

## Abstract

The aim of this study was to validate the Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire (TEIQue v. 1.5; Petrides, 2009a) in a Lebanese sample and compare its factorial structure to that of a UK sample. There were similar gender and age distributions in both samples as well as satisfactory structural reliabilities at the global, factor, and facet levels. Results from Exploratory Factor Analysis showed a four-factor structure similar to that originally obtained by the author of the questionnaire. There were strong correlations between the factor scores derived from the two datasets ( $\geq .90$ ). Tucker congruence supported the similarity between the Lebanese and UK factors. Independent-samples *t*-tests showed that Lebanese participants scored higher on the Sociability factor and the facets of self-esteem, social awareness and emotion perception, whereas UK participants scored higher on the facets of stress management, optimism, and relationships. Gender differences are also reported, and recommendations for future research discussed.

*Keywords:* TEIQue, trait emotional intelligence, psychometric properties, validation, factorial structure.

The Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire in Lebanon and the UK: A comparison of psychometric properties

Emotional intelligence (EI) has been in the frontline of research and popular literature for the last three decades. In the scientific literature, two main theoretical approaches dominate the field, and these relate to the type of measurement used to study each one of these constructs. The first approach, known as ability EI, views EI as a combination of cognitive abilities reflecting emotional reasoning that are best measured via IQ-like maximum performance tests (Mayer, Salovey, Caruso, & Sternberg, 2000). The second approach, popularized as trait EI, conceptualizes EI as emotion-related perceptions assessed through self-report questionnaires and rating scales (Petrides, Pita, & Kokkinaki, 2007). Research has consistently demonstrated that there is little, if any, correlation between trait EI and ability EI, thus supporting their theoretical distinction (e.g., Brannick, Wahi, Arce, & Johnson, 2009).

This paper focuses on the trait EI construct, which represents the realm of affect-related characteristics of personality (see Table 1) and is located at the lower levels of well-established personality hierarchies such as the Giant Three or Big Five (e.g., Petrides et al., 2007; see also Perez-Gonzalez & Sanchez-Ruiz, 2014). One of the most widely used measures of trait EI is the Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire (TEIQue; Petrides, 2009a).

The incremental validity of the TEIQue is well-established (Andrei, Siegling, Aloe, Baldaro, & Petrides, 2016). In fact, an ever-increasing body of research has linked trait EI with a multitude of factors relating to individuals' psychosocial (e.g., Di Fabio & Saklofske, 2014) and subjective well-being (Sánchez-Álvarez, Extremera & Fernández-Berrocal, 2016), as well as important outcomes such as academic performance (e.g., Perera & DiGiacomo, 2013; Sanchez-Ruiz, Mavroveli, & Poullis, 2013), job satisfaction (see Petrides, Mikolajczak, Mavroveli, Sanchez-Ruiz, Furnham, & Pérez-González, 2016 for a review of the latest trait EI findings), and romantic-relationship satisfaction (e.g., Malouff, Schutte, & Thorsteinsson, 2014). Gender

differences in trait EI have also been explored across cultures; however, results are inconclusive (Pérez-Díaz & Petrides, 2019). While global trait EI scores tend to be similar across gender, replicable gender-differences can be observed at the factor and facet levels (Petrides, 2009b). Such differences have been observed in the facets of assertiveness, emotion regulation, relationships, and self-esteem as well as the factors of Self-control and Emotionality. Some of these differences are male-favoring while others are female favoring, but they tend to balance out at the global trait EI level (e.g., Aluja, Blanch, & Petrides, 2016).

Studies comparing trait EI measured via the TEIQue to other trait EI questionnaires have shown that the former has better predictive validity, as well as more robust psychometric properties overall (e.g., Freudenthaler, Neubauer, Gabler, Scherl, & Rindermann, 2008; Gardner & Qualter, 2010; Martins, Ramalho, & Morin, 2010). There is a growing interest in accounting for cultural differences in psychological construct validity and reliability (Casillas & Robbins, 2005). To date, the TEIQue has been translated into over 20 languages and has been extensively validated across a wide variety of contexts, countries, and samples (e.g., Aluja et al., 2016; Aslanidou, Petrides, & Stogiannidou, 2018; Gökçen, Furnham, Mavroveli, & Petrides, 2014; Li, Pérez-Díaz, Mao, & Petrides, 2018; Perazzo et al., 2020). However, to our knowledge, the psychometric properties of the instrument have not yet been scrutinized in any Middle Eastern country.

### **The present study**

Culture plays a pivotal role in influencing emotion-related behaviors and values. The aim of the present study is to investigate the psychometric properties of the English TEIQue in a Lebanese sample by comparing its internal structure to that obtained from a comparable UK sample, as well as to the a priori UK factors derived from the instrument's original factor analysis (Petrides, 2009a). A secondary aim is to investigate differences between and within the two countries as well as any gender differences. Some studies have looked at trait EI in Lebanese

samples (e.g., Sanchez-Ruiz & El Khoury, 2020; Sanchez-Ruiz, El-Jor, Kharma, Bassil, & Zeeni, 2019), but there is need to validate the tool to continue its utilization in psychological assessment and research and ultimately to help expand the nomological network of trait EI in the Middle East. This study is the first to validate the TEIQue as a measure of trait EI in the Arab world in general, and in Lebanon, in particular.

## Method

### Participants

In both Lebanon and the UK, participants were pooled using convenience sampling from introductory courses offered at university to all students, regardless of major. In Lebanon, the sample consisted of 342 Lebanese undergraduates (232 females, 107 males, and 3 unreported), aged between 18 and 24 ( $M = 19.76$ ,  $SD = 1.85$ ). In the UK, the sample consisted of 187 undergraduate university students (130 females, 56 males, and 1 unreported) from 17 to 30 years of age ( $M = 20.49$  years,  $SD = 1.71$ ). Most participants in this sample were White-British. In both countries, students were enrolled in arts, sciences, and humanities majors, thus representing a good cross-sectional sample of university students.

### Measures

**Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire (TEIQue v.1.50; Petrides, 2009a).** The TEIQue consists of 153 items that measure global trait EI and 15 distinct facets (as described in Table 1) grouped into four broad factors, namely Well-being, Self-control, Emotionality, and Sociability. The self-motivation and adaptability facets feed directly into the global trait EI score and are not encompassed by any of these factors. Items are rated on a 7-point Likert-type scale, ranging from 1 (*Strongly disagree*) to 7 (*Strongly agree*). The TEIQue scores have shown excellent psychometric properties (e.g., Petrides et al., 2010). Cronbach's alphas are presented in Table 2.

---

Insert Table 1 about here

---

## **Procedure**

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of ethics at the Lebanese American University, Lebanon, and the Imperial College Research Ethics Committee (ICREC), Imperial College of London, UK. In both Lebanon and the UK, after obtaining ethical approval from the ethics committees at the relevant institutions, the first two authors recruited participants from regular classes or through email or advertisement. The survey was identical for both countries and was administered in English, as this was the official language of instruction at the targeted universities. Prior to completing a pen-and-paper version of the instrument, students read and signed an informed consent where they were reassured that their data would be kept confidential and that they could withdraw at any point during the study without giving a reason. The data were anonymous, and students only received feedback upon request by providing their email address. The testing time was approximately 30 minutes.

## **Statistical Analyses**

Data were analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS), version 26, and R 3.6.1. First, descriptive statistics of the facets and factors were generated and Cronbach Alphas were calculated as a measure of the internal consistency of the scores. Second, intercorrelations between the TEIQue factors and facets for both countries were calculated, as well as the intercorrelations between the TEIQue factors of the current samples and the a priori UK factors in order to investigate the overlap. Third, in order to evaluate the factor structure of the TEIQue, its facets were subjected to a principal components analysis. Fourth, the Tucker's congruence coefficient was calculated in order to test the degree of similarity between the factors in both samples. Fifth, Confirmatory Factor Analysis was conducted to verify the factor structure of the TEIQue. Sixth, measurement invariance analyses (configural, metric, partial scalar and

residual) were conducted to test the stability of measurement parameters across the two samples. Finally, independent sample *t*-tests were performed to compare the overall, factor, and facet scores between and within the two countries (including gender comparisons).

## Results

### Descriptive Statistics and Internal Consistencies

Descriptive statistics and internal consistencies of the trait EI facets and factors are presented in Table 2. With respect to internal consistency, it was generally satisfactory at the global, factor, and facet levels of the TEIQue. Among the 15 facets, 11 for the Lebanese data and 14 for the UK data had acceptable-to-excellent reliability values (ranging from .70 to .87 and from .71 to .91, respectively). It is worth noting that those facets with alpha coefficients below .70 in the Lebanese sample (e.g., relationships, adaptability, and self-motivation) have shown similar coefficients in other samples (Aluja, Blanch, & Petrides, 2016). Reliabilities were slightly higher in the UK sample. Specifically, the internal consistencies of self-motivation (Lebanon:  $\alpha = .61$ ; UK:  $\alpha = .74$ ), empathy (Lebanon:  $\alpha = .66$ ; UK:  $\alpha = .80$ ), relationships (Lebanon:  $\alpha = .56$ ; UK:  $\alpha = .71$ ), and adaptability (Lebanon:  $\alpha = .64$ ; UK:  $\alpha = .77$ ) were lower for the Lebanese data, whilst the happiness facet reached particularly high alpha values in both the UK and Lebanese data ( $\alpha = .90$  and  $\alpha = .87$ , respectively). At the factor level though, a lower alpha was observed in the UK data for Self-control (UK:  $\alpha = .63$ ; Lebanon:  $\alpha = .75$ ), while the opposite was true for Emotionality (UK:  $\alpha = .80$ ; Lebanon:  $\alpha = .67$ ). The internal consistency of the global TEIQue score was .86 and .88 in Lebanon and the UK, respectively.

---

Insert Table 2 about here

---

### **Intercorrelations and Factor Analysis by Country**

The matrix of intercorrelations among the TEIQue facets for the Lebanese and English samples in Table 3 shows positive (and significant for the most part) correlations among TEIQue facets in both samples. These correlations ranged between .44 and .77 (average: .58) for the Lebanese data, and from .41 to .61 (average: .61) for the UK data. Intercorrelations among the four factors were all significant and ranged between .22 and .49 (average: .37) for the Lebanese data, and between .31 and .53 (average: .43) for the UK data.

---

Insert Table 3 about here

---

In order to evaluate the factor structure of the Lebanese TEIQue, thirteen facets were subjected to a principal components analysis in the total sample. Two of the facets (i.e., self-motivation and adaptation) were excluded from the analysis, as in previous research (Aluja et al., 2016) because they do not load on any particular factor in the original version of the test. Based on the Kaiser eigenvalue criterion ( $k > 1$ ) and Scree plot, four factors were extracted via Principal Axis Factoring and rotated to simple structure via Promax rotation with Kaiser normalisation. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sample adequacy was .79. The Bartlett's test of sphericity was used to examine the appropriateness of the factor analysis. The approximate Chi-square was 1791.348 (d.f.: 78,  $p < .001$ ). The four obtained factors explained 56.27% of the variance. Table 4 presents the factor loadings for this solution. The pattern matrix resembles the original TEIQue factor structure with only self-esteem loading more strongly on Sociability (.49) than its keyed factor of Well-being (.37).

The same solution was applied to the UK data, using Principal Axis Factoring followed by Promax rotation with Kaiser normalization, yielding a Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin value of .82 and a

Bartlett's sphericity value  $\chi^2$  1147.620 (d.f.: 78,  $p < .001$ ). The four obtained factors explained 60.31% of variance in the UK sample. Again, a factor structure similar to the original British structure was observed with only two non-keyed loadings: Self-esteem, which loaded more strongly on Sociability (.52) than Well-being (.27), as was the case in the Lebanese sample, and impulse control, which loaded more strongly on Emotionality (.45) than Self-control (.37). These cross-loadings are a direct result of the nature of trait EI as a unitary, multifaceted construct tapping into numerous overlapping affect-laden variables. Similar values were found for the UK sample in terms of factor loadings (see Table 4), which are fully in line with the relevant published literature (see Petrides, 2009a).

---

Insert Table 4 about here

---

The a priori factors obtained from the original factor analysis of the TEIQue in the UK were correlated against the factors obtained from the Lebanese and UK data in this study (see Table 5). Results showed correlations mostly exceeding .90 for both countries (Well-being, .95 Lebanon, .97 UK; Self-control, .96 Lebanon, .90 UK; Emotionality, .96 Lebanon, .98 UK; Sociability, .97 Lebanon, .96 UK) and suggesting strong practical convergence between the two factor structures.

In conclusion, the factor analyses jointly indicated that a four-factor solution is appropriate for the current Lebanese adaptation of the TEIQue in university students.

---

Insert Table 5 about here

---



## Differences Between Lebanon and the UK

A series of *t*-tests (see Table 2) showed some significant differences across the TEIQue facet and factor scores by country. Specifically, the Lebanese participants scored higher than their UK counterparts on the Sociability factor ( $d = .59$ ), and on the self-esteem ( $d = .59$ ), social awareness ( $d = .34$ ), emotion perception ( $d = .21$ ), and assertiveness ( $d = .51$ ) facets, as shown in Table 2. Higher scores were obtained by the UK participants on stress management ( $d = .20$ ), optimism ( $d = .25$ ), and relationships ( $d = .28$ ).

## Culture-Specific Gender Differences

Further *t*-tests (see Table 6) showed significant differences across the TEIQue facet and factor scores with respect to gender. Lebanese males scored higher than Lebanese females on the emotion regulation ( $d = .34$ ), emotion perception ( $d = .24$ ), and stress management ( $d = .38$ ) facets, as well as on the Self-control factor ( $d = .38$ ). Lebanese females scored higher than Lebanese males on the happiness ( $d = .39$ ) and relationships ( $d = .40$ ) facets in addition to the Well-being factor ( $d = .23$ ). On the other hand, UK males scored higher than UK females on the emotion regulation ( $d = .48$ ) and stress management ( $d = .52$ ) facets, as well as on the Self-control factor ( $d = .40$ ). UK females scored higher than UK males on the self-motivation ( $d = .45$ ), happiness ( $d = .48$ ), empathy ( $d = .54$ ), and relationships ( $d = .40$ ) facets and, additionally, on the Emotionality Factor ( $d = .40$ ).

---

Insert Table 6 about here

---

## Discussion

Previous research has often generalized Western findings in psychological testing to other cultures. However, examining the factor structure and internal consistency of psychometric instruments, such as the TEIQue, in different countries remains an essential task, as the cultural context plays a key role in influencing behaviours and values regarding emotions and emotional responses to situations (Boiger & Mesquita, 2012). For this reason, this study investigated the psychometric properties of the TEIQue in a Lebanese sample, comparing its structure and means with those obtained from a UK sample. Differences between the two countries as well as culture-specific gender differences were observed for many TEIQue factors and facets.

### **Internal Consistencies**

Overall, internal consistencies for the global, factor, and facet scores across samples were satisfactory and similar to those obtained in previous research. The four facets with Alpha coefficients below .70 in the Lebanese sample are self-motivation, empathy, adaptability, and relationships (from highest to lowest). These facets had similar coefficients in other samples (e.g., Aluja et al., 2016; Chirumbolo, Picconi, Morelli, & Petrides, 2019; Martskvishvili, Arutinov, & Mestvirishvili, 2013). The relationships facet specifically is the only facet with a low reliability score, however, this has also been observed in many other countries, such as Italy (Chirumbolo et al., 2019), France (Mikolajczak, Luminet, Leroy, & Roy, 2007b), Germany (Freudenthaler, Neubauer, Gabler, Scherl, & Rindermann, 2008), Georgia (Martskvishvili et al., 2013) among others. As for the factors, the Alpha for Self-control was lower in the UK than Lebanon, and the opposite applied to Emotionality. This has not been previously observed in the UK (Petrides, 2009a), although a similar finding was reported in Italy ( $\alpha = .69$ ; Chirumbolo et al., 2019). Regarding Emotionality, a similar value was observed in Georgia ( $\alpha = .69$ ; Martskvishvili et al., 2013). Nevertheless, further investigation is still required

### **Intercorrelations and Factor Analyses**

The TEIQue factors and facets showed significant intercorrelations (see Table 3), consistent with their theoretical rationale and structure. The TEIQue has been developed to provide comprehensive coverage of the sampling domain of trait EI (Petrides, 2009b). Based on our findings, all facets had high loadings only on their keyed factors, with the exception of self-esteem, which loaded higher on Sociability than Well-being in both the Lebanese and UK datasets and “impulse control,” which loaded higher on Emotionality than Self-control in the UK sample only. Congruence coefficients between the two samples were excellent. This indicates that the structure of the personality traits within the individuals of both samples are very similar, despite any cultural differences that may influence how the traits manifest (Mikolajczak et al., 2007b). Overall, these findings support the cross-cultural stability of the TEIQue factor structure, which has been consistently replicated in many countries around the world (e.g., Chirumbolo et al., 2019; Mikolajczak et al., 2007b; Petrides, 2009b).

### **Differences between Lebanon and the UK**

Cross-cultural research has shown that certain trait EI factors and facets, such as emotional expression and regulation, may vary across Western and Eastern cultures. This is often attributed to the individualistic vs collectivistic (I-C) nature of the cultures studied (e.g., Çelîk & Denîz, 2008). Unlike the UK, which is more individualistic (Hofstede, Hofstede, & Minkov, 2010), recent research has shown that Lebanon has mixed individualistic and collectivistic tendencies (I-C) due to the diverse background of the country (Ayyash-Abdo, 2001; Dirani, 2008). Moreover, according to Sagie and Schwartz (2000), ten universal value types exist, which are beliefs that act as guiding principles that can have psychological outcomes (Sagie & Schwartz, 2000), including the ways in which emotion-related traits are expressed. Despite the universality of these values, Shwartz (1992, 1994) acknowledged their differential prevalence in each culture.

The results of the present study showed that Lebanese participants scored higher than UK participants on the factor of Sociability and the emotion perception facet of Emotionality, which might reflect Lebanon's collectivistic tendencies that promote inclusiveness and positive social interactions, which are necessary for maintaining harmony and strong social ties with in-groups (Hofstede, 2011). The Lebanese sample also scored higher on the self-esteem facet, which reflects Lebanon's more individualistic tendencies that contribute to positive self-relevant information (e.g., Falk et al., 2009). This may also be due to the predominant authoritative parenting style in Lebanon (followed by the permissive style) found in previous studies (Dwairy et al., 2006). This means that although efforts are made at a fair exercise of parental control, parents also encourage the autonomy of the child and tend to avoid confrontation while displaying higher than average levels of warmth, support, and nurturance.

Compared to the Lebanese sample, UK participants scored higher on the facets of optimism, relationships, and stress management. The lower score on optimism in Lebanon may be due to its political insecurity and youth's perception of a bleak future for themselves (Dibeh, Fakh, & Marrouch, 2018). This finding can also be explained by the UK's high score on the Indulgence Versus Restraint cultural dimension, described by Hofstede et al. (2010) as the degree to which cultures embrace the enjoyment of life, which, according to this author, is associated with optimism. The higher scores on relationships in the UK could be linked to Baumgarte's (2016) notion that 'idealists', associated with individualistic cultures, tend to hold their closest friends in unrealistic positive regard and rate their friendships higher, while in collectivistic societies, individuals are more likely to be 'realists' who are more critical and uninhibited when evaluating their friendships and rating their relationships in surveys.

With respect to stress management, a tentative explanation for UK participants scoring higher than their Lebanese counterparts could be the former's lower scores on Hofstede's cultural dimension of Uncertainty Avoidance (Hofstede et al., 2010). This dimension is

concerned with a culture's "tolerance for ambiguity", where cultures that score high in this dimension experience lower stress, higher self-control, and are more comfortable with unstructured and uncertain situations (Hofstede et al., 2010).

### **Culture-Specific Differences**

The results of the present study revealed gender differences in scores in both Lebanon and the UK. Previous research has shown conflicting findings (e.g., Chirumbolo et al., 2019; Petrides, 2009b), which can be explained by the fact that males and females tend to have similar global trait EI scores but differ at the factor and facet levels, as in the case of Aluja et al. (2016).

In the present study, Lebanese and UK males scored higher than Lebanese and UK females, respectively, on the emotion regulation and stress management facets as well as on the Self-control factor. This accords well with the literature on male advantages in emotion control, as males are traditionally expected by society to "hide" their emotions; consequently, they report better emotion and stress management skills (e.g., McKinley et al., 2014). In contrast, Lebanese and UK females scored higher than male peers in the relationships and happiness facets. There is evidence that close relationships are crucial for females, who are also more meticulous than males in maintaining them (e.g., Meshkat & Nejati, 2017). As for happiness, the rationale behind females' higher happiness scores is unclear, though in line with previous studies (e.g., Zweig, 2015). However, due to the small to medium effect size and the limited male sample size in the UK, future research is warranted.

In Lebanon, males scored higher than females on emotion perception, and females scored higher than males on the Well-being factor. The finding that Lebanese males scored higher than their female counterparts on emotion perception contradicts previous literature (Chirumbolo et al., 2019; Petrides, 2009b). The finding that Lebanese females scored higher than Lebanese males on the Well-being factor also contradicts the findings by Chirumbolo et al. (2019). These conflicting findings might also be related to the small effect size of the differences and require

further investigation. As for the UK, British females scored higher than British males on the self-motivation and empathy facets as well as the Emotionality factor, which is consistent with previous literature (Chirumbolo et al., 2019; Petrides, 2009b).

### **Limitations and Recommendations for Future Research**

One of the limitations of the present study concerns the relatively small samples that also consisted entirely of undergraduate university students, which limits the generalizability of the results. Future studies can benefit from administering the TEIQue and investigating its psychometric properties in more representative samples. We conducted this research with the English version of the TEIQue because a large part of the population in Lebanon, especially youth between 15 and 28 years speaks English in addition to Arabic (the official language) and French (see UNSD report; Rio+20, 2012). Furthermore, approximately 80% of universities in Lebanon use English as the language of instruction and the sample for this study was pooled exclusively from English-speaking universities, which facilitates comparisons with the UK data by limiting the influence of language. Nevertheless, the validation of the Arabic version of the TEIQue in Lebanon remains crucial and is currently ongoing (Sanchez-Ruiz, AbiHabib, Tohme, Nasser, & Petrides, in progress). In addition, despite the observed culture-specific differences, the UK male sample is not large enough to allow strong conclusions. These differences ought to be replicated and considered in the context of larger studies, including cross-cultural meta-analyses, focusing explicitly on the question of gender differences in trait EI.

Overall, the psychometric properties of the TEIQue were sufficiently robust in both of the Lebanese and UK samples and the original factor structure was replicated, in line with other studies. Alpha coefficients across both samples were satisfactory and similar to those obtained in previous research, and there was also strong evidence of congruence. The findings specifically indicate that the English version of the TEIQue can be recommended for research and professional use in English-speaking populations in Lebanon until the Arabic adaptation

Running Head: TEIQue in Lebanon and the UK

becomes widely available. More broadly, they add to the evidence base supporting the utility and efficacy of the TEIQue as the dedicated and prime operationalization vehicle for trait emotional intelligence theory.

**Acknowledgments:** We thank Ms. Tatiana Khalaf and Ms. Natalie Tadros for their assistance in reviewing this manuscript.

Ethical Compliance Statement

**Compliance with Ethical Standards:** All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Informed Consent (when applicable):** Informed consent was obtained from all individual adult participants included in the study; assent was obtained from children.

The authors have no funding to disclose.

## References

- Aluja, A., Blanch, A., & Petrides, K. V. (2016). Psychometric properties of the Catalan version of the Trait Emotional Intelligence (TEIQue): Comparison between Catalan and English data. *Personality and Individual Differences, 99*, 133-139. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2016.04.098.
- Andrei, F., Siegling, A. B., Aloe, A. M., Baldaro, B., & Petrides, K. V. (2016). The incremental validity of the Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire (TEIQue): A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 98*(3), 261-276. doi:10.1080/00223891.2015.1084630.
- Aslanidou, G. S., Petrides, K. V., & Stogiannidou, A. (2018). Trait emotional intelligence profiles of parents with drug addiction and of their offspring. *Frontiers in Psychology, 9*: 1633 [Special issue on trait emotional intelligence]. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01633
- Ayyash-Abdo, H. (2001). Individualism and collectivism: The case of Lebanon. *Social Behavior and Personality, 29*(5), 503-518. doi:10.2224/sbp.2001.29.5.503.
- Baumgarte, R. (2016). Conceptualizing Cultural Variations in Close Friendships. *Online Readings in Psychology and Culture, 5*(4), 3. doi:10.9707/2307-0919.1137.
- Boiger, M., & Mesquita, B. (2012). The construction of emotion in interactions, relationships, and cultures. *Emotion Review, 4*(3), 221-229. doi:10.1177/1754073912439765.
- Brannick, M. T., Wahi, M. M., Arce, M., Johnson, H. A., Nazian, S., & Goldin, S. B. (2009). Comparison of trait and ability measures of emotional intelligence in medical students. *Medical Education, 43*(11), 1062-1068. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2923.2009.03430.x.
- Casillas, A., & Robbins, S. B. (2005). Test adaptation and cross-cultural assessment from a business perspective: Issues and recommendations. *International Journal of Testing, 5*(1), 5-21. doi:10.1207/s15327574ijt0501\_2.



- Çelîk, S., & Denîz, M. (2008). A comparison of scouts' emotional intelligence levels with regards to age and gender variables: A cross-cultural study. *Elementary Education Online*, 7(2), 376-383. Retrieved from <http://ilkogretim-online.org.tr/index.php/io>.
- Chirumbolo, A., Picconi, L., Morelli, M., & Petrides, K. (2019). The assessment of trait emotional intelligence: Psychometric characteristics of the TEIQue-Full Form in a large Italian adult sample. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, 2786. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02786/full.
- Di Fabio, A., & Saklofske, D. H. (2014). Promoting individual resources: The challenge of trait emotional intelligence. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 65, 19–23. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2014.01.026.
- Dibeh, G., Fakih, A., & Marrouch, W. (2018). Decision to Emigrate amongst the Youth in Lebanon. *International Migration*, 56(1), 5-22. doi:10.1111/imig.12347.
- Dirani, K. M. (2008). Individualism and collectivism in Lebanon: Correlations with socioeconomic factors and effects on management and human resources practices. In *The Global Diffusion of Human Resource Practices: Institutional and Cultural Limits* (pp. 211-233). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. doi:10.1016/S1571-5027%2808%2900009-0.
- Dwairy, M., Achoui, M., Abouserie, R., Farah, A., Sakhleh, A. A., Fayad, M., & Khan, H. K. (2006). Parenting styles in Arab societies: A first cross-regional research study. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 37(3), 230-247. doi:10.1177/0022022106286922.
- Falk, C. F., Heine, S. J., Yuki, M., & Takemura, K. (2009). Why do Westerners self-enhance more than East Asians? *European Journal of Personality*, 23, 183–203. doi:10.1002/per.715.
- Freudenthaler, H. H., Neubauer, A. C., Gabler, P., Scherl, W. G., & Rindermann, H. (2008). Testing and validating the trait emotional intelligence questionnaire (TEIQue) in a German-speaking sample. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 45(7), 673-678. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2008.07.014.

- Gardner, K. J., & Qualter, P. (2010). Concurrent and incremental validity of three trait emotional intelligence measures. *Australian Journal of Psychology, 62*(1), 5-13.
- Gökçen, E., Furnham, A., Mavroveli, S., & Petrides, K. V. (2014). A cross-cultural investigation of trait emotional intelligence in Hong Kong and the UK. *Personality and Individual Differences, 65*, 30–35. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2014.01.053
- Green, E. G., Deschamps, J. C., & Paez, D. (2005). Variation of individualism and collectivism within and between 20 countries: A typological analysis. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 36*(3), 321-339. doi:10.1177/0022022104273654.
- Harb, C. (2010). Describing the Lebanese youth: a national and psycho-social survey. *Youth in the Arab World Working Paper Series* (3). Issam Fares Institute for Public Policy and International Affairs, American University of Beirut, Lebanon.
- Hofstede, G. (2011). Dimensionalizing cultures: The Hofstede model in context. *Online Readings in Psychology and Culture, 2*(1), 8.
- Hofstede, G., Hofstede, G. J., & Minkov, M. (2010). *Cultures and organizations: software of the mind: Intercultural cooperation and its importance for survival*. 3rd ed. New York; London: McGraw-Hill.
- Jamali, D., Sidani, Y., & Abu-Zaki, D. (2008). Emotional intelligence and management development implications: Insights from the Lebanese context. *Journal of Management Development, 27*(3), 348-360. doi:10.1108/02621710810858641.
- Konsky, C., Eguchi, M., Blue, J., & Kapoor, S. (2000). Individualist-collectivist values: American, Indian and Japanese cross-cultural study. *Intercultural Communication Studies, 9*(1), 69-84.
- Li M., Pérez-Díaz, P. A., Mao, Y. & Petrides, K. V. (2018). A multilevel model of teachers' job performance: Understanding the effects of trait emotional intelligence, job satisfaction, and organizational trust [Special issue on trait emotional intelligence]. *Frontiers in Psychology, 9*:2420. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02420

- Malouff, J. M., Schutte, N. S., & Thorsteinsson, E. B. (2014). Trait emotional intelligence and romantic relationship satisfaction: a meta-analysis. *The American Journal of Family Therapy, 42*(1), 53–66. doi:10.1080/01926187.2012.748549.
- Martins, A., Ramalho, N., & Morin, E. (2010). A comprehensive meta-analysis of the relationship between emotional intelligence and health. *Personality and Individual Differences, 49*, 554–564.
- Martskvishvili, K., Arutinov, L., & Mestvirishvili, M. (2013). A psychometric investigation of the Georgian version of the Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*. doi: [10.1027/1015-5759/a000135](https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759/a000135)
- Mayer, J. D., Salovey, P., Caruso, D., & Sternberg, R. J. (2000). Models of Emotional Intelligence. *Handbook of Intelligence, 396–420*. doi:10.1017/cbo9780511807947.019.
- McKinley, S. K., Petrusa, E. R., Fiedeldey-Van Dijk, C., Mullen, J. T., Smink, D. S., Scott-Vernaglia, S. E., Kent, T. S., Black-Schaffer, S., & Phitayakorn, R. (2014). Are there gender differences in the emotional intelligence of resident physicians? *Journal of Surgical Education, 71*(6), e33-e40. doi:10.1016/j.jsurg.2014.05.003.
- Meshkat M., & Nejati, R. (2017). Does emotional intelligence depend on gender? A study on undergraduate English majors of three Iranian universities. *Sage Open, 1-8*. doi:10.1177/2158244017725796.
- Mikolajczak, M., Menil, C., & Luminet, O. (2007a). Explaining the protective effect of trait emotional intelligence regarding occupational stress: Exploration of emotional labour processes. *Journal of Research in Personality, 41*(5), 1107-1117. doi:10.1016/j.jrp.2007.01.003.
- Mikolajczak, M., Luminet, O., Leroy, C., & Roy, E. (2007b). Psychometric properties of the Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire: Factor structure, reliability, construct, and incremental

validity in a French-speaking population. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 88(3), 338-353.  
doi:10.1080/00223890701333431.

Perazzo, M. F., Abreu, L. G., Pérez-Díaz, P. A., Petrides, K. V., Granville-Garcia, A. F., & Paiva, S. M. (2020). Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire-Short Form: Brazilian validation and measurement invariance between the United Kingdom and Latin-American datasets. *Journal of Personality Assessment*. doi: 10.1080/00223891.2020.1758118.

Perera, H. N., & DiGiacomo, M. (2013). The relationship of trait emotional intelligence with academic performance: A meta-analytic review. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 28, 20-33. doi:10.1016/j.lindif.2013.08.002.

Pérez-Díaz, P. A., & Petrides, K. V. (2019). The Spanish-Chilean Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire-Short Form: The adaptation and validation of the TEIQue-SF in Chile. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 1-13. doi:10.1080/00223891.2019.1692856.

Pérez-González, J. C., & Sanchez-Ruiz, M. J. (2014). Trait emotional intelligence anchored within the Big Five, Big Two and Big One frameworks. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 65, 53-58. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2014.01.021.

Petrides, K. V. (2009a). *Technical Manual for the Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire (TEIQue)*. London: London Psychometric Laboratory.

Petrides, K. V. (2009b). Psychometric properties of the Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire. In C. Stough, D. H. Saklofske, and J. D. Parker, *Advances in the assessment of emotional intelligence*. New York: Springer. doi: 10.1007/978-0-387-88370-0\_5

Petrides, K. V., Mikolajczak, M., Mavroveli, S., Sanchez-Ruiz, M. J., Furnham, A., & Pérez-González, J. C. (2016). Developments in trait emotional intelligence research. *Emotion Review*, 8(4), 335-341. doi:10.1177/1754073916650493.

- Petrides, K. V., Pita, R., & Kokkinaki, F. (2007). The location of trait emotional intelligence in personality factor space. *British Journal of Psychology*, *98*(2), 273-289.  
doi:10.1348/000712606X120618.
- Sagie, G., & Schwartz, S. H. (2000). Value consensus and importance: A cross-national study. *Journal of Cross-cultural Psychology*, *31*(4), 465-497. doi:10.1177/0022022100031004003.
- Sánchez-Álvarez, N., Extremera, N., & Fernández-Berrocal, P. (2016). The relation between emotional intelligence and subjective well-being: A meta-analytic investigation. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, *11*(3), 276–285. doi:10.1080/17439760.2015.1058968.
- Sanchez-Ruiz, M. J., El-Jor, C., Kharma, J. A., Bassil, M., & Zeeni, N. (2019). Personality, emotion-related variables, and media pressure predict eating disorders via disordered eating in Lebanese university students. *Eating and Weight Disorders-Studies on Anorexia, Bulimia and Obesity*, *24*(2), 313-322. doi: 10.1007/s40519-017-0387-8.
- Sanchez-Ruiz, M. J., Mavroveli, S., & Poullis, J. (2013). Trait emotional intelligence and its links to university performance: An examination. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *54*(5), 658-662. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2012.11.013.
- Schwartz, S. H. (1992). Universals in the content and structure of values: Theoretical advances and empirical tests in 20 countries. In M. P. Zanna (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology*, Vol. 25 (p. 1–65). Academic Press. doi:0.1016/S0065-2601(08)60281-6.
- Schwartz, S. H. (1994). Beyond individualism/collectivism: New cultural dimensions of values. In U. Kim, H. C. Triandis, Ç. Kâğıtçıbaşı, S.-C., Choi, & G. Yoon (Eds.), *Cross-cultural research and methodology series*, Vol. 18. *Individualism and collectivism: Theory, method, and applications* (p. 85–119). Sage Publications, Inc.
- Zweig, J. S. (2015). Are women happier than men? Evidence from the Gallup World Poll. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, *16*(2), 515-541. doi: 10.1007/s10902-014-9521-8.