

476 **Declaration of Interest**

477 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

478 **References**

Adán, C., Magnet, A., Fenot, S., Pablos, C., Del Águila, C., Marugán, J., 2018. Concomitant
inactivation of *Acanthamoeba* spp. and *Escherichia coli* using suspended and immobilized TiO₂.
Water Research 144, 512–521.

Bojer, M. S., Krogfelt, K. A., Struve, C., 2011. The newly discovered ClpK protein strongly promotes
survival of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* biofilm subjected to heat shock. Journal of Medical Microbiology
60(10), 1559-1561.

Brisse, S., Verhoef, J., 2001. Phylogenetic diversity of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Klebsiella oxytoca* clinical isolates revealed by randomly amplified polymorphic DNA, *gyrA* and *parC* gene sequencing and automated ribotyping. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 51, 915-924.

Byrne, J.A., Fernández-Ibáñez, P.A., Dunlop, P.S., Alrousan, D.M., Hamilton, J.W., Abdel-Mottaleb,
M.S., 2011. Photocatalytic enhancement for solar disinfection of water: A review. International
Journal of Photoenergy 2011, 1-12.

Castro-Alférez, M., Polo-López, M.I., Marugán, J., Fernández-Ibáñez, P., 2018. Validation of a solarthermal water disinfection model for *Escherichia coli* inactivation in pilot scale solar reactors and real
conditions. Chemical Engineering Journal 331, 831-840.

495 Chu, W.H., Zhu, W., 2010. Isolation of *Bdellovibrio* as biological therapeutic agents used for the 496 treatment of *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection in fish. Zoonoses and Public Health 57(4), 258–264.

- Clements, T.L., Reyneke, B., Strauss, A., Khan, W., 2019. Persistence of viable bacteria in solar
 pasteurised harvested rainwater. Water Air Soil Pollution 230(130), 1–13.
- 499 Cruz-Ortiz, B.R., Hamilton, J., Pablos, C., Díaz-Jiménez, L., Cortés-Hernández, D.A., Sharma, P.K.,
- 500 Castro-Alférez, M., Fernández-Ibáñez, P., Dunlop, P.S.M., Byrne, J.A., 2017. Mechanism of

- 501 photocatalytic disinfection using titania-graphene composites under UV and visible irradiation. 502 Chemical Engineering Journal 316, 179–186.
- 503 Cunha, D.L., Kuznetsov, A., Achete, C.A., Da Hora Machado, A.E., Marques, M., 2018. Immobilized 504 TiO₂ on glass spheres applied to heterogeneous photocatalysis: Photoactivity, leaching and 505 regeneration process. PeerJ Environmental Science 6, e4464.
- 506 Dashiff, A., Junka, R.A., Libera, M., Kadouri, D.E., 2011. Predation of human pathogens by the 507 predatory bacteria *Micavibrio aeruginosavorus* and *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus*. Journal of Applied 508 Microbiology 110(2), 431–444.
- De Kwaadsteniet, M., Dobrowsky, P.H., Van Deventer, A., Khan, W., Cloete, T.E., 2013. Domestic
 rainwater harvesting: Microbial and chemical water quality and point-of-use treatment systems.
 Water Air Soil Pollution 224(7), 1-19.
- 512 Dobrowsky, P.H., De Kwaadsteniet, M., Cloete, T.E., Khan, W., 2014. Distribution of indigenous 513 bacterial pathogens and potential pathogens associated with roof-harvested rainwater. Applied and 514 Environmental Microbiology 80 (7), 2307-2316.
- 515 Dobrowsky, P.H., Lombard, M., Cloete, W.J., Saayman, M., Cloete, T.E., Carstens, M., Khan, S., 516 Khan, W., 2015. Efficiency of microfiltration systems for the removal of bacterial and viral 517 contaminants from surface and rainwater. Water Air Soil Pollution 226, 1-14.
- 518 Dorman, M.J., Feltwell, T., Goulding, D.A., Parkhill, J., Short, F.L., 2018. The capsule regulatory 519 network of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* defined by density-TraDISort. Molecular Biology and Physiology 520 9(6), 1-19.
- Feng, S., Tan, C.H., Cohen, Y., Rice, S.A., 2016. Isolation of *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* from a
 tropical wastewater treatment plant and predation of mixed species biofilms assembled by the native
 community members. Environmental Microbiology 18(11), 3923–3931.
- 524 Fernández-Ibáñez, P., Polo-López, M.I., Malato, S., Wadhwa, S., Hamilton, J.W.J., Dunlop, P.S.M.,
- 525 D'Sa, R., Magee, E., O'Shea, K., Dionysiou, D.D., Byrne, J.A., 2015. Solar photocatalytic disinfection
- of water using titanium dioxide graphene composites. Chemical Engineering Journal 261, 36-44.

527 Frahm, E., Obst, U., 2003. Application of the fluorogenic probe technique (TaqMan PCR) to the 528 detection of *Enterococcus* spp. and *Escherichia coli* in water samples. Journal of Microbiological 529 Methods 52(1), 123-131.

530 Gutiérrez-Alfaro, S., Acevedo, A., Rodríguez, J., Carpio, E.A., Manzano, M.A., 2015. Solar 531 photocatalytic water disinfection of *Escherichia coli*, *Enterococcus spp.* and *Clostridium perfringens* 532 using different low-cost devices. Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology 91(7), 2026– 533 2037.

Helali, S., Polo-López, M.I., Fernández-Ibáñez, P., Ohtanu, B., Amano, F., Malato, S., Guillard, C.,
2014. Solar photocatalysis: A green technology for *E. coli* contaminated water disinfection. Effect of
concentration and different types of suspended catalyst. Journal of Photochemistry and
Photobiology A: Chemistry 276, 31-40.

Iebba, V., Totino, V., Santangelo, F., Gagliardi, A., Ciotoli, L., Virga, A., Ambrosi, C., Pompili, M., De
Biase, R.V., Selan, L., Artini, M., Pantanella, F., Mura, F., Passariello, C., Nicoletti, M., Nencioni, L.,
Trancassini, M., Quattrucci, S., Schippa, S., 2014. *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* directly attacks *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* cystic fibrosis isolates. Frontiers in
Microbiology 5(280), 1–9.

Jones, D.L., Edwards, A.C., 1993. Evaluation of polysulfone hollow fibres and ceramic suction samplers as devices for the *in situ* extraction of soil solution. Plant and Soil 150(2), 157–165.

Kadouri, D.E., To, K., Shanks, R.M.Q., Doi, Y., 2013. Predatory bacteria: a potential ally against
multi-drug resistant Gram-negative pathogens. PLOS One 8 (5), e63397.

Keane, D.A., McGuigan, K.G., Fernández-Ibáñez, P., Polo-López, M.I., Byrne, J.A., Dunlop, P.S.M,
O'Shea, K., Dionysiou, D.D., Pillai, S.C., 2014. Solar photocatalysis for water disinfection: Materials
and reactor design. Catalysis Science & Technology 4, 1211-1226.

Lin, X., Li, J., Ma, S., Liu, G., Yang, K., Tong, M., Lin, D., 2014. Toxicity of TiO₂ nanoparticles to *Escherichia coli*: Effects of particle size, crystal phase and water chemistry. PLOS One 9 (10),
e110247.

- 553 Makwana, N.M., Hazael, R., McMillan, P.F., Darr, J.A., 2015. Photocatalytic water disinfection by 554 simple and low-cost monolithic and heterojunction ceramic wafers. Photochemical and 555 Photobiological Sciences 14, 1190-1196.
- 556 Maraccini, P.A., Ferguson, D.M., Boehm, A.B., 2012. Diurnal variation in *Enterococcus* species 557 composition in polluted ocean water and a potential role for the enterococcal carotenoid in protection 558 against photoinactivation. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 78, 305–310.
- McGuigan, K.G., Conroy, R.M., Mosler, H., Du Preez, M., Ubomba-Jaswa, E., Fernández-Ibáñez,
 P., 2012. Solar water disinfection (SODIS): A review from bench-top to roof-top. Journal of
 Hazardous Materials 235-236, 29–46.
- McKinney, C.W., Pruden, A., 2012. Ultraviolet disinfection of antibiotic resistant bacteria and their
 antibiotic resistance genes in water and wastewater. Environmental Science and Technology 46(24),
 13393-13400.
- 565 Monnappa, A.K., Dwidar, M., Seo, J.K., Hur, J., Mitchel, R.J., 2014. *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* 566 inhibits *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm formation and invasion into human epithelial cells. Nature 567 Scientific Reports 4 (3811), 1-8.
- Nalwanga, R., Muyanja, C.K., McGuigan, K.G., Quilty, B., 2018. A study of the bacteriological quality
 of roof-harvested rainwater and an evaluation of SODIS as a suitable treatment technology in rural
 Sub-Saharan Africa. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering 6(3), 3648–3655.
- Navntoft, C., Ubomba-Jaswa, E., McGuigan, K.G., Fernández-Ibáñez, P., 2008. Effectiveness of
 solar disinfection using batch reactors with non-imaging aluminium reflectors under real conditions:
 Natural well water and solar light. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: 93, 155-161.
- Özkan, M., Yilmaz, H., Çelik, M.A., Şengezer, Ç., Erhan, E., 2018. Application of *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* for reducing fouling of membranes used for wastewater treatment. Turkish Journal of
 Biochemistry 43, 296-305.

Pantanella, F., Iebba, V., Mura, F., Dini, L., Totino, V., Neroni, B., Bonfiglio, G., Maria, T., Passariello,
C., Schippa, S., 2018. Behaviour of *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* in the presence of Gram-positive *Staphylococcus aureus*. The New Microbiologica 41(2),145–152.

580 Polo-López, M.I., Castro-Alférez, M., Nahim-Granados, S., Malato, S., Fernández-Ibáñez, P., 2017.

Legionella jordanis inactivation in water by solar driven processes: EMA-qPCR versus culture-based
 analyses for new mechanistic insights. Catalysis Today 287, 15–21.

- Rajal, V., McSwain, B., Thompson, D., Leutenegger, C., Wuertz, S., 2007. Molecular quantitative
 analysis of human viruses in California stormwater. Water Research 41(19), 4287–4298.
- 585 Reyneke, B., Dobrowsky, P.H., Ndlovu, T., Khan, S., Khan, W., 2016. EMA-qPCR to monitor the 586 efficiency of a closed-coupled solar pasteurization system in reducing *Legionella* contamination of 587 roof-harvested rainwater. Science of the Total Environment 553, 662–670.
- Sockett, R.E., 2009. Predatory Lifestyle of *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus*. Annual Review of Microbiology
 63, 523-539.
- Sordo, C., Van Grieken, R., Marugán, J., Fernández-Ibáñez, P., 2010. Solar photocatalytic
 disinfection with immobilised TiO₂ at pilot-plant scale. Water Science and Technology 61(2), 507–
 512.
- Strauss, A., Reyneke, B., Waso, M., Ndlovu, T., Khan, S., Khan, W. 2018. Compound parabolic
 collector solar disinfection for the treatment of harvested rainwater. Environmental Science: Water
 Research & Technology 4, 976 991.

596 Ubomba-Jaswa, E., Fernández-Ibáñez, P., Navntoft, C., Polo-López, M.I., McGuigan, K.G., 2010. 597 Investigating the microbial inactivation efficiency of a 25 L batch solar disinfection (SODIS) reactor 598 enhanced with a compound parabolic collector (CPC) for household use. Journal of Chemical 599 Technology and Biotechnology 85(8), 1028-1037.

Van Essche, M., Sliepen, I., Loozen, G., Van Eldere, J., Quirynen, M., Davidov, Y., Jurkevitch, E.,
Boon, N., Teughels, W., 2009. Development and performance of quantitative PCR for the
enumeration of Bdellovibrionaceae. Environmental Microbiology Reports 1(4), 228–233.

Venieri, D., Fraggedaki, A., Kostadima, M., Chatzisymeon, E., Binas, V., Zachopoulos, A., Kiriakidis,
G., Mantzavinos, D., 2014. Solar light and metal-doped TiO₂ to eliminate water-transmitted bacterial
pathogens: Photocatalyst characterization and disinfection performance. Applied Catalysis B:
Environmental 154-155, 93–101.

Veneiri, D., Gounaki, I., Bikouvaraki, M., Binas, V., Zachopoulos, A., Kiriakidis, G., Mantzavinos, D.,
2017. Solar photocatalysis as disinfection technique: Inactivation of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in
sewage and investigation of changes in antibiotic resistance profile. Journal of Environmental
Management 195(2), 140–147.

Waso, M., Khan, S., Khan, W., 2018. Microbial source tracking markers associated with domestic
rainwater harvesting systems: Correlation to indicator organisms. Environmental Research 161,
446–455.

Waso, M., Khan, S., Khan, W., 2019. Assessment of predatory bacteria and prey interactions using
culture-based methods and EMA-qPCR. Microbiological Research 228, 1 – 10.

Willis, A.R., Moore, C., Mazon-Moya, M., Krokowski, S., Lambert, C., Till, R., Mostowy, S., Sockett,

R.E., 2016. Injections of predatory bacteria work alongside host immune cells to treat *Shigella*infection in zebrafish larvae. Current Biology 26, 3343-3351.

Yu, R., Zhang, S., Chen, Z., Li, C., 2017. Isolation and application of predatory *Bdellovibrio*-and-like
organisms for municipal waste sludge biolysis and dewaterability enhancement. Frontiers of
Environmental Science & Engineering 11(10), 1-11.