

UCL-IPT-96-22

# Effective $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)$ theory and the Higgs boson mass

Zhen Yun Fang<sup>a</sup>, G. López Castro<sup>b, 1</sup>, J. L. Lucio M.<sup>c</sup> and J. Pestieau<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Department of Applied Physics, Chongqing University, Chongqing, Sichuan 630044, People's Republic of China*

<sup>b</sup> *Institut de Physique Théorique, Université catholique de Louvain, B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium*

<sup>c</sup> *Instituto de Física, Universidad de Guanajuato, Apartado Postal E-143, 37150 León, Guanajuato, México*

## Abstract

We assume the stability of vacuum under radiative corrections in the context of the standard electroweak theory. We find that this theory behaves as a good effective model already at cut off energy scales as low as 0.7 TeV. This stability criterion allows to predict  $m_H = 318 \pm 13$  GeV for the Higgs boson mass.

PACS: 14.80.Bn, 11.10.Jj, 11.15.Bt

Keywords: Higgs, tadpole, effective, divergencies.

---

<sup>1</sup>Permanent address: Departamento de Física, CINVESTAV del IPN, Apartado Postal 14-740, 07000 México D.F., México

Since the standard electroweak theory is renormalizable, the ultraviolet (quadratic and logarithmic) divergencies appearing in loop calculations can be removed by proper redefinitions of a small number of parameters (*e.g.*, masses). The renormalization program allows to get finite predictions for physical quantities except for those few parameters which require redefinitions. In this way, we renounce to give some physical content to the divergent behaviour of the theory at very high energies [1].

Instead of following the renormalization program, we can consider that  $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)$  is an *effective* theory with an ultraviolet cut off at the energy scale  $\Lambda$  and try to trigger some information on the model itself from the behaviour of divergent terms. In other words, we assume that a more complete theory than  $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)$  introduces new physical effects at high energy that cut off the ultraviolet divergencies. Below  $\Lambda$ ,  $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)$  is supposed to describe all the electroweak processes in a satisfactory way.

In this letter we consider  $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)$  as an effective theory below  $\Lambda$  and assume the vanishing of the common divergent contributions (tadpoles) to the masses of all the particles. We find that the electroweak theory becomes effective even at cut off energies as small as  $\Lambda \sim 0.7$  TeV. This criterion for vacuum stability under radiative corrections allows to derive a mass for the Higgs boson that differs from its asymptotic value ( $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ )  $m_H = \sqrt{4(m_t^2 + m_b^2) - 2m_W^2 - m_Z^2}$  [2]- [3] by less than 0.6 % already at  $\Lambda \sim 0.7$  TeV.

Let us start by considering the divergent one-loop tadpole contributions. These tadpoles give a universal contribution to self-mass corrections because the bare masses of all the particles are proportional to the vacuum expectation value  $v$  of the Higgs field. The tadpoles are gauge-dependent and are given by [4]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta v_t}{v} = & \left( \frac{\alpha}{16\pi} \right) \frac{1}{m_H^2 m_W^2 \sin^2 \theta_W} \left\{ -2m_Z^4 - 4m_W^4 - \frac{3}{2}m_H^2 A_0(m_H) - 3m_Z^2 A_0(m_Z) \right. \\ & - 6m_W^2 A_0(m_W) - \frac{1}{2}m_H^2 A_0(\sqrt{\xi}m_Z) - m_H^2 A_0(\sqrt{\xi}m_W) \\ & \left. + 12[m_t^2 A_0(m_t) + m_b^2 A_0(m_b)] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $m_i$  ( $i = W, Z, H, t, b$ ) denote the masses of gauge bosons, the Higgs boson and top and bottom quarks (we have neglected fermion masses other than  $m_{t,b}$ ),  $\xi$  is the gauge parameter ( $\xi = 1$  in the t'Hooft-Feynman gauge and  $\xi = 0$  in the Landau gauge) and [4]

$$A_0(m) = \begin{cases} -m^2 \left( \frac{2}{\epsilon} - \gamma + \log(4\pi\mu^2) + 1 - \log m^2 \right), \\ \Lambda^2 - m^2 \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} - m^2 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

The two expressions in Eq. (2) are obtained by using the  $n$ -dimensional and corresponding cut off regularization methods, respectively.

In a similar way, we can compute the corrections to the neutrino mass (we assume a non-vanishing value for the neutrino mass). We get

$$\frac{\delta m_\nu}{m_\nu} = \left( \frac{\alpha}{16\pi} \right) \frac{1}{m_W^2 \sin^2 \theta_W} \left\{ \frac{3}{2} m_W^2 + B_0(0, 0, \sqrt{\xi} m_W) + \frac{3}{4} m_Z^2 + \frac{1}{2} B_0(0, 0, \sqrt{\xi} m_Z) \right\} + \frac{\delta v_t}{v} \quad (3)$$

where [4]

$$B_0(0, 0, m) = \begin{cases} -m^2 \left( \frac{2}{\epsilon} - \gamma + \log(4\pi\mu^2) + 1 - \log m^2 \right), \\ -m^2 \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} - m^2, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

respectively, using the  $n$ -dimensional and cut off methods. Eq. (3) is in agreement with corresponding results in Ref. [5] where finite terms of the form  $m^2$  and  $m^4$  are neglected.

As it can be easily checked from the previous expressions,  $\delta m_\nu/m_\nu$  is explicitly gauge-independent. This means that if we write the tadpoles in the Landau gauge we obtain

$$\left. \frac{\delta v_t}{v} \right|_{\xi=0} = \frac{\delta m_\nu}{m_\nu} - \frac{3}{4} \left( \frac{\alpha}{16\pi} \right) \frac{1}{m_W^2 \sin^2 \theta_W} \{ 2m_W^2 + m_Z^2 \}, \quad (5)$$

*i.e.*, this quantity is  $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)$  gauge-invariant. As is well known, the tadpoles can be computed from an effective potential only in the Landau gauge [6].

Now let us assume that tadpole contributions in the Landau gauge vanish, namely  $\delta v_t = 0$ . According to Eq. (5),  $\delta m_\nu/m_\nu$  becomes negligible small ( $\sim 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ ), *i.e.* the

radiative corrections to the small neutrino mass are very small. Since tadpole radiative corrections affect the masses of all the particles in an universal way, the stability of the vacuum under radiative corrections ( $\delta v_t = 0$ ) implies that the masses of all the particles in the effective model scale as  $v_{class}$ , the classical vacuum expectation value of the Higgs boson.

In figure 1, we plot the Higgs boson mass as a function of  $\Lambda$  when we impose the condition  $\delta v_t = 0$  in the Landau gauge. The three different curves correspond to  $m_t = 169, 175$  and  $181$  GeV and we have used  $m_W = 80.35$  GeV,  $m_Z = 91.187$  GeV and  $m_b = 4.5$  GeV [7]. When  $\Lambda > 0.7$  TeV, we observe that the mass of the Higgs boson is approximately given by the relation

$$m_H^2 = 4(m_t^2 + m_b^2) - 2m_W^2 - m_Z^2 \quad (6)$$

$$\approx 318 \pm 13 \text{ GeV}. \quad (7)$$

Eq. (6) corresponds to the condition for the cancellation of quadratic divergencies [2]-[3] in the self-masses of *all* the particles in the standard electroweak theory.

If we have chosen  $\delta m_\nu/m_\nu = 0$  instead of  $\delta v_t/v = 0$ , the plots obtained would have been almost identical for  $\Lambda \geq 0.7$  TeV because finite terms in Eq. (5) are negligible above these cut off energies. It is interesting to note that our predicted value for  $m_H$  is somewhat higher than the one predicted in another version of the effective electroweak theory [8] where the Higgs potential is reduced to its quartic term.

In conclusion, by assuming the vanishing of tadpole radiative corrections we find that the standard electroweak theory behaves as a good effective model with a relatively small cut off energy scale. The mass obtained for the Higgs boson departs by less than 0.6 % from the value obtained by requiring cancellation of quadratic divergencies [2]-[3] already at  $\Lambda \sim 0.7$  TeV. Thus, no fine-tuning of physical masses [1] are required to suppress the quadratic divergent corrections to self-masses in the effective version of the standard electroweak theory.

## **Acknowledgements**

We thank Abdelhouahed Bernicha, Jean-Marc Gérard, Thomas Hambye, Matías Moreno and Jacques Weyers for valuable discussions.

## References

- [1] See for example: M. Chanowitz, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **38** (1988) 323.
- [2] R. Decker and J. Pestieau, preprint UCL-IPT-79-19; DESY Workshop, October 22-24, 1979; *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A7** (1992) 3773; G. López Castro and J. Pestieau, *Mod. Phys. Lett* **A10** (1995) 1155.
- [3] M. Veltman, *Acta Phys. Polonica* **B12** (1981) 437.
- [4] J. Fleischer and F. Jegerlehner, *Phys. Rev.* **D23** (1981) 2001.
- [5] I.-Hsiu Lee and S. D. Drell, in: *M.A.B. Bég Memorial Volume*, Eds. A. Ali and P. Hoodbhoy, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991) p.13.
- [6] S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev.* **D7** (1973) 2887.
- [7] *Review of Particle Properties*, *Phys. Rev.* **D54** (1996) Part I.
- [8] T. Hambye, *Phys. Lett.* **B371** (1996) 87.

## FIGURE CAPTION

Figure 1: Mass of the Higgs boson as a function of  $\Lambda$  by imposing  $\delta v_t = 0$ . The plotted curves (from bottom to top) correspond to  $m_t = 169, 175$  and  $181$  GeV, respectively. Units in both axis are TeV.

